

ARTICLE

Received 25 Jan 2017 | Accepted 29 Mar 2017 | Published 19 May 2017

DOI: 10.1038/ncomms15470

OPEN

Structure of the quaternary complex between SRP, SR, and translocon bound to the translating ribosome

Ahmad Jomaa¹, Yu-Hsien Hwang Fu², Daniel Boehringer¹, Marc Leibundgut¹, Shu-ou Shan² & Nenad Ban¹

During co-translational protein targeting, the signal recognition particle (SRP) binds to the translating ribosome displaying the signal sequence to deliver it to the SRP receptor (SR) on the membrane, where the signal peptide is transferred to the translocon. Using electron cryo-microscopy, we have determined the structure of a quaternary complex of the translating *Escherichia coli* ribosome, the SRP-SR in the 'activated' state and the translocon. Our structure, supported by biochemical experiments, reveals that the SRP RNA adopts a kinked and untwisted conformation to allow repositioning of the 'activated' SRP-SR complex on the ribosome. In addition, we observe the translocon positioned through interactions with the SR in the vicinity of the ribosome exit tunnel where the signal sequence is extending beyond its hydrophobic binding groove of the SRP M domain towards the translocon. Our study provides new insights into the mechanism of signal sequence transfer from the SRP to the translocon.

¹Department of Biology, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biophysics, Otto-Stern-Weg 5, ETH, Zurich CH-8093, Switzerland. ²Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.B. (email: ban@mol.biol.ethz.ch).

embrane proteins are targeted to the membrane while being synthesized by ribosomes. In this process the emerging signal sequence is recognized by the signal recognition particle (SRP) and delivered to the membrane to interact with the SRP receptor (SR)¹⁻³, where the nascent chain is transferred to the Sec translocon^{4,5}. Our mechanistic understanding of co-translational protein targeting and membrane insertion is based on structures and biochemical experiments of isolated components of the machinery, as well as ribosomal complexes with either SRP and SR or the translocon^{6–10}. In bacteria, SRP is a ribonucleoprotein complex consisting of the SRP protein (Ffh) and the 4.5S SRP RNA that forms a hairpin structure. SRP binds to ribosome-nascent chain complexes (RNCs) displaying signal sequences. RNCs are then delivered to the membrane through interaction of SRP with SR (FtsY), during which the N and GTPase domains (termed the NG domain) in both Ffh and SR form a GTP-dependent heterodimer. The initial binding of SR occurs close to the ribosomal tunnel exit and involves interactions with the tetraloop at the tip of the SRP RNA hairpin (early state)^{9,11–13}. Subsequently, stimulated by the binding of translocon¹⁴, the NG heterodimer detaches from the tetraloop (closed state) and repositions to the distal region of the SRP RNA, where insertion of an RNA base into the GTPase active site of SRP and SR induces GTP hydrolysis (activated state)^{15–17}. Relocation of the NG heterodimer allows the translocon to take over the signal sequence bound to the M-domain of Ffh and to get access to its binding site on the ribosomal tunnel exit.

Previous cryo-EM studies of targeting complexes from eukaryotic and bacterial systems could only resolve the SRP–SR complex in the 'early' or the 'closed' states. Bacterial complexes of the closed state depicted an NG heterodimer detached from the SRP RNA tetraloop in which the distal region of the SRP RNA could not be fully visualized due to flexibility^{9,18}. Previous cryo-EM studies on the eukaryotic SRP–SR complex revealed an additional density at the SRP distal region¹⁹ that was recently interpreted by docking a crystal structure of the eukaryotic NG heterodimer into this density^{20–22}.

Several studies proposed a model for the transfer of the nascent chain from the targeting to the membrane insertion machinery where the SRP, SR and the translocon could bind simultaneously on the ribosome in a transient quaternary complex to transfer the signal sequence from the SRP M-domain to the translocon^{7–10,23–26}. According to this model, the translocon is initially recruited to the targeting complex via hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions with the intrinsically disordered A-domain of SR^{23,24,27}. However, in spite of its central importance in the SRP cycle, such a quaternary complex has never been visualized and it is currently not understood how the final events of this process are spatially and temporally orchestrated. To better understand these late series of events, we assembled a quaternary complex including *E. coli* SRP, SR and the SecYEG translocon and a translating ribosome and then resolved its structure using electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM).

Results

Structure of the RNC-SRP-SR-SecYEG quaternary complex. To biochemically characterize the formation of a quaternary complex, we used a previously established *in vitro* translation system²⁸ to programme ribosomes to translate a nascent chain containing the first trans-membrane helix of the FtsQ protein (RNC_{FtsQ}-85), a physiological substrate for SRP mediated co-translational protein targeting^{29,30}. Co-sedimentation assays demonstrated that in the presence of either a non-hydrolysable GTP analogue guanosine 5'-[β , γ -imido]triphosphate (GMPPNP) or a transition state analogue (GDP:AlFx), SRP, SR and SecYEG co-sediment with the RNC in stoichiometric amounts (Supplementary Fig. 1). These

results confirm previous observations that a quaternary complex can be formed on the translating ribosome^{23,24,31}.

