Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

EClinicalMedicine



journal homepage: https://www.journals.elsevier.com/eclinicalmedicine



Disposable low-cost cardboard incubator for thermoregulation of stable preterm infant – a randomized controlled non-inferiority trial

Ashok Chandrasekaran^a, Prakash Amboiram^a, Umamaheswari Balakrishnan^a, Thangaraj Abiramalatha^a, Govind Rao^b, Shaik Mohammad Shafi Jan^a, Usha Devi Rajendran^a, Uma Sekar^c, Gayathri Thiruvengadam^d, Binu Ninan^{a,*}

^a Department of Neonatology, Sri Ramachandra Medical College and Research Institute, Porur, Chennai, India

^b Center for Advanced Sensor Technology, Technology Research Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, United States

^c Department of Microbiology, Sri Ramachandra Medical College and Research Institute, Porur, Chennai, India

^d Allied Health Sciences, Sri Ramachandra Medical College and Research Institute, Porur, Chennai, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 22 September 2020 Revised 12 November 2020 Accepted 13 November 2020 Available online 7 December 2020

Keywords: Premature infant Infant incubator Temperature regulation Affordable equipment

ABSTRACT

Background: Incubators and radiant warmers are essential equipment in neonatal care, but the typical 1,500 to 35,000 USD cost per device makes it unaffordable for many units in low and middle-income countries. We aimed to determine whether stable preterm infants could maintain thermoregulation for 48 h in a low-cost incubator (LCI).

Methods: The LCI was constructed using a servo-heater costing 200 USD and cardboard infant-chamber. We conducted this open-labeled non-inferiority randomized controlled trial in a tertiary level teaching hospital in India from May 2017 to March 2018. Preterm infants on full feeds and receiving incubator or radiant warmer care were enrolled at 32 to 36 weeks post-menstrual age. We enrolled 96 infants in two strata (Strata-1 < 33 weeks, Strata-2 \geq 33 weeks at birth). Infants were randomized to LCI or standard single-wall incubator (SSI) after negative incubator cultures and monitored for 48 h in air-mode along with kangaroo mother care. The incubator temperature was adjusted manually to maintain skin and axillary temperatures between 36.5 °C and 37.5 °C. During post-infant period after 48 h, SSI and LCI worked for 5 days and incubator temperatures with a non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C. Failed thermoregulation was defined as abnormal axillary temperature (< 36.5 °C or >37.5 °C) for > 30 continuous-minutes. Secondary outcomes were incidence of hypothermia and required incubator temperature. Trial registration details: Clinical Trial Registry - India (CTRI/2015/ 10/006316).

Findings: Prior to enrollment 79(82%) infants were in radiant warmer and 17(18%) infants were in incubator care. Median weight at enrollment in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 1355(IQR 1250–1468) vs. 1415 (IQR 1280–1582) and 1993(IQR 1595–2160) vs. 1995(IQR 1632–2237) grams. Mean skin temperature in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 36.8 °C \pm 0.2 vs. 36.7 °C \pm 0.18 and 36.8 °C \pm 0.2 vs. 36.7 °C \pm 0.19. Mean axillary temperature in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 36.8 °C \pm 0.2 vs. 36.7 °C \pm 0.19 vs. 36.8 °C \pm 0.19 Mean axillary temperature in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 36.9 °C \pm 0.19 vs. 36.8 °C \pm 0.16 and 36.8 °C \pm 0.2 vs. 36.8 °C \pm 0.19. Mixed-effect model done for repeated measures of skin and axillary temperatures showed the estimates were within the non-inferiority limit; -0.07 °C (95% CI -0.11 to -0.04) and -0.06 °C (95% CI -0.095 to -0.02), respectively. Failed thermoregulation did not occur in any infants. Mild hypothermia occurred in 11 of 48(23%) of SSI and 16 of 48(33%) of LCI, OR 1.28 (95%CI 0.85 to 1.91). Incubator temperature in LCI was higher by 0.7 °C (95%CI 0.52 to 0.91). In the post-infant period SSI and LCI had excellent reliability to maintain set-temperature with intra-class correlation coefficient of 0.93 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.94) and 0.96 (95%CI 0.96 to 0.97), respectively.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hod.neonatology@sriramachandra.edu.in (B. Ninan).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100664

2589-5370/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Interpretation: Maintenance of skin and axillary temperature of stable preterm infants in LCI along with kangaroo mother care was non-inferior to SSI, but at a higher incubator temperature by 0.7 °C. No adverse events occurred and LCI had excellent reliability to maintained set-temperature.

Funding: Food and Drug Administration (Award number P50FD004895) © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Research in Context

Evidence before this study

Infant incubators and radiant warmers are essential equipment in neonatal intensive care unit. But, the typical cost of 1500 to 35,000 USD per device leads to shortage of these equipment, over-crowding and neonatal deaths in low and middle-income countries. We searched PubMed on January 11, 2016 for original articles published in English done in humans with age limit infant (birth to 23 months) using search terms "infant incubator", "infant warmer", "thermoregulation equipment", "low cost" and "cost effective" in various combinations. Meta-analyses prove the benefits of kangaroo mother care in stable low birth weight infants. Low cost equipment for thermoregulation in neonates were conductive thermal mattress, recycled incubators, solar powered radiant warmers, solar powered room heating system and prototype cardboard incubator. Feasibility of thermoregulation for low birth weight infants in conductive thermal mattress and solar-powered room-heating-system is demonstrated in trials. Details of thermoregulation were not provided in other studies. We therefore planned this study to assess thermoregulation of stable preterm infants using a low cost disposable cardboard incubator (LCI) with the estimated cost of 200 USD.

Added value of this study

This non-inferiority trial done in stable preterm infants at postmenstrual age 32 to 36 weeks and weight 1250 to 2250 gs demonstrated, that thermoregulation of these infants in LCI along with kangaroo care was non-inferior to standard single-wall incubator. Skin temperature and axillary temperature of infants monitored in LCI was within the pres-specified non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C. LCI required a higher incubator temperature by 0.7 °C and no adverse events occurred. 'Measured temperature' and 'set-temperature' of LCI had excellent agreement.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our LCI does not have the disadvantages of other low cost thermoregulation equipment. Conductive thermal mattress provides only a fixed amount of latent heat and requires recharging every 4 h, whereas LCI can be readily used at different set-temperatures. High ambient room temperatures in servocontrolled room would be uncomfortable for health care providers. Hence LCI could serve as thermoregulation source for stable preterm infants when not on kangaroo care. LCI require further validation for use in high risk preterm infants.

1. Introduction

Incubators and radiant warmers have a significant role in providing a thermo-neutral environment for preterm infants and are essential in any neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) [1-6]. However, many hospitals in low-and middle-income countries cannot afford high capital and maintenance costs of currently available thermoregulation equipment [7-12], and hence affordable highquality equipment is needed. Some low-cost solutions tried in preterm infants are conductive thermal-mattress [13], servo-controlled rooms [14], recycled infant incubators [15], solar-powered warmers [16], cardboard incubators [17] and life-raft incubators [9]. Non-availability of affordable thermoregulation equipment leads to > 100% bed occupancy rates of NICUs in low-and middle-income countries [6,8,18]. Use of hot water bottles, high wattage bulbs, electric room heater and electric stove coils for thermoregulation in infants can cause life-threatening complications [9,10,15,19]. The capital cost for available incubators ranges from 1500 USD to 35,000 USD [9,20], while that of low-cost incubator (LCI) used in the present study is around 200 USD.

