

Article

Effects of Soy Protein Containing of Isoflavones and Isoflavones Extract on Plasma Lipid Profile in Postmenopausal Women as a Potential Prevention Factor in Cardiovascular Diseases: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Agnieszka Barańska ^{1,*}, Agata Błaszczuk ², Wiesław Kanadys ³, Bożena Baczewska ⁴, Marian Jędrych ¹, Ewelina Wawryk-Gawda ⁵ and Małgorzata Polz-Dacewicz ²

- ¹ Department of Medical Informatics and Statistics with E-Learning Lab, Medical University of Lublin, 20-090 Lublin, Poland; marian.jedrych@umlub.pl
- Department of Virology with SARS Laboratory, Medical University of Lublin, 20-093 Lublin, Poland; agata.blaszczuk@umlub.pl (A.B.); malgorzata.polz.dacewicz@umlub.pl (M.P.-D.)
- ³ Specialistic Medical Center Czechow, 20-848 Lublin, Poland; wieslaw.kanadys@wp.pl
- ⁴ Chair of Internal Medicine and Department of Internal Medicine in Nursing, Medical University of Lublin, 20-093 Lublin, Poland; bozena.baczewska@umlub.pl
- ⁵ Department of Paediatric Pulmonology and Rheumatology, Medical University of Lublin, 20-093 Lublin, Poland; ewelina.wawryk-gawda@umlub.pl
- Correspondence: agnieszkabaranska@umlub.pl

Abstract: The aim of the report was to evaluate the impact of soy protein containing isoflavones and soy isoflavones extract on lipid profile in postmenopausal women, as compared with placebo or protein of milk, casein or isolated soy protein with or without trace isoflavone content. We used the following databases: MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE and the Cochrane Library. Quantitative data synthesis was performed by applying a random-effects model. Subgroup analysis and meta-regression were performed to assess the modifiers of treatment response. In total, in the analysis studies, 2305 postmenopausal women took part. Changes in the lipid profile showed statistically significant decreases of total cholesterol by -0.12 (95% CI: -0.21, -0.03) mmol/L, -4.64 (95% CI: -8.12, -1.16) mg/dL, p = 0.01 and increased HDL-cholesterol by 0.03 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.06) mmol/L, 1.15 (95% CI: 0.00, 1.93) mg/dL, p = 0.05, as well as in LDL-cholesterol -0.05 (95% CI: -0.11, 0.01) mmol/L, -1.93 (95% CI: -12.25, 0.00) mg/dL, p = 0.08 and triacylglycerols -0.07 (95% CI: -0.14, 0.00) mmol/L, -6.123 (95% CI: -12.25, 0.00) mg/dL, p = 0.06. Our results suggests that soy and its isoflavones can be effective in correction changes in lipid metabolism in postmenopausal women and may favorably influence in preventing cardiovascular events.

Keywords: soy protein containing isoflavones; soy isoflavones extracts; cardiovascular diseases; postmenopausal women; lipid profile; TC; LDL-C; HDL-C; TAG

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD) continue to be the number one cause of morbidity and mortality of women over 50 years of age—accounting for over one third of total deaths [1]. Before menopause, CVD is infrequent which suggests that female hormones and metabolism offer protection. After natural menopause or bilateral ovariectomy, as a result of estrogen deficiency, a progress of changes in the metabolism of women is observed. This leads to an incidence of many metabolic syndrome features, including accumulation of fat mass in the abdominal compartment, transition to a more atherogenic lipid profile, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance and glucose intolerance [2–5]. The consequence of these changes is an increased risk of coronary heart disease (CHD), stroke and other



Citation: Barańska, A.; Błaszczuk, A.; Kanadys, W.; Baczewska, B.; Jedrych, M.; Wawryk-Gawda, E.; Polz-Dacewicz, M. Effects of Soy Protein Containing of Isoflavones and Isoflavones Extract on Plasma Lipid Profile in Postmenopausal Women as a Potential Prevention Factor in Cardiovascular Diseases: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 2531. https:// doi.org/10.3390/nu13082531

Academic Editor: Anna Gramza-Michałowska

Received: 4 June 2021 Accepted: 22 July 2021 Published: 24 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).



atherosclerotic vascular disease, including peripheral arterial disease, atherosclerotic aortic disease and carotid artery disease [6,7]. Dyslipidemia is one the most important risk factors for CVD, which can be corrected and prevented. During the menopausal transition (within the 1-year interval before and after the final menstrual period), a substantial increase of total cholesterol (TC), LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) and apolipoprotein B has been demonstrated. This is associated with decreased circulating estrogen levels [8]. The postmenopausal situation, allied with the acceleration of several aging processes deepens further alterations in lipid profiles, among others: increase in TC, LDL-C and triacylglycerol (TAG) and reduction of HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) [8–10]. Lipid disorders can accelerate the atherosclerosis process and its consequences, such as heart failure and coronary atherosclerosis. Several meta-analyses have shown that reduction of serum LDL-C by about 5–6% and a 3% increase in HDL-C are associated with improved cardiovascular outcomes [11,12].

Modification by diet and lifestyle of risk factors, particularly dyslipidemia, remains the cornerstone of therapy. Soy food and its constituent protein and isoflavones have received widespread attention for their potential role in CVD risk improvement [13,14]. In 1999, the FDA concluded that soy protein included in a diet low in saturated fat and cholesterol may reduce the risk of CHD by decreasing blood cholesterol levels [15]. Over the past two decades, many randomized controlled studies have been conducted of the effects of soy on lipids and other cardiovascular markers, but their outcomes remained inconsistent and controversial. This was reflected in the recommendations of various societies and associations of scientific standing [16–20]. The latest reports indicate that soy and isoflavone consumption is beneficial [21]. The results support promoting soy intake as part of a healthy diet and suggest the ability of both extracted isoflavones and soy protein with isoflavones to modulate the lipid profile and bring about benefits in preventing cardiovascular events [22].

In our systematic review and meta-analysis, we focused on the assessment of the effect of isolates of soy protein rich in isoflavones, as well as soy isoflavones extract on the lipid profile in postmenopausal women.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy and Study Selection

Based on the PRISMA guidelines, we conducted searches of website electronic databases: MEDLINE (PubMed), EMBASE and the Cochrane Library up to January 2020 to identify RCTs investigating the effect of soy isoflavones on blood lipid profile [23]. The selected publications were analyzed according to the PRISMA checklist (supplementary Table S1: PRISMA 2020 Checklist). The following word search terms were used in various combinations: soy proteins, soy isoflavones, genistein, daidzin, lipids, lipoprotein, lipid profile, cholesterol, TC, HDL, LDL and TAG. Additionally, we searched the reference lists of the included studies and relevant reviews. All articles included in this work were limited to the English language. Articles were initially evaluated according to title and/or abstract. In turn, potentially appropriate works that met all the selection criteria were selected and their full texts were read in order to gather detailed information.

Studies were considered eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis if they met all of the following criteria: double blind randomized parallel-group controlled trials; controlled against placebo or comparator treatment; the follow-up period was at least 3 months; the participants were postmenopausal women; the effects of soy isoflavone extract or isolated soy protein with isoflavones were tested on lipids in both treatment arms.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: studies with cross-over design; men, men and women or premenopausal women as participants; insufficient quantitative data; study duration of less than 12 weeks; isoflavones mixed with other active formulations; duplicate reports. The search process was independently carried out by two or more investigators; all screening conflicts were resolved by consensus throughout the research team.

2.2. Data Extraction

Data were extracted by the lead author and subsequently reviewed by the co-authors for accuracy. Extracted data included: first author's name, year of publication, country of origin, follow-up period of the study, age (range), menopause status (years since menopause), body mass index; daily dose of soy isoflavones in the active arm (aglycone equivalent; clearly described composition of isoflavones and their doses); type of control group; information concerning the baseline and final of mean concentrations of components of the lipid profile, as well as their standard deviation (SD) or standard error (SE) or 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and group size (*n*) in each test arm. The analysis included all the multi-arm study intervention groups that were relevant for the systematic review. To avoid duplication of data from the same groups with multiple time points, only endpoints with the longest duration were considered. When a few publications were based on the same study, reports with the largest number participants were selected.

2.3. Quality Assessment and Bias Risk of the Trials

The quality of trials was evaluated using the Cochrane Collaboration's tool. This is a listing of seven items that have a potential biasing influence on the estimates of an interventions effectiveness in randomized studies, and includes: random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment), attrition bias (incomplete outcome data), reporting bias (selective reporting) and other sources of bias. The risk of bias in RCTs included in review is assessed as: 'High risk' or 'Unclear' or 'Low risk' [24]. To explain the possible presence of bias in the included publications, their funnel plot symmetry was checked, moreover, Begg's rank correlation test (Kendall Tau) and Egger's weighted regression test were applied [25,26].

2.4. Statistical Analysis and Meta-Analysis

The outcome measures were difference in mean (net change in mmol/L) of elements of the lipid profile between baseline and the end values for both the intervention and control groups. In some studies, the results were reported in mg/dL. These we converted into mmol /L using standard conversion factors (multiplying mg/dL by 0.02586 for TC, LDL-C, HDL-C and 0.0113 for TAG). Data of the size of the effects of soy isoflavones on individual components of the lipid profile in each arm of the study were presented as number of subjects (*n*) and the mean \pm SD of the difference in means (MD) (net change in mmol/L) between final and initial value. None of the studies provided sufficient information to allow us to directly calculate the variance of change between pre- and post-intervention values. The missing SDs of MDs were inputted using the methods described in the Cochrane Handbook [24], as suggested by Follman et al. [27] and assuming a correlation coefficient of 0.5. Weighted mean difference (WMD) was calculated by subtracting the difference in mean between the control and active groups. The random-effects model was applied, and 95% CI and p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant [28]. STATISTICA Medical Software StatSoft Poland was used for all statistical analyzes. For heterogeneity evaluation, Cochrane Q and I^2 statistic were employed. The I^2 test allowed to assess whether the variance cross studies were correct and not due to a sampling error. The percentage of total variation indicates the degree of heterogeneity; I² values of \leq 25% were considered low, >25% as moderate and \geq 75% were assessed as high heterogeneity [29]. Multivariate meta-regression was also applied.

