

# Mechanism of the Aryl-F Bond-Forming Step from Bi(V) Fluorides

Oriol Planas, Vytautas Peciukenas, Markus Leutzsch, Nils Nöthling, Dimitrios A. Pantazis, and Josep Cornella\*



**ABSTRACT:** In this article, we describe a combined experimental and theoretical mechanistic investigation of the  $C(sp^2)-F$  bond formation from neutral and cationic high-valent organobismuth(V) fluorides, featuring a dianionic bis-aryl sulfoximine ligand. An exhaustive assessment of the substitution pattern in the ligand, the sulfoximine, and the reactive aryl on neutral triarylbismuth(V) difluorides revealed that formation of dimeric structures in solution promotes facile Ar–F bond formation. Noteworthy, theoretical modeling of reductive elimination from neutral bismuth(V) difluorides agrees with the experimentally determined kinetic and thermodynamic parameters. Moreover, the addition of external fluoride sources leads to inactive octahedral anionic Bi(V) trifluoride salts, which decelerate reductive elimination. On the other hand, a parallel analysis for cationic bismuthonium fluorides revealed the crucial role of tetrafluoroborate anion as fluoride source. Both experimental and theoretical analyses conclude that C–F bond formation occurs through a low-energy five-membered transition-state pathway, where the F anion is delivered to a  $C(sp^2)$  center, from a BF<sub>4</sub> anion, reminiscent of the Balz–Schiemann reaction. The knowledge gathered throughout the investigation permitted a rational assessment of the key parameters of several ligands, identifying the simple sulfone-based ligand family as an improved system for the stoichiometric and catalytic fluorination of arylboronic acid derivatives.

# INTRODUCTION

The development of methodologies to forge  $C(sp^2)$ -F bonds is of capital importance, as fluorine-containing molecules find applications as drugs,<sup>1</sup> agrochemical products,<sup>2</sup> organic materials,<sup>3</sup> or [<sup>18</sup>F]Fluoride-labeled radiotracers for positron emission tomography (PET).<sup>4</sup> In addition to the traditional nucleophilic aromatic substitution,<sup>5</sup> fluorine has typically been anchored to aromatic substrates via the Balz-Schiemman reaction<sup>6</sup> or through the Halex process.<sup>7</sup> Despite numerous applications, these methods still suffer from harsh reaction conditions and a limited substrate scope. Attractive alternatives have recently emerged that facilitate  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond formation under much milder reaction conditions which broaden the spectrum of compatible functional groups during C-F formation. These methods rely on the use of *d*-block elements, which have demonstrated to be excellent candidates for this purpose.<sup>8</sup> Yet, metal-catalyzed  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond-forming reactions are still arduous, due to the challenging reductive elimination from the small and highly electronegative fluoride anion. Therefore, the handful of examples reported successfully forged the  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond mainly via high-valent metal centers or crafted ligands.

Early mechanistic studies by Grushin and Yandulov on latetransition-metal fluorides identified the main challenges to promote reductive elimination from Pd(II) centers.<sup>9</sup> These seminal studies served as the stepping stone for the

development of a groundbreaking nucleophilic fluorination process based on the Pd(0)/Pd(II) redox couple by Buchwald.<sup>10</sup> In order to understand the challenges associated with the  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond-forming step, the isolation and study of high-valent intermediates has been shown to serve as a valid strategy. In this context, Ritter reported the  $C(sp^2)-F$ reductive elimination from well-defined  $\sigma$ -aryl Pd(IV)-F species.<sup>11</sup> This work, together with subsequent studies from Sanford,<sup>12</sup> revealed key structural features to guide the development of Pd-based fluorination methods, including relevant works on  $C(sp^2)$ -H and  $C(sp^2)$ -B functionalization.<sup>13</sup> In addition to Pd, mechanistic investigations of  $\sigma$ -aryl Pt(IV)-F compounds by Gagné,<sup>14</sup> Vigalok and Vedernikov,<sup>15</sup> and Haghighi<sup>16</sup> identified pathways to achieve smooth  $C(sp^2)$ -F formation, overcoming unproductive side reactions. With the focus on more earth-abundant elements, aryl-F reductive elimination from Ni centers has been recently established. Ritter<sup>17</sup> and Sanford,<sup>18</sup> who independently

Received: January 27, 2022 Published: August 3, 2022





identified  $C(sp^2)$ -F reductive elimination pathways occurring from  $\sigma$ -aryl Ni(III)-F and Ni(IV)-F species, respectively, showed that aryl fluoride formation is feasible from different oxidation states. Along with group 10 metals, coinage metals have also shown promising results for both nucleophilic and electrophilic fluorination.<sup>19</sup> Mechanistic studies on  $\sigma$ -aryl Cu– F complexes by Ribas demonstrated the intermediacy of Cu(III)-F species, which were further suggested by Hartwig.<sup>19a,d</sup> Silver has also received significant attention in electrophilic fluorination.<sup>20</sup> Clues about its mode of action where reported by Ribas, who described  $\sigma$ -aryl Ag(III) species endowed with the ability to engage in  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond-forming events via a putative aryl-Ag(III)-F intermediate.<sup>20f</sup> Nevertheless, bimetallic Ag(II)-Ag(II) species have also been proposed to mediate aryl-F bond formation via one-electron participation of two Ag atoms, both in stoichiometric and catalytic fashion.<sup>20b,c</sup>

Beyond the need to find alternative solutions to the imminent threat posed by the availability of noble metals, it is desirable to explore unchartered territories beyond the dblock to seek new reactivity. Accordingly, certain main group elements have recently been identified as potential candidates to mimic organometallic transformations.<sup>21</sup> In the context of aryl-F bond formation, however, only a privileged selection has been demonstrated to satisfactorily forge C-F bonds. In the early 1980s, Van Der Puy unveiled  $\sigma$ -aryl hypervalent iodine(III) compounds as powerful reagents to readily obtain fluoroarenes,<sup>22</sup> and subsequent mechanistic studies were key to introduce [<sup>18</sup>F]Fluoride into organic molecules.<sup>23</sup> Recent examples of aryl-F bond formation have also been reported among chalcogens by the use of sulfonium salts,<sup>24</sup> which are proposed to undergo reductive elimination from hypervalent sulfurane intermediates.<sup>25</sup> Thermal decomposition of certain organolead<sup>26</sup> and organothallium<sup>27</sup> compounds has also been shown to forge the corresponding C-F bond. Comparatively, a heavy element that received much less attention is bismuth (Bi).<sup>28</sup> While simple halogen-containing  $Ph_3Bi(V)X_2$  (X = Cl, Br, I) compounds can thermally decompose to forge aryl-X bonds, analogous studies using F as anion resulted in traces of Ar-F.<sup>29</sup> In an isolated example, Akiba reported that aryl-F bond formation is feasible from octahedral Bi(V) difluorides;<sup>30</sup> yet, no additional information on this particular step was reported. Inspired by these promising precedents, together with the well-known benign properties associated with Bi,<sup>31</sup> we started a research program capitalizing on the organometallic properties of high- and low-valent Bi complexes, both in redox and nonredox catalysis.<sup>32</sup> Inspired by the sulfone-based bismacyclic scaffolds by Suzuki,<sup>33</sup> our group reported sulfoximine-based Bi compounds capable of forging  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond formation.<sup>32b</sup> Specifically, we provided conditions for the stoichiometric and catalytic oxidative fluorination of arylboronic acid derivatives in a redox process. In the former, aryl fluoride is formed upon oxidation of 1 with XeF2 and subsequent thermal decomposition at 90 °C (Figure 1). In the latter, 1-fluoro-2,6-dichloropyridinium tetrafluoroborate (2) acts as the sole oxidant to access a Bi(V) intermediate, which rapidly delivers fluorobenzene (3). Preliminary stoichiometric investigations led us to propose cationic  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V)-F intermediates; however, the genuine structure of the species promoting reductive elimination, together with the effect of exogenous additives such as fluoride, remained mysterious and needed further evaluation.



Figure 1. Fluorination protocol from 1 via an oxidation/ $C(sp^2)$ -F bond formation sequence.

Herein, we report a mechanistic study aimed at providing a detailed analysis of the aryl–F reductive elimination event from  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) fluoride species. To do so, we assess the role of electronic and geometric perturbations on the ligand scaffold in 1, as well as on the pendant aryl moiety, with the aim of identifying the steric and electronic factors that govern the aryl–F bond formation. Theoretical investigations, kinetic studies, and an in-depth scrutiny of solvent effects and additives allowed us to fully identify the species involved in the aryl–F bond-forming event from neutral and cationic complexes. The outcome of this analysis led us to design a second generation of bismuth complexes that permit both stoichiometric and catalytic aryl–F bond formation with a wider substrate scope and milder reaction conditions.

## RESULTS

**Solid-State Analysis of Bi(V) Difluoride 4.** At the onset of our investigations, we focused on the structural characterization of pentavalent  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluoride 4 (Figure 2), which served as a model complex during this study. When 1 is oxidized with 1.0 equiv of XeF<sub>2</sub> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> at 0 °C, a white solid corresponding to 4 is obtained after evaporation of the volatiles. Cooling a concentrated solution in MeCN at 4 °C led to suitable single-crystals to be analyzed by X-ray diffraction



Figure 2. Synthesis of pentavalent complex 4 and XRD structure analysis. Hydrogen atoms, disordered parts, and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

(XRD). As shown in Figure 2, 4 presents a quasi-symmetric dimeric structure with both Bi centers in oxidation state +5. Each Bi atom in 4 adopts a distorted octahedral geometry, with two fluorine atoms positioned trans to each other (F1-Bi1-F2, 157.40(13) ° and F3-Bi2-F4, 157.32(13) °). Interestingly, the pendant phenyl substituents are located syn to each other in close proximity (centroid-centroid distance of 3.766 Å), suggesting  $\pi - \pi$  attractive interactions. Additionally, one of the F atoms is shared with the other monomer, thus forming a four-membered ring with a  $\mu$ -difluoro diamond-like core. The shared F atoms do not have equal distances to both Bi atoms (Bi1–F3, 2.585(3) Å and Bi2–F2, 2.582(3) Å are much longer compared to Bi1-F2, 2.185(3) Å and Bi2-F3, 2.178(3) Å). Importantly, the N atoms of the NCF<sub>3</sub> moiety are in close proximity to the Bi centers (Bi1–N1, 3.535(6) Å and Bi2–N2, 3.665(4) Å). Overall, the solid-state structure of 4 resembles the dimer previously reported featuring a SO<sub>2</sub> motif in place of the  $S(O)NCF_3$  in the ligand backbone;<sup>32b</sup> yet, the distance between Bi-N is ca. 0.3 Å longer than Bi-O distance of the sulfone.

