Peer

A new bilaterally injured trilobite presents insight into attack patterns of Cambrian predators

Ruiwen Zong¹ and Russell D.C. Bicknell²

¹ State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China

² Palaeoscience Research Centre, School of Environmental and Rural Science, University of New England, New South Wales, Australia

ABSTRACT

Durophagous predation in the Cambrian is typically recorded as malformed shells and trilobites, with rarer evidence in the form of coprolites and shelly gut contents. Reporting novel evidence for shell-crushing further expands the understanding of where and when in the Cambrian durophagy was present. To expand the current documentation and present new records of malformed trilobites from the Cambrian of China, we present an injured *Redlichia (Pteroredlichia) chinensis* from the lower Cambrian Balang Formation, western Hunan, South China. The specimen has two distinct injuries along the thorax. The injuries show different degrees of regeneration, suggesting that the specimen was attacked twice. We propose that the individual may have been targeted more readily for the second attack. This predatory approach would have been highly energy efficient, maximizing net energy gain during the attack.

Subjects Animal Behavior, Ecology, Evolutionary Studies, Paleontology, Zoology **Keywords** Predation, Regeneration, *Redlichia*, Cambrian, South China

INTRODUCTION

Biomineralized trilobite exoskeleton was constructed from two layers of low magnesium calcite (*Wilmot & Fallick, 1989*; *Hughes, 2007*). Due to this construction, trilobites had a markedly durable dorsal exoskeleton when compared to most other Paleozoic euarthropods (*Hughes, 2007*). Although this cuticular construction protected the soft-bodied sections, these exoskeletons were still susceptible to damage from shell crushing (durophagous) predators and boring organisms especially post-molting, during the soft- and paper-shell stages (*e.g., Owen, 1985; Babcock, 1993; Pratt, 1998; Zamora et al., 2011; Fatka, Budil & Grigar, 2015; Pates et al., 2017; Bicknell & Paterson, 2018; Bicknell & Pates, 2020; De Baets et al., 2021*). This is evidence by the recorded in malformed and injured specimens (*Owen, 1985*). These injuries provide insight into Paleozoic predation strategies, predator–prey interactions, evolution of trilobite morphology and behavior, and Paleozoic foodwebs (*Babcock & Robison, 1989; Babcock, 1993; Babcock, 2003; Babcock, 2007; Nedin, 1999; Brett & Walker, 2002; Brett, 2003; Bicknell & Paterson, 2018; Bicknell et al., 2022a*).

While there have been rare instances of accidental injuries (*Rudkin, 1985*), the majority injured Cambrian and Ordovician trilobites are attributed to failed predation (*Owen*,

