Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/csbj



Research Article

Shifts in the microbial community and metabolome in rumen ecological niches during antler growth



Songze Li^{a,b}, Ruina Mu^b, Yuhang Zhu^b, Fei Zhao^b, Qiang Qiu^c, Huazhe Si^{b,d,e}, André-Denis G. Wright^f, Zhipeng Li^{a,b,d,e,*,1}

^a Joint International Research Laboratory of Modern Agricultural Technology, Ministry of Education, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China

^b College of Animal Science and Technology, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China

^c School of Ecology and Environment, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710100, China

^d Key Lab of Animal Production, Product Quality and Security, Ministry of Education, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China

e Jilin Provincial Engineering Research Center for Efficient Breeding and Product Development of Sika Deer, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China

^f School of Biological Sciences, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Antler growth Rumen ecological niches microbiota Butyrate y-aminobutyrate

ABSTRACT

Antlers are hallmark organ of deer, exhibiting a relatively high growth rate among mammals, and requiring large amounts of nutrients to meet its development. The rumen microbiota plays key roles in nutrient metabolism. However, changes in the microbiota and metabolome in the rumen during antler growth are largely unknown. We investigated rumen microbiota (liquid, solid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium) and metabolic profiles of sika deer at the early (EG), metaphase (MG) and fast growth (FG) stages. Our data showed greater concentrations of acetate and propionate in the rumens of sika deer from the MG and FG groups than in those of the EG group. However, microbial diversity decreased during antler growth, and was negatively correlated with short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) levels. Prevotella, Ruminococcus, Schaedlerella and Stenotrophomonas were the dominant bacteria in the liquid, solid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium fractions. The proportions of Stomatobaculum, Succiniclasticum, Comamonas and Anaerotruncus increased significantly in the liquid or dorsal epithelium fractions. Untargeted metabolomics analysis revealed that the metabolites also changed significantly, revealing 237 significantly different metabolites, among which the concentrations of γ -aminobutyrate and creatine increased during antler growth. Arginine and proline metabolism and alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism were enhanced. The co-occurrence network results showed that the associations between the rumen microbiota and metabolites different among the three groups. Our results revealed that the different rumen ecological niches were characterized by distinct microbiota compositions, and the production of SCFAs and the metabolism of specific amino acids were significantly changed during antler growth.

1. Introduction

A unique hallmark of ruminant animals belonging to the family Cervidae, that distinguishes these species from other ruminants, is the presence of a renewable organ, the antler [1]. It is well recognized that the growth cycle of antlers has a certain periodicity, including antler casting in early spring, rapid longitudinal bone and cartilage growth in late spring and summer, and calcification in autumn [2]. Antlers also exhibit marked similarities to human limbs, containing bone, cartilage, skin, blood vessels and nerves [3]. Importantly, antlers are the fastest growing bones in the animal kingdom, reaching a maximum growth rate of 2.75 cm/day and a mineral apposition rate of 3.2μ m/day [4]. These findings suggest that bone is the fundamental component in antler growth. Understanding the mechanisms underlying the rapid growth of

* Corresponding author at: Joint International Research Laboratory of Modern Agricultural Technology, Ministry of Education, Jilin Agricultural University, Changchun 130118, China.

Received 15 January 2024; Received in revised form 29 March 2024; Accepted 7 April 2024 Available online 12 April 2024

2001-0370/© 2024 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Research Network of Computational and Structural Biotechnology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations: SCFAs, short-chain fatty acids; ASVs, amplicon sequence variants; PCoA, principal coordinates analysis; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; PLS-DA, partial least squares-discriminant analysis; VIP, variable importance in the projection; DHMA, 3,4-dihydroxymandelic acid; GABA, γ-amino-butyrate; NANA, N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid; IAA, indoleacetic acid; H₂S, hydrogen sulfide; SRB, sulfate-reducing bacteria.

E-mail addresses: zhplicaas@163.com, zhipengli@jlau.edu.cn (Z. Li).

¹ ORCID: 0000-0001-8474-045X

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2024.04.018

deer antlers is not only fascinating for bone biologists but will also improve the production of velvet antlers, which are used in traditional Chinese medicine.

The rapid growth of antlers appears to be determined by genetic factors and influenced by environmental factors. Our comparative transcriptomic analyses revealed the important roles of the Wnt pathway and epidermal growth factor receptor signaling pathway in antler growth [5]. Single-cell RNA-sequencing analyses have further revealed that antler blastema progenitor cells serve as a stem cell pool for antler growth [6]. However, with continuous and fast growth, antlers must obtain enough nutrients to support their elongation and full development. It is reported that the voluntary feed intake and growth rate of Cervidae peak in summer in summer, the period of antler growth, and reaches a minimum in winter [7]. The rumen tissue weight and moisture of the rumen digesta of wild sika deer were found to be greater in both summer and autumn, than in winter [8]. Moreover, Asano et al. reported that the number of protozoa in the rumen was higher in summer than in spring, and the molar proportions of propionate and butyrate in the rumen changed significantly according to season [9]. Furthermore, antler composition and quality are strongly influenced by dietary quantity and quality during antler growth [10,11]. These findings indicate that the microbial community in the digestive tract might also change during antler growth.

The rumen houses a complex microbial community that enables the host to utilize nutrients in feed through microbe-mediated fermentation, with the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), having a critical impact on host energy metabolism and physiology [12]. By comparing the rumen microbiota and metabolites among sika deer, elk, and their hybrids, we previously identified that critical microbial genera (e.g., Prevotella, Succinivibrio, Quinella, and Fibrobacter), metabolites (butyrate), and the associated pathways (alanine, arginine, proline and phenylalanine metabolism pathways) in the rumen are associated with the weight of deer antlers [13]. We also revealed that the production of butyrate is affected by the rumen microbiota, including Prevotella and Succinivibrionaceae, under different dietary compositions [14]. These results suggested a possible association between the rumen microbiota and antler growth. Results for other mammals showed that butyrate exerts regulatory control over bone development by activating the Wnt pathway in osteoblasts [15]. These findings led us to hypothesize that the rumen microbiota and its associated metabolites change during antler growth. Growing evidence indicates that the rumen microbiota also varies internally among ecological niches, including the solid, liquid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium microbiota [16]. The solid and liquid microbiota are involved in the degradation of plant cellulose and metabolism of soluble nutrients, respectively [16], while the epithelial microbiota (ventral and dorsal fractions) mainly play roles in oxygen scavenging [17] and energy utilization [18]. However, the changes of the microbial community in different rumen ecological niches during antler growth remain unclear.

In the present study, we used captive sika deer as a model and applied 16S rRNA gene sequencing and metabolomic analysis to reveal changes in the rumen microbial community in different ecological niches and the changes in metabolites during antler growth.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and sample collection

A total of 15 healthy, 4 year old, male, sika deer were used in this study, consisting of 5 sika deer at velvet antler casting (0-day, early antler growth, EG group), 5 sika deer at velvet antler grow 30 days since the casting (metaphase antler growth, MG group), and 5 sika deer at velvet antler grow 45 days after the casting (fast antler growth, FG group). Each sika deer was raised in an independent pen, and was fed twice daily at 7:00 a.m. and 16:00 p.m., with free access to clean drinking water. The animals were fed the same total mixed ration (TMR)

based on roughage and concentrate (45:55, dry matter basis, Table S1) during antler growth. Animals were cared for in accordance with the guidelines for animal research of the Animal Ethics Committee of Jilin Agricultural University (Approval No: 20210314002).

