Lifetime medical costs attributable to sickle cell disease among nonelderly individuals with commercial insurance

Kate M. Johnson,^{1,2} Boshen Jiao,¹ Scott D. Ramsey,^{1,3} M. A. Bender,^{4,5} Beth Devine,¹ and Anirban Basu^{1,6,7}

¹The Comparative Health Outcomes, Policy & Economics (CHOICE) Institute, Department of Pharmacy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ²Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Division of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; ³Division of Public Health Sciences and Hutchinson Institute for Cancer Outcomes Research, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; ⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA; ⁵Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA; and ⁶Department of Health Services and ⁷Department of Economics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Key Points

- The nonelderly lifetime burden of total medical costs attributable to sickle cell disease was \$1.7 million.
- Patients incurred \$44
 000 in out-of-pocket
 costs due to sickle cell
 disease over their
 nonelderly lifetimes.

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is a severe monogenic disease associated with high morbidity, mortality, and a disproportionate burden on Black and Hispanic communities. Our objective was to estimate the total healthcare costs and out-of-pocket (OOP) costs attributable to SCD among commercially insured individuals over their nonelderly lifetimes (0 to 64 years of age). We constructed a retrospective cohort of individuals with diagnosed SCD using Truven Health Marketscan commercial claims data from 2007 through 2018, compared with matched control subjects from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. We estimated Kaplan-Meier sample average costs using previously reported survival curves for SCD and control subjects. Individuals with SCD (20 891) and control subjects (33 588) were included in our analysis. The SCD sample had a mean age of 25.7 (standard deviation, 17.4) years; 58.0% were female. Survival-adjusted costs of SCD peaked at age 13 to 24 years and declined at older ages. There was no significant difference in total medical costs or OOP costs between the sexes. SCD-attributable costs over 0 to 64 years of age were estimated to be \$1.6 million (95% confidence interval [CI], \$1.3M-\$1.9M) and \$1.7 million (95% CI, \$1.4M-\$2.1M) for females and males with SCD, respectively. The corresponding OOP estimates were \$42 395 (95% CI, \$34 756-\$50 033) for females and \$45 091 (95% CI, \$36 491-\$53 691) for males. These represent a 907% and 285% increase in total medical and OOP costs over control subjects, respectively. Although limited to the commercially insured population, these results indicate that the direct economic burden of SCD is substantial and peaks at younger ages, suggesting the need for curative and new medical therapies.

Introduction

Sickle cell disease (SCD) is an inherited blood disorder caused by a mutation in the β -globin gene that affects approximately 100 000 individuals in the United States, primarily of African American descent, and is associated with lifelong morbidity and increased risk of mortality.¹ Individuals with SCD experience numerous debilitating and life-threatening complications throughout their lifetimes, including vaso-occlusive pain episodes (VOEs), which occur when the vascular obstruction leads to ischemic tissue damage and are the most common reason for hospital admission.²⁻⁴

Submitted 4 October 2021; accepted 24 March 2022; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 16 May 2022. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2021006281.

MarketScan Research Databases are proprietary and are available through application to IBM. Please direct other inquiries to the corresponding author: basua@uw.edu.

© 2023 by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved. Due to these complications, individuals with SCD typically incur high healthcare costs, with inpatient care contributing the greatest share.⁵⁻⁷ The total economic burden of inpatient care for individuals with SCD in the United States was \$811 million in 2016 alone.² SCD-related lifetime medical costs were estimated at \$1.2 million (2020 US dollars).^{8,9} Despite being nearly 20 years old and derived from a single state's Medicaid program, this estimate is widely used to establish the baseline costs of medical care for SCD.⁹⁻¹² Other studies of the burden of medical costs are limited to descriptive summaries,^{5-8,13} and none provide clear estimates of the lifetime incremental medical costs paid by health insurers or, importantly, the out-of-pocket (OOP) burden that SCD individuals face compared with those without SCD or related blood disorders.

Understanding the economic burden of SCD on health insurers and patients is important at this time. A number of very costly but potentially curative therapies for SCD are on the horizon,¹⁴ with 10 gene therapies currently in the clinical testing stage.¹⁵ These therapies have the potential to revolutionize care for SCD. However, with expected prices of nearly \$2 million,^{16,17} issues of affordability and access will be points of contention between patients, payers, and policymakers.^{9,18}

The objectives of this study were to provide age- and sex-specific estimates of total healthcare costs and OOP costs attributable to SCD over the first 64 years of life in a commercially insured population. In addition to providing a better understanding of the individual and health system burden of SCD, our estimates of the overall lifetime burden of SCD in the United States can serve as key reference points for evaluating the economic impact of anticipated treatments for SCD. This work is part of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Cure Sickle Cell Initiative (https://curesickle.org).

Methods

Data sources

We constructed a retrospective cohort of individuals with SCD using the Truven Health Marketscan Commercial Claims and Encounters Database. The Truven database contains claims data for over 115 million individuals and their dependents from all 50 states with employer-sponsored private health insurance. These data include outpatient and inpatient medical claims, prescription drug claims, health utilization records, payer and individual costs, and demographic characteristics of individuals, including their age at enrollment, sex, geographic region, and insurance plan type. Race was not available in the data.

