

Physician Burnout: Designing Strategies Based on Agency and Subgroup Needs [Response to Letter]

Louise Underdahl^{1,*}, Mary Ditri^{2,*}, Lunthita M Duthely^{3,*}

¹College of Doctoral Studies, University of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ, USA; ²Community Health, New Jersey Hospital Association, Princeton, NJ, USA; ³Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences and the Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Miami Health System, Miami, FL, USA

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Louise Underdahl, College of Doctoral Studies, University of Phoenix, 4025 S. Riverpoint Pkwy, Mail Stop CF-K601, Phoenix, AZ, 85040, USA, Email lunderdahl@email.phoenix.edu

Dear editor

Thank you for sharing our interest in physician burnout and contributing constructive recommendations to prevent burnout and increase belonging. In the spirit of expanding evidence-based dialogue on the drivers, perpetuators, and mitigators of burnout within medical groups, consider the positive perspectives presented in recent research:

Demographic Differences

A literature review of 33 empirical studies published between 2015 and 2023 on family physician burnout in the United States¹ correlated physician female gender with burnout. This issue warrants continuing research and presents opportunities for administrative remediation, such as offering structural supports to enable female family doctors to maintain work-life balance and reduce conflicts between work and non-work roles.

EHR Impact

While the literature correlates burnout with excessive administrative and electronic health record use, managers and health care systems can proactively address contributing factors through system-level process changes.¹ In an observational study of primary care physicians, Tawfik et al² noted unit-level EHR use measures may help organizations identify clinics where physicians are at higher risk for burnout. Research data were provided by STARR (Stanford medicine Research data Repository) a clinical data warehouse containing live Epic data from Stanford Health Care, the Stanford Children's Hospital, the University Healthcare Alliance, and Packard Children's Health Alliance clinics and other auxiliary data from hospital applications, such as radiology PACS; additional studies are warranted to identify actionable predictors. Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence (AI) to assist with medical documentation compliance, Miao et al³ posited that software vendors' collaboration with Epic electronic health records may significantly reduce physician workloads, thereby reducing factors contributing to burnout.

Agency, Autonomy, Belonging, and the Medical Humanities

Definitions of agency may vary, yet nuances express the frustration associated with loss of control: Physician leader agency, defined "as an inability, or unwillingness, to address the pressing factors that led to burnout",⁴ "control over medical practice"⁵ and sense of morals and control.⁶ Enzmann links burnout to erosion of physicians' cultural authority, manifested as "challenges to professionalism and its associated powers, specifically autonomy, respect, and personal agency".⁵ The "moral injury" depicted by Dean et al⁷ (2019) synthesizes agency, autonomy, and lost sense of belonging: "Moral injury describes the challenge of simultaneously knowing what care patients need but being unable to provide it due to constraints that are beyond our control"⁷ Echoing Enzmann's "value-added activity of interacting with patients"⁵

Gunderman emphasizes patient-centric medicine to eliminate burnout: “What is needed is to become attuned to what most merits attention - namely, the patient and what the patient needs”.⁸

The Good News

Evidence-based studies suggest transactional approaches of healthcare system management misinterpret underlying factors contributing to burnout and exacerbate physician frustrations. Physicians deny being characterized as burned out, citing a disconnect between their situation and what constitutes burnout. Collaborative steps toward change begin with identifying more accurate language to describe physicians’ experience and reframe both problem and solution. Moral injury, a term introduced in 2018, depicts the challenge of not being able to prioritize healing over profit due to the business framework of health care; burnout, in contrast, suggests an individual problem, solved by individual-centric solutions. Invitations to change include bringing clinicians and health care administrators together to understand the other party’s perspective and challenges and introduce system-level interventions.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

- Hoff T, Trovato K, Kitsakos A. Burnout among family physicians in the United States: a review of the literature. *Qual Manage Healthcare*. 2024;3:1–11.
- Tawfik D, Bayati M, Liu J, et al. Predicting primary care physician burnout from electronic health record use measures. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2024;99(1):1–11. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2023.11.015
- Miao J, Thongprayoon C, Cheungpasitporn W. Should artificial intelligence be used for physician documentation to reduce burnout? *Kidney*. 2024;10–34067.
- Hu JS, Pangaro LN, Gloria Andrada BM, et al. Physician leadership and burnout: the need for agency; a qualitative study of an academic institution. *J Healthc Leadersh*. 2024;12–130.
- Enzmann DR. Physician burnout: a hidden cause. *Acad Radiol*. 2024;31(2):718–723. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2023.10.028
- Seiler A, Milliken A, Leiter RE, et al. The psychoneuroimmunological model of moral distress and health in healthcare workers: toward individual and system-level solutions. *Compr Psychoneuroendocrinol*. 2024;100226.
- Dean W, Talbot S, Dean A. Reframing clinician distress: moral injury not burnout. *Fed Pract*. 2019;36(9):400–402.
- Gunderman RB. The real roots of burnout: Simone Weil on attention. *Acad Radiol*. 2023;30(5):1005–1006. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2023.01.004

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Journal of Healthcare Leadership ‘letters to the editor’ section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Journal of Healthcare Leadership editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Journal of Healthcare Leadership

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Healthcare Leadership is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on leadership for the health profession. The journal is committed to the rapid publication of research focusing on but not limited to: Healthcare policy and law; Theoretical and practical aspects healthcare delivery; Interactions between healthcare and society and evidence-based practices; Interdisciplinary decision-making; Philosophical and ethical issues; Hazard management; Research and opinion for health leadership; Leadership assessment. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-healthcare-leadership-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/JHL.S476670>