

The Emerging Role of Exosomal miRNAs as Biomarkers for Early Cancer Detection: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Technology in Cancer Research & Treatment
Volume 22: 1-18
© The Author(s) 2023
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/15330338231205999
journals.sagepub.com/home/tct



Ali Jafari, MD^{1,*}, Keyvan Karimabadi, MD^{1,*}, Aso Rahimi, MD¹,
Gelavizh Rostaminasab, PhD², Mozafar Khazaei, PhD^{3,4},
Leila Rezakhani, PhD^{3,4} , and Touraj Ahmadi Jouybari, MD²

Abstract

A significant number of cancer-related deaths are recorded globally each year, despite attempts to cure this illness. Medical science is working to develop new medication therapies as well as to find ways to identify this illness as early as possible, even using noninvasive techniques. Early detection of cancer can greatly aid its treatment. Studies into cancer diagnosis and therapy have recently shifted their focus to exosome (EXO) biomarkers, which comprise numerous RNA and proteins. EXOs are minuscule goblet vesicles that have a width of 30 to 140 nm and are released by a variety of cells, including immune, stem, and tumor cells, as well as bodily fluids. According to a growing body of research, EXOs, and cancer appear to be related. EXOs from tumors play a role in the genetic information transfer between tumor and basal cells, which controls angiogenesis and fosters tumor development and spread. To identify malignant activities early on, microRNAs (miRNAs) from cancers can be extracted from circulatory system EXOs. Specific markers can be used to identify cancer-derived EXOs containing miRNAs, which may be more reliable and precise for early detection. Conventional solid biopsy has become increasingly limited as precision and personalized medicine has advanced, while liquid biopsy offers a viable platform for noninvasive diagnosis and prognosis. Therefore, the use of body fluids such as serum, plasma, urine, and salivary secretions can help find cancer biomarkers using technologies related to EXOs.

Keywords

cancer, exosome, microRNAs, biomarker, diagnosis

Abbreviations

EXOs, exosome; LC, lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; LAC, lung adenocarcinoma; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; TNM, tumor, nodes, metastases; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; MVBs, multivesicular bodies; ExomirRs, exosomal miRNAs; miRNA, microRNA; ECM, extracellular matrix; ILV, intraluminal vesicles; ESCRT, endosomal sorting complexes required for transport; ALIX, apoptosis linked gene 2; SNARE, soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor; VTA1, vesicle trafficking 1; GTPases, guanosine triphosphatase; CRC, colorectal cancer;

¹ Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

² Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Khomeini and Mohammad Kermanshahi and Farabi Hospitals, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

³ Fertility and Infertility Research Center, Health Technology Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

⁴ Department of Tissue Engineering, School of Medicine, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

*Both authors have contributed equally as the first author.

Corresponding Authors:

Leila Rezakhani, Fertility and Infertility Research Center, Health Technology Institute, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah 6715847141, Iran.
Email: Leila_rezakhani@yahoo.com, Leila.rezakhani@kums.ac.ir

Touraj Ahmadi Jouybari, Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Khomeini and Mohammad Kermanshahi and Farabi Hospitals, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Email: dr.Ahmadi-jouybari@yahoo.com



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access page (<https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage>).

CC, colon cancer; NC, noncancerous control; GC, gastric cancer; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; PDAC, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IPMN, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms; ROC, receiver operating characteristic; DCIS, ductal carcinoma in situ; OSCC, oral squamous cell carcinoma; OC, ovarian cancer; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; OSC, ovarian serous carcinoma; OSA, ovarian serous adenocarcinoma; AUC, area under the curve; ncRNAs, none coding RNAs; TDEs, tumor-derived exosomes; EMT, epithelial–mesenchymal transition; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CCS, cell cultural supernatants; PSA, prostate-specific antigen

Received: May 25, 2023; Revised: September 10, 2023; Accepted: September 13, 2023.

Introduction

Recent years have seen an increase in reports on the relevance of exosomes (EXOs) to cancer biology.¹ EXOs are membrane sacs and nanoscale vehicles of endosomal origin produced by almost all normal and pathological cells and are found in all body fluids. They contain a wide range of biological molecules such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids (DNA and miRNA) and reflect the physiological conditions and secretory functions of the cell.^{2,3}

The microRNA (miRNA) is a single-stranded molecule approximately 18 to 25 nucleotides in length⁴ that is responsible for regulating gene expression at the posttranscriptional level. It also plays an important role in cell proliferation.⁵ In cancer, a series of genetic deviations occur, and the control mechanism of cell proliferation is out of regulation.⁶ Furthermore, metastasis is a cause of death in cancer patients, and it is not unthinkable that exosomal miRNAs (ExomiRs) play a role in metastasis and are the link between cancer and the host.⁷ Evidence has shown that miRNAs enclosed in EXOs can cross the blood–brain barrier and are safe from immune attacks.⁸ Because of their double-layered membrane and small

size, high stability, and, correspondingly, a higher half-life are among their characteristics.⁹

Currently, imaging techniques and morphological analysis of tissues (histology) or cells (cytology) can detect cancer only when there is a visible change in the tissue; for example, when thousands of cancer cells have multiplied and even metastasized. Moreover, cancer biomarkers currently in use suffer from issues such as false negatives or false positives because of a lack of specificity. Therefore, ExomiRs related to the production and progression of tumors can be proposed as potential diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive biomarkers of cancer.¹⁰ The main advantage of using EXOs as biomarkers is their presence in various body fluids, for example, blood, urine, saliva, breast milk, etc, which makes them easily and noninvasively collectible and utilizable in clinical trials¹¹ (Figure 1). Due to their biocompatibility, EXOs can be measured in such a way that they can be used to diagnose cancer in the future.