Submitted 1 July 2022 Accepted 14 September 2022 Published 10 October 2022

Corresponding author Ruiwen Zong, zongruiwen@cug.edu.cn

Academic editor Kenneth De Baets

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 5

DOI 10.7717/peerj.14185

Copyright 2022 Zong and Bicknell

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

1985; Babcock, 1993; Bicknell & Paterson, 2018; Bicknell et al., 2021; Bicknell et al., 2022a). Within this fossil record, most injured specimens show unilaterally expressed injuries (Babcock, 1993; Bicknell & Paterson, 2018 and their references), with rarer evidence of multiple injuries across the exoskeleton (e.g., Šnajdr, 1979; Conway Morris & Jenkins, 1985; Ou et al., 2009; Pates & Bicknell, 2019; Bicknell & Holland, 2020; Bicknell & Pates, 2020; Bicknell et al., 2021; Bicknell et al., 2022a). Determining whether specimens with multiple injuries record one or multiple failed attacks is complex. However, the extent of exoskeletal regeneration can be considered a proxy for understanding the timing of attacks and subsequent recovery (e.g., Cheng et al., 2019; Zong, 2021a). To expand on this line of enquiry, we describe a malformed redlichiid trilobite from the Cambrian-aged Balang Formation (western Hunan, South China). This specimen shows a bilaterally expressed malformation and is used to explore patterns of trilobite regeneration, presenting new insight into early Cambrian predation strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The examined specimen was collected from the Balang Formation, Huayuan County, Xiangxi Autonomous Prefecture, Hunan Province (Fig. 1). The Balang Formation is widely distributed in eastern Guizhou and northwestern Hunan, and is comprised of fine calcareous clastic rocks with limited limestone interbeds or lenses. The formation was therefore likely deposited in a shelf to slope environment (Fig. 1; Yin, 1996; Liang et al., 2017). The formation is located within the Arthricocephalus chauveaui-Changaspis elongata zone, which corresponds to the Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4 (Peng, 2009; Qin et al., 2010). The Balang Formation has yielded a diverse, exceptionally preserved fauna including radiodonts, trilobitomorphs, bivalved arthropods, worms, chancelloriids, cnidarians, echinoderms, and algae (Peng et al., 2005; Liu & Lei, 2013). Trilobites from this formation consist of primarily oryctocephalids, redlichiids, and ptychopariids that are arrayed across ten genera (Peng et al., 2018; Chen, 2019). The Balang redlichiids consist of four species (including one subspecies) within a Redlichia subgenus (Liang et al., 2017; Chen & Zhao, 2018), and the dominate taxon is *Redlichia* (*Pteroredlichia*) chinensis (*Walcott*, 1905). The dark gray calcareous shale of the Balang Formation in western Hunan has yielded a large number of well-preserved R. (Pteroredlichia) chinensis (Zong, 2021b). The malformed individual was assigned to this species and represents an internal mold of R. (Pteroredlichia) chinensis. The examined specimen was coated with magnesium oxide for photography. All photographs were taken with a Nikon D5100 camera using a Micro-Nikkor 55 mm F3.5 lens. Specimen measurements were made with ImageJ software (Schneider, Rasband & Eliceiri, 2012). The specimen is housed in the State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (BGEG).

RESULTS

The described specimen (BGEG-HXB-02) is an articulated exoskeleton lacking free cheeks and is therefore likely an exuvia (*Daley & Drage, 2016*; *Drage, 2019*). Two malformations along the thorax are noted (Fig. 2A). The more anterior malformation is an asymmetrical



Figure 1 Geological background map of study area and location of the fossil site. (A) Cambrian sedimentary facies zones of South China (modified from *Zhao et al., 1993*). (B) Map of fossil site at Huayuan County, Xiangxi Autonomous Prefecture, Hunan Province. (C) Stratigraphic series showing relative position and age of the Balang Formation (modified from *Zhu et al., 2021*). Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14185/fig-1

V-shaped indentation along the fourth and fifth pleural segments of the left pleural lobe showing limited cicatrization (Fig. 2B). The distal section of the fourth pleural segment is lacking a pleural spine, truncated by \sim 1.8 mm. The pleural furrow of this segment is slightly S-shaped. The fifth thoracic segment is truncated by \sim 2.9 mm and reduced abaxially. Distal section of this segment is rounded and reduced by \sim 50% relative to the undamaged sixth pleural segment. The pleural furrow of this segment is also slightly distorted. Additionally, the third segment on the left side was rotated anteriorly and positioned under the second pleural segment. As this rotated segment lacks shortening or distortion (Fig. 2C), this reflects either taphonomic alteration or displacement during molting (*Zong, 2021a*).

The second malformation is a U-shaped indentation located across the seventh to ninth pleural segments on the right pleural lobe (Figs. 2D and 2E). The seventh and eight segments are truncated by \sim 1.8 mm and \sim 2.6 mm, respectively. The ninth segment is truncated by 2.5 mm, and the distal portion is narrower when compared to the ninth segment on the left pleural lobe. All malformed segments have reduced pleural spines that are \sim 50% smaller when compared to undamaged segments, indicating pleural spine regeneration (*Pates et al., 2017*).