Solution-State Analysis of Bi(V) Difluoride 4. In our previous study, 4 was postulated to be a monomer in solution. To obtain more information, variable-temperature (VT) NMR was performed in  $CD_2Cl_2$  (Figure 3A). At 298 K, <sup>1</sup>H NMR



Figure 3. (A) VT <sup>19</sup>F NMR measurements of 4 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. (B) <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>19</sup>F EXSY NMR spectrum at 183 K in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> showing chemical exchange. (C) <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>19</sup>F HOESY cross peaks of 4 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 298 K.

measurements reveal 4 as a symmetric compound: both aryl groups of the sulfoximine are equivalent.<sup>34</sup> Cooling down the sample to 183 K results in a significant broadening of all signals, which complicated interpretation. Similar results were obtained using other solvents, such as CD<sub>3</sub>CN at 233 K.<sup>34</sup> The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum at 298 K (Figure 3A) showed a broad singlet at -112 ppm corresponding to the Bi–F unit. Interestingly, cooling the solution to 183 K caused the appearance of several broad signals at the region of  $\delta = -70$  to

- 140 ppm as well as a new poorly defined peak around  $\delta$  = -42.5 ppm, the region corresponding to the NCF<sub>3</sub> unit. In order to discard the possibility of solubility issues when cooling down a solution of 4, an analogous Bi(V) compound bearing <sup>t</sup>Bu groups in the ligand scaffold (5) was synthesized and analyzed by VT-NMR.<sup>34</sup> Indeed a parallel behavior was observed for 5, which at 183 K also shows multiple species in solution. In addition, dilution experiments of 4 and 5 show peak broadening and movement at room temperature, pointing towards aggregation in solution. Additionally, <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>19</sup>F COSY and EXSY NMR experiments of a solution of 4 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 183 K (Figure 3B) unambiguously point at a chemical exchange between all F atoms (except  $CF_3$ ). These results manifest a complex dynamic behavior, showing a variety of species in solution undergoing rapid F exchange even at very low temperatures. Unfortunately, characterization of complex 4 at low temperature proved extremely difficult due to broad bands, partial precipitation, and low concentration of different species. However, warming the mixture to 298 K allowed the measurement of  ${}^{1}H-{}^{19}F$  through-space interactions via HOESY NMR (Figure 3C).  ${}^{1}H^{-19}F$  contacts between the NCF<sub>3</sub> moiety with the ortho-H in the pendant aryl  $(H_{(a)})$ , Figure 3C) and the meta-H in the ligand scaffold (in respect to Bi,  $H_{(b)}$ , Figure 3C) were observed. This latter result is consistent with a dimeric species in solution such as the crystal structure of 4 in Figure 2. Yet, a monomeric cis-difluoride complex (*int-cis*) is predicted to possess similar spectroscopic features. As a result of such fast dynamic configurational processes, dimeric and monomeric species are proposed to coexist at higher temperatures, averaging the signals in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR and subsequently posing a severe challenge to identify the species responsible for aryl-F bond formation.

Reductive Elimination from Pentavalent Bi(V) Difluoride 4. First, thermal decomposition at 70 °C of 4 was attempted in solvents with diverse dielectric constants ( $\varepsilon$ ), and reactions were monitored by <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. Interestingly, similar rates were observed in  $CDCl_3$  ( $\varepsilon = 4.8$ ,  $k_{\rm obs} = 3.09 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> ( $\varepsilon = 8.9, k_{\rm obs} = 3.05 \pm$  $0.05 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), and CD<sub>3</sub>CN ( $\varepsilon = 37.5$ ,  $k_{obs} = 3.33 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ )  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, pointing to a nonionic pathway. Further mechanistic information was obtained from the study of thermal decay from species 4, which was previously shown to follow first order kinetics.<sup>32b</sup> This result was further validated when reactions over a range of concentrations showed an unchanged rate constant ( $k_{\rm obs} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , Figure 4A), indicating a unimolecular phenyl-F bond-forming event that is first order in 4. Collectively, these data suggest that C-F bond formation proceeds from 4, after rapid pre-equilibrium with monomeric species (cis and trans). Further information was obtained when the reductive elimination was monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR (Figure 4B). Strikingly, the broad singlet at  $\delta = -118$  ppm corresponding to the  $Bi(V)-F_2$  unit in 4 did not fade away simultaneously with the appearance of a peak at  $\delta = -182$ ppm, which corresponds to Bi(III)-F byproduct 6. Instead, the  $Bi(V) - F_2$  NMR signal gradually shifts toward the Bi(III)-F unit (6), indicating a fast exchange between fluorides from Bi(V) and Bi(III) species. Similar results were obtained when mixtures of 4 and 6 were analyzed by NMR, showing unchanged <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra but different <sup>19</sup>F signals depending on the concentration of the components.<sup>34</sup> Thus, reductive elimination from dimeric species 4 produces fluorobenzene together with the corresponding Bi(III)-F complex 6 and a monomeric Bi(V) species (Figure 4C). These





**Figure 4.** (A) Left, reaction profile of reductive elimination from 4 over a range of concentrations. Right, plot of  $k_{obs}$  vs  $[4]_0$ . (B)  $^{19}$ F NMR reaction monitoring of reductive elimination from 4 (red) with 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene (purple) as internal standard, showing formation of fluorobenzene (3, green) and 6 (blue). t = time. (C) Putative mixed-valent Bi(V)–Bi(III) species after C–F formation from 4.

compounds, which are released in close proximity, presumably exchange fluoride ligands in a mixed Bi(V)-Bi(III) complex such as 7. This mixed-valence bimetallic compound is proposed to undergo complex downstream equilibria that will eventually lead back to 4.

Effect of Substitution on the Pendant Aryl Ring in the C–F Bond Formation from 4. In our previous study, we showed that the presence of electron-withdrawing groups at the *para*-position of the  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluorides accelerates C– F bond formation.<sup>32b</sup> This tendency was explored further by including additional *para*-substituted complexes (Figure 5). Deviation of linearity ( $R^2 = 0.88$ ) with standard  $\sigma_p$  parameters is mainly caused by *p*-OMe substituted complex 10 (Figure 5A), indicating the great influence of strong  $\pi$ -donating groups.



**Figure 5.** Electronic analysis of reductive elimination from 4–13. (A) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_p$ -values. (B) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_p^+$  values.

As shown in Figure 5B, better linearity ( $R^2 = 0.97$ ) is obtained when including resonance effects using  $\sigma_p^+$  values. These results indicate that there exists a buildup of negative charge around the C<sub>ipso</sub> atom in the transition state (TS) compared to the ground state, consistent with the nucleophilic attack by a fluoride in the rate-determining step. These data can be interpreted as the C<sub>ipso</sub> acting as an electrophile in the TS. When electronic effects at the *meta* position were evaluated, linearity became nonobvious when analyzed with various methods and using several Hammett parameters.<sup>34</sup> However, a trend could be observed when comparing sterical bulkiness: Large substituents accelerate the reductive elimination. However, a model that accommodates all the observations could not be established and is currently under investigation.

It is important to mention that Bi(V) difluoride compounds bearing an ortho substituent were shown to undergo extremely fast reductive elimination. For example, a Bi(V) complex with a pending o-tolyl group (20) underwent fluorination ca. 22 times faster than model complex 4.34 Larger groups at orthoposition such as -Et (21) or -iPr (22) resulted in instantaneous reductive elimination at 90 °C, and formation of the corresponding fluoroarene was observed even at 25 °C. Although 20–22 could be characterized at low temperature by NMR, structural information through XRD or solution-state NMR was prevented by their intrinsic high instability. However, we believe that in 20-22, the Bi-C<sub>aryl</sub> distance in pentavalent Bi species bearing ortho substitution becomes larger compared to 4, thus leading to a more electrophilic C center, a weaker C-Bi bond and a subsequently faster reductive elimination.

Solid-State Analysis of Sterically Hindered Bi(V) Difluorides 25 and 26. After an exhaustive assessment of several parameters influencing reductive elimination from model species 4, our efforts focused on the study of  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) complexes presenting steric congestion on the ligand backbone. As we demonstrated earlier,<sup>32b</sup> the introduction of Me groups at the *ortho* position with respect to the Bi center allowed the synthesis of distorted trigonal bipyramidal (TBP) monomeric Bi(V) difluoride complex 25 upon oxidation of 23 with XeF<sub>2</sub> at 0 °C, which was possible to characterize by XRD after it was crystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/pentane mixture (Figure 6A, left). To provide additional evidence on the influence of the Me groups in the structure of the Bi(V) center, complex 26



**Figure 6.** (A) Synthesis of monomeric pentavalent bismine fluoride complex **25** and **26** and XRD structure analysis. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted for clarity. (B) VT <sup>19</sup>F NMR measurements of **26** in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. (C) <sup>19</sup>F–<sup>19</sup>F and <sup>13</sup>C–<sup>19</sup>F *J*-coupling constants of **26** in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and CDCl<sub>3</sub> respectively, together with  $J_{FF}$  constants at different temperatures. (D) <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>19</sup>F HOESY measurements of **26** in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. For simplicity, orange and blue arrows are not used to show all C–F and H–F interactions, but only to represent the distinct ones.

bearing two additional Me groups was also synthesized and characterized by XRD (Figure 6A, right). This complex also presents a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry with similar structural features to 25; in this case, however, the Bi center is flanked by two Me groups in both sides of the sulfoximine ligand.