Maintaining normothermia (36.5 to 37.5 °C) in neonates from delivery room till discharge, and ensuring thermoregulation at discharge is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) and other organizations [2,21,22]. Hypothermia increases the risk of respiratory distress, hypoglycemia, sepsis and pulmonary hemorrhage in preterm infants [23–27]. Despite providing respiratory support and surfactant, prolonged hypothermia in preterm infants increases the mortality for respiratory distress syndrome [26]. Although observational studies show admission-hypothermia as risk factor for mortality in preterm infants [28–30], meta-analyses of interventions to decrease admission-hypothermia is a keydriver to improve survival of preterm infants in quality improvement studies [27,31,32].

Preterm infant deaths account for a significant proportion of under-5 mortality (16%) [33,34]. Adequate thermal support in lowrisk and high-risk preterm infants could avert 20% and 40% of preterm related deaths, respectively [25,26]. Only a few low-cost thermoregulation devices have been evaluated in clinical trials, hence we did this trial to fulfill a knowledge gap [9,13,17]. Any new thermoregulation device should demonstrate the ability to maintain infant's temperature and the ability to perform in a clinical setting. Being a first trial in this low-cost incubator, we enrolled stable preterm infants, who required radiant warmer or incubator at post-menstrual age 32 to 36 weeks. We aimed to determine whether thermoregulation for 48-hour time-period along with kangaroo mother care (KMC) for stable preterm infants in LCI is comparable to standard single wall incubator (SSI).

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

This trial was conducted in a tertiary level teaching hospital in India from May 2017 to March 2018, and the protocol is available as online Appendix-1. This is an open-labeled non-inferiority trial using stratified block randomization of variable size blocks with a 1:1 allocation. Preterm infants who required radiant warmer or incubator for thermoregulation were enrolled at 32 to 36 weeks post-menstrual age, if they weighed 1250 to 2250 gs. Enrolled infants were on full enteral nutrition and received no intravenous therapy. Infants born before 33 weeks had an apnea-free period for 7 days prior to enrollment, and infants born after 33 weeks had no respiratory distress for 6 h from the time of birth. Infants who had major congenital anomalies or temperature instability within 24 h-period prior to enrollment, and those requiring phototherapy were excluded. Maternal details, demographic details, neonatal variables, details of KMC duration prior to enrollment and details of thermoregulation prior to enrollment were recorded.

2.2. Ethics and trial registration

Institutional ethical committee of Sri Ramachandra University gave approval for the final study protocol of the study (reference number IEC/15/SEP/119/08). The study protocol was in accordance with the guidelines for Good Clinical Practices and Indian Council of Medical Research Schedule-Y guidelines. An information sheet and study brochure was given to parents of eligible infants, followed by verbal discussion with parents to address their queries and written informed consent was obtained from the parents. Audio-video recording of the informed consent process was acquired for each enrolled infant. The trial was registered at Clinical Trial Registry – India with registration details CTRI/2015/10/006,316.

2.3. Preparation of incubators

A prototype cardboard incubator comprised of disposable cardboard infant chamber and modular heating unit was developed at the centre for Advanced Sensor Technology, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Maryland, USA [17]. The LCI used in this trial was redesigned from this prototype, and its components included a disposable cardboard infant chamber (DCIC), servo-controlled heater, air temperature sensor, skin temperature sensor, two connecting ducts and infant trolley. The construction of LCI is explained in Fig. 2 and Appendix-2 Figure 1. DCICs were of size 0.8 m length, 0.35 m breath and 0.35 m height was made using insulated corrugated cardboard. DCICs had windows on its top, fixed side and head end, which were covered by transparent biodegradable cellulose acetate sheet for good visibility of infants. The openable side of DCIC had two ports through which infant can be accessed. DCIC units were packed separately after aerosolized propanol and quaternary ammonium salt sterilization. Electronic components namely the servo-controlled heater, air temperature sensor and skin temperature thermistor sensors in the LCI were identical to those in SSI and comply with IEC60601-1 standards. An air sensor was screwed to the fixed side of DCIC and skin sensor entered DCIC through a small aperture in the foot end of DCIC. During assembly DCIC and servo-controlled heater were kept in upper and lower rack of the infant trolley, respectively. The foot end of DCIC was connected with servo-controlled heaters by food-grade steel-wired clear plastic ducts of size 7.5 and 5 cm which were inflow and outflow air-ducts, respectively. The SSI used in this trial 'INC100' infant incubator and the parts of LCI were supplied by Phoenix Medical Systems, who had no role in design or conduct of the trial. The SSI is ISO13485 certified.

After disinfection, SSIs and LCIs were assembled and started in the step-down nursery with a set-temperature of 35 °C. Two surface cultures were collected by swabbing two 10 by 10 cm areas in crisscross pattern from any two inner corners of canopy in SSI and DCIC in LCI, using moistened sterile swab-sticks. Incubators were ready for enrollment, if both cultures exhibited no growth after 48 h of incubation in thioglycollate broth at Microbiology laboratory. Four SSI and four servo-controlled heaters for LCI were available. A minimum of one SSI and one LCI was kept ready for enrollment throughout the study period.

2.4. Randomization

A web-based randomization program (www.sealedenvelope.com) was used to assign equal number of infants to either SSI or LCI in varying block sizes of 2, 4, 6 or 8 by two strata. Strata-1 included infants born before 33 weeks, and Strata-2 included infants born after

33 completed weeks of gestation. Allocation concealment was achieved by using sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes; and infants were assigned to one of the study group by a nursing coordinator, who had no role in rest of the trial. In view of obvious differences between SSI and LCI masking was not done.

2.5. Intervention

Our unit policy is to use air-servo mode for incubators, skin-servo mode for radiant warmers, and KMC initiation after 30 weeks postmenstrual age, if infants have hemodynamic stability. Infants are weaned from incubator to radiant warmer if the incubator temperature required is below 32 °C. Stable infants in radiant warmers are weaned to co-bedding with the mother in a sleep-pouch after 34 weeks post-menstrual age, if no heater output is required for a 24 hperiod with adequate clothing. SSI and LCI were used in air-servo mode during this trial. Daily surface disinfection of SSI and LCI was as per hospital policy. During the process of disinfection, infants were swaddled in pre-warmed baby cotton sheets and held in hands by another nurse.

Incubator temperature of SSIs or LCIs were adjusted as per standard guidelines based on weight and postnatal age [35]. After attaining the required incubator temperature for 15 min, infants were dressed in nappy and cotton hats, and shifted to the respective incubators. Infants were monitored in incubators for 48 h in the stepdown nursery or KMC ward with 1:1 nurse to infant ratio. Vitals were monitored using pulse-oximeter, and axillary temperature was measured every 4 h using a digital thermometer. Skin temperature was measured by the thermistor sensor of the incubator placed on the infant's abdomen, which was repositioned every 6 h or whenever displaced. Alarm limits for skin temperature namely, 'skin-alarm low' and 'skin-alarm high' were set at 36.5 °C and 37.5 °C, respectively. Whenever skin-alarms got activated, skin sensor attachment was confirmed. If skin sensor was properly attached, incubator temperature was increased and decreased manually by 0.5 °C for skin-alarm low and skin-alarm high, respectively; and axillary temperature was measured. Subsequent manual adjustments of incubator temperature were guided by axillary temperature measured every 15 min, till the infant's temperature normalized (36.5 °C to 37.5 °C).² The thermoregulation protocol is depicted in Appendix-2 Figure 2. Room temperature, room humidity and incubator humidity were measured using a digital thermo-hygrometer (HTC 288-CTHTM, India) every 4 h. Incubator temperature was measured by an air-sensor attached in the fixed side of DCIC in LCI or in the canopy of SSI and manually entered by a study-nurse every 4 h. KMC, nursing care, screening for retinopathy of prematurity or hearing, breastfeeding or any other care requiring infants out of the incubator was allowed. Axillary temperatures were measured before taking outside and after repositioning the infant inside the incubator.

