

Response to Invited Commentary

Yamada et al. Respond to "Radiation and Reproductive Health"

Michiko Yamada*, Kyoji Furukawa, Yoshimi Tatsukawa, Keiko Marumo, Sachiyo Funamoto, Ritsu Sakata, Kotaro Ozasa, Harry M. Cullings, Dale L. Preston, and Paivi Kurttio

* Correspondence to Dr. Michiko Yamada, Department of Clinical Studies, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, 5-2 Hijiyama Park, Minami-ku, Hiroshima 732 0815, Japan (e-mail: yamada@rerf.or.jp).

Initially submitted May 10, 2021; accepted for publication May 19, 2021.

We thank Dr. Lie (1) for his interest in our study (2) and appreciate his comments and recognition that the primary data were collected 7 decades ago. We respond to his main points as follows.

Lie points out that a prevalence of less than 1% for all major malformations together seems to be too low, raising the possibility that many cases in important categories were missed (1). In our study, all major malformations were diagnosed at birth, and thus we might have missed malformations (such as congenital heart disease) that may not be detected at birth (2). The frequencies of malformations in children at the Tokyo Red Cross Maternity Hospital during 1922–1940 (0.92%) and in children of nonirradiated parents included in this study were quite comparable (3). Checking the findings of the Atomic Bomb Casualty Commission against observations in the 2 largest hospitals in Nagasaki also showed no evidence that substantial proportions of major defects diagnosable at birth were missed (3).

Regarding radiation exposures, Lie questions whether, given the persistent radioactive contamination of the 2 Japanese cities after the blasts, exposures were sustained by the reference group (1). Turning to radiation exposure from the blasts, the characteristics of radiation exposures from the atomic bombs are different from those sustained by Chernobyl liquidators and those in regions contaminated by the Chernobyl accident (4). There were 2 types of exposures related to the atomic bombs: One was exposure to "initial radiation" released at the time of detonation of the bombs, and the other was subsequent exposure to "residual radiation" (5). The parental radiation doses used in our paper were based on the initial (acute, external) radiation from the bombings (2). Estimates of residual radiation doses are much lower than the initial radiation doses for nearly all atomic bomb survivors, even though the estimates have wide confidence intervals. Even if residual radiation exposures were considered, we do not expect that radiation risk estimates would change materially (5).

Lie suggested that women in the highest exposure categories had fewer children during the study period, based on the parity distribution shown in our Table 2 (2). His intuition might be correct, but other plausible explanations include the possibility that stigma and discrimination related to possible genetic effects led to a later age of marriage and avoidance of pregnancy (6).

We expect that a comprehensive genomewide study will add information on possible mechanisms for transgenerational effects, as Lie mentioned in the "Opportunities for Genetic Studies" section of his commentary (1). We appreciate his article title, indicating the fact that "old cohorts still deserve attention." Hopefully, the unfortunate experience of this cohort will never be repeated. The original investigators—Drs. James V. Neel, William J. Schull, and others (3)—did recognize the unique opportunity afforded by the children of survivors to advance knowledge for the world on potentially adverse consequences of radiation exposure for pregnancy outcomes. We are grateful for their foresight.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author affiliations: Department of Clinical Studies, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan (Michiko Yamada, Yoshimi Tatsukawa); Department of Statistics, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan (Kyoji Furukawa, Sachiyo Funamoto, Harry M. Cullings); Department of Information Technology, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan (Keiko Marumo); Department of Epidemiology, Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan (Ritsu Sakata, Kotaro Ozasa); HiroSoft International Corporation, Eureka, California, United States (Dale L. Preston); and Natural Radiation Regulation and Health Environmental Radiation Surveillance Section, Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority, Helsinki, Finland (Paivi Kurttio).

The advice and guidance provided by Drs. Jonathan M. Samet (Radiation Effects Research Foundation (RERF)

Councilor) and Eric J. Grant (RERF Associate Chief of Research) are gratefully acknowledged.

The Radiation Effects Research Foundation (Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Japan) is a public interest foundation funded by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare and the US Department of Energy. The views of the authors do not necessarily reflect those of the 2 governments.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

REFERENCES

- Lie RT. Invited commentary: ionizing radiation and future reproductive health—old cohorts still deserve attention. Am J Epidemiol. 2021;190(11):2334–2336.
- 2. Yamada M, Furukawa K, Tatsukawa Y, et al. Congenital malformations and perinatal deaths among the children of

- atomic bomb survivors: a reappraisal. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2021; 190(11):2323–2333.
- Neel JV, Schull WJ. The Children of Atomic Bomb Survivors: A Genetic Study. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1991
- 4. Little MP, Goodhead DT, Bridges BA, et al. Evidence relevant to untargeted and transgenerational effects in the offspring of irradiated parents. *Mutat Res.* 2013;753(1):50–67.
- Radiation Effects Research Foundation. RERF's Views on Residual Radiation. Hiroshima, Japan: Radiation Effects Research Foundation; 2012. https://www.rerf.or.jp/ uploads/2017/09/residualrad_ps_e.pdf. Accessed May 11, 2021.
- Lebow RN, Committee for the Compilation of Material on Damage Caused by the Atomic Bombs in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Ishikawa E, et al. *Hiroshima and Nagasaki: The Physical, Medical, and Social Effects of the Atomic Bombings*. London, United Kingdom: Hutchinson & Co. Ltd.; 1981.