Solution-State Analysis of Sterically Hindered Bi(V) Difluorides 25 and 26. Due to its highly symmetric structure and simplified spectroscopic features, complex 26 was analyzed in solution. VT <sup>19</sup>F NMR of 26 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> revealed a broad singlet with a chemical shift of  $\delta = -120.1$  ppm at 343 K, corresponding to the Bi-F<sub>2</sub> unit. However, measurements at 183 K resulted in a separate set of two doublets with a chemical shift of  $\delta = -125.6$  ppm and a  $J_{FF} = 114.4$  Hz, with a

coalescence temperature of  $T_c = 323$  K (Figure 6B). The appearance of these doublets with a  $J_{FF} = 114.4$  Hz indicates two nonequivalent fluoride ligands, indicating a trans-difluoride Bi(V) configuration in solution. Indeed, comparable chemical shift values and coupling constants were described for previously reported triaryl-Sb(V) and triaryl-Bi(V) transdifluoride complexes.<sup>30,35</sup> Analogous splitting was observed for the previously reported complex **25**, with a  $J_{\text{FF}} = 115.1$  Hz; in this case, the coalescence temperature of the doublets was significantly lower, with a value of  $T_c = 233 \text{ K.}^{34}$  The reduced  $J_{\rm FF}$  value of 26 at higher temperatures ( $J_{\rm FF}$  = 112.1 Hz at 253 K;  $J_{FF} = 102.1$  Hz at 273 K;  $J_{FF} = 83.5$  Hz at 283 K) suggests a higher contribution of the cis-conformer to the NMR signal, where the time-averaged F-F angle is reduced. The coalescence of the Bi-F signals at temperatures above 323 K is a result of a chemical exchange, probably due to fast  $F{-}F$ interconversion through rotation processes such as Berry pseudorotation and turnstile rotation with the intermediacy of *cis*-difluoride species.<sup>36</sup> Analysis by <sup>19</sup>F–<sup>19</sup>F EXSY NMR of **26** in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> showed significant exchange between both F atoms even at 223 K (Figure 6C), similarly to complex 4. Further confirmation of the trans-difluoride disposition was obtained by <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>19</sup>F HOESY measurements in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 223 K (Figure 6D), which showed through-space H-F contacts consistent with this configuration in solution.<sup>34</sup> Interestingly, dilution experiments of 25 and 26 showed no significant change in chemical shifts and peak broadening at room temperature, suggesting no aggregation in solution. Altogether, these results indicate that complexes 25 and 26 preserve the TBP geometry in solution with a trans-difluoride configuration. Installation of steric hindrance in the ligand certainly avoids dimerization and favors trans-difluoride monomers; yet fast F-F exchange still occurs even at low temperatures, thus highlighting the talent of pentavalent Bi complexes to undergo a collection of dynamic processes.

Reductive Elimination from Sterically Hindered Pentavalent Bi(V) Difluorides 25 and 26. Complexes 25 and 26 were also subjected to thermal decomposition at 90 °C in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, and their kinetic profiles were measured (Figure 7).  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond formation from 25 resulted in nearly identical



Figure 7. Reaction profile of reductive elimination from 25 (red) and 26 (purple) measured by  $^{19}$ F NMR with 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene as internal standard.

kinetic profiles compared to 4 ( $k_{obs} = 1.54 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), while sterically more crowded complex **26** showed a slower decay ( $k_{obs} = 3.81 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) and formation of fluorobenzene ( $k_{obs} = 2.93 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).<sup>34</sup> Contrarily to 4, <sup>19</sup>F NMR revealed a clean conversion of **25** and **26** to the corresponding Bi(III)-F (**27** and **28**, respectively) without a gradual shift of the Bi-F signal.<sup>34</sup> This behavior suggests no F-F exchange processes during aryl-F reductive elimination, presumably proceeding from the monomeric Bi(V).

Effect of the Substitution on the Pendant Aryl Ring in the  $C(sp^2)$ - - F Bond Formation from 25. Electronic modulation of the pendant aryl ring was also assessed in monomeric Bi(V) difluoride complexes. Due to synthetic simplicity, we focused on the reductive elimination from 25, containing a sole *ortho*-Me in the ligand scaffold. Thus, we synthesized several *para*-substituted  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluoride complexes (29-31, Figure 8), and their thermal decom-



**Figure 8.** Electronic and thermodynamic analysis of reductive elimination from 25 and 29–31. (A) Hammett plot for the reductive elimination of *para*-substituted  $\sigma$ -aryl-Bi(V) difluorides 25 and 29–31. (B) Eyring analysis for 25.

position was evaluated in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 90 °C.<sup>34</sup> Similarly to model complex 4, the Hammett plot using  $\sigma_{\rm p}$  parameters resulted in poor linearity ( $R^2 = 0.77$ ); yet, when plotting log( $k_X/k_H$ ) vs  $\sigma_{\rm p}^{+}$ , a  $R^2$  = 0.9545 was obtained (Figure 8A). The  $\rho$  = 1.15 indicates a faster reductive elimination when electron-withdrawing groups (EWG) are present in the pendant aryl ring. In addition, this reaction presents higher sensitivity to para substitution compared to model complex 4 ( $\rho = 0.43$ ). Evaluation of the thermodynamic parameters through Eyring analysis of 25 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Figure 8B) revealed a  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 25.7 \pm$ 1.6 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and a  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -5.7 \pm 4.4$  cal·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>, similar to values obtained for sterically crowded **26** ( $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 26.5 \pm 1.5$ kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -6.1 \pm 4.2$  cal·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>34</sup> The rather small values on the entropic contribution are in stark contrast with that obtained for model complex 4 ( $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -34.7 \pm 1.9$ cal·mol<sup>-1</sup>·K<sup>-1</sup>). This latter value, combined with the structural analysis of 4, suggests that dimerization processes prior to  $C(sp^2)$ -F bond formation could play an important role in the reductive elimination from model complex 4. Large entropic contributions have also been observed in other dimerization equilibrium in Bi(II) species.<sup>37</sup> Hence, the small  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  obtained for sterically congested 25 and 26 points to a reductive

elimination from monomeric species, without prior dimerization.

Effect of the Substitution on the Sulfoximine Scaffold in the C–F Reductive Elimination. Various  $\sigma$ aryl Bi(V) difluoride complexes bearing ligands with substituents in *meta*-position with respect to the Bi center (4, 5, 32–34, Figure 9) were thermally decomposed at 90 °C,



Figure 9. Electronic analysis of reductive elimination from 4, 5, and 32–34. (A) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_m$  values. (B) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_{S-L}$  values.

and the decay was monitored by <sup>19</sup>F NMR together with formation of 3 and the corresponding Bi(III)-F. Arguably, a study employing ligands with para-substituents to the Bi center would have been more appropriate. However, due to synthetic limitations on the synthesis of the parent Bi(III)-Ph complexes, symmetric diphenyl sulfoximine scaffolds were utilized. As shown in Figure 9A, a Hammett analysis resulted in a value of  $\rho = 1.72 \pm 0.03$  when  $\sigma_{\rm m}$  was used in the x-axis, excluding complex 33 bearing -OMe moieties, which followed a differing trend. Introducing resonance effects via the Swain-Lupton equation,<sup>38</sup> a similar slope of  $\rho = 1.47 \pm 0.08$  was obtained (Figure 9B), now including 33.34 These results indicate an important role of resonance contributions from strong  $\pi$ -donor substituents as well as a faster reductive elimination with ligands bearing *m*-EWG. We hypothesize that the Bi center is mainly affected by field, while the  $S(O)NCF_3$ unit is strongly affected by resonance, and for these reasons, pure  $\sigma_{\rm m}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm p}$  values could not be used.

**Evaluation of Fluoride Inhibition in the C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–F Bond Formation from 4.** In our previous study, we noted that formation of fluorobenzene was prevented when 1.0 equiv of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) was added, which led us to propose a cationic intermediate in equilibrium with neutral pentavalent Bi(V) species 4.<sup>32b</sup> Together with a slower rate, we also observed significant shifts in <sup>1</sup>H NMR and partial decomposition of the initial Bi(V) difluoride, which we attributed to possible interactions with THF or even H<sub>2</sub>O, which is present in 5 wt % in commercial 1.0 M TBAF solutions. With the goal of elucidating the effect of fluoride anions in the aryl–F reductive elimination step, fluoride sources that present high solubility in common organic solvents were selected and mixed with complex 4 (Figure 10A). Addition of 1.0 equiv of tetrabutylammonium





Figure 10. (A) Synthesis of anionic species 35 from 4 in the presence of several fluoride sources. (B) Left, reductive elimination of fluorobenzene from 4 in the presence of different amounts of TBAT. Right, decay of 4 dependence on TBAT concentration.

0

20 40 [TBAT] (mM) 60

30000

0

10000

t (s)

20000

difluorotriphenylsilicate (TBAT) or tris(dimethylamino)sulfonium difluorotrimethylsilicate (TAS-F) to 4 resulted in the smooth formation of a new species together with concomitant formation of the corresponding R<sub>3</sub>Si-F species. These results encouraged us to re-evaluate our previous experiment using 1.0 equiv of TBAF from a 1.0 M solution in THF; indeed, the same species obtained with TBAT and TAS-F were observed. These experiments collectively suggest the formation of an anionic Bi(V) compound consisting of three fluorine atoms directly bound to the Bi center. Indeed, HRMS analysis of these samples confirmed the presence of anionic species 35 (experimental m/z = 626.0438; theoretical m/z =626.0431). Although it was not possible to obtain a suitable single-crystal for XRD analysis, 35 (from reaction with TAS-F) was fully characterized spectroscopically by NMR in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>34</sup> Initial proof of the presence of three F atoms directly bonded to the Bi center was collected measuring <sup>13</sup>C NMR at 223 K, which revealed two reasonably resolved Bi-13C signals as quadruplets with a coupling constant of  $J_{\rm CF} \approx 20$  Hz, which compares to the values obtained for monomeric complex 26. This result points to three equivalent fluorine atoms directly bound to the Bi center experiencing fast dynamic processes. VT <sup>19</sup>F NMR provided additional insight on the dynamics of this anionic Bi(V). Whereas at 298 K, a broad Bi-F signal appears at -88.9, at 183 K, the signal splits into three independent signals at -69.9, -96.7, and -107.7 ppm, with a coupling constant within the range of F-Bi(V)-F ( $J_{FF} \approx 85$ Hz). Noteworthy, <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>19</sup>F COSY measurements confirmed F-Bi-F through-bond interactions,<sup>34</sup> while <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>19</sup>F EXSY studies (inset Figure 10A) revealed fast exchange between F atoms even at 183 K. Unfortunately, no <sup>19</sup>F-<sup>19</sup>F through-space coupling was observed between Bi-F and the CF<sub>3</sub> moiety, impeding a full assignment of the F signals. However, the presence of three <sup>19</sup>F signals, two of them being doublets with  $J_{\rm FF}$  = 85 Hz and similar chemical shift, suggests that 35 could adopt a pseudo-octahedral structure such as the one depicted in Figure 10A.