Details of the infant's hourly skin temperature, axillary temperature, room temperature, room humidity, incubator temperature, incubator humidity and vitals of baby were manually entered by a study-nurse in a data log sheet. Skin-alarm or abnormal axillary temperature events (< 36.5 °C or >37.5 °C) were manually entered in 'event-1 log sheet'. Event-1 log sheet had details of heart rate, respiratory rate, saturations and skin temperature recorded every 10 min and axillary temperature recordings every 15 min till infant's temperature was normal (36.5 °C to 37.5 °C). Event-2 log sheet had manually entered axillary temperature measurements while taking infants out of incubators, and the duration out of incubator.

A Wi-Fi module in the servo-controlled heater component of both the SSI and LCI transferred data of skin temperature, incubator temperature and heater output data every 2–3 min to an internet cloudserver through an internet router [36]. Upon completion of 48-hour time period, infants were taken out of study incubators and managed in accordance to our unit policy; and two surface-cultures from incubators were sent. Incubators continued to work in step-down nursery with last set-temperature for 5 days in post-infant monitoring period. Incubators were disinfected daily and surface-cultures were repeated on Days 3 and 5. The details of room temperature, incubator temperature, room humidity and incubator humidity were manually entered every 6 h during the post-infant monitoring period. Subsequently the incubators were cleaned after disassembly, airducts were gas sterilized and the DCIC was discarded. Study-nurse feedback was collected after each 8 hourly shift on a 10-point Likert scale regarding the ease of assembly of incubators, the ease of shifting infants in and out of incubators, the ease of nursing care and the ease of paladai feeding while infants were inside incubator. Paladai is a traditional cup-like infant feeding utensil used in India.

2.6. Primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcome was the efficacy of incubators to maintain skin and axillary temperature for 48 h. 'Failed thermoregulation' was defined as abnormal axillary temperature (< 36.5 °C or >37.5 °C) for more than 30 continuous-minutes. 'Successful thermoregulation' was defined as normal axillary temperature (36.5 °C to 37.5 °C) for 48 h or if abnormal, the duration was less than 30 continuousminutes.² Secondary outcomes were the incidence of mild hypothermia, moderate hypothermia and hyperthermia defined as axillary temperatures 36–36.4 °C, 32–35.9 °C and > 37.5 °C respectively. Incubator temperature, number of adjustments in incubator temperature and nurses' feedback were other secondary outcomes; additional outcomes were incubator surface-culture and ability to maintain set-temperature during the post-infant monitoring period. Additional infant outcomes assessed were weight gain, duration of hospital stay, discharge outcome and occurrence of clinical sepsis prior to discharge. Incubator outcomes assessed were surface-culture and ability to maintain set-temperature during post-infant monitoring period. Adverse events considered were failed thermoregulation, tachycardia for more than 30 continuous minutes, apnea, tachypnea, feed intolerance or any other clinical deterioration. If adverse events occurred, infants were shifted out of trial incubators permanently and managed as per unit protocol.

2.7. Sample size calculation and statistical analyses

A total of 96 infants (48 per group) that would have evaluated 2304 infant-hours in each incubator group was required at 95% confidence interval (CI), to have 90% power for a test of non-inferiority with a margin of 0.2 °C and standard deviation (SD) of 0.33 °C [37] for axillary temperature measured by digital thermometer. A non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C was clinically pertinent, as we tried to maintain normothermia.² IBM SPSS statistics 24 was used for analysis and intention-to-treat principle was followed. All tests were 2-tailed and P value of < 0.05 was considered significant. Dichotomous data were expressed as number and percentage, and analyzed using chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Continuous data were expressed as mean (SD) or median (inter-quartile range, IQR), and based on whether parametric or non-parametric distribution analyzed by t-test or Mann-Whiney U test. Line graph were constructed using mean and 95% CI. In view of repeated measures, axillary temperature and skin temperature was analyzed using mixed models compound symmetry structure, restricted maximum likelihood estimates; using incubator type, time, strata as fixed factor, and study location (step-down nursery or KMC ward) as random factor. Skin temperature and incubator temperature from internet cloud-server data [36] and the corresponding manually entered study-nurses' data log were compared using intra-class-correlation coefficient (ICC) and Bland-Altman plot. Set-incubator temperature and measured-incubator temperature recorded in post-infant period was compared using intra-classcorrelation coefficient (ICC) and Bland-Altman plot. The statistician was masked regarding group allocation.

2.8. Role of funding

The study was funded by Food and Drug Administration, and the funders had no involvement in the study design, conduct of the study, data collection, and data interpretation or publication process. The corresponding author had final responsibility for decision to submit the paper for publication.

3. Results

During the study period from May 2017 to March 2018, among the 172 eligible infants, 96 infants were enrolled and 48 infants were randomly assigned to each group (Fig. 1). We enrolled 44 infants in the step-down nursery and 52 infants in the KMC ward based on space availability and to ensure internet router connectivity for the Wi-Fi module. Median (IQR) postnatal age and weight at enrollment in Strata-1 and Strata-2 were 15 (10-26) and 2 (1-5) days; 1390 (1250-1550) gs and 1990 (1692-2200) gs, respectively. Strata-1 regained birth weight at a median (IQR) age of 12 (10-14) and 12(9-15) days, P = 0.89, in SSI and LCI, respectively. Baseline characteristics did not differ statistically between LCI and SSI groups (Table 1). Prior to enrollment, incubators worked in step down nursery in a room temperature and room humidity of mean (SD) 28.2 (2.6) °C and 52 (6)%, respectively. The median (IQR) heater-output required to maintain a 35 °C incubator temperature in SSI and LCI did not differ-15% (10–40%) and 20% (10–50%), P = 0.42, respectively.

Hourly skin temperatures recorded by nurses in SSIs and LCIs are shown in Fig. 3. Mean (SD) skin temperature of infants in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 36.8 (0.2) °C vs. 36.7 (0.18) °C, P<0.001 and 36.8 (0.22) °C vs. 36.7 (0.19) °C, P<0.001, respectively. Skin temperature in LCI crossed non-inferiority limit in the first 4 h, but subsequent mean differences (95% CI) were within the non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C (Appendix-2 Figure 3). Mixed models showed the skin temperature was lower in LCI and the estimate -0.07 (95%CI -0.11 to -0.04) was within the non-inferiority margin.

Axillary temperatures measured every 4 h in SSIs and LCIs are shown in Fig. 4a. Mean (SD) axillary temperature of infants in Strata-1 and Strata-2 for SSI vs. LCI was 36.9 (0.19) °C vs. 36.8 (0.16) °C, P<0.001 and 36.8 (0.2) °C vs. 36.8 (0.19) °C, P = 0.007, respectively. The mean differences (95% CI) of axillary temperatures in LCI were within the non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C during the entire 48 hour period (Appendix-2 Figure 4). Mixed models showed axillary temperature was lower in LCI and the estimate -0.06 (95% CI -0.095 to -0.021) was within the non-inferiority margin.

