REVIEW ARTICLE



The Janus-like Association between Proton Pump Inhibitors and Dementia



Anna Papazoglou¹, Muhammad I. Arshaad¹, Christina Henseler¹, Johanna Daubner¹, Karl Broich², Britta Haenisch^{2,3,4} and Marco Weiergräber^{1,*}

¹Experimental Neuropsychopharmacology, Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte, BfArM), Kurt-Georg-Kiesinger-Allee 3, 53175 Bonn, Germany; ²Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte, BfArM) 53127, Kurt-Georg-Kiesinger-Allee 3, 53175 Bonn, Germany; ³German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany; ⁴Center for Translational Medicine, Medical Faculty, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: November 17, 2020 Revised: June 10, 2021 Accepted: June 18, 2021

DOI: 10.2174/1567205018666210929144740

CrossMark

This is an Open Access article published under CC BY 4.0 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ bv /4.0/legalcode **Abstract:** Early pharmacoepidemiological studies suggested that Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs) might increase the risk of Alzheimer's Disease (AD) and non-AD related dementias. These findings were supported by preclinical studies, specifically stressing the proamyloidogenic and indirect anticholinergic effects of PPIs. However, further large-scale pharmacoepidemiological studies showed inconsistent results on the association between PPIs and dementia. Pharmacodynamically, these findings might be related to the LXR/RXR-mediated amyloid clearance effect and anti-inflammatory action of PPIs. Further aspects that influence PPI effects on AD are related to patient-t-specific pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenomic characteristics. In conclusion, a personalized (individualized) medicinal approach is necessary to model and predict the potential harmful or beneficial effects of PPIs in AD and non-AD-related dementias in the future.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, dementia, LXR, pharmacodynamics, pharmacoepidemiology, pharmacogenomics, pharmacokinetics, PPARγ, proton pump inhibitor, RXR.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Proton Pump Inhibitors - Indications, Pharmacoepidemiology, Pharmacodynamics and Adverse Reactions

Proton Pump Inhibitors (PPIs) are widely used for the prevention and treatment of acid-related conditions such as dyspepsia, esophageal, duodenal and stomach peptic ulcers, including after endoscopic treatment for bleeding, NSAID-associated ulcers, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) including endoscopy-negative reflux disease, laryngopharyngeal reflux causing laryngitis and chronic cough, Barrett's esophagus, eosinophilic esophagitis, gastrinomas and related complex conditions, *e.g.* Zollinger-Ellison syndrome [1-7].

PPIs are among the top 10 prescribed medications in the world [8], and the class of PPI medications is on the World Health Organization's (WHO) List of Essential Medicines [9]. Omeprazole was the first PPI in clinical use, followed by, i.a., lansoprazole, pantoprazole, rabeprazole, esomeprazole and dexlansoprazole [10-12]. Based on the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1999 to 2012), the prescription rate of PPIs nearly doubled from 4.9% to

8.3% in adults aged 40-60 years in the USA [13, 14]. Importantly, 50-70% of the patients did not meet the proper indication for PPI use, particularly hospitalized elderly individuals [15-17]. Another survey in the USA (2002-2017 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey) revealed that the overall percentage of PPI users increased from 5.70% in 2002-2003 to 6.73% in 2016-2017, also, in most patient subgroups [18]. In Germany, PPI prescriptions are also increasing. While the annual national report on drug prescription of 2018 and 2019 reveals a decrease in omeprazole prescription (quantified as Defined Daily Dose (DDD)) by 5.1%, other PPIs exhibit strong increases in prescription, *e.g.*, pantoprazole (1.2%), lansoprazole (20.0%), esomeprazole (5.1%) and rabeprazole (1.6%) [19].

Structurally, PPIs belong to the benzimidazole family, and the activated forms covalently and irreversibly inhibit the H⁺/K⁺-ATPase by interaction with cysteine residues on the luminal surface of the parietal cells, therefore suppressing gastric acid secretion [2, 10]. The primary active H⁺/K⁺-ATPase belongs to the PII subfamily of P-type ATPases such as the Ca²⁺-ATPase or the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase [20]. In humans, one of the genes encoding the H⁺/K⁺-ATPase (AT-P12A/ATP1AL1) is also expressed in the brain, whereas the gene ATP4A is expressed specifically in gastric epithelial cells [21]. There is clear evidence that H⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity is present in the Central Nervous System (CNS) [22] and that the related antiporter affects acid/base and K⁺ homeosta-

^{*} Address correspondence to this author at the Experimental Neuropsychopharmacology, Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte, BfArM), Kurt-Georg-Kiesinger-Allee 3, 53175 Bonn, Germany; Tel: +49 228 99307 4358; Fax: +49 228 99307 3896; E-mail: Marco.Weiergraeber@bfarm.de

sis [21]. Vesicular proton pumps (H^+ -ATPases or V-type AT-Pases) are of central relevance in neurotransmitters storage in synaptic vesicles. Besides these H^+ -ATPases, vesicular H^+/K^+ -ATPases also seem to play an essential role in exoand endocytosis in nerve endings [23, 24].

A central pharmacokinetic aspect regarding PPIs is their ability to penetrate the blood-brain barrier (BBB). For instance, 15% of a single i.v. administered dose of omeprazole was reported to enter the CNS [25]. Similarly, lansoprazole was also described to penetrate the BBB [26]. Overall, PPIs such as lansoprazole, esomeprazole and pantoprazole, seem to penetrate the BBB which is in line with the occurrence of adverse neurological effects, *e.g.*, headache, dizziness/vertigo, depression, diplopia, sleep alterations, drowsiness, insomnia, tremor, nervousness, hallucinations and delirium [27-32]. Apart from these direct adverse reactions, further neurological side effects of PPIs can originate from indirect systemic alterations, *e.g.*, *via* magnesium or vitamin B12 deficiency.

Originally, PPIs were judged to have an excellent safety profile and became one of the most prescribed drugs in recent years [13, 18, 19]. With globally increasing prescription rates, the number of previously underrecognized/underestimated, potentially detrimental effects significantly increased. The latter included - dose-dependently -, stroke, myocardial infarction, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and constipation, increased risk of infections with clostridium difficile, non-typhoid salmonella, Campylobacter spp., Clostridium difficile and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, vitamin B12 deficiency, iron and calcium deficiency, hypomagnesemia, musculoskeletal impairment (hip fracture, osteoporosis/osteoporotic fracture and myopathy/rhabdomyolysis), increased risk of cirrhosis-related complications such as hepatic encephalopathy, and hepatocellular carcinoma, kidney diseases (acute interstitial nephritis, acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease), anemia, thrombocytopenia and increased risk for pneumonia [33-38]. Recently, it has also been suggested that PPI users might be more vulnerable to high COVID-19 viral loads, although the interdependence between PPI intake and COVID-19 infection/SARS-Cov2 is still under investigation [39-41]. Based on these observations, the safety profile of PPIs, particularly related to cognitive functions and dementia, has received increasing attention.

2. ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE AND NON-ALZHEI-MER'S DISEASE RELATED DEMENTIAS - EPIDEMI-OLOGY AND RISK FACTORS

Based on the epidemiological World Alzheimer Report, the prevalence of dementia worldwide was rated over 46.8 million people in 2015, with an anticipated prevalence of 74.7 million by 2030 and 131.5 million by 2050. About 63% and 68% of patients suffering from dementia will be located in low- and middle-income countries by 2030 and 2050, respectively [42]. Clearly, this development will impose tremendous challenges on health care systems and therefore, dementia was designated a public health priority due to the

WHO [43]. Although AD accounts for 50-70% of all cases of dementia, other non-AD related dementias also need to be considered [44]. In general, the prevalence of AD increase with age from 3.5% in patients with 75 years of age up to 46.3% in patients >95 years [45]. For the USA, the Alzheimer's Association Report "2021 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures" provides a detailed statistical resource for AD data and future perspectives. As in other countries, the prevalence and incidence of AD and non-AD related dementias are increasing and likely to escalate in the upcoming decades due to the ageing society. In the USA, prevalence of AD exhibit the following increase with age: 5.3% of people aged 65-74 years, 13.8% of people aged 75-84 years, and 34.6% of people aged >85 years suffer from AD [46]. Notably, the number of individuals >65 years is expected to increase from 58 million in 2021 to 88 million by 2050 [47]. Recent calculations suggest that in the USA, approximately 6.2 million inhabitants aged >65 years suffer from AD in 2021 [47]. Similar results were observed for incidence parameters. According to evaluations in the USA in 2011, the annual incidence in people aged 65-74 years was 0.4%; in people aged 75-84 years, the incidence turned out to be 3.2% and for individuals aged >85 years an incidence of 7.6% was detected [48, 49]. Overall, it is estimated that the incidence of AD and non-AD related dementias is about to double by 2050 [50]. Thus, one predominant risk factor for late-onset AD is older age, *i.e.*, the incidence of AD strongly increases with age [51, 52]. Data from the Framingham Heart Study were used to calculate lifetime risks of AD dementia by age and sex [53]. The estimated lifetime risk for AD at age 45 was approximately 20% for women and 10% for men. The risks for both sexes were slightly higher at an age of 65 years [53]. Importantly, gender specific differences in prevalence, incidence and lifetime risk were consistently detected for AD and non-AD related dementias with increased parameters in females compared to males [46]. Furthermore, racial and ethnic differences in the prevalence of AD and other dementias were observed. In the USA for example, older Hispanic and Black Americans have a higher probability than older White Americans to develop AD and other dementias [48]. In general, AD is supposed to depend on multiple factors rather than a single cause. The latter holds true, *e.g.*, for genetic alterations that can dramatically increase the risk of AD. Such genetic factors include, for example, the APOE-ɛ4 status [54, 55]. The APOE-ɛ4 gene exerts a tremendous impact on late-onset AD and is engaged in intravascular cholesterol transport. Three different alleles of the APOE gene have been characterized, *i.e.*, $\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 3$ and $\varepsilon 4$, which can be arranged in six potential allelic settings: $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 2$, $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 2/\varepsilon 4$, $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$, $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 4$ and $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$. Both racial and ethnic background affect the APOE allelic distribution [56-58]. The APOE-E4 form poses a higher specific risk of developing AD on its owner compared to those carrying the $\varepsilon 3$ allele. Importantly, the APOE-ε2 form is supposed to decrease the AD risk compared to APOE-ɛ3 carriers. Inheritance of one £4 copy goes together with a 3-fold higher risk of developing AD compared to a $\varepsilon 3/\varepsilon 3$ carrier. Moreover, the $\varepsilon 4/\varepsilon 4$ genotype goes together with an 8-12-fold risk of AD development [59-61]. Also, £4 inheritance increases the level of amyloid beta (A β) accumulation [62] and triggers AD at an earlier age compared to $\varepsilon 2$ or $\varepsilon 3$ carriers [63]. In the USA,

56-65% of patients diagnosed with AD turned out to be monoallelic APOE-ɛ4 gene carriers, whereas 11% of AD patients carried two APOE-ɛ4 copies [64, 65]. Importantly, long-lasting strong mental activity and challenges, complex social networking and interactions can beneficially counteract the development of AD in APOE-ɛ4 risk patients [66].