2.5. Subgroup Analysis

An additional analysis was undertaken in order to detect sources of heterogeneity via the following definitions: age of the participants: <55 vs. ≥ 55 y; BMI: ≤ 24.9 kg/m² vs. ≥ 25 kg/m²; post-menopausal status: early (<5 y) vs. late (≥ 5 y); cholesterol: normal vs. borderline vs. high (TC cut-off points: 5.2 mmol/L, 6.2 mmol); follow-up period: <6 vs. ≥ 6 months; types intervention: soy protein with isoflavones vs soy isoflavone alone; the total dose of isoflavones (expressed as aglycone equivalents): <80 mg/day ≥ 80 mg/d. To

assess the relationship between the above-mentioned variables, to establish which of them account for the heterogeneity and for determining the possible impact of isoflavones on individual variables, we used multivariate meta-regression analysis [30]. The following variables were used in the multivariate meta-regression analysis: age of the participants, BMI, post-menopausal status, cholesterol, follow-up period, types of intervention and the total dose of isoflavones.

3. Results

In total, 761 citations were identified. Based on the title and/or abstract, 678 items were excluded due to lack of connection with the topic of work. Consequently, 83 potentially relevant clinical trials were qualified for further detailed qualitative analysis in the full-text assessment. Of these, 59 studies were excluded due to the failure to meet all inclusion criteria. As a result, 24 randomized controlled trials were qualified for meta-analysis. These were additionally supplemented with 5 items from the literature review of previously identified articles. Finally, 29 randomized controlled trials with 32 comparisons were included in the meta-analysis [31–59]. Detailed information about of the literature search and study selection and identification can be found in Figure 1.

761 citations potentially related to the topic of work, based on key words, identified through electronic databases: 429 in MEDLINE (PubMed), 272 in EMBASE and 60 in the Cochrane Library



been included in the meta-analysis



Figure 1. Flowchart of the selection procedure for studies included in the current review and meta-analysis.

3.1. Assessment of the Methodological Quality of Trials

The quality of the included studies was evaluated according to the Cochrane Instructions, based on of risk of bias summary for each study (Figure 2) and of risk bias for each item (Figure 3). The studies, to various degrees, described a randomization design and the adapted allocation concealment. With regard to blinding, all studies reported double-blinding and most of them provided a further description of the binding procedure. One of the aforementioned RCTs did not indicate any measures for blinding of outcome assessment [49]. Nevertheless, the vast majority of the evaluated trials showed a low-risk bias for incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting.



Figure 2. Summary of Cochrane risk of bias for each study [31-59].



Figure 3. Summary of risk of bias for each item presented as percentages for across all included studies.

3.2. Characteristics of Included Trials

The characteristics of selected randomized controlled trials analyzing the effects of soy isoflavones on the lipid profile in menopausal women are presented in Table 1. The disclosed analysis included 29 studies published from 1998 to 2018 [31–59]. In three trials, two treatment groups with different doses of isoflavones were compared with one identical control group. These trials were analyzed separately [44,52,58]. One trial involved both male and female participants; the meta-analysis only analyzed the data from the women included in this study [57]. In this case, 11 studies were carried out in North America, five in South America, four in Europe, six in Asia and three in Australia. In 19 including RCTs, the effect of soy isoflavones on lipid was mainly investigated, while in the rest of the trials, research was directly towards the effect of isoflavone supplementation on bone mass [34,47,52], menopausal symptoms relief [45,54], body composition [37], endothelial function [49,50], quality of life and cognition [41,51]. In these, the secondary aim was of our interest—the evaluation of the changes in lipid profiles. Here, 11 articles reported outcomes of studies for durations of 12 weeks, one study was 4 months long, nine were 6 months long, one was 9 months long, five were 12 months long, one spanned 15 months long and one was 24 months in duration.

In total, 2305 postmenopausal women participated in the analyzed studies (1217 in active groups and 1088 in control groups). Mostly, the RCT were conducted among healthy women, while in four studies the women-participants had baseline hypercholesterolemia, according to the definitions of the original study [46,55,56,59] and three trials included participants with various conditions, including overweight/obesity [37,43] and prediabetes [36]. The mean age of the women was 57.2 years (range: 48.5 to 73.9), and mean body mass index was 28 kg/m² (median: 26.2; range: 21.1 to 32.0).

First Authors	Study Design	Study Donulation	n Sample	Intervention	Distary Advice	Crown	Baseline Lipids Values					
Data [ref.] Country	Trial Duration	Health Condition'	(Treated/Control) Placebo	(Daily Dose)	During Study:	Studied	TC mmol/L	LDL-C mmol/L	HDL-C mmol/L	TAG mmol/L		
Barrasa 2018 [31] Chile	Parallel groups 1-wk run-in / 3-mo follow-up	Mean age 64.7 \pm 4.6 (55–72) y, ysm N/A, BMI 27.6 \pm 0.9, healthy	20/15	IAE 100 mg (52 mg Gen, 40 mg Dai, 8 mg Gly) vs. placebo	No reported	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.13 \pm 0.68 \\ 4.87 \pm 0.62 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.10 \pm 0.94 \\ 2.97 \pm 0.50 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30 \pm 0.43 \\ 1.18 \pm 0.38 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.53 \pm 0.39 \\ 1.54 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$		
Sathyapalan 2018 [32] Great Britain	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 52 (49–56) y, ysm < 2, BMI 25.4, healthy	60/60	SP 15 g, IAE 66 mg (54% Gen, 35% Dai, 12% Gly) vs. SP 15 g	Avoiding other dietary products containing soy	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.8\pm0.9\\ 5.8\pm0.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.65\pm0.7\\ 3.65\pm0.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.68 \pm 0.94 \\ 1.78 \pm 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.16 \pm 0.62 \\ 1.18 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$		
Mangano 2013 [33] USA	Parallel groups 12-mo follow-up	Mean age 73.9 \pm 5.9 (>60) y, ysm 23.1 \pm 9.0, BMI 28.8 \pm 5.8, healthy	25/22	SP 18 g + IC 105 mg (0.61% Agl) vs. control (MP 18 g + placebo)	Avoiding soy foods, nutritional or herbal supplements	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.45 \pm 0.87 \\ 5.46 \pm 1.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.50 \pm 0.77 \\ 3.57 \pm 1.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.39 \pm 0.32 \\ 1.33 \pm 0.33 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.23 \pm 0.62 \\ 1.23 \pm 0.54 \end{array}$		
Chilibeck 2013 [34] Canada	Parallel groups 24-mo follow-up	Mean age 56.6 \pm 6.8 y, ysm N/A, BMI 27.1 \pm 4.1, healthy	72/73	IC 165 mg (105 mg Agl: Gen, Dai and Gly in ratio of 1:1:0.2) vs. placebo	No reported	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.87 \pm 0.96 \\ 5.76 \pm 0.91 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.68 \pm 0.91 \\ 3.59 \pm 0.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.58 \pm 0.41 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.44 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.41 \pm 1.03 \\ 1.43 \pm 0.79 \end{array}$		
Kim 2013 [35] Republic of Korea	Parallel groups 12-wk follow-up	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Mean age } 53.6 \pm 3.4 \ y, \\ \mbox{ysm } 3.6 \pm 2.4, \mbox{BMI } 23.3 \pm 2.5, \\ \mbox{healthy} \end{array} \ 42/43$		IC 70 mg (Glyco: 38 mg glycitin, 20 mg daidzin, 12 mg genistin) vs. placebo	Limitation of soy products	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.13 \pm 0.85 \\ 5.48 \pm 1.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.97 \pm 0.70 \\ 3.25 \pm 0.92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.49 \pm 0.36 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.26 \pm 0.72 \\ 1.27 \pm 0.66 \end{array}$		
Liu 2012 [<mark>36]</mark> Hong Kong SAR	Parallel groups 2-wk run-in / 3- mo follow-up	Mean age 56.3 \pm 4.3 (48–70) y, ysm 5.9 \pm 5.4, BMI 24.4 \pm 3.6, prediabetes	an age 56.3 ± 4.3 (48–70) y, n 5.9 ± 5.4, BMI 24.4 ± 3.6, 60/60 prediabetes		100 mg Other mg Gly, supplements were . MP 15 g prohibited		$\begin{array}{c} 5.83 \pm 0.94 \\ 5.63 \pm 0.93 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.94 \pm 0.67 \\ 3.81 \pm 0.88 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.66 \pm 0.31 \\ 1.65 \pm 0.30 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.35 \pm 1.19 \\ 1.30 \pm 0.70 \end{array}$		
Choquette 2011 [37] Canada	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 58.5 \pm 5.5 (50–70) y, ysm 9.0 \pm 7.0, BMI 30.1 \pm 2.7 overweight/obesity	23/22	IAE 70 mg (44 mg Dai, 16 mg Gly,10 mg Gen) vs. placebo	Maintaining normal eating habits	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.40 \pm 0.88 \\ 5.58 \pm 0.86 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.34 \pm 0.75 \\ 3.34 \pm 0.81 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.49 \pm 0.34 \\ 1.57 \pm 0.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47 \pm 0.67 \\ 1.44 \pm 0.73 \end{array}$		
Campbell 2010 [38] USA	Parallel groups 12-mo follow-up	Mean age 54.7 \pm 5.5 (<65) ys, ysm 5.5 \pm 5.0; BMI 27.9 \pm 5.9, hypercholesterolemic	35/27	SP 25 g, 60 mg IF vs. CP 25 g	Maintaining normal eating habits	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.97 \pm 0.93 \\ 6.15 \pm 0.91 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.88 \pm 0.90 \\ 3.95 \pm 0.87 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47 \pm 0.38 \\ 1.50 \pm 0.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.34 \pm 0.70 \\ 1.48 \pm 0.67 \end{array}$		
Jassi 2010 [39] India	Parallel groups 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 51.1 \pm 8.6 (40–60) y, ysm 2.3 \pm 1.2, BMI 23.4 \pm 2.7, healthy	25/25	SP 30 g, IF 60 mg vs. CP 30 g	No reported	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 4.96 \pm 0.36 \\ 4.69 \pm 0.71 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.09 \pm 0.37 \\ 2.83 \pm 0.76 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 \pm 0.15 \\ 1.06 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.76 \pm 0.28 \\ 1.76 \pm 0.17 \end{array}$		
Öztürk Turhan 2009 [40] Turkey	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 51.5 \pm 5.1 (44–58) y, ysm 3.6 \pm 1.7, BMI 27.1 \pm 3.1, healthy	45/45	IAE 40 mg (29.8 mg Gen, 7.8 mg Dai, 2.4 mg Gly) vs. placebo	Not given products with presumed estrogenic activity	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.82 \pm 0.96 \\ 6.30 \pm 0.76 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.25 \pm 0.73 \\ 4.01 \pm 0.65 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.54 \pm 0.35 \\ 1.38 \pm 0.28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.70 \pm 0.53 \\ 1.78 \pm 0.74 \end{array}$		
Basaria 2009 [41] USA	Parallel groups 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 55.7 \pm 1.3 (46–76) y, ysm 5.7 \pm 0.9, BMI 26.1 \pm 0.8, healthy	38/46	SP 20 g, IC 160 mg (IAE: 64 mg Gen, 63 mg Dai, 34 mg Gly) vs. MP 20 g	Avoiding products: soy, black cohosh, etc.	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.48 \pm 0.14 \\ 5.69 \pm 0.85 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.15 \pm 0.75 \\ 3.21 \pm 0.74 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.88 \pm 0.46 \\ 2.02 \pm 0.46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.03 \pm 0.58 \\ 0.99 \pm 0.46 \end{array}$		
Rios 2008 [42] Brazil	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 55.5 \pm 5.2 (47–66) y, ysm 8.8 \pm 7.5, BMI 26.5 \pm 3.3, healthy	25/22	IC 40 mg (5% Gen, 12% Dai) vs. placebo	Exclusion dietary products high in phytoestrogens	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.30 \pm 0.90 \\ 5.77 \pm 1.52 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.41 \pm 0.81 \\ 3.85 \pm 1.36 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.28 \pm 0.27 \\ 1.27 \pm 0.22 \end{array}$	N/A N/A		

