In Brief

Hyperglycemia in the hospital setting affects 38–46% of noncritically ill hospitalized patients. Evidence from observational studies indicates that inpatient hyperglycemia, in patients with and without diabetes, is associated with increased risks of complications and mortality. Substantial evidence indicates that correction of hyperglycemia through insulin administration reduces hospital complications and mortality in critically ill patients, as well as in general medicine and surgery patients. This article provides a review of the evidence on the different therapies available for hyperglycemia management in noncritically ill hospitalized patients.

Pharmacotherapy for Hyperglycemia in Noncritically Ill Hospitalized Patients

Carlos E. Mendez, MD, and Guillermo E. Umpierrez, MD

Recent studies and meta-analyses have shown that intensive insulin therapy is associated with an increased risk of hypoglycemia,¹⁻³ which has been independently associated with increased morbidity and mortality in hospitalized patients.⁴ Thus, although insulin therapy is recommended for hyperglycemia management in hospitalized patients,^{5,6} concerns about hypoglycemia have led to the search for alternative treatment options such as incretin-based therapy.^{7,8} Incretin agents stimulate insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner; thus, the risk of hypoglycemia is minimal when used as monotherapy. In addition, incretin therapy may result in metabolic and cardiovascular benefits, including reduced inflammation and oxidative stress.9,10

Substantial evidence indicates that correction of hyperglycemia through insulin administration reduces hospital complications and mortality in critically ill patients, as well as in general medicine and surgery patients.^{5,11-15} Animal and human studies have shown multiple beneficial effects of insulin administration during acute stress and illness.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Insulin administration results in a rapid, dose-dependent reduction in endogenous (hepatic) glucose production, improvement of insulin resistance, enhancement of energy delivery to peripheral tissues, and normalization of endothelium-dependent vasodilation.^{19,20} In addition, insulin has potent anti-inflammatory properties, inhibiting production of tumor necro-

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

sis factor-alpha (TNF- α), reactive oxygen species (ROS), intracellular adhesion molecule-1 in macrophages and leukocytes, and suppression of the proinflammatory nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B).^{16,21}

This article provides a review of the evidence on the different therapies available for hyperglycemia management in noncritically ill hospitalized patients.

Insulin Therapy in the Hospital

The positive effects of insulin administration include correction of hyperglycemia, as well as antiinflammatory, vasodilatory, and antioxidant effects and inhibition of lipolysis and platelet aggregation.^{16–18,21} Hyperglycemia is associated with impaired leukocyte function, including decreased phagocytosis, impaired bacterial killing, and chemotaxis, which can increase the number of hospital infections.²² Hyperglycemia has also been shown to impair collagen synthesis and to impair wound-healing in patients with poorly controlled diabetes.²³ In addition, acute hyperglycemia results in NF-kB activation and production of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α ; interleukin-6 (IL-6); plasminogen activator inhibitior-1, which causes increased vascular permeability; and leukocyte and platelet activation resulting in an inflammatory and pro-thrombotic state.²⁴ Several studies have reported rapid improvement in high levels of inflammatory and oxidative stress markers after insulin administration and correction of hyperglycemia, although these levels remained higher than those of control subjects without diabetes.²⁵

No single insulin regimen meets the needs of all patients with hyperglycemia. Scheduled subcutaneous insulin therapy with basal or intermediateacting insulin given once or twice per day in combination with shortor rapid-acting insulin administered before meals is the preferred strategy for glycemic management in noncritically ill patients.^{5,26} Subcutaneous insulin regimens should address the three components of a patient's total insulin requirement: basal (what is required in the fasting state), nutritional (what is required to dispose of glucose contained in nutrition), and correctional or supplemental (what is required to correct for glucose elevations caused by changes in insulin requirements).²⁶

The practice of discontinuing oral diabetes medications and/or existing insulin therapy and starting sliding-scale insulin (SSI) results in undesirable levels of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia.^{27,28} SSI insulin regimens involve the administration of regular or rapid-acting insulin before meals or every 4-6 hours if patients are on NPO (nothing by mouth) status to correct hyperglycemia. Although straightforward and easy to use, SSI regimens are fraught with challenges, including inadequate coverage of glycemic excursions and insulin stacking (accumulation of insulin in subcutaneous tissues).²⁹ We previously reported the results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter trial comparing the efficacy and safety of a basal-bolus insulin regimen to that of SSI in inpatients with type 2 diabetes admitted to general medicine and surgery wards.³⁰ We found that, among 130 insulinnaive patients with an admission blood glucose level between 140 and 400 mg/dl, the use of a basal-bolus insulin regimen led to greater improvement in blood glucose control than SSI alone. A blood glucose target of < 140 mg/dl was achieved in 66% of patients in the glargine-plus-glulisine basal-bolus group and 38% of the SSI group. One-fifth of patients treated with an SSI protocol without a basal component had persistently elevated blood glucose levels > 240 mg/dl during their hospital stay. The incidence of hypoglycemia, defined in this study as a blood glucose level < 60 mg/dl,

was low (3%) and was not different between groups.

In general surgery patients, the **RABBIT 2 Surgery (Randomized** Study of Basal Bolus Insulin Therapy in the Inpatient Management of Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Undergoing General Surgery) trial¹² compared the efficacy and safety of a basal-bolus regimen to that of SSI in 211 patients with type 2 diabetes. Study outcomes included differences in daily glucose levels and a composite of postoperative complications, including wound infection, pneumonia, respiratory failure, acute renal failure, and bacteremia. Patients were randomized to receive a basal-bolus regimen with glargine once daily and glulisine before meals at a starting dose of 0.5 units/kg or to receive SSI four times daily for glucose > 140 mg/dl. The basal-bolus regimen resulted in significant improvement in glucose control and a significant reduction in the frequency of the composite of hospital complications. The results of these trials indicate that a basalbolus regimen is preferred to SSI and results in improved glycemic control and lower rates of hospital complications in general medical and surgical inpatients with type 2 diabetes.

The DEAN (Insulin Detemir Versus NPH Insulin in Hospitalized Patients With Diabetes) trial, an open-label, controlled, multicenter trial, randomly assigned 130 medical patients with type 2 diabetes to receive either detemir once daily and aspart before meals or NPH and regular insulin twice daily.³¹ Both regimens resulted in significant improvements in inpatient glycemic control, with a glucose target of < 140 mg/dl before meals achieved in 45% in the detemir/ aspart group and in 48% of NPH/ regular insulin group. Hypoglycemia (< 60 mg/dl) was observed in approximately one-fourth of patients treated with detemir/aspart and NPH/regular insulin during the hospital stay. There was no difference in length of hospital stay or mortality between groups. Thus, a similar improvement in glycemic control can be achieved with basal-bolus therapy with detemir/ aspart or with NPH/regular insulin in general medicine patients with type 2 diabetes.

In insulin-naive patients, initial total daily insulin doses have varied widely in different protocols from 0.3 to 1.5 units/kg/day.^{30,32-34} However,

only doses of 0.4 and 0.5 units/kg/day have been studied prospectively.12,30 A case-control analysis of 1,990 patients with diabetes reported that insulin doses > 0.6 units/kg/day were associated with higher odds of hypoglycemia than doses < 0.2 units/kg/day, independent of the types of insulin used.³⁵ In addition, higher rates of hypoglycemia have been seen with increasing age and in patients with impaired renal function. A recent, randomized trial compared the efficacy of basalbolus regimens at 0.5 and 0.25 units/ kg/day in patients with diabetes with moderate renal failure and a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) < 45 ml/min. There were no differences in mean daily glucose concentration, but those in the higher-dose insulin group had twice the rate of hypoglycemia (30 vs. 15.8%) during their hospital stay.³⁶ Thus, lower initial daily doses (0.25-0.3 units/kg/day) should be used in patients with moderate renal failure who are at risk of hypoglycemia.