In the SSI group 13 of 48 (27%) infants and 28 of 48 (58%) infants in the LCI group had skin-alarm low, odds ratio (OR 2.1, 95% CI 1.2 to 3.6). Overall there were 61 and 81 skin-alarm low events in SSI and LCI, respectively. Median (range) of skin temperature vs. corresponding axillary temperature during these events were 36.3 °C (36–36.4) vs. 36.7 °C (36.3–37.0) and 36.3 °C (35.8–36.4) vs. 36.7 °C (35.8–37.1) in SSI and LCI, respectively. Strata-1 had longer duration of skin temperature < 36.5 °C in LCI than SSI, the median (IQR) was 12 min (0–20) vs. 0 min (0–0), P = 0.08 (Table 2). Duration of skin temperature < 36.5 °C in LCI and SSI did not differ in strata-2, and the median (IQR) was 10 min (0–30) and 0 min (0–20), P = 0.14, respectively (Table 2).

During incubator-stay mild hypothermia (axillary temperature 36 - 36.4 °C) occurred in 2 infants (4 events) and 2 infants (2 events) of SSI and LCI, respectively. During placing the infants after any procedure such as KMC, feeding or nursing care, mild hypothermia occurred in 9 infants (19 events) and 14 infants (19 events) of SSI and LCI, respectively. Overall mild hypothermia occurred in 11 of 48 (23%) of the SSI group and 16 of 48 (33%) of the LCI group (OR 1.28,



Fig. 1. CONSORT flowchart.

95%CI 0.85 to 1.91) (Table 2). An axillary temperature of 35.8 °C (moderate hypothermia) occurred in one infant of the LCI group. Hyperthermia occurred in 1 infant (1 event) and 2 infants (2 event) in the SSI and LCI respectively. All these hypothermia or hyperthermia events resulted in adjusting incubator temperature, after which axillary temperature normalized at either at the first or second repeat measurement done after 15 or 30 min respectively (Appendix-2 Figure 5 and Appendix-2 Figure 6).

Incubator temperatures measured every 4 h in SSIs and LCIs are shown in Fig. 4b. Strata-1 and Strata-2 had higher incubator

temperature at 4 h after enrolment in LCI than SSI, and the mean (SD) was 33.8 (0.51) °C vs. 33.2 (0.63) °C, P<0.01 and 33.9 (0.55) °C vs. 33.2 (0.74) °C, P<0.01, respectively (Table 2). Subsequent incubator temperatures were higher in LCI and the unadjusted mean difference was 0.7 °C (95% CI 0.6 to 0.78) (Appendix-2 Figure 7). Post-hoc mixed model using incubator type, time, strata and study location (KMC ward or step-down nursery) as fixed factor showed that incubator temperature was higher in LCI with an estimate was 0.7 °C (95%CI 0.52 to 0.91). Ambient room temperature measured every 4 h did not differ in SSI and LCI in both



Fig. 2. Assembly of low cost incubator

Part 1 Incubator based, bed, openable side, air-vents and screws, feet end, head end, close side and top side are parts of disposable cardboard infant chamber. Part 2- Steps in assembly; Step 1 incubator base kept on baby trolley. Step2: Cut edges of closed side, feet end and hed end pushed to groove in base. Part 3 - Step3: Air-vent attached to feet end. Step 4: Air temperature probe screwed. Step 5: Skin probe entered through feet end aperture. Part 4 - Step 6: Cut edges of openable side pushed in corresponding grooves. Part 5- step 7: All sides of openable side nicely tucked. part 6 +- Final assembly of low-cost incubator. DCIC - Disposable Cardboard infant chamber, OFP - Outflow pipe air-duct, IFP- Inflow pipe air-duct, HSC - Servo-Controlled heater.

Table 1

Baseline Characteristics of participants

Characteristic	Strata -1 Gestational age <33 weeks		Strata-2 Gestational age ≥33 weeks	
	SSI Group (n=24)	LCI Group (n=24)	SSI Group (n=24)	LCI Group (n=24)
Maternal				
Age, mean (SD), year	28.2 (4.5)	29.6 (5.8)	28 (5.2)	27.4 (4.1)
Gravida, median (IOR)	1(1-2)	1(1-2)	1(1-2)	2(1-3)
Parity, median (IQR)	1 (1-2)	1 (1-3)	1(1-1)	1 (1-1)
Pregnancy complications, No (%)				
Hypertension or preeclampsia	3 (12.5%)	4 (16.6%)	5 (21%)	6 (25%)
Diabetes	2 (8%)	3 (12.5%)	6 (25%)	3 (12.5%)
Hypothyroid	3 (12.5%)	2 (8%)	3 (12.5%)	4 (16.6%)
Delivery by section, No (%)	22 (92.6%)	21 (87.5%)	21 (87.5%)	20 (83.3%)
Antenatal Steroids, No (%)	21 (97.5%)	23 (96%)	12 (50%)	13 (54%)
Infant	. ,	. ,	. ,	
Gestational age, Mean (SD), week	29.7 (1.6)	29.6 (1.5)	34.5 (1.1)	34.1 (1.2)
Female, No (%)	8 (33%)	9 (37.5%)	11 (46%)	10 (41.5%)
Birth weight, Median (IQR), gram	1220 (960-1425)	1310 (973-1500)	2045 (1770-2218)	2120 (1895-2240)
Small for gestation, No (%)	4 (16.6%)	5 (21%)	8 (33%)	3 (12.5%)
Head circumference, Mean (SD), cm	27.8 (2.2)	27.5 (2.3)	31.4 (2.3)	31.5 (1.5)
Apgar score 1 minute, Median (IQR)	6 (4-7)	6 (4-7)	8 (7-8)	8 (6-8)
Apgar score 5 minute, Median (IQR)	8 (7-9)	8 (7-9)	9 (9-9)	9 (8-9)
Need for PPV at delivery, No (%)	6 (25%)	7 (29%)	3 (12.5%)	6 (25%)
Need for respiratory support, No (%)	24 (100%)	21 (87.5%)	8 (33%)	7 (29%)
Surfactant given, No (%)	7 (29%)	8 (33%)	0	1 (4%)
Respiratory support duration, Median (IQR), day	5 (2-9)	3 (2-13)	0(0-2)	0(0-1)
Full feeds reached, Median (IQR), day	7 (6-8)	7.5 (6-9)	3 (2-4)	4 (2.5-4.5)
Feed intolerance, No (%)	3 (12.5%)	3 (12.5%)	1 (4%)	0
Culture proven sepsis, No (%)	1 (4%)	3(12.5%)	0	0
Antibiotic duration, Median (IQR), day	3 (2-5)	4 (3-8)	0(0-2)	0 (0-2)
Patent ductus arteriosus treated, No (%)	4 (16.6%)	3 (12.5%)	0	0
Anemia transfused, No (%)	6 (25%)	9 (37.5%)	0	0
Retinopathy of prematurity, No (%)	2 (8%)	6 (25%)	2 (8%)	2 (8%)
KMC duration, Median (IQR) hour / day	4.3 (3.5-4.9)	4.5 (3.5-5.2)	1.5 (0-3)	2.5 (0-3)
Hypoglycemia, No (%)	5 (21%)	1 (4%)	6 (25%)	5 (21%)
Infant at enrollment				
Postnatal age, Median (IQR), day	15.5 (10-26)	15 (10-31)	1.5 (1-5)	2 (1-5)
Weight, Median (IQR), gram	1355 (1250 - 1468)	1415 (1280-1582)	1993 (1595 - 2160)	1995 (1632 -2237)
Head circumference, Median (IQR), cm	29.5 (28.1-30.4)	29.8 (28.5-30.4)	32 (30-33)	31.5 (31-32.8)
Place of study step-down nursery, No (%)	16 (66.7%)	12 (50%)	7 (29%)	9 (37.5%)
Axillary temperature, Mean (SD), °C	36.8 (0.15)	36.8 (0.2)	36.8 (0.2)	36.8 (0.2)
Skin temperature, Mean (SD), °C	36.7 (0.2)	36.6 (0.14)	36.6 (0.15)	36.7 (0.22)
Radiant warmer care No (%)	14 (58%)	18 (75%)	23 (96%)	24 (100%)
Radiant warmer heater output, Median (IQR), % ^a	35 (30-40)	30 (30-40)	30 (30-30)	30 (30-40)
Incubator care No (%)	10 (42%)	6 (25%)	1 (4%)	0
Incubator temperature, Median (IQR), °C ^b	33 (33-34)	33.5 (33-34)	33	-

