ACR Appropriateness Criteria® pulsatile abdominal mass, suspected abdominal aortic aneurysm

Benoit Desjardins · Karin E. Dill · Scott D. Flamm · Christopher J. Francois ·
Marie D. Gerhard-Herman · Sanjeeva P. Kalva · M. Ashraf Mansour · Emile R. Mohler III ·
Isabel B. Oliva · Matthew P. Schenker · Clifford Weiss · Frank J. Rybicki

Abstract Clinical palpation of a pulsating abdominal mass alerts the clinician to the presence of a possible abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA). Generally an arterial aneurysm is defined as a localized arterial dilatation ≥50 % greater than the normal diameter. Imaging studies are important in diagnosing the cause of a pulsatile abdominal mass and, if an AAA is found, in determining its size and involvement of abdominal branches. Ultrasound (US) is the initial imaging modality of choice when a pulsatile abdominal mass is present. Noncontrast computed tomography (CT) may be substituted in patients for whom US is not suitable. When aneurysms have reached the size threshold for intervention or are clinically symptomatic, contrast-enhanced multidetector CT angiography (CTA) is the best diagnostic and preintervention planning study, accurately delineating the location, size, and extent of aneurysm and the involvement of branch vessels. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) may be substituted if CT cannot be performed. Catheter arteriography has some utility in patients with significant contraindications to both CTA and MRA. The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria® are evidence-based guidelines for specific clinical conditions that are reviewed every 2 years by a multidisciplinary expert panel. The guideline

B. Desjardins (✉)
Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania,
3400 Spruce St, 1 Silverstein Bldg., Philadelphia, PA 19104-4206, USA
e-mail: bd_md_phd@me.com

K. E. Dill
University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

S. D. Flamm
Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA

C. J. Francois
University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA

M. D. Gerhard-Herman
American College of Cardiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

S. P. Kalva
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

M. A. Mansour
Society of Vascular Surgeons, Vascular Associates, Grand Rapids, MI, USA

E. R. Mohler III
American College of Cardiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

I. B. Oliva
Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

M. P. Schenker · F. J. Rybicki
Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

C. Weiss
Johns Hopkins Bayview Medical Center, Baltimore, MD, USA

development and review include an extensive analysis of current medical literature from peer reviewed journals and the application of a well-established consensus methodology (modified Delphi) to rate the appropriateness of imaging and treatment procedures by the panel. In those instances where evidence is lacking or not definitive, expert opinion may be used to recommend imaging or treatment.

Keywords Appropriateness criteria · Aortic aneurysm · Ultrasonography · Computed tomography · Magnetic resonance angiography · Catheter arteriography

Introduction/background

Clinical palpation of a pulsating abdominal mass alerts the clinician to the presence of a possible abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA), a common vascular disorder seen in older individuals, more commonly in male patients with a history of hypertension and smoking [1–3]. However, the finding of a pulsatile abdominal mass can also be caused by a tortuous abdominal aorta or transmitted pulsations from the aorta to a nonvascular mass [4].

Generally an arterial aneurysm is defined as a localized arterial dilatation ≥50 % greater than the normal diameter. The term ectasia is applied to arterial dilatations <50 % of expected normal diameter. However, the normal dimension of the infrarenal abdominal aorta is up to 2 cm in anteroposterior (AP) diameter. Thus, the infrarenal abdominal aorta is considered aneurysmal if it is ≥3 cm in diameter or ectatic between 2 and 3 cm in diameter [5]. The absolute threshold for aneurysm decreases along the length of the aorta and is about 10 % smaller in women than in men [6].

Imaging studies are important in diagnosing the cause of a pulsatile abdominal mass and, if an AAA is found, in determining its size, involvement of abdominal branches, both visceral and parietal, and any associated significant stenosis or aneurysm involving abdominal visceral and extremity arteries [7]. Imaging studies should also categorize the extent of aneurysm (i.e., infrarenal aorta; infrarenal aorta and iliac; isolated iliac; or juxtarenal, suprarenal, or thoracoabdominal aorta) [8]. Imaging can also be used for routine surveillance of AAAs [9, 10].