The recently reported Basal Plus trial³⁷ recruited 375 patients with type 2 diabetes treated with diet, oral antidiabetic agents, or low-dose insulin (≤ 0.4 unit/kg/day) to receive a basal-bolus regimen with glargine once daily and glulisine before meals, a "basal-plus" regimen with glargine once daily and supplemental doses of glulisine for correction of hyperglycemia (> 140 mg/dl) per a sliding scale, and SSI. This trial reported that the basal-plus regimen resulted in improvements in glycemic control and frequency of hypoglycemia that were similar to those of the standard basalbolus regimen. In addition, treatment with basal-bolus and basal-plus regimens resulted in fewer treatment failures than treatment with SSI. Thus, in insulin-naive patients or in those receiving low-dose insulin on admission (< 0.4 units/kg/day), as well as in patients with reduced oral intake, a basal-plus regimen is an effective alternative to basal-bolus insulin. Patients with persistent hyperglycemia or with regular caloric intake could be moved up from basal-plus to a basal-bolus regimen if needed.

Nonglycemic Effects of Insulin

Hyperglycemia in the hospital setting affects 38–46% of noncritically ill hospitalized patients.^{38,39} Evidence from observational studies, including our own, indicates that hospital hyperglycemia in patients with and without diabetes is associated with increased risks of complications and mortality, longer hospital stays, higher admission rates to the intensive care unit (ICU), and a greater need for transitional or nursing home care after hospital discharge^{38,40,41} The mechanisms implicated in the detrimental effects of hyperglycemia during acute illness are not completely understood. Current evidence indicates that hyperglycemia results in impaired neutrophil granulocyte function, overproduction of ROS, circulating free fatty acids, inflammatory mediators that can result in direct cellular damage, and vascular and immune dysfunction.³²

Several intervention studies and meta-analyses have reported that intensive insulin therapy, defined as the use of intravenous (IV) insulin infusion protocols to achieve strict glycemic control, improves clinical outcomes in surgical ICU patients but not in medical or mixed ICU settings.² A recent meta-analysis of seven randomized, controlled studies found that intensive insulin therapy during or after cardiac surgery reduced mortality in the ICU, postsurgical atrial fibrillation, use of epicardial pacing, duration of mechanical ventilation, and length of ICU stay.42 Recent studies of mediators of inflammation have also shown that insulin exerts anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, and anti-atherogenic effects.43 Insulin counteracts many of the detrimental effects of hyperglycemia, including free radical formation, oxidative stress, apoptotic cell death, and increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines.44 Additionally, insulin has been shown to increase cardiac contractility and coronary vasodilation and to preserve endothelial function.45 The antioxidant and antiinflammatory effects of insulin are mediated through a number of pathways. Insulin inhibits NF-kB, which is at the center of many proinflammatory pathways, thereby affecting a range of downstream events. Inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinase suppresses IL-6 signaling, whereas inhibition of c-Jun N-terminal kinase mediates inflammatory processes downstream of TNF-a. TNF-a itself is suppressed via phosphatidyl-inositol 3-kinase-AKT-mediated activation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase.43 Taken together, these findings suggest a cardiac-specific mechanism that may contribute to improved clinical outcomes in insulin-treated patients with hyperglycemia.

Inpatient Hypoglycemia With Insulin Therapy

Although insulin therapy is the standard of care in hospitals, it is a source of medication errors and increased risk of hypoglycemia. An analysis of medication errors between 2006 and 2008 revealed that insulin was the drug with the greatest number of medication errors in hospitals.⁴⁶ Hypoglycemia in the hospital has been associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes such as prolonged QT intervals, ischemic electrocardiogram changes/angina, arrhythmias, sudden death, and increased inflammation.47,48 In addition, insulin-induced hypoglycemia is associated with increases in C-reactive protein and proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , interleukin-1β, IL-6, and interleukin-8), markers of lipid peroxidation, ROS, and leukocytosis.49,50

In non-ICU settings, hypoglycemia has been associated with increased lengths of hospital stay, greater costs of hospitalization, and higher mortality both during hospitalization and after discharge.^{51,52} Observational studies have demonstrated a J-shaped relationship between inpatient glycemic control and mortality, with increased risk of death at both the high and low extremes of dysglycemia.53 Most of these studies reported that inpatient mortality risk is greater in patients with spontaneous hypoglycemia than in those with insulin-associated hypoglycemia.53-55 This suggests that spontaneous hypoglycemia may be a marker of disease burden instead of a direct cause of mortality.

Use of Oral Agents in the Hospital Setting

The use of oral antidiabetic agents is not recommended in hospitals because few data are available regarding their safety and efficacy in the inpatient setting. Major limitations to the use of oral agents in the hospital include their side effect profiles and slow onset of action, which does not allow for rapid attainment of glycemic control or dose adjustments to meet the changing needs of acutely ill patients.^{5,6} Table 1 depicts the advantages and disadvantages of available antidiabetic drugs compared to insulin therapy for the inpatient management of hyperglycemia in noncritical care settings.

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

Metformin therapy

Metformin is the most commonly prescribed glucose-lowering agent for the outpatient treatment of type 2 diabetes.56 Despite a lack of randomized studies on its safety and efficacy in hospitals, metformin is also commonly used in the inpatient setting, 57,58 and it is estimated that up to onefourth of hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes in the United States are treated with metformin, even in the presence of contraindications.⁵⁹ Metformin exerts its antidiabetic effect by suppressing excessive hepatic glucose production through a reduction in gluconeogenesis.⁶⁰ Metformin might also increase glucose utilization in peripheral tissues and possibly reduces food intake and intestinal glucose absorption, resulting in weight loss.⁶¹ Because metformin does not stimulate endogenous insulin secretion, it does not cause hypoglycemia when used as monotherapy.⁶² Gastrointestinal discomfort in the form of abdominal pain, flatulence, and diarrhea occurs in $\sim 25\%$ of patients taking metformin.63

Metformin has been reported to increase the risk of lactic acidosis. The estimated rate of lactic acidosis in patients receiving metformin is of 2-5 cases per 100,000 patient-years, which is similar to that reported for patients with diabetes who do not take metformin.64,65 A 2010 Cochrane systematic review reported no increase in the number of cases of lactic acidosis in ambulatory patients across 347 clinical trials with 70,490 patientyears of metformin use.58 Patients with diabetes, however, are at increased risk of developing lactic acidosis if safety guidelines are ignored and if the drug is continued in the presence of contraindications.⁶⁶ Impaired kidney function, decompensated heart failure, hypoxemia, alcoholism, cirrhosis, contrast dye exposure, sepsis, and shock are associated with an increased risk of lactic acidosis and are absolute contraindications to the use of metformin therapy.58,67 The importance of adhering to safety recommendations for metformin was illustrated by Pasquel et al.,⁶⁶ who recently reported a series of cases of metformin-induced lactic acidosis when the drug was prescribed in the hospital despite the presence of contraindications.