Genetic mutations, in general, account for a rather small percentage (< 1%) of AD cases [67]. Some are relevant in early-onset familial Alzheimer Disease (AD) and include mutations in the amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 and presenilin 2 [68]. Complex genetic syndromes such as trisomy 21 (Down's syndrome) represent rather uncommon genetic conditions that, however, greatly influence Alzheimer's risk [69]. Large population-based studies revealed that - although a family history of AD is not a prerequisite for developing AD - individuals with first-degree relatives with AD are more likely to develop AD than those without a first-degree relative with AD [59, 70, 71].

Numerous other risk/susceptibility factors were identified to contribute to the etiopathogenesis and clinical progression of AD (see AD continuum, *i.e.*, preclinical AD, Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI), mild, moderate and severe AD) and multiple more are likely to be discovered in the future [72]. Besides intrafamilial factors including heredity (genetic factors), other non-genetic, modifiable factors are also of special relevance. Modifiable risk factors associated with an increased risk of dementia include cardiovascular diseases [73], smoking [74-78], diabetes [79-82], midlife obesity [83-87], prehypertension [87], hypertension [83, 87-91], high cholesterol [92, 93], diet/nutrition, inadequate sleep or poor sleep quality [94], excessive alcohol use [95], depression [96] and hearing impairment [97]. Notably, the functional interdependence between risk factors and AD is complex, e.g., late-onset of obesity and hypertension actually seems to reduce the risk of dementia [98, 99]. It should be noted that Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), even mild TBI, and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (e.g. in contact sports) also increase the risk of dementia [100, 101].

Further important risks factors are related to the exposure to environmental factors, *e.g.* substances toxic to the nervous system such as air pollution, lead and pesticides [102-104]. There is emerging evidence that exposure to air pollution, *e.g.*, fine particulate matter air pollution, which consists of tiny solid particles and liquid droplets generated by fuel combustion, fires, and processes that produce dust, may increase the risk of dementia [105-107].

Another important risk factor is education. Individuals with formal education, a mentally stimulating environment with complex social and cultural interactions, physical activity and high socioeconomic status have a lower risk for AD and non-AD related dementias [57, 108-113]. In contrast, less years of education are accompanied by more cardiovascular risk factors, less physical activity, higher risk of diabetes [114-116], hypertension [117] and smoking [118], all of which increasing the risk of developing dementia. It has been suggested that positive interference with modifiable risk factors is capable of preventing up to 40% of dementia cases [47]. It is important to point out that risk factors can differentially affect various types of dementia.

In summary, genetic, environmental and modifiable, non-genetic factors characterize the individual health settings, including comorbidity, multimorbidity, (poly)medication and health care factors. All these parameters contribute to the individual risk of developing AD or non-AD related dementias. The importance of a positive modification of these risk factors is also underlined by the lack of effective therapy options in AD and related dementias.

3. PPI MEDICATION AND DEMENTIA - CLINICAL TRIALS AND PHARMACO EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES

In the beginning of this century, some studies first raised concerns of a potential impairment of cognitive function and increased risk of conversion to MCI, dementia in general, and specifically AD among PPI users. Subsequently, these findings triggered a number of further studies and investigations (Table 1).

In 2015, Haenisch et al. reported results from a longitudinal, multicenter cohort study in elderly primary care patients (German Study on Aging, Cognition and Dementia in Primary Care Patients, AgeCoDe). Patients receiving PPI medication had a significantly increased risk of any dementia and AD in specific compared with non-users [119]. Later, the same group presented additional results from a prospective cohort study using observational data from 2004 to 2011, derived from the largest German statutory health insurer in elderly patients. Those receiving regular PPI medication were reported to have a significantly increased risk of incident dementia compared with patients not receiving PPI medication [14]. Both studies considered covariates as potential confounding factors, i.e., age, sex, comorbidities/multimorbidity and polypharmacy. In addition, Haenisch et al. (2015) took into account the APOE-ɛ4 allele carrier status and the educational level.

Many authors raised concerns regarding interference with further confounders, such as alcohol use/abuse, hypertension, but also prion infection susceptibility [120-129]. Nguyen and Hur (2016) specifically questioned the proposed underlying mechanism of action linking dementia and PPI use. The latter suggested that the modulation of enzymatic activity may result in increased AB levels. Thus, open questions also remained concerning the potential mechanism(s) related to other forms of dementia [127]. Keller (2016) specifically raised the confounding factor of dietary aluminium ingestion which is speculated to play a pathophysiological role in the onset and progression of dementia [128, 130]. Meta-analysis revealed that individuals exposed to aluminum were 71% more likely to develop AD [131]. It is also likely that patients with indication for PPI use have used strong antacids containing aluminum hydroxide which might have interfered with the observed association reported by Gomm et al. (2016).

Table 1. Studies / publications investigating a potential association between PPI uptake and the incidence and progression of dementia (in chronological order).

Studies / Publications	Study Design / Data Origin	Country	Study Group Sizes / Characteristics	Types of Dementia / Parameters Investigated	Potential Confounders Considered / Limitations	Conclusions
Haenisch <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Longitudinal, multicenter cohort study in elderly pri- mary care patients (Ger- man Study on Aging, Cog- nition and Dementia in Pri- mary Care Patients, Age- CoDe).	Germany	3,327 community-dwelling persons aged ≥ 75 years.	AD and non- AD related de- mentias.	Age, sex, education, ApoE4 allele status, polypharmacy, comorbidities, i.a. depres- sion, diabetes, ischemic heart disease, and stroke.	Patients receiving PPI medication had a signif- icantly increased risk of any dementia.
Akter <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Computerized neuropsy- chological testing using the Cambridge Neuropsy- chological Test Automated Battery.	Bangladesh	Sixty volunteers of either gender (age range 20-26 years).	Visual memory, executive func- tions, working memory, plann- ing and strategy development, speed of re- sponse, and sus- tained attention.	Short-term PPI adminstra- tion, limited sample size.	Significant impairment in visual memory, at- tention, executive func- tion, and working and planning function upon PPI uptake.
Gomm <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Prospective cohort study using observational data from 2004 to 2011, de- rived from the largest Ger- man statutory health insur- er (Allgemeine Ort- skrankenkassen, AOK).	Germany	73,679 participants, aged ≥ 75 years, free of dementia at baseline.	AD and non- AD related de- mentias.	Analysis was adjusted for potential confounding fac- tors, including age, sex, co- morbidities, and polyphar- macy.	Patients receiving regu- lar PPI medication had a significantly in- creased risk of incident dementia compared with the patients not re- ceiving PPI medica- tion.
Wijarnpreecha <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Literature search per- formed in the MEDLINE and EMBASE database from inception to April 2016.	See related original studies / databases	See related original studies / databases.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases.	Increased risk of de- mentia among PPI users.
Booker <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Case-control study includ- ing German primary care patients with first diagno- sis of dementia (all-cause) during the index period (01/2010-12/2014).	Germany	11,956 cases (initial diagno- sis of dementia, all causes) and the 11,956 controls (with- out dementia), aged 70-90 years. Participants were matched on the basis of age, sex, type of health insurance, and physician.	AD (Alzheimer's disease (G30)) and related de- mentias (vascu- lar dementia (F01) and un- specified de- mentia (F03)).	Diabetes, lipid metabolism, stroke incl. Transient Is- chemic Attack (TIA), Parkinson's Disease (PD), intracranial injury, coronary heart disease, Mild Cogni- tive Impairment (MCI), mental and behavioral disor- ders due to alcohol use. Re- lated medication was also assessed.	PPIs were associated with a decreased risk of developing demen- tia.
Goldstein <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Observational, longitudi- nal study, data from Natio- nal Alzheimer's Coordinat- ing Center (NACC) database from 33 Alzheimer's Disease Cen- ters from September 2005 through September 2015 (NIH-NIA supported).	USA	10486 persons aged \geq 50 years (all had baseline nor- mal cognition (n = 7,404) or MCI (n = 3,082)).	AD	Demographic characteris- tics, vascular comorbidities, metabolic disorders, mood, polypharmacy, i.a., use of anticholinergics and antihis- tamines, reliance on self-re- ported PPI use and lack of dispensing data.	PPIs were not associat- ed with greater risk of dementia or of AD. Continuous or intermit- tent PPI use was associ- ated with lower risk of decline in cognitive function and lower risk of conversion to MCI or AD. This lower risk was found for persons with normal cognition or MCI.

Studies / Publications	Study Design / Data Origin	Country	Study Group Sizes / Characteristics	Types of Dementia / Parameters Investigated	Potential Confounders Considered / Limitations	Conclusions
Taipale <i>et al.</i> (2017)	A Finnish nationwide nest- ed case-control study (ME- DALZ). Data were derived from a Finnish nationwide health-care register, includ- ing Special Reimburse- ment Register, Prescription Register, Hospital Discharge Register.	Finland	Community- dwelling individuals with newly diagnosed AD during 2005-2011 (n = 70,718), and up to four age-, sex-, and re- gion of residence-matched comparison individuals for each case (n = 282,858)	AD	Cardiovascular diseases (hy- pertension, coronary artery disease, chronic heart fail- ure, chronic arrhythmias), diabetes, stroke, depression, polypharmacy.	PPI use was not associ- ated with risk of AD with 3-year lag win- dow applied between exposure and outcome. Longer duration of use was not associated with risk of AD. High- er dose use was not as- sociated with an in- creased risk. In conclu- sion, no clinically meaningful association between PPI use and risk of AD was detect- ed. The results for longer duration of cu- mulative use or use with higher doses did not indicate dose-re- sponse relationship.
Lochhead <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Prospectively collected da- ta on medication use and other potential risk factors from the Nurses' Health Study II (NHS II, based on self-administered computerized neuropsycho- logical test battery).	USA	13,864 female participants (aged 50–70 years).	Assessment of cognitive func-tion.	Education level, comorbidi- ties (smoking status, alco- hol consumption, cardiovas- cular diseases, metabolic disorders, BMI, <i>etc.</i>), po- lypharmacy.	The study results do not support the sugges- tion that PPI use in- creases dementia risk.
Batchelor <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Systematic review (meta-analysis) according to the PRISMA statement (registered on PROSPERO).	See related original studies / databases	Relevant studies were identi- fied in Medline, EMBase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CEN- TRAL), PSYCinfo, Scopus, Web of Science and Clinical- Trials.gov. Eleven studies were included (with four studies investigating PPI use and dementia and seven studies exploring PPI use and acute cognitive impair- ment).	AD, non-AD de- mentias and acute cognitive impairment (see also related original studies / databases).	Familiy history of demen- tia, hypertension, diabetes, physical exercise, air pollu- tion, intestinal microbiota, aluminium containing medi- cations and medication in general (see also related original studies / databases).	The interpretation of the reported associa- tion between PPI treat- ment and dementia is hampered by methodo- logical aspects and po- tential bias. The latter require future longitudi- nal studies.
Tai <i>et al.</i> (2017)	Population-based retrospec- tive cohort study using the Taiwan National Health Insurance (NHI) claims database-Na- tional Health Insurance Re- search Database (NHIRD).	Taiwan	Patients initiating PPI thera- py between January 2000 and December 2003 without a prior history of dementia. Analysis of data of 15726 participants aged >40 years. PPI users (n = 7,863), non- PPI users (n = 7,863).	AD and non- AD dementias.	Comorbidities included, <i>i.e.</i> , diabetes mellitus, hyper- tension, hyperlipidemia, pe- ripheral vascular disease, is- chemic heart disease, de- pression, and ischemic stroke. Potential confounding drugs included anticoagulants, NSAIDs, an- tiplatelet agents, antidiabet- ic agents, antihypertensives, and statins.	An increased risk for dementia was identi- fied among the Asian PPI users. Cumulative PPI use was significant- ly associated with de- mentia.