At this point, 4 was subjected to thermal decomposition in the presence of different amounts of TBAT, and the rate of reductive elimination was monitored. As depicted in Figure 10B (left), slower reaction rates were obtained with higher concentrations of TBAT, which indicate an inverse rate dependence as a function of TBAT concentration. Indeed, a positive, linear dependence of the reciprocal of the rate constant of Bi(V) decay  $(1/k_{obs})$  vs TBAT concentration was observed together with a nonzero intercept (Figure 10B, right). Furthermore, while the yield of fluorination was 94% for 4 without additional fluorides, it decreased to 71% in the presence of 1.1 equiv of TBAT. Altogether, these results unambiguously indicate that the slower rate in the presence of fluoride anions is a consequence of the formation of hexacoordinated anionic species 35. This species is proposed to engage in aryl-F reductive elimination events via neutral pentavalent Bi(V) intermediates, a process that appears to be more feasible compared to the previously proposed cationic species.

Theoretical Analysis of the Reductive Elimination Step from Neutral Bi(V) Difluoride 4. Intrigued by the experimental results obtained with neutral pentavalent  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluoride complexes, we performed a collection of DFT calculations to support and fully understand the aryl-F bondforming step. After a brief method evaluation,<sup>34</sup> geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were carried out at the B3LYP-D3BJ level of theory<sup>39</sup> with the def2-TZVP(-f) basis sets and matching auxiliary basis set (def2/J).<sup>40</sup> The default small-core effective core potential was used for Bi,<sup>41</sup> and solvent effects (chloroform) were incorporated using a conductor-like polarizable continuum model. Geometry optimization, normal-mode analysis, and single-point calculations were carried out with a development version of the ORCA 4.2 suite of programs.<sup>42</sup> Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was performed at the same level of theory.<sup>43</sup> Initially, the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene from species 4 was evaluated at 363 K (Figure 11A). Due to the symmetric nature of 4, three different TSs for the reductive elimination from one Bi center (Bi2, see Figure 2) were identified, which involved fluoride ligands in pendant (F4, equivalent to F1) and  $\mu$ bridged positions (F3 and F2). Reductive elimination from the pendant fluoride ligand was highly energetic, with an activation barrier of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  = 29.9 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> (TSF4), similar to the C–F bond formation from the shared fluoride ligand within the  $\mu$ bridge ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 27.3 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ , **TSF2**). Interestingly, a value of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 25.5 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$  was obtained when reductive elimination was computed from F3, releasing fluorobenzene

A. Gibbs energy profile for the reductive elimination from 4 at 363 K



Figure 11. (A) Gibbs energy profile of the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene from species 4 at 363 K. (B) Selected structural and electronic parameters for TSF3. Relative Gibbs energy values are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

and leading to *int-cis*, which is the most stable monomeric 4 isomer (vide infra) together with 4. This value is in agreement with the activation barrier obtained experimentally by Eyring analysis,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 25.9 \pm 0.9 \text{ kcal} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ , which suggests the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene could proceed through TSF3. In addition, the monometallic aryl-F bond-forming event described in Figure 11 constitutes a rare example of  $\mu$ difluoride-bridged species, leading to fluorobenzene in synthetically relevant yields, as  $\mu$ -difluoride-bridged dimers in transition-metal chemistry tend to inhibit further reactivity due to their high stability.9e Characterization of structural and electronic parameters of TSF3 through NBO analysis revealed a positive charge on C6 in the TS with a value of  $q_{C6} = +0.21$ (Figure 11B). Meanwhile, the fluoride anion remained nucleophilic ( $q_{F3} = -0.66$ ), in agreement with the Hammett plot obtained with para-substituted 4 and 8-13 (Figure 5). Interestingly, Bi2 presents a smaller positive charge  $(q_{Bi1} =$ 

pubs.acs.org/JACS

+1.95) compared to Bi1 ( $q_{Bi1} = +2.25$ ), which denotes its partial reduction to Bi(III). Furthermore, the Wiberg bond index (WBI) and bond distance analysis clearly show the cleavage of the Bi2–C6 (WBI<sub>(Bi2–C6)</sub> = 0.49, d = 2.536 Å) and Bi2-F3 (WBI<sub>(Bi2-F3)</sub> = 0.07, d = 2.784 Å) bonds occurs simultaneously with C6–F3 formation (WBI<sub>(C6–F3)</sub> = 0.14, d =2.050 Å), suggesting a concerted reductive elimination of fluorobenzene. It is important to note that dimeric species 4 is calculated to be  $\geq 1.1$  kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> more stable than two individual int-cis or int-trans monomers, indicating 4 as the lowest-energy species.<sup>34</sup> Nonetheless, the aryl-F bond formation from monomeric species was also evaluated (Figure 11A). Results obtained for the reductive elimination of monomeric int-cis proceed through a concerted mechanism (TSD) and are higher in energy than TSF3 ( $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ (TSF3-**TSD**) =  $-1.0 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ ). In this case, however, additional 1.1 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> would be required in the TSD to overcome the dissociation of 4, resulting in an overall 27.6 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Pathways from *int-trans* were located >28 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and, hence, not considered.<sup>34</sup> These results suggest that reductive elimination for model complex 4 takes place preferentially from a bimetallic Bi(V) species in solution, albeit the reductive elimination from *int-cis* is also feasible. This is consistent with the large negative  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  value obtained experimentally for 4, which can be explained by a highly ordered TS in bimetallic TSF3 (Figure 11) or the possible monomer-dimer equilibriums previous to the aryl-F reductive elimination step.

Theoretical Analysis of the Reductive Elimination Step from Neutral Bi(V) Difluoride 26. As shown in Figure 6, sterically bulky 26 is characterized as a monomer, and no dimers were formed in solution or in the solid state. Yet, C-F bond formation is also possible from this complex, leading to good yields of 3. To investigate the differences between complexes such as 26 and 4, reductive elimination from symmetric monomeric compound 26 was evaluated at 363 K, and its possible pathways for fluorobenzene formation are depicted in Figure 12. Similarly to monomeric configurations of model complex 4 (Figure 11A), trans and cis isomers of 26 were studied. In this case, trans-26 resulted to be more stable than cis-26, which is consistent with the characterization of this compound in solution as well as in solid state (Figure 6). Fluorobenzene from monomeric trans-26 stems from a concerted C-F bond-forming event involving the bottom (TSA') or the top (TSB') fluoride ligand, with an activation energy of 34.1 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup> and 29.2 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Equatorial C-F bond formation occurs from cis-26 through a highly energetic **TSC**', with a value of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 41.2 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, equatorial C and axial F in TSD' results in a more favorable pathway (27.3 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) for C-F bond formation, in agreement with the experimental activation barrier obtained from the Eyring analysis for 26,  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 28.3$ kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$  0.9 kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>. Results depicted in Figure 12 show the feasibility of the aryl-F bond-forming event for monomeric  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluoride species in solution. The activation energy for 26, however, is still higher than that obtained for the lowest-energy pathway for model complex 4, which is consistent with the slower kinetic profile obtained for sterically crowded  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluoride 26 (Figure 7).

**Solid-State Analysis of a Fluorobismuthonium Bi(V)**– **F.** The addition of Lewis acids such as  $BF_3$  to 4 could lead to the formation of fluorobismuthonium species in solution bearing  $BF_4^-$  as counteranions.<sup>32b</sup> While the compound 36 obtained from model complex 4 was originally characterized by



**Figure 12.** Gibbs energy profile of the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene from sterically congested monomeric species **26** at 363 K. Relative Gibbs energy values are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

NMR and HRMS, solid-state characterization was precluded due to the poor thermal stability and high hygroscopic properties of these complexes. Surprisingly, during crystallization attempts of neutral difluoride species 33, we isolated hexafluorosilicate salt 37 instead (Figure 13A). It was speculated that the interaction of difluoride 33 with glass generated SiF<sub>4</sub> in situ, leading to fluoride abstraction from the neutral difluoride 33. To reproducibly obtain this species, a mixture of difluoride 33 in dry CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was bubbled with in situ generated SiF<sub>4</sub> gas, leading to 37 in modest yields.<sup>34</sup> Compound 37 crystallizes as a symmetric salt, with two monocationic Bi moieties sharing one  $SiF_6^{2-}$  anion with a Bi··· FSiF<sub>5</sub> contact of 2.6607(13) Å (Figure 13B). The SiF<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> anion shows elongated Si-F distances (d = 1.7215(13) Å) for the coordinating F atoms compared to noncoordinating Si-F bonds (d = 1.6701(14) - 1.6809(14) Å). The cationic Bi fragment in 37 exhibits a distorted TBP geometry, with a single Bi–F bond (d = 2.0414(16) Å) and a short Bi–N interaction (d = 2.836(2) Å). Species 37 shows similar Bi-C1 (d = 2.836(2) Å)2.224(2) Å) and Bi-C2 (d = 2.222(2) Å) distances and a slightly shorter Bi-C3 (d = 2.207(2) Å) bond, slightly bent toward C2 (C3-Bi-C2, 139.03(8) °; C1-Bi-C3, 110.95(8) °).

Effect of the Substitution on the Sulfoximine Scaffold in the C–F Reductive Elimination from Fluorobismuthonium Bi(V)–F. Intriguingly, reductive elimination from 37 did not occur at 25 °C in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ , and it was sluggish at 60 °C (31% of 3). The slow reactivity for cation 37 was ascribed to the strong electron-releasing properties of –OMe groups in the ligand scaffold and prompted us to conduct an assessment on the effects of the substituents in the ligand on the reductive elimination. To this end, a variety of complexes (4, 5, and 32–34) were thermally decomposed at



Figure 13. (A) Synthesis of fluorobismuthonium species 37 and (B) ORTEP representation of XRD structure of 37. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.

