OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

New species and records of Coryneum from China

Ning Jiang ¹^o^a, Hermann Voglmayr ¹^b, and Chengming Tian ¹^o^a

^aThe Key Laboratory for Silviculture and Conservation of Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China; ^bDivision of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Rennweg 14, A-1030 Vienna, Austria

ABSTRACT

Following the abandonment of dual nomenclature and the implementation of single-name nomenclature for pleomorphic fungi, Coryneum was considered to have priority over Pseudovalsa and was recommended for use. Currently, Coryneum is the only genus in the family Coryneaceae (Diaporthales). However, DNA sequence data are lacking for most Coryneum species, and no detailed phylogenetic analyses of the genus are yet available. In the present study, fresh Coryneum samples were collected from chestnut (Castanea) and oak (Quercus) trees in China and morphologically compared with accepted Coryneum species. Based on morphological characteristics, they were identified as one known species, Coryneum castaneicola, and three novel species described here as C. gigasporum, C. sinense, and C. suttonii. Conidial dimensions and host association were considered major characters for species distinction. The previously unknown sexual morph of C. castaneicola is reported and described. A phylogenetic analysis of nuc rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 = ITS) and large subunit (28S) sequence data of a representative matrix of Diaporthales confirmed Coryneaceae to represent a monophyletic clade. A phylogenetic analysis of a combined sequence matrix containing the ITS-28S rDNA, the translation elongation factor 1- α (*TEF1a*), and the second largest subunit of the RNA polymerase II (RPB2) of the four Chinese and four additional European Coryneum species was performed, confirming the distinctness of these novel species.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 9 April 2018 Accepted 24 August 2018

KEYWORDS

Castanea; Diaporthales; new species; Quercus; 3 new taxa

INTRODUCTION

Diaporthales is a diverse fungal order inhabiting plant and animal tissues, with most members being pathogens, saprobes, or endophytes (Barr 1978; Rossman et al. 2007; Senanayake et al. 2017). Coryneaceae, formerly commonly known as Pseudovalsaceae, are characterized by having black perithecia, often immersed in wood, asci that deliquesce at maturity, and an asexual morph with transversely distoseptate brown conidia (Sutton 1975; Rossman et al. 2007; Senanayake et al. 2017). Recent molecular phylogenetic analyses of Diaporthales showed that Coryneaceae represents a monophyletic family among Diaporthales (Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2014; Senanayake et al. 2017; Voglmayr et al. 2017; Fan et al. 2018), including only the single genus Coryneum. However, few studies of Coryneum at the species level have been undertaken.

Coryneum was first described based on C. umbonatum (Nees von Esenbeck 1816), which is the asexual morph of Pseudovalsa longipes (Sutton 1975). The type species of Pseudovalsa, P. lanciformis, is the sexual morph of another Coryneum species, C. brachyurum (Sutton 1975), now *C. lanciforme*. Therefore, in single-name nomenclature, the two genera become synonyms. Based on priority and the need for fewer new combinations, Rossman et al. (2015) recommended the genus name *Coryneum* for use rather than *Pseudovalsa*. Also at the family level, the older name Coryneaceae has priority over Pseudovalsaceae (Senanayake et al. 2017).

In his extensive monograph, Sutton (1975) transferred numerous species of *Coryneum* to other genera and accepted 19 species and one variety. Subsequently, *Coryneum arausiacum* (Senanayake et al. 2017), *C. gregoryi* (Sutton 1986), *C. pruni* (Wijayawardene et al. 2016), *C. quercinum* (Muthumary and Sutton 1986), and *C. terrophilum* (Sutton 1986) were added as new species or combinations. *Coryneum* species are generally considered highly host specific, especially occurring on hardwood trees such as those in the Betulaceae and Fagaceae (Sutton 1975). A summary of conidial sizes and host information for currently accepted *Coryneum* species is listed in TABLE 1. Few sequence data are available for most described *Coryneum* species, and

CONTACT Chengming Tian 🔯 chengmt@bjfu.edu.cn

Supplemental data for this article can be accessed on the publisher's Web site.

^{© 2018} The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Species	Host	Conidial size (µm)	No. of distosepta	Reference
Coryneum arausiacum	Quercus	42–56 × 13–16	4–5	Senanayake et al. (2017)
C. betulinum	Betula	31–36 × 14–17	4–5	Sutton (1975)
C. calophylli	Calophyllum inophyllum	38–48 × 12.5–14.5	5–6	Sutton (1975)
C. carpinicola	Carpinus	50–68 × 8–11	7–11	Sutton (1975)
C. castaneicola	Castanea	56–80 × 9.5–13	5–8	Sutton (1975), this study
C. cesatii	Aesculus	80–90 × 13–15	6–7	Sutton (1975)
C. clusiae	Clusia	30–40 × 20–30	3–5	Sutton (1975)
C. compactum	Ulmus	40–58 × 15–21	4–6	Sutton (1975)
C. depressum	Quercus	44–53 × 19–23	4–6	Sutton (1975)
C. elevatum	Quercus	56–69 × 24–28	5–7	Sutton (1975)
C. gigasporum	Castanea mollissima	88–117 × 18–23	7–9	This study
C. gregoryi	Eucalyptus globulus	32.5–43 × 12–16	5–9	Sutton and Sharma (1983)
C. japonicum	Quercus	45–60 × 11–12	5–7	This study
C. lanciforme (= C. brachyurum)	Betula	45–53 × 16–18	4–6	Sutton (1975)
C. megaspermum	Quercus	73–97 × 13–16	7–11	Sutton (1980)
C. megaspermum var. cylindricum	Quercus	100–125 × 10–13	7–8	Sutton (1975)
C. modonium	Castanea	50–71 × 14–19	5–8	Sutton (1975)
C. neesii	Quercus	68–82 × 18–22	6–8	Sutton (1975)
C. pruni	Prunus	14–23 × 5.5–9	4–5	Wijayawardene et al. (2016)
C. psidii	Psidium guajava	25–40 × 14–17	5–6	Sutton (1975)
C. pyricola	Pyrus	61–70 × 24–32	5–7	Sutton (1975)
C. quercinum	Quercus	45–60 × 14–16	6–7	Muthumary and Sutton (1986)
C. sinense	Quercus serrata	50–76 × 13–17	5–7	This study
C. stromatoideum	Tsuga canadensis	105–180 × 16–20	9–17	Sutton (1975)
C. suttonii	Castanea mollissima	60–76 × 10–14.5	4–5	This study
C. sydowianum	Alnus incaca	50–58 × 14–17	5–6	Sutton (1975)
C. terrophilum	Soil	25–55 × 15–24	3–7	Sutton and Sharma (1983)
C. umbonatum	Quercus	57–72 × 13–16	5–7	Sutton (1975)

Table 1. Hosts, conidial sizes, and numbers of distosepta of currently accepted Coryneum species.

considering that host identifications may be incorrect and that many geographical areas are still insufficiently studied, synonymies and actual numbers of *Coryneum* species are still unsettled.