SD – Standard deviation, IQR – Interquartile range, No (%) – Number (Percentage)

PPV - positive pressure ventilation, KMC -kangaroo mother care

^a - Data from 32 infants in strata-1 and 47 infants in strata-2

^b - Data from 16 infants in strata-1 and 1 infant in strata-2

Strata-1 and Strata-2, with mean (SD) of 29.4 (2.6) °C vs 29.7 (2.5) °C, P = 0.09, respectively (Table 2).

Incubator humidity was lower in LCI than SSI in both Strata-1 and Strata-2, and the mean (SD) was 45.6 (10.4)% vs. 49.8 (10.9)%, P<0.01 and 46.3 (8.5)% vs. 48.7 (9.1)%, P<0.01, respectively (Table 2). Posthoc mixed model using incubator type, time, strata and study location (KMC ward or step-down nursery) as fixed factor showed incubator humidity was lower in LCI and the estimate was -3.3 (95%CI - 6.7 to 0.16). Ambient room humidity measured every 4 h did not differ in SSI and LCI in both Strata-1 and Strata-2, and the mean (SD) was 52.1 (11.1)% vs 52.7 (11.2)%, P = 0.46 and 58.2 (12.5)% vs 59.6 (10.3)%, P = 0.15, respectively (Table 2).

Among Strata-1 median KMC hours per day prior enrollment, during study incubator and after study incubator stay did not differ; 4.5 (3.5–5.2), 4 (3.5–5) and 4 (4–5) hours, P = 0.81, respectively. Among Strata-2 median KMC hours per day were lower prior to enrollment compared with during study incubator and after study incubator stays; 1.75 (0–3), 3 (1.75–3.5) and 3.3 (3–4.2) hours, P<0.01, respectively. Median (IQR) weight gain of infants during incubator and post-incubator stay in Strata-1 did not differ; 10.8 (8.5–13) gm/kg/ day and 11 (9–12.5) gm/kg/day, P = 0.49, respectively. In Strata-2 median (IQR) weight gain during incubator stay was lower than in post-incubator stay; -20 (-34 to -2.8) g/kg/day and 5 (0.8–10.7) g/kg/day, P<0.01, respectively. No adverse events occurred in either SSI or LCI group. All study infants had uneventful post-incubator stay and were discharged. Study-nurses' feedback showed that ease of paladai feeding in SSI was better than LCI for both Strata-1 and Strata-2, and median (IQR) score was 8 (7–9) vs. 7(6–8) and 8 (8–9) vs. 6 (5–7), P<0.01, respectively (Table 2).

Data from 45 infants (24 SSI and 21 LCI group infants) were transferred to the cloud-server. Skin temperature recorded by studynurses and skin temperature captured in the cloud data had good reliability, ICC 0.85 (95%CI 0.83 to 0.86), and the Bland-Altman plot showed mean difference (95% limits) of 0.02 °C (0.32 to -0.27) (Appendix-2 Figure 8). Cloud- server skin temperature recorded every 15 min from infants in the SSI and LCI had a mean (SD) of 36.77 (0.21) °C and 36.67 (0.17) °C respectively, and the mean difference -0.1 °C (95% CI -0.11 to -0.09) was within the non-inferiority margin. Incubator temperatures from the nurses' log and cloud-server data had excellent reliability, ICC 0.99 (95%CI 0.98 to 0.99), and the Bland-Altman plot showed mean difference (95% limits) of 0.03 °C (0.34 to -0.29) (Appendix-2 figure 9). Positive incubator surface



Fig. 3. Skin temperature of infants during 48 h in incubators

Points plotted show mean skin temperature and whiskers show 95% CI error bars of all infants in low-cost incubator group and standard single-wall incubator group. Skin temperature was lower in low-cost incubator and crossed non-inferority limit (0.2 °C) during 1–4 hour period, subsequently during 5–48 hour period was skin temperature in low-cost incubator was within non-inferiority limit.

cultures after infant-stay occurred in 2 of 48 of SSI (*Klebsiella pneumo-nia* 1, *Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus aureus* 1), while none of 48 of LCI.

During the post-infant monitoring period, both SSI and LCI had excellent reliability to maintain set-temperature of the incubator and the corresponding ICC was 0.93 (95%CI 0.92 to 0.94) and 0.96 (95%CI 0.96 to 0.97), respectively. The corresponding Bland-Altman plot for measured-temperature and set-temperature of incubators in SSI and LCI groups showed a mean difference (95% limits) of -0.06 (-0.55 to 0.43) and -0.02(-0.47 to 0.44) respectively (Appendix-2 Figure 10 and Appendix-2 Figure 11). During the post-infant monitoring period mean (SD) incubator humidity in SSI was higher than LCI, 53.2 (12.9)% vs 51.6 (13.3)%, *P* = 0.01 and mixed models for repeated measures using incubator type and time as fixed factor showed incubator humidity was higher in SSI by an estimate of 4.9 (95% CI -0.08 to 10.7). Mean (SD) room temperature and room humidity during post-infant monitoring period did not differ between SSI and LCI, 28.6

(1.8) °C vs. 28.7 (1.7) °C, P = 0.16 and 59 (13.3)% vs. 58 (13.2)%, P = 0.06, respectively.

4. Discussion

Among stable preterm infants at risk for hypothermia, thermoregulation for 48 h in LCI along with KMC was non-inferior to thermoregulation in SSI and no adverse events occurred. The estimates of mixed models for skin and axillary temperatures recorded by study-nurses were -0.07° C (-0.11 to -0.04) and -0.06° C (-0.095 to -0.02), respectively; both were within pre-specified non-inferiority margin of 0.2°C. The mean difference from cloud-server transferred data for skin temperature -0.1° C (95%CI -0.11 to -0.09) was also within 0.2°C. The duration of skin temperature $< 36.5^{\circ}$ C was more in LCI during initial 4-hour period. But, after LCI temperature increased by 0.7° C (95%CI 0.52 to 0.91), the duration of skin temperature below 36.5° C did not differ between LCI and SSI in 4-hour to 48-hour period.



Fig. 4a. Axillary temperature of infants during 48 h in incubators

Points plotted show mean axillary temperature and whiskers show 95% CI error bars of all infants in low-cost incubator group and standard single-wall incubator group. Axillary temperature was lower in low-cost incubator but was within non-inferiority limit (0.2 °C) during the entire 48 hour period.