25  $^{\circ}C$  in the presence of  $BF_3$  and the kinetics monitored by NMR (Figure 14). As shown in Figure 14A, a Hammett



**Figure 14.** Electronic analysis of reductive elimination from 4, 5, and **32–34** in the presence of 1.0 equiv of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>. (A) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_m$  values. (B) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_{S-L}$  values.

analysis of the reaction kinetics resulted in a value of  $\rho = 3.84 \pm 0.78$  when  $\sigma_{\rm m}$  was plotted in the *x*-axis, excluding complex 33 bearing *m*-OMe moieties. Introducing resonance effects via the Swain–Lupton equation (Figure 14B),<sup>38</sup> a similar  $\rho$  value was obtained ( $\rho = 3.43 \pm 0.31$ ) with improved linearity ( $R^2 = 0.9756$ ), now including –OMe groups (33). The trend obtained for this Hammett plot is similar to the results

obtained for neutral  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluorides (Figure 9), highlighting the increased nucleofuge character of the Bi center when EWGs are installed in the ligand backbone. Interestingly, thermal decomposition of 33 bearing *m*-OMe substituents produces a significant 92% yield of 3. This result is in stark contrast with species 37 bearing a SiF<sub>6</sub><sup>2-</sup> counteranion, which produced fluorobenzene in <5% yield at 298 K after 48 h. Indeed, high yields of fluorobenzene when mixing species 33 with BF<sub>3</sub> suggest a possible involvement of the BF<sub>4</sub> anion in the C–F bond-forming step. Use of other Lewis acids to abstract a fluoride ligand, such as B(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)<sub>3</sub> and SbF<sub>5</sub>, resulted in similar outcomes to SiF<sub>4</sub>,<sup>34</sup> presenting slower reaction rates or decomposition of starting material.

Effect of Substitution on the Pendant Aryl Ring in the C(sp<sup>2</sup>)–F Bond Formation from Fluorobismuthonium Bi(V)–F. *p*-Substituted aryl Bi(V) difluorides were dissolved in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 25 °C together with 1.0 equiv of BF<sub>3</sub>. OEt<sub>2</sub>, and the decay of the *in situ* generated cationic complex was monitored by NMR spectroscopy (Figure 15). Despite the



**Figure 15.** Electronic analysis of reductive elimination from 4 and 8– 12 in the presence of 1.0 equiv of BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>. (A) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_{p}$ . (B) Hammett plot vs  $\sigma_{p}^{-1}$  taking into account resonance contributions.

poor linearity observed in Figure 15A, a positive slope ( $\rho$  =  $6.31 \pm 2.96$ ) was obtained for 4 and 8-12 ( $R^2 = 0.695$ ). An increased correlation ( $R^2 = 0.976$ ) was obtained when Hammett analysis was done using  $\sigma_p^+$  values obtaining a  $\rho$  =  $2.64 \pm 0.29$  (Figure 15B). Interestingly, this value corresponds to a 5-fold increase compared to neutral difluorides (Figure 5), pointing to a much larger change in electron density in the TS for these fluorobismuthonium species. Strikingly, no reaction was observed with p-EWG (p-CF<sub>3</sub>, 11 and p-Cl, 12). Although formation of fluorobismuthonium complexes was confirmed by NMR and HRMS studies, fluoroarenes 17 and 18 were only obtained after warming the reaction mixture to 90 °C over 2 h, leading to large amounts of decomposition.<sup>34</sup> This result is in bold contrast to the Hammett plot for neutral difluorides, which showed rapid reaction kinetics in the presence of p-CF<sub>3</sub> or p-CN. We speculated that the complete inhibition of reductive elimination from fluorobismuthonium species bearing electron-deficient arenes could be connected to the need of elongation of the Bi-Cipso bond, thus becoming a highly energetic rate-determining step prior to the nucleophilic attack of the  $BF_4^-$  anion in the TS.<sup>34</sup> In the Hammett plot, this

change in the rate-determining step would be represented in a very sharp break with a large negative  $\rho$  value for EWGs.

Theoretical Analysis of the Reductive Elimination Step from Fluorobismuthonium 36. XRD studies of 37 and previously conducted NMR studies of  $36^{32b}$  point to species *cis*-36 being the most stable isomer; hence, different C-F bond formation pathways from *cis*-36 were evaluated at 298 K (Figure 16A). Although reductive elimination pathways



Figure 16. (A) Gibbs energy profile of the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene from fluorobismuthonium species 36 at 298 K. (B) Selected structural and electronic parameters for TSF''. Relative Gibbs energy values are given in kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

from thermodynamically less stable *cis*2–36 species ( $\Delta G = 9.6$  kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>) were also studied, energetic barriers resulted in prohibitive values ( $\Delta G(\mathbf{TSA''})^{\ddagger} = 46.1$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta G(\mathbf{TSB''})^{\ddagger} = 42.3$  kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\Delta G(\mathbf{TSC''})^{\ddagger} = 32.8$  kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, reductive elimination from the most stable isomer, *cis*-36, was studied in more detail. Three pathways for C–F bond formation with significantly distinct energy barriers were identified. On one hand, the direct C–F

bond formation through a three-membered TS involving the Bi-F bond resulted in a barrier of  $\Delta G(\mathbf{TSD}'')^{\ddagger} = 25.8 \text{ kcal}$ mol<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, lower values of  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$  were obtained when BF4 was used as the fluoride source. Indeed, a threemembered TS involving B-F cleavage delivered an activation energy of  $\Delta G(TSE'')^{\ddagger} = 24.3 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ , while a fivemembered TS resulted in the energetically lowest pathway in Figure 16A, with a theoretical value of  $\Delta G(\mathbf{TSF}'')^{\ddagger} = 22.8$ kcal·mol<sup>-1</sup>, which is in agreement with the experimental value obtained ( $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 22.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>32b</sup> Structural and electronic analyses of TSF'' by NBO analysis show a dramatic buildup of positive charge at C3 during the TS, with a value of  $q_{C3} = +0.38$ , which represents a 2-fold increase compared to neutral difluorides (see Figure 16B). The fluoride F2 in the BF<sub>4</sub> unit remains nucleophilic ( $q_{F2} = -0.49$ ), and the Bi center presents a smaller positive charge Bi ( $q_{Bi} = +1.79$ ) compared to the neutral difluoride TSs previously analyzed. These results, together with the Hammett plot presented in Figure 15, suggest that the Bi center in TSF'' presents more Bi(III) character, and it can be regarded as a highly polarized, late TS. Indeed, the WBI and bond distance analysis clearly show an almost cleaved Bi–C3 bond (WBI<sub>(Bi–C3)</sub> = 0.30, d = 2.825 Å) together with a partially formed C3–F2 bond (WBI<sub>(C3–F2)</sub> = 0.12, d = 2.134 Å). This concerted-asynchronous ligand coupling event involves an initial elongation of the Bi-C3 bond, leading to a highly polarized TS for the final C3-F2 bond formation. The required elongation of the Bi-C3 in TSF" results in an energetic penalty in the TS for fluorobismuthonium complexes with pendant aryl moieties bearing para-EWG, consistent with the absence of C-F bond formation from 11 and 12 at 25 °C.<sup>34</sup> Indeed, activation barriers following  $\mathbf{TSF}^{\prime\prime}$  for fluorobismuthonium derivative of 11 resulted in a  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 25.3 \text{ kcal·mol}^{-1}$ , significantly higher compared to 36.34

Collectively, these results point to a preferred fivemembered TS using  $BF_4^-$  as a fluoride source, similar to previous reports with heavy main group metals such as Pb and  $Tl^{26,27}$  or recent examples using Bi and OTf,  $ONf^{32c}$  or phenols<sup>44</sup> as ligands. Collectively, the data presented herein show that aryl–F bond formation from fluorobismuthonium  $\sigma$ aryl Bi(V) fluoride species **36** proceeds through a different mechanism when compared to neutral  $\sigma$ -aryl Bi(V) difluorides.

Identification of Cationic Species in the Oxidation of 1 with 1-Fluoro-2,6-dichloropyridinium Tetrafluoroborate (2). Due to the faster reaction rates for C-F bond formation from fluorobismuthonium species, 2 has been identified as a suitable electrophilic fluorinating agent for Bi(III). Despite the excellent yield of fluorobenzene after thermal decomposition, evidence of the intermediacy of similar fluorobismuthonium complexes such as 36 was eluded by the poor solubility of 2 salt in  $CDCl_3$ . Hence, the eaction of 1 with 1.0 equiv of 2 was performed in MeCN- $d_3$  (Figure 17, reaction 1) at 0  $^{\circ}$ C, and the reaction crude was analyzed by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR after 10 min. In parallel, with the aim of furnishing fluorobismuthonium intermediate 36, pentavalent difluoride 4 was reacted with  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  in the presence of 1.0 equiv of 2,6dichloropyridine in MeCN- $d_3$  (Figure 17, reaction 2). Similar <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were obtained in both cases, thus coinciding with the characterization data obtained for cationic species 36 in reaction 2 (Figure 17). Furthermore, HRMS crude analysis of reaction 1 showed a peak with m/z = 588.0456 corresponding to the  $[36-BF_4]^+$  ion (theoretical m/z= 588.0456).<sup>34</sup>



Figure 17. Reactivity of 1 with 1-fluoro-2,6-dichloropyridinium tetrafluoroborate 2 in MeCN- $d_3$  (reaction 1) and 4 with BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> complex in the presence of 2,6-dichloropyridine in MeCN- $d_3$  (reaction 2).

Evaluation of the Ligand Scaffold in the C–F Bond Formation from Bi(III)–Ph and 1-Fluoro-2,6-dichloropyridinium Tetrafluoroborate (2). In order to gain insight on the features required to promote and inhibit formation of fluorobenzene, oxidation of various sulfone- and sulfoximinebased Bi complexes was examined using 2 (Table 1). Similar to

Table 1. Substitution Effects on the Diphenyl SulfoneScaffold and the Sulfoximine Moiety on the Oxidation/Reductive Elimination Sequence from Phenyl BismineSpecies<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Yields determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR using 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene as internal standard. <sup>*b*</sup>Reaction performed using CD<sub>3</sub>CN as solvent.

neutral difluorides,<sup>32b,34</sup> complexes with sulfone-based backbones without substituents (39) resulted in poor yields of 3. Introduction of EWG in the flanking aryl rings resulted in a dramatic increase of yield (40 and 41), similar to the effect observed for fluorobismuthonium species 34 in Figure 9. Sulfoximine-based complexes varying the substituent on the N atom were also evaluated. Species bearing  $N-CF_3(1)$  and N- $CF_2CF_3$  (42) groups were demonstrated to be excellent platforms for the synthesis of 3, while the installation of a N-Me group (43) failed to provide the desired product. Complexes bearing N-Ar units (44-46) were also tested, identifying N-Ar moieties with p-EWG as superior ligands. The results obtained in Table 1 are therefore in agreement with all the data collected up to now on the C-F bond formation: Electron-deficient ligand scaffolds promote aryl-F reductive elimination, making the Bi center a better nucleofuge toward the incoming F nucleophile.