Definition and Biogenesis of EXOs

An EXO is a membranous sac released into the extracellular matrix (ECM) after fusing with a cell membrane. Its surface




Figure 1. Exosomes isolation from body fluids, EXOs can be extracted from different body fluids such as urine, saliva, plasma, serum, CSF, and BAL. These nanoparticles contain various compounds, including miRNA, which can be used as biomarkers in medical diagnostics. Abbreviations: EXOs, exosomes; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; miRNA, microRNA.

is made up of protein receptors, polysaccharides, and other lipids and has a double structure containing many biologically active substances.¹² miRNAs, proteins, and coding-protein mRNAs are all present in EXOs and represent the physiological and functional conditions of secretory cells. According to recent research, blood, saliva, cerebrospinal fluid, tumor cells, and other body fluids contain EXOs.^{3,13}

The biogenesis of EXOs occurs in 3 distinct phases:

1. Formation of endocytic vesicles (early endosome) through the invagination of the plasma membrane.
2. Formation of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) with intraluminal vesicles (ILVs) generated by cytoplasmic components budding inside the endosomal membrane.
3. MVB fusion with the plasma membrane and extracellular release of ILVs as EXOs.^{14–16}

MVBs may potentially decay through fusion with lysosomes or autophagosomes.¹⁷ Many different proteins, including the endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) proteins, are involved in the development of MVBs and ILVs, which contain 4 protein complexes, ESCRT-0, -I, -II, and -III.^{14,18,19} In addition to ESCRT proteins, the X protein that interacts with apoptosis-linked gene 2 (ALIX),²⁰ the soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor (SNARE) proteins,²¹ the vesicle trafficking 1 (VTA1) protein,²² and guanosine triphosphatases (GTPases) are also thought to be important players in the biogenesis and secretion of EXOs.²³

Current Challenges in EXO Isolation

EXO application in medicine has technological difficulties, much like any other novel nanobiotechnology that is under development. One issue is the uniformity of EXO separation methods, which needs special consideration. EXO isolation has no industry-accepted gold standard, and new techniques are developed practically daily. EXO extraction using ultracentrifugation is by far the commonly most used approach, although it has several limitations, including the simultaneous isolation of nonexosomal contaminants, poor repeatability, low RNA yield, and probable EXO destruction. Additionally, the majority of separation techniques make it impossible to achieve EXO purity at all levels.^{24–26} EXOs content, purity, and size vary depending on the technique employed to separate them from body fluids. Information suggests that the isolation technique may alter the miRNA profile in EXOs.²⁷

According to the findings, EXO separation techniques from plasma or body fluids are the most important factor for defining the molecular or genetic payload of EXOs. Therefore, it is important to be cautious when selecting the best procedure for isolating EXOs and to distinguish between the EXO's true composition and its contamination with nucleic acids or plasma proteins.²⁸ Additionally, a study of various separation methods revealed that there were differences in the amounts of numerous proteins, including tetraspanins, that are frequently employed as "markers" of EXOs. It comes from several tumor

cells. EXO purity is greatly influenced by the separation technique and has an impact on how the results should be interpreted. The highest purity, however, typically comes at the expense of poor yield and may not be significant for studies in which biomarkers can be reliably detected, but it is significant for other applications, such as proteomic analysis.^{29,30}

Definition and Biogenesis of miRNAs

Much evidence has shown that miRNAs, a small part of ncRNAs, regulate posttranscriptional gene expression, intracellular transcription, and mRNA degradation to manage cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, metastasis, and stem cell features. It is estimated that miRNAs target more than 50% of all mRNAs, and each miRNA is expected to influence hundreds of target mRNAs.^{31,32}

Various studies have shown that miRNAs in body fluids maintain their stability under a variety of severe situations, including boiling, extremely low or high pH, multiple freeze-thaw cycles, and long-term room temperature storage.³³

A well-known process is responsible for the synthesis of these small single-strand RNAs; RNA polymerase II transcribes the miRNA genes in the nucleus to create a primary transcript, also known as a pri-miRNA. Exportin 5 is a protein that then transports the pre-miRNA to the cytoplasm, where the Dicer complex turns it into a mature miRNA duplex around 20 to 22 nucleotides in length. Once the strands have been separated, the passenger strand is destroyed, and the second strand is incorporated in the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which targets the specific mRNA and inhibits gene expression through mRNA degradation or translational suppression based on the 3'-untranslated region (3'UTR) and complementary sequence of the target.^{34,35}

Role of ExomiRs in Cancer

ExomiRs play an important role in controlling the development of cancer.³⁶ Cancer cells secrete at least 10-fold more EXOs than normal cells and tumor-derived EXOs (TDEs) can transport chemokines, ExomiRs, growth factors, and various small molecules, thereby enhancing intercellular communication.^{37,38} EXOs are released and then ingested by both nearby and distant cells, where the miRNAs they contain regulate processes like sabotaging tumor immunity and the microenvironment, potentially promoting tumor proliferation, invasion, metastasis, angiogenesis, and drug resistance.³⁶ Furthermore, evidence suggests that the tumor microenvironment significantly participates in the metabolic rewiring of cancerous cells through extracellular vesicles, promoting complete nutrient exploitation and changing the microenvironment from a normal to a tumor-favorable state. This alteration allows for invasion, drug resistance, and tumor growth.³⁹

Additionally, ExomiRs produced by cancer help recruit and modify elements of the tumor environment.⁴⁰ These molecules unquestionably play a part in cancer as tumor suppressors and promoters, influencing angiogenesis, tumor growth, proliferation

process, metastasis, and cell migration as well as the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT).³⁸ ExomiRs can also impact the ECM, immune system activation, and recruitment in the area around the tumor.⁴¹

General Roles of ExomiRs in Cancer

ExomiRs are exported by cancer cells to neighboring cancer cells around them. In the tumor microenvironment, they facilitate communication between primary tumor cells and other cells. EXOs produced by healthy cells can influence the behavior of malignant cells, and those produced by virus-infected cells can impact not only their oncology but also that of normal cells.³⁶

ExomiRs and Tumor Immunity

Tumor-derived EXOs aid tumor immune escape by transporting immunosuppressive factors and molecules.⁴² ExomiRs act as information carriers and can modulate the behavior of certain immunological activity factors and immune target cells, such as T lymphocytes, dendritic cells (DCs), and natural killer (NK) cells.⁴³ They are also involved in the biology of T lymphocytes and NK.⁴⁴ Additionally, the process by which ExomiRs affect NK cells' immunological activity and, consequently, cause tumor immunology resistance involves a multifaceted, multitargeted, and multifaceted effect.³⁶

ExomiRs and Tumor Proliferation

Malignant cells can transport genetic information to different cells within the tumor microenvironment through EXOs.³⁶ ExomiRs play a role in the metastasis, angiogenesis process, proliferation, drug resistance, and tumor suppression of cancer cells, as some of them are transferred between donors and recipients.³⁶ Proliferation is a critical factor in the development and spread of cancer, characterized by changes in the expression and function of proteins involved in the cell cycle.³⁶ Additionally, cell proliferation is stimulated by the constitutive activation of several signal transduction pathways.⁴⁵