Species of Castanea and Quercus (Fagaceae), which comprise economically as well as ecologically important trees in temperate to subtropical forest ecosystems, are hosts for diaporthalean fungi of various lineages. Cryphonectria parasitica is the most important canker pathogen of chestnut worldwide, but it can also incidentally infect oaks (Rigling and Prospero 2018). Diaporthe eres was reported from leaves of Castanea mollissima (Gong et al. 2017). Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi (= G. castaneae) is one of the causal agents of chestnut fruit rot (Shuttleworth et al. 2016). Amphiporthe leiphaemia and Caudospora taleola are common but usually weak canker pathogens of oaks in Europe (Phillips and Burdekin 1992). In addition, several Coryneum species occur on chestnut and oak trees (Sutton 1975).

Recently, relationships within and amongst genera and families of Diaporthales were studied based on multigene sequence data (Sogonov et al. 2008; Mejía et al. 2011a, 2011b; Crous et al. 2012; Voglmayr et al. 2012, 2017; Walker et al. 2012a, 2014a, 2014b; Gomes et al. 2013; Udayanga et al. 2014, 2015; Alvarez et al. 2016; Fan et al. 2016, 2018; Senanayake et al. 2017), but for most diaporthalean lineages only nuc rDNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 = ITS) and large subunit (28S) sequence data are available. Presently, identification of

Coryneum species using sequences is difficult because of the absence of ex-type strains and ITS sequence data. Within Coryneaceae, ITS, 28S, translation elongation factor 1- α (*TEF1* α) sequences are available only for two isolates identified as C. arausiacum; for the second largest subunit of the RNA polymerase II (RPB2) and 28S, sequences are available for single accessions of three additional species, namely, C. depressum, C. modonium, and C. umbonatum. The aim of the present study was to initiate taxonomic work on Coryneum combining morphology and multigene phylogeny. Fresh specimens of Coryneum from Castanea and Quercus hosts were collected in western China, and pure cultures were isolated from single conidia or ascospores. Culturing proved challenging because of slow colony growth on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and malt extract agar (MEA). In addition, multigene data were generated for four well-characterized, common European Coryneum species, including the generic types of Coryneum and its synonym Pseudovalsa. As a result of our analyses, four Coryneum species are described and illustrated from China, three of which represent new species, and a new connection of sexual and asexual morphs of one species is described based on sequence data.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation.—Fresh specimens of *Coryneum* were collected from branches of *Castanea mollisima* and *Quercus serrata* during our survey in Shaanxi Province, China. Single conidial and ascospore isolates were established by removing a mucoid spore mass from conidiomata or ascomata and spreading the suspension on the surface of 1.8% potato dextrose agar (PDA; 200 g potatoes, 20 g dextrose, 20 g agar per L). After inoculation, agar plates were incubated at 25 C to induce germination of spores. Single germinating spores were then transferred to new plates under a dissecting microscope with a sterile needle (Fan et al. 2018). Specimens and isolates were deposited in the Museum of Beijing Forestry University (BJFC). Axenic cultures are maintained in the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC).

Morphological observations.—Species identification was based on morphological characters of the conidiomata and ascomata produced on infected plant tissues. Cross-sections were prepared by hand using a double-edge blade under a Leica stereomicroscope (M205 FA; Wetzlar, Germany). Photomicrographs were captured with a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope equipped with a Nikon digital sight DS-Ri2 high definition color camera, using differential interference contrast (DIC) illumination and the Nikon software NIS-Elements D Package 3.00 (Tokyo, Japan). Measurements of ascospores and conidia are reported as maximum and minimum in parentheses and the range representing the mean \pm standard deviation of the number of measurements given in parentheses. Cultural characteristics of isolates incubated on PDA in the dark at 25 C were recorded.

DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing.—Genomic DNA was extracted from axenic living cultures with cellophane using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Doyle and Doyle 1990) or from freeze-dried liquid cultures (Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2011) using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). To amplify the ITS, we used primers ITS1 and ITS4 (White et al. 1990); the 28S, the primers LROR and LR5 (Moncalvo et al. 1995; Vilgalys and Hester 1990); $TEF1\alpha$, the primers EF1-688F or EF1-728F and EF1-986R or TEF1-LLErev (Carbone and Kohn 1999; Jaklitsch et al. 2006; Alves et al. 2008); and RPB2, the primers fRPB2-5F and fRPB2-7cR (Liu et al. 1999) or dRPB2-5f and dRPB2-7r (Voglmayr et al. 2016). In some instances, the ITS-28S region was amplified and sequenced as a single fragment with primers V9G (de Hoog and Gerrits van den Ende 1998) and LR5, with ITS4 and LR3 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990) as additional sequencing primers. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay was conducted as described by Fan et al. (2018). Amplification products were visually checked by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels. DNA sequencing was performed using an ABI PRISM 3730xl DNA analyzer (Carlsbad, California) with BigDye Terminator 3.1 kit (Invitrogen, Foster City, California) at the Shanghai Invitrogen Biological Technology Company Limited (Beijing, China) or at the Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research of the University of Vienna.

Phylogenetic analyses.—For the phylogenetic placement of the Coryneum taxa included in our analyses, a representative ITS-28S matrix including 58 members of all currently accepted families of Diaporthales was produced, with two species of Magnaporthales (Nakataea oryzae, Pyricularia oryzae) selected as outgroups. For detailed investigations of species relationships and delimitation within Coryneum species, a combined matrix of three loci (ITS-28S rDNA, RPB2, TEF1 α) was produced for phylogenetic analyses, with two species of Stilbosporaceae (Stilbospora macrospora and Stegonsporium pyriforme; Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2014) selected as outgroups. The GenBank accession numbers of sequences used in these analyses are given in TABLE 2.

Sequences from this study and reference sequences obtained from GenBank (TABLE 2) were aligned and edited manually using MEGA6 (Tamura et al. 2013). The alignments were concatenated for phylogenetic analyses. Maximum parsimony (MP) analyses were conducted with PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003), using 1000 heuristic search replicates with random additions of sequences with the tree bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping algorithm (MULTREES option in effect, steepest descent option not in effect). All molecular characters were unordered and given equal weight; analyses were performed with gaps treated as missing data; the COLLAPSE command was set to minbrlen, and in the ITS-28S analyses, maxtrees was set to 5000. All equally parsimonious trees found were saved in the MP analyses. Other calculated parsimony scores were tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI), and rescaled consistency (RC). MP bootstrap analyses with 1000 replicates were performed in the same way, with 10 rounds of replicates of heuristic search with random addition of sequences and subsequent TBR branch swapping during each bootstrap replicate. To check for congruence amongst the three loci for evaluation whether they meet the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) concept (Taylor et al. 2000), MP bootstrap analyses were also performed separately for the ITS-28S, *RPB2*, and *TEF1* α matrices.

Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses of the ITS-28S matrix were performed with PhyML 7.2.8, with a GTR site substitution model, including a gamma-distributed

Table 2. Strains and NCBI GenBank accession numbers used in this study.

				GenBank accession numbers		
Species	Strain/Specimen	Host	ITS	28S	TEF1a	RPB2
Aniosporopsis carpinea	CBS 771 79	Carninus betulus	NA	AF277130		
Apiosporopsis sp.	Masuva 11Af2-1	Alnus firma	NA	AB669034		
Apoharknessia insueta	CBS 111377	Eucalyptus pellita	JQ706083	AY720814		
Asterosporium asterospermum	MFLU 15-3555	Fagus sylvatica	NA	MF190062		
Auratiopycnidiella tristaniopsidis	CBS 132180 = CPC 16371	Tristaniopsis laurina	JQ685516	JQ685522		
Chiangraiomyces bauhiniae	MFLUCC 17-1669	Bauhinia sp.	MF190118	MF190064		
Coniella straminea	CBS 149.22 = CPC 3932	Fragaria sp.	AY339348	AF362569		
Coniella wangiensis	CBS 132530 = CPC 19397	Eucalyptus sp.	JX069873	JX069857		
Coryneum arausiacum	MFLUCC 15-0658	Quercus sp.	MF190120 ME100121	MF190066 ME100067		
Coryneum castaneicola	CECC 52315	Castanaa mollissima	MF190121 MH683551	MH683550	MH682731	MH685733
Corvneum castaneicola	CFCC 52316	Castanea mollissima	MH683557	MH683560	MH685732	MH685724
Corvneum depressum	D202	Quercus petraea	MH674330	MH674330	MH674338	MH674334
Corvneum depressum	AR 3897	Ouercus cerris	NA	EU683074	11107 1550	11107 1551
Coryneum gigasporum	CFCC 52319	Castanea mollissima	MH683557	MH683565	MH685737	MH685729
Coryneum gigasporum	CFCC 52320	Castanea mollissima	MH683558	MH683566	MH685738	MH685730
Coryneum lanciforme	D215	Betula pubescens	MH674332	MH674332	MH674340	MH674336
Coryneum modonium	D203	Castanea sativa	MH674331	MH674331	MH674339	MH674335
Coryneum modonium	AR 3558	Castanea sativa	NA	EU683073		
Coryneum sinense	CFCC 52452	Quercus serrata	MH683553	MH683561	MH685733	MH685725
Coryneum sinense	CFCC 52453	Quercus serrata	MH683554	MH683562	MH685734	MH685726
Coryneum suttonii		Castanea mollissima	MH683555	MH683563	MH685/35	MH685/2/
Coryneum suttonii	CFCC 52318	Castanea mollissima	MH683556	MH683564	MH685/36	MH685728
Coryneum umbonatum	D201 AD 2541	Quercus robui		ELI602072	MIN0/455/	10174555
Corvneum umbonatum	MELLICC 15-1110	Quercus certis	ME100121	MF190067		
Corvneum umbonatum	MELUCC 13-0658	Quercus sp.	MF190120	MF190066		
Cryphonectria macrospora	AR $3444 = CRS 109764$	Quercus sp. Quercus monaolica	FU199182	AF408340		
Cryphonectria parasitica	ATCC 38755	Castanea dentata	AY141856	EU199123		
Cryptosporella hypodermia	AR 3552 = CBS 122593	Ulmus minor	EU199181	AF408346		
Cytospora chrysosperma	CFCC 89600	Sophora japonica	KR045623	KR045623		
Dendrostoma mali	CFCC 52102	Malus spectabilis	MG682072	MG682012		
Diaporthe eres	AR 3538 = CBS 109767	Acer campestre	KC343075	AF408350		
Diaporthosporella cercidicola	CFCC 51994	Cercis chinensis	KY852492	KY852515		
Diaporthostoma machili	CFCC 52100	Machilus leptophylla	MG682080	MG682020		
Disculoides eucalypti Ditenella ditena	CPC = 1/650	Eucalyptus sp.	JQ685517	JQ685523		
Enthroalogum hymonagaa	AK 3423 = CBS 109/48	Alnus giulinosa Hymopaga courbaril	EU 199187	EU 199120		
Erythrogioeuni nymenaeae Gnomonia anomon	CRS 100 53	Condus avellana	ΔV818956	ΔE408361		
Harknessia eucalvnti	CBS 342 97	Eucalyntus reanans	AY720745	AF408363		
Harknessia molokaiensis	AR 3578 = CBS 109779	Eucalyptus regnans	NA	AF408390		
Hercospora tiliae	AR 3526 = CBS 109746	Tilia tomentosa	NA	AF408365		
Juglanconis appendiculata	D96	Juglans nigra	KY427139	KY427139		
Juglanconis juglandina	ME23	Juglans nigra	KY427150	KY427150		
Lamproconium desmazieri	MFLUCC 15-0870	Tilia tomentosa	KX430134	KX430135		
Lasmenia sp.	CBS 124123	Nephelium lappaceum	GU797406	JF838338		
Macrohilum eucalypti	CPC 10945	Eucalyptus sp.	DQ195781	DQ195793		
Melanconiella ellisii	BPI 8/8343	Carpinus caroliniana	JQ9262/1	JQ926271		
Melanconiella spoalaea Melanconis botulao		Carpinus betulus	JQ926298	JQ926298		
Melanconis stilbostoma		Betula platyphylla	KT722056	KT722075		
Nakataea oruzae	CRS 243 76	ΝΔ	KM484861	DO341498		
Pachytrype princeps	Rogers S	NA	NA	FI532382		
Paradiaporthe artemisiae	MFLUCC 14-0850	Artemisia sp.	MF190155	MF190100		
Prosopidicola mexicana	CBS 113530	Prosopis alandulosa	AY720710	NA		
Pseudomelanconis caryae	CFCC 52110	Carya cathayensis	MG682082	MG682022		
Pseudoplagiostoma eucalypti	CBS 124807	Eucalyptus urophylla	GU973512	GU973606		
Pseudoplagiostoma oldii	CBS 115722	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	GU973535	GU973610		
Pyricularia grisea	Ina168	NA	AB026819	AB026819		
Rossmania ukurunduensis	AR 3484	Acer ukurunduense	NA	EU683075		
Stegonsporium pyriforme	CBS 12448/	Acer heldreichii	KF5/0160	KF5/0160		
Sulvospora macrosperma	LDS 121883	Curpinus Detulus	JX51/290	JX51/299		
Synomenia ieriesifaris Synomenasporella aculeans	An 3/77 = C03 123330	Rhus chinensis	7001720 MG682086	EUU03U/8 MG682026		
Synnemasnorella toxicodendri	CFCC 52094	Toxicodendron sulvestre	MG682080	MG682020		
Symemusporena toxicouenan		ioncoucharon sylvestie	110002007	110002027		