We constructed a cohort of individuals representing a control population using data from MEPS (Medical Expenditure Panel Survey).¹⁹ MEPS is a nationally representative survey of the general population of noninstitutionalized civilians in the United States. We used the Household Component (HC) of MEPS, which contains data on the demographic characteristics of participants, including their age on December 31 of the sampling year, sex, race, and geographic region. These data were supplemented by the Medical Provider Component, which has healthcare utilization, diagnosis codes, charges, and payments from medical providers and pharmacies that provided care to individuals in the HC using follow-back surveys.

Study population

We created a retrospective longitudinal cohort of individuals from the Truven database who fulfilled a previously validated case definition for SCD at any point between 2007 and 2018.²⁰ Individuals had ≥ 1 inpatient claim or 2 outpatient or emergency department claims for SCD in any position using the International Classification of Disease, 9th and 10th edition codes (ICD-9: 282.41, 282.42, and 282.6x; ICD-10: D57.0x, D57.1x, D57.2x, D57.4x, and D57.8x, excluding 282.5 and D57.3; sickle cell trait). This criterion has >90% sensitivity and specificity in identifying pediatric SCD and has been widely applied to populations of all ages; however, its performance in older adults has not been specifically evaluated.^{20,21} Individuals were followed from the start of their first claim encounter (irrespective of when SCD was diagnosed) from January 1, 2007, until December 31, 2018, or any period of continuous enrollment exceeding 1 year therein. We excluded all observations of individuals ≥65 years to eliminate periods in which all patients were eligible for Medicare. Because only the year of birth was available, we assumed an individual's day and month of birth coincided with their date of enrollment.

We selected control individuals from MEPS who were surveyed between 2007 and 2018. We restricted this sample to those who reported their primary race as Black since the majority of individuals with SCD are Black,¹ and without race/ethnicity information from the Truven database, we were unable to match more granularly. Individuals selected from MEPS also had private insurance covering hospital and physician services and did not have claims for blood disorders (ICD-9: 280 to 289; ICD-10: D50 to D89) during the study period. We refer to this cohort as "matched controls" to reflect the absence of blood disorders, including SCD.

Direct medical costs

We assessed all-cause total medical costs and OOP costs by year of individual age. Total costs indicate gross payments to a provider for a service. OOP costs were the sum of deductible, copayment, and coinsurance paid by individuals in both the Truven and MEPS data. We included costs from physician and nonphysician office visits, urgent care, ambulance, emergency department, inpatient, end-stage renal disease facility visits, prescription medications, and dental and vision services. MEPS additionally included the costs of home healthcare services and medical equipment, which, when included in the total costs of matched controls, provided us with a conservative estimate of SCD-attributable costs, which were calculated as the difference between costs in individuals with SCD and matched controls. All costs were converted to 2020 US dollars using the Personal Consumption Expenditure Price Index for total costs and the US Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers for OOP costs.^{22,23}

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in STATA V16.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). We estimated annual total medical costs and OOP costs among survivors in both the SCD and matched control populations. In the SCD population, we applied flexible link and variance function generalized linear models for total and OOP costs separately, with individual sex, age, age², age categories (0 to 5; 6 to 12; 13 to 18; 19 to 24; 25 to 34; 35 to 54; and 55 to 64 years), geographic region, insurance plan type, calendar year,

and the number of months observed per year of individual age (to account for variation in enrollment length) included as covariates.^{24,25} Age was centered around its mean to improve estimation. Standard errors were obtained using 1000 individual-level clustered bootstrapped replicates.

We used weighted generalized linear regression models (γ distribution, log link) with individual sex, age, geographic region, insurance plan type, and calendar year included as covariates for matched controls. We applied a logistic regression-based propensity score analysis to generate weights for each individual in the MEPS sample based on their sex, age, the interaction between sex and age, geographic region, insurance plan type, and calendar year. Cost estimates were weighted by the odds of the propensity scores to ensure the matched controls were similar to our SCD sample, with higher odds representing a higher probability of belonging to the SCD sample. We compared differences in healthcare utilization and medical costs between surviving SCD individuals and matched controls using 2-sample independent *t* tests.

We applied a Kaplan-Meier sample average (KMSA) estimator to calculate the age-specific and nonelderly lifetime burden of SCDattributable total costs and OOP costs. We multiplied the probability of surviving to each age for individuals with SCD and matched controls with the average total costs or OOP costs among individuals who survived to that age.²⁶ The difference between the sum of these products over 0 to 64 years of age gave the nonelderly lifetime burden of SCD-attributable costs. Estimates of age- and sex-specific survival probabilities for individuals with SCD were derived from digitized survival curves presented by Lubeck and colleagues.²⁷ We conducted a bootstrap analysis of these digitized data to obtain standard errors. For matched controls, we applied 2017 general population life tables for Black males and females (separately).²⁸ These estimates were assumed to be deterministic. Further details on the KMSA calculations can be found in the Appendix.

Two components contribute to the burden of SCD-attributable costs: the higher intensity of medical care among survivors at a certain age and the difference in the probability of surviving to a certain age. We decomposed SCD-attributable total and OOP costs into the component "intensity effect" (the KMSA estimator of costs evaluated with the survival probabilities of SCD individuals applied to the difference in survivor costs between SCD individuals and matched controls) and the "mortality effect" (the KMSA estimator of costs evaluated with survivor costs for matched controls applied to the difference in survival probabilities between SCD individuals and matched controls). The justification for this decomposition is shown in the Appendix.