ExomiRs and Tumor Angiogenesis

The tumor angiogenesis process consists of numerous phases, including the enzymatic breakdown of the vessel's basement membrane and the sprouting, proliferation, branching, migration, and tube creation of endothelial cells. EXOs produced by several cell types, such as stromal, endothelial, and mesenchymal stem cells, have been demonstrated to serve as beneficial mediators in the tumor microenvironment.^{46,47} One key variable affecting tumor angiogenesis is hypoxia, which can influence the activities of many different chemicals and encourage the development of ExomiRs.³⁶

ExomiRs and Tumor Metastasis

Numerous signaling molecules participate in intercellular communication. The several stages of the metastatic process require EXOs produced by the tumor.⁴⁸ Research has identified 4 common ways in which ExomiRs distribution occurs when a tumor is developing in the microenvironment.⁴⁹

First, miRNAs released by more invasive tumor cells may be absorbed by less invasive tumor cells through TDEs, which could lead to the worsening of a primary tumor.³⁶

Second, ExomiRs in the tumor microenvironment allow primary tumor cells to communicate with other cells.⁵⁰

Third, EXOs produced by healthy cells or common biological processes serve as a means of intercellular communication and can influence the behavior of tumor cells.⁴⁶

The fourth and final mode focuses on tumors induced by viral infections. Abnormally released ExomiRs from virus-infected cells create precancerous conditions in both healthy cells and the infected cells themselves.⁵¹

ExomiRs as a Cancer Biomarker

Typically used cancer biomarkers suffer from false negatives or false positives and are not specific to tumors.⁵² Therefore, the only accurate diagnostic option currently available is tumor biopsy, which is an invasive and potentially harmful procedure.¹⁰ In contrast, miRNAs are commonly found in circulation and can be released into the bloodstream by extracellular vesicles like EXOs.⁵³ According to mounting evidence, they offer significant advantages over blood-free miRNAs as diagnostic markers for cancer.⁵⁴ ExomiRs show more resistance to degradation than free miRNAs, primarily due to their lipid bilayer structure, which protects the miRNAs from degradation.⁵⁵ They are resistant to freeze-thaw cycles and can remain stable at -20°C for up to 5 years. Additionally, ExomiRs remain almost unchanged even after 2 weeks at 4°C .⁵⁶ Consequently, EXOs provide a source of miRNAs that allows for effective preservation and recovery, even under circumstances that would typically cause free miRNAs to degrade.¹⁰ As free miRNAs originate from various cell types, their intrinsic heterogeneity may reduce their sensitivity and specificity as cancer biomarkers. ExomiRs, on the other hand, are more reliable than circulating serum miRNAs, according to many studies.^{57,58}

Naturally, however, ExomiRs may face some of the same challenges as more conventional tumor biomarkers. For example, they may be released by other cell types, potentially concealing signals that are exclusive to cancer. However, it is anticipated that by profiling a diverse set of ExomiR markers and distinguishing those associated with tumor-specific protein markers, it will be feasible to enhance sensitivity and specificity, addressing the issues associated with existing cancer biomarkers. Through such efforts, ExomiRs hold promising potential for improving cancer diagnostics and contributing to a more accurate and effective approach to cancer detection and management.¹⁰

In the diagnosis of different cancers, false positive cases should also be considered. Some diseases with inflammatory causes report cancer in test results, which should be noted. People with benign bladder diseases such as infection, stones, inflammation, and hematuria can have false-positive findings for bladder cancer.⁵⁹ Glioblastoma has significant levels of exosomal miR-21 expression. However, patients with the Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) have been found to have a positive expression of the miR-21 gene. Therefore, while diagnosing glioblastoma in virus-infected regions, it is important to pay more attention to the false positive results caused by exosomal miR-21.⁶⁰ The presence of “false” positive serum EXOs in chronic pancreatitis patients is one of the field’s limitations. To significantly reduce medical mistakes, it could be required to include another panel that can identify inflammatory markers.⁶¹

Lung Cancer

In a study conducted by Cazzoli et al.⁶² plasma ExomiR expression levels were examined in patients with lung adenocarcinoma (LAC), pulmonary granuloma, and healthy smokers. The researchers discovered that by utilizing ExomiRs miR-200b-5p, miR-379, miR-378a, and miR-139-5p, they could distinguish lung cancer (LC) patients from healthy individuals. Moreover, by using ExomiRs miR-154-3p, miR-629, miR-151a-5p, miR-100, miR-200b-5p, and miR-30a-3p, they could differentiate between patients with LAC and those with lung granulomas. These findings suggest that ExomiRs hold promise as potential biomarkers for diagnosing and differentiating LC from other lung-related conditions, providing a noninvasive and potentially more accurate approach to LC detection and classification.

In the study conducted by Zhou et al.⁶³ 6 ExomiR groups (miR-425-5p, miR-19b-3p, miR-409-3p, miR-221-3p, miR-21-5p, and miR-584-5p) were identified, which could be used to differentiate patients with LAC from healthy individuals. Furthermore, the researchers found that all of the identified miRNAs, except miR-584-5p, were significantly up-regulated in LAC tissues. Indeed, joint diagnoses using several ExomiRs can potentially enhance diagnostic effectiveness. For example, the combination of exosomal markers let-7e-5p, let-7b-5p, miR-21-5p, and miR-24-5p collected from plasma could be valuable in differentiating patients with nonsmall-cell LC (NSCLC) from controls, even in the early stages. Additionally, these ExomiRs can also distinguish between squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) and LAC.⁶⁴

According to Shan et al.⁶⁵ the combination of ExomiRs miR-93-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-181a-5p, and miR-106a-5p could be valuable in detecting SCC. Similarly, Zhang et al.⁶⁶ demonstrated that a combination of 3 ExomiRs, miR-20a-5p, miR-106a-5p, and miR-93-5p, is useful for diagnosing SCC in male patients. They also observed that combinations of these 3 miRNAs were highly effective in distinguishing lung SCC from lung hematoma.

