Association between plasma irisin in pregnancy and postpartum glucose levels among Chinese women: A cohort study

Nu Tang¹, Yajun Chen¹, Weijia Wu^{1,2}, Wenting Pan¹, Dongyu Wang³, Jingshu Zhang¹, Kaiyun Tan¹, Jin Jing¹, Li Cai^{1,4}*

¹Department of Maternal and Child Health, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, ²Department of Scientific Research, Hainan Women and Children's Medical Center, Haikou, China, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China, and ⁴Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Food, Nutrition and Health, Department of Nutrition, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Keywords

Impaired fasting glucose, Irisin, Postpartum women

*Correspondence

Li Cai Tel:: +86-20-8733-4956 Fax: +86-20-8733-0446 E-mail address: caili5@mail.svsu.edu.cn

J Diabetes Investig 2021; 12: 1723-1731

doi: 10.1111/jdi.13517

ABSTRACT

Aims/Introduction: The association between plasma irisin and glucose levels in the general population is controversial, and few studies have longitudinally detected this correlation. We aimed to examine whether irisin in pregnancy was associated with postpartum glucose levels among Chinese women and explore the modifiable factors.

Materials and Methods: We carried out a prospective cohort study in Guangzhou, China, during 2017 and 2018, and 453 pregnant women (20–28 weeks) were enrolled. Plasma irisin levels in pregnancy were tested. At 6-8 weeks after delivery, 93 women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) underwent a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test, and the other 360 women had a fasting blood glucose (FBG) test. Multivariable linear, quantile and logistic regressions were carried out.

Results: The mean plasma irisin in mid-pregnancy was 13.73 ng/mL. We observed a significantly negative association between mid-pregnancy irisin and postpartum FBG (β : – 0.056 ± 0.024). However, quantile regression showed the association was only significant in high percentiles of FBG levels (P_{50} to P_{95}), and the magnitude showed an increasing trend. Higher baseline irisin was also associated with a lower risk of postpartum impaired fasting glucose (relative risk 0.563, 95% confidence interval 0.384–0.825). Furthermore, we found significant interactions between irisin and predominant breast-feeding on FBG and impaired fasting glucose (both $P_{\text{interaction}} < 0.05$). In women with GDM, baseline irisin was non-significantly associated with postpartum postprandial 2-h glucose levels (β : – $0.305 \pm 0.160, P = 0.061$).

Conclusions: Plasma irisin levels in mid-pregnancy were negatively associated with FBG levels and impaired fasting glucose at 6-8 weeks postpartum among Chinese women, and stronger associations were observed in women with higher FBG values. Furthermore, breast-feeding might modify this relationship.

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is a complicated physiological challenge that requires adaptations in multiple systems, and of great importance is the maintenance of glucose homeostasis¹. Generally, postpartum glucose levels are expected to return to pre-gravid status, but women might also develop persistent glucose metabolism disorders, which include impaired fasting glucose (IFG), impaired

Received 15 July 2020; revised 26 January 2021; accepted 27 January 2021

glucose tolerance (IGT) and type 2 diabetes mellitus at early postpartum². Previous studies showed that postpartum women with the isolated IFG, isolated IGT or combined IFG and IGT showed a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus ³⁻⁴. Improving glucose homeostasis and expenditure at early postpartum might prevent or delay the onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus^{2,5}. Therefore, it is imperative to discover maternal factors related to glucose homeostasis, to develop possible preventive strategies for postpartum glucose disorders. Myokines,

^{© 2021} The Authors. Journal of Diabetes Investigation published by Asian Association for the Study of Diabetes (AASD) and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd J Diabetes Investig Vol. 12 No. 9 September 2021 1723 This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

secreted from maternal muscle tissues, is one of the factors that contribute to metabolic homeostasis ⁶. Irisin is a newly identified myokine that regulates glucose metabolism ⁷.

Boström *et al.*⁷ introduced the myokine, irisin, as an exercise-inducible secreted factor that improved glucose tolerance and increased energy expenditure in mice. Other experimental studies also showed that irisin might improve glucose homeostasis by reducing insulin resistance and promoting β -cell survival⁸⁻¹⁰. Subsequently, population-based studies have shown lower irisin levels in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus¹¹⁻ ¹³, and some concluded a negative association between irisin and fasting blood glucose (FBG) ^{11,14-15}. However, other literature reported a null¹⁶ or even positive¹⁷⁻¹⁸ correlation. Taken together, no consensus exists regarding the relationship between irisin and glucose levels in epidemiological studies.

Furthermore, several additional gaps remain in the existing literature. First, previous studies, which explored the aforementioned associations, focused on the general population or patients with metabolic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes mellitus or obesity¹⁹. While no studies paid attention to women at early postpartum. Furthermore, most studies were cross-sectional or retrospective designs, which lacked longitudinal data to verify the cause–effect relationship between irisin and glucose levels ¹⁹⁻²⁰.

Thus, in consideration of the importance of glucose homeostasis for postpartum women, we carried out a prospective cohort study to examine the association between irisin in pregnancy and postpartum glucose levels and IFG among Chinese women, and explore the modifiable factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

The present study was a prospective cohort study (registration number: NCT03023293) carried out at a hospital in Guangzhou, China during 2017 and 2018. For baseline examination, we enrolled pregnant women at 20–28 weeks of gestation. At 6–8 weeks after delivery, women returned to the hospital for follow up and re-examination. This study was approved by the institutional review boards of Sun Yat-sen University. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

The inclusion criteria were: (i) aged 20–45 years; (ii) registered to deliver in this hospital; and (iii) blood samples were taken. Women with a history of reported pre-existing diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, thyroid disease, hematopathy, polycystic ovary syndrome, infection during pregnancy, mental disorders or multiple gestation were excluded before enrollment. A total of 679 pregnant women were recruited at baseline, and 494 women returned at 6–8 weeks postpartum for follow up. We further excluded those who had implausibly high (>60 ng/mL) plasma irisin level (n = 1) in the baseline examination, or did not undergo glucose tests (n = 40) at postpartum. Therefore, 453 women were finally included in our analysis, of whom 93 were patients with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

Measurement of plasma irisin in baseline

In baseline, blood samples for circulating irisin were collected by experienced nurses in the morning after an overnight fast for at least 10 h. These blood samples were then centrifuged at 2,014 g for 15 min and processed for plasma samples, which were then stored at - 80°C for the following assays. Plasma irisin concentrations were determined using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (EK-067–29; Phoenix Pharmaceuticals, Burlingame, CA, USA) with a spectrophotometric microplate reader at a wavelength of 450 nm (Spark[®] multimode microplate reader, Tecan Trading AG, Männedorf, Switzerland). The test provided a range of detection of 0.1–1,000 ng/mL, and showed an inter- and intra-assay coefficient of variation of <10 and 15%, respectively.

Postpartum glucose test and definitions

For follow up, participants with GDM (n = 93) were scheduled for a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), including OGTT-0 h glucose (FBG), OGTT-1 h and OGTT-2 h glucose, and the others (n = 360) underwent fasting glucose tests at 6– 8 weeks after delivery. Glucose levels were measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (BS-380; Mindray, Shenzhen, China) in a standardized clinical laboratory at the hospital.