In the presence of a transition state mimic (GDP:AlFx), the isolated SRP–SR was previously shown to adopt the 'activated' state primed for GTP hydrolysis¹⁷. However, such complex was never visualized on the translating ribosome. To resolve the SRP–SR NG heterodimer in the activated state, we assembled a quaternary complex between the SRP, SR, SecYEG and the RNC in the presence of GDP:AlFx. To increase the stability of the complex during the freezing procedure for our cryo-EM studies, we used the RNC_{1A9L}-85 construct, as it was shown to exhibit high binding affinities to SRP, SRP–SR and the Sec translocon both in biochemical³² and recent structural studies⁹. This signal sequence is active in protein targeting, as evidenced by stimulation of the GTPase activity of the heterodimer and formation of the quaternary complex with the Sec translocon^{14,31}.

The quaternary complex, comprising E. coli SRP, SR and the translocon assembled on a translating ribosome, was then investigated by crvo-EM. Image classification revealed that in the sample used for the cryo-EM experiment, 16% of the particles contain the quaternary complex. In this complex, the NG heterodimer is in the activated state bound to the distal site of the SRP RNA, where we observe the translocon positioned near the polypeptide exit tunnel ('Methods' and Supplementary Fig. 2, top panel). The SRP RNA is visible in its entirety, along with fully interpretable densities for all domains of Ffh and FtsY as well as a density for the SecYEG translocon, which is anchored by the SR near the ribosome exit tunnel. In addition, 12% of the particles represented the recently visualized SRP-SR complex in the 'early' state⁹, a conformation in which no density for the translocon was observed. To improve the resolution of the factors bound in the quaternary complex, additional image sorting and refinement were performed (Supplementary Fig. 2, bottom panel) yielding a final reconstruction resolved to 4.8 Å resolution (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 1).

Conformation of the SRP RNA in the activated SRP-SR complex. Previous structural studies indicated that in the presence of GMPPNP, the bacterial NG heterodimer detaches from the SRP RNA tetraloop and the distal region of the RNA becomes disordered (closed state; Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 4)9,18. Notably, densities for the NG heterodimer or the Sec translocon were not observed in these complexes. In the presence of the GDP:AlFx used in this study, we can now visualize the SRP RNA in its entire length. Starting from the tetraloop, it is kinked upward by 30° and untwisted at the distal site by a 40° rotation, adopting a distinct conformation with the distal region of the SRP RNA lifted by 40 Å away from the surface of the ribosome (Fig. 2a,c, Supplementary Movies 1 and 2). The density of the NG heterodimer at the distal site was locally resolved to \sim 7-8 Å (Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 3), which allowed us to unambiguously dock without any further adjustments the crystal structure of the GDP:AlF₄ trapped NG heterodimer in complex with the distal region of the SRP RNA (helix 5 of domain S) (Supplementary Table 2)¹⁷. In addition, the density for the GM linker connecting the M and NG domains could be interpreted as an α helix, similarly as observed in the crystal structure of the isolated SRP-SR complex (Supplementary Fig. 4c and $d)^{15}$.

Due to twisting of the SRP RNA, the NG heterodimer and the distal region of SRP RNA is rotated by 40° and positioned between the SRP RNA and the ribosome. It contacts H100 of the 23S rRNA through a loop that connects the G-domain of the SRP protein to its N-domain, which we refer to as the 'NG loop' (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. 4e). Three conserved residues in this



Figure 1 | Structure of the SRP-SR-Sec translocon and RNC quaternary complex. (a) Cryo-EM reconstruction of the SRP-SR-Sec translocon with RNC quaternary complex at 4.8 Å (SRP RNA—orange, SRP protein M domain—cyan, SRP protein NG domain—dark blue, SR—green, translocon—red). (b) Representative view of the conformation of the SRP RNA and the SRP-SR NG heterodimer in the 'activated' state, with overlaid EM density filtered to 5 Å. (c) Cross-section of the unsharpened EM-density map of the quaternary complex showing secondary structural elements of the Sec translocon within the unstructured detergent micelle. (d) The structure of the complex shown towards the ribosomal tunnel exit. Structures that were interpreted with atomic coordinates are shown as spheres, whereas, the A domain of the receptor and the Sec translocon are depicted as a surface representation. Signal sequence (SS) is shown in magenta.

loop (Gln⁹⁸, Pro⁹⁹ and Pro⁹⁹) are in close contact with the rRNA and possibly mediate the interaction with the ribosome (Supplementary Fig. 4f). The contact area between the NG loop and the ribosome replaces the contacts between the ribosome and the SRP RNA observed in all earlier RNC–SRP targeting complexes in both bacterial and eukaryotic systems and was suggested to have a role in GTP hydrolysis^{9,33,34}. The extended conformation of the SRP RNA seen in early targeting complexes^{15,19} would not be compatible with a conformation necessary for GTPase stimulation ¹⁷ because the NG heterodimer would clash with the ribosomal surface. However, GTPase stimulation is now possible when the SRP RNA is raised up from the ribosomal surface to accommodate the SRP–SR NG heterodimer between the RNA and the ribosome, as observed in this structure (Fig. 2a,c).

The C-loop is essential for SRP-SR GTPase activation. The rotation and kinking of the SRP RNA occurs at structurally conserved regions termed the C- and E-loops (Supplementary Fig. 5). In bacteria, the E-loop was reported to be essential in GTPase activation^{17,35}, and a rotation in this region was previously observed^{17,19,20}. In contrast, the position of the C-loop coincides with a three-way junction present in eukaryotic SRPs, and a 20° bending of this region downwards

toward the ribosome at rRNA helix H100 was observed upon binding of the SRP68 and SRP72 proteins to the SRP RNA^{20,21,34}. However, the C-loop was never implicated in conformational changes that lead to GTP hydrolysis.