Similarly, Feng et al.⁶⁷ reported that serum EXOs from LAC patients had higher expression levels of miR-140-5p, miR-21-5p, and miR-126-3p compared to healthy controls. In the study by Zhang et al.⁶⁸ ExomiR-17-5p expression was found to be significantly elevated in patients with NSCLC compared to controls. Furthermore, Grimalizzi et al.⁶⁹ found in their study that ExomiRs-126 can be used to differentiate healthy people from patients with early-stage NSCLC.

Wu et al.⁷⁰ recently found that serum levels of miRNAs (miR-486-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-222-3p, and miR-141-3p) and ExomiRs (miR-486-5p and miR-146a-5p) were significantly elevated in the early-stage NSCLC patients. These miRNAs can be combined to aid the early diagnosis of NSCLC. Additionally, Sun et al.⁷¹ demonstrated that serum ExomiR-106b levels were correlated with lymph node metastases and TNM (tumor, nodes, metastases) staging and that they were higher in LC patients than healthy individuals.

Using qRT-PCR, Chen et al.⁷² confirmed that patients with LAC demonstrated elevated levels of ExomiR-7797 in serum and decreased miR-98-3p levels. Additionally, the combination of 2 miRNAs provided more accurate diagnoses.

According to the study by Kim et al.⁷³ patients with LAC showed increased levels of let-7a and ExomiRs-126 in both their tumor tissues and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) samples. The work of Roman-Canal et al.⁷⁴ provides additional pertinent evidence. They made it possible to employ ExomiRs from pleural and lavage fluids. Moreover, miR-150-5p, miR-144-5p, and ExomiRs-1-3p were used to diagnose LC specifically (Table 1).

Colorectal Cancer

The plasma expression levels of ExomiR-130a and ExomiR-27a are significantly higher in early-stage colorectal cancer (CRC) patients compared to healthy individuals, with area under the curve (AUC) values of 0.742 and 0.773, respectively. Importantly, high expression levels of ExomiR-130a and ExomiR-27a are correlated with poor patient prognoses.⁷⁵ Furthermore, CRC patients exhibit significantly lower levels of plasma ExomiR-92b compared to noncancerous controls (NC), indicating the potential of this EXO as a promising biomarker for early CRC diagnosis, particularly in patients with the TNM stage II (AUC = 0.793). The accuracy of miR-92b reaches 0.867, even in patients of different ages.⁷⁶ Plasma EXOs were found to contain 4 miRNAs (miR-139-3p, miR-145-3p, miR-150-3p, and let-7b-3p), all of which showed enrichment in the early-stage CRC. These miRNAs exhibited good diagnostic efficacy with AUC values of 0.692, 0.679, 0.686, and 0.792, respectively.⁷⁷ miR145-3p combined with miR-139-3p and miR let-7b-3p can diagnose early-stage CRC with an AUC value of 0.927.⁷⁷ In addition, patients with early-stage CRC have significantly higher levels of ExomiR-320c and miR-125a-3p in their plasma than healthy volunteers.⁷⁸ Even though miR-125a-3p on its own has an AUC of 0.685, combined with carcinoembryonic antibody (CEA), its AUC value reaches 0.855⁷⁸ (Table 1).

Table 1. ExomiRs Are Used as LC and CRC Diagnostic Biomarkers.

Cancer type	Exosome source	MicroRNAs type	Ref.
NSC	Plasma	let-7b-5p, let-7e-5p, miR-24-5p, miR-21-5p	Jin et al. ⁶⁴
		miR-342-5p, miR-574-5p, miR-222-3p	Han et al. ¹¹⁷
		miR-378a, miR-379, miR-139-5P, miR-200b-5P, miR-151a-5p, miR-629,	Cazzoli et al. ⁶²
		miR-30a-3p, miR-200b-5p, miR-154-3p, miR-100	
		miR-126, miR-144	Rodríguez et al. ¹¹⁸
		miR-196-3p, miR-21-5P, miR-221-3P, miR-409-3P, miR-425-5P, miR-584-5P	Zhou et al. ⁶³
		miR-30a-3p, miR-30e-3p, miR-181-5P, miR-361-5P, miR-15b-5p, miR-320b,	Jin et al. ⁶⁴
		miR-10b-5p	
		miR-181-5P, miR-21-5p, miR-106a-5p, miR-93-5p, miR-181a-5p	Shan et al. ⁶⁵
		miR-205, miR-19a, miR-19b, miR-30b, miR-20a	Aushev et al. ¹¹⁹
LC	Serum	miR-96	Wu et al. ¹²⁰
		miR-5684, miR-125b-5p	Zhang et al. ¹²¹
		miR-126	Grimolizzi et al. ⁶⁹
		miR-23a	Hsu et al. ¹²²
		miR-21-5P, miR-141-3P, miR-222-3p, miR-486-5p, miR-146a-5p, miR-486-5p	Wu et al. ⁷⁰
		miR-106b	Sun et al. ⁷¹
		miR-7797, miR-98-3p	Chen et al. ⁷²
		miR-17-5p	Zhang et al. ⁶⁸
		miR-21-5p, miR-126-3P, miR-140-5p	Feng et al. ¹²³
		miR-20b-5p, miR-3187-5p	Zhang et al. ¹²⁴
LC	BAL	miR-620	Tang et al. ¹²⁵
		miR-21, miR-210, miR-155, miR-25, miR-486	Liu et al. ¹²⁶
		miR-106a-5P, miR-20a-5p, miR-93-5p	Zhang et al. ⁶⁶
		miR-302a, miR-302c	Rodríguez et al. ¹¹⁸
		miR-126	Kim et al. ⁷³
		miR-1-3P, miR-150-5p, miR-144-5p	Roman-Canal et al. ⁷⁴
		miR-205-5p, miR-483-5p, miR-375, miR-200c-3p, miR-429, miR-200b-3p,	Wang et al. ¹²⁷
		miR-200a-3p, miR-203a-3p, miR-141-3p	
		miR-205-5p, miR-200b	Lin et al. ¹²⁸
		miR-182, miR-210	Tamiya et al. ¹²⁹
CRC	Plasma	miR-200	Hydbring et al. ¹³⁰
		miR-27a, miR-130a	Liu et al. ⁷⁵
		miR-92b	Min et al. ⁷⁶
		miR-150-3p, miR-145-3p, miR-139-3p, let-7b-3p	Min et al. ⁷⁷
		miR-320c, miR-125a-3p	Wang et al. ⁷⁸
		miR-96	Sun et al. ¹³¹
		miR-221	Yau et al. ¹³²
		miR-451	Phua et al. ¹³³
		miR-760, miR-7, miR-93	Wang et al. ¹³⁴
		miR-92, miR-92a	Wu et al. ¹³⁵
CRC	Serum	miR-375	Xu et al. ¹³⁶
		miR-106a	Zhang et al. ¹³⁷
		miR-183	Yuan et al. ¹³⁸
		miR-17-3p	Li et al. ¹³⁹
		miR-601	Wang et al. ¹⁴⁰
		miR-139-3p, miR-431	Kanaan et al. ¹⁴¹
		miR-99b-5p, miR-150-5p	Zhao et al. ¹⁴²
		miR-422a	Zheng et al. ¹⁴³
		miR-1290	Toiyama et al. ¹⁴⁴
		miR-21	Toiyama et al. ¹⁴⁵
CRC	Serum	miR-145	Li et al. ¹³⁹
		miR-144	Kalimutho et al. ¹⁴⁶
		miR-18a	Yau et al. ¹³²
		miR-19a	Chen et al. ¹⁴⁷
		miR-23a-3p, miR-27a-3p, miR-142-5p, miR-376c-3p	Vychytilova-Faltejskova et al. ¹⁴⁸