Abnormal postpartum glucose levels were determined using the American Diabetes Association criteria for adults ²¹. IFG was defined as a fasting glucose level of \geq 5.6 mmol/L (100 mg/ dL). IGT was defined as OGTT-2 h glucose level of \geq 7.8 mmol/L (140 mg/dL). Fasting glucose levels of <5.6 mmol/L and 2-h blood glucose levels of <7.8 mmol/L were identified as normal.

Assessment of covariates

Questionnaire survey at baseline

Information on sociodemographic characteristics (e.g., age, occupation, education level and monthly household income), physical activity (PA), lifestyle factors in mid-pregnancy were obtained in baseline using self-reported questionnaires. The information of PA, which was expressed in metabolic equivalents (METs-min/week), was collected using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire ²², and participants were divided into two groups by the median (high and low) of METs. Participants' dietary intakes during the past month before enrollment were assessed using a validated 81-item quantitative food frequency questionnaire²³ in face-to-face interviews. Furthermore, family history of diabetes was also recorded.

All investigators were trained before the survey was administered. Each completed questionnaire was checked by an inspector.

Anthropometric information

Anthropometric measurements (e.g. height and pre-pregnancy weight) were taken by trained clinical nurses. Pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) was calculated as bodyweight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared (kg/m²). For stratified analyses, women were classified as thin/normal (<24 kg/m²) or overweight/obese (\geq 24 kg/m²) using the Chinese criteria ²⁴.

Glucose test in baseline

In the baseline investigation, all pregnant women were scheduled a 75-g OGTT between 20–28 weeks of gestation. According to the criteria of the International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups²⁵, women were diagnosed as GDM based on the 75-g OGTT if they met at least one of the following criteria: FBG \geq 5.10 mmol/L (92mg/dL); OGTT-1 h glucose \geq 10.0 mmol/L (180 mg/dL); and OGTT-2 h glucose \geq 8.50 mmol/L (153 mg/dL).

Postpartum information

At 6–8 weeks postpartum, we carried out face-to-face interviews with participants to obtain information of infant feeding patterns through the questionnaires. According to categories of the World Health Organization²⁶, the definition of predominant breast-feeding is as follows: infants received breast milk as the predominant source of nourishment, and they also have received liquids (water, and water-based drinks, fruit juice, oral rehydration salts), ritual fluids and drops or syrups (vitamins, minerals, medicines) in limited quantities. The participants were categorized into two subgroups according to predominant breast-feeding (yes v.s. no) for stratified analysis.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS statistical software package (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Continuous variables were reported as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD), and categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Differences between groups were evaluated by Student's *t*-test or χ^2 -tests.

Multivariable linear and logistic regression analyses were carried out to evaluate the associations between plasma irisin in pregnancy and postpartum glucose levels and IFG/IGT. Model 1 was not adjusted; model 2 was adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy BMI and PA in mid-pregnancy; model 3 was additionally adjusted for predominant breast-feeding at postpartum, family history of diabetes, passive smoking, energy intake, energy proportion of fat, protein and carbohydrates, and fasting glucose levels in mid-pregnancy; model 4 was further adjusted for educational level, monthly household income and occupation. We stratified the participants by age, pre-pregnancy BMI, PA in mid-pregnancy, history of GDM and predominant breast-feeding, respectively, and investigated the interactions between irisin and stratified factors on FBG/IFG.

To identify how the levels (low and high quantiles) of FBG were associated with irisin, a multivariate quantile regression was carried out²⁷⁻²⁸. We carried out quantile regression models using postpartum FBG as an outcome variable and irisin levels as predictors while adjusted for some covariates in model 4,

assessing the 0.05, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 0.95 quantiles of the FBG distribution. All the tests were considered to be statistically significant if P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

The general characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. A total of 453 women was included in the study, of whom 93 (20.53%) were diagnosed as GDM. The prevalence of postpartum IFG in the total population is 14.35%. Among women with a history of GDM, 35.48% had postpartum abnormal glucose regulation (IGT or IFG), whereas 24.73% had postpartum IGT and 16.13% had postpartum IFG. Participants had a mean age of 30.6 years (SD 4.7 years) at baseline. The mean plasma irisin level during mid-pregnancy was 13.7 ng/mL (SD 5.9 ng/mL), and irisin levels were significantly lower in women with IFG than the normal-glucose group (12.0 vs 14.0 ng/mL). Meanwhile, pre-pregnancy BMI and mid-gestation FBG were significantly higher in the IFG group (both P = 0.002). Women without IFG had a higher percentage of predominant breastfeeding (50.42 vs 28.81%). No significant differences were observed in socioeconomic characteristics and dietary nutrients intakes between groups (P > 0.05).

Associations between plasma irisin in pregnancy and postpartum glucose levels

As shown in Table 2, circulating irisin in mid-pregnancy was negatively correlated with postpartum FBG levels (β : – 0.056 ± 0.024) after adjustment for covariates. Among women with previous GDM, the significantly inverse association between plasma irisin and postpartum OGTT-2 h glucose levels was found in model 3 (β : –0.305 ± 0.152, P = 0.049), whereas this association became non-significant (P = 0.061) after further adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics. Among women with a history of GDM, no significant associations were found between mid-pregnancy irisin and postpartum postprandial 1-h glucose during OGTT.

Association between irisin and FBG by quantile regression

The results of quantile regression are presented in Figure 1. There were significantly negative associations of irisin levels with postpartum FBG in high percentiles (P_{50} to P_{95}), and the magnitude of this association showed an increasing trend. The data of FBG percentiles and coefficients of quantile regression were presented in Table S1.

Logistic regression of irisin levels in pregnancy with postpartum glucose status

As shown in Table 3, the relative risk (RR) for IFG was reduced by 43.7% per 1-SD increase in the irisin concentrations (RR 0.563; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.384–0.825) after adjustment for covariates. Whereas no significant association was found between mid-pregnancy irisin levels and postpartum IGT.