To test whether the ability of SRP RNA to adopt the kinked conformation at the C-loop is required for recruitment of the translocon in the activated RNC-SRP-SR complex, we designed a mutant in which this loop is closed by introducing base-pairing residues to limit the conformational flexibility of the RNA (dCPL mutant; Fig. 2d). We tested for GTPase hydrolysis rates and formation of the SRP-SR complex in the presence and absence of the RNC and the Sec translocon. The GTPase activity of the SRP-SR complex in this assay strictly correlates with movement of the SRP-SR NG heterodimer to the distal site of SRP RNA in single-molecule analyses^{16,35}. As previously reported³¹, the GTPase rate of the wildtype SRP-SR complex was reduced by the RNC and re-activated upon addition of the SecYEG complex (Fig. 2e). In contrast, closing the C-loop of the SRP RNA abolished GTPase stimulation exhibited by the translocon (Fig. 2e, red). A control experiment revealed that the dCPL mutation had no effect on the assembly rate of the SRP-SR complex, which is reflected by the value of $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{m}}$ in the stimulated GTPase reaction of SRP with SR (Fig. 2f). This further corroborates that the loss of GTPase activity in the dCPL mutant is a direct consequence of the reduced flexibility of the SRP RNA,



Figure 2 | Conformation of the SRP-SR ribosome complex in the 'activated' state. (a) Atomic model of the SRP-SR complex bound to the RNC in the 'activated' state. The curved arrows demonstrate a kink upwards by 30° and an anti-clockwise untwisting by 40° in the SRP RNA, compared to b. (b) Composite model of the NG heterodimer as seen in the crystal structure of the isolated SRP-SR complex (PDB:2XXA), here shown docked on the ribosome based on the known position of the M-domain (PDB:5GAG). (c) Close-up view of the interaction between H100 of the rRNA and the NG loop of SRP displayed as cartoon. (d) Secondary structure of the *E. coli* SRP RNA: the tetraloop, M-domain, GTPase activation and NG heterodimer docking sites are highlighted in grey. The SRP RNA C-loop region, where the 30° kink in the RNA is observed, is shown in red. (e) Effect of the C-loop mutation of the SRP-SR GTPase hydrolysis rate (k_{cat}) in the presence and the absence of the RNC_{1A9L}-85 and the Sec translocon. (f) Effect of the C-loop mutation on the assembly rate constant of the SRP-SR complex (k_{cat}/K_m) with or without RNC and SecYEG present.

which prevents the distal site from accommodating the NG heterodimer. These results, in combination with our structural observations, suggest a mechanism where the conformational change in the C-loop of the SRP RNA is required for the recruitment of the translocon to activate the SRP–SR GTPases in the quaternary complex.

SecYEG is positioned by the SR adjacent to the tunnel exit. In our quaternary structure, we also observe an additional diskshaped density connected to the SR that resides ~ 30 Å from the SRP M-domain and the ribosome exit tunnel. Local resolution estimation of this region indicates that this density was resolved at 7–12 Å (Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table 3) revealing the funnel shape features of the translocon³⁶⁻³⁸ within the detergent micelle. Due to the limited resolution of this region, we can only obtain a tentative fit of the Sec translocon based on the X-ray structure of the translocon from Thermus thermophilus³⁹ using the cytoplasmic loops (loop 6/7 and loop 8/9) as a guide (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary Movie 3, Supplementary Table 2). Although the conformation of the SRP-SR at the distal site is compatible with the membrane plane (Supplementary Fig. 6d), the translocon would have to tilt by $\sim 20^{\circ}$ relative to its position to be accommodated in the membrane environment as observed in the native nonsolubilized state⁴⁰ or in nano-discs⁴¹. In our quaternary complex, we also observe the translocon in contact with the ribosome (Fig. 3a). Although the functional relevance of these interactions is currently not clear, it is possible that they stabilize the activated state of the SRP–SR complex in agreement with the observation that the translocon stimulates their GTPase activity (Fig. 2e).

The bound translocon is surrounded by the density that can be attributed to the detergent micelle; however, we also observe a region of stronger density connecting it to the NG domain of the SR (Supplementary Fig. 7). Although in this area the secondary structure elements are not resolved, we assigned this density to the SR A-domain since previous studies showed that the A-domain binds to both the membrane and the translocon^{23,24,42–45}. Furthermore, such a positioning of the A-domain between the translocon and the NG domain of the SR is consistent with recent biochemical results, which indicate that the translocon activates the receptor by binding to the A-domain and separating it from the NG domain²⁷.

The positioning of SecYEG relative to the signal sequence. The density in the vicinity of the ribosomal tunnel exit is resolved to \sim 5–6 Å resolution (Supplementary Fig. 3f, Supplementary Table 3) and can be interpreted by fitting high-resolution structures of the M-domain in complex with helix 8 and the tetraloop of the 4.5S SRP RNA (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Movie 4)^{9,46,47}. Notably, the signal sequence in this complex extends 15 Å from the SRP M-domain towards the Sec translocon along the surface of the ribosome in the vicinity of H59 of the rRNA (Fig. 3, Supplementary Movie 5). Such an extension of the



Figure 3 | Localization of the translocon and the signal sequence in the quaternary complex. (a) Position of the Sec translocon on the RNC in close proximity to the extending signal sequence, bound to the SRP M domain, and the A-domain of SR. (b) Close-up views of the interactions between the M-domain fingerloop of the SRP protein and the ribosomal protein uL29 (wheat), where the signal sequence extends three helical turns outwards from the M-domain.