Studies / Publications	Study Design / Data Origin	Country	Study Group Sizes / Characteristics	Types of Dementia / Parameters Investigated	Potential Confounders Considered / Limitations	Conclusions
Gray <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Prospective popula- tion-based cohort study (Kaiser Permanente Washington, an integrated healthcare delivery system in Seattle, Washington).	USA	Individuals aged ≥ 65 years without dementia at study en- try (n = 3,484).	AD and non- AD dementias.	Demographic characteris- tics (age at study entry, sex, years of education), medical history (cardiovascular dis- orders, metabolic diseases), health behaviors (BMI, smoking behavior, exercise, mood disorders), functional measures and medications.	PPI use was not associ- ated with dementia risk, even for people with high cumulative exposure.
Imfeld <i>et al.</i> (2018)	A case-control analysis on the UK-based Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) through a license from the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).	UK	41,029 patients aged ≥ 65 years with newly diagnosed AD, vascular dementia or un- specified dementia between 1998 and 2015.	AD, vascular dementia and unspecified de- mentia.	Age, sex, calendar time, gen- eral practice, and number of years of recorded history were matched between groups. Comorbidities and co-medications at or within the year prior to the index date were considered. Co- variates include arterial hy- pertension, diabetes melli- tus, coronary heart disease, atrial fibrillation, stroke, de- pression, and polypharmacy (i.a., of platelet aggregation inhibitors, anticoagulants, NSAIDs, SSRIs, SNRIs).	Long-term PPI use was not associated with an increased risk of devel- oping AD or VaD.
Hwang <i>et al.</i> (2018)	A population-based longi- tudinal study using the Ko- rean National Health Insur- ance Corporation claims database merged with na- tional health examination data for 2002-2013.	Republic of Korea	The study cohort included 70,529 individuals who were free of dementia in 2007. In- cident dementia was assessed throughout follow-up until 2013. 1,297 participants de- veloped dementia during the study period.	AD and non- AD dementias.	Covariates included pulmonary diseases, renal diseases, liver diseases, metabolic disorders.	PPI use was not associ- ated with an increased risk of dementia. PPI use was not associ- ated with increased risk.
Li <i>et al</i> . (2019)	Meta-analysis to determine potential association of PPI use and risk of demen- tia among older people.	See related original studies / databases	Studies were identified in PubMed, EMBASE, and Cochrane Library databases from inception to February 2018. Cohort studies that had identified a risk of dementia or AD among PPI users com- pared with non- PPI users were considered. Quality of studies was catago- rized <i>via</i> the New- castle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). Six cohort studies were se- lected.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases.	No significant associa- tion between PPI in- take and risk of demen- tia or AD could be de- tected.
Song <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Meta-analysis to investi- gate the risk of dementia and AD among PPI users.	See related original studies / databases	Relevant studies were identi- fied in PubMed, Web of Sci- ence, EMBase and Sci- enceDirect. Ten independent studies with 642,305 partici- pants were included.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases.	PPI intake does not in- crease the risk of de- mentia and AD.

Studies / Publications	Study Design / Data Origin	Country	Study Group Sizes / Characteristics	Types of Dementia / Parameters Investigated	Potential Confounders Considered / Limitations	Conclusions
Torres-Bondia et al. (2020)	A community-based retro- spective cohort study based on data available from 1 st January 2002 to 31 st December 2015 in the Catalan health service (Cat- Salut) system.	Catalonia / Spain	PPI users (n = 36,360) and non-users (n = 99,362) aged ≥ 45 years. A lag window of 5 years was considered be- tween the beginning of the PPI treatment and the diagno- sis of dementia.	AD and non- AD dementias.	Age, sex, hypertension, dia- betes and dyslipidaemia were considered as con- founding variables.	PPI use was not associ- ated with the risk of AD. A weakly but sig- nificantly increased risk of non-AD demen- tias was observed among PPI users. A higher dose of PPIs was not associated with an increased risk of either AD or non- AD dementias. An in- creased risk of AD and non-AD dementias was detected in users of two types of PPIs com- pared with one type PPI users.
Cooksey <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Large-scale, multi-centre, population-based study us- ing electronic health-data from the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank, Wales (UK) from 1999 to 2015.	UK	183,968 persons who had ev- er been prescribed PPIs, aged ≥ 55 year, compared to 131,110 non-PPI exposed in- dividuals.		Personal characteristics (e.g., age, sex, smoking sta- tus, obesity, alcohol con- sumption), confounding co- morbidities (diabetes, car- diovascular disease, depres- sion, anxiety, head injury, hypertension, high choles- terol, vitamin-B12 deficien- cy), concomitant medica- tions (anxiolytics, anti-de- pressants, anticoagulants, antiplatelets, statins, hor- mone replacement therapy (HRT), vitamin-B12 supple- ments, iron and antihyper- tensives).	No association be- tween PPI use and in- creased dementia risk was detected.
Desai <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Meta-analysis to investi- gate a potential association between PPI use and the risk of dementia.	See related original studies / databases	Literature search in PubMed, Embase, Google Scholar, and Cochrane for studies in- vestigating the risk of cogni- tive decline and dementia among PPI users versus non- PPI users in prospective studies.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	Retrospective database link- age studies, case reports, case series, editorials, un- controlled cohort studies, cross-sectional studies, and review articles were excluded.	No significant relation- ship between PPI use and dementia in prospective studies with at least a 5-year follow-up.
Khan <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Meta-analysis to investi- gate a potential association between PPI intake and the risk of dementia.	See related original studies / databases	Literature / study search in MEDLINE, EMBASE, ISI Web of Science, and Cochrane databases, up to Fe- bruary 2019. Quality cate- gorisation of observational studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale and the GRADE approach. Eleven studies were included comprising 642,949 individuals.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases.	No evidence for an as- sociation between PPI use and increased risk of dementia.

Studies / Publications	Study Design / Data Origin	Country	Study Group Sizes / Characteristics	Types of Dementia / Parameters Investigated	Potential Confounders Considered / Limitations	Conclusions
Zhang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Meta-analysis to investi- gate a potential association between PPI intake and the risk of dementia.	See related original studies / databases	Literature search in English and Chinese databases from origination to December 2018. Six studies were con- sidered, including a total of 166,146 participants.	See related orig- inal studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases. Exclusion crite- ria included animal experi- mental models, systematic review articles, letters, me- ta-analyses, comments, case reports; duplicated studies, studies without possibility to retrieve or calculate data of interest.	Result show a signifi- cant increase in demen- tia risk with PPI use. Subgroup analyses re- vealed a significant as- sociation between PPI use and the risk of de- mentia in Europe and among participants aged \geq 65 years.
Chen <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Population-based retrospec- tive cohort study using the Taiwan National Health Insurance (NHI) claims database-National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD).	Taiwan	Patients aged ≥ 65 years with cumulative PPI use between January 2000 and December 2005 (PPI user cohort n = 6,584; PPI non-user cohort, n = 6,584).	AD and non- AD dementias.	Covariates included sex, age, comorbidities (<i>e.g.</i> dia- betes mellitus, hyperlipi- demia, coronary artery dis- ease, stroke, depression) and comedication (<i>e.g.</i> NSAIDs, anti-hyperten- sives, anti-diabetic agents, statins, aspirin, and anti-depressants).	PPI users exhibited a significantly elevated risk of dementia com- pared to PPI non-users.
Wu <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Population-based propensity score matched retrospective cohort study using Taiwan's National Health Insurance (NHI) Re- search Database.	Taiwan	Patients aged \geq 40 years with PPIs use between 2000 and 2010 (PPI user cohort com- pared to PPI non-user cohort, n = 2,583 each).	AD and non- AD dementias.	Covariates considered: age, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery dis- ease, hyperlipidaemia, stroke, asthma, chronic re- nal failure, depression.	No association be- tween PPI uptake and a risk of developing de- mentia was detected.
Collin <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention study.	USA	Questionnaires on medical history, blood samples and neuropsychological assess- ments from n = 1,573 individ- uals over a 10–15 year period.	AD and non- AD dementias.	Covariates included gender, antihypertensive drug use, physical activity, cigarette use, APO ɛ4 carrier status, H2RA use, heart disease, diabetes, depres- sion, anxiety, lung disease.	PPI use was not associ- ated with memory dec- line in a sample of sub- jects with familial risk factors for dementia.
Ahn <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Population-based cohort Study of Health in Pomera- nia (SHIP).	Germany	Participants aged 21 - 89 years, n = 2653 (baseline ex- aminations 1997-2001, fol- low-up examination 2002-2006 and 2008-2012).	Brain volume (MRI), estimat- ed brain age and cognitive function (Ver- bal Learning and Memory Test, VLMT; Nuremberg Age Inventory, NAI).	Multiple regression used to adjust confounding factors, <i>e.g.</i> age, sex, BMI, cogni- tive function-altering medi- cations and further medica- tion, socio-demographic variables, income, educatio- nal level, smoking experi- ence, alcohol consumption, diabetes and cerebrovascu- lar pathologies.	No relationship be- tween PPI use and brain aging was detected.
Thunell <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Meta-analysis / scoping re- view to identify drug classes (including PPIs) as- sociated with increasing or decreasing risk for AD or related dementias	See related original studies / databases	Systematic search using PubMed, SCOPUS, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CEN- TRAL) databases for all pub- lished studies on humans from January 2008 till August 2018.	See related original studies / databases.	See related original studies / databases.	Twelve observational and four review studies examining PPIs were considered and exhibit- ed mixed findings. Five of the 12 studies described increased risk of dementia or cog- nitive decline, two re- ported neuroprotective benefits, and five were inconclusive.

Note: Relevant publications are listed including information about study design/data origin, country, study group characteristics (number of participants), types of dementia investigated, consideration of potential confounding factors and conclusions. Publications suggesting an association of PPI use and increased risk of dementias are highlighted in red. Those studies or meta-analyses reporting no increased risk of dementias upon PPI use or a neuroprotective effect are highlighted in blue. Neutral publications are listed in black.