Insulin secretagogues (sulfonylureas and glinides)

Sulfonylureas are the second most commonly prescribed antidiabetic agents in patients with type 2 diabetes. Insulin secretagogues exert their antidiabetic effect by stimulating endogenous insulin production.⁶⁷ The insulinotropic effect of these medications is exerted through binding to a receptor-like structure in the β -cell surface and closing of the ATPdependent potassium channels in the β -cell membrane.^{68,69} This results in depolarization of the cell, influx of calcium, and subsequent stimulation of insulin secretion.⁷⁰ In the outpatient setting, sulfonylureas have proven to be effective in improving glucose control, with an A1C reduction of 1–2 percentage points, and in reducing microvascular complications.^{71,72} However, sulfonylureas increase the risk of hypoglycemia, especially in elderly patients and in those with impaired renal function or poor oral intake.⁷⁰ In addition, there is concern that sulfonylureas may worsen cardiac

and cerebral ischemia^{73,74} by inhibiting ATP-sensitive potassium channels, resulting in cell membrane depolarization and increased intracellular calcium concentration.⁷⁵

Use of sulfonylureas in the inpatient setting is discouraged because of the potential risk of hypoglycemia secondary to long duration of action and variable meal availability in the inpatient setting.^{5,6} Results of a nested case-control study composed of hospitalized patients who received a sulfonylurea as part of their inpa-

Hyperglycemia in Noncritical Care Settings		
Therapy	Advantages	Disadvantages
 Insulin: Basal insulin: glargine, detemir, NPH Prandial (bolus) insulin: aspart, lispro, glulisine, human regular insulin 	 Good glucose-lowering effect Anti-inflammatory, vasodilatory, and antioxidant effects Inhibition of lipolysis and platelet aggregation Reduced risk of postoperative complications 	 Increased risk of hypoglycemia Common source of hospital errors Subcutaneous injections Need for glucose monitoring
Metformin	 Good glucose-lowering effect Oral route Low cost No hypoglycemia 	 Risk of lactic acidosis in patients with impaired kidney function, heart failure, hypoxemia, alcoholism, cirrhosis, contrast exposure, sepsis, and shock Gastrointestinal side effects
 Insulin secretagogues: Sulfonylureas: glyburide, glibenclamide, glipizide, gliclazide, and glimepiride Glinides: repaglinide and nateglinide 	Good glucose-lowering effectLow costOral route	 Risk of hypoglycemia Significant drug-to-drug interactions Risk of cardiovascular events
Thiazolidinediones: pioglitazone	Good glucose-lowering effectOral routeNo hypoglycemia	 Slow onset of action Contraindicated in patients with heart failure, hemodynamic insta- bility, and hepatic dysfunction
Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors: canaglifozin and dapaglifozin	Modest glucose-lowering effectOral routeNo hypoglycemia	Increased risk of urinary and genital tract infectionsRisk of dehydration
α-Glucosidase inhibitors: acarbose and miglitol	Mild glucose-lowering effectOral routeNo hypoglycemia	 Gastrointestinal side effects Contraindicated in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, partial bowel obstruction, or severe renal or hepatic disease
Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists: exenatide and liraglutide	Good glucose-lowering effectNo hypoglycemiaReduction of insulin requirement	 Subcutaneous injections Gastrointestinal side effects Decreased appetite and weight loss Concern regarding acute pancreatitis
Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: sitagliptin, saxaglitpin, linagliptin, and alogliptin	Moderate glucose-lowering effectOral routeNo hypoglycemia	Concern regarding acute pancreatitis

Table 1. Advantages and Disadvantages of Antidiabetic Drugs for the Inpatient Management of Hyperglycemia in Noncritical Care Settings

tient regimen reported a prevalence of hypoglycemia in 130 of 692 (19%) of patients.⁷⁶ Hypoglycemia was highest with the use of glyburide (22%), followed by glimepiride (19%), and glipizide (16%), especially in patients > 65 years of age and with a GFR < 30 ml/min.⁷⁶

Significant drug-to-drug interactions have also been described with the use of sulfonylureas in hospitalized patients. The use of sulfonylureas in patients receiving fluoroquinolone antibiotics increases the risk of hypoglycemia.⁷⁷ Additionally, drugs that inhibit hepatic cytochrome CYP2C9, including metronidazole, fluconazole, amiodarone, miconazole, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole, valproate, and gemfibrozil, can also exaggerate the effect of sulfonylureas, thereby increasing the risk of hypoglycemia.⁷⁸

Glinides available in the United States include repaglinide and nateglinide. Repaglinide and nateglinide enhance β -cell insulin production but differ from sulfonylureas in receptor affinity, binding sites, and absorption and elimination rates.79 These differences result in faster onset and shorter duration of action, offering the advantage of better postprandial insulin response.⁸⁰ A retrospective review of hospitalized patients treated with repaglinide or nateglinide indicated a rate of hypoglycemia of 7%, similar to that reported with insulin therapy in the inpatient setting.⁸¹ These agents are not recommended in the inpatient setting because of the potential risk of hypoglycemia and lack of randomized trials evaluating their safety and efficacy in the inpatient management of hyperglycemia.

Thiazolidinedione therapy

Pioglitazone is the only thiazolidinedione (TZD) widely available for clinical use in the United States. Pioglitazone is an insulin sensitizer and exerts its glucose-lowering effect through direct activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y.⁸² TZDs are highly effective in improving glycemic control, with an average A1C reduction of 1-2 percentage points.83 However, the time needed to reach its maximal antihyperglycemic effect can be up to 12 weeks, which makes it a less attractive agent for inpatient management of diabetes and hyperglycemia.⁵ In addition, TZD therapy is associated with fluid retention leading to edema, especially when used in combination with insulin, and worsening heart failure in some individuals.^{32,84} TZDs are contraindicated in patients with congestive heart failure, hemodynamic instability, or evidence of hepatic dysfunction.⁶⁷

Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors

Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors are a new class of oral antidiabetic medications that increase urinary glucose excretion by reducing renal glucose reabsorption in the proximal convoluted tubules.85 Canaglifozin and dapaglifozin are the two available drugs approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for management of type 2 diabetes.^{86,87} Both agents are effective in reducing A1C by ~ 0.6-0.8%, with a low risk of hypoglycemia.88 The use of SGLT-2 inhibitors, however, has been associated with an increased risk of urinary and genital tract infections compared to placebo (odds ratio 1.34-3.50, respectively).88 In addition, because of their glycosuric effects, dehydration and hypotension have been reported.89 The potential side effects of SGLT-2 inhibitors make their use less attractive for inpatient management.