Table 1 | Baseline and follow-up characteristics of participants

Yes (n = 65) No (n = 388) P-values Baseline characteristics in mid-pregnancy 305 (4.7) 305 (4.6) 30.6 (4.7) 0.854 <35 B1.46% 84.62% 80.93% 0.479 >235 18.54% 15.35% 19.07% 0.77 Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²) 0.7 (2.8) 21.9 (3.3) 20.5 (2.7) 0.003* Normal or thin 85.39% 73.02% 87.47% 0.002* Oxenvelight or obse 14.61% 25.096% 12.53% 0.002* Plasma irisin (ng/mL) 13.7 (6.0) 12.0 (4.7) 140 (6.1) 0.002* OGTI 1-h glucose (mmol/L) 57 (1.3) 69 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.485 OGTI 2-h glucose (mmol/L) 6.7 (1.8) 0.23% 2.000% 16.28% 0.458 Counter can services 2.75% 2.205% 2.210% 0.448% 0.458 Counter can services 2.75% 2.253% 2.23% 2.448% 0.452 Counter can services 2.75% 2.260% 2.37% 0.34 4.4		Overall ($n = 453$)	Postpartum IFG			
Baseline characteristics in mid-pregnancy 305 (47) 305 (46) 305 (47) 305 (47) 325 81.46% 84.62% 80.33% 0.479 235 18.54% 15.32% 19.07% 0.003% Preprogrampy BM (6g/m²) 20.7 (2.8) 21.9 (3.3) 20.5 (2.7) 0.003% Nermal or thin 85.39% 73.02% 87.47% 0.003% Derweight or obese 14.61% 26.98% 12.53% 0.003% Derweight or obese 14.61% 26.98% 12.53% 0.003% OGT 1- fl.glucose (rmol/L) 7.7 (1.8) 7.9 (1.6) 7.8 (1.8) 0.615 OGT 2- fl.glucose (rmol/L) 6.7 (1.3) 6.9 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.483 Family history of diabetes (yes) 16.81% 20.00% 16.28% 0.428% Coupation - 22.52% 23.71% 23.271% 0.324% Coupation - 22.52% 2.615% 3.557% 0.334 Unine callage 32.67% 3.69% 3.247% 0.334			Yes $(n = 65)$	No (<i>n</i> = 388)	P-values	
	Baseline characteristics in mid-pregnancy					
 ≥35 B1 46% B462% B03% 0.47% ≥35 18.54% 15.38% 190.3% 0.003* Normal or thin 85.39% 73.02% 87.47% 0.003* Verweight or obse 14.61% 26.59% 12.33% 0.003* Plasma irisin (rg/m1) 13.7 (6.0) 12.0 (4.7) 14.0 (6.1) 0.002* PGT 1-h glucose (mmo/L) 7.8 (1.8) 7.9 (1.6) 7.8 (1.8) 0.61 OGTT 1-h glucose (mmo/L) 7.6 (1.3) 6.9 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.487 Cocupation -	Maternal age (years)	30.6 (4.7)	30.5 (4.6)	30.6 (4.7)	0.854	
253 18,54% 15,38% 19,07% Pre pregnagnacy BM (kg/m ²) 20,7 (2,8) 21,9 (3,3) 20,5 (2,7) 0,003* Narmal or thin 65,59% 72,0% 87,47% 0,003* Overweight or obese 14,61% 26,98% 12,33% 0,003* PBaran trikin (ng/mL) 13,7 (6,0) 12,0 (4,7) 14,0 (6,1) 0,002* PGG (mmol/L) 44,10,4 46,03,1 44,0(4) 0,002* GGT 1-h glucase (mmol/L) 67,13,1 69,11,3 67,14,4 0,488 CCDT 2-h glucase (mmol/L) 67,13,1 69,11,3 67,14,4 0,488 Cacupation	<35	81.46%	84.62%	80.93%	0.479	
Pre-pregnancy BMI (Bg/m) 207 (2B) 219 (33) 205 (27) 0.002* Nomal or thin 8539% 73.02% 87.47% 0.003* Overweight or obese 14.61% 26.89% 12.33% 0.003* Basma irisin (ng/mL) 137 (6.0) 12.0 (47) 14.0 (6.1) 0.002* GG (mnol/L) 7.6 (1.8) 7.9 (1.6) 7.6 (1.8) 0.613 GG (mnol/L) 7.6 (1.8) 7.9 (1.6) 7.6 (1.8) 0.613 GG (mnol/L) 7.6 (1.8) 20.09% 10.28% 0.648 GOM (ves) 20.53% 20.09% 20.19% 0.688 Commerce and services 27.59% 20.09% 24.29% 0.648 Commerce and services 27.59% 27.69% 27.59% 0.34 Commerce and services 27.59% 30.69% 32.47% 0.34 Lunior collage 32.27% 36.92% 31.96% 35.7% 0.224 Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 31.96% 35.7% 0.224 Colaloge or	≥35	18.54%	15.38%	19.07%		
Normal or thin 85.39% 73.02% 87.47% 0.038* Overweight or obese 14.61% 26.98% 12.53% 0 Bran irisin (ng/mL) 13.7 (6.0) 12.0 (4.7) 14.0 (6.1) 0.002* FBG (mmol/L) 44.10.41 46.10.31 44.10.41 0.002* OGTT L-ft glucose (mmol/L) 67.11.31 6.9 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.447 GDM (yes) 20.53% 23.08% 20.07% 6.28% 0.4487 GDM (yes) 16.81% 20.07% 16.28% 0.4487 Coupation	Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)	20.7 (2.8)	21.9 (3.3)	20.5 (2.7)	0.003*	
Overweight or obese 14.01% 26.9% 12.3% Plasma insin (ng/mL) 1.37 (6.0) 1.20 (4.7) 1.40 (6.1) 0.002* FBG (nmoNL) 4.4 (0.4) 4.60 4.4 (0.4) 0.002* OGT 11-h glucose (nmoNL) 7.8 (1.8) 7.9 (1.6) 7.8 (1.8) 0.615 OGT 12-h glucose (nmoNL) 6.7 (1.3) 6.9 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.487 OCCupation 20.53% 23.08% 20.10% 0.583 Family history of diabetes (yes) 1.61% 20.07% 23.71% 0.162 Administors and clerks 2.517% 27.59% 24.23% 0.162 Other 2.252% 12.91% 24.23% 0.334 Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 32.47% 0.334 Junior collage or above 34.22% 2.15% 35.57% 24.00% Collage or above 34.23% 2.15% 34.27% 0.324 Junior collage or above 34.23% 2.15% 34.02% 2.23% Collage or above 34.24% <t< td=""><td>Normal or thin</td><td>85.39%</td><td>73.02%</td><td>87.47%</td><td>0.003*</td></t<>	Normal or thin	85.39%	73.02%	87.47%	0.003*	
Plasma isim (ng/mL) 137 (60) 120 (47) 140 (61) 0.002* PBG (mmol/) 44 (0.4) 46 (0.3) 44 (0.4) 0.002* CGTT L+ glucose (mmol/) 67 (1.3) 6.9 (1.3) 6.7 (1.4) 0.487 CGM (vs) 20.3% 20.0% 0.538 0.01% 0.538 Family history of diabetes (vs) 16.81% 20.00% 16.28% 0.4487 Occupation	Overweight or obese	14.61%	26.98%	12.53%		
FBG (mmol/L) 44 (0.4) 46 (0.3) 44 (0.4) 0002* OGTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) 73 (1.8) 79 (16) 73 (1.8) 0.613 GOTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) 67 (1.3) 69 (1.3) 67 (1.4) 0.487 GDM (yes) 20.59% 23.09% 20.10% 0.583 Family history of labetes (yes) 16.81% 20.09% 23.71% 0.162 Administrators and clerk 25.17% 29.23% 24.449% 0.472 Commerce and services 27.59% 27.69% 27.59% 0.334 Unior collage 33.11% 36.92% 32.47% 0.334 Unior collage 32.67% 36.92% 32.47% 0.334 Unior collage 32.67% 36.92% 32.47% 0.334 Unior collage 32.67% 26.15% 35.57% 0.221 4,001-6.000 RME/person 24.29% 26.15% 32.27% 0.234 4,001-6.000 RME/person 24.09% 25.81% 0.221 40.07 4,001-6.000 RME/person 24.09% 25.81% 0.325 1.337% 0.252 Hig	Plasma irisin (ng/mL)	13.7 (6.0)	12.0 (4.7)	14.0 (6.1)	0.002*	
OGTT 1-h glúcose (mmol/L) 78 (1.8) 79 (1.6) 78 (1.8) 0.615 OGTT 2-h glúcose (mmol/L) 67 (1.3) 69 (1.3) 67 7 (1.4) 0.487 CDM (yes) 2053% 23.08% 20.10% 0.583 Family history of dlabetes (yes) 1681% 20.00% 1628% 0.458 Occupation	FBG (mmol/L)	4.4 (0.4)	4.6 (0.3)	4.4 (0.4)	0.002*	
OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/l) 67 (1.3) 69 (1.3) 67 (1.4) 0.487 GDM (yes) 20.53% 20.08% 20.10% 0.683 GDM (yes) 20.53% 20.08% 20.10% 0.683 Occupation	OGTT 1-h alucose (mmol/L)	78 (18)	79 (16)	78 (18)	0615	
GDM (yes) 20.53% 23.08% 20.10% 0.583 Family history of diabetes (yes) 16.81% 20.00% 16.28% 0.458 Cocupation	OGTT 2-h alucose (mmol/L)	67 (13)	69 (13)	67 (14)	0.487	
Description Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	GDM (ves)	20.53%	23.08%	20.10%	0.583	
Tarting match of the decide (kg) Tarting Decide (kg) Tarting Housewives 24.72% 30.77% 23.71% 0.162 Administrators and clerks 25.17% 29.23% 24.48% 24.68% Commerce and services 27.59% 27.69% 27.59% 0.162 Other 22.52% 12.31% 24.23% 0.334 Educational level 5 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 32.47% 0.334 Monthly household income	Family history of diabetes (ves)	1681%	20.00%	16.28%	0.555	
Housewives 24.72% 30.77% 23.71% 0.162. Administrators and clerks 25.17% 29.23% 24.48% 24.68% Commerce and services 27.59% 27.69% 27.58% 0.162. Other 22.52% 12.31% 24.23% 0.162. Educational level 31.1% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334. Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334. Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 32.47% 0.334. Monthy household income		10.0170	20.0070	10.2070	0.150	
Inductivities 20.776 0.727 0.728 0.725 0.522 1161 0.788 0.786 0.725 0.522 1161 0.785	Housewives	2/1 7 20%	30 77%	23 7106	0.162	
Participant Cells 2.17% 2.44.6% Commerce and services 2.75% 2.76% 2.75% Other 2.252% 1.2.1% 2.4.23% Educational level 3.311% 3.692% 3.1.9% 0.334 Junior collage 3.2.67% 3.6.92% 3.1.9% 0.334 Junior collage 3.2.67% 3.6.92% 3.1.9% 0.357% Monthly household income	Administrators and clorks	25.170/	20.2306	23.7170	0.102	
Chrimetice and services 27.5% 27.0% 27.0% 27.0% Other 25.5% 12.31% 24.23% Educational level 33.11% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 31.96% 0.34 Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 35.57% 0.34 Monthly household income	Commerce and services	23.17.70	27.60%	27.58%		
Other 22.2x0 12.51% 24.25% Educational level Senior high school or below 33.11% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Junior collage 32.67% 36.92% 31.96% 0.334 Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 35.57% Monthly household income 4.001-6,000 RMB/person 24.06% 26.15% 24.23% <0001 - 10,000 RMB/person	Other	27.3970	27.0970	27.3070		
Senior high school or below 33,11% 36,92% 32,47% 0,334 Junior collage 32,67% 36,92% 31,96% 0,247% 0,357% Monthly household income - <	Ouner Educational Joural	22,32%	12.51%	24.25%		
Serior Ingl's Clob of Debow 35.1 % 30.9 % 32.4 % 32.4 % 0.53 4 Junior collage 32.67 % 36.92 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 31.96 % 32.47 % 0.01 % 32.97 % 32.97 % 31.96 % 32.97 %	Equicational level	22.110/	36.020/	22.470/	0.224	