The animals were slaughtered 3 h after the morning feed by intravenous injection of sodium thiopental (0.125 mg/kg BW). The whole rumen contents were mixed and homogenized, and then filtered with four layers of cheesecloth to separate the rumen liquid and rumen solid fractions. The dorsal and ventral rumen wall (approximately 8 cm²) were collected and washed three times with a cold sterile saline solution. The epithelium associated microbes were scraped with a sterilized slide. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for further analysis.

2.2. DNA extraction, 16S rRNA gene amplification, sequencing and bioinformatic analysis

Total genomic DNA from all fractions were extracted using the beadbeating method [19]. The V3-V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes was amplified using the primer pair 338F and 806R. The resultant amplicons were purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, CA, USA) and quantified using a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madison, USA). The pooled PCR products were used to construct Illumina MiSeq platform (Biozeron Biological Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China). The raw sequences were quality controlled used FASTP [20], and assembled into contigs using FLASH [21]. The sequences were used to cluster amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) with 100 % similarity cutoff by DADA2 [22] and UCHIME [23] was used to identify and remove chimeric sequences. The representative sequences of each ASVs were analyzed by uclust algorithm against the SILVA database (SSU138) using a confidence threshold of 0.8 [24]. The alpha diversity including Shannon and Simpson indices was calculated by the microeco package. The principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, Weighted UniFrac distance, and Unweighted UniFrac distance were used to evaluate the bacterial community. PERMANOVA was used to indicate group differences and P values were determined based on 999 permutations.

2.3. Measuring of metabolites in rumen liquid and analysis

The concentration of SCFAs in rumen liquid was determined using a gas chromatograph (7890B, Agilent, UK) [25]. The ammonia concentration was measured with a UV-1201 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) [26]. In addition, frozen rumen liquid (20 mg) was weighted, mixed with 1 mL 40 % methanol and then centrifuged at 12, 000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatants and quality control (QC) sample mixed were analyzed by the ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system. The Orbitrap Exploris 120 mass spectrometer was used to acquire MS/MS spectra on information-dependent acquisition (IDA) mode in the control of the Xealibur software (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA). The raw data were processed by XCMS software, filtered for deviations, and normalized based on public databases, including online KEGG and HDMB [27]. The concentration of metabolites was applied to log transformation and anto scaling using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 [28], and then used to conduct the partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA). The significantly changed metabolites (MG vs EG, FG vs MG, and FG vs EG) were identified based on variable importance in the projection (VIP) values that exceeded 1.0 and P < 0.05 (independent t-test), which was used to conduct the enrichment analysis based on KEGG databases (P <0.05).

2.4. Co-occurrence network and statistical analysis

The Spearman's correlation coefficient between metabolites and microbiota within the EG, MG and FG group was analyzed using the Hmisc package [29]. The significant correlations ($P \le 0.05$, |rho| > 0.8) were visualized using Gephi [30]. The differences for the concentrations of SCFAs and ammonia, alpha diversity indices, and the relative abundances of taxonomy and KEGG pathways among the three groups were determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The *P* values were corrected using the false discovery rate of the Benjamini-Hochberg method, and a *P* value < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. If the ANOVA comparison indicated significance, we also applied the Wilcoxon rank sum (WRS) test to determine the significance of each comparison between two groups. The values are expressed as the mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM) unless otherwise indicated.

3. Results

3.1. Significantly increased production of rumen SCFAs during antler growth

We first aimed to determine whether the rumen metabolic profiles changed during antler growth. The results showed that that the concentrations of total SCFAs were significantly greater in the MG and FG groups than that in the EG group, and the concentration of ammonia in the FG group was also greater than that in the MG group (P < 0.05, Table 1). Moreover, the concentrations of beneficial SCFAs, such as acetate and propionate, in the rumens of the FG and MG groups were significantly greater than those in the rumen of the EG group (P < 0.05). However, the molar proportions of isobutyrate and isovalerate in the MG and FG groups were lower than those in the EG group (P < 0.05). These results indicate that nutrient metabolism in the rumen differs during antler growth, which is likely due to perturbations in the rumen microbiota.

3.2. Characteristics of the rumen microbiota in solid, liquid, and dorsal and ventral epithelium fractions during antler growth

16 S rRNA high-throughput sequencing generated a total of 2,254,213 high-quality reads from the four sample fractions from the EG, MG and FG groups, with an average of 37,570 reads per sample (17,336 to 52,931, Table S2). Taxonomic classification showed that Bacteroidota, Bacillota, Pseudomonadota, Actinomycetota and

Table 1

The fermentation parameters in rumen liquid of sika deer among EG, MG and FG groups.

Item	EG	MG	FG	P- values
Total SCFAs, mM	$123.98^b \pm$	157.17^{a} \pm	$168.34^{a} \pm$	< 0.05
	7.38	14.67	8.03	
Ammonia, mg/100	$30.53^{ab} \ \pm$	$\mathbf{27.57^b} \pm 6.13$	$44.35^{a} \pm$	< 0.05
mL	4.69		2.80	
Acetate, mM	$76.15^{b} \pm$	$95.03^{a}\pm7.55$	$100.29^{a} \pm$	< 0.05
	4.04		4.51	
Propionate, mM	$25.53^{b} \pm$	$\textbf{34.45}^{\text{a}} \pm \textbf{3.11}$	$35.41^{a} \pm$	< 0.05
	2.32		0.94	
Butyrate, mM	$17.81^{b} \pm$	$23.76^{ab} \pm$	$\textbf{28.08}^{\text{a}} \pm$	< 0.01
	1.70	3.92	2.74	
Valerate, mM	1.94 ± 0.11	2.30 ± 0.49	$\textbf{2.65} \pm \textbf{0.16}$	0.28
Isobutyrate, mM	$\textbf{1.20} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	0.76 ± 0.12	$\textbf{0.97} \pm \textbf{0.15}$	0.15
Isovalerate, mM	1.34 ± 0.20	0.88 ± 0.15	$\textbf{0.93} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	0.17
Acetate, (mol/%)	61.53 ± 0.56	60.74 ± 0.84	59.62 ± 0.43	0.14
Propionate, (mol/	20.46 ± 0.85	22.04 ± 0.87	21.18 ± 0.91	0.47
%)				
Butyrate, (mol/%)	14.28 ± 0.75	14.75 ± 1.15	16.53 ± 1.03	0.28
Valerate, (mol/%)	$\textbf{1.57} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	1.43 ± 0.23	1.57 ± 0.03	0.70
Isobutyrate, (mol/ %)	$1.02^{a}\pm0.21$	$0.49^b\pm0.07$	$0.56^b \pm 0.07$	< 0.05
Isovalerate, (mol/ %)	$1.13^{a}\pm0.23$	$0.56^{b}\pm0.08$	$0.54^b\pm0.09$	< 0.05

Note: ^{a, b,} in the same row indicate the significant differences from each other (P < 0.05).