Table 1. Characteristics of selected randomized controlled studies assessing the influence of soy isoflavones on lipid profile in postmenopausal women.

Table 1. Cont.

First Authors	Chu la Dasian		<i>n</i> Sample	Intomantion	Distany Adviso	C	Baseline Lipids Values					
Data [ref.] Country	Trial Duration	Health Condition'	(Treated/Control) Placebo	(Daily Dose)	During Study:	Studied	TC mmol/L	LDL-C mmol/L	HDL-C mmol/L	TAG mmol/L		
Aubertin- Leheudre 2008 [43] Canada	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 57.4 \pm 5.4 (50–70) y, ysm 8.6 \pm 7.5, BMI 32.0 \pm 12.5, obesity	21/18	IAE 70 mg (44 mg Dai, 16 mg Gly,10 mg Gen) vs. placebo	Maintaining normal eating habits	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.41 \pm 0.88 \\ 5.33 \pm 0.83 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.17 \pm 0.81 \\ 3.17 \pm 0.78 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.55 \pm 0.49 \\ 1.45 \pm 0.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.51 \pm 0.69 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.69 \end{array}$		
Ho 2007 [44] China	Parallel groups 2-wk run-in / 12-mo follow-up	Mean age 54.2 \pm 3.1 (48–62) y, ysm 4.1 \pm 2.4, BMI 24.1 \pm 3.6, healthy	67/68/68	a. IAE 80 mg; b. IAE 40 mg (46.4% Dai, 38.8% Gly, 14.7% Gen) vs. placebo	Maintaining normal eating habits	SG80 SG40 CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.86 \pm 0.83 \\ 5.83 \pm 0.84 \\ 5.93 \pm 0.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.19 \pm 0.74 \\ 3.23 \pm 0.68 \\ 3.25 \pm 0.73 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.89 \pm 0.41 \\ 1.80 \pm 0.39 \\ 1.86 \pm 0.42 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.13 \pm 0.56 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.93 \\ 1.29 \pm 0.96 \end{array}$		
Nahas 2007 [45] Brazil	Parallel groups 4-wk run-in / 4-mo follow-up	Mean age 55.7 \pm 6.8 (>45) y, ysm 6.9 \pm 4.5, BMI 29.1 \pm 5.0, healthy	38/38	IC 100 mg (50% Gen, 35% Dai) vs. placebo	A diet rich in fiber, soy or of vegetarian was banned	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.56 \pm 0.92 \\ 5.37 \pm 0.97 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.47 \pm 0.82 \\ 3.26 \pm 0.82 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.29 \pm 0.27 \\ 1.35 \pm 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.73 \pm 0.74 \\ 1.67 \pm 0.89 \end{array}$		
Allen 2007 [46] USA	Parallel groups 4-wk run-in / 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 56.8 \pm 5.6 y, ysm 9.4 \pm 8.3, BMI 27.9 \pm 4.7 hypercholesterolemia	93/98	SP 20 g, IC 160 mg (~96 mg Agl) vs. MP 20 g	SP 20 g, IC 160 mg (~96 mg Agl) vs. MP 20 g Low-fat diet		$\begin{array}{c} 5.80 \pm 0.68 \\ 5.71 \pm 0.64 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.67 \pm 0.68 \\ 3.60 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.56 \pm 0.37 \\ 1.52 \pm 0.31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.25 \pm 0.51 \\ 1.28 \pm 0.60 \end{array}$		
Wu 2006 [47] Japan	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 54.4 \pm 2.9 (45–60) y, ysm N/A, BMI 21.1 \pm 2.4, healthy	25/29	IC 75 mg (47 mg Agl: Dai 38.3 mg, Gen 8.6 mg, Gly 1 mg) vs. placebo	No changes in dietary habits	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.90 \pm 0.76 \\ 5.88 \pm 0.86 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.52 \pm 0.72 \\ 3.59 \pm 0.76 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.92\pm0.47\\ 1.85\pm0.38\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95 \pm 0.43 \\ 1.16 \pm 0.53 \end{array}$		
Garrido 2006 [48] Chile	Parallel groups 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 53.5 ± 4.0 (45–60) y, ysm N/A, BMI 26.9 ± 2.3, 15/14 healthy		IAE ~100 mg (46,8 mg Dai, 48.2 mg Gen) vs. placebo	Herbal supplements or soy products were prohibited	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.5\pm1.0\\ 4.8\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4\pm0.4\\ 2.9\pm0.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4\pm0.3\\ 1.8\pm0.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\pm0.2\\ 1.4\pm0.2\end{array}$		
Colacurci 2005 [49] Italy	Parallel groups 6-mo follow-up	Mean age 55.1 \pm 3.8 y, ysm 4.9 \pm 0.6, BMI 25.9 \pm 1.8, healthy	29/28	IAE 60 mg (30 mg Gen, 30 mg Dai) vs. placebo	ng (30 mg Gen, Other soy products ai) vs. placebo were prohibited		N/A N/A	$\begin{array}{c} 3.7\pm0.3\\ 3.6\pm0.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6\pm0.5\\ 1.5\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\pm0.6\\ 1.6\pm0.8\end{array}$		
Teede 2005 [50] Australia	Parallel groups 3-d run-in / 3-mo follow-up	Mean age 59.5 ± 4.5 (50–75) y, ysm N/A, BMI 25.9 ± 5.4, healthy	19/21	SP 40 g, IC 118 mg (54 mg Gen, 3.6 mg Gly, 26 mg Dai) vs. CP 40 g	Dietary items high in phytoestrogens were excluded	SG CG	$6.2 \pm 1.30 \\ 5.8 \pm 0.92$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.0\pm0.87\\ 3.6\pm0.92\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6\pm0.43\\ 1.6\pm0.46\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\pm0.48\\ 1.0\pm0.63\end{array}$		
Kreijkamp- Kaspers 2004 [51] Netherlands	Parallel groups 12-mo follow-up	Mean age $66.6 \pm 4.7 (60-75)$ y, s ysm 17.9 ± 6.9 , BMI 26.1 ± 3.8 , $88/8$ p healthy		SP 25.6 g, IAE 99 mg (52 mg Gen, 6 mg Gly, 41 mg Dai) vs. MP 25,6 mg	After consultation, possible changes in the diet possible changes in the diet	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.21 \pm 0.73 \\ 6.11 \pm 0.95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.16\pm0.99\\ 4.12\pm0.88\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.55 \pm 0.41 \\ 1.53 \pm 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.36 \pm 0.72 \\ 1.25 \pm 0.59 \end{array}$		
Gallagher 2004 [52] United States	Parallel groups 1 wk run-in / 15-mo follow-up	Mean age 55.4 \pm 1.2 (40–62) y, ysm 7.6 \pm 1.3, BMI 26.4 \pm 9.8, healthy	17/19/14	SP 40 g: a. IC 96 mg (52 mg Gen, 28 mg Dai); b. IC 52 mg (28 mg Gen, 20 mg Dai) vs. SP 40 g	Restricted animal protein	SG96 SG52 CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.70 \pm 0.88 \\ 7.04 \pm 0.59 \\ 5.49 \pm 1.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.57 \pm 0.81 \\ 3.50 \pm 0.83 \\ 3.48 \pm 1.31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.42 \pm 0.33 \\ 1.47 \pm 0.34 \\ 1.44 \pm 0.27 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.56 \pm 0.91 \\ 1.53 \pm 0.82 \\ 1.24 \pm 0.47 \end{array}$		
Dalais 2003 [53] Australia	Parallel groups 3-mo follow-up	Mean age 60 ± 6.2 (50–75) y ysm N/A, BMI 25.3 ± 4.6, healthy	38/40	SP 40 g, IC 118 mg (69 mg Agl) vs. CP 40 g	No reported	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.12 \pm 0.92 \\ 5.92 \pm 0.88 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \pm 0.86 \\ 3.69 \pm 0.88 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.63 \pm 0.49 \\ 1.72 \pm 0.51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.09 \pm 0.68 \\ 1.01 \pm 0.57 \end{array}$		