Improved Methods for the Fluorination of Boronic Acids. The mechanistic considerations inferred from data presented in Table 1 provide relevant information toward a more practical protocol for fluorination. Indeed, the excellent yield obtained with complex 40 evades the use of compounds bearing  $-S(O)NCF_3$ - moieties (1), which are synthetically tedious, low yielding, and expensive compared to sulfone-based ligands. Thus, with the aim of developing an improved and easily accessible method, we evaluated compounds 40 and 41, which can be easily synthesized and furnished fluorobenzene in excellent yields. First, we assessed the stoichiometric fluorination of boronic acids through a two-step method involving a transmetalation and a one-pot oxidation/reductive elimination. For the first step, we employed the Bi–OTs complex 47 (Table 2).<sup>34</sup> Transmetalation with a variety of





"Yields are given for step 1 (isolated) and step 2 (determined by  $^{19}$ F NMR using 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene as internal standard). <sup>b</sup>Step 2 performed in the presence of 5.0 equiv of NaF at 110 °C. 'Isolated yield by preparative TLC. Products contain trace amounts (<5%) of protodeboronation byproducts.

arylboronic acids was assessed using Ball's conditions,44c furnishing a variety of Bi-aryl compounds in excellent yields independently of the substitution pattern of the arylboronic acid (Table 2).<sup>34</sup> It is important to note that this transmetalation protocol employs 1.0 equiv of arylboronic acid, while in our previous report, we were restricted to an excess of transmetallating reagent.<sup>32b</sup> After transmetalation, oxidation of Bi-aryl compounds with 1.0 equiv of 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 90 °C furnished the corresponding arylfluorides. It is worth mentioning that due to decomposition of 2 in the presence of water,<sup>45</sup> it was not possible to perform a one-pot reaction without previous isolation of the corresponding Bi-aryl compounds. Interestingly, this system bodes well with a variety of para-substituents (3, 15-17, 48-53), including CF<sub>3</sub> (17, 55%), halogens (R = Cl, 18, 51%; R = F, 49, 52%), TMS (50, 93%), and alkynyl (52, 22%) moieties. Despite the broader functional group tolerance, trace amounts of arylfluoride were obtained when ether substituents were evaluated (16 and 53, <5%), highlighting some limitations of the methodology. Alkyl

chains (54–56) and silvl groups (57) in *meta*-position resulted in good yields, including compounds with large substituents (58 and 63), while the installation of *meta*-EWG (59–62) slightly decreased the efficiency of the oxidation/reductive elimination. The electrophilic fluorination could also be performed on Bi–aryl compounds bearing *ortho*-substituents, such as Me (64, 92%) and Br (65, 33%) groups, albeit with lower yields for the latter. Furthermore, vinyl groups (66, 63%) and polyaromatic arenes (67, 63%) could also be accommodated. Overall, the Bi-mediated fluorination of arylboronic acids developed herein shows a broader scope and uses a readily available Bi–OTs species (47), in contrast to our previous methodology, which is based on the use of ligand scaffolds incorporating the  $-S(O)NCF_3$ - unit.

After providing an improved stoichiometric method based on complex 47 for the fluorination of arylboronic acids, we focused our attention on transferring the benefits of sulfonebased complexes to high-valent Bi-catalyzed fluorination reactions. A major limitation of our previously reported method was the need of 3.0 equiv of arylboronic ester, making this transformation impractical for valuable substrates. Optimal conditions for catalytic fluorination from aryl boronic esters (76% of 3) were found using sulfone-based catalyst 68 bearing two *meta*-CF<sub>3</sub> groups, in combination with oxidant 2 (1.1 equiv) in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (Table 3). Noteworthy, *arylboronic esters* 

Table 3. Bismuth-Catalyzed Fluorination of Arylboronic Esters with Sulfone-Based Catalyst  $68^a$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Yields determined by <sup>19</sup>F NMR using 1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene as internal standard. <sup>*b*</sup>Reaction performed in the presence of 5.0 equiv of NaF. <sup>*c*</sup>Isolated yield by preparative HPLC.

could be utilized as limiting reagents, and most importantly, the reaction worked smoothly in the absence of base. Indeed, analysis of the reaction crude after fluorobenzene formation revealed the presence of BF<sub>3</sub> in solution, as well as in the headspace,<sup>34</sup> which suggests that the BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion also acts as a fluoride source during the catalytic transformation. Under the optimized conditions, a variety of *para*-substituents could be accommodated in good yields, including alkyl groups (14, 85%; 15, 65%), Ph (51, 73%), and TMS (50, 77%). In addition, arylboronic esters bearing *para*-EWG groups such as halogen atoms required the use of a base (5.0 equiv of NaF)

Article



Figure 18. Overview of the  $C(sp^2)$ -F reductive elimination from Bi(V).

and higher reaction temperatures to yield arylfluorides in moderate yields (18, 69, and 70). Arylboronic esters containing meta-substituents were also tolerated (54, 59, 62, and 71), although strong meta-EWG inhibited the formation of fluoroarenes (61 and 72) even in the presence of NaF. ortho-Substitution was also well accommodated (64 and 73), albeit low yields were obtained with o-Br (65) and o-CO<sub>2</sub>Me (74) groups. Interestingly, this catalytic system allowed the introduction of several strong para-EWG groups such as CF<sub>3</sub> (17, 56%), CO<sub>2</sub>Me (75, 56%), Br (76, 69%), and SO<sub>2</sub>Me (77, 35%), which were previously shown to inhibit reactivity when using sulfoximine-based Bi-catalysts.<sup>32b</sup> In fact, the use of sulfone-based catalyst 68 also boded well with sterically hindered substrates (78, 64%) and alkynyl groups (79, 49%), showing a wide scope and practicality. Although these protocols produce high yields of fluorinated arenes, isolation of these compounds in pure form without traces of Ar-H becomes tedious, requiring HPLC separations which, in some cases, result in low yields (14 and 51, Table 3).

# CONCLUSION

We provide herein a mechanism of the reductive elimination of aryl–F bonds from neutral triarylbismuth difluorides as well as cationic fluorobismuthonium species (Figure 18). Solid-state (XRD) and spectroscopic characterization in solution (1D and 2D NMR) suggests that 4 presents a dimeric structure and undergoes fast dynamic processes in solution. Evaluation of the electronic and steric effects on the pendant aryl ligand revealed that *para*-EWG enhances the rate of fluorobenzene (3) formation. Installation of Me groups—*ortho* with respect to the Bi center—in the sulfoximine ligand scaffold permitted the synthesis and characterization of monomeric TBP aryl Bi(V) difluorides 25 and 26. In contrast to model complex 4, these compounds have been characterized as *trans*-difluoride

monomers in solid state and in solution. Evaluation of electronic effects affecting the reductive elimination of fluorobenzene revealed analogous effects on the reactive aryl compared to 4. Yet, the Eyring plot revealed a  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} \approx -6$  cal $mol^{-1} K^{-1}$  for monomeric 25 and 26, which is in stark contrast to the  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} \approx -34$  cal·mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for complex 4. Evaluation of the effect of external fluoride anions in the reductive elimination of 4 revealed the formation of anionic species 35, which has a detrimental effect on fluorobenzene formation. Theoretical studies of the C-F bond formation from dimeric and monomeric neutral difluorides showed kinetic barriers in agreement with experimentally determined parameters. Indeed, for species 4, the aryl-F bond formation from neutral Bi(V) difluoride centers is postulated to proceed through a dimeric TS, albeit a reductive elimination event from monomeric species cannot be disregarded.

Evaluation of the C-F bond formation from cationic fluorobismuthonium species was also assessed. Isolation of hexafluorosilicate compound 37 allowed solid-state characterization of the fluorobismuhtonium species. Electronic modulations on the pendant aryl and the ligand scaffold suggested a highly polarized TS, consistent with the cationic nature of this complex. DFT studies of cationic species 36 unveiled the BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion as the true fluoride source, forging the C-F bond through a five-membered TS. Reaction of 1 with the milder 1fluoro-2,6-pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (2) also delivered a high-valent Bi(V) species 36, further supporting the involvement of fluorobismuthonium intermediates. With this mechanistic picture, re-evaluation of the ligand features led to the development of improved stoichiometric and catalytic fluorination reactions of arylboronic acid derivatives, using a simpler and easy to handle Bi catalyst. This second-generation fluorination has been successfully applied to >40 substrates, thus improving the yields over our previously reported

methodologies. Overall, the detailed mechanistic investigation provided herein enabled the identification of the key parameters and limitations of the C–F bond-forming step from Bi(V) centers, revealing different pathways between neutral and cationic species. Finally, this article illustrates that by means of a mechanistic understanding, a rational design for an improved methodology for the fluorination of organic compounds based on Bi could be established.

# ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/jacs.2c01072.

Complete experimental details, NMR, computational details and crystallographic data (PDF)

### **Accession Codes**

CCDC 2125767–2125789 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif, or by emailing data\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Josep Cornella – Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-4152-7098; Email: cornella@kofo.mpg.de

#### Authors

- Oriol Planas Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany; @ orcid.org/0000-0003-2038-2678
- Vytautas Peciukenas Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-7372-9650
- Markus Leutzsch Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-8171-9399
- Nils Nöthling Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany
- Dimitrios A. Pantazis Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Mülheim an der Ruhr 45470, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-2146-9065

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/jacs.2c01072

### Funding

Open access funded by Max Planck Society.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for this work was provided by Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung and Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI-VCI). This project has received funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the agreement nos. 850496 (ERC Starting Grant, J.C.) and 833361 (Marie Skłodowska Curie Fellowship, O.P.). We thank Prof. Dr. A. Fürstner for insightful discussions and generous support. N.N. especially thanks Dr. Richard Goddard for assistance in the

XRD analysis. We also thank the analytical department at the MPI-Kohlenforschung for support in the characterization of compounds. We thank the reviewers of this article for their insights, which helped to improve the manuscript.

# REFERENCES

(1) (a) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Fluorine in medicinal chemistry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 320–330. (b) O'Hagan, D. Fluorine in health care: Organofluorine containing blockbuster drugs. *J. Fluor. Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 1071–1081. (c) Zhou, Y.; Wang, J.; Gu, Z.; Wang, S.; Zhu, W.; Acena, J. L.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Izawa, K.; Liu, H. Next Generation of Fluorine-Containing Pharmaceuticals, Compounds Currently in Phase II-III Clinical Trials of Major Pharmaceutical Companies: New Structural Trends and Therapeutic Areas. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 422–518.