Fibrobacterota were the most prevalent phyla in all groups (Fig. 1A, Tables S3–S6). In the dorsal epithelium, Prevotellaceae (EG = 32.47 \pm 5.00 %; MG = 37.21 \pm 5.14 %; FG = 26.64 \pm 6.08 %), Lachnospiraceae (EG = 18.63 \pm 2.83 %; MG = 16.93 \pm 1.05 %; FG = 21.08 \pm 3.14 %) and Oscillospiraceae (EG = 9.06 \pm 1.08 %; MG = 9.83 \pm 2.73 %; FG = 8.85 ± 1.14 %) were the prevalent families, and *Prevotella* (EG = 30.81 \pm 4.56 %; MG = 35.92 \pm 5.08 %; FG = 25.76 \pm 5.86 %), Ruminococcus $(EG = 5.75 \pm 0.94 \%; MG = 8.52 \pm 2.51 \%; FG = 7.12 \pm 0.87 \%)$ and Schaedlerella (EG = 5.69 \pm 2.09 %; MG = 5.74 \pm 0.90 %; FG = 6.58 \pm 1.54 %) were the most prevalent genera. In the rumen liquid, Prevotellaceae (EG = 39.54 \pm 1.51 %; MG = 37.99 \pm 2.83 %; FG = 28.82 \pm 7.14 %), Lachnospiraceae (EG = 9.66 \pm 0.94 %; MG = 11.46 \pm 0.46 %; FG = 12.85 \pm 1.56 %) and Oscillospiraceae (EG = 9.04 \pm 0.90 %; MG = 13.23 ± 3.30 %; FG = 12.23 ± 1.82 %) were the prevalent families, and Prevotella (EG = 36.82 \pm 1.06 %; MG = 36.22 \pm 2.62 %; FG = 26.84 \pm 6.63 %), Ruminococcus (EG = 5.52 ± 0.98 %; MG = 11.57 ± 3.05 %; FG = 9.11 \pm 1.26 %) and Schaedlerella (EG = 1.61 \pm 0.35 %; MG = 2.88 \pm 0.72 %; FG = 3.47 \pm 0.93 %) were the most prevalent genera. In the rumen solids, Prevotellaceae (EG = 35.43 ± 3.62 %; MG = 38.99 ± 4.89 %; FG = 40.02 \pm 1.51 %), Lachnospiraceae (EG = 16.09 \pm 1.82 %; MG = 16.74 \pm 1.61%; FG = 15.79 \pm 1.46%) and Oscillospiraceae (EG = 9.20 \pm 1.02%; MG = 8.19 \pm 1.72 %; FG = 9.62 \pm 0.88 %) were the prevalent families, and Prevotella (EG = $33.89 \pm 3.65\%$; MG = $37.45 \pm 4.66\%$; FG = 37.88 \pm 1.15 %), Ruminococcus (EG = 6.02 \pm 0.60 %; MG = 6.54 \pm 1.52 %; FG = 7.64 \pm 1.14%) and Schaedlerella (EG = 3.27 \pm 0.79 %; MG = 5.78 \pm 1.29 %; FG = 4.66 \pm 1.16 %) were the most prevalent genera. In the ventral epithelium, *Prevotellaceae* (EG = 37.13 ± 5.05 %; MG = 30.49 \pm 5.36 %; FG = 29.65 \pm 4.80 %), Lachnospiraceae (EG = 13.28 \pm 1.38 %; MG = 16.32 \pm 1.24 %; FG = 18.14 \pm 3.41 %) and Oscillospiraceae (EG = 7.38 \pm 1.80 %; MG = 8.60 \pm 2.46 %; FG = 7.55 \pm 0.87 %) were the prevalent families, while *Prevotella* (EG = 35.73 ± 4.93 %; MG = 29.37 \pm 5.36 %; FG = 28.21 \pm 4.36 %), Ruminococcus (EG = 5.03 \pm 1.44%; MG = 7.28 \pm 2.22 %; FG = 6.08 \pm 0.74 %) and Schaedlerella (EG = 2.84 \pm 0.77 %; MG = 5.21 \pm 1.09 %; FG = 5.23 \pm 1.97 %) were the most prevalent genera (Tables S3-S6).

When we compared the microbial diversity, the EG group had higher microbial diversity than those in the MG and FG groups, as indicated by the significant differences in alpha diversity measured by the Chao1 index, Shannon index and phylogenetic diversity (P < 0.05, Fig. S1A). The alpha diversity indices of the rumen solid from the FG group were significantly higher than those in the liquid fraction (Fig. 1C). The Shannon index and phylogenetic diversity of the rumen liquid from the MG and FG groups were significantly lower than those in the rumen liquid of the EG group (P < 0.05, Fig. 1D). Given the significant differences in alpha diversity and SCFAs in rumen liquid, we explored the correlation between the alpha diversity indices and the concentrations of acetate, propionate, and butyrate, and found significant negative correlations (P < 0.01, Figs. 1E and S1B).

3.3. Changes in the rumen microbiota during antler growth

The PCoA results showed significant qualitative (unweighted Uni-Frac distance, P = 0.001) and quantitative (Bray—Curtis dissimilarity, P = 0.001; weighted UniFrac distance, P = 0.005; Fig. S2A) differences among the EG, MG and FG groups. Significant differences in microbial community membership and structure were also observed in the rumen solids, liquid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium fractions for the EG, MG and FG groups, respectively (P = 0.001, Fig. S2B). We then examined changes in the rumen microbiota in the different ecological niches for the EG, MG and FG groups, respectively (Fig. 2). Qualitative and quantitative differences were also detected in the MG group (unweighted UniFrac distance, P = 0.025; weighted UniFrac distance, P = 0.015; Fig. 2B) and FG group (unweighted UniFrac distance, P = 0.001; weighted UniFrac distance, P = 0.022; Fig. 2C). However, only the microbial community composition in the rumen liquid differed significantly among the EG, MG and FG groups (Bray—Curtis



Fig. 1. Microbial community composition and membership in different rumen ecological niches during growth stages. The ternary plot and bar plot showing the microbial composition in the rumen solids, liquid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium at the phylum (A) and genus (B) levels. Comparison of diversity indices among solid, liquid, and dorsal and ventral epithelium at the same antler growth (C). Comparison of diversity indices among EG, MG and FG group at the same ecology niche (D). Correlation between SCFAs and Shannon index in the rumen liquid (E). Significance is designated by using an F-test for testing linear regression coefficients. * indicate P < 0.05.



Fig. 2. The variation of rumen microbiota in different rumen ecological niches. PCoA results revealing the change of EG (A), MG (B) and FG (C) groups in dorsal epithelium, liquid, solids, and ventral epithelium samples, respectively, based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, weighted UniFrac distance and unweighted UniFrac distance at ASV level.

dissimilarity, P = 0.007), although the microbiota in the rumen solid, ventral epithelium, and dorsal epithelium clustered separately (Fig. S3).

We further explored the differences of the microbiota in the rumen dorsal epithelium, liquid, solids, and ventral epithelium among the EG, MG and FG groups, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 3 and Tables S7–S10). In the rumen dorsal epithelium, the relative abundances of Succiniclasticum, Comamona, Olsenella and Eisenbergiella were significantly greater in the FG group (2.52 \pm 0.36 %, 0.22 \pm 0.08 %, 0.77 \pm 0.18 %, 0.21 \pm 0.05 %, respectively), than in the EG (1.55 \pm 0.33 %, 0.07 \pm 0.04 %, 0.23 ± 0.03 %, 0.22 ± 0.06 %, respectively), or MG (1.66 ± 0.13 %, 0.11 ± 0.05 %, 0.43 ± 0.11 %, 0.09 ± 0.02 %, respectively) group. In the rumen ventral epithelium, the relative abundances of Stomatobaculum (1.33 \pm 0.21 %) and Lactonifactor (0.66 \pm 0.17 %) were significantly higher in the FG group, than in the EG group (0.75 \pm 0.15% and 0.30 \pm 0.07 %). In the rumen liquid, the relative abundances of Stomatobaculum (1.72 \pm 0.32 %) and Anaerotruncus (0.29 \pm 0.04 %) were significantly higher in the FG group, than in the MG (1.54 \pm 0.30% and 0.17 \pm 0.06 %), or EG group (1.10 \pm 0.25 % and 0.19 \pm 0.05 %). In the rumen solid, the relative abundances of Stomatobaculum (1.48 \pm 0.19 %) and Olsenella (0.51 \pm 0.08 %) were significantly greater in the FG group, than in the EG group (0.88 \pm 0.12 % and 0.22 \pm 0.05 %).

