Interhospital variability in failure to rescue rates following aortic valve surgery

Check for updates

Tyler M. Bauer, MD,^a Michael Pienta, MD, MS,^b Xiaoting Wu, PhD,^b Eric J. Lehr, MD, PhD,^c Glenn J. R. Whitman, MD,^d Robert S. Kramer, MD,^e James Brevig, MD,^{f,g} Francis D. Pagani, MD, PhD,^b and Donald S. Likosky, PhD,^b for the National Cardiac Surgery Quality IMPROVE Network^{*}

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study evaluated interhospital variability and determinants of failureto-rescue for patients undergoing surgical aortic valve replacement.

Methods: An observational study was conducted among 28,842 patients undergoing aortic valve replacement with or without coronary artery bypass grafting between July 2011 and June 2017 across 90 hospitals participating in the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. Postoperative complications were defined as major (stroke, renal failure, reoperation, prolonged ventilation, sternal infection) and overall (major plus 14 other morbidities). Hospital terciles

of observed to expected (O/E) mortality were compared on crude rates of major

and overall complications, operative mortality, and failure to rescue (among major and overall complications). The correlation between hospital observed and ex-

Results: Median Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database predicted mortality risk was similar across hospital O:E mortality terciles (P = .10). As

expected, mortality rates significantly increased across terciles (low O/E tercile:

1.6%, high O/E tercile: 4.7%; P < .001). Failure-to-rescue rates increased substan-

tially across hospital mortality terciles among patients with major (low tercile, 8.8% and high tercile, 20.8%) and overall (low tercile, 3.0% and high tercile, 8.9%) com-

plications. Hospital-level expected failure to rescue had a higher correlation with observed complications for overall complications ($R^2 = 0.71$) compared with Soci-

Conclusions: Considerable interhospital variation exists in failure-to-rescue rates

following aortic valve replacement. Hospitals in the low O/E mortality tercile expe-

rience failure to rescue nearly one-third less than those in the high O/E mortality

tercile. Efforts to advance quality will benefit from identifying and disseminating

optimal rescue strategies in this patient population. (JTCVS Open 2023;16:123-38)

pected failure-to-rescue rates was assessed.



Complication and failure to rescue by observed to expected hospital mortality tercile.

CENTRAL MESSAGE

Failure-to-rescue rates varied considerably in AVR operations among hospital observed to expected mortality terciles. Hospital failure-to-rescue rates varied by complication type.

PERSPECTIVE

This analysis of 28,842 AVR operations documents significant interhospital variation in observed to expected mortality, especially among patients developing major postoperative complications. Quality improvement efforts will benefit from identifying and disseminating optimal rescue strategies in this patient population.

Efforts to reduce operative mortality following cardiac surgery have contributed to increased focus on the management and treatment of postoperative complications. There is increased recognition that poor management (eg, delayed recognition) of early complications and intensive care unit staffing (eg, low nurse to patient ratios) may contribute to

ety of Thoracic Surgeons major complications ($R^2 = 0.24$).

hospitals having more timely diagnosis and treatment of postoperative complications.¹ Early recognition of complications, or discovering a complication before clinical deterioration, is believed to lower the chance of additional complications or resultant death.² With that in mind, the

complication-specific mortality, with higher-performing

From the Departments of ^aSurgery and ^bCardiac Surgery, Michigan Medicine, Ann Arbor, Mich; ^cDepartment of Cardiac Surgery, Swedish Heart and Vascular Institute, Swedish Medical Center, Seattle, Wash; ^dDivision of Cardiac Surgery, The Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Md; ^eDivision of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Maine Medical Center, Portland, Maine; ^fProvidence St Joseph Heart Institute, Renton, Wash; and ^gProvidence Regional Medical Center, Everett, Wash. IRB approval No.: HUM00127073, Approved March 8, 2017.

Read at the 103rd Annual Meeting of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Los Angeles, California, May 6-9, 2023.

^{*} A full list of the National Cardiac Surgery Quality IMPROVE Network members appears in the Acknowledgments.

Received for publication May 6, 2023; revisions received July 28, 2023; accepted for publication Aug 10, 2023; available ahead of print Oct 13, 2023.

Address for reprints: Donald S. Likosky, PhD, Department of Cardiac Surgery, University of Michigan, 1500 E Medical Center Dr, Ann Arbor, MI 48109 (E-mail: likosky@med.umich.edu).

²⁶⁶⁶⁻²⁷³⁶

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association for Thoracic Surgery. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xjon.2023.08.010

| Abbreviations and Acronyms | |
|----------------------------------------|--|
| ACSD = Adult Cardiac Surgery Database | |
| AVR = aortic valve replacement | |
| CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting | |
| FTR = failure to rescue | |
| O/E = observed to expected | |
| | |

STS = Society of Thoracic Surgeons

cardiac surgical community has increasingly focused on failure to rescue (FTR), which is defined as in-hospital death after a complication.³ The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) recently published a risk-adjusted FTR metric to support the evaluation and improvement of postoperative care and outcomes.⁴

Many of the initial studies evaluating the role of FTR have leveraged Medicare claims data across a heterogeneous cohort of general surgical, vascular, and cardiac operations. Ghaferi and colleagues⁵ evaluated a 2-year cohort of Medicare beneficiaries undergoing 6 operations (including coronary artery bypass grafting [CABG] and aortic valve replacement [AVR]), and found that hospitals with better (relative to worse) risk-adjusted mortality rates had nearly 3-fold lower FTR rates, but similar complication rates. Further, hospitals performing a lower volume of aortic aneurysm repair and cardiac surgical procedures have higher associated rates of FTR compared with highvolume hospitals.⁶ The ability of these studies to provide actionable targets for hospitals to improve their FTR is limited by the narrow scope of clinically relevant demographic characteristics, risk factors, disease-specific data, and outcome data contained within Medicare claims datasets. Contemporary work has been targeted at single procedural cohorts in clinical databases that provide more comprehensive baseline characteristics and a larger spectrum of relevant postoperative complications with the goal of advancing surgical quality in a targeted population.^{3,7,8} Such studies have been undertaken in the isolated CABG population; however, few have been undertaken in AVR surgery-a population with considerable interhospital variability in mortality.^{3,9,10}

This large observational cohort study, leveraging institutional STS Adult Cardiac Surgery Database (ACSD) data representing 6 cardiac surgical collaboratives, evaluated interhospital variation in FTR following AVR with or without CABG surgery. Specifically, complication and FTR rates were compared across terciles of increasing hospital observed to expected (O/E) mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study included isolated valve and valve with CABG operations (July 2011 to June 2017) from 90 hospitals participating in any of 6 quality collaboratives comprising the IMPROVE Network.