Abbreviations: ExomirRs, exosomal miRNAs; LC, lung cancer; CRC: colorectal cancer; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; miRNA, microRNA; NSCLC: nonsmall cell lung cancer.

Hepatocellular Cancer

miRNAs play a critical role in the progression and development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) by functioning as regulators.⁷⁹ They are involved in various regulatory mechanisms such as apoptosis, metastasis, angiogenesis, autophagy, invasion, EMT, drug resistance, and proliferation in HCC. By controlling gene expression in target cells, ExomiRs are also crucial in HCC invasion, metastasis, proliferation, and drug resistance. Additionally, some miRNAs, such as ExomiRs, can be used as

possible diagnostic and prognostic markers for HCC⁷⁹ (Tables 2 and 3).

Gastric Cancer

According to Tang et al.⁸⁰ serum levels of ExomiR-9-5p, let-7g-5p, miR-146b-5p, and miR-92b-3p can be employed as possible markers in patients with early-stage gastric cancer (GC). Similarly, serum levels of ExomiR-590-5p have been

Table 2. Types of miRNA Functions.

Function	MicroRNA	Ref.
Epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT)	miR-320a	Liu et al. ¹⁴⁹
Drug resistance	miR-199-3p, miR-744, miR-32-5p	Liu et al. ¹⁴⁹
Angiogenesis	miR-21, miR-200b-3p, miR-155, miR-210, miR-182, miR-26a, miR-146a, miR-122	Liu et al. ¹⁴⁹
Apoptosis	miR-25, miR-101, miR-337	Xu et al. ⁷⁹
Autophagy	miR-7, miR-26, miR-101, miR-142-3p, miR-181a	Xu et al. ⁷⁹
Metastasis	miR-320a, miR-1247-3p, miR-92a-2-5p, miR-23a/b, miR-10b, miR-21	Liu et al. ¹⁴⁹
Immune reaction	miR-449c-5p, miR-92b, miR-23a	Liu et al. ¹⁴⁹
Proliferation	miR-320a, miR-331-3p, miR-320a, miR-365	Xu et al. ⁷⁹

Table 3. ExomiRs Used as HCC Diagnostic Biomarkers.

Cancer type	Exosome source	MicroRNAs type	Ref.
HCC	Serum	miR-21	Wang et al. ¹⁵⁰
		miR-665	Qu et al. ¹⁵¹
		miR-9-3p	Tang et al. ¹⁵²
		miR-122, miR-148a	Wang et al. ¹⁵³
		miR-18a, miR-221, miR-222, miR-224, miR-101, miR-106b, miR-122, miR195,	Sohn et al. ¹⁵⁴
		miR-18a-5p, miR-215-5p, miR-940, miR-101, miR-106b, miR-93	
		miR-10b-5p, miR-215-5p	Cho et al. ¹⁵⁵
		miR-125b, miR-145, miR-192, miR-194, miR-29a, miR-17-5p, miR-106a	Xue et al. ¹⁵⁶
		miR-18a	Guan et al. ¹⁵⁷
		miR-26a, miR-29c, miR-103, miR-181c, miR-181a	Li et al. ¹⁵⁸
		miR-1246	Murakami et al. ¹⁵⁹
		miR-10b, miR-200a	Bukong et al. ¹⁶⁰
		miR-519d, miR-1228	Lin and Zhang ¹⁶¹
		miR-140-3p, miR-30d-5p, miR-29b-3p, miR-130b-3p, miR-330-5p, miR-296-3p	Yu et al. ¹⁶²
		miR-638	Shi et al. ¹⁶³
		miR-718	Sugimachi et al. ¹⁶⁴
		miR-122a	Luo et al. ¹⁶⁵
		miR-183	Liang et al. ¹⁶⁶
		miR-130b, miR-15b	Liu et al. ¹⁶⁷
		miR-618, miR-650	Abdalla and Haj-Ahmad ¹⁶⁸
	Cells	miR-223,	Xu et al. ¹⁶⁹
		miR-16, miR-199a	Qu et al. ¹⁷⁰
		miRNA-1247-3p	Fang et al. ¹⁷¹
		miR-335-5p	Wang et al. ¹⁷²
	CCS	miR-584, miR-517c, miR-378, miR-520f, miR-142-5p, miR-451, miR-518d, miR-215,	Kogure et al. ¹⁷³
		miR-376a, miR-133b	
	Plasma	miR-423-5p, miR-21-5, miR-486-5p, miR-10b-5p	Jx et al. ¹⁷⁴
		miR-139	Li et al. ¹⁷⁵
		miR-483-5p	Shen et al. ¹⁷⁶

Abbreviations: ExomirRs, exosomal miRNAs; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CCS, cell cultural supernatants; miRNA, microRNA.

Table 4. ExomiRs Used as GC, Pancreatic, and Prostate Diagnostic Biomarkers.