We also examined the differences in the microbiota in the different ecological niches for the EG, MG and FG groups, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. S4 and Tables S11–S13). In the EG group, the relative abundances of Fibrobacter, Coprococcus and Treponema were significantly higher in the rumen solid (4.30 \pm 1.05 %, 0.80 \pm 0.08 %, 1.19 \pm 0.28 %, respectively), than in the dorsal epithelium (0.71 \pm 0.08 %, 0.47 \pm 0.12 %, 0.15 ± 0.02 %, respectively), liquid (0.59 \pm 0.26 %, 0.17 \pm 0.02 %, 0.04 ± 0.01 %, respectively) and ventral epithelium fractions (0.95 \pm 0.20 %, $~0.32\pm0.04$ %, $~0.35\pm0.20$ %, respectively). Bacteria belonging to the genera Desulfobulbus (0.33 \pm 0.10 %), Butyrivibrio $(2.69 \pm 0.46 \text{ \%})$ and *Enterocloster* $(0.91 \pm 0.15 \text{ \%})$ were significantly enriched in the rumen dorsal epithelium, while Succiniclasticum (2.02 \pm 0.18 %), Olsenella (0.29 \pm 0.05 %) and Anaerovibrio (0.43 \pm 0.08 %) bacteria were significantly enriched in the rumen liquid. In the MG group, the proportions of Fibrobacter, Pseudobutyrivibrio, Saccharofermentans and Herbinix were significantly higher in the rumen solids (4.14 \pm 0.89 %, 0.81 \pm 0.11 %, 0.65 \pm 0.11 %, 0.40 \pm 0.05 %, respectively) than in the liquid (0.41 \pm 0.15 %, 0.49 \pm 0.08 %, 0.25 \pm 0.05 %, $~0.23\pm0.04$ %, respectively), dorsal epithelium (0.74 \pm 0.12 %, 0.37 \pm 0.06 %, 0.27 \pm 0.06 %, 0.28 \pm 0.05%, respectively) and ventral epithelium fractions (0.64 \pm 0.12 %, 0.26 \pm 0.01%, 0.32

	Growth Period		Fraction			Fraction					
		on an i onou		Dorsal	Liquid	Solid	Ventral	Dorsal	Liquid	Solid	Ventral
Genus	EG	MG	FG	EMF	EMF	EMF	EMF	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3
Stenotrophomonas				•••	••	•••					
Succiniclasticum					0.0	• • •			0	0 0 0	000
Lawsonibacter				•	•	•••	$0 \cdot 0$			0 0 0	0
Fibrobacter				• • •			0 0 0		000	0 0 0	000
Parabacteroides			- :	• •	• •	0 • •	• •	ÓŎŎ	ÕÕÕ	\mathbf{O}	OOO
Desulfobulbus				• • •			•	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ÖÖÖ	ÕÕÕ	Ö Ö Ö
Emergencia					• • •	· · 0			$\mathbf{\tilde{0}}$	ŎŎŎ	0
Aminipila					•••	• • •	ŎŎĦ			0 0 0	0
Lactonifactor						• • •	• • •			$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
Pseudobutyrivibrio				••			• • •		ÖÖ Ö	0	
Succinivibrio				$\bigcirc \bullet \cdot$		• • •	••		ÖÖÖ	OOO	OOO
Lancefieldella				• •	• • •	• • •	• • •	ŎŎŎ	Ö Ö Ö	Ö Ö Ö	Ö Ö Ö
Olsenella				• •	• • •	• • 🔵					\mathbf{O}
Coprococcus				••	•••	MOÕ	•••			\mathbf{O} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O}	
Treponema				• • •	• • •	$\square \circ \check{\bigcirc}$	• • •	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ÕÕÕ	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$
Mediterraneibacter				•	• • •	•••	• • •	ŎŎŎ	ÕÕÕ	ŎŎŎ	Ö Ö Ö
Paraeggerthella					•••	• •	• • •		ÕÕÕ	Ö Ö Ö	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$
Marvinbryantia				$\square \cdot \square$			••	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ŎŎŎ	ŎŎŎ	\bullet \bullet
Eisenbergiella				•) • • •	• • •			Ö Ö Ö	ŎŎŎ	
Comamonas				•••				$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ŎŎŎ	ŎŎŎ	$\mathbf{\tilde{0}}$
Bulleidia) • • •	• • •			0	0	
Adlercreutzia				••	••	• • •	• • •			Ö Ö Ö	ŎŎŎ
Pyramidobacter				$\bullet \bullet \cdot$	•••					ÖÖÖ	\mathbf{O}
Olegusella				$\cdot \square \bigcirc$		• • •	•	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ŎŎŎ	ŎŎŎ	ŎŎŎ
Flexilinea				• • •	• • •	0 • 0			0	0 0 0	
Snodgrassella				• 🗌 •	• • •	• • •			$\mathbf{\tilde{O}}$	ŎŎŎ	ŎŎŎ
Acetitomaculum				•	• • •	•••	• • •		0	0	\mathbf{O}
Stomatobaculum					•	• 🔘	• • •	ŎŎO	\bullet \bullet \bullet	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$
Muribaculum				• • •	• •		• • •	0			000
Saccharofermentans				• • •	• • •	•	• • •	0	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	0
Bifidobacterium			:	• • •	•	• 🔵 •	• • •	• • •	0	ŎŎ	• • •
Desulfovibrio				• • •		• • •	• • •	0	ŎŎŎ	ÖÖÖ	000
Anaerotruncus				• • •	$\overline{\bigcirc} \bigcirc \overline{\bigcirc}$	0 + +	0 0 +	0	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ÖÖÖ	0
Robinsoniella				••	0	\bullet \bullet \cdot	•••	0	ŎŎŎ	$\mathbf{\tilde{O}}$	OOO
Sporobacter				$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	• • •	•	• • •	0	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$
Oribacterium				•••		• • •	0 0 0	0	Ö Ö Ö		
Ralstonia						• • •	••	0	ŎŎŎ		$\bullet \bullet \bullet$
Lacrimispora				• • •	0 0 0	• •	• • •	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ŎŎŎ		
Roseimarinus				• • •	• • •	— • ()		0	ŎŎŎ		
Carboxylicivirga				• • •	• • •	• 🔶 •	•	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	ŎŎŎ	$\bullet \bullet \bullet$	
Riemerella				• • •			•••	OOO			ÓŎŎ
Oscillospira			1	• •	\bullet · ·	•••	• • •	OOO	ŎŎŎ	ÖÖÖ	ŎŎŎ
Pseudobacteroides			1	• • •	• • •	•••	• • •		ÓÓÓ	ÓŎŎ	ÓŎŎ
Bacteroides					• • •		• • •	OOO	Ö ÖÖ	ÓÓÓ	ŎŎŎ
			Deleting should be					1 FG ve N		10 (P value) log2fc
								2.EG vs N	G —	io (r value	
				••••				3.MG vs F	G		OOO(
				υ 0).4	0.8				1.3 2.0	-4 -2 0

Fig. 3. Differences of rumen microbiota among three groups. The significantly changed bacterial genera. Bar graphs showing the average relative abundance of bacteria at the genus level in the EG (gray), MG (blue) and FG (red) groups. Bubble graphs showing significantly changed bacterial genera, significance, and fold change for three stages in the dorsal rumen epithelium (light blue), liquid (pink), solid (yellow) and ventral epithelium (green), respectively.