Currently, elective repair is considered for AAAs ≥5.5 cm in diameter [11]. For smaller AAAs, periodic surveillance is recommended at intervals based on their maximum size [12]: every 6 months for those 4.5–5.4 cm in diameter, every 12 months for those 3.5–4.4 cm in diameter, every 3 years for those 3.0–3.4 cm in diameter, and every 5 years for those 2.6–2.9 cm in diameter.

Population-based ultrasound (US) screening studies have been recommended for male patients >65 years of age [13]. Risk of AAA increases with a history of

hypertension and smoking. For AAAs between 3 and 5.5 cm in diameter, periodic US or computed tomography (CT) imaging at 6–12-month intervals depending on rate of aneurysm enlargement on prior studies is recommended. When aneurysms have reached the size threshold for intervention (5.5 cm) or are considered clinically symptomatic, additional preintervention imaging studies should be performed to help define the optimal surgical or endovascular approach. For preintervention studies, either multidetector CT (MDCT) or CT angiography (CTA) is the optimal choice. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) may be substituted if CT cannot be performed (for example, because the patient is allergic to iodinated contrast). However, MRA is usually performed with gadolinium contrast, which is not suitable for patients with severe renal insufficiency. In such patients, the center where it is being performed must be able to perform MRA of AAA without the use of gadolinium contrast [14, 15] (see Table 1).

Other types of imaging studies that have been used in the past to delineate AAAs—including abdominal radiographs, intravenous urography, and blood pool radionuclide imaging—are not recommended for diagnosis, surveillance, or preintervention imaging.

Catheter arteriography has very limited utility in the preintervention evaluation of patients with AAAs, its sole utility being in patients with significant contraindications to both CTA (significant renal dysfunction) and MRA (significant renal dysfunction, cardiac pacemakers, claustrophobia). In patients with significant renal dysfunction, the combination of noncontrast CT and the lower load of iodinated contrast material that can be used with intraarterial injection can decrease the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy.

Many imaging studies for assessing AAA can also identify other disease that could affect preoperative management of AAA, such as coronary artery disease [16] and thoracic aortic aneurysm [17]. Screening for AAA can also be performed during unrelated imaging studies, such as transthoracic echocardiography [18, 19], peripheral vascular US [20], and imaging studies to assess coronary artery disease [21, 22] and stroke or transient ischemic attack [23].

Ultrasound

US examination of the abdominal aorta should be a dedicated examination and not a component of a generalized abdominal US study. If possible, complete longitudinal evaluation of the full extent of the aneurysm and involvement of common iliac arteries should be performed. These studies should include a measurement of the leading-edge-to-leading-edge AP diameter in the proximal, mid, and distal infrarenal aorta and of the common iliac arteries.

Table 1 Clinical condition: pulsatile abdominal mass, suspected AAA

Radiologic procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL[a]
US aorta abdomen	9	Initial examination. May be limited by body habitus or acoustic window	O
CT abdomen without contrast	8	Preferred for symptomatic patients. Suitable for patients in whom US is not useful	☢☢☢
CTA abdomen with contrast	7	Also enables preinterventional planning	☢☢☢
MRA abdomen without contrast	6	Alternative to CTA. Unable to detect calcium. Site-specific expertise important	O
MRA abdomen without and with contrast	6	Alternative to CTA. Unable to detect calcium. Site-specific expertise important. See statement regarding contrast in text under "anticipated exceptions"	O
Aortography abdomen	2	Essentially replaced by cross-sectional imaging for diagnostic purposes. May be used for preinterventional planning	☢☢☢
FDG-PET/CT abdomen	2		☢☢☢☢

Rating scale: *1–3* usually not appropriate, *4–6* may be appropriate, *7–9* usually appropriate

[a] Relative radiation level

Lining mural thrombus should be delineated. Right and left kidneys should be imaged to determine size, parenchymal thickness, and presence or absence of hydronephrosis. In order to permit US to be used instead of CT for AAA follow-up, interindividual reproducibility of diameter measurements should be within ≤4 mm [24]. US tend to underestimate the size of aneurysms by 4 mm compared to CTA [25]. Color Doppler imaging is not a necessary component of sonographic screening or surveillance examination. New, 3-D volumetric US techniques offer similar measurements but speed up imaging significantly [26, 27].