Most important, both studies by Haenisch *et al.* (2015) and Gomm *et al.* (2016) initiated a number of subsequent investigations to gain further insight and clarification regarding the functional interdependence between PPIs and AD or non-AD related dementias (Table 1).

A literature search by Wijarnpreecha et al. (2016) including four observational studies and another small interventional study carried out by Akter et al. (2015) seemed to support the hypothesis of an increased risk of dementia among PPI users [17, 132]. Apparently, these findings were also backed up by preclinical data that suggested that PPIs can enhance A β (A β 37, A β 40 and A β 42) production. A β is derived from the sequential cleavage of the Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP) by β - and γ -secretases and was found to be increased in both cellular and animal models upon PPI exposure [133]. Recently, Kumar et al. (2020) reported that PPIs also act as inhibitors of the choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) and that this mechanism might serve as an ultimate biochemical explanation for the potentially increased incidence of dementia upon PPI use [134]. Various other pathobiochemical and pathophysiological implications of PPIs related to AD and non-AD related dementias have been reported including the interaction with tau protein and effects on the neuronal microenvironment [135].

Notably, both early preclinical and clinical findings have now triggered a number of large-scale clinical trials worldwide to get further insight into the potential association between the use of PPIs and the risk of dementia. Importantly, most of these subsequent trials and meta-analyses could not confirm the initial alerting results: A case-control study including primary care patients (aged 70-90 years with 11,956 cases and 11,956 controls) with first diagnosis of dementia showed that the use of PPIs correlated with a decreased risk of developing dementia [136]. An observational, longitudinal study by Goldstein et al. (2017) revealed that PPI intake was not accompanied with a greater risk of dementia or of AD [7]. A Finnish nationwide nested case-control study also did not find a clinically meaningful association between PPI use and risk of AD [137]. The analysis of prospectively collected data of the Nurses' Health Study II did not reveal a convincing association between PPI use and cognitive function or any evidence for an increased risk of dementia [138]. A prospective population-based cohort study by Gray *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that PPI use did not increase the risk of dementia, even in individuals with high cumulative PPI exposure [139]. Studies by Moayyedi et al. (2017) using health care registry data could also not reveal a correlation between PPI use and AD, even with long-term or high-dosage use [140]. At that time, a meta-analysis and systematic review on information available so far on dementia, cognitive impairment and PPI treatment pointed out the inconsistent results and methodological limitations and requested for further studies [141].

Next, a case-control analysis on the UK-based Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) found no evidence of increased risk of AD-related dementias to PPIs [142]. Hwang *et al.* (2018) reported from a population-based longitudinal

study that was based on the Korean National Health Insurance Corporation claims database merged with national health examination data for the period 2002-2013. The study revealed no increased risk of dementia upon PPI use [143]. A large-scale, multi-center, population-based study using electronic health data from the SAIL (Secure Anonymised Information Linkage) Databank in Wales (UK) revealed no association between PPI use and increased risk of dementia [144]. Another large community-based retrospective cohort including data from 2002 to 2015 in the Catalan health service (CatSalut) system revealed no higher incidence of AD among PPI users. However, a minor increase in the risk of non-AD related dementias among PPI users was detected [145]. A recent study testing neuropsychological functioning in healthy adults with familial risk factors for dementia (APOE-ɛ4 carrier status) could not detect any association with memory decline [146]. A population based cohort study from West Pomerania (Germany) investigated the effects of PPI treatment on brain volume, estimated brain age and cognitive function. No relationship between PPI use and brain aging was detected [147]. In addition, several meta-analyses were recently published using, i.a., PubMed, Web of Science, Embase, Google Scholar, and Cochrane library databases to examine a potential association between PPIs and AD [148-151]. None of these studies provided evidence that PPI intake increases dementia and AD risk. Zhang et al. (2020), however, performed a meta-analysis which is in support of an association between PPIs and dementia [152, 153]. Potential reasons are different inclusion/exclusion criteria for the different studies. The authors, for example, included only cohort studies, as case-control studies could introduce selection and recall biases. Furthermore, in cohort studies, the demonstration of causality was judged to be stronger. Finally, quality criteria also play an important role. The results are also affected by stratification, e.g., of age of the participants, the follow-up time, the location of the study and most importantly, the adjustment of potential confounding factors. Also, the primary outcome of interest differs, focusing on AD, non-AD related dementias, or all dementias.

A recent scoping review by Thunell *et al.* (2021) analyzed reports about drug classes and associated increasing or decreasing AD or related dementia risk. Besides tetracyclic antidepressants, antispasmodics and antihistaminics, a hypothesized increased risk for dementia was also attributed to PPIs [154]. The authors also confessed that there is a mixed picture of PPI effects on dementia.

The general drawbacks of retrospective studies are nicely pinpointed by reports of Tai *et al.* (2017), Chen *et al.* (2020) and Wu *et al.* (2020). All three studies rely on population-based retrospective cohort studies using the same Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database (N-HIRD). The data coverage and the pharmacoepidemiological analytical details differ in some points. Whereas Tai *et al.* (2017) and Chen *et al.* (2020) suggest that PPI users exhibited a significantly elevated risk of dementia compared to PPI non-users, Wu *et al.* (2020) clearly indicated that no association between PPI uptake and risk of developing dementia was detected [155-157]. It should be noted that there are further studies on PPIs and dementia/cognitive decline that were published in national journals only [158] or as case reports [31, 159-161]. Some studies have focused on PPI use and delirium [162, 163]. All these studies are not further discussed in this summary.

Importantly, none of the studies carried out so far met Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT) criteria that reflect the gold standard of clinical trials [154]. Thus, to evaluate and establish direct cause and effect relationships between PPI use and incident dementia in the elderly, randomized, prospective clinical trials are needed.

4. PPIs AND DEMENTIA - RELEVANCE OF PHAR-MACODYNAMICS, PHARMACOKINETICS AND PHARMACOGENOMICS

Obviously, early and recent pharmacoepidemiological results on PPIs and dementia are diverse which is likely to be related to the characteristics of specific study designs, different patient populations, and potential limitations of data sources, including unknown/masked confounding factors [1].

Often used data sources for pharmacoepidemiological analyses such as claims data have several advantages, *e.g.* analyses can be performed in a real-life setting in an unselected patient population. Health claims data cover the total population, including people who live in institutions such as nursing homes or assisted living. Furthermore, recall bias or selection bias is avoided because of the use of routine health care records. Limitations include residual confounding despite adjusting for several potential confounding factors. In particular, claims data mostly lack detailed socioeconomic, laboratory, or genetic parameters.

An additional aspect that might shed new and clarifying light on the potential controversy about PPI effects on AD and non-AD related dementias is associated with the biochemical and physiological, i.e. the pharmacodynamic effects of PPIs. Many, if not most, drugs are indeed multi-target in character and the same holds true for PPIs [164]. It is beyond the scope of this review to elaborate the etiopathogenesis of the various types of dementia described so far. To illustrate the complex functional interdependence between PPIs and dementive processes, we will therefore focus on AD and how PPIs might interfere with the related etiology and pathogenesis. As outlined above, the central histopathological hallmarks of AD comprise the deposition of extracellular Aß plaques (Aß dyshomeostasis, amyloid hypothesis) and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) originating from hyperphosphorylated tau protein. Both processes result in neurodegeneration and progressive neuronal cell loss [94, 165].

Whereas early pharmacoepidemiological studies - in favor of an association between PPIs and AD - referred to the potential increase of A β and alterations in vitamin B12 homeostasis upon PPI intake as potential pathophysiological mechanisms [135], it is mandatory to point out that PPIs

were also reported to exert anti-amyloidogenic and anti-inflammatory biochemical effects and might thus be beneficial in AD. PPIs for example are known to exert anti-inflammatory actions. Inflammatory processes such as astrocytic activation are involved in the pathogenesis of different neurodegenerative diseases. Hashioka et al. (2011) demonstrated that PPIs attenuate interferon gamma (IFN-γ)-induced neurotoxicity of human astrocytes via suppression of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) signaling pathway. PPIs with antineurotoxic properties were thus speculated to serve as a potential treatment option in AD and other neuroinflammatory disorders associated with activated astrocytes [166-168]. A striking biochemical mechanism that seems largely underestimated, is the interference of PPIs with Liver X Receptors (LXRs) [169]. LXRa and LXRß serve as transcription factors that control gene expression primarily related to cholesterol metabolism. Within the CNS, cholesterol metabolism is relevant for APP proteolytic cleavage, secretase activities, AB aggregation and clearance [169]. Importantly, LXR mediates the transcriptional control of APOE which plays a crucial role in AD [170]. Cronican et al. (2010) demonstrated that PPIs, such as lansoprazole act as LXR agonists and enhance the expression of LXR modulated target genes [171, 172]. Whereas lansoprazole increased APOE levels in primary wild-type astrocytes, this effect was abolished in LXRα/β double KO mice. Notably, other PPIs also exhibit agonistic effects on LXR with different efficiencies [171]. In 2015, these results were further supported by studies of Skerrett et al., who demonstrated that LXRs- and peroxisome-proliferator receptor γ (P-PARy)- agonists reduce A β levels as both soluble and deposited forms of A β . They further improve cognitive deficits in AD mouse models by inducing transcription and lipidation of APOE and by suppression of microglial proinflammatory genes [173]. These beneficial effects were also confirmed on the behavioral level in an AD mouse model [174].

The LXR/PPARy pathway is likely to play an essential role in the interpretation of recent pharmacoepidemiologic results and the planning of future studies. The clearance of A β from the CNS is known to be dependent on the APOE gene related polymorphism being facilitated by APOE-ε2 [170]. The latter is transcriptionally regulated by PPAR γ and LXR, in combination with the Retinoid X Receptor (RXR) [175]. Compelling results demonstrated that the RXR agonist bexarotene, originally approved for the treatment of cutaneous T cell lymphoma, dramatically enhances APOE mediated clearance of soluble and deposited $A\beta$ and restores cognitive deficits in various AD mouse models [176-180]. Similar results were observed for PPARy agonists such as pioglitazone. The latter stimulates Aß degradation by both microglia and astrocytes via LXR and APOE activation in AD mouse models, e.g., APP/PS1. Amyloid deposits were removed, microglia and astrocytes were massively recruited for clearance, and memory deficits were restored in PPARy agonist treated mice [181, 182].

Another PPI interdependence with AD was suggested to be based on prion infections. In mice, the acidic gastric juice was shown to protect against prion infection [183]. Prions are known to trigger/induce neurodegenerative processes. Notably, this phenomenon seems to be underestimated in general [183].