Figure 2 Injured *Redlichia (Pteroredlichia) chinensis (Walcott, 1905)* from the Balang Formation (Cambrian *Series 2, Stage 4)*, Hunan, South China. (A) Complete specimen (BGEG-HXB-02) showing two injuries. (B, C) Close-up of the injury on the left pleural lobe. (B) V-shaped indentation. (C) Same as B showing overlap of the second (yellow) and third (red) pleural segments and injury (blue). (D, E) Close-up of injury on right pleural lobe. (D) U-shaped indentation. (E) Same as D showing injury (blue). Abbreviation: ts., thoracic segment.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.14185/fig-2

DISCUSSION

Several factors likely produced malformations in trilobites. These include failed predation or molting resulting in injuries, developmental malformations producing teratologies, and pathological infections (*e.g.*, *Šnajdr*, 1978; *Rudkin*, 1985; *Owen*, 1985; *Babcock*, 1993; *Babcock*, 2003; *Owen & Tilsley*, 1996; *Chen*, 2011; *Fatka*, *Budil & Grigar*, 2015; *Bicknell & Pates*, 2020; *Bicknell & Smith*, 2021; *De Baets et al.*, 2021; *Zong*, 2021b). Morphologically comparable abnormalities are observed in modern arthropods (*Juanes & Smith*, 1995; *Brandt*, 2002; *Pandourski & Evtimova*, 2005; *Pandourski & Evtimova*, 2009; *Hopkins & Das*, 2015; *Bicknell*, *Pates & Botton*, 2018; *Bicknell et al.*, 2022b; *Das et al.*, 2021; *De Baets et al.*, 2021), supporting the hypotheses that trilobites experienced similar malformations as extant species. Abnormal trilobite and horseshoe crab specimens with U-, V- or Wshaped breakages, reduced segments, and evidence of cicatrization or regeneration are considered indicative of non-lethal predation (*Šnajdr*, 1978; *Babcock*, 1993; *Nedin*, 1999; Zhu et al., 2007; Bicknell & Paterson, 2018; Bicknell, Pates & Botton, 2018; Bicknell et al., 2022a; Pates & Bicknell, 2019; Bicknell & Pates, 2020; Zong, 2021b). Two indentations documented here are V- and U-shaped with cicatrization on the left injury and pleural spine regeneration on the right injury. Given this, we confidently assign these indentations to failed predation within the Balang Formation. More importantly, these injuries show distinctly different stages of recovery (Fig. 2).

Trilobites are thought to have recovered from injury in an antero-posterior manner, such that anterior segments show markedly more recovery than posterior sections (*e.g.*, *Šnajdr*, 1981; *Conway Morris & Jenkins*, 1985; *Babcock*, 1993; *McNamara & Tuura*, 2011). This pattern is common to modern arthropods and annelids and is controlled by segmentation polarity genes (*McNamara & Tuura*, 2011). In BGEG-HXB-02, the anterior injury shows cicatrization, but no evidence for segment regeneration. This presents an apparent conundrum. If we assume the injuries were incurred at the same time, we directly contradict fundamental theories on arthropod development (*McNamara & Tuura*, 2011). The most parsimonious explanation for the observed pattern is that the posterior injury was incurred first. This injury was able to regenerate before the more anterior injury was incurred.

The presence of two injuries from two distinct attacks demonstrates that Cambrian trilobites could have experienced multiple attacks during their life cycle. This has important implications for Cambrian predator–prey systems, especially with comparison to modern systems. Extant predators in both terrestrial and marine ecosystems will target weaker prey within a population as these individuals require less energy in predation and likely represent a more successful attack (*Temple, 1987; Mesa et al., 1994; Hethcote et al., 2004; Peharda & Morton, 2006; Genovart et al., 2010*). It seems that predation targeting more vulnerable individuals had therefore arisen in the Cambrian and may have allowed the first durophages to maximizing net energy gain (*i.e.*, energy derived from prey after accounting for energy lost during predation; *Forsman, 1996; Gosselin & Chia, 1996*) during predation. Finally, the rarity of trilobite specimens with multiple distinct injuries likely reflects an increased rate of successful predation, and a higher rate of mortality in previously injured individuals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the constructive comments from Kenneth McNamara, Jean Vannier, Oldřich Fatka and editor Kenneth De Baets that improved the clarity and scope of the manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 42072041), an Australian Research Council grant (DP200102005) and a University of New England Postdoctoral Fellowship. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Natural Science Foundation of China: 42072041. Australian Research Council: DP200102005. University of New England Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Ruiwen Zong conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Russell D.C. Bicknell performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data are available in Figures 1 and 2.