 \pm 0.05 %, 0.25 \pm 0.02 %, respectively), while the relative abundances of *Desulfobulbus* (1.11 \pm 0.25 %) and *Enterocloster* (0.84 \pm 0.14 %) were significantly higher in the rumen dorsal epithelium than in the liquid (0.01 \pm 0.01 %, 0.42 \pm 0.07 %, respectively). In the FG group, the relative abundances of *Fibrobacter* (3.01 \pm 0.78 %), *Saccharofermentans* (0.90 \pm 0.14 %) and *Treponema* (0.71 \pm 0.22 %) were significantly higher in the rumen solids, than in the rumen liquid (0.24 \pm 0.13 %,

 0.39 ± 0.03 %, 0.03 ± 0.02 %, respectively) and ventral epithelium fractions (0.53 ± 0.23 %, 0.41 ± 0.06 %, 0.26 ± 0.09 %, respectively). Bacteria belonging to the genera Anaerobutyricum (1.37 ± 0.17 %), Enterocloster (0.98 ± 0.12 %) and Mogibacterium (1.80 ± 0.42 %) were enriched in the rumen dorsal epithelium, while Ruminococcus (9.11 ± 1.26 %) and Oribacterium (0.47 ± 0.12 %) bacteria were enriched in the rumen liquid.

3.4. Metabolome of rumen liquid during antler growth

An untargeted metabolomic analysis was conducted to identify the changes in metabolites in the rumen liquid. A total of 667 and 239 compounds were identified in positive and negative ion modes, respectively. PLS-DA revealed that the metabolites in the MG and FG groups were clearly distinct from those in the EG group in negative (Fig. 4A) and positive (Fig. 4B) ion modes, respectively. In negative ion mode, we found that a total of 33, 12, and 26 metabolites were significantly different in the EG, MG, and FG groups, respectively (VIP > 1 and P < 0.05, Table S14), and these were enriched in a total of 6, 12 and 5 metabolic pathways, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 4C). The concentrations of ketoleucine, dopamine, 3,4-dihydroxymandelic acid (DHMA), pyruvate, γ -aminobutyrate (GABA) and α -hydroxybutyrate were greater in the FG group than in the EG or MG group (P < 0.05, Fig. 4E). The butanoate metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism and alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism pathways were significantly enriched in FG vs. MG and MG vs. EG, while valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis and degradation were significantly enriched in FG vs. EG and FG vs. MG. Specifically, tyrosine metabolism was significantly enriched during antler growth (FG vs. EG, FG vs. MG, MG vs. EG).

In positive ion mode, we identified a total of 107, 33, and 87 differentially abundant metabolites in the EG, MG, and FG groups, respectively (VIP > 1 and *P* < 0.05, Table S15). The concentrations of creatine, N-acetyl-D-neuraminic acid (NANA), dimethylethanolamine, aminoacetone, epimelibiose, L-DOPA, norepinephrine, β -alanyl-L-lysine and 4-guanidinobutanoic acid in the FG or MG group were significantly higher than those in the EG group (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 4F), and were enriched in a total of 12, 2 and 12 metabolic pathways, respectively (*P* < 0.05, Fig. 4D). Specifically, galactose metabolism and amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism were significantly enriched in the FG group compared with the EG group, while tyrosine metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, β -alanine metabolism, arginine and proline metabolism and glycine, serine and threonine metabolism were significantly enriched in FG vs. EG and MG vs. EG.

3.5. Co-occurrence network of the rumen liquid microbiota and metabolites

Correlations and co-occurrence network of the rumen liquid microbiota and metabolites were established for the EG, MG and FG groups (Figs. 5 and S5). In the EG group, the relative abundances of *Butyrivibrio*, Lawsonibacter, Paraprevotella, Stenotrophomonas, Saccharofermentans and Pseudobutyrivibrio were negatively correlated with the concentrations of acetate, propionate or butyrate (Fig. 5A). In the MG group, the proportion of Olsenella was positively correlated with the concentrations of epimelibiose, ketoleucine, NANA, propionate, and the proportions of Faecalimonas, Centipeda and Robinsoniella (Fig. 5B). The concentration of GABA was positively correlated with the proportions of Ruminococcus, Selenomonas and Faecalibacterium and the concentrations of creatine, taurine, acetate and butyrate. In the FG group, the relative abundance of Olsenella was positively correlated with the concentrations of β -alanyl-Llysine, dopamine, α-hydroxybutyrate, L-kynurenine and norepinephrine (Fig. 5C). The relative abundance of Prevotella was positively correlated with the concentration of indoleacetic acid (IAA).

4. Discussion

We explored the rumen microbiota in the liquid, solid, ventral epithelium and dorsal epithelium and the metabolome in the rumen of sika deer and aimed to understand metabolic adaptation during antler growth. The results showed metabolites were significantly changed in the rumen during antler growth. We also observed increased concentrations of acetate, propionate and butyrate, resulting in an increase in total SCFA levels. This finding is consistent with previous findings showing that total SCFAs levels were significantly greater in summer than in winter [8], and that the energy requirements of sika deer increased significantly during antler development [31]. SCFAs are important sources of energy, both for the microorganisms themselves and for the host, providing 70 % of the energy required by ruminants [12]. In the liver, propionate is converted to glucose, and acetate serves as a precursor for fatty acid synthesis and can be converted to ketone bodies, while butyrate is converted to ketone bodies in the rumen epithelium and liver [32]. We have also demonstrated that the concentration of butyrate in the rumen increases with antler weight [13]. However, previous studies have shown that the voluntary feed intake of Cervidae peaks in summer [7], that the moisture content of the rumen digesta of wild sika deer is greater in summer than in winter [8], and that the total mean retention time of feed in the digestive tract of sika deer during summer was numerically longer than that in spring [9]. The latter could prolong the microbial fermentation time and enzymatic digestion time, resulting in increased SCFA production. We also observed a decrease in diversity indices, which were negatively correlated with the concentrations of propionate and butyrate in rumen liquid. Previously, lower richness of the microbiome gene content and taxa in the rumen was shown to be tightly linked to higher feed efficiency, resulting in better energy and carbon channeling in cows [33]. These findings implied that enhanced rumen fermentation toward the production of propionate and butyrate is closely associated with antler growth. This was further supported by the increased relative abundances of butyrate- and propionate-producing bacteria such as Stomatobaculum [34] and Anaerotruncus [35]. Emerging evidence indicates that SCFAs are key metabolites that regulate bone formation [36], and increased SCFA generation also increases bone mineral density [37]. It has been reported that the increased production of butyrate stimulates bone formation by activating Wnt signaling in osteoblasts [15]. These findings underscore the importance of propionate and butyrate during antler growth.