Approximately 5 % of AAAs will be juxtarenal or juxta/suprarenal [28], and it may not be possible to accurately delineate the upper margin of such aneurysms or the precise involvement of abdominal visceral branches by sonographic study. That is why a more definitive study, such as CTA, should be performed prior to intervention.

Computed tomography

Noncontrast CT is diagnostically equivalent to US for AAA detection and is recommended in patients for whom US is not suitable (for example, those with obese body habitus). CT may be used as a diagnostic and preintervention study, suitable for patients presenting with pulsatile abdominal mass with or without clinical suspicion of contained aortic rupture, and in planning endovascular or surgical intervention in patients with AAAs >5.5 cm in external AP diameter [29–31]. In tortuous aneurysms, where a single dimension may be artifactually accentuated by the curvature of the aorta, the short-axis diameter of the aorta may be substituted for the AP diameter.

Contrast-enhanced multidetector CTA is the best diagnostic and preintervention planning study, accurately delineating the location, size, and extent of aneurysm and the involvement of branch vessels, allowing for accurate quantitative 3-D measurements [32]. CTA can also assess thrombus in aneurysm. Larger thrombus and eccentric thrombus seem associated with rapid enlargement of the aneurysm and increased incidence of cardiovascular events [33, 34]. There are several research protocols that use modern CT technologies. Multiphase MDCT can assess compressibility of thrombus that can act as a biomechanical buffer [35]. Using delayed imaging, aortic wall enhancement is associated with AAA diameter, biochemical markers of inflammation, and thrombus size [36]. Short-term follow up by CTA does not decrease the suitability of aneurysms for endovascular intervention [37].

In patients with suspected thoraco AAA, CTA may be tailored for an angiographic examination of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis [38–40]. In patients with suspected coexistent lower-extremity arterial disease, the arterial system from the diaphragm to the feet can be studied with MDCT or CTA [41].

Volume rendering, subvolume maximum-intensity projection (MIP), and curved planar reformations are integral components of the 3-D analysis. Semiautomated measurements of vessel diameter and length in relation to the proximal and distal aneurysm margins and branch vessels can be readily obtained with software supplied by multiple vendors. Additional research methods include ECG-gated MDCT that can assess decreased distensibility of aortic aneurysms [42]. Advanced postprocessing of CT data can assess wall stress. Rapidly expanding AAAs has higher shoulder and wall stress [43, 44]. Calcification of the aneurysm increases wall stress and decreases the biomechanical stability of AAA [45]. AAA peak wall stress at maximal blood pressure is higher in symptomatic or ruptured aneurysms compared to asymptomatic aneurysms [46, 47].

In patients with suspected contained rupture, nonintravenous contrast-enhanced CT is performed to better diagnose dissecting hematoma in the lining of the intra-aortic thrombus (the crescent sign) and other signs consistent with imminent or contained rupture [48–50], including a draped aorta and adjacent vertebral erosion [51]. In patients who have contained rupture, a rapid CT angiographic study provides a template for decision making about endovascular aneurysm repair or surgical aneurysmectomy [52].

Magnetic resonance angiography

Contrast-enhanced MRA is an alternative and effective diagnostic and preintervention study [53]. The acquisition speed and spatial resolution of contrast-enhanced MRA has improved with the introduction of parallel imaging techniques, narrowing the gap with CTA in relation to image quality [54, 55]. The introduction of blood pool contrast agents now enables longer image acquisition to improve image resolution [56]. Caution should be used in patients with severe renal dysfunction, generally considered as estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <30 ml/kg/min, who may be at risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis [57]. In these patients, a non-contrast-enhanced study may be substituted. Sequences and imaging expertise required for a full evaluation of AAA without contrast are becoming more mainstream.

Three-dimensional display techniques, including multiplanar reformation, MIP display, and volume rendering, are integral to the display and analysis of 3-D MRA. Cine techniques can also assess distensibility and, with suitable measurements of central venous pressure, can assess aortic compliance [58]. Vessel wall shear stress can also be measured using newer 4-D flow-sensitive MRI techniques [59].

Catheter arteriography

Patients with significant contraindications to both CTA and MRA may have diagnostic catheter arteriography performed with a relatively low-contrast material load following US documentation of AAA and/or noncontrast CT findings [60].