Note. Strains from this study are in bold. NA refers to the phylogenetic analysis of the ITS-LSU matrix, in cases where only one of the two sequence regions (either ITS or LSU) was available. In the tef1 and rpb2 column, only the sequences used for the multigene (ITS, LSU, tef1, rpb2) analyses are listed – empty spaces mean that the corresponding taxa were not considered for the multigene analyses, irrespective whether a sequence is available or not.

rate heterogeneity and a proportion of invariant sites (Guindon et al. 2010). Branch support was evaluated with a bootstrapping (BS) method with 1000 replicates.

ML analyses of the three-locus matrix were done with RAxML (Stamatakis 2006) as implemented in raxmlGUI 1.3 (Silvestro and Michalak 2012), using

the ML + rapid bootstrap setting and the GTRGAMMA substitution model with 1000 bootstrap replicates. In the ML analyses, the combined three-locus matrix was partitioned for the individual gene regions, and substitution model parameters were calculated separately for each. Bayesian inference (BI) of the ITS-28S matrix was performed using MrBayes 3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003), implementing the GTR+I+G model according to the results of MrModeltest. Two Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains were run from random trees for 1 million generations and stopped when average standard deviation of split frequencies fell below 0.01. Trees were saved each 1000 generations. The first 25% of trees were discarded as the burn-in phase of each analysis, and the posterior probabilities (BPPs) were calculated from the remaining trees. The alignments and trees are deposited in TreeBASE (study no. S22414). Taxonomic novelties were deposited in MycoBank (Crous et al. 2004).

RESULTS

The final combined ITS-28S matrix comprised 1564 alignment characters. Of these, 937 characters were constant, 126 variable characters were parsimony uninformative, and 501 characters were parsimony informative. The MP analyses of the ITS-28S matrix resulted in 570 equally most parsimonious trees, with the first tree (TL = 2620, CI = 0.418, RI = 0.643, RC = 0.269) shown in FIG. 1. The phylogenetic trees obtained from ML and BI analyses with the MCMC algorithm were consistent with the MP tree shown in FIG. 1. Isolates of Coryneum species from this study and previous studies grouped together in a distinct Coryneaceae clade within Diaporthales, which is separate from all other families and receives high support (ML/MP/BI = 92/93/1). This also supported by morphological characters. is However, phylogenetic relationships within Coryneum remain unresolved because of low or insignificant support, indicating insufficient phylogenetic information of the ITS-28S sequence data.

The three-locus multigene matrix contained 3953 characters (1500 from ITS-28S, 1079 from *RPB2*, and 1374 from *TEF1a*). Of these, 3002 characters were constant, 387 variable characters were parsimony uninformative, and 564 parsimony informative (101 from ITS-28S, 231 from *RPB2*, and 232 from *TEF1a*). The MP analyses resulted in a single MP tree of 1386 steps (CI = 0.852, RI = 0.796, RC = 0.678), which is shown in FIG. 2. Tree topology of the best tree revealed by the ML analyses was identical to that of the MP tree (not shown). Conversely to the ITS-28S analyses, in the three-locus multigene analyses *Coryneum* species as well as most

internal nodes receive high to maximum support, demonstrating a substantial increase of phylogenetic resolution by the addition of *RPB2* and *TEF1* α sequences.

The separate MP bootstrap analyses of the ITS-28S, *RPB2*, and *TEF1* α matrices revealed compatible topologies of the three loci, except for a moderately (85%, *RPB2*) to highly (100%, *TEF1* α) supported *C. suttonii–C. sinense* clade in conflict with a sister-group relationship of the *C. sinense* clade to the *C. suttonii–C. umbonatum–C. gigasporum* clade in ITS-28S, which, however, is only very poorly supported (51%) (SUPPLEMENTARY FIG. 1). The phylogenies of the three loci are therefore considered congruent, meeting the GCPSR concept.

TAXONOMY

Coryneum castaneicola Berk. & M.A. Curtis, Grevillea 2:154. 1874. FIGS. 3, 4

Sexual morph: Pseudostromata 0.3–1.5 mm diam, typically distinct, circular, without perithecial bumps, containing up to 25 perithecia embedded in a well-developed entostroma. Ectostromatic disc distinct, circular, orange, 0.3–0.6 mm diam. Central column and entostroma gray. Ostioles inconspicuous and often invisible at surface of ectostromatic disc. Perithecia (300–)350–700(–750) µm diam (n = 20), globular, somewhat flattened at base with black neck. Asci 180–250 × 25–45 µm ($\bar{x} = 225 \times 35$ µm, n = 10), 8-spored, unitunicate, clavate, shortly pedicellate, apically rounded, with an inconspicuous apical ring. Ascospores 36–43(–44.5) × (9.5–)10.5–12(–13) µm, L/W = (2.8–)3.1–3.5(–3.8) (n = 50), 2–3-seriate, fusiform, ends pointed, uniseptate or aseptate, not constricted at septa, hyaline, guttulate, smooth-walled.

Asexual morph: Conidiomata acervular, 0.2-2.5 mm wide, 0.2–2.0 mm high ($\bar{x} = 1.8 \times 1.1$ mm, n = 20), solitary, erumpent through outer periderm layers of host, scattered, surface tissues above slightly domed. Conidiophores 50–80 μ m long, 4–7 μ m wide ($\bar{x} = 64 \times 6$ μ m, n = 20), branched at base, cylindrical, septate, hyaline at apex, pale brown at base. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, integrated, indeterminate, cylindrical, expanding toward apices, pale brown, smooth, with 0-1 percurrent extensions. Conidia (56-)65-73(-79) × (9.5-)10.5-12(-12.5) μ m, L/W = (5.6–)5.9–6.3(–6.6) (n = 50), variable in shape, curved, broadly fusiform to fusiform, cylindrical or clavate, dark brown, smooth-walled, 6-7-distoseptate, apical cell with a hyaline tip, truncate and black at base.