This study used retrospective data with no identifiable information and did not meet the definition of human subjects research by the University of Washington Institutional Review Board. MarketScan Research Databases are proprietary and are available through application to IBM.

Results

The cohort selection procedure is shown in Figure 1. A total of 20 891 individuals who fulfilled the case definition of SCD were included in our study cohort. The mean age at enrollment was 25.7 years (standard deviation, 17.4, 5.5% with age 0, and 40.7%



Figure 1. Sample selection process.

<18 years of age), 58.0% were females, and the majority were from the South region (Table 1). The matched control sample consisted of 33 588 individuals who were sampled in MEPS between 2007 and 2018. After weighting based on the estimated propensity score, the control sample exhibited similar demographic characteristics to the SCD sample (Table 1).

All types of healthcare utilization were higher in surviving individuals with SCD than in matched controls for any age group (Table 1). For SCD individuals, the annual number of office visits (visits to physicians' offices outside hospitals) and the combined number of outpatient visits (facilities adjoining hospitals) and urgent care visits averaged across all ages was 5.4 (standard error [SE], 0.02) and 4.8 (SE, 0.03), respectively. Individuals with SCD incurred an excess burden of 2.46 (SE, 0.05) office visits, 4.61 (SE, 0.05) urgent care visits, 1.17 (SE, 0.02) emergency department visits, 0.49 (SE, 0.01) inpatient visits, and 7.37 (SE, 0.13) prescription fills per year averaged across all ages, relative to matched controls (all P < .01). Annual medical costs varied widely between individuals with SCD (total costs: median, \$9607; interquartile range [IQR], \$1927-\$34 265; OOP costs: median, \$547; IQR, \$109-\$1803), but mean costs increased with the number of months per year of follow-up with a VOE (0 months: \$26 134; SE, \$488; 1 month: \$37 196; SE, \$695; 2+ months: \$81 741; SE, \$1387).

The estimated annual total costs among surviving individuals with SCD and matched controls are presented in Table 2. More than 87% of total costs could be attributed to SCD for all age groups in males and females. Mean annual total costs among survivors were \$36 128 (95% CI, \$33 904-\$38 352) for females and \$38 445 (95% CI, \$36 292-\$40 598) for males. SCD-attributable costs were \$32 311 (95% CI, \$28 533-\$36 089) and \$35 203 (95% CI, \$31 812-\$38 594), respectively. Total costs were not significantly different between males and females for any age group

Table 1. Demographic characteristics ar	d healthcare services use of	f surviving individuals with	SCD and matched controls
---	------------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------

	Individuals with SCD			Matched Controls			
n		20 891			33 588		
Follow-up, yr		4.4 (SD 2.8)			NA		
Age (yr),* %		25.7 (SD 17.4)			24.7 (17.0)		
Male		42.0			42.8		
Region, %							
Northeast		19.2			21.2		
Northcentral		15.2			15.8†		
South		55.6			56.2		
West		8.3			6.7		
Unknown		1.7			-		
Calendar year,* %							
2007-2009		51.0			46.5		
2010-2012		21.6		20.5			
2013-2015		19.0			22.9		
2016-2018		8.5	8.5 10.1				
Plan type, %							
HMO		14.6			17.8		
Other‡		85.4			78.6		
Unknown		-			3.6		
Healthcare Services Use (Mean [SE] Visits/Yr)							
Age at enrollment	0-18 (n = 8 512)	19-30 (n = 4 156)	31-64 (n = 8 223)	0-18 (n = 8 871)	19-30 (n = 6 103)	31-64 (n = 18 614)	
Office visits§	4.55 (0.03)	4.51 (0.04)	6.49 (0.04)	2.42 (0.11)	2.39 (0.15)	3.72 (0.12)	
Outpatient & urgent care visits	4.86 (0.04)	4.73 (0.08)	4.77 (0.05)	0.08 (0.01)	0.15 (0.05)	0.32 (0.02)	
Ambulance use	0.05 (0.003)	0.09 (0.005)	0.12 (0.007)	0.002 (0.001)	0.005 (0.001)	0.004 (0.001)	
Emergency department visits	1.32 (0.01)	1.90 (0.04)	1.12 (0.02)	0.14 (0.009)	0.20 (0.01)	0.18 (0.007)	
Inpatient admissions	0.57 (0.007)	0.69 (0.013)	0.46 (0.006)	0.04 (0.008)	0.07 (0.01)	0.07 (0.004)	
Prescription fills	8.02 (0.07)	9.77 (0.13)	15.94 (0.12)	1.57 (0.09)	2.38 (0.13)	8.45 (0.25)	

HMO, health maintenance organization; EPO, exclusive provider organization; POS, point-of-service; CDHP, consumer directed health plan; HDHP, high deductible health plan. *Determined at enrollment.

†Midwest region.

‡Other plan types are comprehensive, EPO, POS, POS with capitation, CDHP, and HDHP.

§Visits to physicians' offices outside of hospitals.

IVisits to outpatient facilities adjoining hospital facilities.

(all P > .10). Costs increased with age among survivors. A smoothed age profile of total costs is shown in the supplemental Figure 2.

OOP costs were fourfold higher for surviving individuals with SCD than for matched controls (Table 2). Overall, individuals with SCD paid 3% to 5% of their total healthcare costs OOP, while controls paid 11% to 12%. Mean annual OOP costs were similar between males (\$1333; 95% Cl, \$1274-\$1393) and females (\$1317; 95% Cl, \$1261-\$1373). SCD-attributable costs were \$942 (95% Cl, \$840-\$1044) and \$899 (95% Cl, \$799-\$999), respectively. OOP costs were relatively similar across all ages.