α -Glucosidase inhibitors

Acarbose and miglitol, both α -glucosidase inhibitors (AGIs), decrease blood glucose by delaying the breakdown of carbohydrates in the gut and thus slowing the absorption of sugars. Although AGIs can significantly reduce postprandial glucose excursions with a low risk of hypoglycemia, the increased delivery of carbohydrates to the colon commonly results in increased gas production and gastrointestinal symptoms.⁹⁰ These agents are not recommended for use in the inpatient setting because of their mild antihyperglycemic effect, with most reports showing an A1C reduction of ~ 0.5% and minimal reduction in fasting plasma glucose.83 AGIs are contraindicated in patients with gastrointestinal diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease, partial bowel obstruction, or severe renal or hepatic disease. In addition, intolerance to these agents is common and affects 25-45% of patients.83

Incretin-based therapies

Two distinct classes of incretin-based therapies are available in the United

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

States: glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists (i.e., exenatide and liraglutide) and the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors (i.e., sitagliptin, saxagliptin, alogliptin, and linagliptin).⁶⁷ The benefits and potential risks of incretin-based therapies in hospitalized patients have been reviewed elsewhere.^{7,8,91,92} Although agents in both classes are well tolerated, the oral administration of DPP-4 inhibitors (as opposed to injectable GLP-1 receptor agonists) might be preferred for patients who are able to take oral medications. The efficacy and safety of incretin-based therapies in hospitalized patients has not been fully determined. However, the potential metabolic and cardiovascular benefits and the low risk of hypoglycemia of these agents make them an attractive possibility for inpatient management of diabetes.

In the hospital setting, the use of native GLP-1 infusion has been shown effective in improving the glycemic response after meals or enteral nutrition similar to insulin administration and also reduces exogenous insulin requirements.⁹³⁻⁹⁷ The perioperative administration of exenatide has been shown to improve glucose levels in patients with diabetes undergoing general surgery.⁹⁸ In addition, exenatide effectively lowered glucose levels when given as an IV infusion for 48 hours to patients with diabetes who were admitted to a cardiac ICU.99 Moreover, the infusion of native GLP-1 has been reported to improve left ventricular function and cardiac functional status in patients with severe heart failure.100 The fact that these beneficial effects occurred in patients with or without diabetes supports a GLP-1 effect on the heart independent of glycemic control. GLP-1 receptor agonist therapy, however, is frequently associated with gastrointestinal side effects and decreased food intake, which could be undesirable in most hospitalized patients. In addition, there is an increased risk of hypoglycemia when these agents are used with insulin.

A recently published, randomized pilot study assessed the safety and efficacy of the DPP-4 inhibitor sitagliptin for the inpatient management of type 2 diabetes.¹⁰¹ In this trial, patients treated with diet, oral antidiabetic agents, or a low daily insulin dose (≤ 0.4 units/kg/day) were randomized to sitagliptin alone or in combination with low-dose insulin glargine or to a basal-bolus insulin regimen plus supplemental doses of insulin lispro. Glycemic control improved similarly in all treatment groups. It should be noted that most patients with an admission glucose < 180 mg/dl who were treated with sitagliptin plus correction doses of rapid-acting insulin responded as well as those treated with a basal-bolus insulin regimen. However, patients with an admission glucose > 180 mg/dl treated with sitagliptin alone had a higher mean daily blood glucose $(182.7 \pm 30 \text{ mg/dl})$ compared with patients treated with basal-bolus (168.1 \pm 31 mg/dl) and sitagliptin plus glargine (161.8 \pm 31 mg/dl) (P = 0.08).

Improving glycemic control while decreasing glycemic variability may be another advantage of using incretin-based therapies in the hospital. There is substantial evidence linking high glycemic variability with adverse outcomes in critically ill patients¹⁰²⁻¹⁰⁴ and with increased lengths of stay and mortality rates in noncritically ill hospitalized patients.¹⁰⁵ Incretin therapies are known to reduce glucose fluctuations and glycemic variability measures,^{99,106} but the potential benefits of these agents on glycemic variability has yet to be determined in the hospital setting.

Increased risk of acute pancreatitis, including fatal and nonfatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis has been reported with incretin therapies.107-111 Although post-marketing reports of these occurrences are exceedingly rare, caution should be used in patients with a history of pancreatitis, abdominal pain, or postsurgical ileus. Additionally, increases of 2-5 bpm in heart rate have been observed in hospitalized patients with cardiovascular disease receiving GLP-1 receptor agonist therapy.^{100,112} Although clinical trials have found no associations between adverse cardiovascular events and the use of GLP-1 receptor agonists¹¹³ or DPP-4 inhibitors,¹¹⁴ long-term studies are needed. Two prospective outpatient cardiovascular outcome trials recently reported that patients treatment with alogliptin or saxagliptin had no increased risk of ischemic events,115,116 although rates of hospitalization for heart failure were increased with saxagliptin therapy.^{115,116}

Hospital Discharge Considerations

To avoid medication errors and hospital readmissions, preparation for transition to the outpatient setting should begin at the time of hospital admission.¹¹⁷ Based on a recent A1C, for patients previously well controlled (A1C < 7%) on oral medications, it is reasonable to resume their previous regimen at the time of discharge. However, patients with higher A1C values will often require intensification of their outpatient regimen or continuation of the inpatient insulin regimen.⁵

Patients newly started on insulin therapy during hospitalization will require substantial diabetes education before discharge. Although American Diabetes Association guidelines recommend that patients receive "survival skills" education to ensure safe care upon returning home,¹¹⁸ it is unknown whether this actually occurs and whether patients who do receive this training are able to apply it after discharge. There is limited information about follow-up of patients with diabetes after discharge, and there have been virtually no studies on methods to improve the transition of care from the inpatient to the outpatient setting. Nevertheless, current recommendations encourage that patients and their family or caregivers receive both written and oral instructions regarding their diabetes management regimen at the time of hospital discharge.⁵ In addition, clear communication with outpatient providers is crucial for ensuring a safe and successful transition to outpatient glycemic management.⁶

Conclusions

Inpatient hyperglycemia is common and associated with increased risks of complications in patients with or without diabetes. Correction of hyperglycemia with insulin administration has been shown to improve clinical outcomes,^{5,6} and existing clinical evidence favors insulin over other antidiabetic agents in achieving and maintaining glycemic control in hospitalized patients. The basal-bolus approach has been shown to improve glycemic control and reduce perioperative complications in general medicine and surgery patients with diabetes.12,30 Hypoglycemia is the most frequent side effect and the limiting factor for achieving glycemic control in the inpatient setting. Although, recent studies have reported an association between hypoglycemia and increased hospital mortality, it remains unclear whether hypoglycemia is a direct mediator of

adverse outcomes and mortality or merely a biomarker of disease burden and severity.

Increasing preliminary data indicate that incretin-based therapies have the potential to improve glycemic control with a low risk of hypoglycemia. However, more prospective studies are needed to determine the safety and efficacy of these agents in the hospital setting. The remaining available antidiabetic drugs have a limited role in the hospital because of their potential for serious adverse side effects, including the risk of lactic acidosis with metformin, hypoglycemia with sulfonylureas and glinides, edema and heart failure with pioglitazone, and dehydration and urinary and genital infections with the SGLT-2 inhibitors.