Ethics

The University of Michigan Institutional Review Board (HUM00127073) provided a notice of 'Not Regulated' determination on March 8, 2017.

Outcomes

Operative mortality as defined by the STS-ACSD includes deaths within the hospitalization or after discharge but within 30 days of the surgical procedure. FTR was defined as an operative mortality among patients who developed a postoperative complication. Two composite complication outcomes were defined: overall complications, including: STS major complications, sepsis, surgical site infection, coma, pneumonia, pulmonary embolism, renal dialysis, dysrhythmia requiring a permanent pacemaker, cardiac arrest, anticoagulation event, tamponade, gastrointestinal event, multiorgan system failure, atrial fibrillation, and aortic dissection and a narrower STS major complication limited to: stroke, surgical re-exploration, deep sternal wound infection, renal failure, and prolonged intubation.

Statistical Analyses

Hospital-level observed mortality was calculated by summing each hospital's observed mortality, whereas hospital-level expected mortality was calculated by summing each hospital's mortality probability, estimated from logistic regression using STS published preoperative mortality risk model variables. Hospitals were divided into performance terciles based on their O/E mortality.

Patient characteristics, risk factors, and complication conditions were stratified by hospital O/E mortality terciles, which were used for descriptive statistics. The STS approach for addressing missing values was applied.¹¹ Continuous variables were summarized as median (interquartile range) and compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum tests. Categorical variables were summarized as n (%) and compared using χ^2 tests. Cochran-Armitage trend tests were used to test the trends of mortality, complication, and FTR rates across hospital O/E mortality terciles. R^2 was used to associate hospital-level mortality to hospital-level complication rates and FTR rates.

Generalized linear mixed-effects models were used to develop FTR models (for major and overall complications). Expected FTR rates were calculated by summing the patient's probability of FTR within hospitals (accounting for significant preoperative mortality predictors and complication types), assuming an average hospital effect from the FTR models. The R^2 statistic was used to associate observed hospital FTR rates to expected FTR rates. The *C* statistic was used to evaluate the addition of cardiopulmonary bypass and crossclamp duration on improving model performance. Analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc) and R version 3.5.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

RESULTS

Patient Population

A total of 28,842 patients underwent isolated AVR (17,713 out of 28,842 [61.5%]) or AVR with CABG (11,129 out of 28,842 [38.6%]) operations over the study period. Procedural-specific hospital O/E mortality terciles were calculated using published and validated predictors of mortality (Table E1). In this cohort, 66.5% of patients had no complications, whereas 89.4% of patients had no STS-defined major complications. The FTR rate was 5.2% for overall complications and 13.3% for STS major complications. The FTR rate increased steadily with the total number of complications per patient (Table E2).

| Charactoristic | Overall | $\frac{\text{Mortality Tercile 1 O/E}}{n - 12.061}$ | $\frac{\text{Mortality tercile 2 O/E}}{n - 10.375}$ | $\frac{\text{Mortality tercile 3 O/E}}{n = 6406}$ | P voluo |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| | n – 20,042 | n = 12,001 | n = 10,575 | n — 0400 | 1 value |
| | 71.00 (62.00.78.000) | 71.00 (62.00.78.00) | 71.00 (62.00.78.00) | 71.00 (62.00.78.00) | < 001 |
| Age (y) | /1.00 (05.00-/8.000) | /1.00 (03.00-78.00) | /1.00 (03.00-78.00) | /1.00 (65.00-78.00) | <.001 |
| White race | 9630 (34.2) 26 270 (01.5) | 4022 (55.5) | 5364 (54.5) 0271 (00.3) | 2244 (33.0) 5722 (80.2) | < 001 |
| | 20,579 (91.5) | 11,280 (95.0) | 9371 (90.3) | 3722 (89.3) | <.001 |
| Cardiac history | 22 000 (0 2 0) | 10.010 (02.0) | 0545 (00 1) | 500 L (00 C) | |
| Hypertension | 23,888 (82.8) | 10,049 (83.3) | 8545 (82.4) | 5294 (82.6) | .2 |
| PVD | 3369 (11.7) | 1415 (11.7) | 1285 (12.4) | 669 (10.4) | 0 |
| Prior CV intervention | 2975 (10.3) | 1336 (11.1) | 1030 (9.9) | 609 (9.5) | <.001 |
| Risk factor | | | | | |
| Current cigarette smoker Diabetes control | 3194 (11.1) | 1152 (9.6) | 1255 (12.1) | 787 (12.3) | <.001 .4 |
| Insulin control | 3015 (10.5) | 1290 (10.7) | 1057 (10.2) | 668 (10.4) | |
| Diabetes with other control | 7111 (24.7) | 2915 (24.2) | 2588 (24.9) | 1608 (25.1) | |
| No diabetes | 18,716 (64.9) | 7856 (65.1) | 6730 (64.9) | 4130 (64.5) | |
| Dyslipidemia | 22,619 (78.4) | 9618 (79.7) | 8083 (77.9) | 4918 (76.8) | <.001 |
| Dialysis | 617 (2.1) | 208 (1.7) | 262 (2.5) | 147 (2.3) | <.001 |
| Chronic lung disease | | | | | <.001 |
| No | 21,909 (76.0) | 9285 (77.0) | 7662 (73.9) | 4962 (77.5) | |
| Mild | 4522 (15.7) | 1810 (15.0) | 1799 (17.3) | 913 (14.3) | |
| Moderate | 1398 (4.8) | 568 (4.7) | 498 (4.8) | 332 (5.2) | |
| Severe | 1013 (3.5) | 398 (3.3) | 416 (4.0) | 199 (3.1) | |
| Home oxygen use | 739 (2.6) | 374 (3.1) | 196 (1.9) | 169 (2.6) | <.001 |
| Liver disease | 1035 (3.6) | 403 (3.3) | 452 (4.4) | 180 (2.8) | <.001 |
| IABP and inotrope use | 451 (1.6) | 160 (1.3) | 180 (1.7) | 111 (1.7) | 0 |
| Immunosuppression | 1152 (4.0) | 481 (4.0) | 444 (4.3) | 227 (3.5) | .1 |
| Cardiogenic shock on admission | 208 (0.7) | 85 (0.7) | 65 (0.6) | 58 (0.9) | .1 |
| Admission acuity | | | | | <.001 |
| Elective | 22,579 (78.3) | 9581 (79.4) | 8107 (78.1) | 4891 (76.4) | |
| Urgent | 6107 (21.2) | 2408 (20.0) | 2219 (21.4) | 1480 (23.1) | |
| Emergency | 149 (0.5) | /1 (0.6) | 45 (0.4) | 33 (0.5) | |
| Emergency/salvage | 7 (0.0) | 1 (0.0) | 4 (0.0) | 2 (0.0) | 1 |
| sis predicted risk of mortality (%) | 1.823 (1.09-3.22) | 1.80 (1.08-3.17) | 1.86 (1.09-3.27) | 1.82 (1.11-3.21) | .1 |
| STS Predicted risk of morbidity and mortality (%) | 17.25 (12.27-24.68) | 17.29 (12.31-24.39) | 17.23 (12.24-24.87) | 17.20 (12.29-25.07) | 1 |
| Complication rate | | | | | |
| Stroke | 495 (1.7) | 200 (1.7) | 183 (1.8) | 112 (1.7) | .8 |
| Sepsis | 324 (1.1) | 116 (1.0) | 120 (1.2) | 88 (1.4) | <.001 |
| Surgical site infection | 260 (0.9) | 109 (0.9) | 95 (0.9) | 56 (0.9) | 1 |
| Deep sternal wound infection | 65 (0.2) | 27 (0.2) | 25 (0.2) | 13 (0.2) | .9 |
| Overall reoperation | 1523 (5.3) | 606 (5.0) | 571 (5.5) | 346 (5.4) | .2 |
| Coma | 954 (3.3) | 310 (2.6) | 423 (4.1) | 221 (3.4) | <.001 |
| Prolonged ventilation | 2953 (10.2) | 1088 (9.0) | 1147 (11.1) | 718 (11.2) | <.001 |
| Pneumonia | 739 (2.6) | 281 (2.3) | 239 (2.3) | 219 (3.4) | <.001 |
| Pulmonary embolism | 25 (0.1) | 6 (0.0) | 12 (0.1) | 7 (0.1) | .2 |
| Renal failure | 802 (2.8) | 291 (2.4) | 260 (2.5) | 251 (3.9) | <.001 |
| Renal dialysis | 511 (1.8) | 182 (1.5) | 172 (1.7) | 157 (2.5) | <.001 |
| Dysrhythmia requiring PPM | 1402 (4.9) | 578 (4.8) | 525 (5.1) | 299 (4.7) | .5 |
| Cardiac arrest | 659 (2.3) | 200 (1.7) | 254 (2.4) | 205 (3.2) | <.001 |
| Anticoagulation event | 291 (1.0) | 101 (0.8) | 118 (1.1) | 72 (1.1) | <.001 |
| Tamponade | 24 (0.1) | 10 (0.1) | 11 (0.1) | 3 (0.0) | .4 |
| Gl event | 822 (2.9) | 367 (3.0) | 277 (2.7) | 178 (2.8) | .2 |
| Multiorgan system failure | 248 (0.9) | 74 (0.6) | 87 (0.8) | 87 (1.4) | <.001 |