Catheter arteriography may not demonstrate the aneurysm diameter accurately, as only the contrast column of an aneurysm containing lining mural thrombus may be displayed. In patients with marginal renal function, rapid intra-arterial injection of a relatively low volume of dilute contrast material from a catheter located in the mid descending thoracic aorta can be used for a diagnostic CTA study.

Positron emission tomography

Although primarily a research tool, positron emission tomography using fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG–PET) imaging has promise in the evaluation of patients with AAA. Increased metabolic activity and FDG uptake ($SUV_{max} > 2.5$) is noted in aneurysms [61, 62] and even higher in inflammatory aneurysms and symptomatic aneurysms and correlates well with histologic and metabolic evidence of inflammation [63]. Increased FDG uptake is also seen in areas of high wall stress and rupture [64]. Aneurysm calcification is unrelated to FDG uptake [61].

Summary

- The consensus of the literature supports aortic US as the initial imaging modality of choice when a pulsatile abdominal mass is present. Noncontrast CT may be substituted in patients for whom US is not suitable (for example, those with obese body habitus).
- US is recommended as a screening technique in the Medicare-eligible male population at highest risk.
- For definitive diagnosis and preintervention imaging, CTA and MRA are recommended.
- Currently, CTA is regarded as the superior test, as it is readily available, is robust, and provides high spatial resolution 3-D displays suitable for interventional planning as well as delineation of pathology in abdominal visceral arterial branches and extremity outflow vessels.
- Contrast-enhanced MRA has improved significantly in terms of speed and spatial resolution with the advent of parallel processing techniques and blood pool contrast agents. It may replace CTA for interventional planning in patients for whom iodinated contrast is contraindicated.
- Noncontrast MRA sequences for full evaluation of AAA are becoming more mainstream and should only be performed in centers with expertise in this technique.
- Appropriate preintervention measurements of the aorto-iliac arterial system can be obtained with either technique.
- Both CTA and MRA can be used for thoracoabdominal aortic and extremity studies, all in the same imaging session.
- FDG–PET remains primarily a research tool but shows promise for assessing the metabolic activity of aneurysms.

Anticipated exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a scleroderma-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunction and the administration of gadolinium-

Table 2 RRL designations

RRL[a]	Adult effective dose estimate range (mSv)	Pediatric effective dose estimate range (mSv)
O	0	0
☢	<0.1	<0.03
☢☢	0.1–1	0.03–0.3
☢☢☢	1–10	0.3–3
☢☢☢☢	10–30	3–10
☢☢☢☢☢	30–100	10–30

[a] RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (e.g., region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as *NS* not specified

based contrast agents. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely in patients with very limited GFR (i.e., <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk, and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2. For more information, please see the ACR *Manual on Contrast Media* [65].

Relative radiation level information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table 2). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® radiation dose assessment introduction document [66].

For additional information on ACR Appropriateness Criteria®, refer to http://www.acr.org/ac.