References

¹Brunkhorst FM, Engel C, Bloos F, Meier-Hellmann A, Ragaller M, Weiler N, Moerer O, Gruendling M, Oppert M, Grond S, Olthoff D, Jaschinski U, John S, Rossaint R, Welte T, Schaefer M, Kern P, Kuhnt E, Kiehntopf M, Hartog C, Natanson C, Loeffler M, Reinhart K; German Competence Network Sepsis (SepNet): Intensive insulin therapy and pentastarch resuscitation in severe sepsis. N Engl J Med 358:125-139, 2008

²Griesdale DE, de Souza RJ, van Dam RM, Heyland DK, Cook DJ, Malhotra A, Dhaliwal R, Henderson WR, Chittock DR, Finfer S, Talmor D: Intensive insulin therapy and mortality among critically ill patients: a meta-analysis including NICE-SUGAR study data. CMAJ 180:821-827, 2009

³Preiser JC, Devos P, Ruiz-Santana S, Melot C, Annane D, Groeneveld J, Iapichino G, Leverve X, Nitenberg G, Singer P, Wernerman J, Joannidis M, Stecher A, Chiolero R: A prospective randomised multi-centre controlled trial on tight glucose control by intensive insulin therapy in adult intensive care units: the Glucontrol study. *Intensive Care Med* 35:1738-1748, 2009

⁴NICE-SUGAR Study Investigators, Finfer S, Chittock DR, Su SY, Blair D, Foster D, Dhingra V, Bellomo R, Cook D, Dodek P, Henderson WR, Hebert PC, Heritier S, Heyland DK, McArthur C, McDonald E, Mitchell I, Myburgh JA, Norton R, Potter J, Robinson BG, Ronco JJ: Intensive versus conventional glucose control in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 360:1283-1297, 2009

⁵Umpierrez GE, Hellman R, Korytkowski MT, Kosiborod M, Maynard GA, Montori VM, Seley JJ, van den Berghe G, Endocrine Society: Management of hyperglycemia in hospitalized patients in noncritical care setting: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97:16-38, 2012

⁶Moghissi ES, Korytkowski MT, DiNardo M, Einhorn D, Hellman R, Hirsch IB, Inzucchi SE, Ismail-Beigi F, Kirkman MS, Umpierrez GE; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American Diabetes Association: American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American Diabetes Association consensus statement on inpatient glycemic control. Diabetes Care 32:1119-1131, 2009

7Schwartz S, DeFronzo RA: Is incretin-based therapy ready for the care of hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes? The time has come for GLP-1 receptor agonists! Diabetes Care 36:2107-2111, $201\bar{3}$

⁸Umpierrez GE, Korytkowski M: Is incretin-based therapy ready for the care of hospitalized patients with type 2 diabetes? Insulin therapy has proven itself and is considered the mainstay of treatment. *Diabetes Care* 36:2112–2117, 2013

⁹Rizzo MR, Barbieri M, Marfella R, Paolisso G: Reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation by blunting daily acute glucose fluctuations in patients with type 2 diabetes: role of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibition. *Diabetes Care* 35:2076–2082, 2012

¹⁰Makdissi A, Chaudhuri A, Kuhadiya N, Batra M, Dandona P: Comment on: Rizzo et al. Reduction of oxidative stress and inflammation by blunting daily acute glucose fluctuations in patients with type 2 diabetes: role of dipeptidyl peptidase-IV inhibition. *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:2076-2082. *Diabetes Care* 36:e80, 2013

¹¹Furnary AP, Gao G, Grunkemeier GL, Wu Y, Zerr KJ, Bookin SO, Floten HS, Starr A: Continuous insulin infusion reduces mortality in patients with diabetes undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 125:1007–1021, 2003

¹²Umpierrez GE, Smiley D, Jacobs S, Peng L, Temponi A, Mulligan P, Umpierrez D, Newton C, Olson D, Rizzo M: Randomized study of basalbolus insulin therapy in the inpatient management of patients with type 2 diabetes undergoing general surgery (RABBIT 2 Surgery). *Diabetes Care* 34:256–261, 2011

¹³van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, Verwaest C, Bruyninckx F, Schetz M, Vlasselaers D, Ferdinande P, Lauwers P, Bouillon R: Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 345:1359–1367, 2001

¹⁴van den Berghe G, Wilmer A, Hermans G, Meersseman W, Wouters PJ, Milants I, Van Wijngaerden E, Bobbaers H, Bouillon R: Intensive insulin therapy in the medical ICU. *N Engl J Med* 354:449–461, 2006

¹⁵McAlister FA, Majumdar SR, Blitz S, Rowe BH, Romney J, Marrie TJ: The relation between hyperglycemia and outcomes in 2,471 patients admitted to the hospital with community-acquired pneumonia. *Diabetes Care* 28:810–815, 2005

¹⁶Dandona P, Aljada A, Mohanty P, Ghanim H, Hamouda W, Assian E, Ahmad S: Insulin inhibits intranuclear nuclear factor kappaB and stimulates IkappaB in mononuclear cells in obese subjects: evidence for an anti-inflammatory effect? J Clin Endocrinol Metab 86:3257–3265, 2001

¹⁷Chaudhuri A, Janicke D, Wilson MF, Tripathy D, Garg R, Bandyopadhyay A, Calieri J, Hoffmeyer D, Syed T, Ghanim H, Aljada A, Dandona P: Antiinflammatory and profibrinolytic effect of insulin in acute ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 109:849–854, 2004

¹⁸Cheung NW, Wong VW, McLean M: The Hyperglycemia: Intensive Insulin Infusion in Infarction (HI-5) study: a randomized controlled trial of insulin infusion therapy for myocardial infarction. *Diabetes Care* 29:765–770, 2006

¹⁹Corssmit EP, Romijn JA, Sauerwein HP: Regulation of glucose production with special attention to nonclassical regulatory mechanisms: a review. *Metabolism* 50:742–755, 2001

²⁰Rizza RA, Mandarino LJ, Gerich JE: Doseresponse characteristics for effects of insulin on production and utilization of glucose in man. *Am J Physiol* 240:E630–E639, 1981

²¹Langouche L, Vanhorebeek I, Vlasselaers D, Vander Perre S, Wouters PJ, Skogstrand K, Hansen TK, van den Berghe G: Intensive insulin therapy protects the endothelium of critically ill patients. *J Clin Invest* 115:2277–2286, 2005

²²Bagdade JD, Root RK, Bulger RJ: Impaired leukocyte function in patients with poorly controlled diabetes. *Diabetes* 23:9–15, 1974 ²³Edwards FH, Grover FL, Shroyer AL, Schwartz M, Bero J: The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Cardiac Surgery Database: current risk assessment. Ann Thorac Surg 63:903–908, 1997

²⁴Garg R, Chaudhuri A, Munschauer F, Dandona P: Hyperglycemia, insulin, and acute ischemic stroke: a mechanistic justification for a trial of insulin infusion therapy. *Stroke* 37:267–273, 2006

²⁵Stentz FB, Umpierrez GE, Cuervo R, Kitabchi AE: Proinflammatory cytokines, markers of cardiovascular risks, oxidative stress, and lipid peroxidation in patients with hyperglycemic crises. *Diabetes* 53:2079–2086, 2004

²⁶King AB, Armstrong DU: Basal bolus dosing: a clinical experience. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 1:215–220, 2005

²⁷Umpierrez G, Maynard G: Glycemic chaos (not glycemic control) still the rule for inpatient care: how do we stop the insanity? *J Hosp Med* 1:141–144, 2006

²⁸Hirsch IB: Sliding scale insulin: time to stop sliding. JAMA 301:213–214, 2009

²⁹Umpierrez GE, Palacio A, Smiley D: Sliding scale insulin use: myth or insanity? *Am J Med* 120:563– 567, 2007

³⁰Umpierrez GE, Smiley D, Zisman A, Prieto LM, Palacio A, Ceron M, Puig A, Mejia R: Randomized study of basal-bolus insulin therapy in the inpatient management of patients with type 2 diabetes (RABBIT 2 trial). *Diabetes Care* 30:2181–2186, 2007