TABLE 1. Characteristics of cohort, according to center tercile of observed to expected mortality

(Continued)

| | Overall | Mortality Tercile 1 O/E | Mortality tercile 2 O/E | Mortality tercile 3 O/E | |
|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Characteristic | n = 28,842 | n = 12,061 | n = 10,375 | n = 6406 | P value |
| A fib | 9786 (33.9) | 4272 (35.4) | 3501 (33.7) | 2013 (31.4) | <.001 |
| Aortic dissection | 17 (0.1) | 10 (0.1) | 3 (0.0) | 4 (0.1) | .2 |
| Major complication | 4248 (14.7) | 1634 (13.5) | 1587 (15.3) | 1027 (16.0) | <.001 |
| Any complication | 13,540 (46.9) | 5662 (46.9) | 4932 (47.5) | 2946 (46.0) | .1 |
| Operative mortality | 800 (2.8) | 193 (1.6) | 309 (3.0) | 298 (4.7) | <.001 |

TABLE 1. Continued

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or n (%). O/E, Observed to expected ratio; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; CV, cardiovascular; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; PPM, permanent pacemaker; GI, gastrointestinal event; A fib, atrial fibrillation.

Univariate Analysis of Baseline Demographics, Complication Rates, and FTR

The predicted STS major morbidity and mortality was similar between O/E mortality terciles (tercile 1 [17.29%] vs tercile 3 [17.20%]; P > .999), (Table 1). Patients at high (vs low) O/E mortality tercile hospitals more likely underwent urgent operations (P < .001). Baseline characteristics were qualitatively similar across hospital O/E terciles (Table 1).

The observed rate of mortality varied significantly between O/E mortality terciles (tercile 1 [1.6%] vs tercile 3 [4.6%]; P < .001). The observed frequency of overall complications did not differ significantly between O/E mortality terciles (low O/E mortality tercile [46.9%] vs high O/E mortality tercile [46.0%]; P = .36, P value for trend = .35) although the rate of STS major complications was different between O/E mortality terciles (low O/E mortality tercile [13.5%] vs high O/E mortality tercile [16.0%];