Julio Collage 32.85% 36.95% 31.95% Collage or above 34.22% 26.15% 35.57% Monthly household income 21.54% 18.81% 0.221 4,000 RMB/person 24.50% 26.15% 24.23% 0.21 6,00110,000 RMB/person 24.06% 30.77% 22.94% 2.154% 34.02% > 10,000 RMB/person 32.23% 21.54% 34.02% 2.294% 2.258 0.725 Passive smoking (yes) 24.04% 25.81% 32.375% 0.725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 17.83.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (1782.5) 0.522 High 498.96% 55.38% 48.97% 0.338 Low 50.11% 44.62% 51.03% 44.62% 51.03% Energy intake in mid-pregnancy 74.3 (20.1) 0.58 0.03 0.06 0.97 0.991 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.58 0.957 Protein (g/day) 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) <t< td=""><td>Senior high school of below</td><td>22,670/</td><td>26.020</td><td>32.47%</td><td>0.554</td></t<>	Senior high school of below	22,670/	26.020	32.47%	0.554	
Collage of above 34.2.9% 20.15% 35.7% Monthly household income 24.00% 21.54% 18.81% 0.221 4,000 RMB/person 24.00% 26.15% 24.23% 6.001–10,000 RMB/person 24.06% 30.77% 29.43% 24.23% 6.001–10,000 RMB/person 24.06% 30.77% 29.43% 7.25% 0.725 Posive smoking (yes) 24.04% 25.81% 23.75% 0.725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 178.3.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (178.2.5) 0.522 High 49.89% 55.38% 48.97% 0.338 Low 50.11% 44.62% 51.03% 44.92% Energy intake in mid-pregnancy T Total energy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (52.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (27.0) 0.911 0.500.3) 0.16 (0.03)	Junior collage	32.07%	36.92%	31.90%		
Monthly household income 921% 21.54% 18.81% 0.221 <4,000 RMB/person		34.22%	20.15%	35.57%		
<4,000	Monthly household income	10010/	21 5 10/	10.010/	0.004	
4,001–6,000 RMB/person 24,0% 26,15% 24,2% 6,001–10,000 RMB/person 24,06% 30,77% 22,94% >10,000 RMB/person 32,23% 11,54% 34,02% Passive smoking (yes) 24,04% 25,81% 23,75% 0,725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897,1 (1689,5) 1783,2 (1364,7) 190,56 (1782,5) 0,522 High 49,89% 55,38% 48,97% 0,338 Low 50,11% 44,62% 51.03% Energy Total energy 1818,7 (504,6) 1859,6 (525,5) 1812,1 (501,5) 0,492 Fat (g/day) 74,5 (20,1) 758 (20,3) 74,3 (20,1) 0,588 % Energy 0,4 (0,1) 0,37 (0,06) 0,957 0,57 Protein (g/day) 73,3 (27,3) 73,3 (28,9) 73,3 (27,0) 0,991 % Energy 0,2 (0,0) 0,15 (0,03) 0,170 0,48 (0,05) 0,475 Protein (g/day) 219,2 (71,9) 227,0 (76,1) 217,9 (71,2) 0,357 % Energy 0,5 (0,1)	<4,000 RMB/person	19.21%	21.54%	18.81%	0.221	
6,001-10,000 RMB/person 24,06% 30,7% 22,94% >10,000 RMB/person 32,23% 21,54% 34,02% Passive smoking (yes) 24,04% 25,81% 23,75% 0,725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 1783.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (1782.5) 0,522 High 49,89% 55,38% 48,97% 0,338 Low 50.11% 44,62% 51.03% 48,97% 0,338 Low 50.11% 44,62% 51.03% 48,97% 0,338 Fart (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0,588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 21.92 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	4,001–6,000 RMB/person	24.50%	26.15%	24.23%		
>10,000 RMB/person 32,23% 21,54% 34,02% Passive smoking (yes) 24,04% 25,81% 23,75% 0,725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 1783.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (1782.5) 0,522 High 49,89% 53,38% 48,97% 0,338 Low 50,11% 44,62% 51,03% Energy intake in mid-pregnancy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0,492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0,588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (003) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels - - - - FleG (mmol/L) [†]	6,001–10,000 RMB/person	24.06%	30.77%	22.94%		
Passive smoking (yes) 24,04% 25,81% 23,75% 0,725 Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 1783.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (1782.5) 0.522 High 49,89% 55,38% 48,97% 0.338 Low 50,11% 44,62% 51.03% Energy Energy intake in mid-pregnancy T Total energy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 21.9.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels Protein (g/day) 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) <td< td=""><td>>10,000 RMB/person</td><td>32.23%</td><td>21.54%</td><td>34.02%</td><td></td></td<>	>10,000 RMB/person	32.23%	21.54%	34.02%		
Physical activity (METs-min/week) 1897.1 (1689.5) 1783.2 (1364.7) 1905.6 (1782.5) 0.522 High 49.89% 55.38% 48.97% 0.338 Low 50.11% 44.62% 51.03% 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Energy intake in mid-pregnancy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels	Passive smoking (yes)	24.04%	25.81%	23.75%	0.725	
High49.89%55.38%48.97%0.338Low50.11%44.62%51.03%Energy intake in mid-pregnancy77818.21 (501.5)0.492Total energy1818.7 (504.6)1859.6 (525.5)1812.1 (501.5)0.492Fat (g/day)74.5 (20.1)75.8 (20.3)74.3 (20.1)0.588% Energy0.4 (0.1)0.37 (0.06)0.37 (0.06)0.957Protein (g/day)73.3 (27.3)73.3 (28.9)73.3 (27.0)0.991% Energy0.2 (0.0)0.15 (0.03)0.16 (0.03)0.170Carbohydrates (g/day)219.2 (71.9)227.0 (76.1)217.9 (71.2)0.357% Energy0.5 (0.1)0.48 (0.07)0.48 (0.06)0.475Postpartum glucose levels </td <td>Physical activity (METs-min/week)</td> <td>1897.1 (1689.5)</td> <td>1783.2 (1364.7)</td> <td>1905.6 (1782.5)</td> <td>0.522</td>	Physical activity (METs-min/week)	1897.1 (1689.5)	1783.2 (1364.7)	1905.6 (1782.5)	0.522	
Low 50.11% 44.62% 51.03% Energy intake in mid-pregnancy Total energy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels - - - - FBG (mmol/L) 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	High	49.89%	55.38%	48.97%	0.338	
Energy intake in mid-pregnancy Total energy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels	Low	50.11%	44.62%	51.03%		
Total energy 1818.7 (504.6) 1859.6 (525.5) 1812.1 (501.5) 0.492 Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels F 52 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	Energy intake in mid-pregnancy					
Fat (g/day) 74.5 (20.1) 75.8 (20.3) 74.3 (20.1) 0.588 % Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels 52 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	Total energy	1818.7 (504.6)	1859.6 (525.5)	1812.1 (501.5)	0.492	
% Energy 0.4 (0.1) 0.37 (0.06) 0.37 (0.06) 0.957 Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	Fat (g/day)	74.5 (20.1)	75.8 (20.3)	74.3 (20.1)	0.588	
Protein (g/day) 73.3 (27.3) 73.3 (28.9) 73.3 (27.0) 0.991 % Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	% Energy	0.4 (0.1)	0.37 (0.06)	0.37 (0.06)	0.957	
% Energy 0.2 (0.0) 0.15 (0.03) 0.16 (0.03) 0.170 Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	Protein (g/day)	73.3 (27.3)	73.3 (28.9)	73.3 (27.0)	0.991	
Carbohydrates (g/day) 219.2 (71.9) 227.0 (76.1) 217.9 (71.2) 0.357 % Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels	% Energy	0.2 (0.0)	0.15 (0.03)	0.16 (0.03)	0.170	
% Energy 0.5 (0.1) 0.48 (0.07) 0.48 (0.06) 0.475 Postpartum glucose levels FBG (mmol/L) 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001*	Carbohydrates (g/day)	219.2 (71.9)	227.0 (76.1)	217.9 (71.2)	0.357	
Postpartum glucose levels FBG (mmol/L) 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001* OGTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 8.8 (1.6) 9.2 (1.5) 8.7 (1.6) 0.248 OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 6.9 (1.5) 7.3 (1.8) 6.9 (1.4) 0.330 IGT (yes) [†] 24.73% 33.33% 23.08% 0.384 Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58%	% Energy	0.5 (0.1)	0.48 (0.07)	0.48 (0.06)	0.475	
FBG (mmol/L) 5.2 (0.5) 6.0 (0.5) 5.0 (0.4) <0.001* OGTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 8.8 (1.6) 9.2 (1.5) 8.7 (1.6) 0.248 OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 6.9 (1.5) 7.3 (1.8) 6.9 (1.4) 0.330 IGT (yes) [†] 24.73% 33.33% 23.08% 0.384 Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 71.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58% 50.42% 0.002*	Postpartum glucose levels					
OGTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 8.8 (1.6) 9.2 (1.5) 8.7 (1.6) 0.248 OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/L) [†] 6.9 (1.5) 7.3 (1.8) 6.9 (1.4) 0.330 IGT (yes) [†] 24.73% 33.33% 23.08% 0.384 Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 47.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58% 0.002*	FBG (mmol/L)	5.2 (0.5)	6.0 (0.5)	5.0 (0.4)	<0.001*	
OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/L)* 6.9 (1.5) 7.3 (1.8) 6.9 (1.4) 0.330 IGT (yes)* 24.73% 33.33% 23.08% 0.384 Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 47.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58% 6.9 (1.4) 0.330	OGTT 1-h glucose (mmol/L) [†]	8.8 (1.6)	9.2 (1.5)	8.7 (1.6)	0.248	
IGT (yes) [†] 24.73% 33.33% 23.08% 0.384 Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 47.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58%	OGTT 2-h glucose (mmol/L) [†]	6.9 (1.5)	7.3 (1.8)	6.9 (1.4)	0.330	
Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum 47.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58%	IGT (yes) [†]	24.73%	33.33%	23.08%	0.384	
Yes 47.36% 28.81% 50.42% 0.002* No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58%	Predominant breast-feeding at postpartum					
No 52.64% 71.19% 49.58%	Yes	47.36%	28.81%	50.42%	0.002*	
	No	52.64%	71.19%	49.58%		