References

1. Bickerstaff LK, Hollier LH, Van Peenen HJ, Melton LJ III, Pairolero PC, Cherry KJ (1984) Abdominal aortic aneurysms: the changing natural history. J Vasc Surg 1(1):6–12
2. Ernst CB (1993) Abdominal aortic aneurysm. N Engl J Med 328(16):1167–1172
3. Guirguis EM, Barber GG (1991) The natural history of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Am J Surg 162(5):481–483
4. Neville A, Herts BR (2004) CT characteristics of primary retroperitoneal neoplasms. Crit Rev Comput Tomogr 45(4): 247–270
5. Johnston KW, Rutherford RB, Tilson MD, Shah DM, Hollier L, Stanley JC (1991) Suggested standards for reporting on arterial aneurysms. Subcommittee on reporting standards for arterial aneurysms, ad hoc committee on reporting standards, society for vascular surgery and North American chapter, International Society for Cardiovascular Surgery. J Vasc Surg 13(3):452–458
6. Wanhainen A, Themudo R, Ahlstrom H, Lind L, Johansson L (2008) Thoracic and abdominal aortic dimension in 70-year-old men and women—a population-based whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study. J Vasc Surg 47(3):504–512
7. Richards T, Dharmadasa A, Davies R, Murphy M, Perera R, Walton J (2009) Natural history of the common iliac artery in the presence of an abdominal aortic aneurysm. J Vasc Surg 49(4): 881–885
8. Schermerhorn ML, Cronenwett JL (2005) Abdominal aortic and iliac aneurysms. In: Rutherford CV (ed) Vascular Surgery, 6th edn. Elsevier, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
9. Ashton HA, Buxton MJ, Day NE et al (2002) The multicentre aneurysm screening study (MASS) into the effect of abdominal aortic aneurysm screening on mortality in men: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 360(9345):1531–1539
10. Lederle FA, Johnson GR, Wilson SE et al (2000) The aneurysm detection and management study screening program: validation cohort and final results. Aneurysm detection and management veterans affairs cooperative study investigators. Arch Intern Med 160(10):1425–1430
11. Lederle FA (2006) A summary of the contributions of the VA cooperative studies on abdominal aortic aneurysms. Ann NY Acad Sci 1085:29–38
12. Chaikof EL, Brewster DC, Dalman RL et al (2009) The care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm: the society for vascular surgery practice guidelines. J Vasc Surg 50(4 Suppl):S2–S49
13. Fleming C, Whitlock EP, Beil TL, Lederle FA (2005) Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a best-evidence systematic review for the U.S. preventive services task force. Ann Intern Med 142(3):203–211
14. Diehm N, Herrmann P, Dinkel HP (2004) Multidetector CT angiography versus digital subtraction angiography for aortoiliac length measurements prior to endovascular AAA repair. J Endovasc Ther 11(5):527–534
15. Wyers MC, Fillinger MF, Schermerhorn ML et al (2003) Endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm without preoperative arteriography. J Vasc Surg 38(4):730–738
16. Budde RP, Huo F, Cramer MJ et al (2010) Simultaneous aortic and coronary assessment in abdominal aortic aneurysm patients by thoraco-abdominal 64-detector-row CT angiography: estimate of the impact on preoperative management—a pilot study. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 40(2):196–201
17. Larsson E, Vishnevskaya L, Kalin B, Granath F, Swedenborg J, Hultgren R (2011) High frequency of thoracic aneurysms in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. Ann Surg 253(1): 180–184

18. Aboyans V, Kownator S, Lafitte M et al (2010) Screening abdominal aorta aneurysm during echocardiography: literature review and proposal for a French nationwide study. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 103(10):552–558

19. Roshanali F, Mandegar MH, Yousefnia MA, Mohammadi A, Baharvand B (2007) Abdominal aorta screening during transthoracic echocardiography. Echocardiography 24(7):685–688

20. Alund M, Mani K, Wanhainen A (2008) Selective screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm among patients referred to the vascular laboratory. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 35(6):669–674

21. Dupont A, Elkalioubie A, Juthier F et al (2010) Frequency of abdominal aortic aneurysm in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Am J Cardiol 105(11):1545–1548

22. Long A, Bui HT, Barbe C et al (2010) Prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysm and large infrarenal aorta in patients with acute coronary syndrome and proven coronary stenosis: a prospective monocenter study. Ann Vasc Surg 24(5):602–608

23. Gratama JW, van Leeuwen RB (2010) Abdominal aortic aneurysm: high prevalence in men over 59 years of age with TIA or stroke, a perspective. Abdom Imaging 35(1):95–98

24. Singh K, Bonaa KH, Solberg S, Sorlie DG, Bjork L (1998) Intra- and inter-observer variability in ultrasound measurements of abdominal aortic diameter. The Tromso Study. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 15(6):497–504

25. Manning BJ, Kristmundsson T, Sonesson B, Resch T (2009) Abdominal aortic aneurysm diameter: a comparison of ultrasound measurements with those from standard and three-dimensional computed tomography reconstruction. J Vasc Surg 50(2):263–268

26. Nyhsen CM, Elliott ST (2007) Rapid assessment of abdominal aortic aneurysms by 3-dimensional ultrasonography. J Ultrasound Med 26(2):223–226