³¹Umpierrez GE, Hor T, Smiley D, Temponi A, Umpierrez D, Ceron M, Munoz C, Newton C, Peng L, Baldwin D: Comparison of inpatient insulin regimens with detemir plus aspart versus neutral protamine hagedorn plus regular in medical patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 94:564–569, 2009

³²Clement S, Braithwaite SS, Magee MF, Ahmann A, Smith EP, Schafer RG, Hirsch IB; American Diabetes Association Diabetes in Hospitals Writing Committee: Management of diabetes and hyperglycemia in hospitals. *Diabetes Care* 27:553–591, 2004

³³Maynard G, Lee J, Phillips G, Fink E, Renvall M: Improved inpatient use of basal insulin, reduced hypoglycemia, and improved glycemic control: effect of structured subcutaneous insulin orders and an insulin management algorithm. *J Hosp Med* 4:3–15, 2009

³⁴Pietras SM, Hanrahan P, Arnold LM, Sternthal E, McDonnell ME: State-of-the-art inpatient diabetes care: the evolution of an academic hospital. *Endocr Pract* 16:512–521, 2010

³⁵Rubin DJ, Rybin D, Doros G, McDonnell ME: Weight-based, insulin dose-related hypoglycemia in hospitalized patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 34:1723–1728, 2011

³⁶Baldwin D, Zander J, Munoz C, Raghu P, DeLange-Hudec S, Lee H, Emanuele MA, Glossop V, Smallwood K, Molitch M: A randomized trial of two weight-based doses of insulin glargine and glulisine in hospitalized subjects with type 2 diabetes and renal insufficiency. *Diabetes Care* 35:1970–1974, 2012

³⁷Umpierrez GE, Smiley D, Hermayer K, Khan A, Olson DE, Newton C, Jacobs S, Rizzo M, Peng L, Reyes D, Pinzon I, Fereira ME, Hunt V, Gore A, Toyoshima MT, Fonseca VA: Randomized study comparing a basal-bolus with a basal plus correction insulin regimen for the hospital management of medical and surgical patients with type 2 diabetes: Basal Plus trial. *Diabetes Care* 36:2169–2174, 2013

³⁸Umpierrez GE, Isaacs SD, Bazargan N, You X, Thaler LM, Kitabchi AE: Hyperglycemia: an independent marker of in-hospital mortality in patients with undiagnosed diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87:978–982, 2002

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

³⁹Cook CB, Kongable GL, Potter DJ, Abad VJ, Leija DE, Anderson M: Inpatient glucose control: a glycemic survey of 126 U.S. hospitals. *J Hosp Med* 4:E7–E14, 2009

⁴⁰Falciglia M, Freyberg RW, Almenoff PL, D'Alessio DA, Render ML: Hyperglycemia-related mortality in critically ill patients varies with admission diagnosis. *Crit Care Med* 37:3001–3009, 2009

⁴¹Kitabchi AE, Freire AX, Umpierrez GE: Evidence for strict inpatient blood glucose control: time to revise glycemic goals in hospitalized patients. *Metabolism* 57:116–120, 2008

⁴²Haga KK, McClymont KL, Clarke S, Grounds RS, Ng KY, Glyde DW, Loveless RJ, Carter GH, Alston RP: The effect of tight glycaemic control, during and after cardiac surgery, on patient mortality and morbidity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cardiothorac Surg 6:3, 2001

⁴³Dandona P, Mohanty P, Chaudhuri A, Garg R, Aljada A: Insulin infusion in acute illness. *J Clin Invest* 115:2069–2072, 2005

⁴⁴Aljada A, Ghanim H, Saadeh R, Dandona P: Insulin inhibits NFkappaB and MCP-1 expression in human aortic endothelial cells. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:450–453, 2001

⁴⁵Ng KW, Allen ML, Desai A, Macrae D, Pathan N: Cardioprotective effects of insulin: how intensive insulin therapy may benefit cardiac surgery patients. *Circulation* 125:721–728, 2012

⁴⁶Rashidee A, Hart J, Chen J, Kumar S: High-alert medications: error prevalence and severity. *Patient Safety & Quality Healthcare* July–August 2009

⁴⁷Gill GV, Woodward A, Casson IF, Weston PJ: Cardiac arrhythmia and nocturnal hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetes: the 'dead in bed' syndrome revisited. *Diabetologia* 52:42–45, 2009

⁴⁸Desouza C, Salazar H, Cheong B, Murgo J, Fonseca V: Association of hypoglycemia and cardiac ischemia: a study based on continuous monitoring. *Diabetes Care* 26:1485–1489, 2003

⁴⁹Desouza CV, Bolli GB, Fonseca V: Hypoglycemia, diabetes, and cardiovascular events. *Diabetes Care* 33:1389–1394, 2010

⁵⁰Razavi Nematollahi L, Kitabchi AE, Stentz FB, Wan JY, Larijani BA, Tehrani MM, Gozashti MH, Omidfar K, Taheri E: Proinflammatory cytokines in response to insulin-induced hypoglycemic stress in healthy subjects. *Metabolism* 58:443–448, 2009

⁵¹Turchin A, Matheny ME, Shubina M, Scanlon JV, Greenwood B, Pendergrass ML: Hypoglycemia and clinical outcomes in patients with diabetes hospitalized in the general ward. *Diabetes Care* 32:1153–1157, 2009

⁵²Curkendall SM, Natoli JL, Alexander CM, Nathanson BH, Haidar T, Dubois RW: Economic and clinical impact of inpatient diabetic hypoglycemia. *Endocr Pract* 15:302–312, 2009

⁵³Boucai L, Southern WN, Zonszein J: Hypoglycemia-associated mortality is not drugassociated but linked to comorbidities. *Am J Med* 124:1028–1035, 2011

⁵⁴Kosiborod M, Inzucchi SE, Krumholz HM, Masoudi FA, Goyal A, Xiao L, Jones PG, Fiske S, Spertus JA: Glucose normalization and outcomes in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med* 169:438–446, 2009

⁵⁵Garg R, Hurwitz S, Turchin A, Trivedi A: Hypoglycemia, with or without insulin therapy, is associated with increased mortality among hospitalized patients. *Diabetes Care* 36:1107–1110, 2013

⁵⁶Diamant M: Choosing a blood-glucose-lowering agent after metformin. *Lancet* 379:2220–2221, 2012

⁵⁷Lipska KJ, Bailey CJ, Inzucchi SE: Use of metformin in the setting of mild-to-moderate renal insufficiency. *Diabetes Care* 34:1431–1437, 2011 ⁵⁸Salpeter SR, Greyber E, Pasternak GA, Salpeter Posthumous EE: Risk of fatal and nonfatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1:CD002967, 2010

⁵⁹Kosmalski M, Drozdowska A, Sliwinska A, Drzewoski J: Inappropriate metformin prescribing in elderly type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients. Adv Med Sci 57:65–70, 2012

⁶⁰Hundal RS, Krssak M, Dufour S, Laurent D, Lebon V, Chandramouli V, Inzucchi SE, Schumann WC, Petersen KF, Landau BR, Shulman GI: Mechanism by which metformin reduces glucose production in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 49:2063– 2069, 2000