Culture characters: On PDA at 25 C, colonies growing slowly and unevenly, reaching 70 mm diam within 30 d, gradually becoming brownish gray to dark gray in color with scant cottony aerial mycelium, asexual morphs developed after 2 mo.



Figure 1. Phylogram showing one of 570 most parsimonious trees of 2620 steps revealed by an analysis of the combined ITS-285 matrix of selected Diaporthales. Values above or below the branches indicate maximum parsimony and maximum likelihood bootstrap support. Thickened branches represent posterior probabilities above 0.90 from Bayesian inference. Bar: 50 nucleotide substitutions.



Figure 2. Phylogram showing the single most parsimonious tree of 1386 steps revealed by an analysis of the combined ITS-28S-*RPB2-TEF1a* matrix of *Coryneum*. MP and ML bootstrap support values above 50% are given at the first and second positions, respectively, above or below the branches. Hosts, countries, and strain/culture numbers are given following the names of the taxa; new species described in the present study are formatted in bold. Bar: 50 nucleotide substitutions.

Habitat and host range: Dead corticated branches of Castanea spp.

Additional specimens examined: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Ankang City, Xiangxidong Garden, 32°40' 32.51"N, 109°18'57.36"E, 1079 m above sea level (asl), sexual and asexual morphs on branches of *Castanea mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 1 Jul 2017 (BJFC-S1419; culture CFCC 52315 grown from conidium, culture CFCC 52316 grown from ascospore); Xiangxidong Garden, 32°40'32.51"N, 109°18''57.36"E, 1079 m asl, sexual and asexual morphs on branches of *C. mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 1 Jul 2017 (BJFC-S1420).

Notes: Two specimens of *Coryneum* collected from chestnut branches in China were identified as *C. castaneicola* based on their morphology (Sutton 1975). Cultures and sequences were obtained from both sexual and asexual morphs from the same specimen, which confirms a holomorph connection. *Coryneum castaneicola* was described and was previously only known from North America, where it has been recorded from species of *Castanea* (Sutton 1975; Farr and Rossman 2018). The conidial dimensions (56–79 × 9.5–12.5 μ m in CFCC 52315 vs. 65.2–73.4 × 10.6–11.9 μ m in the type slide IMI 180179, fide Sutton 1975, 1980) and numbers of distoseptate cells (6–7-distoseptate in CFCC 52315 vs. 5–7-distoseptate in the type slide, fide Sutton 1975, 1980) match perfectly, and we therefore consider our Chinese specimens to be conspecific with the North American type. However, sequences from North American material are necessary for final confirmation of conspecificity.

The sexual morph of *C. castaneicola*, described here for the first time, has ascospores that are similar in shape, color, and septation to those of *C. modonium*, reported from species of *Castanea* in Asia, Europe, and North America (Kobayashi 1970; Sutton 1975). However, the ascospores of the latter are shorter (23–38 × 8–13.5 µm; see Wehmeyer 1941; Ellis and Ellis 1997) than those of *C. castaneicola* (36–44.5 × 9.5–13 µm). *Coryneum modonium* also differs by straight fusiform conidia, which are shorter but wider ((44–)50–71(–75) × 14–19(–22)



Figure 3. Sexual morph of *Coryneum castaneicola* from *Castanea mollissima* (BJFC-S1419). A, B. Ectostromatic discs in face view. C. Transverse section below ectostromatic disc. D. Pseudostroma in transverse section, showing perithecia and gray entostroma. E. Longitudinal sections through pseudostromata. F. Asci. I–P. Ascospores. Bars: A-E = 0.5 mm; $F-P = 10 \mu$ m.



Figure 4. Asexual morph of *Coryneum castaneicola* from *Castanea mollissima* (BJFC-S1419). A, B. Conidiomata on natural substrate in surface view. C. Transverse section through conidioma. D. Longitudinal section through conidioma. E, F. Conidiophores. G–J. Conidia. Bars: A-D = 0.5 mm; E-J = 10 µm.

 μ m) than those of *C. castaneicola*, which is also well characterized by apically distinctly curved conidia (Sutton 1975).

The identity of the Japanese collections on *Castanea crenata* described and illustrated by Kobayashi (1970) as *Pseudovalsella modonia*, a synonym of *C. modonium*,

is unclear and requires detailed investigations. Whereas size and shape of the ascospores match European collections of *C. modonium*, their conidial width was reported as even narrower than in *C. castaneicola* (6.5–10 μ m; Kobayashi 1970). Therefore, the Japanese collections may represent another undescribed species.

Coryneum gigasporum C.M. Tian, Voglmayr & N. Jiang, sp. nov. FIG. 5 MycoBank MB824594.

Typification: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Shangluo City, chestnut plantation, 33°38'21.03"N, 109° 08'45.22"E, 2602 m asl, on branches of *Castanea mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 8 Jul 2017 (**holotype** BJFC-S1425). Ex-type culture: CFCC 52319.

Etymology: gigasporum (Latin), named after the very large conidia.

Sexual morph: Not observed.

Asexual morph: Conidiomata acervular, 0.8–1.5 mm wide, 0.5–1.0 mm high ($\bar{x} = 1.0 \times 0.7$ mm, n = 20), solitary, erumpent through outer periderm layers of host, scattered, surface tissues above slightly domed. Conidiophores 50–90 µm long, 3–6 µm wide ($\bar{x} = 55 \times 5 \mu$ m, n = 20), unbranched, cylindrical, septate, hyaline at apex, pale brown at base. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, integrated, indeterminate, cylindrical, expanding toward apices, hyaline to pale brown, smooth, with 0–1 percurrent extensions. Conidia (88–)93–108(–117) × (18–)19–21(–23) µm, L/W = (4.2–)4.6–5.4(–5.6) (n = 50), slightly curved or not, clavate, dark brown, smooth-walled, 7–9-distoseptate, apical cell with a hyaline tip, truncate and black at base.

Culture characters: On PDA at 25 C, colonies growing slowly and symmetrically, reaching 70 mm diam within 30 d, gradually becoming brownish gray in color with scant cottony aerial mycelium, asexual morphs developed after 2 mo.

Habitat and host range: Dead corticated branches of Castanea mollissima.

Additional specimen examined: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Shangluo City, chestnut plantation, 33°38' 21.03"N, 109°08'45.22"E, 2602 m asl, on branches of *C. mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 8 Jul 2017 (BJFC-S1426; living culture CFCC 52320).

Notes: Conidial size and shape are a main character for species distinction in *Coryneum* (Sutton 1975). *Coryneum gigasporum* is unique for its large conidial size (88–117 × 18–23 μ m) within the genus. The two other *Coryneum* species with very long conidia, *C. megaspermum* var. *cylindricum* from *Quercus* and *C. stromatoideum* from *Tsuga canadensis*, differ from *C. gigasporum* by longer and narrower conidia (TABLE 1).