27. Vidakovic R, Feringa HH, Kuiper RJ et al (2007) Comparison with computed tomography of two ultrasound devices for diagnosis of abdominal aortic aneurysm. Am J Cardiol 100(12):1786–1791

28. Cohan RH, Siegel CL, Korobkin M et al (1995) Abdominal aortic aneurysms: CT evaluation of renal artery involvement. Radiology 194(3):751–756

29. Broeders IA, Blankensteijn JD (1999) Preoperative imaging of the aortoiliac anatomy in endovascular aneurysm surgery. Semin Vasc Surg 12(4):306–314

30. Fukuhara R, Ishiguchi T, Ikeda M et al (2004) Evaluation of abdominal aortic aneurysm for endovascular stent-grafting with volume-rendered CT images of vessel lumen and thrombus. Radiat Med 22(5):332–341

31. Singh K, Jacobsen BK, Solberg S et al (2003) Intra- and inter-observer variability in the measurements of abdominal aortic and common iliac artery diameter with computed tomography. The Tromso Study. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 25(5):399–407

32. Filis KA, Arko FR, Rubin GD, Zarins CK (2003) Evaluation for endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. Quantitative assessment of the infrarenal aortic neck. Acta Chir Belg 103(1):81–86

33. Parr A, McCann M, Bradshaw B, Shahzad A, Buttner P, Golledge J (2011) Thrombus volume is associated with cardiovascular events and aneurysm growth in patients who have abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg 53(1):28–35

34. Vega de Ceniga M, Gomez R, Estallo L, de la Fuente N, Viviens B, Barba A (2008) Analysis of expansion patterns in 4–4.9 cm abdominal aortic aneurysms. Ann Vasc Surg 22(1):37–44

35. Truijers M, Fillinger MF, Renema KW et al (2009) In vivo imaging of changes in abdominal aortic aneurysm thrombus volume during the cardiac cycle. J Endovasc Ther 16(3):314–319

36. Sakuta A, Kimura F, Aoka Y, Aomi S, Hagiwara N, Kasanuki H (2007) Delayed enhancement on computed tomography in abdominal aortic aneurysm wall. Heart Vessel 22(2):79–87

37. Yau FS, Rosero EB, Clagett GP et al (2007) Surveillance of small aortic aneurysms does not alter anatomic suitability for endovascular repair. J Vasc Surg 45(1):96–100

38. Hayter RG, Rhea JT, Small A, Tafazoli FS, Novelline RA (2006) Suspected aortic dissection and other aortic disorders: multidetector row CT in 373 cases in the emergency setting. Radiology 238(3):841–852

39. Kubo S, Tadamura E, Yamamuro M et al (2007) Multidetector-row computed tomographic angiography of thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysms: comparison of arterial enhancement with 3 different doses of contrast material. J Comput Assist Tomogr 31(3):422–429

40. Nonent M, Thouveny F, Simons P et al (2007) Iodixanol in multidetector-row computed tomography angiography (MDCTA): diagnostic accuracy for abdominal aorta and abdominal aortic major-branch diseases using four-, eight- and 16-detector-row CT scanners. Acta Radiol 48(1):48–58

41. Catalano C, Fraioli F, Laghi A et al (2004) Infrarenal aortic and lower-extremity arterial disease: diagnostic performance of multi-detector row CT angiography. Radiology 231(2):555–563

42. Ganten MK, Krautter U, von Tengg-Kobligk H et al (2008) Quantification of aortic distensibility in abdominal aortic aneurysm using ECG-gated multi-detector computed tomography. Eur Radiol 18(5):966–973

43. Li ZY, Sadat U, U-King-Im J et al (2010) Association between aneurysm shoulder stress and abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion: a longitudinal follow-up study. Circulation 122(18):1815–1822

44. Speelman L, Hellenthal FA, Pulinx B et al (2010) The influence of wall stress on AAA growth and biomarkers. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 39(4):410–416

45. Li ZY, U-King-Im J, Tang TY, Soh E, See TC, Gillard JH (2008) Impact of calcification and intraluminal thrombus on the computed wall stresses of abdominal aortic aneurysm. J Vasc Surg 47(5):928–935

46. Heng MS, Fagan MJ, Collier JW, Desai G, McCollum PT, Chetter IC (2008) Peak wall stress measurement in elective and acute abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg 47(1):17–22; Discussion 22