The average nonelderly (0 to 64 year) life expectancy in individuals with SCD was 51.2 years for females and 50.5 for males with SCD, and 62.3 years and 60.3 years in matched controls, respectively (Kaplan-Meier survival curves are shown in the supplemental

Figure 3). KMSA estimated annual total costs and SCDattributable costs peaked between 13 and 24 years; KMSA estimated OOP costs were relatively stable before declining in individuals >40 years with SCD (Figure 2). Costs increased continuously with age among the male and female control cohorts. Over 97% of the SCD-attributable total costs were generated through the intensity of utilization, which also peaked in young adulthood (Figure 3). Earlier mortality in individuals with SCD resulted in only a small decrease in SCD-attributable total costs, even at older ages when survival differences with matched controls were highest. The mortality effect was more influential for OOP costs at older ages, which resulted in higher KMSA estimated OOP costs in controls than individuals with SCD over 61 years.

Lifetime total costs attributable to SCD for ages 0 to 64 years were \$1.6 million (95% CI, \$1.3M-\$1.9M) for females and \$1.7 million

Table 2. Estimated total	annual medical	costs and OOP	costs (95% CI)	among surviving	individuals with \$	SCD and matched	controls, by age
group and sex							

Age (yr)	Individuals with SCD	Matched Controls	SCD-attributable Costs*	P value†
Total medical costs, \$				
Females, yr				
0-5	26 835 (16 400-37 271)	1 722 (1 405-2 040)	25 113 (3 661-46 565)	.01
6-12	28 575 (20 001-37 149)	2 006 (1 678-2 334)	26 569 (10 521-42 617)	<.01
13-18	37 283 (34 637-39 930)	2 316 (1 986-2 647)	34 967 (30 039-39 895)	<.01
19-24	41 020 (36 422-45 618)	2 631 (2 291-2 971)	38 389 (30 020-46 758)	<.01
25-34	34 105 (30 478-37 732)	3 162 (2 789-3 536)	30 943 (24 600-37 286)	<.01
35-54	36 574 (34 132-39 017)	4 520 (3 956-5 084)	32 054 (28 119-35 989)	<.01
55-64	47 780 (37 100-58 460)	6 230 (5 148-7 313)	41 550 (26 767-56 333)	<.01
Males, yr				
0-5	29 327 (20 927-37 681)	1 546 (1 166-1 926)	27 781 (10 327-45 235)	<.01
6-12	31 504 (25 376-37 632)	1 767 (1 368-2 167)	29 737 (18 040-41 434)	<.01
13-18	40 306 (36 909-43 703)	2 038 (1 629-2 447)	38 268 (32 216-44 320)	<.01
19-24	44 228 (37 015-51 442)	2 338 (1 910-2 767)	41 890 (30 415-53 365)	<.01
25-34	36 691 (34 288-39 093)	2 774 (2 311-3 237)	33 917 (30 367-37 467)	<.01
35-54	39 968 (36 783-43 154)	4 013 (3 352-4 675)	35 955 (31 461-40 449)	<.01
55-64	50 981 (37 542-64 420)	5 439 (4 419-6 459)	45 542 (29 305-61 779)	<.01
OOP COSTS, \$				
Females, yr				
0-5	1 232 (1 123-1 341)	195 (167-223)	1 037 (813-1 261)	<.01
6-12	1 152 (975-1 328)	224 (197-252)	928 (597-1 259)	<.01
13-18	1 236 (1 129-1 342)	257 (229-284)	979 (781-1 177)	<.01
19-24	1 379 (1 285-1 473)	287 (256-318)	1 092 (920-1 264)	<.01
25-34	1 399 (1 303-1 496)	349 (313-386)	1 050 (881-1 219)	<.01
35-54	1 324 (1 261-1 387)	495 (429-561)	829 (719-939)	<.01
55-64	1 445 (1 305-1 584)	673 (539-806)	772 (556-988)	<.01
Males				
0-5	1 223 (1 102-1 344)	192 (149-234)	1 031 (777-1 285)	<.01
6-12	1 181 (1 025-1 336)	219 (174-264)	962 (665-1 259)	<.01
13-18	1 271 (1 184-1 358)	250 (203-298)	1 021 (864-1 178)	<.01
19-24	1 399 (1 294-1 504)	279 (225-332)	1 120 (949-1 291)	<.01
25-34	1 434 (1 314-1 554)	333 (277-388)	1 101 (920-1 282)	<.01
35-54	1 394 (1 301-1 486)	484 (401-567)	910 (767-1 053)	<.01
55-64	1 463 (1 310-1 616)	648 (512-785)	815 (598-1 032)	<.01

All costs are in 2020 USD. Raw costs (not model-estimated) are shown in supplemental Table 1 for comparison.

*SCD-attributable costs are the difference between individuals with SCD and matched controls.

†Two-sample independent t test.