(2) (a) Jeschke, P. The unique role of fluorine in the design of active ingredients for modern crop protection. *Chembiochem* 2004, *5*, 570–589. (b) Ogawa, Y.; Tokunaga, E.; Kobayashi, O.; Hirai, K.; Shibata, N. Current Contributions of Organofluorine Compounds to the Agrochemical Industry. *iScience* 2020, *23*, 101467. (c) Jeschke, P. Current Trends in the Design of Fluorine-Containing Agrochemicals. *Organofluorine Chemistry* 2021, 363–395.

(3) (a) Johns, K.; Stead, G. Fluoroproducts — the extremophiles. J. Fluor. Chem. 2000, 104, 5–18. (b) Kirsch, P.; Bremer, M. Nematic Liquid Crystals for Active Matrix Displays: Molecular Design and Synthesis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4216–4235. (c) Pagliaro, M.; Ciriminna, R. New fluorinated functional materials. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 4981–4991. (d) Babudri, F.; Farinola, G. M.; Naso, F.; Ragni, R. Fluorinated organic materials for electronic and optoelectronic applications: the role of the fluorine atom. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1003–1022.

(4) (a) Fowler, J. S.; Wolf, A. P. Working against Time: Rapid Radiotracer Synthesis and Imaging the Human Brain. Acc. Chem. Res. **1997**, 30, 181–188. (b) Ametamey, S. M.; Honer, M.; Schubiger, P. A. Molecular imaging with PET. Chem. Rev. **2008**, 108, 1501–1516. (c) Preshlock, S.; Tredwell, M.; Gouverneur, V. (18)F-Labeling of Arenes and Heteroarenes for Applications in Positron Emission Tomography. Chem. Rev. **2016**, 116, 719–766.

(5) (a) Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Facile C-F Bond Formation through a Concerted Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution Mediated by the PhenoFluor Reagent. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 2822–2833.
(b) Terrier, F. Modern Nucleophilic Aromatic Substitution; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2013.

(6) (a) Balz, G.; Schiemann, G. Über aromatische Fluorverbindungen, I.: Ein neues Verfahren zu ihrer Darstellung. *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B* **1927**, *60*, 1186–1190. (b) Laali, K. K.; Gettwert, V. J. Fluorodediazoniation in ionic liquid solvents: new life for the Balz– Schiemann reaction. *J. Fluor. Chem.* **2001**, *107*, 31–34. (c) Cresswell, A. J.; Davies, S. G.; Roberts, P. M.; Thomson, J. E. Beyond the Balz-Schiemann reaction: the utility of tetrafluoroborates and boron trifluoride as nucleophilic fluoride sources. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 566–611.

(7) Adams, D. J.; Clark, J. H. Nucleophilic routes to selectively fluorinated aromatics. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 1999, 28, 225-231.

(8) (a) Campbell, M. G.; Ritter, T. Modern carbon-fluorine bond forming reactions for aryl fluoride synthesis. *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 612–633. (b) Campbell, M. G.; Ritter, T. Late-Stage Fluorination: From Fundamentals to Application. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2014, *18*, 474–480.

(9) (a) Fraser, S. L.; Antipin, M. Y.; Khroustalyov, V. N.; Grushin, V. V. Molecular Fluoro Palladium Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4769–4770. (b) Grushin, V. V.; Marshall, W. J. Ar–F Reductive Elimination from Palladium(II) Revisited. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4997–5002. (c) Grushin, V. V. Palladium fluoride complexes: one more step toward metal-mediated C-F bond formation. Chem.—Eur. J. 2002, 8, 1006–1014. (d) Yandulov, D. V.; Tran, N. T. Aryl-fluoride reductive elimination from Pd(II): feasibility assessment from theory and experiment. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1342–1358.

(e) Grushin, V. V. The organometallic fluorine chemistry of palladium and rhodium: studies toward aromatic fluorination. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *43*, 160–171.

(10) (a) Watson, D. A.; Su, M.; Teverovskiy, G.; Zhang, Y.; Garcia-Fortanet, J.; Kinzel, T.; Buchwald, S. L. Formation of ArF from LPdAr(F): catalytic conversion of aryl triflates to aryl fluorides. *Science* **2009**, 325, 1661–1664. (b) Maimone, T. J.; Milner, P. J.; Kinzel, T.; Zhang, Y.; Takase, M. K.; Buchwald, S. L. Evidence for *in situ* catalyst modification during the Pd-catalyzed conversion of aryl triflates to aryl fluorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 18106–18109. (c) Sather, A. C.; Lee, H. G.; De La Rosa, V. Y.; Yang, Y.; Muller, P.; Buchwald, S. L. A Fluorinated Ligand Enables Room-Temperature and Regioselective Pd-Catalyzed Fluorination of Aryl Triflates and Bromides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13433–13438.

(11) (a) Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. Carbon-fluorine reductive elimination from a high-valent palladium fluoride. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10060–10061. (b) Furuya, T.; Benitez, D.; Tkatchouk, E.; Strom, A. E.; Tang, P.; Goddard, W. A., 3rd; Ritter, T. Mechanism of C-F reductive elimination from palladium(IV) fluorides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3793–3807. (c) Brandt, J. R.; Lee, E.; Boursalian, G. B.; Ritter, T. Mechanism of Electrophilic Fluorination with Pd(IV): Fluoride Capture and Subsequent Oxidative Fluoride Transfer. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 169–179.

(12) Ball, N. D.; Sanford, M. S. Synthesis and reactivity of a monosigma-aryl palladium(IV) fluoride complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3796–3797.

(13) (a) Hull, K. L.; Anani, W. Q.; Sanford, M. S. Palladiumcatalyzed fluorination of carbon-hydrogen bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2006**, 128, 7134–7135. (b) Wang, X.; Mei, T. S.; Yu, J. Q. Versatile Pd(OTf)2  $\times$  2 H2O-catalyzed ortho-fluorination using NMP as a promoter. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 7520–7521. (c) Lou, S.-J.; Chen, Q.; Wang, Y.-F.; Xu, D.-Q.; Du, X.-H.; He, J.-Q.; Mao, Y.-J.; Xu, Z.-Y. Selective C–H Bond Fluorination of Phenols with a Removable Directing Group: Late-Stage Fluorination of 2-Phenoxyl Nicotinate Derivatives. ACS Catal. **2015**, 5, 2846–2849. (d) Mao, Y. J.; Lou, S. J.; Hao, H. Y.; Xu, D. Q. Selective C(sp(3))-H and C(sp(2))-H Fluorination of Alcohols Using Practical Auxiliaries. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2018**, 57, 14085–14089. (e) Chen, X. Y.; Sorensen, E. J. Pd-Catalyzed, ortho C-H Methylation and Fluorination of Benzaldehydes Using Orthanilic Acids as Transient Directing Groups. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2018**, 140, 2789–2792.

(14) Zhao, S. B.; Wang, R. Y.; Nguyen, H.; Becker, J. J.; Gagne, M. R. Electrophilic fluorination of cationic Pt-aryl complexes. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 443–445.

(15) (a) Kaspi, A. W.; Goldberg, I.; Vigalok, A. Reagent-dependent formation of C-C and C-F bonds in Pt complexes: an unexpected twist in the electrophilic fluorination chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10626–10627. (b) Dubinsky-Davidchik, I.; Goldberg, I.; Vigalok, A.; Vedernikov, A. N. Selective aryl-fluoride reductive elimination from a platinum(IV) complex. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 12447–12451.

(16) Sarkissian, E.; Golbon Haghighi, M. Strategy for Selective Csp2-F and Csp2-Csp2 Formations from Organoplatinum Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **2021**, *60*, 1016–1020.

(17) (a) Lee, E.; Hooker, J. M.; Ritter, T. Nickel-mediated oxidative fluorination for PET with aqueous [18F] fluoride. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17456–17458. (b) Hoover, A. J.; Lazari, M.; Ren, H.; Narayanam, M. K.; Murphy, J. M.; van Dam, R. M.; Hooker, J. M.; Ritter, T. A Transmetalation Reaction Enables the Synthesis of [(18)F]5-Fluorouracil from [(18)F]Fluoride for Human PET Imaging. *Organometallics* **2016**, *35*, 1008–1014. (c) Lee, H.; Borgel, J.; Ritter, T. Carbon-Fluorine Reductive Elimination from Nickel(III) Complexes. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6966–6969.

(18) Meucci, E. A.; Ariafard, A.; Canty, A. J.; Kampf, J. W.; Sanford, M. S. Aryl-Fluoride Bond-Forming Reductive Elimination from Nickel(IV) Centers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, *141*, 13261–13267.

(19) (a) Casitas, A.; Canta, M.; Sola, M.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X. Nucleophilic aryl fluorination and aryl halide exchange mediated by a Cu(I)/Cu(III) catalytic cycle. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2011**, 133, 19386–

19392. (b) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. Copper-mediated fluorination of aryl iodides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10795–10798. (c) Ye, Y.; Sanford, M. S. Mild copper-mediated fluorination of aryl stannanes and aryl trifluoroborates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4648–4651. (d) Fier, P. S.; Luo, J.; Hartwig, J. F. Copper-mediated fluorination of arylboronate esters. Identification of a copper(III) fluoride complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2552–2559. (e) Truong, T.; Klimovica, K.; Daugulis, O. Copper-catalyzed, directing group-assisted fluorination of arene and heteroarene C-H bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 9342–9345. (f) Tredwell, M.; Preshlock, S. M.; Taylor, N. J.; Gruber, S.; Huiban, M.; Passchier, J.; Mercier, J.; Genicot, C.; Gouverneur, V. A general copper-mediated nucleophilic 18F fluorination of arenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7751–7755. (g) Mu, X.; Zhang, H.; Chen, P.; Liu, G. Copper-catalyzed fluorination of 2-pyridyl aryl bromides. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 275–280.