Figure 4 | Conformational state of the M-domain. (a) EM densities of the quaternary complex in the pre-transfer state (this study) with fitted atomic coordinates. (b) EM density of the closed state of the SRP-SR complex solved in the presence of GMPPNP (EMDB:8003, PDB:5GAG), filtered to 5 Å. (c) Overlay the two atomic coordinates to illustrate the conformational change. Atomic coordinates of the M-domain and the signal sequence in the 'closed' state are shown in grey. Colouring scheme is identical to Fig. 1.

signal sequence was not observed in the closed state complex (Fig. 4a,b) or in structural studies of complexes corresponding to earlier stages of the SRP targeting cycle from bacterial or eukaryotic systems^{9,18,33,34,48,49}. Due to the conformational change in the SRP RNA, which is kinked upwards on the distal site and acts as a lever, we observe that the M-domain is now raised on one side, in contrast to its position in the RNC–SRP–SR

complex in the closed state (Fig. 4c). This observed conformation of the M-domain is possibly required for reducing the affinity of SRP and its subsequent replacement by the translocon on the surface of the ribosome.

Previous studies have reported that SRP binding on the ribosome and the rate of signal sequence transfer to the translocon is dependent on the length of the nascent chain⁵⁰⁻⁵⁴.

Considering that the shorter nascent chains used here to trap the quaternary complex lead to transfer of the signal sequence at a slower rate^{14,55}, it is possible that the positioning of the translocon in our reconstruction corresponds to the cargo 'pre-transfer' state, where the inactive translocon awaits the extending signal sequence. Continued protein synthesis will increase the length of the nascent chain, eventually allowing it to bind to the translocon. Nascent chain handover would then be followed by repositioning of the translocon to the ribosomal tunnel exit and displacement of the M-domain.

To provide experimental evidence for this sequence of events, we investigated the effect of a 50 amino acids longer nascent chain $(RNC_{1A9L}-135)^{14}$ on the formation of a quaternary complex by performing cryo-EM analysis of the RNC in the presence of SRP, SR, the translocon and GDP:AlFx. We observe that the translocon is predominantly accommodated at the ribosomal tunnel in this complex (Supplementary Fig. 8), whereas no such class was observed in the data set containing the quaternary complex (Supplementary Fig. 2). In combination with biochemical data¹⁴, our structures with nascent chains of different length suggest that the conformation of the quaternary complex observed here corresponds to a 'pre-transfer' state.

Discussion

The structure of the quaternary 'pre-transfer' complex provides a framework for a better understanding of the process of signal sequence handover. We show that the SRP RNA adopts a kinked and untwisted conformation to accommodate the 'activated' state of the SRP-SR NG heterodimer at the distal site and that this conformation is required for the stimulation of GTP hydrolysis to complete the targeting process (Fig. 5). In addition, we visualize a quaternary complex where the translocon is anchored via the SR, prior to cargo handover. The signal sequence is observed extending beyond its binding pocket in the direction of the recruited translocon. We also show that longer nascent chains will capture the translocon and increase the rate of its accommodation at the tunnel exit, where a displacement of the M-domain would occur as proposed previously^{7-10,19,23-26}. These results, combined with previous structural and biochemical work, now provide a nearly complete overview of the functional states that take place during the process of co-translational membrane protein targeting and insertion.

Methods

Protein purification. pET24aFfh and pUC19Ffs were co-transformed into E. coli strain BL21Star(DE3) (Invitrogen). Cells were induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 3 h at 37 °C, and then lysed using a French press. The lysate was cleared for 15 min twice at 30,000g using a Sorvall centrifuge. SRP was captured from the cleared cell lysate via His-Trap column (GE Healthcare), followed by ion exchange (MonoQ, GE Healthcare) over a 20-40% gradient of 1 M KCl in Buffer A (50 mM HEPES-KOH, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM TCEP, 5% glycerol, pH 8.0) followed by size exclusion (S200, GE Healthcare) chromatography. FtsY was expressed from a pET24aFtsY vector using a similar purification procedure as described for the SRP and stored in Buffer B (50 mM HEPES-KOH, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 5% glycerol, pH 7.3). Bacterial translocon (SecYEG) was purified from BL21C43 (DE3) cells transformed with pTrc99a_SecYEG with 1 mM IPTG at 18 °C for 16 h. Cell membranes were solubilized for 1 h using a final concentration of 1% n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM) for a 10 mg ml -1 protein solution. Purification of the solubilized membranes was carried out using His-Trap, SP Sepharose, and S200 columns (GE Healthcare) in Buffer B and a final concentration of 0.02% of DDM. All samples were concentrated and frozen at -80 °C until further use.

Preparation of RNC complexes. RNC complexes were prepared using an *in vitro* translation system prepared in house as previously described²⁸. RNCs were stalled using mRNA harbouring a SecM stalling sequence and containing the first transmembrane helix of FtsQ²⁸ or an engineered signal sequence (1A9L; 85 or 135 amino acids) based on the PhoA protein³² followed by an N-terminal $3 \times$ Streptag sequence. All samples were flash frozen at -80 °C, until further use. All purification procedures were performed at 4 °C unless stated otherwise.