(95% Cl, \$1.4M-\$2.1M) for males (Table 3). SCD-attributable nonelderly lifetime OOP costs were \$42.395 (95% Cl, \$34.756-\$50.033) for females and \$45.091 (95% Cl, \$36.491-\$53.691) for males, representing 2.6% and 2.7% of total costs, respectively. Lifetime total medical costs and OOP costs were 907% and 285% higher for individuals with SCD than for matched controls, respectively. When the mortality effect was removed (ie, the negative costs due to increased mortality among SCD individuals), the SCD-attributable costs were reflected in the intensity effects, which were slightly higher than the original estimates.

Discussion

We conducted a retrospective cohort study using an employersponsored commercial claims database to understand the lifetime burden of SCD on health systems and individuals. Individuals with SCD were compared with an age- and sex-matched sample of the general population with no blood disorders to determine the costs attributed to SCD. After adjusting for differences in survival, nonelderly lifetime (\leq 64 years) SCD-attributable total medical costs and OOP costs were \$1.7 million and \$44 000, respectively. The burden of SCD-attributable total costs peaked in young adulthood



Figure 2. KMSA estimates of total medical costs and OOP costs among individuals with SCD and matched controls by sex, smoothed over age. All estimates are smoothed and survival-adjusted.

when the intensity of costs was greatest, and the difference in expected mortality between individuals with SCD and matched controls was small, although this latter factor was much less influential. Surviving individuals with SCD paid approximately \$1324 in annual OOP costs, with 69% of these costs attributable to SCD. The substantial burden of total medical costs and OOP costs due to SCD highlights its impact on payers, patients, and caregivers.

Surviving individuals incurred an average of \$34 477 per year in SCD-attributable medical costs; payers bore 96% of these costs, and 4% were paid by patients OOP. Our estimates are slightly higher than Salcedo and colleagues,²⁹ who reported excess costs of \$31 045 (2020 USD) relative to non-SCD controls in a commercially insured population. However, because controls were not matched to individuals with SCD on race, their sample is likely to include a disproportionate number of Whites, who typically have higher healthcare costs than the Black population, leading to lower attributable cost estimates.³⁰ We also accounted for differential survival between individuals with SCD and matched controls using KMSA mean costs, a key gap in the previous literature. The lifetime burden of total medical costs in individuals with SCD is substantially higher than previously reported (\$1.2M in 2020 USD). However, this estimate used data that is nearly 20 years old and only included individuals surviving to 45 years.⁸ Our analysis took the perspective of a commercial payer responsible for lifetime medical costs up to the point of Medicare eligibility (65 years). Older adults are a critical population to include in assessments of the economic burden of SCD, as survivors incur the highest medical costs of any age group, and increased mortality has only a small impact on expected lifetime total medical costs.

There were significant age-related trends in total medical costs for individuals with SCD. Among survivors, the highest total annual medical costs and OOP costs were in individuals aged 55 to 64 years, reflecting an increasing burden of medical costs with age, which may be attributed to accumulated organ damage.³¹ However, the average life expectancy for an individual with SCD was 51 years, meaning most patients do not survive long enough to incur the high costs of older adulthood. Survival-adjusted costs, calculated using KMSA estimates, peaked in adolescence and early adulthood due to the high intensity of medical costs and low mortality rates during this period. Medical costs were particularly high during the transition from pediatric to adult care when multiple barriers to receiving optimal and equitable treatment have been identified. These include a lack of providers with expertise in SCD, difficulties transitioning from pediatric to adult facilities, bias against individuals with SCD and frequent or chronic pain, and institutional racism.³²⁻³⁶ These factors may have contributed to the high rates of acute care visits we observed in young adulthood, which is in line with the previous observations.^{5,13} The decline in survival-adjusted total costs at older ages was primarily driven by a decrease in the excess burden of medical costs relative to the general population,



Figure 3. Total medical costs and OOP costs attributable to SCD and their decomposition into intensity effects and mortality effects. All estimates are smoothed and survival-adjusted.

in which total medical costs increased monotonically with age. Lower life expectancy in individuals with SCD contributed a comparatively smaller fraction to this decline.

The burden of medical costs borne directly by individuals with SCD has not previously been examined. Nonelderly lifetime OOP costs were nearly 4 times greater than matched controls and averaged

\$1324 annually among surviving individuals with SCD. Thus, having SCD accounted for the vast majority of OOP medical costs regardless of age or sex and despite upper limits on OOP costs set by insurance plans. It is worth considering these costs in the context of the population affected by SCD. The annual OOP burden of SCD is >3% of the median household income of Black Americans, whose income and assets are already far below those

Table 3. Nonelderly (0-64 yr of age) lifetime total costs and OOP cost	s attributable to SCD and their	decomposition into	costs due to the
intensity and mortality effects			

Sex	LE (0-64), yr (SCD vs Matched Controls)	Survival-adjusted Costs for SCD Individuals (95% Cl)	Survival-adjusted Costs for Matched Controls (95% Cl)	SCD-attributable Costs (95% Cl)	SCD-attributable Intensity Effect (95% CI)	SCD-attributable Mortality Effect (95% CI)
Total Costs, \$						
Females	51.2 vs 62.3	1 812 743 (1 489 450 to 2 136 036)	224 623 (191 989 to 257 256)	1 588 120 (1 262 183 to 1 914 057)	1 644 900 (1 319 718 to 1 970 082)	56 780 (85 270 to28 290)
Males	50.5 vs 60.3	1 937 966 (1 612 327 to 2 263 605)	189 133 (154 174 to 224 093)	1 748 832 (1 420 634 to 2 077 031)	1 792 774 (1 465 038 to 2 120 511)	-43 942 (-75 860 to -12 023)
OOP Costs, \$						
Females	51.2 vs 62.3	67 003 (60 597 to 73 409)	24 609 (21 084 to 28 134)	42 395 (34 756 to 50 033)	48 562 (41 264 to 55 861)	6 168 (9 198 to3 138)
Males	50.5 vs 60.3	67 897 (60 696 to 75 098)	22 806 (18 479 to 27 132)	45 091 (36 491 to 53 691)	50 346 (42 005 to 58 686)	-5 254 (-9 188 to -1 320)