Total n = 453. *Significant results (P < 0.05). [†]Postpartum oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was carried out and impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was diagnosed among participants with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), n = 93 (20.53% out of 453 women). %Energy, energy proportion of protein, fat or carbohydrates, respectively; BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; MET, metabolic equivalent.

	Postpartum fasting glucose ($n = 453$)		Postpartum OGTT-1 h glucose ($n = 93$) [†]		Postpartum OGTT-2 h glucose ($n = 93$) [†]	
	beta (SE)	Р	beta (SE)	Р	beta (SE)	Р
Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model 4	-0.070 (0.024) -0.072 (0.024) -0.056 (0.024) -0.056 (0.024)	0.004* 0.003* 0.018* 0.019*	-0.172 (0.139) -0.169 (0.154) -0.099 (0.162) -0.090 (0.170)	0.220 0.279 0.545 0.598	-0.254 (0.132) -0.277 (0.146) -0.305 (0.152) -0.305 (0.160)	0.059 0.061 0.049* 0.061

Table 2 | Multivariate linear regression analysis between irisin level in mid-pregnancy and postpartum glucose

*Significant results (P < 0.05). Model 1 was not adjusted; model 2 was adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index and physical activity in mid-pregnancy; model 3 was further adjusted for predominant breast-feeding at postpartum, family history of diabetes, passive smoking, energy intake, energy proportion of fat, energy proportion of protein, energy proportion of carbohydrates and fasting glucose levels in mid-pregnancy; model 4 was further adjusted for educational level, monthly household income and occupation. [†]Postpartum oral glucose tolerance test was carried out among participants with history of gestational diabetes mellitus, n = 93. SE, standard error.