Cancer type	Exosome source	MicroRNAs type	Ref.
Gastric	Serum	miR-92a-3p let-7g-5p, miR-92b-3p, miR-146b-5p, miR-9-5p miR-590-5p miR-1246 miR-15b-3p miR-10b-5p, miR-132-3p, miR-185-5p, miR-195-5p, miR-20a-3p, miR-296-5p miR-21	Isobe et al. ⁸³ Tang et al. ⁸⁰ Zheng et al. ⁸¹ Shi et al. ⁸² Wei et al. ⁸⁵ Huang et al. ⁸⁴
Pancreatic cancer	Serum	miR-451a, miR-191 miR-17-5p miR-4644, miR-1246, miR-3976, miR-4306 miR-10b, miR-30c, miR-181a, miR-let7a	Pu et al. ⁸⁶ Goto et al. ⁸⁹ Lai et al. ¹⁷⁷ and Que et al. ¹⁷⁸ Goto et al. ⁸⁹ Que et al. ¹⁷⁸ Madhavan et al. ¹⁷⁹ Lai et al. ¹⁷⁷
		miR-21, miR-155	Nakamura et al. ⁹¹
		miR-196a, miR-1246	Xu et al. ⁸⁷ and Xu et al. ¹⁸⁰
		miR-451a miR-483-3p	Takahasi et al. ⁸⁸ Abue et al. ¹⁸¹
		miR-196a, miRNA-16a	Engle et al. ⁹³ and Kondo et al. ¹⁸²
	Pancreatic juice		
	Plasma		
	Blood		
	Saliva	miR-1246, miR-4644	Machida et al. ⁹²
Prostate	Serum	miR-375, miR-141 miR-1246 miR-103, miR-451, miR-24, miR-26b, miR-30c, miR-93, miR-106a, miR-223, miR-874, miR-146a, miR-125b, miR-100, miR-107, miR-130b miR-200a, miR-200c, miR-210 miR-298 miR-378	Bryant et al. ⁹⁶ Bhagirath et al. ¹⁸³ Mihelich et al. ¹⁸⁴
		miR-433, miR-605, miR-135a	Cheng et al. ¹⁸⁵
		miR-1290	Selth et al. ¹⁸⁶
		miR-200c-3p	Nguyen et al. ¹⁸⁷
		miR-622, miR-1285	Alhasan et al. ¹⁸⁸
	Plasma	miR-106a/miR-130b, miR-106a/miR-223	Huang et al. ¹⁸⁹
		miR-16, miR-148a, miR-195	Endzelinš et al. ¹⁹⁰
		miR-196a-5p, miR-501-3p, miR-92a-1-5p, miR-143-3p miR-34a-5p, miR-141-5p, miR-574-3p, miR-21-5p, miR-574	Chen et al. ¹⁹¹ Sharova et al. ¹⁹² Al-Qatati et al. ¹⁹³
		miR-2909	Rodríguez et al. ¹⁹⁴
		miR-21	Samsonov et al. ¹⁹⁵
	Urine	miR-19b	Wani et al. ¹⁹⁶
		miR-145	Foj et al. ¹⁹⁷
			Bryzgunova et al. ¹⁹⁸
			Xu et al. ¹⁹⁹
			Fredsøe et al. ²⁰⁰
	Cell-free urine samples	miR-222-3p, miR-24-3p/miR-30c-5p, miR-125b-5p	Foj et al. ¹⁹⁷
	Urinary pellets and urinary exosomes	miR-21, miR-214	

Abbreviations: ExomirRs, exosomal miRNAs; GC, gastric cancer.

observed to be significantly higher in some patients diagnosed with early-stage GC (stage I/II) compared to healthy individuals.⁸¹

Shi et al.⁸² discovered that serum levels of ExomiR-1246 were significantly higher in patients with GC, allowing for the differentiation of healthy individuals from those with early-stage GC. Additionally, GC patients were found to have considerably lower serum levels of ExomiR-92a-3p compared to healthy individuals.⁸³ In a study by Huang et al.⁸⁴ the diagnostic efficacy of 58 circulating miRNAs in the sera of GC patients was investigated in a three-step investigation. They found that

the expression of miR-296-5p, miR-20a-3p, miR-10b-5p, and miR-195-5p was noticeably increased in EXOs from serum samples of GC patients. According to Wei et al.⁸⁵ ExomiR-15b-3p expression was seen to be elevated, and it may be used as a prognostic and diagnostic marker in GC (Table 4).

Pancreatic Cancer

Early-stage pancreatic cancer patients exhibit significantly elevated serum levels of ExomiR-21 when compared to healthy

individuals.⁸⁶ Importantly, clinical risk factors like blood type, gender, smoking history, drinking history, age, body mass index, and diabetes mellitus have no significant impact on ExomiR-21 levels. Researchers have discovered that in stages I and IIa PDAC patients, intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) have significantly elevated plasma ExomiR-196a and ExomiR-1246 levels compared to healthy people.⁸⁷ In addition, the plasma EXOs of stages I and II patients show a significant increase in miR-451a compared to healthy individuals, implying that ExomiR-451a could be used for the early detection of PDAC.⁸⁸

Likewise, Goto et al.⁸⁹ discovered that early-stage pancreatic cancers can be diagnosed using serum ExomiR-191, ExomiR-451a, and ExomiR-21. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of these EXOs is more accurate than that of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) (AUC of 0.754, 0.935, 0.741, and 0.601, respectively). Additionally, a high ExomiR-21 expression level is an independent predictor of overall survival. With a 0.9% positive prediction rate, ExomiRs are more effective than CA19-9 in making an early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer.⁹⁰

ExomiRs have been also discovered in other body fluids, such as pancreatic juice (miR-21 and miR155),⁹¹ saliva (miR-1246 and miR-4644),⁹² and blood (miR-196a and miR-16a)^{93,94} (Table 4).

Prostate Cancer

It has been demonstrated that EXOs, which include proteins, DNAs, and RNAs (miRNAs), play a crucial role in tumor development and represent a rich source of potential biomarkers, especially for miRNA content profiling⁹⁵ (Table 4). ExomiRs such as miR-375 and miR-141 have been studied in plasma for prostate cancer.⁹⁶

Brain Cancer

Santangelo et al.⁹⁷ reported an AUC of 0.87 for a glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) serum panel made up of ExomiR-21, miR-222, and miR-124-3p.