LE, life expectancy.

of White Americans.³⁷ High OOP costs can contribute to documented problems where those with SCD do not seek care for comorbidities, especially acute pain episodes.³⁶ Further, the OOP costs reported here are for privately insured beneficiaries and are limited to healthcare costs. Thus they are likely to be a gross underestimate of the economic impact of SCD on families, as many are not insured, and the full burden of indirect costs such as productivity loss is not reflected.

Our estimates of the nonelderly lifetime costs attributable to SCD highlight the potential for curative gene therapies or novel diseasemodifying therapies to reduce the burden of medical costs on patients and payers. Recently approved treatments such as L-glutamine and crizanlizumab have been shown to reduce acute complications of SCD, and numerous gene therapies with the potential for highly durable clinical benefits are being developed.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ However, these therapies impose high costs. Interestingly, the proposed treatment costs with gene therapy are in line with the lifetime total medical costs reported here.^{16,17} However, one-time interventions with substantial upfront costs and benefits accumulated over many years downstream impose unique payment challenges. This is especially the case for gene therapies, in which the costs of providing treatment to all Medicaid-insured individuals with severe SCD in 10 states are projected at \$5.5 billion.⁹ This presents serious barriers to affordability, and unique payment structures will be required to avoid restricting access.⁴¹ There are additional challenges for commercial payers, given that many patients change insurance providers throughout their lifetimes.⁴² However, payers should consider the costs of medical care for SCD accumulated over a patient's lifetime, as well as the wider societal benefits of curative therapies. The highest intensity of medical costs occurs among young adults when improved educational attainment could have a substantial impact on lifetime productivity and income.⁴³ In contrast, patients receiving treatment with gene therapies may still incur medical costs from downstream complications.⁴⁴ Economic analyses will ultimately be required to determine the value of gene therapies and disease-modifying treatments to patients, payers, and society.

Our study has several strengths. Our control population was comprised of individuals from the privately insured general Black population, with observations weighted by demographic and insurance plan characteristics to ensure representativeness to individuals with SCD. Most previous estimates of medical costs are descriptive and do not compare costs to matched controls to determine SCD-attributable costs. In addition, we used generally accepted methods to account for the impact of mortality on lifetime costs.⁴⁵ Accounting for these factors is necessary to determine the incremental economic burden of SCD from the payer perspective. We also incorporated the individual perspective by assessing OOP costs, which indicate the direct financial burden of medical care for SCD. This patient perspective is crucial for understanding the true disease burden.

Our study has several limitations. We did not match individuals with SCD to controls within the same database due to the lack of data on individual race in the private claims database, which is necessary to compare populations. We believe that matching with external nationally representative data provides more accurate estimates of attributable costs compared with not matching alone or matching within the database without the race variable. Cost estimates for matched controls were based on self-reported data, which has been shown to understate costs among high expenditure cases.⁴⁶ Although the MEPS database provides nationally representative costs, a comparison of MEPS and Marketscan has revealed that MEPS estimates of expenditures are about 10% lower on average than Marketscan estimates.⁴⁷ This would mean that our control costs estimates could be higher by about \$20 000 to \$25 000. However, this indicates a <1.5% impact on our estimates of attributable costs. We also did not have information on individual mortality, which limited us to assessing costs among survivors. Although we adjusted our final estimates for average annual mortality by age and sex from an independent source, medical costs and mortality can be correlated through other factors that could make our SCD-attributable estimates conservative, as patients with less severe SCD are likely to survive longer. In addition, our source of mortality rates was based on a simulated cohort with death rates determined from population registry data.²⁷ Mortality rates in the commercially insured population may be different, which could impact the accuracy of our estimates. Our estimates of burden also do not account for the indirect costs of SCD, including income and productivity loss for both individuals and their caregivers.^{27,48,49} Lifetime income loss due to SCD has been estimated at \$700 000 compared with a matched individual without SCD.²⁷ Future work should focus on combining direct and indirect costs of SCD in a single estimate of the economic burden. Finally, only one-third of the population with SCD is commercially insured, meaning our conclusions may not be representative of the entire population with SCD. Individuals with commercial insurance have been shown to experience fewer acute complications than those insured through Medicaid or Medicare, suggesting there may have been a selection for individuals with milder disease.⁵⁰ In addition, our cohort was limited to patients <65 years of age regardless of their eligibility for public insurance. Finally, the matched control cohort was restricted to Black individuals, whereas individuals with SCD could have been of any race.

Conclusions

Sickle cell disease imposes a substantial burden of medical costs on patients and commercial payers. Emerging curative therapies may provide critical opportunities to reduce the lifetime medical costs attributed to this disease.⁵¹

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, participants from Emmes, the CureSC Expert Panel, and the CureSC Initiative. All errors and opinions are ours.