| | Deaths/ occurrences; Overall | Deaths/occurrences; Hospital O/E mortality tercile 1 (# of complication | Deaths/occurrences; Hospital O/E mortality tercile 2 (# of complication | Deaths/occurrences; Hospital O/E mortality tercile 3 (# of complication | Cochran- Armitage |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Complication type | FTR rate | deaths, % FTR) | deaths, % FTR) | deaths, % FTR) | trend test |
| STS major complication | 13.4 | 143 (8.8) | 210 (13.2) | 214 (20.8) | <.0001 |
| Stroke | 16.4 | 20 (10.0) | 31 (16.9) | 30 (26.8) | .0001 |
| Overall reoperation | 15.4 | 69 (11.4) | 86 (15.0) | 79 (22.8) | <.0001 |
| Prolonged ventilation | 16.9 | 121 (11.1) | 196 (17.1) | 183 (25.5) | <.0001 |
| Renal failure | 33.8 | 69 (23.7) | 91 (35.0) | 111 (44.2) | <.0001 |
| Deep sternal wound infection | 10.8 | 2 (7.4) | 2 (8.0) | 3 (23.1) | .1835 |
| Sepsis | 38.3 | 31 (26.7) | 48 (40.0) | 45 (51.1) | .0003 |
| Surgical site infection | 4.6 | 4 (3.7) | 4 (4.2) | 4 (7.1) | .3478 |
| Coma | 14.9 | 29 (9.4) | 64 (15.1) | 49 (22.2) | <.0001 |
| Pneumonia | 17.2 | 34 (12.1) | 49 (20.5) | 44 (20.1) | .0138 |
| Pulmonary embolism | 12.0 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (8.3) | 2 (28.6) | .108 |
| Renal dialysis | 40.9 | 56 (30.8) | 72 (41.9) | 81 (51.6) | <.0001 |
| Dysrhythmia requiring PPM | 1.9 | 5 (0.9) | 12 (2.3) | 9 (3.0) | .0177 |
| Cardiac arrest | 46.9 | 69 (34.5) | 125 (49.2) | 115 (56.1) | <.0001 |
| Anticoagulation event | 21.3 | 15 (14.9) | 24 (20.3) | 23 (31.9) | .0079 |
| Tamponade | 25.0 | 2 (20.0) | 3 (27.3) | 1 (33.3) | .6008 |
| GI event | 16.8 | 43 (11.7) | 58 (20.9) | 37 (20.8) | .0021 |
| Multiorgan system failure | 78.6 | 44 (59.5) | 73 (83.9) | 78 (89.7) | <.0001 |
| A fib | 2.7 | 70 (1.6) | 87 (2.5) | 107 (5.3) | <.0001 |
| Aortic dissection | 23.5 | 1 (10.0) | 2 (66.7) | 1 (25.0) | .3343 |
| Any complication | 5.2 | 171 (3.0) | 272 (5.5) | 263 (8.9) | <.0001 |

TABLE 2. Unadjusted failure-to-rescue (FTR) rate between groups

O/E, Observed to expected; STS, Society of Thoracic Surgeons; PPM, permanent pacemaker; GI, gastrointestinal event; A fib, atrial fibrillation.



Complication and Failure to Rescue Rates by Hospital Observed:Expected Mortality Terciles

FIGURE 1. Complication and failure-to-rescue (*FTR*) rates by observed to expected (*O/E*) mortality tercile: unadjusted rate and *P* value displayed above each category.

P < .001). The distribution of complication types differed across O/E mortality terciles (Table 2). For instance, rates of prolonged ventilation, coma, and pneumonia were lower in the low O/E mortality tercile relative to high O/E mortality tercile (P < .001).

The FTR rate increased across O/E mortality terciles for both overall (low O/E mortality tercile [3.0%] vs high O/E mortality tercile [8.9%]; P < .001) and major complications (low O/E mortality tercile [8.8%] vs high O/E mortality tercile [20.4%]; P < .001) (Figure 1). Mortality and FTR variation between hospital O/E terciles was robust when evaluating across procedure type, although there were higher rates of overall mortality and FTR among patients undergoing AVR with CABG (Figure E1). The correlation between overall complications and mortality was lower than FTR for overall complications and mortality, respectively ($R^2 = 0.04$ vs $R^2 = 0.69$). The correlation between STS major complications and mortality was lower than FTR for STS major complications and mortality rates $(R^2 = 0.28 \text{ vs } R^2 = 0.31)$ (Figure E2). The relationship between rates of specific complications and FTR was calculated (Figure 2). This analysis demonstrated that prolonged ventilation (complication rate, 10.2%; FTR, 16.9%), pneumonia (complication rate, 2.6%; FTR,

17.19%), and renal failure (complication rate, 2.8%; FTR, 33.8%) were at or above the overall median complication and FTR rate. Table E3 evaluates the relationship between complication type and FTR across procedure type. Higher rates of FTR were observed in AVR with CABG compared with isolated AVR.

Hospital-Level Multivariable Modeling

The results from multivariable modeling for hospital level FTR in overall complications are listed in Table E4. Significant multivariable predictors associated with hospital-level FTR included age, gender, preoperative dialysis, severe chronic lung disease, urgent or emergency status, or mitral valve insufficiency < .05). Complications that were independently (Passociated with hospital-level FTR included stroke, sepsis, surgical site infection, prolonged ventilation, reoperation, renal failure, dysrhythmia requiring permanent pacemaker, renal failure, cardiac arrest, multiorgan system failure, atrial fibrillation, and aortic dissection (P < .001). The correlation between hospitallevel observed and expected FTR rates was stronger for overall complications ($R^2 = 0.71$) than STS major complications ($R^2 = 0.24$) (Figure 3).



FIGURE 2. Failure-to-rescue (*FTR*) and complication rates stratified by complication. The complication rate and FTR rate is displayed for each complication, *vertical line* is the median complication rate and *horizontal line* is median FTR rate for overall complications. Atrial fibrillation was omitted from the Figure given high event rate and low FTR rate (33.9% and 2.7%, respectively). *GI*, Gastrointestinal event; *PPM*, permanent pacemaker.

DISCUSSION

This large, multicenter study advances the evaluation of important determinants of interhospital mortality in the setting of AVR in several areas. First, FTR rates vary widely across hospital O/E mortality terciles. Second, this study demonstrates that the relationship between complication type and FTR varies considerably. Finally, the correlation between hospital-level O/E FTR rates



FIGURE 3. Observed versus expected failure to rescue (*FTR*). A, Observed versus expected FTR by overall complications. B, Observed versus expected FTR for major complications.



FIGURE 4. Graphical abstract depicting major findings. *CABG*, Coronary artery bypass grafting; *FTR*, failure to rescue; *STS-ACSD*, Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database; *O/E*, observed to expected.

was stronger for overall than STS-defined major complications.

To date, the role of FTR has been evaluated within adult and congenital cardiac surgical populations.^{3,5,12,13} A central finding of many of these studies is that complication rates do not account for interhospital differences in adjusted mortality for many procedure types. Instead, FTR is a key determinant of hospital-level variation in mortality.³ In the present study, there were small absolute differences in rates of STS major complications across O/ E mortality terciles. It is clear that the difference in mortality rates between terciles is far greater than what could be attributed to minor differences in complication rates. Findings from this study point to the importance of the postoperative management of patients undergoing AVR as a strategy to address the noted interhospital variability in AVR mortality.^{10,14,15}

This study found high interhospital variation in FTR rates, with hospitals in the low O/E mortality terciles experiencing FTR nearly a third as often as hospitals in the high O/E mortality tercile. In the initial report by Silber and colleagues,¹⁶ complication rates were predominantly driven by patient-level factors, whereas FTR was primarily influenced by hospital-level factors. Contemporary reports have identified multiple hospital-level factors that are associated with FTR, such as registered nurse to bedside nurse ratio and intensivists providing care, among others.^{1,17,18} Future research should focus on identifying strategies to address

early recognition and management of complications during the postoperative period.