Figure 5 | Proposed cargo handover mechanism of co-translational protein targeting. Schematic of the early, closed and activated/quaternary targeting complexes ending with the RNC in complex with the Sec translocon. Initially the SR binds to the SRP RNC complex at the tetraloop side of the SRP RNA, followed by repositioning and docking of the NG heterodimer to the distal region of SRP RNA. GTPase activation becomes possible upon conformational change in the SRP RNA that accommodates NG heterodimer between the SRP RNA and the ribosomal surface. Signal sequence handover is favored for longer nascent chains that can reach Sec translocon. Sec translocon will then displace the M-domain and SRP on the ribosome exit tunnel to complete the targeting cycle.

Formation of the quaternary complex. RNC_{FISQ}-85 (500 nM) were incubated with the SRP, SR and SecYEG by adding first SRP and later SR and SecYEG (1:5:55 molar ratio) in the presence of either GMPPNP or GDP:AlFx (refs 17,56). The reactions were incubated at 25 °C for 30 min in Buffer B without glycerol, and 0.02% DDM was added together with the translocon. The reactions were cooled on ice and then overlaid on 40% sucrose cushion (w/v) and spun for 3 h at 90,000 r.p.m. using a TLA-100 ultracentrifuge rotor (Beckman Coulter). Pellets were suspended in Buffer B, analysed with 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and stained with Comassie brilliant blue. For cryo-EM studies, the reaction mix containing RNC_{1A9L}-85 or -135 (250 nM) was incubated with SRP, SR and the SecYEG translocon as described above using cryo-EM Buffer C (50 mM HEPES-KOH, 85 mM KOAc, 15 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 0.02% DDM, 5 mM spermidine, 0.5 mM spermine) in the presence of GDP:AlFx. To minimize sample background during cryo-EM data collection, we used molar ratios of 1:1:3:8 (SRP:SR:SecYEG). The final concentration of SecYEG was maintained at its calculated Kd (~2 µM) as reported earlier for the quaternary complex^{14,31}.

Data collection. The reaction mix was applied directly on Quantifoil Holey Carbon grids, which had been pre-coated with a fresh thin layer of carbon and glow-discharged for 30 s. The grids with applied sample were incubated for 1–2 min before blotting for 8 s with a FEI vitrobot at 100% relative humidity and temperature at 6 °C. Blotted grids were plunge frozen in liquid ethane cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature. Data was collected on a Titan Krios cryo-transmission electron microscope (FEI Company) operated at 300 keV and equipped with a Falcon II direct electron detector. The EPU software was used for data collection within a defocus range of -1.2 to -3.6 µm at \times 100,719 magnification. A total of seven frames were collected for each image with a total dosage of 20 electrons per ${\rm \AA}^2$. The movie frames were aligned using DOSEFGPU DRIFTCORR⁵⁷ to correct for beam-induced movement.

Structure calculation. Initially, the aligned frames were inspected for ice quality and cracks in carbon, the CTF was estimated using CTFFIND3 (ref. 58), and only frames with power spectra extending beyond 5 Å resolution were kept. A total of 1.02 million particles were automatically picked using Batchboxer implemented in EMAN⁵⁹. Two-dimensional (2D) classification was performed on binned images using the maximum-likelihood refinement algorithm implemented in RELION⁶⁰ to select for 2D averages exhibiting high-resolution features (~790 K good particles were retained). After 2D classification, three-dimensional (3D) refinement was performed using as a reference an empty 70S ribosome low-pass filtered to 60 Å on binned images (Supplementary Fig. 2). Using the 'skip-align' option in RELION, focused 3D classification was performed for the area around the polypeptide exit tunnel. Two classes were selected with features displaying the NG heterodimer bound either at the tetraloop (~12% of the particles) or at the distal site of the SRP RNA, the latter also showing a density of a micelle-embedded translocon (16% of the particles), depicting the quaternary complex. Note that no class containing RNC in complex with the translocon alone was detected. The first class was refined to 3.9 Å resolution and represented the 'early' state complex, which was recently described⁹ and is not further discussed here. The second class represented the quaternary complex and displayed a kinked SRP RNA and densities for the SRP-SR and Sec translocon, and was refined to 3.8 Å resolution. To improve the density of the SR-SRP and the translocon, a second round of 3D classification was performed on binned images by masking out the ribosome density and focusing on the distal site region. This process was then followed by a final round of 3D classification by subtracting the signal of the ribosome as previously described⁶¹ (Supplementary Fig. 2). The 3D class displaying the strongest density for the NG heterodimer and for the translocon was retained and refined to 4.8 Å resolution (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3, Supplementary Table 1). The data set of the complex with the longer nascent chain (RNC1A9L-135) was processed similarly as described above. After 2D classification, 3D refinement was then performed using as a reference an empty 70S ribosome low-pass filtered to 60 Å on binned images (Supplementary Fig. 8). Using the 'skip-align' option in RELION, focused 3D classification was performed for the area around the polypeptide exit tunnel. Note that no density of the SRP-SR NG heterodimer or the Sec translocon was observed at the distal region. The class displaying density for the translocon at the ribosome tunnel was refined to 4.3 Å resolution.