Our results also revealed significant enrichment of metabolic pathways for amino acids, such as arginine and proline metabolism and alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism, during antler growth. This finding is consistent with the previous observation that the enrichment of arginine and proline metabolism was associated with increased antler weight [38]. The increased intake of alanine might be beneficial for bone mineral density in women [39], and the concentrations of GABA and glutamate in serum were found to be significantly associated with bone mineral density in young and middle-aged women [40]. Several findings have shown that GABA upregulates bone formation genes by activating the GABA receptor to stimulate osteoblastogenesis in rats [41], and glutamate signaling regulates both bone formation and resorption [42]. Moreover, glutamate and GABA could serve as major substrates for butyrate formation, and the fermentation of alanine and aspartate contributes to the production of propionate through pyruvate [43]. Given the increased concentrations of GABA and pyruvate, these findings suggested that a potential fermentation pathway from amino acids to SCFAs changed during antler growth.

The dominance of bacteria belonging to the phyla Bacteroidota and Bacillota in the rumen during antler growth is consistent with previous findings for the gastrointestinal tract of ruminants [16,44]. The microbiota in the rumen solids was dominated by cellulolytic bacteria, including *Fibrobacter* [45], *Saccharofermentans* [46] and *Treponema* [46], while the liquid fractions were represented by bacteria mainly involved in soluble nutrient breakdown, including *Olsenella* [47], *Anaerovibrio* [48] and *Ruminococcus* [49]. This finding is consistent with observations in yak [16], sheep [50], and cows [51]. Interestingly, *Desulfobulbus*, a genus of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB), was abundant in the dorsal epithelium [52]. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is produced mainly through cysteine catabolism, and to a lesser extent, by SRB, including *Desulfovibrio, Desulfobacter, Desulfobulbus*, and *Desulfotomaculum*. H₂S is regarded as a bone-regulating molecule that promotes bone formation by activating Wnt signaling via increased Wnt10b production and



Fig. 4. The metabolic profiles of rumen liquid. PLS-DA showing the variation of metabolites in the rumen liquid among the EG, MG and FG groups at the negative (A) and positive (B) ion modes. The significantly enriched KEGG pathways based on the significantly changed metabolites during antler growth at negative (C) and positive (D) ion modes. Comparison of the concentrations of metabolites that are enriched KEGG pathways at negative (E) and positive (F) ion modes. (VIP > 1.0 and P < 0.05). *, indicate P < 0.05.

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 23 (2024) 1608-1618



Fig. 5. The co-occurrence network of microbiota and metabolites in the rumen liquid. The network of the Spearman's correlations among significantly different genera, metabolites, and fermentation parameters in EG (A), MG (B) and FG (C) groups. Ace: Acetate, Pro: Propionate, Bty: Butyrate, Val: Valerate, Isv: Isovalerate, Isb: Isobutyrate, NH₃: Ammonia, VB₅: D-Pantothenic acid, NANA: N-Acetylneuraminic acid, Trp: L-Tryptophan, VA: Vitamin A, AICA: 5-Aminoimidazole-4-carbox-amide, 4-GAB: 4-Guanidinobutanoic acid, IAA: Indoleacetic acid, DHMA: 3,4-Dihydroxymandelic acid.

preventing bone loss [53]. Moreover, butyrate-producing bacteria were enriched, such as *Butyrivibrio* [54] in the dorsal epithelium and *Anaerobutyricum* [55] in the ventral epithelium. Previous studies have shown that butyrate regulates intestinal epithelial cell proliferation [56]. These results indicated the potentially specific changes of the epithelial microbiota during antler growth.

Significant differences in the microbial community were also observed in the different rumen ecological niches. The relative abundances of Stomatobaculum, Succiniclasticum and Comamonas increased in the dorsal or ventral epithelium of the rumen during antler growth. The genus Comamonas includes oxygen-scavenging bacteria that maintain an anaerobic environment in the epithelium and are involved in nitrogen metabolism [57]. A meta-analysis revealed that Succiniclasticum is a core member of the ruminant rumen epithelium [58]. Bacteria belonging to the genera Succiniclasticum [59] and Stomatobaculum [60] also produce SCFAs. These findings indicate the possibility that the SCFA production potential of the rumen epithelial microbiota is improved during antler growth. Interestingly, it has been reported that Stomatobaculum was significantly more abundant in oral swab samples from control patients than in those from patients with oral cancer [61]. Horvath et al. (2019) reported that the detection of common oral microbes in the intestine (oralization of the intestine) might indicate increased intestinal permeability [62]. These findings indicated tight adhesion and close interaction between Stomatobaculum and the rumen epithelium during antler growth. It is speculated that these microorganisms might improve the permeability of the rumen epithelium, facilitating the absorption of SCFAs.

Our results also revealed significant differences in the associations between the rumen microbiota and metabolites during antler growth. Negative correlations between SCFAs and *Butyrivibrio, Paraprevotella, Saccharofermentans* or *Pseudobutyrivibrio* were observed in the EG group, indicating that the catabolic activity of the fiber in the rumen likely decreases during the early stage of antler growth. In the MG group, GABA was positively correlated with *Ruminococcus, Selenomonas, Faecalibacterium*, and creatine. This finding is consistent with previous reports showing that *Ruminococcus, Selenomonas* and *Faecalibacterium* promote the production of GABA [63,64]. In the FG group, *Olsenella* was positively correlated with the intermediates of tyrosine metabolism, β -alanine metabolism and propanoate metabolism, and *Prevotella* was positively correlated with IAA. GABA and its receptors serve as novel factors that promote osteogenesis [65]. Creatine supplementation enhances the accumulation of bone minerals, influences bone remodeling processes in both pediatric and adult populations [66], and promotes the differentiation or survival of GABAergic neurons [67]. β -alanine metabolism is involved in regulating bone mass in rats [68]. Tyrosine metabolism plays an important role in the sympathetic nervous system in animals [69]. In mammals, IAA is an important indole derivative derived via catabolism of dietary tryptophan by the intestinal microbiota [70]. An increase in the proportion of *Prevotella* can lead to the intestinal production of indole derivatives [71]. These results indicated the role of the interaction between the rumen microbiota and amino acid metabolism during the antler growth.

5. Conclusions

In the present study, we characterized the microbiota and metabolites in the rumen of sika deer to understand the change in rumen metabolic profiles during antler growth. The results showed that the production of SCFAs was enhanced, which was accompanied by decreased microbial diversity indices in the rumen. The different rumen ecological niches were characterized by distinct microbiota compositions. The rumen microbiota and metabolites varied during antler growth. An obvious shift in the microbiota in the rumen epithelium was observed, suggesting a potentially specific changes of the epithelial microbiota during antler growth. Although differences in the microbiota and metabolites were observed during antler growth, our study is limited by the small number of animals and the use of a 16S rRNA gene sequencing approach due to its resolution and sensitivity. Therefore, examinations of the metagenome are needed to further elucidate the changes in the rumen microbiome in many animals during the antler growth period. Moreover, the integrated analysis of the microbiota and metabolites suggested the role of amino acid metabolism during antler growth.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal-specific procedures were approved and authorized by the Ethical Committee of Jilin Agricultural University (Approval No: 20210314002).

Funding

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFD1302000), National Natural Science Foundation of China (32122083), Innovation and Entrepreneurship Talent Program from Jilin Province (2023QN02) and earmarked fund for JARS (JARS-2024-0801-03) to Z. L.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Songze Li: Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. Ruina Mu: Investigation, Writing – original draft. Yuhang Zhu: Investigation. Fei Zhao: Investigation. Qiang Qiu: Investigation. Huazhe Si: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Zhipeng Li: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. André-Denis G. Wright: Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Xiaoxu Wang for the assistance on sample collection.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2024.04.018.