The specimen is stored in the State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China: BGEG-HXB-02.

REFERENCES

- **Babcock LE. 1993.** Trilobite malformations and the fossil record of behavioral asymmetry. *Journal of Paleontology* **67(2)**:217–229 DOI 10.1017/S0022336000032145.
- **Babcock LE. 2003.** Trilobites in Paleozoic predatorprey systems, and their role in reorganization of early Paleozoic ecosystems. In: Kelley PH, Kowalewski M, Hansen TA, eds. *Predator–prey interactions in the fossil record*. New York: Spinger, 55–92.
- Babcock LE. 2007. Role of malformations in elucidating trilobite paleobiology: a historical synthesis. In: Mikulic DG, Landing E, Kluessendorf J, eds. *Fabulous fossils—300 years of worldwide research on trilobites*. New York: The University of State of New York, 3–19.
- Babcock LE, Robison RA. 1989. Preferences of Palaeozoic predators. *Nature* 337(23):695–696 DOI 10.1038/337695c0.
- **Bicknell RDC, Holland B. 2020.** Injured trilobites within a collection of dinosaurs: using the royal tyrrell museum of palaeontology to document Cambrian predation. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **23**:a33 DOI 10.26879/1087.
- Bicknell RDC, Holmes JD, Pates S, García-Bellido DC, Paterson JR. 2022a. Cambrian carnage: trilobite predator–prey interactions in the Emu Bay Shale of South Australia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 591:110877 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2022.110877.
- **Bicknell RDC, Paterson JR. 2018.** Reappraising the early evidence of durophagy and drilling predation in the fossil record: implications for escalation and the Cambrian Explosion. *Biological Reviews* **93**(2):754–784 DOI 10.1111/brv.12365.