Postoperative staffing, including experience within and across team members, may be an important area of future investigation given the elevated FTR rates among rare complication types (eg, multisystem organ failure and cardiac arrest). Identifying the relationship between specific complications and FTR is necessary to support benchmarking quality improvement. The present analysis identifies prolonged ventilation, renal failure, and pneumonia as potential targets for practice sharing among high and low performing institutions. Physician-led quality collaboratives are in a unique position to advance surgical quality, and have effectively leveraged collaborative learning to increase left internal thoracic artery utilization, advance evidence-based opioid prescriptive practices, and develop and adopt evidence-based infection prevention bundles.¹⁹⁻

21 Previous successful strategies include unblinding benchmarking data at collaborative meetings, sharing of best practices across membership hospitals, program site visiting, and reverse-site visiting.²² This approach, which encourages camaraderie across surgeons, could be applied to evaluating determinants of interhospital variation in FTR across different complication types. The inclusion of the timing of complications within the STS-ACSD, the STS Interagency akin to Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support, may support further evaluation and improvement of FTR.

Limitations

Several important limitations should be recognized. First, although not all complications were evaluated, this study focuses on both a narrow set of STS-defined major complications,²³ as well as a broader set tracked by the STS.²⁴ Second, although the study sample includes hospitals representing nearly 10% of all USbased cardiac surgical programs, the present findings may have limited generalizability to some geographic regions (eg, Southwest and Southeast). Third, while unmeasured confounding exists with any observational cohort study, analyses accounted for both preoperative factors included in the STS-ACSD risk models²⁵ as well as intraoperative characteristics (eg, cardiopulmonary bypass and cross clamp duration). There may be a lack of informative procedural-specific detail using our approach for defining hospital O/E mortality terciles, which were computed from an AVR with or without CABG cohort. This cohort includes 2 of the 3 most commonly performed cardiac procedures (after CABG). Additional potential sources of confounding include hospital (eg, academic/community and rurality) and unitlevel (eg, nurse to patient ratios and intensivist coverage) characteristics that are not tracked through existing surgical registries. Furthermore, variability in FTR may also be driven by the use of interhospital transfers following cardiac surgery, with some patients dying at a secondary institution. Although these data are not currently recorded through national surgical registries, their role within the context of FTR should be the focus of future investigations.

CONCLUSIONS

Considerable variation in FTR rates existed in the setting of AVR with or without CABG across O/E mortality terciles, despite small absolute increases in STS major morbidities rates among higher O/E mortality hospitals. Hospitals in the first O/E mortality tercile experience FTR nearly onethird less than those in the third O/E mortality tercile (Figure 4). Efforts to advance surgical quality will benefit from identifying and disseminating optimal rescue strategies in this patient population.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Dr Likosky receives research funding from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and the National Institutes of Health and serves as a consultant for the American Society of Extracorporeal Technology and receives partial salary support from Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan to advance quality in Michigan in conjunction with the Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons Quality Collaborative. All other authors reported no conflicts of interest. The *Journal* policy requires editors and reviewers to disclose conflicts of interest and to decline handling manuscripts for which they may have a conflict of interest. The editors and reviewers of this article have no conflicts of interest.

The authors thank Dr Michael P. Thompson and Min Zhang for their contributions to the manuscript.

The National Cardiac Surgery Quality IMPROVE Network includes The Clinical Outcomes Assessment Program, Maryland Cardiac Surgery Quality Initiative; The Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons Quality Collaborative; The Northern New England Cardiovascular Disease Study Group; The Providence Health and Services; and The Atlantic Cardiovascular Quality Initiative.

References

- 1. Ward ST, Dimick JB, Zhang W, Campbell DA, Ghaferi AA. Asso ciation between hospital staffing models and failure to rescue. *Ann Surg.* 2019;270:91-4.
- Smith ME, Wells EE, Friese CR, Krein SL, Ghaferi AA. Interpersonal and organizational dynamics are key drivers of failure to rescue. *Health Aff.* 2018;37: 1870-6.
- Likosky DS, Strobel RJ, Wu X, Kramer RS, Hamman BL, Brevig JK, et al. Interhospital failure to rescue after coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2023;165:134-43.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2021. 01.064
- Kurlansky PA, O'Brien SM, Vassileva CM, Lobdell KW, Edwards FH, Jacobs JP, et al. Failure to rescue: a New Society of Thoracic Surgeons quality metric for cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2022;113:1935-42.
- Ghaferi AA, Birkmeyer JD, Dimick JB. Complications, failure to rescue, and mortality with major inpatient surgery in Medicare patients. *Ann Surg.* 2009; 250:1029-34.
- 6. Gonzalez AA, Dimick JB, Birkmeyer JD, Ghaferi AA. Understanding the volume-outcome effect in cardiovascular surgery: the role of failure to rescue. *JAMA Surg.* 2014;149:119-23.
- Ko A, Aquino L, Melo N, Alban RF. Surgical outcomes and failure-to-rescue events after colectomy in teaching hospitals: a nationwide analysis. *Am J Surg.* 2016;212:1133-9.
- Dakour-Aridi H, Paracha NZ, Locham S, Nejim B, Malas MB. Assessment of failure to rescue after abdominal aortic aneurysm repair using the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program procedure-targeted data set. J Vasc Surg. 2018;68:1335-44.e1.
- 9. Jones JM, Lovell D, Cran GW, Macgowan SW. Impact of coronary artery bypass grafting on survival after aortic valve replacement. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2006;5:327-30.
- Kundi H, Popma JJ, Khabbaz KR, Chu LM, Strom JB, Valsdottir LR, et al. Association of hospital surgical aortic valve replacement quality with 30-day and 1year mortality after transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2019; 4:16-22.
- O'Brien SM, Feng L, He X, Xian Y, Jacobs JP, Badhwar V, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2018 adult cardiac surgery risk models: part 2-statistical methods and results. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2018;105:1419-28.
- Pasquali SK, He X, Jacobs JP, Jacobs ML, O'Brien SM, Gaynor JW. Evaluation of failure to rescue as a quality metric in pediatric heart surgery: an analysis of the STS Congenital Heart Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2012;94:573-9; discussion 579-80.
- Edwards FH, Ferraris VA, Kurlansky PA, Lobdell KW, He X, O'Brien SM, et al. Failure to rescue rates after coronary artery bypass grafting: an analysis from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2016;102:458-64.
- Nam K, Jang EJ, Jo JW, You J, Park JB, Ryu HG. Institutional case volume and mortality after aortic and mitral valve replacement: a nationwide study in two Korean cohorts. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2022;17:190.
- Hirji S, McGurk S, Kiehm S, Ejiofor J, Ramirez-Del Val F, Kolkailah AA, et al. Utility of 90-day mortality vs 30-day mortality as a quality metric for transcatheter and surgical aortic valve replacement outcomes. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2020;5: 156-65.