Figure 1 | Point estimates and 95% confidence bounds (grey area) for quantile regression between irisin in mid-pregnancy and postpartum fasting glucose levels. The dots represent specific glucose percentiles (0.05 percentile, 0.25 percentile, 0.50 percentile, 0.75 percentile and 0.95 percentile) in the multivariable (adjusted) quantile regression model. The horizontal dashed line represents the linear regression coefficient and its respective confidence intervals. Model was adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index, physical activity in mid-pregnancy, predominant breast-feeding at postpartum, family history of diabetes, passive smoking, energy intake, energy proportion of fat, energy proportion of protein, energy proportion of carbohydrates, fasting glucose levels in mid-pregnancy, educational level, monthly household income and occupation.

Association between irisin and FBG/IFG by subgroups

We stratified the population by age, pre-pregnancy weight status, mid-pregnancy PA, history of GDM and predominant breast-feeding, respectively (Table 4 and Table S2). We found that irisin in mid-pregnancy was negatively associated with postpartum IFG in women with predominant breast-feeding, but not in their counterparts, and there were statistically significant interactions between irisin and predominant breast-feeding on postpartum FBG and IFG ($P_{interaction} = 0.005, 0.013$, respectively). Furthermore, we observed significantly inverse correlations between irisin in mid-pregnancy and postpartum IFG in women aged <35 years, with higher PA, and without history of GDM, but not in their counterparts. However, no significant interactions were found between irisin and age, pre-pregnancy BMI, mid-pregnancy PA, and history of GDM on FBG or IFG.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first longitudinal study to explore whether irisin in pregnancy was associated with postpartum glucose levels and IFG, and we found a significantly negative relationship between them. The magnitude of this association showed an increasing trend along with the

Table 3 Logistic	regression	analysis	of irisin	level i	n mid-pregnancy
with postpartum	glucose sta	tus			

	Postpartum IFG ($n = 453$)		Postpartum IGT ($n = 93$) [†]		
_	RR (95% CI)	Р	RR (95% CI)	Р	
Model 1 Model 2 Model 3 Model 4	0.678 (0.504-0.911) 0.649 (0.477-0.882) 0.564 (0.388-0.820) 0.563 (0.384-0.825)	0.010* 0.006* 0.003* 0.003*	0.832 (0.530–1.308) 0.830 (0.521–1.324) 0.845 (0.431–1.657) 0.911 (0.438–1.893)	0.426 0.434 0.625 0.802	

*Significant results (P < 0.05). Model 1 was not adjusted; model 2 was adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index and physical activity in mid-pregnancy; model 3 was further adjusted for predominant breast-feeding at postpartum, family history of diabetes, passive smoking, energy intake, energy proportion of fat, energy proportion of protein, energy proportion of carbohydrates and fasting glucose levels in mid-pregnancy; model 4 was further adjusted for educational level, monthly household income and occupation. [†]Postpartum impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) was diagnosed among participants with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus, n = 93. Cl, confidence interval; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; RR, relative risk.

 Table 4 | Association between irisin and impaired fasting glucose in subgroups

	Postpartum IFG ($n = 453$)					
	RR (95% CI)	P [†]	P _{inter}			
Age						
<u>≥</u> 35	0.132 (0.015 - 1.175)	0.069	0.101			
<35	0.593 (0.398 - 0.884)	0.010*				
Pre-pregnancy BMI						
Normal or thin	0.579 (0.388 - 0.880)	0.011*	0.500			
Overweight or obese	0.350 (0.127 - 0.961)	0.042*				
Physical activity						
High	0.373 (0.192 - 0.724)	0.004*	0.656			
Low	0.671 (0.414 - 1.089)	0.106				
GDM						
Yes	0.621 (0.273 - 1.416)	0.258	0.873			
No	0.521 (0.332 - 0.816)	0.004*				
Predominant breast-feeding						
Yes	0.349 (0.156 – 0.783)	0.011*	0.013*			
No	0.711 (0.448 - 1.126)	0.145				

*Significant results (P < 0.05). [†]Models were adjusted for maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), physical activity in mid-pregnancy, predominant breast-feeding at postpartum, family history of diabetes, passive smoking, energy intake, energy proportion of fat, energy proportion of protein, energy proportion of carbohydrates, fasting glucose levels in mid-pregnancy, educational level, monthly household income and occupation. Cl, confidence interval; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; RR, relative risk.

increase of postpartum FBG levels (from P_{50} to P_{95}). We also found that higher irisin levels in pregnancy were associated with a lower risk of postpartum IFG. The present study also

provided new evidence that there were significant interactions between plasma irisin and predominant breast-feeding on FBG levels and IFG.

Although the association between plasma irisin in pregnancy and postpartum FBG has not been reported previously, several studies have observed negative associations between irisin and FBG in other populations^{11-12,14-15,29}. For instance, Zhao *et al.*¹⁴ reported that irisin levels were negatively correlated with FBG in pregnant women, of whom 50% were patients with GDM. Similarly, another study carried out in Korea reported a negative association between irisin and FBG in individuals with new-onset type 2 diabetes mellitus ¹¹. Furthermore, irisin was inversely correlated with FBG in Bulgarian adults, 37.5 and 31.3% of whom were patients with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus, respectively¹⁵. The present finding of a negative association between plasma irisin and FBG is consistent with these aforementioned studies.

However, there is some contradictory evidence that described a positive¹⁷⁻¹⁸ association between irisin and glucose levels. Huh et al.¹⁷ and De Meneck et al.¹⁸ reported positive associations between irisin and FBG in healthy and obese individuals without diabetes. Another meta-analysis suggested that circulating irisin was positively associated with insulin resistance in adults without diabetes³⁰. A potential explanation for the discrepant findings is various metabolic status of the participants, and irisin levels were associated with physiological status ³¹. Consistent with this viewpoint, the present results of quantile regression showed that there were considerably stronger associations between mid-pregnancy irisin and postpartum FBG in women with higher glucose levels compared with normoglycemic women. Hyperglycemia might be accompanied by abnormal metabolic status, including insulin resistance, and individuals might develop diabetic complications along with other metabolic disorders ³². The stronger association in women with higher FBG might suggest that irisin played an important role in glucose regulation, especially in participants with glucose disorders. Furthermore, another explanation for the discrepant findings might be ethnic variability. A meta-analysis reported that the association between irisin and insulin resistance was more pronounced in people from Asia and North America, but not in Europeans³⁰.

Furthermore, we also observed that higher irisin concentrations were related to a decreased risk of IFG, which was in accordance with previous studies. Yan *et al.*³³ reported that irisin was significantly associated with a reduced risk of raised FBG. Liu *et al.*³⁴ found significantly lower irisin levels in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients compared with healthy controls; and lower serum irisin was also found in new-onset¹¹ and undefined type 2 diabetes mellitus patients³⁵, respectively. These findings support our hypothesis that elevated irisin levels during pregnancy can likely reduce the risk of postpartum IFG.