Molecular docking, modelling and refinement. The local resolution of the EM map was estimated based on ResMap⁶². Coordinates of the 50S ribosomal subunit and the SRP core (PDB: 5GAG) were docked into the cryo-EM map as two rigid bodies (Supplementary Table 2). For the EM density of the NG heterodimer at the distal end in the quaternary complex, we docked the crystal structure of the NG heterodimer in complex with the distal site SRP RNA as one rigid body (PDB: 4C7O). The NG loop of SRP interacting with the ribosome was manually adjusted using the programme $O^{63,64}$. The kinked region of the SRP RNA and the signal sequence extension were manually modelled using COOT⁶⁵. Homology models for the *E. coli* SecY, SecE and SecG were generated using Phyre2 (ref. 66) based on the X-ray structure of the isolated SecYEG from *T. thermophilus* (PDB: 5CH4). The resulting model was then docked as one rigid-body into the micelle-embedded translocon density only as a tentative fit due to the limited resolution of

this region (~7-12 Å) using the cytosolic loops of SecY as a guide with no further adjustments. To eliminate side chain clashes resulting from the rigid-body docking procedure and to optimize the geometry of the newly modelled areas, the docked models and contact areas on the ribosome were subjected to geometry minimization and phase restrained reciprocal space refinement against the mlhl target using PHENIX⁶⁷. For this procedure, phases and amplitudes were back calculated from the experimental cryo-EM map, and protein secondary structure and RNA base pair restraints were applied throughout. For the final model, only the C-alpha backbone of SecYEG model was deposited.

GTPase assay. The SRP RNA C-loop mutant (dCPL) was constructed using the QuikChange mutagenesis protocol (Stratagene) following manufacturer's instructions. The sequence of the C-loop (75-78) was replaced (UGAC to GUA) to allow base-pairing with the opposite strand of the loop (CAU; residues 29–31). Wildtype and mutant SRP RNAs were expressed and purified as described^{16,68}. The GTPase assay to measure the stimulated GTP hydrolysis reaction between SRP and FtsY was carried out and analysed as described⁶⁹. The reaction mixtures were assembled with 40 nM Ffh, 100 nM of SRP RNA (wild type or dCPL), 0, 0.2, 0.5, 1, 3 and 10 μ M SR, and 120 nM RNC_{1A91}-85 and 6 μ M of SecYEG where applicable. Reactions were carried out in 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 150 mM KOAc, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 2 mM DTT, and 0.01% Nikkol. Reactions were performed in triplicates and initiated by addition of 100 μ M GTP (doped with γ -³²P-GTP) and ²P-GTP) and quenched with 0.75 M KH₂PO₄ (pH 3.3) at various time points. The hydrolysed phosphate and unreacted GTP were separated by thin-layer chromatography and quantified by autoradiography. The observed GTPase rate constants as a function of SR concentration were analysed to derive the values of k_{cat} and k_{cat}/K_{m} (refs 69,70). Note that the value of k_{cat}/K_m in this reaction is rate limited by and hence reports on the rate of SRP–SR assembly⁶⁹, and the value of k_{cat} is proportional to the fraction of SRP–SR complex that attain the activated conformation, from which rapid GTP hydrolysis occurs^{16,35}. The errors are estimated from the deviations of the data points from the fitting values at the three saturating concentrations ($[FtsY] = 1, 3, 10 \,\mu\text{M}$).

Making figures and plots. All figures were made either using UCSF CHIMERA⁷¹ or PyMOL (The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System Version 1.7 Schrödinger, LLC.). Local resolution maps were produced using ResMap⁶².

Data availability. Cryo-EM maps have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Databank with accession codes EMD-3617. The coordinates of the atomic structures of the 50S ribosomal subunit in complex with SRP, SR and the Sec translocon were deposited with PDB codes 5NCO. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

- Egea, P. F., Stroud, R. M. & Walter, P. Targeting proteins to membranes: structure of the signal recognition particle. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* 15, 213–220 (2005).
- Doudna, J. A. & Batey, R. T. Structural insights into the signal recognition particle. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 73, 539–557 (2004).
- Keenan, R. J., Freymann, D. M., Stroud, R. M. & Walter, P. The signal recognition particle. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 70, 755–775 (2001).
- Rapoport, T. A. Protein translocation across the eukaryotic endoplasmic reticulum and bacterial plasma membranes. *Nature* 450, 663–669 (2007).
- 5. Driessen, A. J. & Nouwen, N. Protein translocation across the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 77, 643–667 (2008).
- Cross, B. C., Sinning, I., Luirink, J. & High, S. Delivering proteins for export from the cytosol. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 10, 255–264 (2009).
- Akopian, D., Shen, K., Zhang, X. & Shan, S. O. Signal recognition particle: an essential protein-targeting machine. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 82, 693–721 (2013).
- Denks, K. et al. The Sec translocon mediated protein transport in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Mol. Membr. Biol. 31, 58-84 (2014).
- 9. Jomaa, A., Boehringer, D., Leibundgut, M. & Ban, N. Structures of the *E. coli* translating ribosome with SRP and its receptor and with the translocon. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10471 (2016).
- Voorhees, R. M. & Hegde, R. S. Toward a structural understanding of co-translational protein translocation. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 41, 91–99 (2016).
- 11. Estrozi, L. F., Boehringer, D., Shan, S. O., Ban, N. & Schaffitzel, C. Cryo-EM structure of the *E. coli* translating ribosome in complex with SRP and its receptor. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **18**, 88–90 (2011).
- Siu, F. Y., Spanggord, R. J. & Doudna, J. A. SRP RNA provides the physiologically essential GTPase activation function in cotranslational protein targeting. *RNA* 13, 240–250 (2007).
- Jagath, J. R. *et al.* Important role of the tetraloop region of 4.5S RNA in SRP binding to its receptor FtsY. *RNA* 7, 293–301 (2001).
- Saraogi, I., Akopian, D. & Shan, S. O. Regulation of cargo recognition, commitment, and unloading drives cotranslational protein targeting. *J. Cell Biol.* 205, 693–706 (2014).