References

- Li C, Zhao H, Liu Z, Mcmahon C. Deer antler-a novel model for studying organ regeneration in mammals. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2014;56:111–22.
- [2] Goss RJ. Deer antlers: regeneration, function and evolution. Academic Press; 2012.
- [3] Feleke M, Bennett S, Chen J, Hu X, Williams D, et al. New physiological insights into the phenomena of deer antler: a unique model for skeletal tissue regeneration. J Orthop Transl 2021;27:57–66.
- [4] Landete-Castillejos T, Kierdorf H, Gomez S, Luna S, García AJ, et al. Antlersevolution, development, structure, composition, and biomechanics of an outstanding type of bone. Bone 2019;128:115046.
- [5] Wang Y, Zhang C, Wang N, Li Z, Heller R, et al. Genetic basis of ruminant headgear and rapid antler regeneration. Science 2019;364(6446).
- [6] Qin T, Zhang G, Zheng Y, Li S, Yuan Y, et al. A population of stem cells with strong regenerative potential discovered in deer antlers. Science 2023;379(6634):840–7.
- [7] Barry TN, Suttie JM, Milne JA, Kay RNB. Control of food intake in domesticated deer. In: Tsuda T, Sasaki Y, Kawashima R, editors. Physiological aspects of digestion and metabolism in ruminants. San Diego: Academic Press; 1991. p. 385–401.
- [8] Ichimura Y, Yamano H, Takano T, Koike S, Kobayashi Y, et al. Rumen microbes and fermentation of wild sika deer on the Shiretoko peninsula of Hokkaido Island, Japan. Ecol Res 2004;19(4):389–95.
- [9] Asano S, Ikeda S, Kurokawa Y, Kanda S, Itabashi H. Seasonal changes in digestibility, passage rate and rumen fermentation of alfalfa hay in sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) under restricted feeding. Anim Sci J 2007;78(1):28–33.
- [10] Landete-Castillejos T, Currey JD, Ceacero F, García AJ, Gallego L, et al. Does nutrition affect bone porosity and mineral tissue distribution in deer antlers? The relationship between histology, mechanical properties and mineral composition. Bone 2012;50(1):245–54.
- [11] Cappelli J, Garcia A, Ceacero F, Gomez S, Luna S, et al. Manganese supplementation in deer under balanced diet increases impact energy and contents in minerals of antler bone tissue. PLOS ONE 2015;10(7):e0132738.
- [12] Mizrahi I, Wallace RJ, Morais S. The rumen microbiome: balancing food security and environmental impacts. Nat Rev Microbiol 2021;19(9):553–66.
- [13] Si H, Liu H, Nan W, Li G, Li Z, et al. Effects of arginine supplementation on serum metabolites and the rumen bacterial community of sika deer (*Cervus nippon*). Front Vet Sci 2021;8:630686.
- [14] Li Z, Wright A-DG, Liu H, Bao K, Zhang T, et al. Bacterial community composition and fermentation patterns in the rumen of sika deer (*Cervus nippon*) fed three different diets. Microb Ecol 2015;69(2):307–18.
- [15] Tyagi AM, Yu M, Darby TM, Vaccaro C, Li J-Y, et al. The microbial metabolite butyrate stimulates bone formation via T regulatory cell-mediated regulation of WNT10B expression. Immunity 2018;49(6):1116–31.
- [16] Ren Q, Si H, Yan X, Liu C, Ding L, et al. Bacterial communities in the solid, liquid, dorsal, and ventral epithelium fractions of yak (*Bos grunniens*) rumen. MicrobiologyOpen 2020;9(2):e963.
- [17] Cheng KJ, Mccowan RP, Costerton JW. Adherent epithelial bacteria in ruminants and their roles in digestive tract function. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32(1):139–48.

- [18] Mao S, Zhang M, Liu J, Zhu W. Characterising the bacterial microbiota across the gastrointestinal tracts of dairy cattle: membership and potential function. Sci Rep 2015;5:16116.
- [19] Yu Z, Morrison M. Improved extraction of PCR-quality community DNA from digesta and fecal samples. Biotechniques 2004;36(5):808–12.
- [20] Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 2018;34(17):i884–90.
- [21] Magoč T, Salzberg SL. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 2011;27(21):2957–63.
- [22] Callahan BJ, Mcmurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, et al. DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods 2016;13 (7):581–3.
- [23] Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 2011;27(16):2194–200.
- [24] Amato KR, Yeoman CJ, Kent A, Righini N, Carbonero F, et al. Habitat degradation impacts black howler monkey (*Alouatta pigra*) gastrointestinal microbiomes. ISME J 2013;7(7):1344–53.
- [25] Dong JN, Li SZ, Chen X, Qin GX, Wang T, et al. Effects of different combinations of sugar and starch concentrations on ruminal fermentation and bacterial-community composition in vitro. Front Nutr 2021;8:727714.
- [26] Chaney AL, Marbach E. Modified reagents for determination of urea and ammonia. Clin Chem 1962;8(2):130–2.
- [27] Smith CA, Want EJ, O'maille G, Abagyan R, Siuzdak G. XCMS: processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. Anal Chem 2006;78(3):779–87.
- [28] Pang Z, Zhou G, Ewald J, Chang L, Hacariz O, et al. Using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 for LC-HRMS spectra processing, multi-omics integration and covariate adjustment of global metabolomics data. Nat Protoc 2022;17(8):1735–61.
- [29] Harrell Jr FE, Harrell Jr MFE. Package 'hmisc'. CRAN2018 2019; 2019: p. 235-6.
- [30] Bastian M, Heymann S, Jacomy M. Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. In: Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media; 2009: p. 361–2.
- [31] Xiuhua G, Fuhe Y, Chunyi L, Stevens D. Progress on nutritional requirements of deer farmed for velvet production in China. NZGA Res Pract Ser 2003:69–72.
- [32] Clark R, Malan J. Alterations in the blood sugar and ketone levels caused by dosing acetate, propionate and butyrate into the rumen of the sheep; 1956.
- [33] Shabat SKB, Sasson G, Doron-Faigenboim A, Durman T, Yaacoby S, et al. Specific microbiome-dependent mechanisms underlie the energy harvest efficiency of ruminants. ISME J 2016;10(12):2958–72.
- [34] Ziganshina EE, Sagitov II, Akhmetova RF, Saleeva GT, Kiassov AP, et al. Comparison of the microbiota and inorganic anion content in the saliva of patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease and gastroesophageal reflux disease-free individuals. BioMed Res Int 2020;2020:2681791.
- [35] Yan F, Li N, Shi J, Li H, Yue Y, et al. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* alleviates type 2 diabetes by regulating hepatic glucose, lipid metabolism and gut microbiota in mice. Food Funct 2019;10(9):5804–15.
- [36] Zaiss MM, Jones RM, Schett G, Pacifici R. The gut-bone axis: how bacterial metabolites bridge the distance. J Clin Investig 2019;129(8):3018–28.
- [37] Weaver CM, Martin BR, Nakatsu CH, Armstrong AP, Clavijo A, et al. Galactooligosaccharides improve mineral absorption and bone properties in growing rats through gut fermentation. J Agric Food Chem 2011;59(12):6501–10.
- [38] Si H, Han Y, Liu H, Lou Y, Li Z. Effects of rumen-protected arginine supplementation on the plasma amino acids and gut microbiota of sika deer (*Cervus nippon*). Anim Feed Sci Technol 2021;273:114828.
- [39] Jennings A, Macgregor A, Spector T, Cassidy A. Amino acid intakes are associated with bone mineral density and prevalence of low bone mass in women: evidence from discordant monozygotic twins. J Bone Miner Res 2016;31(2):326–35.
- [40] Zhao Q, Shen H, Su K-J, Zhang J-G, Tian Q, et al. Metabolomic profiles associated with bone mineral density in US caucasian women. Nutr Metab 2018;15:1–9.
- [41] Muhammad SI, Maznah I, Mahmud R, Zuki ABZ, Imam MU. Upregulation of genes related to bone formation by γ-amino butyric acid and γ-oryzanol in germinated brown rice is via the activation of GABAB-receptors and reduction of serum IL-6 in rats. Clin Interv Aging 2013:1259–71.
- [42] Spencer GJ, Mcgrath CJ, Genever PG. Current perspectives on NMDA-type
- glutamate signalling in bone. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2007;39(6):1089–104.[43] Louis P, Flint HJ. Formation of propionate and butyrate by the human colonic microbiota. Environ Microbiol 2017;19(1):29–41.
- [44] Xie F, Jin W, Si H, Yuan Y, Tao Y, et al. An integrated gene catalog and over 10,000 metagenome-assembled genomes from the gastrointestinal microbiome of ruminants. Microbiome 2021;9(1):137.
- [45] Ransom-Jones E, Jones DL, Mccarthy AJ, Mcdonald JE. The Fibrobacteres: an important phylum of cellulose-degrading bacteria. Microb Ecol 2012;63(2): 267–81.
- [46] Baniel A, Amato KR, Beehner JC, Bergman TJ, Mercer A, et al. Seasonal shifts in the gut microbiome indicate plastic responses to diet in wild geladas. Microbiome 2021;9(1):26.
- [47] Huws SA, Edwards JE, Creevey CJ, Rees Stevens P, Lin W, et al. Temporal dynamics of the metabolically active rumen bacteria colonizing fresh perennial ryegrass. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2015;92(1).
- [48] Liu K, Xu Q, Wang L, Wang J, Guo W, et al. The impact of diet on the composition and relative abundance of rumen microbes in goat. Asian-Australas J Anim Sci 2017;30(4):531–7.
- [49] Ze X, Duncan SH, Louis P, Flint HJ. Ruminococcus bromii is a keystone species for the degradation of resistant starch in the human colon. ISME J 2012;6(8):1535–43.
- [50] Shen J, Li Z, Yu Z, Zhu W. Effects of dietary replacement of soybean meal with dried distillers grains with solubles on the microbiota occupying different