- **Bicknell RDC, Pates S. 2020.** Exploring abnormal Cambrian-aged trilobites in the Smithsonian collection. *PeerJ* **8**:e8453 DOI 10.7717/peerj.8453.
- **Bicknell RDC, Pates S, Botton ML. 2018.** Abnormal xiphosurids, with possible application to Cambrian trilobites. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **21(2)**:1–17 DOI 10.26879/866.
- **Bicknell RDC, Pates S, Kaiser D, Zakrzewski S, Botton ML. 2022b.** Applying records of extant and extinct horseshoe crab abnormalities to xiphosurid conservation. In: Tanacredi JT, Botton ML, Shin PKS, Iwasaki Y, Cheung SG, Kwan KY, Mattei JH, eds. *International horseshoe crab conservation and research efforts: 2007–2020— conservation of horseshoe crabs species globally*. Berlin: Springer Nature, 85–104.
- **Bicknell RDC, Smith PM. 2021.** Teratological trilobites from the Silurian (Wenlock and Ludlow) of Australia. *The Science of Nature* **108**:58 DOI 10.1007/s00114-021-01766-6.
- **Bicknell RDC, Smith PM, Bruthansová J, Holland B. 2021.** Malformed trilobites from the Ordovician and Devonian. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift* **96**:1–10 DOI 10.1007/s12542-021-00572-9.
- **Brandt DS. 2002.** Ecydsial efficiency and evolutionary efficacy among marine arthropods: Implications for trilobite survivorship. *Alcheringa* **26**(**3**):399–421 DOI 10.1080/03115510208619264.
- **Brett CE. 2003.** Durophagous predation in Paleozoic marine benthic assemblages. In: Kelley PH, Kowalewski M, Hansen TA, eds. *Predator–prey interactions in the fossil record.* New York: Springer, 401–432.
- **Brett CE, Walker SE. 2002.** Predators and predation in Paleozoic marine environments. *Paleontological Society Papers* **8**:93–118 DOI 10.1017/S1089332600001078.
- **Chen GY. 2011.** The Silurian trilobite *Coronocephalus gaoluoensis* Wu from western Hunan: its features, exuviation and abnormalities. PhD Thesis, Chinese University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, 1–93.
- **Chen ZP. 2019.** The trilobite biostratigraphy of the Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4 in Jianhe County, Guizhou Province. Master Thesis, Guizhou University 1–73.
- **Chen ZP, Zhao YL. 2018.** Trilobite *Redlichia* from the Cambrian Tsinghsutung Formation (*Series 2, Stage 4*) of Eastern Guizhou, South China. *Journal of Guizhou University (Natural Sciences)* **35(4)**:54–61.
- Cheng MR, Han J, Ou Q, Zhang ZF, Guo J, Zhang XL. 2019. Injured trilobite *Eoredichia intermedia* from the Early Cambrian Chengjiang biota. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 58(4):425–435 DOI 10.19800/j.cnki.aps.2019.04.001.
- **Conway Morris S, Jenkins RJF. 1985.** Healed injuries in early Cambrian trilobites from South Australia. *Alcheringa* **9(3)**:167–177 DOI 10.1080/03115518508618965.
- **Daley AC, Drage HB. 2016.** The fossil record of ecdysis, and trends in the moulting behaviour of trilobites. *Arthropod Structure & Development* **45(2)**:71–96 DOI 10.1016/j.asd.2015.09.004.
- Das S, Tripathy B, Subramanian KA, Bicknell RDC. 2021. On abnormal *Carcinoscorpius rotundicauda* (Latreille, 1802)(Chelicerata: Xiphosurida) from the Indian

Sundarbans and possible conservation directions. *Arthropoda Selecta* **30**(1):63–88 DOI 10.15298/arthsel.30.1.06.

- **De Baets K, Budil P, Fatka O, Geyer G. 2021.** Trilobites as hosts for parasites: from paleopathologies to etiologies. In: De Baets K, Huntley JW, eds. *The evolution and fossil record of parasitism: coevolution and paleoparasitological techniques.* Cham: Springer International Publishing, 173–201 DOI 10.1007/978-3-030-52233-9_6.
- **Drage HB. 2019.** Quantifying intra- and interspecific variability in trilobite moulting behaviour across the Palaeozoic. *Palaeontologia Electronica* **22.2.34**:1–39 DOI 10.26879/940.
- Fatka O, Budil P, Grigar L. 2015. A unique case of healed injury in a Cambrian trilobite. *Annales de Paleontologie* 101(4):295–299 DOI 10.1016/j.annpal.2015.10.001.
- Forsman A. 1996. Body size and net energy gain in gape-limited predators: a model. *Journal of Herpetology* **30(3)**:307–319 DOI 10.2307/1565167.
- Genovart M, Negre N, Tavecchia G, Bistuer A, Parpal L, Oro D. 2010. The young, the weak and the sick: evidence of natural selection by predation. *PLOS ONE* 5(3):e9774 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0009774.
- **Gosselin LA, Chia F-S. 1996.** Prey selection by inexperienced predators: do early juvenile snails maximize net energy gains on their first attack? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **199(1)**:45–58 DOI 10.1016/0022-0981(95)00190-5.
- Hethcote HW, Wang W, Han L, Ma Z. 2004. A predator–prey model with infected prey. *Theoretical Population Biology* 66(3):259–268 DOI 10.1016/j.tpb.2004.06.010.
- Hopkins PM, Das S. 2015. Regeneration in crustaceans. In: Chang ES, Thiel M, eds. *The natural history of the Crustacea*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 168–198.
- Hughes NC. 2007. The evolution of trilobite body patterning. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* **35(1)**:401–434 DOI 10.1146/annurev.earth.35.031306.140258.
- Juanes F, Smith LD. 1995. The ecological consequences of limb damage and loss in decapod crustaceans: a review and prospectus. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 193(1–2):197–223 DOI 10.1016/0022-0981(95)00118-2.
- Liang BY, Peng J, Wen RQ, Liu S. 2017. Ontogeny of the trilobite *Redlichia (Pteroredlichia) chinensis (Walcott, 1905)* from the Cambrian Balang Formation. *Acta Palaeontologica Sinica* 56(1):25–36.
- Liu Q, Lei QP. 2013. Discovery of an exceptionally preserved fossil assemblage in the Balang Formation (Cambrian *Series 2*, Stage 4) in Hunan, China. *Alcheringa* 37(2):269–271 DOI 10.1080/03115518.2013.764663.
- McNamara KJ, Tuura ME. 2011. Evidence for segment polarity during regeneration in the Devonian asteropygine trilobite *Greenops widderensis*. *Journal of Paleontology* **85(1)**:106–110 DOI 10.2307/23019504.
- Mesa MG, Poe TP, Gadomski DM, Petersen JH. 1994. Are all prey created equal? A review and synthesis of differential predation on prey in substandard condition. *Journal of Fish Biology* **45**(Supplement A):81–96 DOI 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1994.tb01085.x.
- Nedin C. 1999. Anomalocaris predation on nonmineralized and mineralized trilobites. *Geology* 27(11):987–990 DOI 10.1130/0091-7613(1999)027%3c0987:APONAM.