⁶¹Aronne LJ: Therapeutic options for modifying cardiometabolic risk factors. *Am J Med* 120 (3 Suppl. 1):S26–S34, 2007

⁶²Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR: Metformin: an update. *Ann Intern Med* 137:25–33, 2002

⁶³Rojas LB, Gomes MB: Metformin: an old but still the best treatment for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetol Metab Syndr* 5:6, 2013

⁶⁴Brown JB, Pedula K, Barzilay J, Herson MK, Latare P: Lactic acidosis rates in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 21:1659–1663, 1998

⁶⁵Cryer DR, Nicholas SP, Henry DH, Mills DJ, Stadel BV: Comparative outcomes study of metformin intervention versus conventional approach the COSMIC approach study. *Diabetes Care* 28:539–543, 2005

⁶⁶Pasquel FJ, Klein R, Adigweme A, Hinedi Z, Coralli R, Pimentel JL, Umpierrez GE: Metformin-associated lactic acidosis. *Am J Med Sci.* 2013. Epub ahead of print (doi: 10.1097/ MAJ.0b013e3182a562b7)

⁶⁷Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, Diamant M, Ferrannini E, Nauck M, Peters AL, Tsapas A, Wender R, Matthews DR, American Diabetes Association (ADA), European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD): Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach: position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care* 35:1364–1379, 2012

⁶⁸Sturgess NC, Ashford ML, Cook DL, Hales CN: The sulphonylurea receptor may be an ATPsensitive potassium channel. *Lancet* 2:474–475, 1985

⁶⁹Boyd AE 3rd: Sulfonylurea receptors, ion channels, and fruit flies. *Diabetes* 37:847–850, 1988

⁷⁰Groop LC: Sulfonylureas in NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 15:737–754, 1992

⁷¹Bolen S, Feldman L, Vassy J, Wilson L, Yeh HC, Marinopoulos S, Wiley C, Selvin E, Wilson R, Bass EB, Brancati FL: Systematic review: comparative effectiveness and safety of oral medications for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Ann Intern Med* 147:386–399, 2007

⁷²U.K. Prospective Study Group: Intensive blood glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 352:837–853, 1998

⁷³Meinert CL, Knatterud GL, Prout TE, Klimt CR: A study of the effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adultonset diabetes. II. Mortality results. *Diabetes* 19 (Suppl.):789–830, 1970

⁷⁴Brady PA, Terzic A: The sulfonylurea controversy: more questions from the heart. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 31:950–956, 1998

⁷⁵Ashcroft FM, Rorsman P: K(ATP) channels and islet hormone secretion: new insights and controversies. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 9:660–669, 2013

⁷⁶Deusenberry CM, Coley KC, Korytkowski MT, Donihi AC: Hypoglycemia in hospitalized patients treated with sulfonylureas. *Pharmacotherapy* 32:613–617, 2012

⁷⁷Mohr JF, McKinnon PS, Peymann PJ, Kenton I, Septimus E, Okhuysen PC: A retrospective, comparative evaluation of dysglycemias in hospitalized patients receiving gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, or ceftriaxone. *Pharmacotherapy* 25:1303–1309, 2005

⁷⁸Tirkkonen T, Heikkila P, Huupponen R, Laine K: Potential CYP2C9-mediated drug-drug interactions in hospitalized type 2 diabetes mellitus patients treated with the sulphonylureas glibenclamide, glimepiride or glipizide. *J Intern Med* 268:359–366, 2010

⁷⁹Melander A: Kinetics-effect relations of insulinreleasing drugs in patients with type 2 diabetes: brief overview. *Diabetes* 53 (Suppl. 3):S151–S155, 2004

⁸⁰Dornhorst A: Insulinotropic meglitinide analogues. *Lancet* 358:1709–1716, 2001

⁸¹Varghese P, Gleason V, Sorokin R, Senholzi C, Jabbour S, Gottlieb JE: Hypoglycemia in hospitalized patients treated with antihyperglycemic agents. *J Hosp Med* 2:234–240, 2007

⁸²Ahmadian M, Suh JM, Hah N, Liddle C, Atkins AR, Downes M, Evans RM: PPARgamma signaling and metabolism: the good, the bad and the future. *Nat Med* 19:557–566, 2013

⁸³Nathan DM, Buse JB, Davidson MB, Ferrannini E, Holman RR, Sherwin R, Zinman B; American Diabetes Association, European Association for Study of Diabetes: Medical management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a consensus algorithm for the initiation and adjustment of therapy: a consensus statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 32:193–203, 2009

⁸⁴Mudaliar S, Chang AR, Henry RR: Thiazolidinediones, peripheral edema, and type 2 diabetes: incidence, pathophysiology, and clinical implications. *Endocr Pract* 9:406–416, 2003

⁸⁵Ferrannini E, Solini A: SGLT2 inhibition in diabetes mellitus: rationale and clinical prospects. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 8:495–502, 2012

⁸⁶U.S. Food and Drug Administration: FDA approves Invokana to treat type 2 diabetes. Available from http://www.fda.gov/newsevents/ newsroom/pressannouncements/ucm345848.htm. Accessed 10 February 2014

⁸⁷U.S. Food and Drug Administration: FDA approves Farxiga to treat type 2 diabetes. Available from http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/ PressAnnouncements/ucm380829.htm. Accessed 10 February 2014

⁸⁸Vasilakou D, Karagiannis T, Athanasiadou E, Mainou M, Liakos A, Bekiari E, Sarigianni M, Matthews DR, Tsapas A: Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 159:262–274, 2013

⁸⁹Komoroski B, Vachharajani N, Boulton D, Kornhauser D, Geraldes M, Li L, Pfister M: Dapagliflozin, a novel SGLT2 inhibitor, induces dose-dependent glucosuria in healthy subjects. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 85:520–526, 2009

⁹⁰Derosa G, Maffioli P: Efficacy and safety profile evaluation of acarbose alone and in association with other antidiabetic drugs: a systematic review. *Clin Ther* 34:1221–1236, 2012

⁹¹Butler PC, Elashoff M, Elashoff R, Gale EA: A critical analysis of the clinical use of incretin-based therapies: are the GLP-1 therapies safe? *Diabetes Care* 36:2118–2125, 2013

⁹²Nauck MA: A critical analysis of the clinical use of incretin-based therapies: the benefits by

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

far outweigh the potential risks. *Diabetes Care* 36:2126–2132, 2013

⁹³Deane AM, Chapman MJ, Fraser RJ, Burgstad CM, Besanko LK, Horowitz M: The effect of exogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 on the glycaemic response to small intestinal nutrient in the critically ill: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled cross over study. *Crit Care* 13:R67, 2009

⁹⁴Meier JJ, Weyhe D, Michaely M, Senkal M, Zumtobel V, Nauck MA, Holst JJ, Schmidt WE, Gallwitz B: Intravenous glucagon-like peptide 1 normalizes blood glucose after major surgery in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Crit Care Med* 32:848–851, 2004

⁹⁵Mussig K, Oncu A, Lindauer P, Heininger A, Aebert H, Unertl K, Ziemer G, Haring HU, Holst JJ, Gallwitz B: Effects of intravenous glucagon-like peptide-1 on glucose control and hemodynamics after coronary artery bypass surgery in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Am J Cardiol* 102:646–647, 2008