ARTICLE

- 15. Ataide, S. F. *et al.* The crystal structure of the signal recognition particle in complex with its receptor. *Science* **331**, 881–886 (2011).
- Shen, K., Arslan, S., Akopian, D., Ha, T. & Shan, S. O. Activated GTPase movement on an RNA scaffold drives co-translational protein targeting. *Nature* 492, 271–275 (2012).
- 17. Voigts-Hoffmann, F. et al. The structural basis of FtsY recruitment and GTPase activation by SRP RNA. Mol. Cell 52, 643–654 (2013).
- von Loeffelholz, O. *et al.* Ribosome-SRP-FtsY cotranslational targeting complex in the closed state. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 3943–3948 (2015).
- 19. Halic, M. et al. Signal recognition particle receptor exposes the ribosomal translocon binding site. Science **312**, 745–747 (2006).
- Grotwinkel, J. T., Wild, K., Segnitz, B. & Sinning, I. SRP RNA remodeling by SRP68 explains its role in protein translocation. *Science* 344, 101–104 (2014).
- Becker, M. M., Lapouge, K., Segnitz, B., Wild, K. & Sinning, I. Structures of human SRP72 complexes provide insights into SRP RNA remodeling and ribosome interaction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, 470–481 (2016).
- Wild, K. *et al.* Structural basis for conserved regulation and adaptation of the signal recognition particle targeting complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 2880–2897 (2016).
- Kuhn, P. et al. Ribosome binding induces repositioning of the signal recognition particle receptor on the translocon. J. Cell Biol. 211, 91–104 (2015).
- Lakomek, N. A., Draycheva, A., Bornemann, T. & Wintermeyer, W. Electrostatics and intrinsic disorder drive translocon binding of the SRP receptor FtsY. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 55, 9544–9547 (2016).
- Braig, D. et al. Signal sequence-independent SRP-SR complex formation at the membrane suggests an alternative targeting pathway within the SRP cycle. Mol. Biol. Cell 22, 2309–2323 (2011).
- 26. Jadhav, B. *et al.* Mammalian SRP receptor switches the Sec61 translocase from Sec62 to SRP-dependent translocation. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 10133 (2015).
- Draycheva, A., Bornemann, T., Ryazanov, S., Lakomek, N. A. & Wintermeyer, W. The bacterial SRP receptor, FtsY, is activated on binding to the translocon. *Mol. Microbiol.* **102**, 152–167 (2016).
- Schaffitzel, C. & Ban, N. Generation of ribosome nascent chain complexes for structural and functional studies. J. Struct. Biol. 158, 463–471 (2007).
- Valent, Q. A. et al. Nascent membrane and presecretory proteins synthesized in Escherichia coli associate with signal recognition particle and trigger factor. Mol. Microbiol. 25, 53–64 (1997).
- Scotti, P. A. *et al.* SecA is not required for signal recognition particle-mediated targeting and initial membrane insertion of a nascent inner membrane protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 29883–29888 (1999).
- Akopian, D., Dalal, K., Shen, K., Duong, F. & Shan, S. O. SecYEG activates GTPases to drive the completion of cotranslational protein targeting. *J. Cell Biol.* 200, 397–405 (2013).
- Zhang, X., Rashid, R., Wang, K. & Shan, S. O. Sequential checkpoints govern substrate selection during cotranslational protein targeting. *Science* 328, 757–760 (2010).
- Voorhees, R. M. & Hegde, R. S. Structures of the scanning and engaged states of the mammalian SRP-ribosome complex. *Elife* 4, doi:10.7554/eLife.07975 (2015).
- Beckert, B. et al. Translational arrest by a prokaryotic signal recognition particle is mediated by RNA interactions. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22, 767–773 (2015).
- Shen, K. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of GTPase activation at the signal recognition particle (SRP) RNA distal end. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 36385–36397 (2013).
- Van den Berg, B. et al. X-ray structure of a protein-conducting channel. Nature 427, 36–44 (2004).
- Tsukazaki, T. et al. Conformational transition of Sec machinery inferred from bacterial SecYE structures. Nature 455, 988–991 (2008).
- Egea, P. F. & Stroud, R. M. Lateral opening of a translocon upon entry of protein suggests the mechanism of insertion into membranes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 17182–17187 (2010).
- Tanaka, Y. et al. Crystal structures of SecYEG in lipidic cubic phase elucidate a precise resting and a peptide-bound state. Cell Rep. 13, 1561–1568 (2015).
- Pfeffer, S. et al. Structure of the native Sec61 protein-conducting channel. Nat. Commun. 6, 8403 (2015).
- Frauenfeld, J. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the ribosome-SecYE complex in the membrane environment. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 18, 614–621 (2011).
- Weiche, B. *et al.* A cleavable N-terminal membrane anchor is involved in membrane binding of the Escherichia coli SRP receptor. *J. Mol. Biol.* 377, 761–773 (2008).
- Parlitz, R. *et al. Escherichia coli* signal recognition particle receptor FtsY contains an essential and autonomous membrane-binding amphipathic helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 32176–32184 (2007).
- Bahari, L. *et al.* Membrane targeting of ribosomes and their release require distinct and separable functions of FtsY. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 32168–32175 (2007).
- 45. Angelini, S., Deitermann, S. & Koch, H. G. FtsY, the bacterial signal-recognition particle receptor, interacts functionally and physically with the SecYEG translocon. *EMBO Rep.* **6**, 476–481 (2005).