- **Ou Q, Shu DG, Han J, Zhang XL, Zhang ZF, Liu JN. 2009.** A juvenile redlichiid trilobite caught on the move: evidence from the Cambrian (*Series 2*) Chengjiang Lagerstätte, southwestern China. *Palaios* **24**(7):473–477 DOI 10.2110/palo.2008.p08-105r.
- **Owen AW. 1985.** Trilobite abnormalities. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* **76(2–3)**:255–272 DOI 10.1017/s0263593300010488.
- Owen AW, Tilsley JW. 1996. An abnormal pygidium of the trilobite *Brachymetopus* ornatus Woodward from the Lower Carboniferous of Derbyshire. Geological Journal 31(4):389–392

DOI 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1034(199612)31:4<389::AID-GJ718>3.0.CO;2-X.

- **Pandourski I, Evtimova V. 2005.** Teratological morphology of copepods (Crustacea) from Iceland. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica* **57**(**3**):305–312.
- **Pandourski I, Evtimova V. 2009.** Morphological variability and teratology of lower crustaceans (Copepoda and Branchiopoda) from circumpolar regions. *Acta Zoologica Bulgarica* **61(1)**:55–67.
- Pates S, Bicknell RDC. 2019. Elongated thoracic spines as potential predatory deterrents in olenelline trilobites from the lower Cambrian of Nevada. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology* 516(15):295–306 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2018.12.013.
- Pates S, Bicknell RDC, Daley AC, Zamora S. 2017. Quantitative analysis of repaired and unrepaired damage to trilobites from the Cambrian (*Stage 4*, Drumian) Iberian Chains, NE Spain. *Palaios* 32(12):750–761 DOI 10.2110/palo.2017.055.
- Peharda M, Morton B. 2006. Experimental prey species preferences of *Hexaplex trunculus* (Gastropoda: Muricidae) and predator–prey interactions with the Black mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Bivalvia: Mytilidae). *Marine Biology* 148:1011–1019 DOI 10.1007/s00227-005-0148-5.
- **Peng J. 2009.** The Qiandongian (Cambrian) Balang Fauna from eastern Guizhou, South China. PhD Thesis, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China, 1–137.
- Peng SC, Babcock LE, Zhu XJ, Dai T. 2018. A new oryctocephalid trilobite from the Balang Formation (Cambrian *Stage 4*) of northwestern Hunan, South China, with remarks on the classification of oryctocephalids. *Palaeoworld* 27(3):322–333 DOI 10.1016/j.palwor.2018.04.005.
- Peng J, Zhao YL, Wu YS, Yuan JL, Tai TS. 2005. The Balang Fauna—a new early Cambrian fauna from Kaili City, Guizhou Province. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 50(11):1159–1162 DOI 10.1360/982005-183.
- **Pratt BR. 1998.** Probable predation on Upper Cambrian trilobites and its relevance for the extinction of soft-bodied Burgess Shale-type animals. *Lethaia* **31**(1):73–88 DOI 10.1111/j.1502-3931.1998.tb00493.x.
- **Qin Q, Peng J, Fu XP, Da Y. 2010.** Restudy of *Changaspis* (Lee), 1961 from Qiandongian (Early Cambrian) Balang Formation near eastern Guizhou, south China. *Acta Plaeontological Sinica* **49(2)**:220–230.
- Rudkin DM. 1985. Exoskeletal abnormalities in four trilobites. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 22(3):479–483 DOI 10.1139/e85-047.

- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nature Methods* 9(7):671–675 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.2089.
- **Šnajdr M. 1978.** Anomalous carapaces of Bohemian paradoxid trilobites. *Sborník geologických věd, Paleontologie* **20**:7–31.
- **Šnajdr M. 1979.** Two trinucleid trilobites with repair of traumatic injury. *Věstník Ústředního ústavu geologického* **54**(1):49–50.
- **Šnajdr M. 1981.** Bohemian Proetidae with malformed exoskeletons (Trilobita). *Sborník Geologických Věd Paleontologie* **24**:37–60.
- Temple SA. 1987. Do predators always capture substandard individuals disproportionately from prey populations? *Ecology* 68(3):669–674 DOI 10.2307/1938472.
- Walcott CD. 1905. Cambrian faunas of China. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 29(1415):1–106 DOI 10.5479/si.00963801.1415.
- Wilmot NV, Fallick AE. 1989. Original mineralogy of trilobite exoskeletons. *Palaeontology* 32(2):297–304.
- Yin GZ. 1996. Division and correlation of Cambrian in Guizhou. *Guizhou Geology* 13(2):115–128.
- Zamora S, Mayoral E, Esteve J, Gámez-Vintaned JA, Santos A. 2011. Exoskeletal abnormalities in paradoxidid trilobites from the Cambrian of Spain, and a new type of bite trace. *Bulletin of Geosciences* **86**(3):665–673 DOI 10.3140/bull.geosci.1275.
- **Zhao YL, Yuan JL, Zhang ZH, Mao JR, Huang YZ, Gong XY, Wang K. 1993.** Preliminary study of the Kaili Formation and its synchronous strata in the transitional belt of South China. *Journal of Stratigraphy* **17**(**3**):171–178.
- Zhu XJ, Peng SC, Du SX, Hu YS. 2007. Ontogeny and malformation of *Tamdaspis jingxiensis* sp. nov. (trilobite, Cambrian) from Jingxi, Guangxi, China. *Acta Palaeon-tologica Sinica* 46(2):225–231.
- Zhu MY, Sun ZX, Yang AH, Yuan JL, Li GX, Zhou ZQ, Zhang JM. 2021. Lithostratigraphic subdivision and correlation of the Cambrian in China. *Journal of Stratigraphy* **45**(3):223–249 DOI 10.19839/j.cnki.dcxzz.2021.0022.
- **Zong RW. 2021a.** Injuries and molting interference in a trilobite from the Cambrian (Furongian) of South China. *PeerJ* **9**:e11201 DOI 10.7717/peerj.11201.
- **Zong RW. 2021b.** Abnormalities in early Paleozoic trilobites from central and eastern China. *Palaeoworld* **30**(**3**):430–439 DOI 10.1016/j.palwor.2020.07.003.