Table 1. Cont.

First Authors	Stude Design	Cturder Demodetien	n Sample	Intervention	Diotory Advice	Crown	Baseline Lipids Values					
Data [ref.] Country	Trial Duration	Health Condition'	(Treated/Control) Placebo	(Daily Dose)	During Study:	Studied	TC mmol/L	LDL-C mmol/L	HDL-C mmol/L	TAG mmol/L		
Han 2002 [54] Brazil	Parallel groups 4-mo fallow-up	Mean age 48.5 \pm 7.6 (45–55) y, ysm 1.9 \pm 1.6, BMI 24.3 \pm 3.2, healthy	40/40	SP 50.3 mg, IAE 33.3 mg (23.3 mg Gen, 3.8 mg Gly, 6.2 mg Dai) vs. placebo	No reported	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.83 \pm 0.88 \\ 5.86 \pm 1.26 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.45 \pm 0.87 \\ 3.45 \pm 1.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.04 \pm 0.23 \\ 1.03 \pm 0.21 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.31 \pm 1.66 \\ 1.99 \pm 1.66 \end{array}$		
Dewell 2002 [55] USA	Parallel groups 2-mo follow-up	Mean age 69.5 ± 4.2 (64–83) y, ysm N/A, BMI 25.0 ± 4.2 moderate hypercholesterolemia	20/16	IC 150 mg (90 mg Agl: 45% Gen, 55% Dai and Gly) vs. placebo	Diet excluding foods containing soy	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.8 \pm 0.9 \\ 6.3 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	$5.6 \pm 0.9 \ddagger 5.1 \pm 2.0 \ddagger$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2\pm0.5\\ 1.2\pm0.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8\pm0.5\\ 1.3\pm0.8\end{array}$		
Gardner 2001 [56] USA	Parallel groups 4-wk run-in / 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 59.9 ± 6.6 (<80) y, ysm N/A, BMI 26.3 ± 4.6, hypercholesterolemia	31/30	SP 42 g, IAE 80 mg (52 mg Gen, 25 mg Dai, 4 mg Gly) vs. MP 42 g	Diet excluding foods containing soy	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 5.9\pm0.6\\ 6.1\pm0.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.9\pm0.6\\ 4.0\pm0.5\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\pm0.3\\ 1.5\pm0.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\pm0.8\\ 1.3\pm0.7\end{array}$		
Vigna 2000 [57] Italy	Parallel groups 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 53.4 \pm 3.3, ysm 2.4, BMI 25.9 \pm 3.5, healthy	40/37	40/37 SP 40 g, IF 76 mg vs. CP 40 g		SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.37 \pm 1.01 \\ 6.55 \pm 0.93 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.13 \pm 0.87 \\ 4.33 \pm 0.87 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.57 \pm 0.36 \\ 1.61 \pm 0.38 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.47 \pm 0.90 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.77 \end{array}$		
Mackey 2000 [58] Australia	Parallel groups 4-wk run-in / 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 56.6 ± 4.6 (45–65) y, ysm N/A, BMI N/A hypercholesterolemia	25 /24	SP 28 g, IF 65 mg vs. SP 28 g	Dietary guidelines from National Heart Foundation	SG CG	$\begin{array}{c} 7.29 \pm 0.90 \\ 7.47 \pm 1.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.07 \pm 0.73 \\ 5.11 \pm 1.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.52 \pm 0.39 \\ 1.66 \pm 0.45 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.53 \pm 0.82 \\ 1.54 \pm 0.77 \end{array}$		
Baum 1998 [59] USA	Parallel groups 2-wk run-in / 12-wk follow-up	Mean age 60.8 ± 8.6 (49–83) y, ysm N/A, BMI 27.8 \pm 5.3, hypercholesterolemia	21/23/22	SP 40 g: a. IAE 90 mg; b. IAE 56 mg vs. CP + MP 40 g	Low-fat diet	SG90 SG56 CG	$\begin{array}{c} 6.47 \pm 0.88 \\ 6.57 \pm 0.85 \\ 6.26 \pm 0.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.1 \pm 1.0 \ddagger \\ 5.2 \pm 0.9 \ddagger \\ 4.9 \pm 0.8 \ddagger \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.38 \pm 0.32 \\ 1.34 \pm 0.28 \\ 1.38 \pm 0.31 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.74 \pm 0.75 \\ 1.89 \pm 1.02 \\ 1.75 \pm 1.11 \end{array}$		

Abbreviations: Agl, aglycones; BMI, body mass index (kg/m²); CG, control group: CP, casein protein; Dai, daidzein; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; Gen, genistein; Gly, glycitein; Glyc, glycoside; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; IAE, isoflavone aglycone equivalents; IC, isoflavone conjugate containing aglycone and glycoside; IF, isoflavones (form and composition unknown); MP, milk protein; N/A, not available, NCEP SI/II, National Cholesterol Education Program Step I/II; ref., reference; SG, soy group; SP, soy protein; y, year or years; ysm, years since menopause.

3.3. Interventions

Different types of interventions were conducted. In 14 trials, soy isoflavones extract in the form of tablets or capsules was administered and compared with placebo [31,34,35,37, 40,42-45,47-49,54,55], while 14 trials used isolated soy protein containing isoflavones [32, 36,38,39,41,46,50-53,56-59] and were compared with a control group that was either: casein [39,46,50,53,57,59], milk protein [36,41,51,56] or isolated soy protein with or without trace isoflavone content [32,52,58]. One study dealt with interventions that were a combination of soy protein of powder and soy isoflavones tablets—this was compared with a mix of proteins and maltodextrin tablets as controls [33]. The protein in these studies was in powder form and was mixed by participants with water or beverages and/or added to the usual diet or taken in in the form of a snack. Overall isoflavone concentrations averaged 87.6 mg/d (median: 80 mg; range: 30.3 to 165 mg). The range of soy protein was 15 to 50.3 g/d; median: 40 g/d.

3.4. Meta-Analysis

Overall, our meta-analysis looked at 29 trials with 32 comparisons assessing the influence of isolated soy protein containing isoflavones and/or of soy isoflavones extract on individual components of lipid profiles. However, 28 trials with 31 comparisons provided data for the meta-analysis of impact on TC [31–48,50–59]. One study by Colacurci et al. [49] did not have the required data to be included in the meta-analysis. Our work shows that the value of TC decreased in the isoflavone intake group as compared with the corresponding control group in 17 from 31 comparisons, but only in 4 was a statistically significant reduction evident [39,40,52,54]. In contrast, 3 comparisons showed no change and 8 indicated an insignificant increase. The pooled estimate reveals that the intake of soy protein and/or isoflavones is associated with a statistically significant decrease in TC by -0.12 (95% CI: -0.21 to -0.03) mmol/L, -4.64 (95% CI: -8.12 to -1.16) mg/dL, p = 0.007, Q = 44.76, I² = 32.98% (Figure 4). Here, the Begg and Mazumdar's test for rank correlation was Kendall's tau = -0.3462, z = -2.7364, p = 0.006, indicating possible publication bias, while in Egger's test for a regression, intercept = -11644 (95% CI = -20814 to -02474), p = 0.024, also indicating possible publication bias.

In the subgroup analysis, reduction of TC was significant when follow-up was less than 6 months (p = 0.006), in late postmenopausal women (p = 0.026), in women older than 55 years (p = 0.037), in subjects that were overweight/obese (p = 0.012) and when taking soy protein with isoflavones (p = 0.024) and isoflavones at a dose <80 mg per day (p = 0.024) (Table 2). Multivariate meta regression with all covariates had no significant impact on TC.