- Batey, R. T., Rambo, R. P., Lucast, L., Rha, B. & Doudna, J. A. Crystal structure of the ribonucleoprotein core of the signal recognition particle. *Science* 287, 1232–1239 (2000).
- Keenan, R. J., Freymann, D. M., Walter, P. & Stroud, R. M. Crystal structure of the signal sequence binding subunit of the signal recognition particle. *Cell* 94, 181–191 (1998).
- 48. Janda, C. Y. *et al.* Recognition of a signal peptide by the signal recognition particle. *Nature* **465**, 507–510 (2010).
- 49. Hainzl, T. & Sauer-Eriksson, A. E. Signal-sequence induced conformational changes in the signal recognition particle. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7163 (2015).
- Noriega, T. R., Chen, J., Walter, P. & Puglisi, J. D. Real-time observation of signal recognition particle binding to actively translating ribosomes. *Elife* 3, doi:10.7554/eLife.04418 (2014).
- Noriega, T. R. et al. Signal recognition particle-ribosome binding is sensitive to nascent chain length. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 19294–19305 (2014).
- 52. Holtkamp, W. et al. Dynamic switch of the signal recognition particle from scanning to targeting. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 1332-1337 (2012).
- Bornemann, T., Jockel, J., Rodnina, M. V. & Wintermeyer, W. Signal sequenceindependent membrane targeting of ribosomes containing short nascent peptides within the exit tunnel. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 15, 494–499 (2008).
- Plath, K. & Rapoport, T. A. Spontaneous release of cytosolic proteins from posttranslational substrates before their transport into the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Cell Biol. 151, 167–178 (2000).
- Park, E. & Rapoport, T. A. Preserving the membrane barrier for small molecules during bacterial protein translocation. *Nature* 473, 239–242 (2011).
- Focia, P. J., Gawronski-Salerno, J., Coon, J. S. & Freymann, D. M. Structure of a GDP:AIF4 complex of the SRP GTPases Ffh and FtsY, and identification of a peripheral nucleotide interaction site. *J. Mol. Biol.* 360, 631–643 (2006).
- Li, X., Zheng, S. Q., Egami, K., Agard, D. A. & Cheng, Y. Influence of electron dose rate on electron counting images recorded with the K2 camera. *J. Struct. Biol.* 184, 251–260 (2013).
- Mindell, J. A. & Grigorieff, N. Accurate determination of local defocus and specimen tilt in electron microscopy. J. Struct. Biol. 142, 334–347 (2003).
- Ludtke, S. J., Baldwin, P. R. & Chiu, W. EMAN: semiautomated software for high-resolution single-particle reconstructions. *J. Struct. Biol.* 128, 82–97 (1999).
- 60. Scheres, S. H. RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM structure determination. J. Struct. Biol. 180, 519–530 (2012).
- 61. Bai, X. C., Rajendra, E., Yang, G., Shi, Y. & Scheres, S. H. Sampling the conformational space of the catalytic subunit of human gamma-secretase. *Elife* **4**, e11182 (2015).
- Kucukelbir, A., Sigworth, F. J. & Tagare, H. D. Quantifying the local resolution of cryo-EM density maps. *Nat. Methods* 11, 63–65 (2014).
- Jones, T. A., Zou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard, M. Improved methods for building protein models in electron density maps and the location of errors in these models. *Acta Crystallogr. A* 47, 110–119 (1991).
- Jones, T. A. Interactive electron-density map interpretation: from INTER to O. Acta. Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60, 2115–2125 (2004).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501 (2010).
- Kelley, L. A. & Sternberg, M. J. Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 363–371 (2009).
- Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta. Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 213–221 (2010).
- Peluso, P. et al. Role of 4.5S RNA in assembly of the bacterial signal recognition particle with its receptor. Science 288, 1640–1643 (2000).
- Peluso, P., Shan, S. O., Nock, S., Herschlag, D. & Walter, P. Role of SRP RNA in the GTPase cycles of Ffh and FtsY. *Biochemistry* 40, 15224–15233 (2001).
- Shan, S. O., Stroud, R. M. & Walter, P. Mechanism of association and reciprocal activation of two GTPases. *PLoS Biol.* 2, e320 (2004).
- 71. Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **25**, 1605–1612 (2004).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank David Ramrath, Philipp Bieri and members of the Ban lab for discussions. Cryo-EM data was collected at the Scientific Center for Optical and Electron Microscopy at the ETH Zurich (ScopeM). We thank Shuai Wang for preparation of translation extracts and SecYEG used in the GTPase assays. We are thankful to Peter Tittmann and members of the ScopeM facility for technical support. We acknowledge the use of computing infrastructure provided by the Central Information Technology Services of the ETH Zurich. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), the National Center of Excellence in Research (NCCR) Structural Biology and RNA & Disease programs of the SNSF, and European Research Council grant 250071 under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (to N.B.) and by National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant GM078024 (to S.-o.S).

Author contributions

N.B. and A.J. conceived the study. N.B., S.-o.S. and A.J. designed experiments. A.J., D.B. and M.L. performed cryo-EM data collection, image processing and modelling. Y.-H.H.F. performed GTPase stimulation assays. A.J., N.B. and S.-o.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results, commented on the data and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ naturecommunications

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

How to cite this article: Jomaa, A. *et al.* Structure of the quaternary complex between SRP, SR, and translocon bound to the translating ribosome. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15470 doi: 10.1038/ncomms15470 (2017).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2017