This research was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Cure Sickle Cell initiative. The opinions expressed are those of the authors only. This research was funded in part by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Agreements OT3HL152448 and OT3HL151434. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the NIH.

Authorship

Contribution: A.B., S.D.R., B.D., and M.A.B. conceived the study; K.M.J., A.B., and B.J. conducted the analyses; K.M.J. and A.B. wrote

the first draft of the manuscript; and all authors critically commented on the manuscript and approved the final version. A.B. is the guarantor of the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: K.M.J., 0000-0001-7406-2448; B.D., 0000-0001-9945-6099.

Correspondence: Anirban Basu, School of Pharmacy, University of Washington, 1959 NE Pacific St, H375Q Box 357631, Seattle, WA 98195; email: basua@uw.edu.

References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Data & statistics on sickle cell disease. Accessed 1 November 2020. www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/data. html
- Fingar KR, Owens PL, Reid LD, et al. Characteristics of inpatient hospital stays involving sickle cell disease, 2000–2016: statistical brief #251. In: Healthcare cost and utilization project (hcup) statistical briefs. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2019. Accessed 27 November 2020. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK547764/
- Bou-Maroun LM, Meta F, Hanba CJ, Campbell AD, Yanik GA. An analysis of inpatient pediatric sickle cell disease: Incidence, costs, and outcomes. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2017;65(1):e26758.
- 4. Ware RE, de Montalembert M, Tshilolo L, Abboud MR. Sickle cell disease. Lancet. 2017;390(10091):311-323.
- Blinder MA, Duh MS, Sasane M, Trahey A, Paley C, Vekeman F. Age-related emergency department reliance in patients with sickle cell disease. J Emerg Med. 2015;49(4):513-522.e1.
- Raphael JL, Dietrich CL, Whitmire D, Mahoney DH, Mueller BU, Giardino AP. Healthcare utilization and expenditures for low-income children with sickle cell disease. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2009;52(2):263-267.
- Shah N, Bhor M, Xie L, et al. Treatment patterns and economic burden of sickle-cell disease patients prescribed hydroxyurea: a retrospective claimsbased study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2019;17(1):155.
- 8. Kauf TL, Coates TD, Huazhi L, Mody-Patel N, Hartzema AG. The cost of health care for children and adults with sickle cell disease. Am J Hematol. 2009;84(6):323-327.
- DeMartino P, Haag MB, Hersh AR, Caughey AB, Roth JA. A budget impact analysis of gene therapy for sickle cell disease: the Medicaid perspective. JAMA Pediatr. 2021;175(6):617-623.
- 10. Hassell KL. Population estimates of sickle cell disease in the U.S. Am J Prev Med. 2010;38(4 Suppl):S512-S521.
- 11. Piel FB, Steinberg MH, Rees DC. Sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(16):1561-1573.
- Kacker S, Ness PM, Savage WJ, et al. Cost-effectiveness of prospective red blood cell antigen matching to prevent alloimmunization among sickle cell patients. *Transfusion*. 2013;54(1):86-97.
- Blinder MA, Vekeman F, Sasane M, Trahey A, Paley C, Duh MS. Age-related treatment patterns in sickle cell disease patients and the associated sickle cell complications and healthcare costs. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2013;60(5):828-835.
- 14. Esrick EB, Bauer DE. Genetic therapies for sickle cell disease. Semin Hematol. 2018;55(2):76-86.
- 15. Quach D, Jiao B, Basu A, et al. A landscape analysis and discussion of value of gene therapies for sickle cell disease. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res.* 2022;1-21.
- Beasley D, Mathias T. Bluebird prices gene therapy at 1.58 million euros over 5 years. Reuters Health News. Accessed 9 June 2021. Available at: https://www.reuters.com/article/us-bluebird-bio-gene-therapy-price/bluebird-prices-gene-therapy-at-1-575-million-euros-over-five-years-idUSKCN1TF1 HP
- Ouyang W, Dong G, Zhao W, et al. Restoration of β-globin expression with optimally designed lentiviral vector for β-thalassemia treatment in Chinese patients. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2021;32(9-10):481-494.
- 18. Ozuah PO. Gene therapy for sickle cell disease-a debt to be paid. JAMA Pediatr. 2021;175(6):565-566.
- 19. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Medical expenditure panel survey background. Available at: https://meps.ahrq.gov/mepsweb/about_ meps/survey_back.jsp
- 20. Reeves S, Garcia E, Kleyn M, et al. Identifying sickle cell disease cases using administrative claims. Acad Pediatr. 2014;14(5 Suppl):S61-S67.
- 21. Grosse SD, Green NS, Reeves SL. Administrative data identify sickle cell disease: a critical review of approaches in U.S. health services research. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2020;67(12):e28703.
- 22. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Personal consumption expenditures price index. Accessed 12 November 2020. Available at: https://www.bea.gov/data/ personal-consumption-expenditures-price-index
- 23. US Bureau of Labor Statistics. Consumer price index. Accessed 12 November 2020. Available at: https://www.bls.gov/cpi/
- 24. Basu A, Rathouz PJ. Estimating marginal and incremental effects on health outcomes using flexible link and variance function models. *Biostatistics*. 2004;6(1):93-109.
- 25. Basu A, Arondekar BV, Rathouz PJ. Scale of interest versus scale of estimation: comparing alternative estimators for the incremental costs of a comorbidity. *Health Econ.* 2006;15(10):1091-1107.