In turn, 38 comparisons from 24 trials focused on assessing the effect of soy isoflavones on LDL-C [31–54,56–58]. Two studies by Dewell et al. [55] and Baum et al. [59] were excluded from the meta-analysis because they lacked adequate data or the data was presented as non-HDL. In the included studies, 16 comparisons, compared to control, showed insignificant decrease in LDL-C concentration as a result of isoflavone consumption, while statistically significant reduction was indicated in three [39,40,54], and in 10 comparisons, insignificant increase was noted. The pooled estimate reveals that the intake of soy protein and/or soy isoflavones is associated with insignificant decrease in LDL-C by -0.05 (95% CI: -0.11 to 0.01) mmol/L, -1.93 (95% CI: -4.25 to 0.39) mg/dL, p = 0.081, Q = 29.36, $I^2 = 4.62\%$. (Figure 5). Here, Begg and Mazumdar's test for rank correlation had Kendall's tau = -0.2512, z = -1.9133, p = 0.056, indicating no evidence of publication bias. However, Egger's test for a regression intercept was -0.9868 (95% CI = -1.7445 to -0.2292), p = 0.013, indicating possible publication bias.



Figure 4. Forest plot showing the effects of soy isoflavones compared with placebo on TC concentrations (mmol/L; change from baseline). Data are presented as weighted mean difference and 95% CI [31–48,50–59].

Subgroup TC (mmol/L)							LDL-C (mmol/L)					HDL-C (mmol/L)					TAG (mmol/L)					
Outcome	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	p	I ² (%)	n	Ν	WMD (95% CI)	р	I ² (%)	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	p	I ² (%)	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	p	I ² (%)		
Overall effects	31	2351	-0.12 (-0.21, -0.03)	0.007	32.98	29	2284	-0.05 (-0.01, 0.01)	0.081	4.62	32	2409	0.03 (-0.00, 0.05)	0.050	18.58	31	2397	-0.07 (-0.14, 0.00)	0.056	49.71		
						Follow-Up Period																
<6 months	16	1143	-0.15 (-0.25, -0.04)	0.006	3.42	14	1055	-0.13 (-0.22, -0.03)	0.012	5.32	16	1143	0.06 (0.03, 0.10)	0.001	9.06	16	1142	-0.12(-0.24, 0.01)	0.062	65.03		
≥ 6 months	15	1208	-0.11 (-0.25, 0.03)	0.125	48.54	15	1229	-0.01(-0.07, 0.06)	0.867	0.00	16	1265	0.00 (-0.03, 0.03)	0.899	0.00	15	1215	-0.04(-0.10, 0.03)	0.299	0.00		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b			<6 mths: -0.097 (0.1	13), 0.469				\geq 6 mths: 0.131 (0.09)	9), 0.141 <6 mths: 0.037 (0.03), 0.213								<6 mths: -0.129 (0.08), 0.091					
Postmenopausal Status																						
<5 years	12	952	-0.13 (-0.30, 0.03)	0.115	59.62	7	672	-0.07 (-0.18, 0.04)	0.192	44.65	13	1009	0.04 (-0.00, 0.09)	0.077	30.11	13	1008	-0.07 (-0.20, 0.05)	0.235	70.35		
\geq 5 years	19	1399	-0.11(-0.20, -0.11)	0.026	0.00	21	1563	-0.08(-0.17, 0.01)	0.069	0.00	19	1400	0.02 (-0.02, 0.05)	0.328	11.65	18	1349	-0.06 (-0.13, 0.02)	0.138	5.35		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b	tts β ≥ 5 yrs.: -0.089 (0.15), 0.560							≥5 yrs.: 0.124 (0.11), 0.254				≥5 yrs.: -0.031 (0.04), 0.419					\geq 5 yrs.: -0.039 (0.10), 0.690					
	Age of Participants																					
<55 years	16	1201	-0.14 (-0.30, 0.02)	0.079	58.47	17	1258	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.04)	0.338	23.02	17	1258	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	0.175	32.64	16	1210	-0.08 (-0.20, 0.03)	0.163	67.02		
\geq 55 years	15	1150	-0.11 (-0.21, -0.01)	0.037	0.00	12	1026	-0.10(-0.20, -0.01)	0.035	0.00	15	1151	0.03 (-0.01, 0.06)	0.130	1.91	15	1147	-0.05 (-0.13, 0.02)	0.152	0.00		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b			\geq 55 yrs.: -0.061 (0.1	13), 0.637			≥55 yrs.: 0.183 (0.91), 0.045				≥55 yrs.: -0.050 (0.03), 0.127					≥55 yrs.: −0.037 (0.08), 0.641						
									Body 1	Mass Index	:											
\leq 24.9 kg/m ²	8	708	-0.11(-0.30, 0.07)	0.226	58.87	7	672	-0.07 (-0.22, 0.07)	0.302	54.63	8	708	0.04 (0.01, 0.07)	0.019	7.75	8	707	-0.06 (-0.24, 0.12)	0.518	78.82		
\geq 25.0 kg/m ²	22	1594	-0.13(-0.24, -0.03)	0.012	20.31	21	1563	-0.07 (-0.15, 0.00)	0.060	0.00	23	1652	0.02 (-0.02, 0.07)	0.274	25.13	22	1601	-0.06(-0.12, 0.01)	0.083	10.02		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b	$\leq 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2: -0.038 (0.13), 0.770$							$\leq 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$: -0.051 (0.09), 0.573			\leq 24.9 kg/m ² : -0.056 (0.03), 0.055					\leq 24.9 kg/m ² : 0.048 (0.07), 0.511						
									Che	olesterol												
Normal	4	199	-0.23 (-0.56, 0.10)	0.174	46.05	4	199	-0.17 9-0.46, 0.13)	0.270	60.60	4	199	0.12 (-0.04, 0.29)	0.151	63.59	4	198	-0.17 (-0.46, 0.13)	0.263	88.20		
Bordeline	18	1564	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)	0.304	0.00	18	18	-0.04(-0.10, 0.02)	0.221	0.00	18	1565	0.01 (-0.02,0.04)	0.339	16.48	17	1514	-0.03 (-0.09, 0.03)	0.294	0.00		
High	9	588	-0.27 (-0.53, 0.00)	0.052	56.06	6	464	-0.12 (-0.36, 0.11)	0.289	34.19	9	588	0.05 (-0.01, 0.11)	0.098	0.00	9	588	-0.13 (-0.27, 0.02)	0.090	16.34		

Table 2. Pooled estimates of treatment effect on lipid profile in subgroups of trials ^a.

Subgroup			TC (mmol/I	.)			LDL-C (mmol/L)					HDL-C (mmol/L)					TAG (mmol/L)					
Outcome	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	р	I ² (%)	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	р	I ² (%)	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	р	I ² (%)	n	N	WMD (95% CI)	р	I ² (%)		
Coefficients β			Normal: -0.130 (0.1	19), 0.505			Borderline: -0.095 (0.12), 0.447					Normal: 0.084 (0.05), 0.061					Normal: -0.042 (0.10), 0.685					
(SE), p ^b			High: -0.219 (0.13	3), 0.085			High: -0.057 (0.15), 0.700					High: -0.063 (0.034), 0.065				High: -0.001 (0.08), 0.985						
Types of Intervention																						
Soy protein ^c	18	1386	-0.15 (-0.28, -0.02)	0.024	39.41	16	1298	-0.12(-0.21, -0.03)	0.011	0.00	18	1386	0.05 (0.02, 0.08)	0.003	0.00	18	1386	-0.10(-0.20, 0.01)	0.066	49.25		
Isoflavone extract	13	965	-0.08 (-0.20, 0.03)	0.163	22.82	13	986	-0.00 (-0.07, 0.07)	0.925	0.00	14	1023	0.01 (-0.04, 0.05)	0.768	34.15	32	971	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.06)	0.445	42.18		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b		Iso	flavones alone: 0.130	(0.13), 0.30)1		Dietar	y isoflavones: -0.139	(0.09), 0.10	04		Isoflavone alone: -0.019 (0.03), 0.540						Isoflavone alone: -0.069 (0.07), 0.353				
									Isofla	vone Dose												
<80 mg/day	15	1024	-0.21 (-0.39, -0.03)	0.021	61.91	15	1036	-0.10 (-0.22, 0.01)	0.080	52.44	16	1081	0.02 (-0.02, 0.07)	0.327	1947	15	1033	-0.09 (-0.22, 0.03)	0.149	56.75		
\geq 80 mg/day	16	1327	-0.06 (-0.15, 0.03)	0.185	0.00	14	1248	-0.04 (-0.11, 0.03)	0.294	0.00	16	1328	0.03 (-0.01, 0.07)	0.111	22.84	16	1324	-0.04 (-0.12, 0.04)	0.292	26.99		
Coefficients β (SE), p ^b		\geq 80 mg/d: 0.194 (0.12), 0.115 <88 mg/d: -0.117 (0.08), 0.164								$\geq 80 \text{ mg/d:} -0.025 (0.03), 0.383$					\geq 80 mg/d: -0.147 (0.08), 0.052							

Table 2. Cont.

^a Differences in the number of comparisons and sample sizes in some subgroups are due to the lack data in regarding their studies; ^b for meta-regression analysis; Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; I^2 , coefficient of inconsistency; β , standardized regression coefficient; *n*, number of comparisons; *N*, number of subjects; *p*, probability value, WMD, weighted mean difference; mths, months; yrs, years.



Figure 5. Forest plot showing the effects of soy isoflavones compared with placebo on LDL-C concentrations (mmol/L; change from baseline). Data are presented as weighted mean difference and 95% CI [31–54,56–58].