- Silber JH, Williams SV, Krakauer H, Schwartz JS. Hospital and patient characteristics associated with death after surgery. A study of adverse occurrence and failure to rescue. *Med Care*. 1992;30:615-29.
- Wakeam E, Hevelone ND, Maine R, Swain J, Lipsitz SA, Finlayson SRG, et al. Failure to rescue in safety-net hospitals: availability of hospital resources and differences in performance. *JAMA Surg.* 2014;149:229-35.
- Burke JR, Downey C, Almoudaris AM. Failure to rescue deteriorating patients: a systematic review of root causes and improvement strategies. *J Patient Saf.* 2022; 18:e140-55.
- Strobel RJ, Harrington SD, Hill C, Thompson MP, Cabrera L, Theurer P, et al. Evaluating the impact of pneumonia prevention recommendations after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2020;110:903-10.
- Brescia AA, Clark MJ, Theurer PF, Lall SC, Nemeh HW, Downey RS, et al. Establishment and implementation of evidence-based opioid prescribing guidelines in cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2021;112:1176-85.
- Johnson SH, Theurer PF, Bell GF, Maresca L, Leyden T, Prager RL, Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons. A statewide quality collabora-

tive for process improvement: internal mammary artery utilization. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;90:1158-64; discussion 1164.

- 22. Milojevic M, Bond C, Theurer PF, Jones RN, Dabir R, Likosky DS, et al. The role of regional collaboratives in quality improvement: time to organize, and how? *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;32:8-13.
- National voluntary consensus standards for cardiac surgery. Accessed April 24, 2022. https://www.qualityforum.org/publications/2005/01/national_voluntary_ consensus_standards_for_cardiac_surgery.aspx
- 24. Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. Accessed April 24, 2022. https://www.sts.org/ registries/sts-national-database/adult-cardiac-surgery-database
- 25. Shahian DM, O'Brien SM, Filardo G, Ferraris VA, Haan CK, Rich JB, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons 2008 cardiac surgery risk models: part 1—coronary artery bypass grafting surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2009;88(1 suppl):S2-22.

Key Words: failure-to-rescue, SAVR, FTR



FIGURE E1. Complication and failure-to-rescue rates by observed to expected (O/E) mortality tercile for isolated aortic valve replacement (AVR) (A) and AVR with coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) (B). Unadjusted rate and P value displayed above each category. *Denotes P < .001. *FTR*, Failure to rescue.



FIGURE E2. Simple linear regression plots of center level unadjusted failure to rescue (*FTR*) and complication rates association with mortality. A, Any complication rate versus mortality. B, Society of Thoracic Surgeons (*STS*) major complication rate. C, FTR conditional on any complication. D, FTR conditional on STS major complications.

| Variable | Estimate | SE z score | Value | P value |
|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|---------|
| Surgery year | | | | |
| 2012 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.89 | .38 |
| 2013 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.99 | .32 |
| 2014 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 1.34 | .18 |
| 2015 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 1.32 | .19 |
| 2016 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.54 | .59 |
| 2017 Age | 0.13 | 0.20 | 5.52 | .43 |
| Age >50 y | 0.02 | 0.00 | 3.52 | < 01 |
| Age $\geq 60 \text{ y}$ | 0.01 | 0.00 | 2.12 | <.01 |
| Fiection fraction quartile | 0.01 | 0.00 | 2.12 | .05 |
| 2 | -0.01 | 0.14 | -0.07 | 94 |
| 3 | -0.32 | 0.13 | -2.44 | .01 |
| 4 | -0.11 | 0.12 | -0.89 | .37 |
| BSA | -7.04 | 1.58 | -4.44 | <.01 |
| BSA_sq | 1.74 | 0.39 | 4.49 | <.01 |
| Creatinine category, mg/dL | | | | |
| 1 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.46 | .65 |
| 2 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 1.42 | .16 |
| 3 | 0.47 | 0.13 | 3.70 | <.01 |
| Dialysis = yes | 0.78 | 0.17 | 4.71 | <.01 |
| Documented arrhythmia | 0.36 | 0.08 | 4.46 | <.01 |
| Cardiogenic shock | 0.56 | 0.27 | 2.09 | .04 |
| Female gender | 0.50 | 0.09 | 5.42 | <.01 |
| On immunosuppression | 0.39 | 0.15 | 2.67 | .01 |
| IABP or inotropes at time of surgery | 0.55 | 0.20 | 2.79 | .01 |
| PVD | 0.19 | 0.103 | 1.86 | .06 |
| Angina | -0.01 | 0.14 | -0.04 | .97 |
| Aortic regurgitation | 0.17 | 0.10 | 1.68 | .09 |
| Chronic lung disease | | | | |
| Mild | 0.24 | 0.10 | 2.46 | .01 |
| Moderate | 0.59 | 0.14 | 4.32 | <.01 |
| Severe | 0.79 | 0.14 | 5.73 | <.01 |
| CVD or CVA yes | -0.05 | 0.11 | -0.45 | .65 |
| CVD or CVA recent | 0.23 | 0.12 | 1.97 | .05 |
| Diabetes control | | | | |
| Insulin | -0.08 | 0.12 | -0.65 | .51 |
| Without insulin | -0.12 | 0.12 | -1.06 | .29 |
| No. of disease vessels | 0.05 | | • • • | |
| | 0.25 | 0.11 | 2.30 | .02 |
| 2 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 4.11 | <.01 |
| MI within 24 h | 1.40 | 1.19 | 1.17 | .24 |
| | 1.17 | 1.13 | 1.03 | .30 |
| MI within 1 mo | 0.97 | 1.13 | 0.86 | .39 |
| Status Urgent | 0.38 | 0.10 | 3.97 | < 01 |
| Emergency | 1.18 | 0.30 | 3.93 | <.01 |

TABLE E1. Model for observed to expected hospital terciles

(Continued)

TABLE E1. Continued

| Variable | Estimate | SE z score | Value | P value |
|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|---------|
| Emergency salvage | 2.01 | 0.95 | 2.10 | .04 |
| First cardiovascular surgery | 0.44 | 0.23 | 1.91 | .06 |
| NYHA functional class | | | | |
| 1 | -0.09 | 0.09 | -1.08 | .28 |
| 2 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 1.85 | .06 |
| Previous CABG | -0.27 | 0.23 | -1.15 | .25 |
| Previous valve surgery | 0.29 | 0.21 | 1.40 | .16 |
| Treated endocarditis | 0.27 | 0.20 | 1.35 | .18 |
| Left main disease | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.59 | .56 |
| Mitral stenosis | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.08 | .94 |
| Mitral valve regurgitation | 0.34 | 0.12 | 2.92 | <.01 |
| Hypertension | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.83 | .41 |

BSA, Body surface area; BSA_sq, body surface area in square meters; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; PVD, peripheral vascular disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; CVA, cerebrovascular event; MI, myocardial infarction; NYHA, New York heart Association; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting.