In patients with advanced gliomas, the expression of these miRNAs dropped dramatically after tumor excision. In another study, miR-320 and miR-574-3p levels were shown to be significantly higher in EXOs extracted from the sera of 75 individuals with GBM, and they were associated with the diagnosis of this disease.⁹⁸

Serum ExomiR-301a levels are considerably higher in glioma patients than in controls, corresponding with advancing pathological stages. It was also discovered that serum ExomiR-301a levels are dramatically decreased following surgical removal of tumors but then rise again after disease recurrence.⁹⁹ It has been shown that miR-1246 collected from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) can be used as a possible diagnostic biomarker in gliomas and that miR-1246 targeting therapy may damage the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment and provide insight into anticancer immunotherapy.⁹⁵ According to Shao et al.¹⁰⁰ ExomiR-454-3p has a sensitivity

and specificity of 79.17% and 91.67%, respectively, showing it to potentially be a glioma diagnostic biomarker (Table 5).

Ovarian Cancer

According to Yokoi et al.¹⁰¹ ExomiRs miR-766-3p, miR-200a-3p, miR-26a-5p, miR-374a-5p, miR-142-3p, miR-328-3p, and let-7d-5p show higher expression levels in the serum of early-stage ovarian cancer (OC) patients (n = 15) compared to healthy individuals. These findings suggest that these miRNAs have the potential to distinguish between early-stage OC patients and healthy individuals. Other researchers Kobayashi et al.¹⁰² have analyzed the combination of miR-200a, miR-200b, and miR-200c and found that this EXO compound could differentiate benign ovarian disorders from EOC patients with a specificity of 90% and a sensitivity of 88%.

According to Cappellesso et al.¹⁰³ miR-21 contributes to the oncogenesis of ovarian serous carcinoma (OSC). ExomiR-21 has the potential to stimulate neoplastic transformation in target cells and might be employed as a diagnostic tool. Urine ExomiRs are easily accessed and have lately been more extensively studied, especially in gynecological and urological illnesses. Zavesky et al.¹⁰⁴ demonstrated a significant increase in miR-92a levels in the urine of OC patients, suggesting that it could serve as a diagnostic tool.

According to miRNA microarray data, miR-30a-5p shows higher levels in urine samples from ovarian serous adenocarcinoma (OSA) patients compared to healthy individuals. Conversely, decreased concentrations of miR-30a-5p were observed in the urine of individuals with colon cancer and GC, indicating that urinary levels of miR-30a-5p might be specific to OC. These findings suggest that exosomal urinary miR-30a-5p could potentially serve as a specific diagnostic biomarker for OC.¹⁰⁵ The expression of miR-205 was considerably greater in the plasma EXOs of OC patients than in the benign tumor group and healthy individuals, and throughout stages III and IV of OC and lymph node metastases, miR-205 levels were raised. Thus, miR-205 concentration in plasma EXOs is a promising tumor biomarker to help diagnose OC.¹⁰⁶

ExomiR-4732-5p extracted from plasma has a specificity of 82.4% and a sensitivity of 85.7% for distinguishing EOC patients from healthy individuals. Thus, it could act as a possible marker for tracking the development of EOC from early to late stages. It may also be a potential new biomarker for detecting EOC¹⁰⁷ (Table 5).

Breast Cancer

miR-372,¹⁰⁸ miR-18a-3p,¹⁰⁹ miR-101, miR-423-5p,¹¹⁰ and 8 miRNAs of the miR-106a-363 cluster¹¹¹ can differentiate breast cancer patients from healthy individuals and are associated with cancer proliferation, cell properties, and migration. Triple-negative patients have higher levels of other miRNAs, like miR-373, than healthy controls or even luminal cancer patients; miR-223-3p¹¹² levels are higher in invasive ductal carcinoma patients than in those preoperatively diagnosed with

ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS); miR-93¹¹³ is also upregulated in DCIS.

Breast cancer patients have higher levels of plasma ExomiR-223-3p, which can differentiate them from the general population with early breast cancer. Moreover, ExomiR-223-3p expression is significantly increased in biopsy-proven invasive DCIS (n = 13) compared to early ductal carcinoma, suggesting that ExomiR-223-3p can be used to predict the risk of invasive lesions in DCIS patients.¹¹² Additionally, DCIS patients have significantly higher levels of plasma ExomiR-93 expression than healthy individuals (n = 80)¹¹³ (Table 5).

Oral and Oropharyngeal Cancer

Several ExomiRs related to oral SCC (OSCC) have been identified, such as miR-342-3p¹¹⁴ and miR-138¹¹⁵ (Table 5).

Bladder Cancer

miR-1285-3p, miR-142-3p, miR-16-1-3p, miR-195-3p, miR-196b-5p, miR-23b-3p, miR-28-5p, and miR-299-3p¹¹⁶ are identified as ExomiRs that can distinguish bladder cancer patients from healthy individuals. These miRNAs are associated with cancer proliferation, cell properties, and migration (Table 5).

Conclusion

EXOs serve as efficient and dependable carriers of miRNAs found in various body secretions. Moreover, the expression patterns of ExomiRs in tumor cells differ significantly from those in normal cells. As a result, ExomiRs offer a promising noninvasive approach to cancer detection. This innovative approach may lead to improved cancer therapy. Various industries are currently exploring the applications of EXOs in biotechnology. EXOs Diagnostics, now a part of Bio-Techne, has been at the forefront of developing molecular diagnostics using biological fluid samples. Their precision EXO technology has enabled liquid biopsy for the detection of lung and prostate malignancies. However, there are still challenges to overcome before this technology can be widely applied in clinical settings. One of the major hurdles is the standardization of EXO extraction methods from different body fluids. Future applications should focus on more efficient techniques that require minimal biofluid volume while ensuring high purity and yield of EXOs.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Funding

for this work was provided by Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. This study was carried out under the approval code IR.KUMS.REC.1402.039 at Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