In the subgroup analysis, decrease of LDL-C was significant when follow-up was less than 6 months (p = 0.012), in women older than 55 years (p = 0.035) and when taking soy protein with isoflavones (p = 0.011), (Table 2). Multivariate meta regression with most covariates showed that these had no significant impact, but age <55 years was found to have a significant influence on LDL-C (p = 0.045).

Changes in level of TAG after soy isoflavone intake as compared with control group was evaluated in 28 trials (31 comparisons) [31–41,43–59]. One study by Rios et al. [42] did not have the required data to be included in the meta-analysis. In 15 comparisons, compared with control, TAG demonstrated insignificant reduction; in 5, a statistically significant decrease was evident [39,40,45,50,53]; in one study, no changes were observed [32]; in 10, an insignificant increase in TAG levels was noted. The pooled estimate reveals that intake of soy protein and/or isoflavones is associated with a decrease in TAG (with marginal statistical significance): -0.07 (95% CI: -0.14 to 0.00) mmol/L, -6.123 (95% CI: -12.25 to 0.00) mg/dL, p = 0.056, Q = 59,65, $I^2 = 49.71\%$ (Figure 6). The Begg and Mazumdar's test for rank correlation had Kendall's tau = -0.0538, z = -0.4249, p = 0.671, indicating no evidence of publication bias. Egger's test for regression intercept was 0.394 (95% CI -0.7938 to 15,829), p = 0.502 also indicating no evidence of publication bias.



Figure 6. Forest plot showing the effects of soy isoflavones compared with placebo on TAG concentrations (mmol/L; change from baseline). Data are presented as weighted mean difference and 95% CI [31–41,43–59].

The results of subgroups analysis did not demonstrate any statistically significant differences (Table 2). Multivariate meta regression with all covariates showed no significant impact on TAG, albeit, close to statistical significance was noted for TAG (p = 0.052) when taking isoflavones at a dose $\geq 80 \text{ mg/dl}$.

The change in concentrations of HDL-C post-intervention was based on 29 trials (32 of which were comparisons) [31–59]. In 15 comparisons, the comparison with controls demonstrated insignificant increase in HDL-C, while three revealed statistically significant increase [36,39,48]. Moreover, in three studies, no changes were observed [37,54,55], and in 11 studies, insignificant decrease in HDL-C levels was evident. The pooled estimate indicated that the intake of soy protein and/or isoflavones is associated with increase in HDL-C at 0.03 (95% CI: 0.00 to 0.05) mmol/L, 1.15 (95% CI: 0.00 to 1.93) mg/dL, *p* = 0.050, Q = 38.07, $I^2 = 18.58\%$ (Figure 7). Begg and Mazumdar's test for rank correlation had Kendall's tau = -0.0565, *z* = -0.4541 *p* = 0.650, indicating no evidence of publication bias. Egger's test for regression intercept was -0.0401 (95% CI -0.8003 to 0.7202), *p* = 0.915, also indicating no evidence of publication bias.



Figure 7. Forest plot showing the effects of soy isoflavones compared with placebo on HDL-C concentrations (mmol/L; change from baseline). Data are presented as weighted mean difference and 95% CI [31–59].

In the subgroup analysis, increase of HDL-C was significant when follow-up was less than 6 months (p = 0.001), in women overweight/obese (p = 0.019) and when taking soy protein containing isoflavones (p = 0.003) (Table 2). Furthermore, multivariate meta-regression showed a close to statistical significance effect on HDL (p = 0.055) in women with normal body weight.

4. Discussion

The present meta-analysis demonstrates that the intake by postmenopausal women of soy protein containing isoflavone and soy isoflavone extract is associated with a significant decrease in serum TC (-0.12 mmol/L, p = 0.01), increase of HDL-C (0.03 mmol/L, p = 0.05), albeit linked with insignificantly reduction in LDL-C (-0.05 mmol/L, p = 0.081) and TAG (-0.07 mmol/L, p = 0.06). These findings are generally consistent with previous published meta-analyses for the effect on serum lipid components. These revealed that soy protein and/or isoflavones (compared with control) were more effective in generating changes of lipid profile in older women. In the meta-analysis by Zhan and Ho [60], the effect of soy protein containing isoflavones supplementation on serum lipid level in postmenopausal women (in a subgroup according to gender) was: -0.13 mmol/L, p = 0.06 for TC, -0.15 mmol/L, p = 0.03 for LDL-C, 0.05 mmol/L, p = 0.04 for HDL-C and -0.07 mmol/L, p = 0.04 for TAG. In turn, in their meta-analysis, Prediger et al. [61] reported that used of soy protein with isoflavones in women (mostly postmenopausal) was

associated with a significant decrease in TC (-0.14 mmol/L, p = 0.035), and no significant associations for LDL-C (-0.09 mmol/L, p = 0.155), TAG (-0.09 mmol/L, p = 0.09) and HDL-C (0.023 mmol/L, p = 0.44). The outcomes of other meta-analysis investigating the effects of soy-associated isoflavones on serum lipids in both men and women remain inconsistent and controversial [60,62-67]. Yeung and Yu [62], for example, found no overall statistical and clinical benefit from taking soy-associated isoflavones. The aforementioned results were confirmed by Weggemans, Trautwein [63] and Sacks et al. [16]. In contrast, Taku et al. [64] reported positive effect of supplementation of isoflavones on individual lipid components. Similar results were observed by Tokede et al. [65] and Reynolds et al. [66]. Simental-Mendía et al. [67] noted a significant reduction in TC and LDL-C concentrations, whereas levels of HDL-C and TAG remained unaffected.

The strongest lowering impact on TC, LDL-C and TAG, as well as increases in HDL-C values were observed when soy protein with isoflavones was administered. Other authors observed a similar effect [60,65]. There were no significant changes in lipids in subjects taking tablets/capsules containing extracted soy isoflavones, which was also confirmed in the analysis of Zhan and Ho [60]. One possible explanation for the absence of clear impact of soy isoflavones extract on lipid concentrations may be associated with the use of preparations with differences in composition and content of soy isoflavones, especially in the form of the aglycons: daidzein, genistein and glycitein [68]. Variations in their bioavailability should, therefore, be taken into account as one may be more effective than the others in affecting the components of the lipid profile [69]. In addition, it is possible, that several other soy compounds have effect on lipid level. Among these are the proteins and associated trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid and saponins, however, their exact action is not well elicited yet [70].

Our results of subgroup analysis indicate that significant lowering effects of soy preparations on individual components of the lipid profile occur within the shorter followup period, compared with durations of more than 6 months. The observed difference between changes in the observation period is unclear. This may be associated with a decrease in compliance with dietary discipline in the extended research period. Similar observations were noted in other works [60,65]. A subgroup analysis of women in the period of late postmenopause and over the age 55 years showed a clear lowering in the level of TC and LDL-C, suggesting that these women may have greater benefits from taking soy preparations. However, initial TC in the participants did not show any major impact on changes in the concentration of the serum lipids.

When considering this meta-analysis, some limitations should be taken into account that may affect its final outcome. First of all, it involved a limited number of subjects, and the small sample size in some studies might have resulted in insufficient statistical power, thus limiting definitive conclusions. Secondly, factors as race, genetic background, environment and lifestyle may also impact on lipid levels after soy therapy. Thirdly, the selected studies used different forms and doses of soy isoflavones and this could affect the final results. Fourthly, the abundance of isoflavones in soy protein preparations varies widely and depends on the processing techniques used during production. Furthermore, the intensity of action of isoflavones may be partly due to the process in which they were extracted [71]. Fifthly, the variability of result of lipid-lowering effect by soy isoflavones may be caused, at least in part by differential equal production among subjects. Finally, the analyzed works might not have represented all the studies related to this subject, especially those published in languages other than English. Hence, it is possible that a study with statistically significant results might have prevailed over a study with an insignificant or zero result, and vice versa. If the results of the published studies are considerably erroneous, the effect of RCI on lipid metabolism might be overestimated or underestimated.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our systematic review and meta-analysis clearly show that soy isoflavones significantly contribute to beneficial correction of lipid profile in postmenopausal women.

Results suggests that soy and its isoflavones can be effective in correction changes in lipid metabolism and may favorably influence in preventing cardiovascular events in postmenopausal women. However, further multicenter studies based on greater amounts of research material and accurately defined doses of isoflavones are necessary to determine their beneficial effect on lipid metabolism, i.e., the lowering of risk of cardiovascular disease in women during this period of life.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu13082531/s1, Table S1: PRISMA 2020 Checklist.