Coryneum sinense C.M. Tian, Voglmayr & N. Jiang, sp. nov. FIG. 6

MycoBank MB824595.

Typification: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Shangluo City, Niubeiliang Reserve, 33°50′08.59″N, 109° 18′57.36″E, 2208 m asl, on branches of *Quercus serrata*, *N*.

Jiang, 7 Jul 2017 (holotype BJFC-S1421). Ex-type culture: CFCC 52452.

Etymology: sinense (Latin), named after China, where it was first collected.

Sexual morph: Not observed.

Asexual morph: Conidiomata acervular, 0.5–2.5 mm wide, 0.3–1.5 mm high ($\bar{x} = 1.4 \times 0.8$ mm, n = 20), solitary, erumpent through outer periderm layers of host, scattered, surface tissues above slightly domed. Conidiophores 40–100 µm long, 4–7 µm wide ($\bar{x} = 75 \times 6 \mu$ m, n = 20), unbranched, cylindrical, septate, hyaline at apex, pale brown at base. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, integrated, indeterminate, cylindrical, expanding toward apices, hyaline to pale brown, smooth, with 0–1 percurrent extensions. Conidia (50–)57–65(–76) × (13–)13.5–15(–17) µm, L/W = (3.3–)3.4–5.1(–5.9) (n = 50), slightly curved or not, broadly fusiform to clavate, dark brown, smooth-walled, 5–7-distoseptate, apical cell with a hyaline tip, truncate and black at base.

Culture characters: On PDA at 25 C, colonies growing slowly and symmetrically, reaching 50 mm diam within 30 d, becoming cinereous to dark gray in color with scant cottony aerial mycelium, asexual morphs developed after 40 d.

Habitat and host range: Dead corticated branches of Quercus serrata.

Additional specimen examined: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Xiangxidong Garden, 32°40'32.51"N, 108° 59'22.48"E, 2208 m asl, on branches of *Q. serrata*, *N. Jiang*, 7 Jul 2017 (BJFC-S1422; living culture CFCC 52453).

Notes: Species biodiversity of Coryneum is highest on the host genus Quercus. Muthumary and Sutton (1986) summarized eight species occurring on oak branches and published a key to Coryneum species on Quercus. Coryneum sinense differs from C. arausiacum, C. depressum, C. elevatum, C. japonicum, C. megaspermum, C. megaspermum var. cylindricum, C. neesii, C. umbonatum, and C. quercinum by unbranched conidiophores. In addition, conidial size and the number of distosepta also distinguish these species well (TABLE 1).

Coryneum suttonii C.M. Tian, Voglmayr & N. Jiang, sp. nov. FIG. 7

MycoBank MB824596.

Typification: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Shangluo City, chestnut plantation, 33°39'27.25"N, 109°07'15.48"E, 2504 m asl, on branches of *Castanea mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 8 Jul 2017 (**holotype** BJFC-S1423). Ex-type culture: CFCC 52317.

Etymology: In honor of B. C. Sutton who published an extensive revision of *Coryneum* in 1975.

Sexual morph: Not observed.



Figure 5. Morphology of *Coryneum gigasporum* from *Castanea mollissima* (BJFC-S1425, holotype). A, B. Conidiomata on natural substrate in surface view. C. Transverse section through conidioma. D. Longitudinal section through conidioma. E. F. Conidiophores. G–J. Conidia. Bars: A-D = 0.5 mm; $E-J = 10 \mu m$.



Figure 6. Morphology of *Coryneum sinense* from *Quercus serrata* (BJFC-S1421, holotype). A. B. Conidiomata on natural substrate in surface view. C. Transverse section through conidioma. D. Longitudinal section through conidioma. E, F. Conidiophores. G–J. Conidia. Bars: A-D = 0.5 mm; E-J = 10 µm.

Asexual morph: Conidiomata acervular, 0.5–2.0 mm wide, 0.3–1.2 mm high ($\bar{x} = 1.0 \times 0.6$ mm, n = 20), solitary, erumpent through outer periderm layers of host, scattered, surface tissues above slightly domed. Conidiophores 40–90 µm long, 4–8 µm wide ($\bar{x} = 70 \times 6 \mu$ m, n = 20), unbranched, cylindrical, septate, hyaline at apex, pale brown at the base. Conidiogenous cells holoblastic, integrated, indeterminate, cylindrical,

expanding toward apices, hyaline, smooth, with 0–1 percurrent extensions. Conidia $(60-)68-74(-76) \times (10-)10.5-13(-14.5) \mu m$, L/W = (4.8-)6.4-6.5(-6.6) (n = 50), curved or not, fusiform to clavate, dark brown, smooth-walled, 4–5-distoseptate, apical cell with a hyaline tip, truncate and black at base.

Culture characters: On PDA at 25 C, colonies growing slowly and unevenly, reaching 60 mm diam within



Figure 7. Morphology of *Coryneum suttonii* from *Castanea mollissima* (BJFC-S1423, *holotype*). A, B. Conidiomata on natural substrate in surface view. C. Transverse section through conidioma. D. Longitudinal section through conidioma. E, F. Conidiophores. G–J. conidia. Bars: A-D = 0.5 mm; $E-J = 10 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

30 d, becoming brownish gray to dark gray in color with scant cottony aerial mycelium, asexual morphs developed after 2 mo (FIG. 8).

Habitat and host range: Dead corticated branches of Castanea mollissima.

Additional specimen examined: CHINA. SHAANXI PROVINCE: Shangluo City, chestnut plantation, 33°39' 27.25"N, 109°07'15.48"E, 2504 m asl, on branches of *C. mollissima*, *N. Jiang*, 8 Jul 2017 (BJFC-S1424, paratype; living culture CFCC 52318). Notes: With the addition of two new species in the present publication, four *Coryneum* species now are known from chestnut trees (*Castanea* spp.). *Coryneum* suttonii can be distinguished from *C. gigasporum* by smaller conidia (60–76 × 10–14.5 µm in *C. suttonii* vs. 88–117 × 18–23 µm in *C. gigasporum*), and from *C. castaneicola* by fewer distosepta (4–5 in *C. suttonii* vs. 6–7 in *C. castaneicola*). The conidial length of *C. suttonii* vs. 617 in *C. suttonii* vs. 50–71 µm in *C. modonium*), but conidia of



Figure 8. Coryneum cultures on PDA at 25 C after 30 d. A. C. castaneicola. B. C. gigasporum. C. C. sinense. D. C. suttonii.