TABLE E2. Failure to rescue rates by number of complications

| No. of complications per patient | Total (n) | % of Total | Mortality (n) | Failure to rescue (%) |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| All patients | 28,042 | 100 | 800 | 2.77 |
| Society of Thoracic Surgeons major complications | | | | |
| 0 | 24,594 | 87.70 | 233 | 0.95 |
| 1 | 2986 | 10.65 | 191 | 6.40 |
| 2 | 964 | 3.44 | 239 | 24.79 |
| 3 | 268 | 0.96 | 124 | 46.27 |
| 4 | 30 | 0.11 | 13 | 43.33 |
| Overall complications | | | | |
| 0 | 15,302 | 54.57 | 94 | 0.61 |
| 1 | 9395 | 33.50 | 140 | 1.49 |
| 2 | 2275 | 8.11 | 103 | 4.53 |
| 3 | 859 | 3.06 | 104 | 12.11 |
| 4 | 423 | 1.51 | 103 | 24.35 |
| 5 | 229 | 0.82 | 87 | 37.99 |
| 6 | 155 | 0.55 | 62 | 40.00 |
| 7 | 94 | 0.34 | 53 | 56.38 |
| 8 | 64 | 0.23 | 32 | 50.00 |
| 9 | 29 | 0.10 | 14 | 48.28 |
| 10 | 10 | 0.04 | 5 | 50.00 |

| Complication (for valve + | | | Overall deaths/ | J | | | Isolated AVR deaths/ FTR (n_%) | | | | AVR + CABG deaths | s/ | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|--------------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------------------|------------|--------|
| valve | Overall | Tercile | Tercile | Tercile | Trend | Tercile | Tercile | Tercile | Trend | Tercile | Tercile | Tercile | Trend |
| procedure) | FTR | 1 | 2 | 3 | test | 1 | 2 | 3 | test | 1 | 2 | 3 | test |
| Stroke (n) | 16.36 | 20 (10.0) | 31 (16.9) | 30 (26.8) | .0001 | 7 (8.3) | 19 (16.4) | 12 (25.5) | .008 | 9 (9.2) | 17 (20.0) | 17 (26.2) | .004 |
| Sepsis (n) | 38.27 | 31 (26.7) | 48 (40.0) | 45 (51.1) | .0003 | 6 (16.2) | 26 (33.8) | 15 (37.5) | .045 | 17 (34.0) | 29 (43.9) | 31 (57.4) | .016 |
| Surgical site infection (n) | 4.62 | 4 (3.7) | 4 (4.2) | 4 (7.1) | .3478 | 1 (2.2) | 1 (3.3) | 2 (6.3) | .36 | 0 | 5 (7.9) | 3 (9.1) | .04 |
| Deep sternal wound infection (n) | 10.77 | 2 (7.4) | 2 (8.0) | 3 (23.1) | .1835 | 0 | 0 | 2 (20.0) | .07 | 0 | 3 (21.4) | 2 (22.2) | .128 |
| Reoperation (n) | 15.36 | 69 (11.4) | 86 (15.1) | 79 (22.8) | <.0001 | 19 (7.9) | 61 (16.3) | 38 (19.3) | .0006 | 27 (10.6) | 49 (17.7) | 40 (22.4) | .0008 |
| Coma (n) | 14.88 | 29 (9.4) | 64 (15.1) | 49 (22.2) | <.0001 | 8 (7.8) | 31 (13.3) | 24 (20.0) | .0086 | 15 (10.0) | 32 (14.9) | 32 (23.9) | .0015 |
| Prolonged ventilation (n) | 16.93 | 121 (11.1) | 196 (17.1) | 183 (25.5) | <.0001 | 39 (10.3) | 101 (15.5) | 78 (21.7) | <.0001 | 50 (9.3) | 116 (18.1) | 116 (30.4) | <.0001 |
| Pneumonia (n) | 17.19 | 34 (12.1) | 49 (20.5) | 44 (20.1) | .0138 | 6 (5.9) | 27 (19.4) | 14 (18.7) | 0.01 | 18 (11.1) | 30 (20.9) | 32 (26.9) | .0007 |
| Pulmonary embolism (n) | 12 | 0 (0.0) | 1 (8.3) | 2 (28.6) | .108 | 0 | 1 (8.3) | 1 (20.0) | 0.49 | 0 | 0 | 1 (100.0) | .04 |
| Renal failure (n) | 33.79 | 69 (23.7) | 91 (35.0) | 111 (44.2) | <.0001 | 14 (14.0) | 61 (35.5) | 49 (39.5) | <.0001 | 30 (23.8) | 51 (33.3) | 66 (51.9) | <.0001 |
| Renal dialysis (n) | 40.9 | 56 (30.8) | 72 (41.9) | 81 (51.6) | <.0001 | 11 (22.5) | 49 (43.8) | 38 (46.3) | .013 | 25 (29.4) | 40 (38.8) | 46 (57.5) | .0003 |
| Dysrhythmia requiring PPM (n) | 1.85 | 5 (0.9) | 12 (2.3) | 9 (3.0) | .0177 | 0 | 9 (2.2) | 4 (2.4) | .028 | 3 (1.5) | 6 (2.5) | 4 (3.7) | .211 |
| Cardiac arrest (n) | 46.89 | 69 (34.5) | 125 (49.2) | 115 (56.1) | <.0001 | 19 (29.7) | 64 (41.6) | 63 (60.0) | <.0001 | 27 (33.3) | 73 (46.8) | 63 (63.6) | <.0001 |
| Anticoagulation event (n) | 21.31 | 15 (14.9) | 24 (20.3) | 23 (31.9) | .0079 | 5 (17.9) | 20 (25.0) | 10 (21.7) | .79 | 6 (12.5) | 13 (20.6) | 8 (30.8) | .058 |
| Tamponade (n) | 25 | 2 (20.0) | 3 (27.8) | 1 (33.3) | .6008 | 0 | 3 (33.3) | 1 (25.0) | .33 | 0 | 1 (33.3) | 1 (100.0) | .093 |
| GI event (n) | 16.79 | 43 (11.7) | 58 (20.9) | 37 (20.8) | .0021 | 17 (12.3) | 35 (17.6) | 15 (18.8) | .17 | 17 (10.1) | 29 (20.3) | 25 (26.6) | .0005 |
| Multiorgan system failure (n) | 78.63 | 44 (59.5) | 73 (83.9) | 78 (89.7) | <.0001 | 13 (50.0) | 36 (76.6) | 31 (83.8) | .0043 | 24 (68.6) | 39 (82.9) | 52 (92.9) | .0026 |
| A fib (n) | 2.7 | 70 (1.6) | 87 (2.5) | 107 (5.3) | <.0001 | 16 (0.9) | 51 (1.9) | 46 (4.5) | <.0001 | 28 (1.7) | 62 (3.6) | 61 (6.8) | <.0001 |
| Aortic dissection (n) | 23.53 | 1 (10.0) | 2 (66.7) | 1 (25.0) | .3343 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1 (25.0) | 3 (60.0) | 0 | .811 |
| Major complication (n) | 13.35 | 143 (8.8) | 210 (13.2) | 214 (20.8) | <.0001 | 42 (6.7) | 116 (11.8) | 91 (17.3) | <.0001 | 59 (7.9) | 127 (15.2) | 132 (25.1) | <.0001 |
| Any of the above (n) | 5.21 | 171 (3.0) | 272 (5.5) | 263 (8.9) | <.0001 | 47 (1.98) | 155 (4.2) | 126 (8.0) | <.0001 | 70 (3.1) | 155 (6.6) | 153 (11.6) | <.0001 |