47. Truijers M, Pol JA, Schultzekool LJ, van Sterkenburg SM, Fillinger MF, Blankensteijn JD (2007) Wall stress analysis in small asymptomatic, symptomatic and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 33(4):401–407

48. Bhalla S, Menias CO, Heiken JP (2003) CT of acute abdominal aortic disorders. Radiol Clin N Am 41(6):1153–1169

49. Rakita D, Newatia A, Hines JJ, Siegel DN, Friedman B (2007) Spectrum of CT findings in rupture and impending rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. Radiographics 27(2):497–507

50. Roy J, Labruto F, Beckman MO, Danielson J, Johansson G, Swedenborg J (2008) Bleeding into the intraluminal thrombus in abdominal aortic aneurysms is associated with rupture. J Vasc Surg 48(5):1108–1113

51. Apter S, Rimon U, Konen E et al (2010) Sealed rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms: CT features in 6 patients and a review of the literature. Abdom Imaging 35(1):99–105

52. Willmann JK, Lachat ML, von Smekal A, Turina MI, Pfammatter T (2001) Spiral-CT angiography to assess feasibility of endovascular aneurysm repair in patients with ruptured aortoiliac aneurysm. Vasa 30(4):271–276

53. Atar E, Belenky A, Hadad M, Ranany E, Baytner S, Bachar GN (2006) MR angiography for abdominal and thoracic aortic aneurysms: assessment before endovascular repair in patients with impaired renal function. Am J Res 186(2):386–393

54. Michaely HJ, Herrmann KA, Kramer H et al (2006) High-resolution renal MRA: comparison of image quality and vessel depiction with different parallel imaging acceleration factors. J Magn Reson Imaging 24(1):95–100

55. Wilson GJ, Hoogeveen RM, Willinek WA, Muthupillai R, Maki JH (2004) Parallel imaging in MR angiography. Top Magn Reson Imaging 15(3):169–185

56. Wolf F, Plank C, Beitzke D et al (2011) Prospective evaluation of high-resolution MRI using gadofosveset for stent-graft planning: comparison with CT angiography in 30 Patients. AJR 197(5): 1251–1257

57. Collidge TA, Thomson PC, Mark PB et al (2007) Gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: retrospective study of a renal replacement therapy cohort. Radiology 245(1):168–175

58. van't Veer M, Buth J, Merkx M et al (2008) Biomechanical properties of abdominal aortic aneurysms assessed by simultaneously measured pressure and volume changes in humans. J Vasc Surg 48(6):1401–1407

59. Harloff A, Nussbaumer A, Bauer S et al (2010) In vivo assessment of wall shear stress in the atherosclerotic aorta using flow-sensitive 4D MRI. Magn Reson Med 63(6):1529–1536

60. Hoornweg LL, Wisselink W, Vahl A, Balm R (2007) The Amsterdam acute aneurysm trial: suitability and application rate for endovascular repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 33(6):679–683

61. Kotze CW, Menezes LJ, Endozo R, Groves AM, Ell PJ, Yusuf SW (2009) Increased metabolic activity in abdominal aortic aneurysm detected by 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT). Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 38(1):93–99

62. Truijers M, Kurvers HA, Bredie SJ, Oyen WJ, Blankensteijn JD (2008) In vivo imaging of abdominal aortic aneurysms: increased FDG uptake suggests inflammation in the aneurysm wall. J Endovasc Ther 15(4):462–467

63. Reeps C, Essler M, Pelisek J, Seidl S, Eckstein HH, Krause BJ (2008) Increased 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in abdominal aortic aneurysms in positron emission/computed tomography is associated with inflammation, aortic wall instability, and acute symptoms. J Vasc Surg 48(2):417–423; Discussion 424

64. Xu XY, Borghi A, Nchimi A et al (2010) High levels of 18F-FDG uptake in aortic aneurysm wall are associated with high wall stress. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 39(3):295–301

65. American College of Radiology Manual on contrast media. Available at: http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/contrast_manual.aspx

66. American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: radiation dose assessment introduction. http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/RRLInformation.aspx. Accessed 13 Mar 2012