It is obvious that pathophysiologically, either a decrease of AB production or an increase of AB clearance is beneficial in AD treatment. As outlined above, the armamentarium of pharmacodynamic properties of PPIs covers various biochemical, cellular actions such as enhanced AB production. ChAT-inhibition, LXR-receptor and APOE activation with potentially enhanced AB clearance, disturbance of vitamin B12 homeostasis, attenuated IFN-γ-induced neurotoxicity and suppression of the STAT3 signaling pathway, V-ATPase inhibition of lysosomes with reduced clearance of AB, or other vet discovered and still unknown mechanisms [135, 164]. Pharmacodynamically, PPIs can clearly trigger opposing mechanisms, *i.e.*, an increase in AB production and proinflammation on the one hand, and increased clearance of soluble A β and amyloid plaques from the CNS facilitated by APOE with anti-inflammatory action on the other hand. At a first glance, the Janus-like discrepancies in pharmacoepidemiologic results (Table 1) might solely originate from differences in study designs and multiple inherent known and unknown confounding factors. However, given their multi-target character, PPIs might exert a net pharmacodynamic effect in A β homeostasis which either aggravates or reduces AB production. AB clearance, inflammatory processes and the cognitive/behavioral phenotype. However, this net effect itself might be dependent on the various pharmacokinetic parameters of the different PPI members, such as BBB permeability or cytochrome P450 (CYP) dependent metabolization. Other patient related (risk) factors such as the individual APOE gene related polymorphism might be of central importance here as well [170, 184].

CONCLUSION

Currently, PPIs are important and practically irreplaceable drugs in the prevention and treatment of specific medical conditions for defined periods of time [185]. However, numerous adverse reactions became obvious, particularly following excessive and prolonged treatment with PPIs. Clearly, as regards the controversial implications of PPIs in AD and non-AD related dementias, further studies, particularly RCT need to be conducted. It might be hypothesized that a future solution will originate from a personalized medicinal approach, in which individualized pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenomic data of patients are modelled to predict the potential harm or benefit of short and long-term use of PPIs on AD and non-AD related dementias.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AD = Alzheimer's Disease
- APP = Amyloid Precursor Protein
- BBB = Blood Brain Barrier
- ChAT = Choline Acetyltransferase
- CNS = Central Nervous System

- GERD = Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease
- INF = Interferone
- LXR = Liver X Receptor
- MCI = Mild Cognitive Impairment
- $PPAR\gamma = Peroxisome-Proliferator Receptor \gamma$
- PPI = Proton Pump Inhibitor
- RXR = Retinoid X Receptor
- STAT3 = Signal Transducer And Activator of Transcription 3

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices (Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte, BfArM, Bonn, Germany).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none.

REFERENCES

- Savarino E, Marabotto E, Zentilin P, et al. A safety review of proton pump inhibitors to treat acid-related digestive diseases. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2018; 17(8): 785-94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14740338.2018.1497155 PMID: 30035645
- Shin JM, Sachs G. Pharmacology of proton pump inhibitors. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2008; 10(6): 528-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11894-008-0098-4 PMID: 19006606
- [3] Strand DS, Kim D, Peura DA. 25 Years of proton pump inhibitors: A comprehensive review. Gut Liver 2017; 11(1): 27-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.5009/gnl15502 PMID: 27840364
- Pinto-Sanchez MI, Yuan Y, Hassan A, Bercik P, Moayyedi P. Proton pump inhibitors for functional dyspepsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017; 11: CD011194.
 PMID: 29161458
- [5] Freedberg DE, Kim LS, Yang YX. The risks and benefits of longterm use of proton pump inhibitors: Expert review and best practice advice from the American gastroenterological association. Gastroenterology 2017; 152(4): 706-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2017.01.031 PMID: 28257716
- [6] Scarpignato C, Gatta L, Zullo A, Blandizzi C. Group S-A-F, Italian society of pharmacology tIAoHG. Effective and safe proton pump inhibitor therapy in acid-related diseases - A position paper addressing benefits and potential harms of acid suppression. BMC Med 2016; 14(1): 179.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12916-016-0718-z PMID: 27825371

 [7]
 Goldstein FC, Steenland K, Zhao L, Wharton W, Levey AI, Hajjar
- I. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of mild cognitive impairment and dementia. J Am Geriatr Soc 2017; 65(9): 1969-74. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.14956 PMID: 28590010
- [8] Juntunen H, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, *et al.* Long-term use of proton pump inhibitors among community-dwelling persons with and without Alzheimer's disease. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2017; 73(9): 1149-58.

- [9] WHO. World Health Organization model list of essential medicines: 21st list 2019. World Health Organization 2019. Available from: https://appswhoint/iris/handle/10665/325771
- [10] Shin JM, Kim N. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the proton pump inhibitors. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2013; 19(1): 25-35.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.5056/jnm.2013.19.1.25 PMID: 23350044
 [11] Sachs G, Shin JM, Howden CW. Review article: the clinical pharmacology of proton pump inhibitors. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006; 23 (Suppl. 2): 2-8.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02943.x PMID: 16700898

- [12] Helgadottir H, Bjornsson ES. Problems associated with deprescribing of proton pump inhibitors. Int J Mol Sci 2019; 20(21): E5469. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20215469 PMID: 31684070
- [13] Kantor ED, Rehm CD, Haas JS, Chan AT, Giovannucci EL. Trends in prescription drug use among adults in the United States from 1999-2012. JAMA 2015; 314(17): 1818-31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.13766 PMID: 26529160
- [14] Gomm W, von Holt K, Thomé F, et al. Association of proton pump inhibitors with risk of dementia: A pharmacoepidemiological claims data analysis. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(4): 410-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.4791 PMID: 26882076
- [15] Heidelbaugh JJ, Inadomi JM. Magnitude and economic impact of inappropriate use of stress ulcer prophylaxis in non-ICU hospitalized patients. Am J Gastroenterol 2006; 101(10): 2200-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00839.x PMID: 16952283
- [16] Eid SM, Boueiz A, Paranji S, Mativo C, Landis R, Abougergi MS. Patterns and predictors of proton pump inhibitor overuse among academic and non-academic hospitalists. Intern Med 2010; 49(23): 2561-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.49.4064 PMID:

21139293

- [17] Akter S, Hassan MR, Shahriar M, Akter N, Abbas MG, Bhuiyan MA. Cognitive impact after short-term exposure to different proton pump inhibitors: assessment using CANTAB software. Alzheimers Res Ther 2015; 7: 79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13195-015-0164-8 PMID: 26714488
- [18] Mishuk AU, Chen L, Gaillard P, Westrick S, Hansen RA, Qian J. National trends in prescription proton pump inhibitor use and expenditure in the United States in 2002-2017. J Am Pharm Assoc (Wash DC) 2021; 61(1): 7.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.japh.2020.09.015 [19] Schwabe U, Ludwig W-D. Arzneiverordnungs-Report 2020. Springer 2020.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-62168-4

- Bublitz M, Poulsen H, Morth JP, Nissen P. In and out of the cation pumps: P-type ATPase structure revisited. Curr Opin Struct Biol 2010; 20(4): 431-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2010.06.007 PMID: 20634056
- [21] van Driel IR, Callaghan JM. Proton and potassium transport by H⁺/K⁽⁺⁾-ATPases. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 1995; 22(12): 952-60.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1681.1995.tb02332.x PMID: 8846517

- [22] Modyanov NN, Petrukhin KE, Sverdlov VE, et al. The family of human Na,K-ATPase genes. ATP1AL1 gene is transcriptionally competent and probably encodes the related ion transport ATPase. FEBS Lett 1991; 278(1): 91-4.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(91)80091-G PMID: 1847115
 [23] Wang D, Hiesinger PR. The vesicular ATPase: a missing link between acidification and exocytosis. J Cell Biol 2013; 203(2):
- 171-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201309130 PMID: 24165933
- [24] Tabares L, Betz B. Multiple functions of the vesicular proton pump in nerve terminals. Neuron 2010; 68(6): 1020-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.012 PMID: 21172605
- [25] Cheng FC, Ho YF, Hung LC, Chen CF, Tsai TH. Determination and pharmacokinetic profile of omeprazole in rat blood, brain and bile by microdialysis and high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A 2002; 949(1-2): 35-42.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)01225-0 PMID: 11999751

- [26] Rojo LE, Alzate-Morales J, Saavedra IN, Davies P, Maccioni RB. Selective interaction of lansoprazole and astemizole with tau polymers: potential new clinical use in diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2010; 19(2): 573-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2010-1262 PMID: 20110603
- [27] Liang JF, Chen YT, Fuh JL, et al. Proton pump inhibitor-related headaches: a nationwide population-based case-crossover study in Taiwan. Cephalalgia 2015; 35(3): 203-10.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0333102414535114 PMID: 24853165

- [28] Martin RM, Dunn NR, Freemantle S, Shakir S. The rates of common adverse events reported during treatment with proton pump inhibitors used in general practice in England: cohort studies. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2000; 50(4): 366-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2125.2000.00262.x PMID: 11012560
- [29] Chimirri S, Aiello R, Mazzitello C, et al. Vertigo/dizziness as a Drugs' adverse reaction. J Pharmacol Pharmacother 2013; 4 (Suppl. 1): S104-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0976-500X.120969 PMID: 24347974

- [30] Hanneken AM, Babai N, Thoreson WB. Oral proton pump inhibitors disrupt horizontal cell-cone feedback and enhance visual hallucinations in macular degeneration patients. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2013; 54(2): 1485-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/iovs.12-11091 PMID: 23341015
- [31] Heckmann JG, Birklein F, Neundörfer B. Omeprazole-induced delirium. J Neurol 2000; 247(1): 56-7.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s004150050011 PMID: 10701899
 [32] Yibirin M, De Oliveira D, Valera R, Plitt AE, Lutgen S. Adverse effects associated with proton pump inhibitor use. Cureus 2021; 13(1): e12759.
 PMID: 33614352
- [33] Cundy T, Dissanayake A. Severe hypomagnesaemia in long-term users of proton-pump inhibitors. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2008; 69(2): 338-41. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.2008.03194.x PMID: 18221401
- [34] Gulmez SE, Holm A, Frederiksen H, Jensen TG, Pedersen C, Hallas J. Use of proton pump inhibitors and the risk of community-acquired pneumonia: a population-based case-control study. Arch Intern Med 2007; 167(9): 950-5.

 http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.167.9.950 PMID: 17502537
 [35] Howell MD, Novack V, Grgurich P, *et al.* Iatrogenic gastric acid suppression and the risk of nosocomial Clostridium difficile infection. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170(9): 784-90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.89 PMID: 20458086

- [36] Khalili H, Huang ES, Jacobson BC, Camargo CA Jr, Feskanich D, Chan AT. Use of proton pump inhibitors and risk of hip fracture in relation to dietary and lifestyle factors: a prospective cohort study. BMJ 2012; 344: e372. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e372 PMID: 22294756
- [37] Perry IE, Sonu I, Scarpignato C, Akiyama J, Hongo M, Vega KJ. Potential proton pump inhibitor-related adverse effects. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2020; 1481(1): 43-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14428 PMID: 32761834
- [38] Yu LY, Sun LN, Zhang XH, et al. A review of the novel application and potential adverse effects of proton pump inhibitors. Adv Ther 2017; 34(5): 1070-86.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12325-017-0532-9 PMID: 28429247
 [39] Almario CV, Chey WD, Spiegel BMR. Increased risk of COVID-19 among users of proton pump inhibitors. Am J Gas-
- troenterol 2020; 115(10): 1707-15. http://dx.doi.org/10.14309/ajg.000000000000798 PMID: 32852340
- [40] Lee SW, Ha EK, Yeniova AO, et al. Severe clinical outcomes of COVID-19 associated with proton pump inhibitors: a nationwide cohort study with propensity score matching. Gut 2021; 70(1): 76-84.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322248 PMID: 32732368