Table 2

Secondary outcomes and other hospital outcomes

		Strata-2 Gestation at birth ≥33 weeks		
SSI Group (n=24) LCI Group (n=24) P value SSI Group (n=24) LC	CI Group (n=24)	P value		
'Skin-alarm low', n (%) 5 (21%) 13 (54%) 0.02 8 (33.3%) 15	5 (62.5%)	0.04		
$\frac{1}{4}$ 'Skin-alarm high', n (%) 0 1 (4%) - 2 (8.3%) 0		-		
"Skin-alarm low" n / infant," Median (IQR) 0 (0-0) 2 (0-3) 0.11 0 (0-3) 1 (0.11 0 (0-3))	(0-3)	0.15		
Duration ST $<$ 36.5°C, min / infant ^b				
Entire 48 hour time-period, Median (IQR) 0 (0-0) 12 (0-20) 0.08 0 (0-20) 10	0(0-30)	0.14		
Initial 4-hour time-period, ^C Median (IQR) 0 (0-0) 10 (0-18) 0.04 0 (0-0) 0 (0-0)	(0-20)	0.06		
4 to 48 hour time-period, Median (IQR) 0 (0-0) 0 (0-8) 0.76 0 (0-15) 0 (0-15)	(0-10)	0.96		
Total duration out of SINC, Median (IQR) hours 11 (9-13) 11.8 (9.2-13.8) 0.47 8.2 (6.9-10) 9 ((7.5-11.2)	0.26		
KMC hours / day, Median (IQR) 4 (3.5-5) 4.5 (3.5-5) 0.60 2.3 (1.6-3) 3 ((1.6-3.9)	0.32		
AT while taking out procedure ^d , Mean (SD) °C 36.8 (0.18) 36.8 (0.19) 0.12 36.8 (0.19) 36	6.8 (0.17)	0.29		
AT after placing back procedure ^d , Mean (SD) °C 36.7 (0.22) 36.7 (0.21) 0.54 36.6 (0.19) 36	6.7 (0.23)	0.21		
Mild hypothermia (AT 36 – 36.4°C) ^e				
While inside SINC, n (%) 1 (4%) 2 (8.3%) 0.96 1 (4%) 0		-		
While placing back post- procedure, n (%) 6 (25%) 9 (37.5%) 0.35 3 (12.5%) 5 ((21%)	0.71		
All events, n (%) 7 (25%) 11 (46%) 0.23 4 (16.6%) 5 ((21%)	0.92		
Ambient environment				
Set IT at enrollment, Mean (SD) °C 33.4 (0.49) 33.4 (0.5) 0.77 33.4 (0.5) 33	3.6 (0.49)	0.16		
Set IT at the end of study, Mean (SD) °C 33.3 (0.4) 33.9 (0.61) <0.01 33.1 (0.53) 34	4 (0.53)	<0.01		
Measured IT at enrollment, Mean (SD) °C 33.5 (0.42) 33.6 (0.48) 0.34 33.5 (0.5) 33	3.6 (0.41)	0.41		
Measured IT 4 hours after enrollment, Mean (SD) °C 33.2 (0.63) 33.8 (0.51) <0.01 33.2 (0.74) 33	3.9 (0.55)	<0.01		
N times IT adjusted / infant, ^f Median (IQR) 1 (0-2) 1 (1-3) 0.27 1 (0-3) 1 ((0-2)	0.31		
Incubator humidity every 4h, Mean (SD) RH % 49.8 (10.9) 45.6 (10.4) <0.01 48.7 (9.1) 46.7 (9.1)	6.3 (8.5)	<0.01		
Room temperature every 4h, Mean (SD) °C 29.4 (2.6) 29.7 (2.5) 0.09 29.9 (2.3) 29	9.6 (1.9)	0.08		
Room humidity every 4h, Mean (SD) RH % 52.1 (11.1) 52.7 (11.2) 0.46 58.2 (12.5) 59	9.6 (10.3)	0.15		
Infant outcomes				
WG in 48 hour incubator stay, Median (IQR) gm/kg/day 12 (9-13) 10 (9-13) 0.49 -18 (-301) -2	20 (- 406)	0.51		
WG in post-SINC stay, Median (IQR) gm/kg/day 11.3 (10 - 13) 11 (8.8 - 12) 0.21 5.3 (1-11) 3.4	.5 (-0.7 - 10)	0.43		
Post-SINC incubator / warmer days, Median (IQR) 10 (6-12) 9 (7-11) 0.46 4 (3-5) 4 (3-5)	(2.5-5)	0.49		
Post-SINC infant hospital stay-days, Median (IQR) 11.5 (9-13) 14 (11-18) 0.85 5 (4-8) 6 (6(4-8)	0.88		
Discharge weight, Median (IQR) gm 1590 (1505 - 1778) 1695 (1573 - 1795) 0.13 1980 (1662 - 2265) 20	.010 (1807 - 2225)	0.86		
Nurses feedback on 10-point 'Likert scale'				
Ease of assembly, Median (IQR) 8 (8-9) 8 (8-9) 0.25 8 (7-9) 8 (8-9)	(8-9)	0.91		
Ease of shifting infants, Median (IQR) 9 (8-9) 8 (8-9) 0.35 8 (8-9) 8 (8-9)	6 (8-9)	0.55		
Ease of nursing care, Median (IQR) 8 (7-9) 8 (8-8) 0.80 8 (8-9) 8 (8-9)	s (7-9)	0.44		
Ease of palady feeding, Median (IQR) 8 (7-9) 7 (6-8) <0.01 8 (8-9) 6 (7-9)	i (5-7)	<0.01		

ST – Skin temperature, AT Axillary temperature, IQR – Interquartile range, SD – Standard deviation, SINC – Study incubator, KMC – Kangaroo mother care, RH– Relative Humidity

^a 'Skin-alarm low' Overall N=142, (Strata-1, SSI n=33, LCI n=43; Strata-2, SSI n=28, LCI n=38)

^b Skin temperature < 36.5°C during entire 48 hour period (Total infant-minutes: Strata-1, SSI = 215, LCI=350 and Strata-2, SSI = 220, LCI = 355)

^c Skin temperature < 36.5°C during initial 4 hour period (Total infant-minutes: Strata-1, SSI =110, LCI=275 and Strata-2, SSI = 75, LCI =240)

^d Number of events out of incubator (Strata-1, SSI n=155, LCI n=164; Strata-2, SSI n=99, LCI n=106)

^e Number of mild hypothermia events (Strata-1, SSI n=14, LCI n=13; Strata-2, SSI n=9, LCI n=8)

^f Number of IT adjustments (Strata-1, SSI n=35, LCI n=38; Strata-2, SSI n=37, LCI n=32)



Fig. 4b. Incubator temperature during 48 h - infant's stay

Points plotted show mean incubator temperature and whiskers show 95% CI error bars for all infants in low-cost incubator group and standard single-wall incubator group. Incubator temperature at enrollment was similar, but subsequent incubator temperature was higher in low-cost incubator by 0•7 °C (95% CI 0•6 to 0•78).

Hypothermia and weight gain did not differ between LCI and SSI. To the best our knowledge LCI is the first low cost thermoregulation equipment, which has been tested for 48-hour time-period.

In conductive-thermal-mattress study, which could provide latent heat Bhat et al., reported higher axillary temperature in study group by 0.11 (SD 0.03) °C when compared to control group [13]. Incubator air temperature in LCI is adjustable and servo-controlled. This mattress needs charging every 4 h and does not need continuous powersupply [13], while the LCI need a continuous 350 watt power source. Power supply is easily available in hospital settings, but availability of electricity in community settings is challenging [38]. LCI has been designed to readily use solar rechargeable batteries. Daga et al., successfully managed 85 preterm infants over 3 years in a solar powered servo-room at a temperature of 34 °C, with minimal cost [14]. But, health-care personnel would be uncomfortable in high room temperature, and incubators or radiant warmers are better options. Thermoregulation details were not provided from other low-cost servocontrolled devices such as recycled incubators and solar powered radiant warmers [15,16].