TABLE E3. Unadjusted failure-to-rescue (FTR) rate by procedure type

Values are presented as n (%). CABG, Coronary artery bypass grafting; AVR, aortic valve replacement; PPM, permanent pacemaker; GI, gastrointestinal event; A fib, atrial fibrillation.

| Characteristic | Beta coefficient | SE | <i>P</i> value |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------|
| Intercept | -6.3763 | 0.503 | <.0001 |
| Demographic | | | |
| Age (y) | 0.03064 | 0.005454 | <.0001 |
| Gender | 0.507 | 0.1245 | <.0001 |
| BSA, m ² | | | |
| <1.6 | Ref | | |
| 1.6-1.8 | -0.06296 | 0.2221 | .7768 |
| 1.8-1.99 | -0.3192 | 0.2164 | .1402 |
| ≥1.99 | -0.05769 | 0.2139 | .7874 |
| Ejection fraction, % | | | |
| <40 | Ref | | |
| 40-50 | 0.1039 | 0.1991 | .6017 |
| 50-60 | -0.2795 | 0.179 | .1183 |
| ≥ 60 | -0.02639 | 0.1658 | .8736 |
| Preoperative creatinine, mg/dL | | | |
| <0.8 | Ref | | |
| 0.8-1.0 | 0.09146 | 0.1769 | .6051 |
| 1.0-1.2 | 0.1608 | 0.184 | .3823 |
| ≥ 1.2 | 0.1433 | 0.1766 | .4171 |
| Cardiac history | | | |
| Risk factor | | | |
| Arrhythmia | 0.183 | 0.1196 | .1262 |
| Dialysis | 0.7038 | 0.2372 | .003 |
| Intraoperative IABP | 0.3333 | 0.2677 | .2132 |
| Chronic lung disease | | | |
| No | Ref | | |
| Mild | 0.09227 | 0.137 | .5006 |
| Moderate | 0.4023 | 0.1903 | .0345 |
| Severe | 0.4832 | 0.1946 | .013 |
| Immunosuppression | 0.3893 | 0.2036 | .056 |
| Cardiogenic shock on admission | -0.1124 | 0.3629 | .7567 |
| Admission acuity | | | |
| Elective | Ref | | |
| Urgent | 0.3564 | 0.1181 | .0026 |
| Emergency | 1.0265 | 0.3864 | .0079 |
| Emergency/salvage | 0.3233 | 1.4464 | .8231 |
| Mitral valve insufficiency | 0.3135 | 0.1557 | .0441 |
| CVD, CVA | | | |
| None | Ref | | |
| CVD only | -0.08316 | 0.1573 | .5971 |
| CVD and CVA | 0.09478 | 0.1656 | .5671 |
| No. of diseased vessels | | | |
| ≤ 1 | Ref | | |
| 2 | 0.03205 | 0.1467 | .8271 |
| 3 | 0.1099 | 0.1267 | .3857 |
| Complication type | | | |
| Stroke | 1.0034 | 0.1773 | <.0001 |
| Sepsis | 0.8793 | 0.2007 | <.0001 |
| Surgical site infection | -1.3726 | 0.617 | .0261 |
| Deep sternal wound infection | 1.215 | 0.8156 | .1363 |
| Any reoperation | 0.3666 | 0.1242 | .0032 |
| Coma | -0.1905 | 0.1531 | .2135 |
| Prolonged ventilation | 0.8569 | 0.1189 | <.0001 |
| Pneumonia | 0.003983 | 0.1643 | .9807 |

(Continued)

TABLE E4. Continued

| Characteristic | Beta coefficient | SE | P value |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------|---------|
| Pulmonary embolism | -0.1396 | 0.7488 | .8521 |
| Renal failure | 1.0955 | 0.2214 | <.0001 |
| Renal dialysis | 0.2348 | 0.2529 | .3534 |
| Dysrhythmia requiring PPM | -1.5977 | 0.2407 | <.0001 |
| Cardiac arrest | 2.8471 | 0.1238 | <.0001 |
| Anticoagulation event | -0.4817 | 0.2555 | .0594 |
| Tamponade | 0.6649 | 0.6703 | .3212 |
| GI event | -0.109 | 0.1685 | .5176 |
| Multiorgan system failure | 2.9666 | 0.2146 | <.0001 |
| A fib | -1.0059 | 0.1125 | <.0001 |
| Aortic dissection | 1.5161 | 0.7447 | .0418 |

BSA, Body surface area; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; PPM, permanent pacemaker; GI, gastrointestinal; A fib, atrial fibrillation.