[41] Price E, Treacher DF. Reduced gastric acidity, proton pump inhibitors and increased severity of COVID-19 infections. Crit Care 2021; 25(1): 73.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03497-6 PMID: 33602257

- [42] Prince MWA, Guerchet M, Claire Ali G, Wu Y-T, Prina M. Alzheimer report 2015: the global impact of dementia: An analysis of prevalence, incidence, cost and trends, Alzheimer's disease international. ADI 2015. Available from: https://www.alzint.org/resource/world-alzheimer-report-2015/
- [43] WHOWorld Health Organization, Dementia: a Public Health Priority. Geneva: World Health Organization 2012. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/75263
- [44] Querfurth HW, LaFerla FM. Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 2010; 362(4): 329-44.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0909142 PMID: 20107219
 [45] Matyas N, Auer S, Gisinger C, *et al.* Continuing education for the prevention of mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's-type dementia: a systematic review protocol. Syst Rev 2017; 6(1): 157. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0553-0 PMID: 28789697
- [46] Rajan KB, Weuve J, Barnes LL, McAninch EA, Wilson RS, Evans DA. Population estimate of people with clinical AD and mild cognitive impairment in the United States (2020-2060). Alzheimers Dement. 2021 May 27. (Online ahead of print). http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/alz.12362
- [47] Report As A. 2021 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimers Dement 2021; 17(3): 327-406. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/alz.12328 PMID: 33756057
- [48] Rajan KB, Weuve J, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, Evans DA. Prevalence and incidence of clinically diagnosed Alzheimer's disease dementia from 1994 to 2012 in a population study. Alzheimers Dement 2019; 15(1): 1-7.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.216 PMID: 30195482
 [49] Tom SE, Hubbard RA, Crane PK, *et al.* Characterization of dementia and Alzheimer's disease in an older population: updated incidence and life expectancy with and without dementia. Am J Public Health 2015; 105(2): 408-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.301935 PMID: 25033130
- [50] Hebert LE, Beckett LA, Scherr PA, Evans DA. Annual incidence of Alzheimer disease in the United States projected to the years 2000 through 2050. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2001; 15(4): 169-73.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00002093-200110000-00002 PMID: 11723367

- Hebert LE, Bienias JL, Aggarwal NT, et al. Change in risk of Alzheimer disease over time. Neurology 2010; 75(9): 786-91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f0754f
 PMID: 20805524
- [52] Nelson PT, Head E, Schmitt FA, et al. Alzheimer's disease is not "brain aging": neuropathological, genetic, and epidemiological human studies. Acta Neuropathol 2011; 121(5): 571-87. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00401-011-0826-y PMID: 21516511
- [53] Chêne G, Beiser A, Au R, et al. Gender and incidence of dementia in the Framingham Heart Study from mid-adult life. Alzheimers Dement 2015; 11(3): 310-20.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.10.005 PMID: 24418058
- [54] Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E allele epsilon 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1993; 43(8): 1467-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.43.8.1467 PMID: 8350998
- [55] Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, *et al.* Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. JAMA 1997; 278(16): 1349-56.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.1997.03550160069041 PMID: 9343467

[56] Rajan KB, Barnes LL, Wilson RS, *et al.* Racial differences in the association between apolipoprotein E risk alleles and overall and total cardiovascular mortality over 18 years. J Am Geriatr Soc 2017; 65(11): 2425-30.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15059 PMID: 28898389

[57] Evans DA, Bennett DA, Wilson RS, *et al.* Incidence of Alzheimer disease in a biracial urban community: relation to apolipoprotein E allele status. Arch Neurol 2003; 60(2): 185-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/science.00.165_PMUD: 12590702

http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.60.2.185 PMID: 12580702

[58] Tang MX, Stern Y, Marder K, et al. The APOE-epsilon4 allele

and the risk of Alzheimer disease among African Americans, whites, and Hispanics. JAMA 1998; 279(10): 751-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.279.10.751 PMID: 9508150

- [59] Loy CT, Schoffeld PR, Turner AM, Kwok JB. Genetics of dementia. Lancet 2014; 383(9919): 828-40.
 http://dxi.org/10.101/(S0140.672/(12)/0620.2.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60630-3 PMID: 23927914
- [60] Holtzman DM, Herz J, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and apolipoprotein E receptors: normal biology and roles in Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2012; 2(3): a006312. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006312 PMID: 22393530
- [61] Michaelson DM. APOE ɛ4: the most prevalent yet understudied risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 2014; 10(6): 861-8.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2014.06.015 PMID: 25217293

- [62] Jansen WJ, Ossenkoppele R, Knol DL, et al. Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2015; 313(19): 1924-38.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.4668 PMID: 25988462
 [63] Spinney L. Alzheimer's disease: The forgetting gene. Nature 2014; 510(7503): 26-8.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/510026a PMID: 24899289
 [64] Mayeux R, Saunders AM, Shea S, *et al.* Utility of the apolipoprotein E genotype in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 1998; 338(8): 506-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199802193380804 PMID: 9468467
- [65] Ward A, Crean S, Mercaldi CJ, et al. Prevalence of apolipoprotein E4 genotype and homozygotes (APOE e4/4) among patients diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroepidemiology 2012; 38(1): 1-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000334607 PMID: 22179327
- [66] Dekhtyar S, Marseglia A, Xu W, Darin-Mattsson A, Wang HX, Fratiglioni L. Genetic risk of dementia mitigated by cognitive reserve: A cohort study. Ann Neurol 2019; 86(1): 68-78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.25501 PMID: 31066941
- [67] Bekris LM, Yu CE, Bird TD, Tsuang DW. Genetics of Alzheimer disease. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 2010; 23(4): 213-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0891988710383571 PMID: 21045163
- [68] Goldman JS, Hahn SE, Catania JW, et al. Genetic counseling and testing for Alzheimer disease: joint practice guidelines of the American College of Medical Genetics and the National Society of Genetic Counselors. Genet Med 2011; 13(6): 597-605. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/GIM.0b013e31821d69b8 PMID: 21577118
- [69] Snyder HM, Bain LJ, Brickman AM, et al. Further understanding the connection between Alzheimer's disease and Down syndrome. Alzheimers Dement 2020; 16(7): 1065-77. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/alz.12112 PMID: 32544310
- [70] Green RC, Cupples LA, Go R, *et al.* Risk of dementia among white and African American relatives of patients with Alzheimer disease. JAMA 2002; 287(3): 329-36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.287.3.329 PMID: 11790212
- [71] Lautenschlager NT, Cupples LA, Rao VS, *et al.* Risk of dementia among relatives of Alzheimer's disease patients in the MIRAGE study: What is in store for the oldest old? Neurology 1996; 46(3): 641-50.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.46.3.641 PMID: 8618660

- [72] Vermunt L, Sikkes SAM, van den Hout A, *et al.* Duration of preclinical, prodromal, and dementia stages of Alzheimer's disease in relation to age, sex, and APOE genotype. Alzheimers Dement 2019; 15(7): 888-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2019.04.001 PMID: 31164314
- [73] Samieri C, Perier MC, Gaye B, et al. Association of cardiovascular health level in older age with cognitive decline and incident dementia. JAMA 2018; 320(7): 657-64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.11499 PMID: 30140876
- [74] Anstey KJ, von Sanden C, Salim A, O'Kearney R. Smoking as a risk factor for dementia and cognitive decline: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Am J Epidemiol 2007; 166(4): 367-78. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm116 PMID: 17573335
- [75] Rusanen M, Kivipelto M, Quesenberry CP Jr, Zhou J, Whitmer RA. Heavy smoking in midlife and long-term risk of Alzheimer

disease and vascular dementia. Arch Intern Med 2011; 171(4): 333-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2010.393 PMID: 20975015

- [76] Beydoun MA, Beydoun HA, Gamaldo AA, Teel A, Zonderman AB, Wang Y. Epidemiologic studies of modifiable factors associated with cognition and dementia: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public Health 2014; 14: 643. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-643 PMID: 24962204
- [77] Ohara T, Ninomiya T, Hata J, et al. Midlife and late-life smoking and risk of dementia in the community: The hisayama study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015; 63(11): 2332-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13794 PMID: 26503243
- [78] Choi D, Choi S, Park SM. Effect of smoking cessation on the risk of dementia: A longitudinal study. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2018; 5(10): 1192-9.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/acn3.633 PMID: 30349854
- [79] Wu W, Brickman AM, Luchsinger J, et al. The brain in the age of old: the hippocampal formation is targeted differentially by diseases of late life. Ann Neurol 2008; 64(6): 698-706. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ana.21557 PMID: 19107993
- [80] Gudala K, Bansal D, Schifano F, Bhansali A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of dementia: A meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. J Diabetes Investig 2013; 4(6): 640-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jdi.12087 PMID: 24843720
- [81] Vagelatos NT, Eslick GD. Type 2 diabetes as a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease: the confounders, interactions, and neuropathology associated with this relationship. Epidemiol Rev 2013; 35: 152-60.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxs012 PMID: 23314404
 [82] Reitz C, Brayne C, Mayeux R. Epidemiology of Alzheimer disease. Nat Rev Neurol 2011; 7(3): 137-52. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2011.2 PMID: 21304480
- [83] Rönnemaa E, Zethelius B, Lannfelt L, Kilander L. Vascular risk factors and dementia: 40-year follow-up of a population-based cohort. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2011; 31(6): 460-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000330020 PMID: 21791923
- [84] Kivimäki M, Luukkonen R, Batty GD, et al. Body mass index and risk of dementia: Analysis of individual-level data from 1.3 million individuals. Alzheimers Dement 2018; 14(5): 601-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.09.016 PMID: 29169013
- [85] Loef M, Walach H. Midlife obesity and dementia: meta-analysis and adjusted forecast of dementia prevalence in the United States and China. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013; 21(1): E51-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/oby.20037 PMID: 23401370
- [86] Anstey KJ, Cherbuin N, Budge M, Young J. Body mass index in midlife and late-life as a risk factor for dementia: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Obes Rev 2011; 12(5): e426-37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00825.x PMID: 21348917
- [87] Gottesman RF, Albert MS, Alonso A, *et al.* Associations between midlife vascular risk factors and 25-year incident dementia in the atherosclerosis risk in communities (ARIC) cohort. JAMA Neurol 2017; 74(10): 1246-54.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.1658 PMID: 28783817
 [88] Abell JG, Kivimäki M, Dugravot A, *et al.* Association between systolic blood pressure and dementia in the Whitehall II cohort study: role of age, duration, and threshold used to define hypertension. Eur Heart J 2018; 39(33): 3119-25.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/curheartj/ehy288 PMID: 29901708
 [89] Ninomiya T, Ohara T, Hirakawa Y, *et al.* Midlife and late-life blood pressure and dementia in Japanese elderly: the Hisayama study. Hypertension 2011; 58(1): 22-8.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.163055 PMID: 21555680
- [90] Debette S, Seshadri S, Beiser A, et al. Midlife vascular risk factor exposure accelerates structural brain aging and cognitive decline. Neurology 2011; 77(5): 461-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318227b227 PMID: 21810696
- [91] Livingston G, Sommerlad A, Orgeta V, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. Lancet 2017; 390(10113): 2673-734. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31363-6 PMID:

28735855

[92] Solomon A, Kivipelto M, Wolozin B, Zhou J, Whitmer RA. Midlife serum cholesterol and increased risk of Alzheimer's and vascular dementia three decades later. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2009; 28(1): 75-80.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000231980 PMID: 19648749

- [93] Meng XF, Yu JT, Wang HF, et al. Midlife vascular risk factors and the risk of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Alzheimers Dis 2014; 42(4): 1295-310. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-140954 PMID: 25024338
- [94] Aisen PS, Cummings J, Jack CR Jr, et al. On the path to 2025: understanding the Alzheimer's disease continuum. Alzheimers Res Ther 2017; 9(1): 60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13195-017-0283-5 PMID: 28793924
- [95] Rehm J, Hasan OSM, Black SE, Shield KD, Schwarzinger M. Alcohol use and dementia: a systematic scoping review. Alzheimers Res Ther 2019; 11(1): 1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13195-018-0453-0 PMID: 30611304
- [96] Cherbuin N, Kim S, Anstey KJ. Dementia risk estimates associated with measures of depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2015; 5(12): e008853. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008853 PMID: 26692556
- [97] Thomson RS, Auduong P, Miller AT, Gurgel RK. Hearing loss as a risk factor for dementia: a systematic review. Laryngoscope Investig Otolaryngol 2017; 2(2): 69-79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lio2.65 PMID: 28894825
- [98] Fitzpatrick AL, Kuller LH, Lopez OL, *et al.* Midlife and late-life obesity and the risk of dementia: cardiovascular health study. Arch Neurol 2009; 66(3): 336-42.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2008.582 PMID: 19273752
- [99] Corrada MM, Hayden KM, Paganini-Hill A, et al. Age of onset of hypertension and risk of dementia in the oldest-old: The 90+ Study. Alzheimers Dement 2017; 13(2): 103-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2016.09.007 PMID: 28108119
- [100] Barnes DE, Byers AL, Gardner RC, Seal KH, Boscardin WJ, Yaffe K. Association of mild traumatic brain injury with and without loss of consciousness with dementia in US military veterans. JAMA Neurol 2018; 75(9): 1055-61.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.0815 PMID: 29801145
 [101] Asken BM, Sullan MJ, DeKosky ST, Jaffee MS, Bauer RM. Research gaps and controversies in chronic traumatic encephalopa-
- thy: A review. JAMA Neurol 2017; 74(10): 1255-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.2396 PMID: 28975240
- [102] Bernard SM, McGeehin MA. Prevalence of blood lead levels >or= 5 micro g/dL among US children 1 to 5 years of age and socioeconomic and demographic factors associated with blood of lead levels 5 to 10 micro g/dL, Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Pediatrics 2003; 112(6 Pt 1): 1308-13.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.112.6.1308 PMID: 14654602
- [103] Griffith M, Tajik M, Wing S. Patterns of agricultural pesticide use in relation to socioeconomic characteristics of the population in the rural U.S. South. Int J Health Serv 2007; 37(2): 259-77. http://dx.doi.org/10.2190/R410-7263-4811-4415 PMID: 17665723
- [104] Lin FC, Chen CY, Lin CW, Wu MT, Chen HY, Huang P. Air pollution is associated with cognitive deterioration of Alzheimer's disease. Gerontology 2021; 1-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000515162 PMID: 33882496
- [105] Younan D, Petkus AJ, Widaman KF, et al. Particulate matter and episodic memory decline mediated by early neuroanatomic biomarkers of Alzheimer's disease. Brain 2020; 143(1): 289-302. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz348 PMID: 31746986
- [106] Peters R, Ee N, Peters J, Booth A, Mudway I, Anstey KJ. Air pollution and dementia: A systematic review. J Alzheimers Dis 2019; 70(s1): S145-63.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-180631 PMID: 30775976

 Kulick ER, Wellenius GA, Boehme AK, et al. Long-term exposure to air pollution and trajectories of cognitive decline among older adults. Neurology 2020; 94(17): e1782-92. http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000009314 PMID: 32269113

- Fitzpatrick AL, Kuller LH, Ives DG, et al. Incidence and prevalence of dementia in the cardiovascular health study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52(2): 195-204. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2004.52058.x PMID: 14728627
- [109] Kukull WA, Higdon R, Bowen JD, et al. Dementia and Alzheimer disease incidence: a prospective cohort study. Arch Neurol 2002; 59(11): 1737-46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archneur.59.11.1737 PMID: 12433261
 - 10] Sanda SP Malayist S. Cannon A. at al. Pick reducing affect of a
- [110] Sando SB, Melquist S, Cannon A, et al. Risk-reducing effect of education in Alzheimer's disease. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2008; 23(11): 1156-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/gps.2043 PMID: 18484674
- [111] Stern Y. Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. Lancet Neurol 2012; 11(11): 1006-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70191-6 PMID: 23079557
- [112] Hendrie HC, Smith-Gamble V, Lane KA, Purnell C, Clark DO, Gao S. The association of early life factors and declining incidence rates of dementia in an elderly population of African Americans. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2018; 73 (Suppl. 1): S82-9.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbx143 PMID: 29669098

- [113] Stern Y, Arenaza-Urquijo EM, Bartrés-Faz D, et al. Whitepaper: Defining and investigating cognitive reserve, brain reserve, and brain maintenance. Alzheimers Dement 2020; 16(9): 1305-11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.07.219 PMID: 30222945
- Menke A, Casagrande S, Geiss L, Cowie CC. Prevalence of and trends in diabetes among adults in the United States, 1988-2012. JAMA 2015; 314(10): 1021-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.10029 PMID: 26348752
- [115] Sims M, Diez Roux AV, Boykin S, et al. The socioeconomic gradient of diabetes prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control among African Americans in the jackson heart study. Ann Epidemiol 2011; 21(12): 892-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2011.05.006 PMID:
- 21737303
 [116] Lee TC, Glynn RJ, Peña JM, *et al.* Socioeconomic status and incident type 2 diabetes mellitus: data from the Women's Health Study. PLoS One 2011; 6(12): e27670.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027670 PMID: 22194788

- [117] Gillespie CD, Hurvitz KA. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Prevalence of hypertension and controlled hypertension - United States, 2007-2010. MMWR Suppl 2013; 62(3): 144-8.
 - PMID: 24264505
- [118] Durazzo TC, Mattsson N, Weiner MW. Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative. Smoking and increased Alzheimer's disease risk: A review of potential mechanisms. Alzheimers Dement 2014; 10(3): S122-45.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.009 PMID: 24924665

- [119] Haenisch B, von Holt K, Wiese B, et al. Risk of dementia in elderly patients with the use of proton pump inhibitors. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2015; 265(5): 419-28.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00406-014-0554-0 PMID: 25341874
 [120] Kuller LH. Do proton pump inhibitors increase the risk of dementia? JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(4): 379-81.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.4931 PMID: 26882528 [121] Wise J. Proton pump inhibitors may be linked to dementia risk.
- BMJ 2016; 352: 1972. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i972 PMID: 26888541
- [122] Zuercher S. Développement des démences: les inhibiteurs de la pompe à protons impliqués? Rev Med Suisse 2016; 12(513): 716. PMID: 27197331
- [123] Waldum HL. Proton pump inhibitors and gastric cancer. Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 2016; 136(1): 13-4.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.4045/tidsskr.15.1032 PMID: 26757651 [124] Venci JV, Eisenberg KW. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1026.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1500 PMID: 27322351 [125] Freeman WD. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JA-MA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1026-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1503 PMID: 27322437

- [126] Sharma K, Minagar A, Sun H. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1027. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1959 PMID: 27322567
- [127] Nguyen L, Hur C. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1027-8.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1962 PMID: 27322752[128]Keller DL. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JA-
- MA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1025. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1488 PMID: 27323287
- [129] Kawada T. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JA-MA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1025-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1491 PMID: 27323321
- [130] Huat TJ, Camats-Perna J, Newcombe EA, Valmas N, Kitazawa M, Medeiros R. Metal toxicity links to Alzheimer's disease and neuroinflammation. J Mol Biol 2019; 431(9): 1843-68. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2019.01.018 PMID: 30664867
- [131] Wang Z, Wei X, Yang J, et al. Chronic exposure to aluminum and risk of Alzheimer's disease: A meta-analysis. Neurosci Lett 2016; 610: 200-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2015.11.014 PMID: 26592479

 [132] Wijarnpreecha K, Thongprayoon C, Panjawatanan P, Ungprasert P. Proton pump inhibitors and risk of dementia. Ann Transl Med

- 2016; 4(12): 240. http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2016.06.14 PMID: 27429966
- [133] Badiola N, Alcalde V, Pujol A, *et al.* The proton-pump inhibitor lansoprazole enhances amyloid beta production. PLoS One 2013; 8(3): e58837.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058837 PMID: 23520537
 [134] Kumar R, Kumar A, Nordberg A, Långström B, Darreh-Shori T. Proton pump inhibitors act with unprecedented potencies as inhibitors of the acetylcholine biosynthesizing enzyme-A plausible missing link for their association with incidence of dementia. Alzheimers Dement 2020; 16(7): 1031-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/alz.12113 PMID: 32383816
- [135] Ortiz-Guerrero G, Amador-Muñoz D, Calderón-Ospina CA, López-Fuentes D, Nava Mesa MO. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia: physiopathological mechanisms and clinical consequences. Neural Plast 2018; 2018: 5257285. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2018/5257285 PMID: 29755512
- [136] Booker A, Jacob LE, Rapp M, Bohlken J, Kostev K. Risk factors for dementia diagnosis in German primary care practices. Int Psychogeriatr 2016; 28(7): 1059-65. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1041610215002082 PMID: 26744954
- [137] Taipale H, Tolppanen AM, Tiihonen M, Tanskanen A, Tiihonen J, Hartikainen S. No association between proton pump inhibitor use and risk of Alzheimer's disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112(12): 1802-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2017.196 PMID: 28695906
- [138] Lochhead P, Hagan K, Joshi AD, *et al.* Association between proton pump inhibitor use and cognitive function in women. Gastroenterology 2017; 153(4): 971-979.e4.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2017.06.061 PMID: 28728964
 [139] Gray SL, Walker RL, Dublin S, *et al.* Proton pump inhibitor use and dementia risk: Prospective population-based study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2018; 66(2): 247-53.
 - http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15073 PMID: 29134629
- [140] Moayyedi P, Lewis MA. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia: Deciphering the data. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112(12): 1809-11.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2017.415 PMID: 29215629