The mean difference between thermistor probe abdominal skin temperature and digital axillary temperatures during skin-alarm low was -0.4 °C (95% agreement limits -0.73 to 0.06), and using similar methods Schafer et al., reported a difference of -0.3 °C (-1 to 0.4) [39]. Thermal conductivity of corrugated cardboard and canopy is similar, around 0.1 watt/meter/kelvin [17]. However our LCI needed higher incubator temperature for thermoregulation (Figure 4b) possibly due to unavoidable air-leaks in DCIC assembly, whereas canopy is an air-tight compartment. Air-leaks decrease the insulating ability of a compartment [40], and hence temperature may have to be set at least 0.5 °C higher than recommended [35]. while using the LCI and weaning can be attempted at 0.5 °C higher temperature and air-leaks [41]. LCI had excellent reliability to maintain set-temperature in post-infant monitoring period.

Despite daily disinfection two SSIs had positive surface cultures in post-infant period, while none in LCI. Corrugated cardboard inhibited growth and bio-film formation of *Escherichia coli, Salmonella enteritidis* and *Listeria monocytogenes* when compared to plastics in food packaging [42]. Incubator contamination by gram-positive and gramnegative organisms could cause outbreaks of infection in NICU [43,44], hence the disposable DCIC may aid in infection control. The present COVID-19 pandemic may favor closed incubators to opencare warmers for neonates [45,46]. Extended KMC was possible in strata-1, but in strata-2 only short KMC was possible as mothers were in initial postnatal days [47]. External devices may not affect KMC duration in the community [48]. The LCI scored less on paladai feeding in study-nurse feedback due to space constraints. Remote collection of data captured by WiFi module had good to excellent reliability with nurse's data [49].

Thermoregulation equipment have improved survival of preterm infants requiring intensive care in the past several decades [3,4]. But, there is shortage of working radiant warmers or infant incubators in low-and middle-income countries worldwide, and further high capital costs for commercially available incubators make these equipment unaffordable for many neonatal units [6,8–20]. In this study, we found thermoregulation of stable preterm infants in the redesigned LCI that costs 200 USD, along with KMC was within non-inferiority limit. LCI has the potential for using up to a week till availability of proper device, as a stop-gap measure in high risk infants.

The merits of our study include microbiological monitoring of incubators, provision of KMC during trial, remote monitoring of thermoregulation data and checking incubators' functioning for 5 days post-infant period in clinical setting. The limitations are that care givers could not be masked due to the nature of intervention, cloudserver transfer of data could be done only in 45 infants due to internet-connectivity issues, and 1:1 nurse to infant ratio for stable preterm might be impractical in clinical setting. Analysis of skin temperatures from cloud-server data showed that the mean difference was within the non-inferiority limits and a good to excellent reliability was there between study-nurses' data and cloud-server data. A 1:1 nurse infant ratio was maintained in study so as to alleviate parents' fear for a new equipment, which is a major reason for poor enrollment in clinical trials from India [50]. The study was done in a tertiary level hospital where room temperature and humidity are relatively high, >25 °C and >50%, respectively. Further studies are needed at different settings, in different high risk population to determine the efficacy of thermoregulation, longer infant-periods to determine weight gain and infection control while using LCI.

In conclusion, stable preterm infants, who needed equipment for thermoregulation, were able to maintain skin and axillary temperatures in LCI, within the non-inferiority margin of 0.2 °C as compared to SSI. Incubator temperature in LCI was higher by 0.7 °C. No infants experienced adverse events and LCI had excellent reliability to maintain set incubator temperature during post-infant monitoring period.

Author contributions

Concept and design: AC, BN, PA, UB, GR, TA, SMSJ, US, GT. Data acquisition and data interpretation: AC, UB, TA, SMSJ, UDR. Manuscript drafting: AC, TA. Manuscript revision and critical review: All authors. Obtained funding: GR, BN. Statistical analysis: GT, AC, TA. Study supervision: BN, PA, UB. Administrative and technical support: UB, AC.

Funding

This publication was supported by Award Number P50FD004895 from the Food and Drug Administration. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the FDA. Subawards supported Sri Ramchandra Medical College and Research Institute and Phoenix Medical Systems. We thank V. Sashi Kumar for supplying the incubators.

Declaration of Competing Interest

Authors AC, PA, UB, TA, GR, SMSJ, UDR, US, GT and BN report grant from Food and Drug Administration for conduct of the study. None of the authors received any personal fees or non-financial support for conduct of the study.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Tonse Raju, NICHD (Retd.) and Dr. Vidyasagar Dharmapuri, University of Illinois, Chicago for their expert consultation regarding efficacy criteria and outcome. We thank Drs. Tonse Raju, Bhavna Seth, Ramya Gopinath and Saudamini Nesargi for editorial suggestions. We thank the participating families, our dedicated nursing staff of Neonatal unit, support staff and lab technicians who made this study possible. We thank the faculty and students from the Center for Advanced Sensor Technology for numerous design and validation studies on the incubator.

Data sharing statement

Deidentified individual participant data regarding the results published in this article and supplementary material will be made available along with the study protocol upon the publication of article. Proposals should be directed to the corresponding author and requesters will need to sign a data access agreement. Deidentified participant datasets and study protocol is also available in Mendeley database (DOI: 10.17632/8m6922 \times 96r.2) under a Creative Common Attribution 4.0 International license.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100664.

