OPEN



Herpes Zoster in Kidney Transplant Recipients: Detection of VZV DNA in Blood During the Prodromal Phase

Anne Devin, MD,¹ Edith Renoult, MD,¹ Michel Roger, MD,² and Marie-Josée Hébert, MD¹

erpes zoster (HZ) usually begins with radicular pain in 1 to 3 dermatomes followed by the appearance of a vesicular eruption over the affected area. In transplant recipients who are at high risk of life-threatening visceral dissemination of varicella zoster virus (VZV), neuropathic pain (the prodrome) may precede the appearance of a rash by weeks and shingles may be absent.¹ We aimed to investigate whether VZV DNA detection in plasma could have value for an early diagnosis of HZ during the prodromal phase.

We evaluated 11 kidney transplant recipients who developed HZ in the first year after transplantation and from whom 2 to 7 blood samples had been systematically collected in the first 6 months and stored in our transplant biobank, approved by the local Institutional Review Board (BH 07-002). Plasma VZV DNA was retrospectively analyzed by a qualitative real-time PCR analysis² of these stored samples.

The 11 subjects (Table 1) underwent transplantation between 2009 and 2013. The mean time from transplantation to the onset of shingles was 129 days (range, 12-307 days). Prodromal symptoms occurred at 5.5 days on average (range, 0-19 days) before skin eruptions. A total of 41 blood samples from our study cohort were available for analysis, at

Accepted 3 February 2018.

² Département de Microbiologie Médicale et Infectiologie, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

The authors declare no funding or conflicts of interest.

Correspondence: Edith Renoult, MD, Département de Médecine, Service de Néphrologie, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, 900 rue Saint-Denis, Montréal, QC, Canada H2X 0A9. (erenoult@msn.com).

Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Transplantation Direct. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 2373-8731

Transplantation Direct 2018;4:e351: 10.1097/TXD.00000000000784

Published online 2 May, 2018.

a median of 4 specimens per patient. Plasma VZV DNA was not detected in 7 individuals. It was detected during HZ infection, at 2 to 53 days after rash onset, in 3 patients. Varicella zoster virus replication was also noted during the prodromal phase, in 1 of these 3 subjects (patient 10, who was diagnosed with HZ ophthalmicus 6 days later) and in another recipient (patient 8, who developed VZV vasculopathy 12 days later).

Our retrospective analysis of available archived blood specimens in a small number of patients had obvious limitations but our findings provide interesting PCR evidence of VZV reactivation during HZ in transplant recipients. These data document the presence of VZV in the plasma of 4 patients diagnosed with HZ. In 3 of these cases, VZV DNA was detectable in blood taken up to 53 days after the onset of shingles. Blood VZV DNA has previously been detected during acute HZ,^{3,4} in 16% to 100% of the patient populations analyzed by molecular assays. Some previous studies have revealed a higher VZV load in immunocompromised patients. In addition, VZV DNemia can be documented up to 6 months after skin eruptions. An even more interesting observation from our results was the detection of VZV DNA before rash onset in 2 individuals. In both of these patients, the prodromal phase was particularly painful and prolonged, and the clinical features of HZ were quite severe, particularly in 1 patient who developed a VZV vasculopathy as described elsewhere.⁵ Analysis of VZV DNA in plasma could therefore be used for the early diagnosis of atypical HZ and avoid delayed initiation of treatment. However, the precise diagnostic value of this marker for these purposes requires additional research.3

REFERENCES

- Pergam SA, Limaye AP; AST Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. Varicella zoster virus in solid organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant*. 2013;13(Suppl 4):138–146.
- Weidmann M, Meyer-König U, Hufert FT. Rapid detection of Herpes simplex virus and varicella-zoster virus infections by real-time PCR. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2003;41:1565–1568.
- Levin MJ. Varicella-zoster virus and virus DNA in the blood and oropharynx of people with latent or active varicella-zoster virus infections. J Clin Virol. 2014;61:487–495.
- Park SY, Kim JY, Kim JA, et al. Diagnostic usefulness of varicella-zoster virus real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis of DNA in saliva and plasma specimens from patients with herpes zoster. J Infect Dis. 2017; 217:51–57.
- Renoult E, Lanthier S, Rouleau D, et al. Varicella zoster virus vasculopathy after kidney transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2016;100:e19–e20.

Received 26 January 2018.

¹ Département de Médecine-Service de Néphrologie, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada.

All the authors participated in the study design and had access to the data. A.D. was responsible for coordinating the collection, management and analysis of the data, and drafted the article. E.R. participated in data analysis and in the writing of the article. M.R. participated in the performance of the research, analyzed and discussed data. M.-J.H. was a major contributor to the conception of the study. All the authors read and approved the final version of the article.

						Patient					
	-	2	S	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	1
Age, y	47	51	56	62	61	72	51	65	36	52	67
Sex	M	ш	Σ	Σ	ш	Z	Σ	ш	Σ	Σ	Σ
VZV serology (IgG titre) ^a											
Before transplantation	(ND) +	(ND) +	(DN) +	+ (2.84)	+ (1.86)	+ (3.41)	(UD) +	+ (1.53)	+ (1.43)	+ (ND)	+ (>1.1)
At transplant	+ (2.74)	+ (2.3)	(ND) +	+ (2.51)	+ (1.88)	+ (2.16)	+ (3.10)	- (0.70)	+ (1.60)	+ (3.10)	+ (>1.1)
CMV serostatus D/R	-/-	+/-	-/+	-/-	+/+	+/	-/-	+/	-/-	-/-	-/-
Antiviral prophylaxis	None	None	Yes^b	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Days between transplant and prodrome onset	22	126	227	12	131	177	302	18	188	22	110
Days between prodrome onset and skin rash	2	4	7	0	2	0	2	16	7	19	
VZV replication											
Time ^c (result)	1 (negative)	27 (negative)	1 (negative)	0 (negative)	0 (negative)	34 (negative)	0 (negative)	3 (negative)	48 (negative)	0 (negative)	5 (negative)
Time c (result)	13 (negative)	79 (negative)	8 (negative)	28 (negative)	33 (negative)	91 (negative)	30 (negative)	28 (positive)	97 (negative)	9 (negative)	35 (negative)
Time ^c (result)	26 (positive)	163 (negative)	27 (negative)		82 (negative)	209 (negative)		93 (negative)	167 (negative)	29 (positive)	89 (negative)
Time ^c (result)		183 (positive)	35 (negative)		89 (negative)				184 (negative)	49 (positive)	179 (negative)
Time ^c (result)			61 (negative)							84 (negative)	
Time ^c (result)			91 (negative)								
Time ^c (result)			189 (negative)								
VZV presentation											
Single dermatome			Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Contiguous dermatomes		Yes			Yes						
Generalized HZ (skin)	Yes							Yes			
Ophthalmic zoster										Yes	
VZV vasculopathy								Yes			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a defense hereblande of b									

^a in accordance with manufacturer's instructions, a test value of at least 1.1 is considered positive. ^b CMV prophylaxis with valganciclovir during the first 100 days after transplantation.

^c Time (days) from transplant to blood sample. CMN, cytomegalovitus; D, donor; M, male; F, female; ND, no data; R, recipient.

Transplantation DIRECT 2018

Ē

2