Author Contributions: A.B. (Agnieszka Barańska): conceptualization, investigation, resources, methodology, formal analysis, supervision, writing–original draft, review and editing. A.B. (Agata Błaszczuk): investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, visualization. W.K.: conceptualization, investigation, formal analysis, methodology, visualization, review and editing, writing–review and editing. B.B.: methodology, formal analysis. M.J.: software, investigation. E.W.-G.: investigation, project administration. M.P.-D.: funding acquisition, supervision. The authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Tunstall-Pedoe, H.; Kuulasmaa, K.; Amouyel, P.; Arveiler, D.; Rajakangas, A.M.; Pajak, A. Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA Project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation* 1994, *90*, 583–612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Papakonstantinou, E.; Lambadiari, V.; Dimitriadis, G.; Zampelas, A. Metabolic syndrome and cardiometabolic risk factors. *Curr. Vasc. Pharm.* **2013**, *11*, 858–879. [CrossRef]
- 3. Douchi, T.; Yonehara, Y.; Kawamura, Y.; Kuwahata, A.; Kuwahata, T.; Iwamoto, I. Difference in segmental lean and fat mass components between pre- and postmenopausal women. *Menopause* **2007**, *14*, 875–878. [CrossRef]
- 4. Choi, Y.; Chang, Y.; Kim, B.K.; Kang, D.; Kwon, M.J.; Kim, C.W.; Jeong, C.; Ahn, Y.; Park, H.Y.; Ryu, S.; et al. Menopausal stages and serum lipid and lipoprotein abnormalities in middle-aged women. *Maturitas* **2015**, *80*, 399–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Walton, C.; Godsland, I.F.; Proudler, A.J.; Wynn, V.; Stevenson, J.C. The effects of the menopause on insulin sensitivity, secretion and elimination in non-obese, healthy women. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* **1993**, *23*, 466–473. [CrossRef]
- 6. Matthews, K.A.; Crawford, S.L.; Chae, C.U.; Everson-Rose, S.A.; Sowers, M.F.; Sternfeld, B.; Sutton-Tyrrell, K. Are changes in cardiovascular disease risk factors in midlife women due to chronological aging or to the menopausal transition? *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2009**, *54*, 2366–2373. [CrossRef]
- 7. Tufano, A.; Marzo, P.; Enrini, R.; Morricone, L.; Caviezel, F.; Ambrosi, B. Anthropometric, hormonal and biochemical differences in lean and obese women before and after menopause. *J. Endocrinol. Investig.* **2004**, *27*, 648–653. [CrossRef]
- Kanadys, W.; Barańska, A.; Jedrych, M.; Religioni, U.; Janiszewska, M. Effects of red clover (Trifolium pratense) isoflavones on the lipid profile of perimenopausal and postmenopausal women—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Maturitas* 2020, 132, 7–16. [CrossRef]
- 9. Stevenson, J.C.; Crook, D.; Godsland, I.F. Influence of age and menopause on serum lipids and lipoproteins in healthy women. *Atherosclerosis* **1993**, *98*, 83–90. [CrossRef]
- 10. de Kat, A.C.; Dam, V.; Onland-Moret, N.C.; Eijkemans, M.J.; Broekmans, F.J.; van der Schouw, Y.T. Unraveling the associations of age and menopause with cardiovascular risk factors in a large population-based study. *BMC Med.* **2017**, *15*, 2. [CrossRef]
- Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration; Baigent, C.; Blackwell, L.; Emberson, J.; Holland, L.E.; Reith, C.; Bhala, N.; Peto, R.; Barnes, E.H.; Keech, A.; et al. Eficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: A meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet* 2010, 376, 1670–1681. [CrossRef]
- 12. Silverman, M.G.; Ference, B.A.; Im, K.; Wiviott, S.D.; Giugliano, R.P.; Grundy, S.M.; Braunwald, E.; Sabatine, M.S. Association between lowering LDL-C and cardiovascular risk reduction among different therapeutic interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* **2016**, *316*, 1289–1297. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ramdath, D.D.; Padhi, E.M.T.; Sarfaraz, S.; Renwick, S.; Duncan, A. Beyond the cholesterol-lowering effect of soy protein: A review of the effects of dietary soy and its constituents on risk factors for cardiovascular disease. *Nutrients* 2017, *9*, 324. [CrossRef]

- 14. Chan, Y.H.; Lau, K.K.; You, K.H.; Li, S.W.; Chan, H.T.; Tam, S.; Shu, X.O.; Lau, C.P.; Tse, H.F. Isoflavone intake in persons at high risk of cardiovascular events: Implications for vascular endothelial function and the carotid atherosclerotic burden. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2007**, *86*, 938–945. [CrossRef]
- 15. Food labeling: Health claims; soy protein and coronary heart disease. Food and Drug Administration, HHS. Final rule. *Fed. Regist* **1999**, *64*, 206.
- 16. Sacks, F.M.; Lichtenstein, A.; Van Horn, L.; Harris, W.; Kris-Etherton, P.; Winston, M. American Heart Association Nutrition Committee. Soy protein, isoflavones, and cardiovascular health: An American Heart Association Science Advisory for professionals from the Nutrition Committee. *Circulation* **2006**, *113*, 1034–1044. [CrossRef]
- 17. Health Canada. Summary of Health Canada's Assessment of a Health Claim about Soy Protein and Cholesterol Lowering. 2015. Available online: https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/migration/hc-sc/fn-an/alt_formats/pdf/label-etiquet/claims-reclam/assess-evalu/Sum-Assessment-Soy-April-2015-eng.pdf (accessed on 1 January 2021).
- Anderson, T.J.; Grégoire, J.; Pearson, G.J.; Barry, A.R.; Couture, P.; Dawes, M.; Francis, G.A.; Genest, J., Jr.; Grover, S.; Gupta, M.; et al. 2016 Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidemia for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in the Adult. *Can. J. Cardiol.* 2016, *32*, 1263–1282. [CrossRef]
- European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation; Reiner, Z.; Catapano, A.L.; De Backer, G.; Graham, I.; Taskinen, M.R.; Wiklund, O.; Agewall, S.; Alegria, E.; Chapman, M.J.; et al. ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG) 2008–2010 and 2010–2012 Committees. ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: The Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). *Eur. Heart J.* 2011, *32*, 1769–1818. [CrossRef]
- 20. Blanco Mejia, S.; Messina, M.; Li, S.S.; Viguiliouk, E.; Chiavaroli, L.; Khan, T.A.; Srichaikul, K.; Mirrahimi, A.; Sievenpiper, J.L.; Kris-Etherton, P.; et al. A meta-analysis of 46 studies identified by the FDA demonstrates that soy protein decreases circulating LDL and total cholesterol concentrations in adults. *J. Nutr.* **2019**, *149*, 968–981. [CrossRef]
- Li, N.; Wu, X.; Zhuang, W.; Xia, L.; Chen, Y.; Zhao, R.; Yi, M.; Wan, Q.; Du, L.; Zhou, Y. Soy and isoflavone consumption and multiple health outcomes: Umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of observational studies and randomized trials in humans. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2020, 64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Barańska, A.; Błaszczuk, A.; Polz-Dacewicz, M.; Kanadys, W.; Malm, M.; Janiszewska, M.; Jedrych, M. Effects of Soy Isoflavones on Glycemic Control and Lipid Profile in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 1886. [CrossRef]
- 23. Moher, D.; Shamseer, L.; Clarke, M.; Ghersi, D.; Liberati, A.; Petticrew, M.; Shekelle, P.; Stewart, L.A. PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst. Rev.* 2015, *4*, 1. [CrossRef]
- 24. Higgins, J.P.T.; Thomas, J.; Chandler, J.; Cumpston, M.; Li, T.; Page, M.J.; Welch, V.A. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.0 (Updated July 2020); John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2019.
- 25. Begg, C.B.; Mazumdar, M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* **1994**, *50*, 1088–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Egger, M.; Smith, G.D.; Schneider, M.; Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* **1997**, *315*, 629–634. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Follmann, D.; Elliott, P.; Suh, I.; Cutler, J. Variance imputation for overviews of clinical trials with continuous response. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* **1999**, 45, 769–773. [CrossRef]
- 28. DerSimonian, R.; Laird, N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials revisited. Contemp. Clin. Trials 2015, 45, 139–145. [CrossRef]
- 29. Higgins, J.P.; Thompson, S.G. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat. Med. 2002, 21, 1539–1558. [CrossRef]
- Baker, W.J.; White, C.M.; Cappelleri, J.C.; Kluger, J.; Coleman, C.I. Health Outcomes, Policy, and Economics (HOPE) Collaborative Group. Understanding heterogeneity in meta-analysis: The role of meta-regression. *Int. J. Clin. Pract.* 2009, 63, 1426–1434. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Barrasa, G.R.R.; González, N.; Boasi, L.E.V. Age of postmenopause women: Effect of soy Isoflavone in lipoprotein and inflammation markers. *J. Menopausal Med.* 2018, 24, 176–182. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sathyapalan, T.; Aye, M.; Rigby, A.S.; Thatcher, N.J.; Dargham, S.R.; Kilpatrick, E.S.; Atkin, S.L. Soy isoflavones improve cardiovascular disease risk markers in women during the early menopause. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc Dis.* **2018**, *28*, 691–697. [CrossRef]
- Mangano, K.M.; Hutchins-Wiese, H.L.; Kenny, A.M.; Walsh, S.J.; Abourizk, R.H.; Bruno, R.S.; Lipcius, R.; Fall, P.; Kleppinger, A.; Kenyon-Pesce, L.; et al. Soy proteins and isoflavones reduce interleukin-6 but not serum lipids in older women: A randomized controlled trial. *Nutr. Res.* 2013, 33, 1026–1033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chilibeck, P.D.; Vatanparast, H.; Pierson, R.; Case, A.; Olatunbosun, O.; Whiting, S.J.; Beck, T.J.; Pahwa, P.; Biem, H.J. Effect of exercise training combined with isoflavone supplementation on bone and lipids in postmenopausal women: A randomized clinical trial. *J. Bone Min. Res.* 2013, 28, 780–793. [CrossRef]
- 35. Kim, J.; Lee, H.; Lee, O.; Lee, K.H.; Lee, Y.B.; Young, K.D.; Jeong, Y.H.; Choue, R. Isoflavone supplementation influenced levels of triglyceride and luteunizing hormone in Korean postmenopausal women. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2013**, *36*, 306–313. [CrossRef]
- 36. Liu, Z.M.; Ho, S.C.; Chen, Y.M.; Ho, Y.P. The effects of isoflavones combined with soy protein on lipid profiles, C-reactive protein and cardiovascular risk among postmenopausal Chinese women. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2012**, *22*, 712–719. [CrossRef]