C. suttonii are distinctly narrower (10–14.5 μ m in C. suttonii vs. 14–19 μ m in C. modonium).

DISCUSSION

The monotypic family Coryneaceae, with the genus *Coryneum*, was recognized as a separate group in Diaporthales in several studies (Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2014; Senanayake et al. 2017; Voglmayr et al. 2017; Fan et al. 2018), although for most species in Coryneaceae DNA sequence data are lacking. In the present study, *C. castaneicola* was collected in China and both sexual and

asexual morphs are described in detail. Three additional species, *Coryneum gigasporum* and *C. suttonii* from *Castanea mollissima* and *C. sinense* from *Quercus serrata*, are described as new based on morphology and ITS, 28S, *TEF1* α , and *RPB2* sequence data. The phylogenetic analyses (FIGS. 1, 2) also confirmed that the type species of *Coryneum* (*C. umbonatum*) and *Pseudovalsa* (*P. lanciformis*; syn. *C. lanciforme*) are closely related within the highly supported Coryneaceae and corroborated that the two genera are synonyms.

The results of the combined three-locus matrix (FIG. 2) confirmed that ITS-28S rDNA sequence data are

insufficient to clearly resolve closely related species within *Coryneum*. This is consistent with other studies of Diaporthales (e.g., Voglmayr et al. 2012, 2017; Walker et al. 2012b; Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2014), which reported a superior phylogenetic resolution of protein-coding markers such as *TEF1* α and *RPB2* compared with ITS-28S rDNA, reflecting the much stronger phylogenetic signal in the former. Therefore, in addition to ITS-28S rDNA, at least these markers should be routinely sequenced and included in phylogenetic studies of Diaporthales.

Most species of *Coryneum* occur on members of Fagaceae, specifically *Castanea* and *Quercus*. The most common host genus for *Coryneum* species is *Quercus*, with up to nine species and a variety recorded from oak. Muthumary and Sutton (1986) separated these species based on conidial size and number of distosepta. *Coryneum sinense* is different from all other known species from oaks in having unbranched conidiophores and unique conidial dimensions. Four species are now known from *Castanea*; they can be separated by conidial characters (TABLE 1). Following the extensive morphological investigations of Sutton (1975), species distinction based on conidial characters seems useful; however, this remains to be corroborated by DNA sequence data.

In our multigene analyses (FIG. 2), the different species from Quercus and Castanea hosts did not form a monophyletic group but were interspersed throughout the phylogram, indicating that speciation following host shifts is a common phenomenon in Coryneum, as it is for other genera of Diaporthales (e.g., Mejía et al. 2011b; Voglmayr et al. 2012, 2017; Voglmayr and Jaklitsch 2014; Walker et al. 2014a, 2014b). We are uncertain whether or not the multiple specimens of the new species proposed in our study that originated from single plantations might be clones of the same genotype. For that reason, additional collecting trips were undertaken to examine the same hosts in different plantations in China from Apr to Jun 2018, but unfortunately our new species were not collected again. However, taxonomic sampling should be increased, both from Quercus and other host genera to investigate the evolution and speciation of the genus Coryneum in detail.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Amy Rossman for her assistance on the paper. We are grateful to Chungen Piao, Minwei Guo (China Forestry Culture Collection Center [CFCC], Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing) for support of strain preservation during this study.

FUNDING

This study is financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project no.: 31670647) and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF; project P27645-B16), which are gratefully acknowledged.

ORCID

Ning Jiang (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9656-8500 Hermann Voglmayr (b) http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7666-993X

Chengming Tian D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3352-7664

LITERATURE CITED

- Alvarez LV, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2016. Revising the Schizoparmaceae: *Coniella* and its synonyms *Pilidiella* and *Schizoparme*. Studies in Mycology 85:1–34.
- Alves A, Crous PW, Correia A, Phillips AJL. 2008. Morphological and molecular data reveal cryptic speciation in *Lasiodiplodia theobromae*. Fungal Diversity 28:1– 13.
- Barr ME. 1978. The Diaporthales in North America with emphasis on *Gnomonia* and its segregates. Mycologia Memoir 7:1–232.
- Carbone I, Kohn LM. 1999. A method for designing primer sets for speciation studies in filamentous ascomycetes. Mycologia 91:553–556.
- Crous PW, Gams W, Stalpers JA, Robert V, Stegehuis G. 2004. MycoBank: an online initiative to launch mycology into the 21st century. Studies in Mycology 50:19–22.
- Crous PW, Summerell BA, Shivas RG, Carnegie AJ, Groenewald JZ. 2012. A re-appraisal of *Harknessia* (Diaporthales), and the introduction of Harknessiaceae fam. nov. Persoonia 28:49–65.
- de Hoog GS, Gerrits van den Ende AHG. 1998. Molecular diagnostics of clinical strains of filamentous basidiomycetes. Mycoses 41:183–189.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus 12:13–15
- Ellis MB, Ellis JP. 1997. Microfungi on land plants: an identification handbook. 2nd ed. Slough, UK: Richmond Publishing. 868 p.
- Fan XL, Bezerra JDP, Tian CM Crous PW. 2018. Families and genera of diaporthalean fungi associated with canker and dieback of tree hosts. Persoonia 40:119–134.
- Fan XL, Du Z, Liang Y, Tian CM. 2016. Melanconis (Melanconidaceae) associated with Betula spp. in China. Mycological Progress 15:40.
- Farr DF, Rossman AY. 2018. Fungal Databases, U.S. National Fungus Collections, ARS, USDA. [cited 2018 MAR 28]. Available from: https://nt.ars-grin.gov/fungaldatabases/
- Gomes RR, Glienke C, Videira SIR, Lombard L, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW. 2013. *Diaporthe*: a genus of endophytic, saprobic and plant pathogenic fungi. Persoonia 31:1–41.
- Gong S, Zhang X, Jiang S, Chen C, Ma H, Nie Y. 2017. A new species of *Ophiognomonia* from Northern China inhabiting the lesions of chestnut leaves infected with *Diaporthe eres*. Mycological Progress 16:83–91.

- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology 59:307–321.
- Jaklitsch WM, Komon M, Kubicek CP, Druzhinina IS. 2006. *Hypocrea voglmayrii* sp. nov. from the Austrian Alps represents a new phylogenetic clade in *Hypocrea*/ *Trichoderma*. Mycologia 97:1365–1378.
- Kobayashi T. 1970. Taxonomic studies of Japanese Diaporthaceae with special reference to their life-histories. Bulletin of the Government Forest Experimental Station Meguro 226:1–242.
- Liu Y, Whelen S, Hall BD. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16:1799–1808.
- Mejía LC, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Sogonov MV, White JF Jr. 2011a. A systematic account of the genus *Plagiostoma* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) based on morphology, host-associations, and a four-gene phylogeny. Studies in Mycology 68:211–235.
- Mejía LC, Rossman AY, Castlebury LA, White JF Jr. 2011b. New species, phylogeny, host-associations and geographic distribution of genus *Cryptosporella* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales). Mycologia 103:379–399.
- Moncalvo JM, Wang HH, Hseu RS. 1995. Phylogenetic relationships in *Ganoderma* inferred from the internal transcribed spacers and 25S ribosomal DNA sequences. Mycologia 87:223–238.
- Muthumary J, Sutton BC. 1986. *Coryneum quercinum* sp. nov. on *Quercus alba* from India. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 86:512–515.
- Nees von Esenbeck CG. 1816. Das System der Pilze und Schwämme. Würzburg: Stahelsche Buchhandlung. p. 1–334.
- Phillips DH, Burdekin DA. 1992. Diseases of oak (*Quercus* spp.). In: Phillips DH, Burdekin DA, eds. Diseases of forest and ornamental trees. London: Palgrave Macmillan. p 241–258.
- Rigling D, Prospero S. 2018. *Cryphonectria parasitica*, the causal agent of chestnut blight: invasion history, population biology and disease control. Molecular Plant Pathology 19:7–20.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574.
- Rossman AY, Adams GC, Cannon PF, Castlebury LA, Crous PW, Gryzenhout M, Jaklitsch WM, Mejia LC, Stoykov D, Udayanga D, Voglmayr H, Walker DM. 2015.
 Recommendations of generic names in Diaporthales competing for protection or use. IMA Fungus 6:145–154.
- Rossman AY, Farr DF, Castlebury LA. 2007. A review of the phylogeny and biology of the Diaporthales. Mycoscience 48:135–144.
- Senanayake IC, Crous PW, Groenewald JZ, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Jeewon R, Phillips AJL, Bhat JD, Perera RH, Li QR, Li WJ, Tangthirasunun N, Norphanphoun C, Karunarathna SC, Camporesi E, Manawasighe IS, Al-Sadi AM, Hyde KD. 2017. Families of Diaporthales based on morphological and phylogenetic evidence. Studies in Mycology 86:217–296.
- Shuttleworth LA, Walker DM, Guest DI. 2016. The chestnut pathogen *Gnomoniopsis smithogilvyi* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) and its synonyms. Mycotaxon 130:929–940.

- Sogonov MV, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Mejía LC, White JF. 2008. Leaf-inhabiting genera of the Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales. Studies in Mycology 62:1–79.
- Silvestro D, Michalak I. 2012. raxmlGUI: a graphical frontend for RAxML. Organisms Diversity and Evolution 12:335-337.
- Stamatakis E. 2006. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihoodbased phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 22:2688–2690.
- Sutton BC. 1975. Coelomycetes. V. Coryneum. Mycological Papers 138:1–224.
- Sutton BC. 1980. The Coelomycetes: fungi imperfecti with pycnidia, acervuli and stomata. Kew, UK: Commonwealth Mycological Institute. 696 p.
- Sutton BC. 1986. Presidential address: Improvisations on conidial themes. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 86:1–38.
- Sutton BC, Sharma ND. 1983. *Xylochia* gen. nov. and *Murogenella*, two deuteromycete genera with distoseptate conidia. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 80:255–262.
- Swofford DL. 2003. PAUP*: Phylogenetic Analyses Using Parsimony (*and other methods). Version 4.0b10. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer Associates.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analyses version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:2725–2729.
- Taylor JW, Jacobson DJ, Kroken S, Kasuga T, Geiser DM, Hibbett DS, Fisher MC. 2000. Phylogenetic species recognition and species concepts in fungi. Fungal Genetics and Biology 31:21–32.
- Udayanga D, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD. 2014. Insights into the genus *Diaporthe*: phylogenetic species delimitation in the *D. eres* species complex. Fungal Diversity 67:203–229.
- Udayanga D, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Chukeatirote E, Hyde KD. 2015. The *Diaporthe sojae* species complex: phylogenetic re-assessment of pathogens associated with soybean, cucurbits and other field crops. Fungal Biology 119:383–407.
- Vilgalys R, Hester M. 1990. Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172:4238–4246.
- Voglmayr H, Akulov OY, Jaklitsch WM. 2016. Reassessment of Allantonectria, phylogenetic position of *Thyronectroidea*, and *Thyronectria caraganae* sp. nov. Mycological Progress 15:921–937.
- Voglmayr H, Castlebury LA, Jaklitsch WM. 2017. *Juglanconis* gen. nov. on Juglandaceae, and the new family Juglanconidaceae (Diaporthales). Persoonia 38:136–155.
- Voglmayr H, Jaklitsch WM. 2011. Molecular data reveal high host specificity in the phylogenetically isolated genus *Massaria* (Ascomycota, Massariaceae). Fungal Diversity 46:133–170.
- Voglmayr H, Jaklitsch WM. 2014. Stilbosporaceae resurrected: generic reclassification and speciation. Persoonia 33:61–82.
- Voglmayr H, Rossman AY, Castlebury LA, Jaklitsch W. 2012. Multigene phylogeny and taxonomy of the genus *Melanconiella* (Diaporthales). Fungal Diversity 57:1-44.

- Walker DM, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Mejía LC, White JF. 2012a. Phylogeny and taxonomy of *Ophiognomonia* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales), including twenty-five new species in this highly diverse genus. Fungal Diversity 57:85–14.
- Walker DM, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, White JF Jr. 2012b. New molecular markers for fungal phylogenetics: two genes for species-level systematics in the Sordariomycetes (Ascomycota). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 64:500–512.
- Walker DM, Castlebury LA, Rossman AY, Struwe L. 2014a. Host conservatism or host specialization? Patterns of fungal diversification are influenced by host plant specificity in *Ophiognomonia* (Gnomoniaceae: Diaporthales). Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 111:1–16.
- Walker DM, Lawrence BR, Wooten JA, Rossman AY, Castlebury LA. 2014b. Five new species of the highly diverse genus *Plagiostoma* (Gnomoniaceae, Diaporthales) from Japan. Mycological Progress 13:1057–1067.
- Wehmeyer LE. 1941. A revision of *Melanconis, Pseudovalsa, Prosthecium* and *Titania*. University of Michigan Studies, Scientific Series 14:1–161.
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor JM. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ, eds. PCR protocols: a guide to the methods and applications. New York: Academic Press. p. 315–322.
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Wanasinghe DN et al. 2016. Taxonomy and phylogeny of dematiaceous coelomycetes. Fungal Diversity 77:1–316.