(20) (a) Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. Fluorination of boronic acids mediated by silver(I) triflate. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2860–2863. (b) Furuya, T.; Strom, A. E.; Ritter, T. Silver-mediated fluorination of functionalized aryl stannanes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1662–1663. (c) Tang, P.; Furuya, T.; Ritter, T. Silver-catalyzed late-stage fluorination. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12150–12154. (d) Tang, P.; Ritter, T. Silver-mediated fluorination of aryl silanes. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4449–4454. (e) Dubbaka, S. R.; Narreddula, V. R.; Gadde, S.; Mathew, T. Silver-mediated fluorination of potassium aryltrifluoroborates with Selectfluor®. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 9676–9681. (f) Font, M.; Acuna-Pares, F.; Parella, T.; Serra, J.; Luis, J. M.; Lloret-Fillol, J.; Costas, M.; Ribas, X. Direct observation of two-electron Ag(I)/Ag(III) redox cycles in coupling catalysis. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4373.

(21) (a) Power, P. P. Main-group elements as transition metals. *Nature* 2010, 463, 171–177. (b) Weetman, C.; Inoue, S. The Road Travelled: After Main-Group Elements as Transition Metals. *ChemCatChem.* 2018, 10, 4213–4228. (c) Melen, R. L. Frontiers in molecular p-block chemistry: From structure to reactivity. *Science* 2019, 363, 479–484.

(22) Van Der Puy, M. Conversion of diaryliodonium salts to aryl fluorides. J. Fluor. Chem. **1982**, 21, 385–392.

(23) (a) Pike, V. W.; Aigbirhio, F. I. Reactions of cyclotronproduced [18F]fluoride with diaryliodonium salts-a novel singlestep route to no-carrier-added [18]fluoroarenes. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 2215-2216. (b) Shah, A.; Pike, V. W.; Widdowson, D. A. The synthesis of [18F]fluoroarenes from the reaction of cyclotron-produced [18F]fluoride ion with diaryliodonium salts. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2043-2046. (c) Wang, B.; Qin, L.; Neumann, K. D.; Uppaluri, S.; Cerny, R. L.; DiMagno, S. G. Improved arene fluorination methodology for I(III) salts. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3352-3355. (d) Graskemper, J. W.; Wang, B.; Qin, L.; Neumann, K. D.; DiMagno, S. G. Unprecedented directing group ability of cyclophanes in arene fluorinations with diaryliodonium salts. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3158-3161. (e) Rotstein, B. H.; Stephenson, N. A.; Vasdev, N.; Liang, S. H. Spirocyclic hypervalent iodine(III)mediated radiofluorination of non-activated and hindered aromatics. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4365.

(24) Xu, P.; Zhao, D.; Berger, F.; Hamad, A.; Rickmeier, J.; Petzold, R.; Kondratiuk, M.; Bohdan, K.; Ritter, T. Site-Selective Late-Stage Aromatic [(18) F]Fluorination via Aryl Sulfonium Salts. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 1956–1960.

(25) Gendron, T.; Sander, K.; Cybulska, K.; Benhamou, L.; Sin, P. K. B.; Khan, A.; Wood, M.; Porter, M. J.; Arstad, E. Ring-Closing Synthesis of Dibenzothiophene Sulfonium Salts and Their Use as Leaving Groups for Aromatic (18)F-Fluorination. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 11125–11132.

(26) (a) De Meio, G. V.; Pinhey, J. T. Aryl fluorides from the reaction of boron trifluoride with aryl-lead(IV) triacetates, which may be generated *in situ* from aryltrimethylsilanes, triarylboroxines, and arenes. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1990**, 1065–1066. (b) De Meio, G.; Morgan, J.; Pinhey, J. T. Aryl fluoride syntheses involving reaction of aryllead triacetates with boron trifluoride-diethyl ether complex. Tetrahedron **1993**, 49, 8129–8138.

pubs.acs.org/JACS

(27) Taylor, E. C.; Bigham, E. C.; Johnson, D. K.; McKillop, A. Thallium in organic synthesis. 45. Synthesis of aromatic fluorides. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 362–363.

(28) (a) Ligand coupling involving organobismuth compounds. In *Ligand Coupling Reactions with Heteroatomic Compounds*, Finet, J.-P., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1998; Vol. 18, pp 159–204. (b) Abramovitch, R. A.; Barton, D. H. R.; Finet, J.-P. Newer methods of arylation. *Tetrahedron* 1988, 44, 3039–3071. (c) Ollevier, T. New trends in bismuth-catalyzed synthetic transformations. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2013, 11, 2740–2755.

(29) Ooi, T.; Goto, R.; Maruoka, K. Fluorotetraphenylbismuth: a new reagent for efficient regioselective alpha-phenylation of carbonyl compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 10494–10495.

(30) Minoura, M.; Kanamori, Y.; Miyake, A.; Akiba, K.-y. Structure of Azabismocines, Hexacoordinate Pentavalent Organobismuth Compounds. *Chem. Lett.* **1999**, *28*, 861–862.

(31) (a) Mohan, R. Green bismuth. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 336.
(b) Krabbe, S. W.; Mohan, R. S. Environmentally Friendly Organic Synthesis Using Bismuth(III) Compounds. In *Bismuth-Mediated Organic Reactions*, Ollevier, T., Ed.; Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012; pp 45–68.

(32) (a) Wang, F.; Planas, O.; Cornella, J. Bi(I)-Catalyzed Transfer-Hydrogenation with Ammonia-Borane. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 4235-4240. (b) Planas, O.; Wang, F.; Leutzsch, M.; Cornella, J. Fluorination of arylboronic esters enabled by bismuth redox catalysis. Science 2020, 367, 313-317. (c) Planas, O.; Peciukenas, V.; Cornella, J. Bismuth-Catalyzed Oxidative Coupling of Arylboronic Acids with Triflate and Nonaflate Salts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 11382-11387. (d) Pang, Y.; Leutzsch, M.; Nöthling, N.; Cornella, J. Catalytic Activation of N2O at a Low-Valent Bismuth Redox Platform. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 19473-19479. (e) Magre, M.; Kuziola, J.; Nöthling, N.; Cornella, J. Dibismuthanes in catalysis: from synthesis and characterization to redox behavior towards oxidative cleavage of 1,2-diols. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2021, 19, 4922-4929. (f) Pang, Y.; Leutzsch, M.; Nöthling, N.; Katzenburg, F.; Cornella, J. Catalytic Hydrodefluorination via Oxidative Addition, Ligand Metathesis, and Reductive Elimination at Bi(I)/Bi(III) Centers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 12487-12493. (g) Planas, O.; Cornella, J. High-valent bismuth redox catalysis. Nachr. Chem. 2021, 69 (10), 79-83. (h) Planas, O. Catálisis Redox con Bismuto. Ann. Quim. 2021, 117, 266-273. (i) Moon, H. W.; Cornella, J. Bismuth Redox Catalysis: An Emerging Main-Group Platform for Organic Synthesis. ACS Catal. 2022, 12, 1382-1393. (j) Magre, M.; Cornella, J. Redox-Neutral Organometallic Elementary Steps at Bismuth: Catalytic Synthesis of Aryl Sulfonyl Fluorides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 21497-21502. (33) Suzuki, H.; Murafuji, T.; Azuma, N. Synthesis and reactions of some new heterocyclic bismuth-(III) and -(V) compounds. 5,10-Dihydrodibenzo[b,e]bismine and related systems. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 1593-1600.

(34) See Supporting Information for further details.

(35) (a) Sen, S.; Ke, I.-S.; Gabbaï, F. P. Anion-Controlled Positional Switching of a Phenyl Group about the Dinuclear Core of a AuSb Complex. *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 9162–9172. (b) Kuziola, J.; Magre, M.; Nöthling, N.; Cornella, J. Synthesis and Structure of Mono-, Di-, and Trinuclear Fluorotriarylbismuthonium Cations. *Organometallics* 2022, 41 (14), 1754–1762, DOI: 10.1021/acs.organomet.2c00135. (36) (a) Ugi, I.; Marquarding, D.; Klusacek, H.; Gillespie, P.; Ramirez, F. Berry pseudorotation and turnstile rotation. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1971, 4, 288–296. (b) Couzijn, E. P.; Slootweg, J. C.; Ehlers, A. W.; Lammertsma, K. Stereomutation of pentavalent compounds: validating the Berry pseudorotation, redressing Ugi's turnstile rotation, and revealing the two- and three-arm turnstiles. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 18127–18140.

(37) Ishida, S.; Hirakawa, F.; Furukawa, K.; Yoza, K.; Iwamoto, T. Persistent Antimony- and Bismuth-Centered Radicals in Solution. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11172–11176.

(38) Swain, C. G.; Unger, S. H.; Rosenquist, N. R.; Swain, M. S. Substituent effects on chemical reactivity. Improved evaluation of field and resonance components. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 492–502.

(39) (a) Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. **1993**, 98, 5648–5652. (b) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Phys. Rev. B **1988**, 37, 785–789. (c) Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. J. Comput. Chem. **2011**, 32, 1456–1465.

(40) (a) Weigend, F. Accurate Coulomb-fitting basis sets for H to Rn. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 1057–1065. (b) Weigend, F.; Ahlrichs, R. Balanced basis sets of split valence, triple zeta valence and quadruple zeta valence quality for H to Rn: Design and assessment of accuracy. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297–305.

(41) Metz, B.; Stoll, H.; Dolg, M. Small-core multiconfiguration-Dirac-Hartree-Fock-adjusted pseudopotentials for post-d main group elements: Application to PbH and PbO. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 2563–2569.

(42) (a) Neese, F. The ORCA program system. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. 2012, 2, 73–78. (b) Neese, F. Software update: the ORCA program system, version 4.0. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Comput. Mol. Sci. 2017, 8, No. e1327.

(43) Reed, A. E.; Curtiss, L. A.; Weinhold, F. Intermolecular interactions from a natural bond orbital, donor-acceptor viewpoint. *Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *88*, 899–926.

(44) (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Blazejewski, J.-C.; Charpiot, B.; Lester, D. J.; Motherwell, W. B.; Papoula, M. T. B. Comparative arylation reactions with pentaphenylbismuth and with triphenylbismuth carbonate. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 827–829. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Lester, D. J.; Motherwell, W. B.; Papoula, M. T. B. Observations on the cleavage of the bismuth-carbon bond in BiVcompounds: a new arylation reaction. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 246–247. (c) Jurrat, M.; Maggi, L.; Lewis, W.; Ball, L. T. Modular bismacycles for the selective C-H arylation of phenols and naphthols. Nat. Chem. 2020, 12, 260–269.

(45) Rozatian, N.; Hodgson, D. R. W. Reactivities of electrophilic N-F fluorinating reagents. *Chem. Commun.* **2021**, *57*, 683-712.