- 26. Etzioni R, Urban N, Baker M. Estimating the costs attributable to a disease with application to ovarian cancer. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996;49(1):95-103.
- 27. Lubeck D, Agodoa I, Bhakta N, et al. Estimated life expectancy and income of patients with sickle cell disease compared with those without sickle cell disease. JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(11):e1915374.
- 28. Arias E, Xu JQ. United States life tables, 2017. National vital statistics report. Natl Vital Stat Rep. 2019;68(7):1-66.
- Salcedo J, Young CM, Bulovic J. The total direct cost of healthcare in the United States in patients with sickle cell disease: a propensity score-matched analysis. Blood. 2019;134(Supplement_1):4671.
- 30. Charron-Chénier R, Mueller CW. Racial disparities in medical spending: healthcare expenditures for Black and White households (2013–2015). *Race Soc Probl.* 2018;10(2):113-133.
- 31. Campbell A, Cong Z, Agodoa I, et al. The economic burden of end-organ damage among Medicaid patients with sickle cell disease in the United States a population-based longitudinal claims study. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm.* 2020;26(9):1121-1129.
- Desai RJ, Mahesri M, Globe D, et al. Clinical outcomes and healthcare utilization in patients with sickle cell disease: a nationwide cohort study of Medicaid beneficiaries. Ann Hematol. 2020;99(11):2497-2505.
- 33. Crego N, Douglas C, Bonnabeau E, et al. Sickle-cell disease co-management, health care utilization, and hydroxyurea use. J Am Board Fam Med. 2020;33(1):91-105.
- Hemker BG, Brousseau DC, Yan K, Hoffmann RG, Panepinto JA. When children with sickle-cell disease become adults: lack of outpatient care leads to increased use of the emergency department. Am J Hematol. 2011;86(10):863-865.
- 35. Power-Hays A, McGann PT. When actions speak louder than words racism and sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(20):1902-1903.
- 36. Stanton MV, Jonassaint CR, Bartholomew FB, et al. The association of optimism and perceived discrimination with health care utilization in adults with sickle cell disease. J Natl Med Assoc. 2010;102(11):1056-1064.
- US Census Bureau. Age of householder-households, by total money income, type of household, race and hispanic origin of householder. Accessed 23
 June 2021. Available at: https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/cps-hinc/hinc-02.html
- 38. Ataga KI, Kutlar A, Kanter J, et al. Crizanlizumab for the prevention of pain crises in sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(5):429-439.
- Niihara Y, Miller ST, Kanter J, et al; Investigators of the Phase 3 Trial of I-Glutamine in Sickle Cell Disease. A phase 3 trial of I-glutamine in sickle cell disease. N Engl J Med. 2018;379(3):226-235.
- 40. Quinn C, Young C, Thomas J, Trusheim M; MIT NEWDIGS FoCUS Writing Group. Estimating the clinical pipeline of cell and gene therapies and their potential economic impact on the US healthcare system. *Value Health*. 2019;22(6):621-626.
- 41. Orkin SH, Reilly P. Paying for future success in gene therapy. Science. 2016.352(6289):1059-1061.
- 42. Cutler D, Ciarametaro M, Long G, Kirson N, Dubois R. Insurance switching and mismatch between the costs and benefits of new technologies. Am J Manag Care. 2017;23(12):750-757.
- 43. Tamborini CR, Kim C, Sakamoto A. Education and lifetime earnings in the United States. Demography. 2015;52(4):1383-1407.
- 44. Businesswire. Bluebird bio announces temporary suspension on phase 1/2 and phase 3 studies of lentiglobin gene therapy for sickle cell disease (bb1111). Accessed 13 July 2021. Available at: https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20210216005442/en/bluebird-bio-Announces-Temporary-Suspension-on-Phase-12-and-Phase-3-Studies-of-LentiGlobin-Gene-Therapy-for-Sickle-Cell-Disease-bb1111
- 45. Yue B, Lankford ML, Landsman-Blumberg P. Estimating health care costs for advanced metastatic bladder cancer patients who are lost to follow-up: Applications of the Kaplan-Meier sampling average (KMSA). J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(suppl 8):21.
- Zuvekas SH, Grosse SD, Lavelle TA, Maenner MJ, Dietz P, Ji X. Healthcare costs of pediatric autism spectrum disorder in the United States, 2003-2015. J Autism Dev Disord. 2020;51(8):2950-2958.
- 47. Aizcorbe A, Liebman E, Pack S, Cutler DM, Chernew ME, Rosen AB. Measuring health care costs of individuals with employer-sponsored health insurance in the U.S.: a comparison of survey and claims data. *Stat J IAOS*. 2012;28(1-2):43-51.
- 48. Sanger M, Jordan L, Pruthi S, et al. Cognitive deficits are associated with unemployment in adults with sickle cell anemia. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2016;38(6):661-671.
- 49. Brandow AM, Farley RA, Panepinto JA. Neuropathic pain in patients with sickle cell disease. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2013;61(3):512-517.
- Shah NR, Bhor M, Latremouille-Viau D, et al. Vaso-occlusive crises and costs of sickle cell disease in patients with commercial, Medicaid, and Medicare insurance - the perspective of private and public payers. J Med Econ. 2020;23(11):1345-1355.
- 51. Basu A, Manning WG. Estimating lifetime or episode-of-illness costs under censoring. Health Econ. 2010;19(9):1010-1028.