S. Li et al.

Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 23 (2024) 1608-1618

ecological niches in the rumen of growing Hu lambs. J Anim Sci Biotechnol 2020; 11:93.

- [51] Soltis MP, Henniger MT, Egert-Mclean AM, Voy BH, Moorey SE, et al. Rumen biogeographical regions and their impact on microbial and metabolome variation. F Anim Sci 2023;4:1154463.
- [52] Attene-Ramos MS, Wagner ED, Plewa MJ, Gaskins HR. Evidence that hydrogen sulfide is a genotoxic agent. Mol Cancer Res 2006;4(1):9–14.
- [53] Grassi F, Tyagi AM, Calvert JW, Gambari L, Walker LD, et al. Hydrogen sulfide is a novel regulator of bone formation implicated in the bone loss induced by estrogen deficiency. J Bone Miner Res 2016;31(5):949–63.
- [54] Stewart CS, Flint HJ, Bryant MP. The rumen bacteria. The rumen microbial ecosystem. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 1997. p. 10–72.
- [55] De Vos WM, Tilg H, Van Hul M, Cani PD. Gut microbiome and health: mechanistic insights. Gut 2022;71(5):1020–32.
- [56] Christl SU, Eisner H-D, Dusel G, Kasper H, Scheppach W. Antagonistic effects of sulfide and butyrate on proliferation of colonic mucosa. Dig Dis Sci 1996;41(12): 2477–81.
- [57] Pacífico C, Petri RM, Ricci S, Mickdam E, Wetzels SU, et al. Unveiling the bovine epimural microbiota composition and putative function. Microorganisms 2021;9 (2):342.
- [58] Anderson CJ, Koester LR, Schmitz-Esser S. Rumen epithelial communities share a core bacterial microbiota: a meta-analysis of 16S rRNA gene Illumina MiSeq sequencing datasets. Front Microbiol 2021;12:625400.
- [59] Van Gylswyk NO. Succiniclasticum ruminis gen. nov., sp. nov., a ruminal bacterium converting succinate to propionate as the sole energy-yielding mechanism. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1995;45(2):297–300.
- [60] Sizova MV, Muller P, Panikov N, Mandalakis M, Hohmann T, et al. Stomatobaculum longum gen. nov., sp. nov., an obligately anaerobic bacterium from the human oral cavity. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2013;63(Pt 4):1450.
- [61] Zhao H, Chu M, Huang Z, Yang X, Ran S, et al. Variations in oral microbiota associated with oral cancer. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):11773.

- [62] Horvath A, Rainer F, Bashir M, Leber B, Schmerboeck B, et al. Biomarkers for oralization during long-term proton pump inhibitor therapy predict survival in cirrhosis. Sci Rep 2019;9(1):12000.
- [63] Adamberg K, Tomson K, Talve T, Pudova K, Puurand M, et al. Levan enhances associated growth of *Bacteroides, Escherichia, Streptococcus* and *Faecalibacterium* in fecal microbiota. PLOS ONE 2015;10(12):e0144042.
- [64] Feng S, Meng C, Liu Y, Yi Y, Liang A, et al. *Bacillus licheniformis* prevents and reduces anxiety-like and depression-like behaviours. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2023;107(13):4355–68.
- [65] Arachchilage Hasitha Maduranga Karunarathne W, Hyun Choi Y, Lee M-H, Kang C-H, Kim G-Y. Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-mediated bone formation and its implications for anti-osteoporosis strategies: exploring the relation between GABA and GABA receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 2023;218:115888.
- [66] Candow DG, Forbes SC, Chilibeck PD, Cornish SM, Antonio J, et al. Effectiveness of creatine supplementation on aging muscle and bone: focus on falls prevention and inflammation. J Clin Med 2019;8(4):488.
- [67] Ducray AD, Schläppi JA, Qualls R, Andres RH, Seiler RW, et al. Creatine treatment promotes differentiation of GABA-ergic neuronal precursors in cultured fetal rat spinal cord. J Neurosci Res 2007;85(9):1863–75.
- [68] Hou J-L, Yang W-Y, Zhang Q, Feng H, Wang X-B, et al. Integration of metabolomics and transcriptomics to reveal the metabolic characteristics of exercise-improved bone mass. Nutrients 2023;15(7):1694.
- [69] Sreekumar A, Poisson LM, Rajendiran TM, Khan AP, Cao Q, et al. Metabolomic profiles delineate potential role for sarcosine in prostate cancer progression. Nature 2009;457(7231):910–4.
- [70] Roager HM, Licht TR. Microbial tryptophan catabolites in health and disease. Nat Commun 2018;9(1):3294.
- [71] Chen Y, Tian P, Wang Z, Pan R, Shang K, et al. Indole acetic acid exerts antidepressive effects on an animal model of chronic mild stress. Nutrients 2022;14 (23):5019.