Previous literature with regard to the relationship between irisin and postprandial glucose was controversial. Some reported a negative association between irisin and postprandial glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus^{11,36-37}. Whereas a Korean study that included adults with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus reported a positive association between them³⁸. In the present study, we explored the association between midpregnancy irisin and postpartum postprandial glucose levels in women with GDM (n = 93), and we found a non-significant negative association between irisin levels and postpartum postprandial 2-h glucose levels. The present results might be explained by the low number of participants with a history of GDM and a lack of statistical power. Meanwhile, postprandial glucose, compared with FBG, might be influenced by external factors, such as energy intakes and gastrointestinal absorption function ³⁹⁻⁴⁰, which might lead to the discrepant results.

Several underlying mechanisms linking irisin to glucose homeostasis, as well as diabetes, were suggested as follows. First, emerging evidence has shown that recombinant irisin in animal models or in vitro irisin treatment in cell culture systems is associated with improved glycemic control and ameliorated insulin resistance^{10,41}. Second, irisin was shown to stimulate glucose uptake through adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase activation in muscle cells⁴¹⁻⁴². Also, irisin reduced hepatic glucose output (gluconeogenesis), but increased glycogen content (glycogenesis) through adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase pathway43-44. In addition, irisin stimulates browning of white adipocytes and induces the expression of mitochondrial uncoupling protein-145-46, which increases energy expenditure and thermogenesis⁷. The aforementioned stimulation was probably by activation of the p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase and extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling pathway⁴⁷. Accordingly, it can be speculated that the inverse relationship between plasma irisin and glucose levels was biologically plausible.

Additionally, we also carried out stratified analysis by subgroups to explore the modifiable factors for the association between irisin and glucose levels. Interactions between irisin and predominant breast-feeding on FBG and IFG were both significant. It is well established that breast-feeding might may reduce maternal hyperglycemia⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹ and the likelihood of type 2 diabetes mellitus later in life⁵⁰. Gunderson *et al.*⁴⁹ reported the preventive effect of breast-feeding against the development of prediabetes and diabetes during the early postpartum period in the Study of Women, Infant Feeding and Type 2 Diabetes (SWIFT) cohort. Similarly, a review reported that breast-feeding was a modifiable factor on postpartum glucose levels².

The present study is the first to detect the modifying effect of predominant breast-feeding on the association of irisin and FBG. Nevertheless, consistent with previous studies⁵¹⁻⁵², we observed a non-significant interaction between irisin and PA in pregnancy on postpartum glucose levels. The interactions between irisin and age, pre-pregnancy BMI and history of GDM were also non-significant. Further studies are required to validate the present findings and elucidate the potential mechanisms that regulate irisin activity. In particular, the significantly negative associations between irisin during pregnancy with postpartum FBG and IFG were robust, even after adjustment for glucose levels in mid-pregnancy. A systematic review concluded that the direct effects of irisin on glucose regulatory mechanisms in different organs might contribute to postpartum normoglycemia⁵³. Furthermore, we discovered that a relatively high proportion of women without a history of GDM developed IFG in early postpartum (13.89%). Therefore, the present findings have clinical implications that plasma irisin in pregnancy might be a predictive factor of postpartum FBG and IFG in women with or without a history of GDM.

The present study had several limitations. First, there was some loss to follow up, which might result in selection bias in this study. However, as shown in Table S3, no significant difference was found in the distribution of age, BMI or other characteristics between participants who returned for postpartum evaluation and those who did not return, indicating that selection bias originating from non-participation might be small. Second, although we adjusted for some important characteristics, we could not completely exclude residual confounding by unmeasured potential confounders. Third, the follow-up period to 6-8 weeks postpartum might not be long enough for estimating the incidence of glucose metabolic disorders. However, these results are still valuable for understanding the current extent of glucose disorders for postpartum women. Further long-term longitudinal studies are warranted to provide more insights into the nature of the association. Finally, the small sample size of women who underwent an OGTT at postpartum might weaken the statistical power of the correlation. External, larger-scale validation is therefore necessary in future studies.

The present study showed that circulating irisin levels during pregnancy were negatively associated with FBG levels at 6–8 weeks postpartum, and higher irisin concentrations were related to a decreased risk of IFG. Stronger associations were observed in women with higher postpartum FBG levels. In addition, breast-feeding might be a modifiable factor of this association.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2019B030335001), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (2019A1515011462) and the Sanming Project of Medicine in Shenzhen (SZSM201803061).

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Angueira AR, Ludvik AE, Reddy TE, *et al.* New insights into gestational glucose metabolism: lessons learned from 21st century approaches. *Diabetes* 2015; 64: 327–334.

- 2. Pastore I, Chiefari E, Vero R, *et al.* Postpartum glucose intolerance: an updated overview. *Endocrine* 2018; 59: 481–494.
- 3. Kitzmiller JL, Dang-Kilduff L, Taslimi MM. Gestational Diabetes After Delivery: short-term management and long-term risks. *Diabetes Care* 2007; 30: S225–S235.
- 4. Shaw JE, Zimmet PZ, de Courten M, et al. Impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance. What best predicts future diabetes in Mauritius? *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22: 399–402.
- 5. Hanefeld M, Koehler C, Fuecker K, *et al.* Insulin secretion and insulin sensitivity pattern is different in isolated impaired glucose tolerance and impaired fasting glucose: the risk factor in Impaired Glucose Tolerance for Atherosclerosis and Diabetes study. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26: 868–874.
- 6. Kostrominova TY. Role of myokines in the maintenance of whole-body metabolic homeostasis. *Minerva Endocrinol* 2016; 41: 403–420.
- Boström P, Wu J, Jedrychowski MP, et al. A PGC1-αdependent myokine that drives brown-fat-like development of white fat and thermogenesis. *Nature* 2012; 481: 463–468.
- Liu S, Du F, Li X, *et al.* Effects and underlying mechanisms of irisin on the proliferation and apoptosis of pancreatic β cells. *PLoS One* 2017; 12: e175498.
- 9. Natalicchio A, Marrano N, Biondi G, *et al.* The myokine irisin is released in response to saturated fatty acids and promotes pancreatic beta-cell survival and insulin secretion. *Diabetes* 2017; 66: 2849–2856.
- Zhang Y, Li R, Meng Y, *et al.* Irisin stimulates browning of white adipocytes through mitogen-activated protein kinase p38 MAP kinase and ERK MAP kinase signaling. *Diabetes* 2014; 63: 514–525.
- 11. Choi YK, Kim MK, Bae KH, *et al.* Serum irisin levels in newonset type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2013; 100: 96– 101.
- 12. El Haddad H, Sedrak H, Naguib M, *et al.* Irisin level in type 2 diabetic patients and its relation to glycemic control and diabetic complications. *Int J. Diabetes Dev C* 2019; 13: 1971–1973.
- 13. Zhang C, Ding Z, Lv G, *et al.* Lower irisin level in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case-control study and meta-analysis. *J. Diabetes* 2016; 8: 56–62.
- 14. Zhao L, Li J, Li ZL, *et al.* Circulating irisin is lower in gestational diabetes mellitus. *Endocr J* 2015; 62: 921–926.
- 15. Assyov Y, Gateva A, Tsakova A, *et al.* Irisin in the Glucose Continuum. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 2016; 124: 22–27.
- 16. Ebert T, Stepan H, Schrey S, *et al.* Serum levels of irisin in gestational diabetes mellitus during pregnancy and after delivery. *Cytokine* 2014; 65: 153–158.
- Huh JY, Panagiotou G, Mougios V, et al. FNDC5 and irisin in humans: I. Predictors of circulating concentrations in serum and plasma and II. mRNA expression and circulating concentrations in response to weight loss and exercise. *Metabolism* 2012; 61: 1725–1738.