- Choquette, S.; Riesco, É.; Cormier, É.; Dion, T.; Aubertin-Leheudre, M.; Dionne, I.J. Effects of soya isoflavones and exercise on body composition and clinical risk factors of cardiovascular diseases in overweight postmenopausal women: A 6-month double-blind controlled trial. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2011, 105, 1199–1209. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Campbell, S.C.; Khalil, D.A.; Payton, M.E.; Arjmandi, B.H. One-year soy protein supplementation does not improve lipid profile in postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 2010, 17, 587–593. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Jassi, H.K.; Jain, A.; Arora, S.; Chitra, R. Effect of soy proteins vs soy isoflavones on lipid profile in postmenopausal women. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.* 2010, 25, 201–207. [CrossRef]
- Turhan, N.Ö.; Duvan, C.; Bolkan, F.; Onaran, Y. Effect of isoflavone on plasma nitrite/nitrate, homocysteine, and lipid levels in Turkish women in the early postmenopausal period: A randomized controlled trial. *Turk. J. Med. Sci.* 2009, 39, 367–375. [CrossRef]
- Basaria, S.; Wisniewski, A.; Dupree, K.; Bruno, T.; Song, M.Y.; Yao, F.; Ojumu, A.; John, M.; Dobs, A.S. Effect of high-dose isoflavones on cognition, quality of life, androgens, and lipoprotein in post-menopausal women. *J. Endocrinol. Invest* 2009, *32*, 150–155. [CrossRef]
- 42. Rios, D.R.; Rodrigues, E.T.; Cardoso, A.P.; Montes, M.B.; Franceschini, S.A.; Toloi, M.R. Lack of effects of isoflavones on the lipid profile of Brazilian postmenopausal women. *Nutrition* **2008**, *24*, 1153–1158. [CrossRef]
- Aubertin-Leheudre, M.; Lord, C.; Khalil, A.; Dionne, I.J. Isoflavones and clinical cardiovascular risk factors in obese postmenopausal women: A randomized, double-blind study. J. Womens Heath 2008, 17, 1363–1369. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ho, S.C.; Chen, Y.M.; Ho, S.S.; Woo, J.L. Soy isoflavone supplementation and fasting serum glucose and lipid profile among postmenopausal Chinese women: A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Menopause* **2007**, *14*, 905–912. [CrossRef]
- 45. Nahas, E.A.; Nahas-Neto, J.; Orsatti, F.L.; Carvalho, E.P.; Oliveira, M.L.; Dias, R. Efficacy and safety of a soy isoflavone extract in postmenopausal women: A randomized, double-blind, and placebo-controlled study. *Maturitas* **2007**, *58*, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 46. Allen, J.K.; Becker, D.M.; Kwiterovich, P.O.; Lindenstruth, K.A.; Curtis, C. Effect of soy protein-containing isoflavones on lipoproteins in postmenopausal women. *Menopause* 2007, 14, 106–114. [CrossRef]
- Wu, J.; Oka, J.; Tabata, I.; Higuchi, M.; Toda, T.; Fuku, N.; Ezaki, J.; Sugiyama, F.; Uchiyama, S.; Yamada, K. Effects of isoflavone and exercise on BMD and fat mass in postmenopausal Japanese women: A 1-year randomized placebo-controlled trial. *J. Bone Min. Res.* 2006, 21, 780–789. [CrossRef]
- 48. Garrido, A.; De la Maza, M.P.; Hirsch, S.; Valladares, L. Soy isoflavones affect platelet thromboxane A2 receptor density but not plasma lipids in menopausal women. *Maturitas* **2006**, *54*, 270–276. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Colacurci, N.; Chintera, A.; Fornaro, F.; de Novellis, V.; Manzella, D.; Arciello, A.; Chintera, V.; Improta, L.; Paolisso, G. Effects of soy isoflavones on endothelial function in healthy postmenopausal women. *Menopause* **2005**, *12*, 299–307. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Teede, H.J.; Dalais, F.S.; Kotsopoulos, D.; McGrath, B.P.; Malan, E.; Gan, T.E.; Peverill, R.E. Dietary soy containing phytoestrogens does not activate the hemostatic system in postmenopausal women. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 90, 1936–1941. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Kreijkamp-Kaspers, S.; Kok, L.; Grobbee, D.E.; de Haan, E.H.; Aleman, A.; Lampe, J.W.; van der Schouw, Y.T. Effect of soy protein containing isoflavones on cognitive function, bone mineral density, and plasma lipids in postmenopausal women: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2004, 292, 65–74. [CrossRef]
- 52. Gallagher, J.C.; Satpathy, R.; Rafferty, K.; Haynatzka, V. The effect of soy protein isolate on bone metabolism. *Menopause* **2004**, *11*, 290–298. [CrossRef]
- 53. Dalais, F.S.; Ebeling, P.R.; Kotsopoulos, D.; McGrath, B.P.; Teede, H.J. The effects of soy protein containing isoflavones on lipids and indices of bone resorption in postmenopausal women. *Clin. Endocrinol.* **2003**, *58*, 704–709. [CrossRef]
- 54. Han, K.K.; Soares, J.M., Jr.; Haidar, M.A.; de Lima, G.R.; Baracat, E.C. Benefits of soy isoflavone therapeutic regimen on menopausal symptoms. *Obs. Gynecol.* 2002, *99*, 389–394.
- 55. Dewell, A.; Hollenbeck, C.B.; Bruce, B. The effects of soy-derived phytoestrogens on serum lipids and lipoproteins in moderately hypercholesterolemic postmenopausal women. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *87*, 118–121. [CrossRef]
- 56. Gardner, C.D.; Newell, K.A.; Cherin, R.; Haskell, W.L. The effect of soy protein with or without isoflavones relative to milk protein on plasma lipids in hypercholesterolemic postmenopausal women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2001**, *73*, 728–735. [CrossRef]
- 57. Vigna, G.B.; Pansini, F.; Bonaccorsi, G.; Albertazzi, P.; Doneg, P.; Zanotti, L.; De Aloysio, D.; Mollica, G.; Fellin, R. Plasma lipoproteins in soy-treated postmenopausal women: A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc Dis.* **2000**, *10*, 315–322. [PubMed]
- 58. Mackey, R.; Ekangaki, A.; Eden, J.A. The effects of soy protein in women and men with elevated plasma lipids. *Biofactors* **2000**, *12*, 251–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baum, J.A.; Teng, H.; Erdman, J.W., Jr.; Weigel, R.M.; Klein, B.P.; Persky, V.W.; Freels, S.; Surya, P.; Bakhit, R.M.; Ramos, E.; et al. Long-term intake of soy protein improves blood lipid profiles and increases mononuclear cell low-density-lipoprotein receptor messenger RNA in hypercholesterolemic, postmenopausal women. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1998**, *68*, 545–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Zhan, S.; Ho, S.C. Meta-analysis of the effects of soy protein containing isoflavones on the lipid profile. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2005**, *81*, 397–408. [CrossRef]
- 61. Prediger, C.C.; Olinto, M.T.A.; Nacul, L.C.; Ziegler, D.R.; Pattussi, M.P. Effects of soy protein containing isoflavones on women's lipid profile: A meta-analysis. *Rev. Nutr. Camp.* **2011**, *24*, 161–172. [CrossRef]

- 62. Yeung, J.; Yu, T.F. Effects of isoflavones (soy phyto-estrogens) on serum lipids: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutr. J.* **2003**, 2. [CrossRef]
- 63. Weggemans, R.M.; Trautwein, E.A. Relation between soy-associated isoflavones and LDL and HDL cholesterol concentrations in humans: A meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2003, *57*, 940–946. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Taku, K.; Umegaki, K.; Sato, Y.; Taki, Y.; Endoh, K.; Watanabe, S. Soy isoflavones lower serum total and LDL cholesterol in humans: A meta-analysis of 11 randomized controlled trials. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2007**, *85*, 1148–1156. [CrossRef]
- 65. Tokede, O.A.; Onabanjo, T.A.; Yansane, A.; Gaziano, J.M.; Djoussé, L. Soya products and serum lipids: A meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2015, *114*, 831–843. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Reynolds, K.; Chin, A.; Lees, K.A.; Nguyen, A.; Bujnowski, D.; He, J. A meta-analysis of the effect of soy protein supplementation on serum lipids. *Am. J. Cardiol* **2006**, *98*, 633–640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Simental-Mendía, L.E.; Gotto, A.M., Jr.; Atkin, S.L.; Banach, M.; Pirro, M.; Sahebkar, A. Effect of soy isoflavone supplementation on plasma lipoprotein(a) concentrations: A meta-analysis. J. Clin. Lipidol. **2018**, *12*, 16–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Izumi, T.; Piskula, M.K.; Osawa, S.; Obata, A.; Tobe, K.; Saito, M.; Kataoka, S.; Kubota, Y.; Kikuchi, M. Soy isoflavone aglycones are absorbed faster and in higher amounts than their glucosides in humans. *J. Nutr.* **2000**, *130*, 1695–1699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Nielsen, I.L.; Williamson, G. Review of the factors affecting bioavailability of soy isoflavones in humans. *Nutr. Cancer* 2007, 57, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Oakenfull, D. Soy protein, saponins and plasma cholesterol. J. Nutr. 2001, 131, 2971–2972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Erdman, J.W., Jr.; Badger, T.M.; Lampe, J.W.; Setchell, K.D.; Messina, M. Not all soy products are created equal: Caution needed in interpretation of research results. *J. Nutr.* **2004**, *134*, 1229–1233. [CrossRef]