- [141] Batchelor R, Gilmartin JF, Kemp W, Hopper I, Liew D. Dementia, cognitive impairment and proton pump inhibitor therapy: A systematic review. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 32(8): 1426-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jgh.13750 PMID: 28128476
- [142] Imfeld P, Bodmer M, Jick SS, Meier CR. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of developing Alzheimer's disease or vascular dementia: A case-control analysis. Drug Saf 2018; 41(12): 1387-96. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40264-018-0704-9 PMID: 30146658
- [143] Hwang IC, Chang J, Park SM. A nationwide population-based cohort study of dementia risk among acid suppressant users. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2018; 26(11): 1175-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2018.06.002 PMID: 30072307
- [144] Cooksey R, Kennedy J, Dennis MS, et al. Proton pump inhibitors

and dementia risk: Evidence from a cohort study using linked routinely collected national health data in Wales, UK. PLoS One 2020; 15(9): e0237676.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237676 PMID: 32946449

- [145] Torres-Bondia F, Dakterzada F, Galván L, et al. Proton pump inhibitors and the risk of Alzheimer's disease and non-Alzheimer's dementias. Sci Rep 2020; 10(1): 21046.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-78199-0 PMID: 33273636 [146] Collin BG, Raju D, Altman J, Katsikas S. The effects of proton
- pump inhibitors on neuropsychological functioning. Appl Neuropsychol Adult 2021; 1-10. (Online ahead of print). http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23279095.2021.1883019 PMID: 33651951
- [147] Ahn N, Frenzel S, Wittfeld K, et al. Lack of association between proton pump inhibitor use and brain aging: a cross-sectional study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2021; 77(7): 1039-48. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00228-020-03068-8 PMID: 33442768
- [148] Li M, Luo Z, Yu S, Tang Z. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of dementia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019; 98(7): e14422.
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000014422 PMID: 30762748
- [149] Desai M, Nutalapati V, Srinivasan S, et al. Proton pump inhibitors do not increase the risk of dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies. Dis Esophagus 2020; 33(10): doaa041.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/dote/doaa041 PMID: 32476013

[150] Khan MA, Yuan Y, Iqbal U, et al. No association linking shortterm proton pump inhibitor use to dementia: Systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Am J Gastroenterol 2020; 115(5): 671-8.

http://dx.doi.org/10.14309/ajg.000000000000000 PMID: 31895707

- [151] Song YQ, Li Y, Zhang SL, Gao J, Feng SY. Proton pump inhibitor use does not increase dementia and Alzheimer's disease risk: An updated meta-analysis of published studies involving 642305 patients. PLoS One 2019; 14(7): e0219213.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219213 PMID: 31265473
 Zhang Y, Liang M, Sun C, *et al.* Proton pump inhibitors use and dementia risk: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2020; 76(2): 139-47.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00228-019-02753-7 PMID: 31748819
 Zhang Y, Zhan J, Bao Q, Lu J, Tan L. Possible dementia risk of proton pump inhibitors and H2 receptor blockers use in the treatment of Helicobacter pylori: A meta-analysis study. Med Hypotheses 2020; 144: 109989.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109989 PMID: 32563971
 [154] Thunell J, Chen Y, Joyce G, *et al.* Drug therapies for chronic conditions and risk of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias: A scoping review. Alzheimers Dement 2021; 17(1): 41-8.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/alz.12175 PMID: 33090701 [155] Chen LY, Lin HJ, Wu WT, *et al.* Clinical use of acid suppressants and risk of dementia in the elderly: A pharmaco-epidemiological cohort study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020; 17(21): E8271.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17218271 PMID: 33182362

[156] Wu CL, Lei WY, Wang JS, Lin CE, Chen CL, Wen SH. Acid suppressants use and the risk of dementia: A population-based propensity score-matched cohort study. PLoS One 2020; 15(11): e0242975.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242975 PMID: 33253311

- [157] Tai SY, Chien CY, Wu DC, et al. Risk of dementia from proton pump inhibitor use in Asian population: A nationwide cohort study in Taiwan. PLoS One 2017; 12(2): e0171006. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171006 PMID: 28199356
- [158] Herghelegui AM, Prada GI, Nacu R. Prolonged use of proton pump inhibitors and cognitive function in older adults. Farmacia 2016; (64): 262-7.
- [159] Bebarta VS, King JA, McDonough M. Proton pump inhibitor-induced rhabdomyolysis and hyponatremic delirium. Am J Emerg Med 2008; 26(4): 519 e1-2. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2007.08.026
- [160] Delgado MG, Calleja S, Suarez L, Pascual J. Recurrent confusion-

al episodes associated with hypomagnesaemia due to esomeprazol. BMJ Case Rep 2013; 2013: bcr2013200501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2013-200501

[161] Pasina L, Zanotta D, Puricelli S, Bonoldi G. Acute neurological symptoms secondary to hypomagnesemia induced by proton pump inhibitors: a case series. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2016; 72(5): 641-3.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00228-016-2024-2 PMID: 26874835

[162] Fujii S, Tanimukai H, Kashiwagi Y. Comparison and analysis of delirium induced by histamine h(2) receptor antagonists and proton pump inhibitors in cancer patients. Case Rep Oncol 2012; 5(2): 409-12.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000341873 PMID: 22949902

- [163] Otremba I, Wilczyński K, Szewieczek J. Delirium in the geriatric unit: proton-pump inhibitors and other risk factors. Clin Interv Aging 2016; 11: 397-405. PMID: 27103793
- [164] Ide K, Matsuoka N, Kawakami K. Is the use of proton-pump inhibitors a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease? molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. Curr Med Chem 2018; 25(18): 2166-74. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867325666180129101049 PMID:

29376492
[165] Selkoe DJ, Hardy J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease at 25 years. EMBO Mol Med 2016; 8(6): 595-608.

http://dx.doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201606210 PMID: 27025652

- [166] Hashioka S, Klegeris A, McGeer PL. Proton pump inhibitors reduce interferon-γ-induced neurotoxicity and STAT3 phosphorylation of human astrocytes. Glia 2011; 59(5): 833-40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/glia.21157 PMID: 21360757
- [167] Hashioka S, Klegeris A, McGeer PL. Proton pump inhibitors exert anti-inflammatory effects and decrease human microglial and monocytic THP-1 cell neurotoxicity. Exp Neurol 2009; 217(1): 177-83. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.02.002 PMID:
- 19232345
 [168] Hashioka S, Klegeris A, Schwab C, McGeer PL. Interferon-gamma-dependent cytotoxic activation of human astrocytes and astrocytoma cells. Neurobiol Aging 2009; 30(12): 1924-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2008.02.019 PMID: 18375019
- [169] Courtney R, Landreth GE. LXR regulation of brain cholesterol: From development to disease. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2016; 27(6): 404-14.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2016.03.018 PMID: 27113081

- [170] Yamazaki Y, Zhao N, Caulfield TR, Liu CC, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: pathobiology and targeting strategies. Nat Rev Neurol 2019; 15(9): 501-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0228-7 PMID: 31367008
- [171] Cronican AA, Fitz NF, Pham T, et al. Proton pump inhibitor lansoprazole is a nuclear liver X receptor agonist. Biochem Pharmacol 2010; 79(9): 1310-6.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2009.12.018 PMID: 20060385

[172] Xu P, Li D, Tang X, et al. LXR agonists: new potential therapeutic drug for neurodegenerative diseases. Mol Neurobiol 2013; 48(3): 715-28.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12035-013-8461-3 PMID: 23625315 [173] Skerrett R, Pellegrino MP, Casali BT, Taraboanta L, Landreth

- [173] Skerrett R, Pellegrino MP, Casali BT, Taraboanta L, Landreth GE. Combined liver X receptor/peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ agonist treatment reduces amyloid β levels and improves behavior in amyloid precursor protein/presenilin 1 mice. J Biol Chem 2015; 290(35): 21591-602. http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.652008 PMID: 26163517
- [174] Sun Y, Fan J, Zhu Z, et al. Small molecule TBTC as a new selective retinoid X receptor α agonist improves behavioral deficit in Alzheimer's disease model mice. Eur J Pharmacol 2015; 762: 202-13.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2015.05.050 PMID: 26026644

[175] Aicardi G. New hope from an old drug: fighting Alzheimer's disease with the cancer drug bexarotene (targretin)? Rejuvenation Res 2013; 16(6): 524-8.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/rej.2013.1497 PMID: 24047423

[176] Bomben V, Holth J, Reed J, Cramer P, Landreth G, Noebels J.

Bexarotene reduces network excitability in models of Alzheimer's disease and epilepsy. Neurobiol Aging 2014; 35(9): 2091-5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.03.029 PMID: 24767949

- [177] Muñoz-Cabrera JM, Sandoval-Hernández AG, Niño A, et al. Bexarotene therapy ameliorates behavioral deficits and induces functional and molecular changes in very-old Triple Transgenic Mice model of Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 2019; 14(10): e0223578.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223578 PMID: 31596896
- [178] Pierrot N, Lhommel R, Quenon L, et al. Targretin improves cognitive and biological markers in a patient with Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2016; 49(2): 271-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3233/JAD-150405 PMID: 26444777
- [179] Cramer PE, Cirrito JR, Wesson DW, et al. ApoE-directed therapeutics rapidly clear β-amyloid and reverse deficits in AD mouse models. Science 2012; 335(6075): 1503-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1217697 PMID: 22323736
- [180] Bartzokis G. Inter-species glia differences: Implications for successful translation of transgenic rodent Alzheimer's disease model treatment using bexarotene. J Prev Alzheimers Dis 2014; 1(1): 46-50.
 PMID: 29261220
- [181] Mandrekar-Colucci S, Karlo JC, Landreth GE. Mechanisms under-

lying the rapid peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- γ -mediated amyloid clearance and reversal of cognitive deficits in a murine model of Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 2012; 32(30): 10117-28.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5268-11.2012 PMID: 22836247

- [182] Mandrekar-Colucci S, Landreth GE. Nuclear receptors as therapeutic targets for Alzheimer's disease. Expert Opin Ther Targets 2011; 15(9): 1085-97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/14728222.2011.594043 PMID: 21718217
- [183] Waldum HL, Martinsen TC. Proton pump inhibitors and dementia incidence. JAMA Neurol 2016; 73(8): 1026. http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1497 PMID: 27322028
- [184] Koster KP, Smith C, Valencia-Olvera AC, Thatcher GR, Tai LM, LaDu MJ. Rexinoids as therapeutics for Alzheimer's disease: role of APOE. Curr Top Med Chem 2017; 17(6): 708-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1568026616666160617090227 PMID: 27320328
- [185] Dharmarajan TS. The use and misuse of proton pump inhibitors: an opportunity for deprescribing. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2021; 22(1): 15-22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2020.09.046 PMID: 33321078