- De Meneck F, Victorino DSL, Oliveira V, et al. High irisin levels in overweight/obese children and its positive correlation with metabolic profile, blood pressure, and endothelial progenitor cells. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2018; 28: 756–764.
- 19. Polyzos SA, Anastasilakis AD, Efstathiadou ZA, *et al.* Irisin in metabolic diseases. *Endocrine* 2018; 59: 260–274.
- 20. Polyzos SA, Kountouras J, Shields K, *et al.* Irisin: a renaissance in metabolism? *Metabolism* 2013; 62: 1037–1044.
- 21. Genuth S, Alberti KG, Bennett P, *et al.* Follow-up report on the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26: 3160–3167.
- 22. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, *et al.* International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003; 35: 1381–1395.
- 23. Zhang CX, Ho SC. Validity and reproducibility of a food frequency Questionnaire among Chinese women in Guangdong province. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2009; 18: 240–250.
- 24. Chen C, Lu FC. The guidelines for prevention and control of overweight and obesity in Chinese adults. *Biomed Environ Sci* 2004; 17(Suppl): 1–36.
- 25. Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, *et al.* International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care* 2010; 33: 676–682.
- 26. Indicators for assessing breastfeeding practices: reprinted report of an Informal Meeting 11–12 June 1991, Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1991; 14 pp. WHO reference number: WHO/CDD/DER/91.14, Corr. 1. Available from: https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/doc uments/cdd_ser_91_14/en/. Accessed June 13, 1991.
- 27. Koenker R, Hallock KF. Quantile regression. *J Econ Perspect* 2001; 4: 143–156.
- 28. Das K, Krzywinski M, Altman N. Quantile regression. *Nat Methods* 2019; 16: 451–452.
- 29. Al-Daghri NM, Alkharfy KM, Rahman S, *et al.* Irisin as a predictor of glucose metabolism in children: sexually dimorphic effects. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2014; 44: 119–124.
- Qiu S, Cai X, Yin H, *et al.* Association between circulating irisin and insulin resistance in non-diabetic adults: a metaanalysis. *Metabolism* 2016; 65: 825–834.
- 31. Jang HB, Kim HJ, Kang JH, *et al.* Association of circulating irisin levels with metabolic and metabolite profiles of Korean adolescents. *Metabolism* 2017; 73: 100–108.
- 32. Laakso M, Kuusisto J. Insulin resistance and hyperglycaemia in cardiovascular disease development. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2014; 10: 293–302.
- 33. Yan B, Shi X, Zhang H, *et al.* Association of serum irisin with metabolic syndrome in obese Chinese adults. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e94235.
- 34. Liu JJ, Wong MD, Toy WC, *et al.* Lower circulating irisin is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Diabetes Complications* 2013; 27: 365–369.

- 35. Moreno-Navarrete JM, Ortega F, Serrano M, *et al.* Irisin is expressed and produced by human muscle and adipose tissue in association with obesity and insulin resistance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; 98: E769–E778.
- 36. Wang H, Zhang X, Chen W, *et al.* Relationship between serum irisin levels and urinary albumin excretion in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J. Diabetes Complicat* 2015; 29: 384–389.
- 37. Duran ID, Gülçelik NE, Ünal M, *et al.* Irisin levels in the progression of diabetes in sedentary women. *Clin Biochem* 2015; 48: 1268–1272.
- Huh JH, Ahn SV, Choi JH, *et al.* High serum irisin level as an independent predictor of diabetes mellitus. *Medicine* 2016; 95: e3742.
- 39. American Diabetes Association. Postprandial blood glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24: 775–778.
- 40. Peters HP, Ravestein P, van der Hijden HT, *et al.* Effect of carbohydrate digestibility on appetite and its relationship to postprandial blood glucose and insulin levels. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2011; 65: 47–54.
- 41. Lee HJ, Lee JO, Kim N, *et al.* Irisin, a Novel Myokine, Regulates Glucose Uptake in Skeletal Muscle Cells via AMPK. *Mol Endocrinol* 2015; 29: 873–881.
- 42. Xin C, Liu J, Zhang J, *et al.* Irisin improves fatty acid oxidation and glucose utilization in type 2 diabetes by regulating the AMPK signaling pathway. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2016; 40: 443–451.
- 43. So WY, Leung PS. Irisin ameliorates hepatic glucose/lipid metabolism and enhances cell survival in insulin-resistant human HepG2 cells through adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase signaling. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2016; 78: 237–247.
- 44. Liu TY, Shi CX, Gao R, *et al.* Irisin inhibits hepatic gluconeogenesis and increases glycogen synthesis via the

PI3K/Akt pathway in type 2 diabetic mice and hepatocytes. *Clin Sci* 2015; 129: 839–850.

- 45. Castillo-Quan JI. From white to brown fat through the PGC-1-dependent myokine irisin: implications for diabetes and obesity. *Dis Model Mech* 2012; 5: 293–295.
- 46. Cousin B, Cinti S, Morroni M, *et al.* Occurrence of brown adipocytes in rat white adipose tissue: molecular and morphological characterization. *J Cell Sci* 1992; 103(Pt 4): 931–942.
- 47. Villarroya F. Irisin, turning up the heat. *Cell Metab* 2012; 15: 277–278.
- 48. Weinert LS, Mastella LS, Oppermann MLR, *et al.* Postpartum glucose tolerance status 6 to 12 weeks after gestational diabetes mellitus: a Brazilian cohort. *Arquivos Brasileiros de Endocrinologia & Metabologia* 2014; 58: 197–204.
- 49. Gunderson EP, Hedderson MM, Chiang V, *et al.* Lactation intensity and postpartum maternal glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in women with recent GDM: the SWIFT cohort. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 35: 50–56.
- 50. Ley SH, Chavarro JE, Li M, *et al.* Lactation duration and long-term risk for incident type 2 diabetes in women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 2020; 43: 793–798.
- 51. Cai L, Tan M, Tan W, *et al.* Associations of circulating irisin concentrations with cardiometabolic risk factors among children vary by physical activity or sedentary time levels. *Front Endocrinol* 2019; 10: 549.
- 52. Benedini S, Dozio E, Invernizzi PL, *et al.* Irisin: a potential link between physical exercise and metabolism-an observational study in differently trained subjects, from elite athletes to sedentary people. *J. Diabetes Res* 2017; 2017: 1039161.
- 53. Perakakis N, Triantafyllou GA, Fernández-Real JM, *et al.* Physiology and role of irisin in glucose homeostasis. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2017; 13: 324–337.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1 | Regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals of irisin levels during mid-pregnancy and postpartum fasting glucose percentiles.

Table S2 | Multivariate linear regression analysis between irisin level in mid-pregnancy and postpartum fasting glucose by subgroups.

Table S3 | Comparison of participants who returned for postpartum follow up versus defaulters.