⁹⁶Sourij H, Schmolzer I, Kettler-Schmut E, Eder M, Pressl H, Decampo A, Wascher TC: Efficacy of a continuous GLP-1 infusion compared with a structured insulin infusion protocol to reach normoglycemia in nonfasted type 2 diabetic patients: a clinical pilot trial. *Diabetes Care* 32:1669–1671, 2009

⁹⁷Ritzel RA, Kleine N, Holst JJ, Willms B, Schmiegel W, Nauck MA: Preserved GLP-1 effects in a diabetic patient with Cushing's disease. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 115:146–150, 2007

⁹⁸Kohl BA, Hammond MS, Cucchiara AJ, Ochroch EA: Intravenous GLP-1 (7-36) amide for prevention of hyperglycemia during cardiac surgery: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2013. Epub ahead of print (doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2013.06.021)

⁹⁹Abuannadi M, Kosiborod M, Riggs L, House JA, Hamburg MS, Kennedy KF, Marso SP: Management of hyperglycemia with the administration of intravenous exenatide to patients in the cardiac intensive care unit. *Endocr Pract* 19:81–90, 2013

¹⁰⁰Sokos GG, Nikolaidis LA, Mankad S, Elahi D, Shannon RP: Glucagon-like peptide-1 infusion improves left ventricular ejection fraction and functional status in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Card Fail* 12:694–699, 2006

¹⁰¹Umpierrez GE, Gianchandani R, Smiley D, Jacobs S, Wesorick DH, Newton C, Farrokhi F, Peng L, Reyes D, Lathkar-Pradhan S, Pasquel F: Safety and efficacy of siragliptin therapy for the inpatient management of general medicine and surgery patients with type 2 diabetes: a pilot, randomized, controlled study. *Diabetes Care* 36:3430–3435, 2013

¹⁰²Krinsley JS: Glycemic variability: a strong independent predictor of mortality in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 36:3008–3013, 2008

¹⁰³Su G, Mi SH, Tao H, Li Z, Yang HX, Zheng H, Zhou Y, Tian L: Impact of admission glycemic variability, glucose, and glycosylated hemoglobin on major adverse cardiac events after acute myocardial infarction. *Diabetes Care* 36:1026–1032, 2013

¹⁰⁴Farrokhi F, Chandra P, Smiley D, Pasquel FJ, Peng L, Newton CA, Umpierrez GE: Glucose variability is an independent predictor of mortality in hospitalized patients treated with total parenteral nutrition. *Endocr Pract* 20:41–45, 2014

¹⁰⁵Mendez CE, Mok KT, Ata A, Tanenberg RJ, Calles-Escandon J, Umpierrez GE: Increased glycemic variability is independently associated with length of stay and mortality in noncritically ill hospitalized patients. *Diabetes Care* 36:4091–4097, 2013

¹⁰⁶Galiatsatos P, Gibson BR, Rabiee A, Carlson O, Egan JM, Shannon RP, Andersen DK, Elahi D: The glucoregulatory benefits of glucagon-like peptide-1 (7-36) amide infusion during intensive insulin therapy in critically ill surgical patients: a pilot study. *Crit Care Med* 42:638–645, 2014

¹⁰⁷Merck: Januvia (sitagliptin) tablets prescribing information. Available from http://www.merck. com/product/usa/pi_circulars/j/januvia/januvia_ pi.pdf. Accessed 13 February 2014

¹⁰⁸Novartis Pharmaceuticals: GALVUS (vildagliptin). Available from http://www.novartis.com. au/PI_PDF/gal.pdf. Accessed 13 February 2014

¹⁰⁹Amylin Pharmaceuticals: Byetta (exenatide) prescribing information. Available from http:// packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_byetta.pdf. Accessed 13 February 2014

¹¹⁰Novo Nordisk: Victoza (liraglutide) rDNA injection prescribing information. Available from http://www.novo-pi.com/victoza.pdf. Accessed 13 February 2014

¹¹¹Bristol-Myers Squibb: Onglyza (saxagliptin) prescribing information. Available from http://packageinserts.bms.com/pi/pi_onglyza.pdf. Accessed 13 February 2014

¹¹²Halbirk M, Norrelund H, Moller N, Holst JJ, Schmitz O, Nielsen R, Nielsen-Kudsk JE, Nielsen SS, Nielsen TT, Eiskjaer H, Botker HE, Wiggers H: Cardiovascular and metabolic effects of 48-h glucagon-like peptide-1 infusion in compensated chronic patients with heart failure. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 298:H1096–H1102, 2010

¹¹³Monami M, Cremasco F, Lamanna C, Colombi C, Desideri CM, Iacomelli I, Marchionni N, Mannucci E: Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Exp Diabetes Res* 2011:215764 (doi: 10.1155/2011/215764)

¹¹⁴Monami M, Dicembrini I, Martelli D, Mannucci E: Safety of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Curr Med Res Opin* 27 (Suppl. 3):57–64, 2011

¹¹⁵Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, Steg PG, Davidson J, Hirshberg B, Ohman P, Frederich R, Wiviott SD, Hoffman EB, Cavender MA, Udell JA, Desai NR, Mosenzon O, McGuire DK, Ray KK, Leiter LA, Raz I, SAVOR-TIMI 53 Steering Committee and Investigators: Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 369:1317–1326, 2013

¹¹⁶White WB, Pratley R, Fleck P, Munsaka M, Hisada M, Wilson C, Menon V: Cardiovascular safety of the dipetidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 15:668–673, 2013

¹¹⁷Schnipper JL, Magee M, Larsen K, Inzucchi SE, Maynard G; Society of Hospital Medicine Glycemic Control Task Force: Society of hospital medicine glycemic control task force summary: practical recommendations for assessing the impact of glycemic control efforts. *J Hosp Med* 3 (Suppl. 5):66–75, 2008

¹¹⁸American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care in diabetes—2013. *Diabetes Care* 36 (Suppl. 1):S11–S66, 2013

Carlos E. Mendez, MD, is the director of the diabetes management program in the Department of Medicine at the Stratton Veteran Affairs Medical Center in Albany, N.Y. Guillermo E. Umpierrez, MD, is the section chair of diabetes and endocrinology in the Department of Medicine at Emory University in Atlanta, Ga.

© 2014 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0 for details.

In Brief

This article describes a diabetes champion program in its fifth year of operation. This educational intervention was designed to increase direct diabetes patient education and has grown into a vehicle for improving quality of care and patient safety and reducing gaps in the transitions of care.

Diabetes Champions: Culture Change Through Education

Donna L. Jornsay, BSN, CPNP, CDE, and E. Dessa Garnett, MSN, FNP, CDE There are currently 25.8 million people in the United States with diabetes, or 8.3% of the population, and an additional 79 million Americans with prediabetes.¹ Diabetes selfmanagement education is essential, yet not enough patients receive it.² A recent report by the New York State Health Foundation found that, despite this growing epidemic, there are only 17,000 certified diabetes educators (CDEs) nationwide, 600 of whom work in the state of New York,

Diabetes Spectrum Volume 27, Number 3, 2014

and the majority (68%) of whom provide < 26 hours/week of direct patient education.³

On inpatient hospital units, nearly 50% of admitted patients have diabetes as a primary or secondary diagnosis.⁴ The Long Island Jewish Medical Center (LIJ) is a 600-bed, urban, academic teaching hospital with a similar percentage of patients with diabetes and only two full-time CDEs designated to educate these patients. LIJ is located in Queens