References

- World Health Organization. WHO Recommendations on Interventions to Improve Preterm Birth Outcomes. Geneva. Switzerland: WHO Press; 2015. (accessed April 1, 2020) https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal_perinatal_health/preterm-birth-guideline/en/.
- [2] World Health Organization. Thermal protection of the newborn: A practical Guide. Geneva. Switzerland: World Health Organization Press; 1997. (accessed March 17, 2020) https://www.who.int/maternal_child_adolescent/documents/ ws42097th/en/.
- [3] Flenady V, Woodgate PG. Radiant warmers versus incubators for regulating body temperature in newborn infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD000435.
- [4] Bell EF. Infant incubators and radiant warmers. Early Hum Dev 1983;8:351-75.
- [5] White RD, Smith JA, Shepley MM. Committee to establish recommended standards for newborn ICU design. Recommended standards for newborn ICU design, eighth edition. J Perinatol 2013;33(Suppl 1):S2–16.
- [6] Neogi SB, Khanna R, Chauhan M, et al. Inpatient care of small and sick newborns in healthcare facilities. J Perinatol 2016;36:S18–23.
- [7] Dickson KE, Simen-Kapeu A, Kinney MV, et al. Every Newborn: health-systems bottlenecks and strategies to accelerate scale-up in countries. Lancet 2014;384:438–54.
- [8] Nagesh NK, Razak A. Current status of neonatal intensive care in India. Arch Dis Childhood - Fetal Neonat Ed 2016;101:F260–5.
- [9] Lunze K, Hamer DH. Thermal protection of the newborn in resource-limited environments. J Perinatol 2012;32:317–24.
- [10] Lunze K, Yeboah-Antwi K, Marsh DR, et al. Prevention and management of neonatal hypothermia in rural Zambia. PLoS ONE 2014;9:e92006.
- [11] Cheah IGS. Economic assessment of neonatal intensive care. Transl Pediatr 2019;8:246–56.
- [12] Sashi Kumar V, Paul VK, Sathasivam K. Innovating affordable neonatal care equipment for use at scale. J Perinatol 2016;36:S32–6.
- [13] Bhat SR, Meng NF, Kumar K, Nagesh KN, Kawale A, Bhutani VK. Keeping babies warm: a non-inferiority trial of a conductive thermal mattress. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2015;100:F309–12.
- [14] Daga SR, Sequera D, Goel S, Desai B, Gajendragadkar A. Adequacy of solar energy to keep babies warm. Indian Pediatr 1996;33:102–4.
- [15] Amadi HO, Mokuolu OA, Adimora GN, et al. Digitally recycled incubators: better economic alternatives to modern systems in low-income countries. Ann Trop Paediatr 2007;27:207–14.
- [16] Thavaraj V, Ramji S, Sastry OS, Sharma NN. Solar powered baby/infant radiant warmer installed in neonatal intensive care unit in a Tertiary Care Hospital. J Clin Neonatol 2017;6:15.
- [17] Tran K, Gibson A, Wong D, et al. Designing a low-cost multifunctional infant incubator. J Lab Autom 2014;19:332–7.
- [18] Neogi SB, Malhotra S, Zodpey S, Mohan P. Assessment of special care newborn units in India. J Health Popul Nutr 2011;29:500–9.
- [19] Kane L, Sandhu R, Ochola B. G271 Reducing neonatal hypothermia in South West Uganda. Arch Dis Child 2016;101:A153–4.
- [20] Deorari AK. Neonatal Equipment, Everything that you would like to know. 5 edition. India: CBS Publishers; 2017.
- [21] Jefferies AL. Going home: facilitating discharge of the preterm infant. Paediatr Child Health 2014;19:31–6.
- [22] American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Hospital discharge of the high-risk neonate. Pediatrics 2008;122:1119–26.
- [23] McCall EM, Alderdice F, Halliday HL, Vohra S, Johnston L. Interventions to prevent hypothermia at birth in preterm and/or low birth weight infants. Cochrane Database of Syst Rev 2018. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004210.pub5.
- [24] Laptook AR, Salhab W, Bhaskar B. Admission temperature of low birth weight infants: predictors and associated morbidities. Pediatrics 2007;119:e643–9.
- [25] Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. Lancet 2014;384:189–205.

- [26] Carns J, Kawaza K, Quinn M, et al. Impact of hypothermia on implementation of CPAP for neonatal respiratory distress syndrome in a low-resource setting. PLoS ONE 2018;13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194144.
- [27] Datta V, Saili A, Goel S, et al. Reducing hypothermia in newborns admitted to a neonatal care unit in a large academic hospital in New Delhi, India. BMJ Open Qual 2017;6:e000183.
- [28] Lyu Y, Shah PS, Ye XY, et al. Association between admission temperature and mortality and major morbidity in preterm infants born at fewer than 33 weeks' gestation. JAMA Pediatr 2015;169:e150277.
- [29] Ting JY, Synnes AR, Lee SK, Shah PS, Canadian Neonatal Network and Canadian Neonatal Follow-Up Network. Association of admission temperature and death or adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes in extremely low-gestational age neonates. J Perinatol 2018;38:844–9.
- [30] Wilson E, Maier RF, Norman M, et al. Admission hypothermia in very preterm infants and neonatal mortality and morbidity. J Pediatr 2016;175:61–7.
- [31] Leng H, Wang H, Lin B, Cheng G, Wang L. Reducing transitional hypothermia in outborn very low birth weight infants. Neonatology 2016;109:31–6.
- [32] Ellsbury DL, Clark RH, Ursprung R, Handler DL, Dodd ED, Spitzer AR. A multifaceted approach to improving outcomes in the NICU: the pediatrix 100 000 babies campaign. Pediatrics 2016;137. doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-0389.
- [33] Liu L, Oza S, Hogan D, et al. Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–15: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the sustainable development goals. Lancet 2016;388:3027–35.
- [34] Hug L, Alexander M, You D, Alkema L. UN inter-agency group for child mortality estimation. National, regional, and global levels and trends in neonatal mortality between 1990 and 2017, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Health 2019;7:e710–20.
- [35] Hey EN, Katz G. The optimum thermal environment for naked babies. Arch Dis Child 1970;45:328–34.
- 36] Phoenixcloud. http://phoenixcloud.in/ #/index (accessed Dec 15, 2018)
- [37] Charafeddine L, Tamim H, Hassouna H, Akel R, Nabulsi M. Axillary and rectal thermometry in the newborn: do they agree? BMC Res Notes 2014;7:584.
- [38] Lunze K, Dawkins R, Tapia A, Anand S, Chu M, Bloom DE. Market mechanisms for newborn health in Nepal. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2017;17:428.
- [39] Schafer D, Boogaart S, Johnson L, Keezel C, Ruperts L, Vander Laan KJ. Comparison of neonatal skin sensor temperatures with axillary temperature: does skin sensor placement really matter? Adv Neonatal Care 2014;14:52–60.
- [40] Šadauskienė J, Paukštys V, Šeduikytė L, Banionis K. Impact of air tightness on the evaluation of building energy performance in Lithuania. Energies 2014;7:4972–87.
- [41] Lawrence MG. The relationship between relative humidity and the dew-point temperature in moist air: a simple conversion and applications. Bull Amer Meteor Soc 2005;86:225–34.
- [42] Siroli L, Patrignani F, Serrazanetti DI, et al. Survival of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms on cardboard and plastic packaging materials. Front Microbiol 2017;8:2606.
- [43] de Goffau MC, Bergman KA, de Vries HJ, et al. Cold spots in neonatal incubators are hot spots for microbial contamination. Appl Environ Microbiol 2011;77:8568–72.
- [44] Lenglet A, Faniyan O, Hopman J. A nosocomial outbreak of clinical sepsis in a neonatal care unit (NCU) in Port-Au-Prince Haiti, July 2014 - september 2015. PLoS Curr 2018;10. doi: 10.1371/currents.outbreaks.58723332ec0de952adefd9a9b6905932.
- [45] Chandrasekharan P, Vento M, Trevisanuto D, et al. Neonatal resuscitation and postresuscitation care of infants born to mothers with suspected or confirmed SARS-CoV-2 Infection. Am J Perinatol 2020 published online April 8. doi: 10.1055/ s-0040-1709688.
- [46] Chawla D, Chirla D, Dalwai S, et al. Perinatal-neonatal management of COVID-19 infection - guidelines of the federation of obstetric and gynecological societies of India (FOCSI), national neonatology forum of India (NNF), and Indian academy of pediatrics (IAP). Indian Pediatr 2020 published online April 1.
- [47] Chan GJ, Valsangkar B, Kajeepeta S, Boundy EO, Wall S. What is kangaroo mother care? Systematic review of the literature. J Glob Health 2016;6:010701.
- [48] Nimbalkar S, Patel H, Dongara A, Patel DV, Bansal S. Usage of EMBRACE(TM) in Gujarat, India: survey of paediatricians. Adv Prev Med 2014;2014:415301.
- [49] Nangalia V, Prytherch DR, Smith GB. Health technology assessment review: remote monitoring of vital signs-current status and future challenges. Crit Care 2010;14:233.
- [50] Kadam RA, Borde SU, Madas SA, Salvi SS, Limaye SS. Challenges in recruitment and retention of clinical trial subjects. Perspect Clin Res 2016;7:137–43.