ORCID iD

Leila Rezakhani  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1501-6489>

References

1. Rezakhani L, Fekri K, Rostaminasab G, Rahmati S. Exosomes: special nano-therapeutic carrier for cancers, overview on anticancer drugs. *Med Oncol*. 2023;40(1):31.
2. Khazaei F, Rezakhani L, Alizadeh M, Mahdavian E, Khazaei M. Exosomes and exosome-loaded scaffolds: characterization and application in modern regenerative medicine. *Tissue Cell*. 2022;80:102007.
3. Rashidi M, Bijari S, Khazaei AH, Shojaei-Ghahrizjani F, Rezakhani L. The role of milk-derived exosomes in the treatment of diseases. *Front Genet*. 2022;13:1-14.
4. Mattick JS, Makunin IV. Small regulatory RNAs in mammals. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2005;14(suppl_1):R121-R132.
5. Abels ER, Breakefield XO. Introduction to extracellular vesicles: biogenesis, RNA cargo selection, content, release, and uptake. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 2016;36(3):301-312.
6. Gilligan KE, Dwyer RM. Engineering exosomes for cancer therapy. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017;18(6):1122.
7. Anakor E, Le Gall L, Dumonceaux J, Duddy WJ, Duguez S. Exosomes in ageing and motor neurone disease: biogenesis, uptake mechanisms, modifications in disease and uses in the development of biomarkers and therapeutics. *Cells*. 2021;10(11):2930.
8. Mao L, Li X, Gong S, et al. Serum exosomes contain ECRG 4 mRNA that suppresses tumor growth via inhibition of genes involved in inflammation, cell proliferation, and angiogenesis. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2018;25(9-10):248-259.
9. Xie Y, Dang W, Zhang S, et al. The role of exosomal noncoding RNAs in cancer. *Mol Cancer*. 2019;18(37):1-10.
10. Thind A, Wilson C. Exosomal miRNAs as cancer biomarkers and therapeutic targets. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2016;5(1):31292.
11. Sun Z, Shi K, Yang S, et al. Effect of exosomal miRNA on cancer biology and clinical applications. *Mol Cancer*. 2018;17(147):1-19.
12. Whiteside TL. Tumor-derived exosomes and their role in cancer progression. *Adv Clin Chem*. 2016;74:103-141.
13. Rahmati S, Khazaei M, Nadi A, Alizadeh M, Rezakhani L. Exosome-loaded scaffolds for regenerative medicine in hard tissues. *Tissue Cell*. 2023;82:102102.
14. Gurunathan S, Kang M-H, Jeyaraj M, Qasim M, Kim J-H. Review of the isolation, characterization, biological function, and multifarious therapeutic approaches of exosomes. *Cells*. 2019;8(4):307.
15. Dai J, Su Y, Zhong S, et al. Exosomes: key players in cancer and potential therapeutic strategy. *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther*. 2020;5(1):1-10.

16. Rezakhani L, Alizadeh M, Sharifi E, Soleimannejad M, Alizadeh A. Isolation and characterization of crab haemolymph exosomes and its effects on breast cancer cells (4T1). *Cell Journal (Yakhteh)*. 2021;23(6):658.
17. Colletti M, Ceglie D, Di Giannatale A, Nazio F. Autophagy and exosomes relationship in cancer: friends or foes? *Front Cell Dev Biol*. 2021;8:614178.
18. Hessvik NP, Llorente A. Current knowledge on exosome biogenesis and release. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2018;75(2):193-208.
19. Mashouri L, Yousefi H, Aref AR, Molaei F, Alahari SK. Exosomes: Composition, biogenesis, and mechanisms in cancer metastasis and drug resistance. *Mol Cancer*. 2019;18(1):1-14.
20. Roucourt B, Meeussen S, Bao J, Zimmermann P, David G. Heparanase activates the syndecan-syntenin-ALIX exosome pathway. *Cell Res*. 2015;25(4):412-428.
21. Yu Z, Shi M, Stewart T, et al. Reduced oligodendrocyte exosome secretion in multiple system atrophy involves SNARE dysfunction. *Brain*. 2020;143(6):1780-1797.
22. Staubach S, Wenzel A, Beck BB, Rinschen MM, Müller S, Hanisch FG. Autosomal tubulointerstitial kidney disease—MUC1 type: differential proteomics suggests that mutated MUC1 (insC) affects vesicular transport in renal epithelial cells. *Proteomics*. 2018;18(7):1700456.
23. Ostrowski M, Carmo NB, Krumeich S, et al. Rab27a and Rab27b control different steps of the exosome secretion pathway. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2010;12(1):19-30.
24. Gardiner C, Vizio DD, Sahoo S, et al. Techniques used for the isolation and characterization of extracellular vesicles: results of a worldwide survey. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2016;5(1):32945.
25. Konoshenko MY, Lekchnov EA, Vlassov AV, Laktionov PP. Isolation of extracellular vesicles: General methodologies and latest trends. *BioMed Res Int*. 2018;2018:1-27.
26. Ludwig N, Razzo BM, Yerneni SS, Whiteside TL. Optimization of cell culture conditions for exosome isolation using mini-size exclusion chromatography (mini-SEC). *Exp Cell Res*. 2019;378(2):149-157.
27. Rekker K, Saare M, Roost AM, et al. Comparison of serum exosome isolation methods for microRNA profiling. *Clin Biochem*. 2014;47(1-2):135-138.
28. Tang Y-T, Huang Y-Y, Zheng L, et al. Comparison of isolation methods of exosomes and exosomal RNA from cell culture medium and serum. *Int J Mol Med*. 2017;40(3):834-844.
29. Serrano-Pertierra E, Oliveira-Rodríguez M, Rivas M, et al. Characterization of plasma-derived extracellular vesicles isolated by different methods: a comparison study. *Bioengineering*. 2019;6(1):8.
30. Sharma P, Ludwig S, Muller L, et al. Immunoaffinity-based isolation of melanoma cell-derived exosomes from plasma of patients with melanoma. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2018;7(1):1435138.
31. O'Brien J, Hayder H, Zayed Y, Peng C. Overview of microRNA biogenesis, mechanisms of actions, and circulation. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. 2018;9:402.
32. Cech TR, Steitz JA. The noncoding RNA revolution—trashing old rules to forge new ones. *Cell*. 2014;157(1):77-94.
33. Martellucci S, Orefice NS, Angelucci A, Luce A, Caraglia M, Zappavigna S. Extracellular vesicles: new endogenous shuttles for miRNAs in cancer diagnosis and therapy? *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;21(18):6486.
34. Acunzo M, Romano G, Wernicke D, Croce CM. MicroRNA and cancer—a brief overview. *Adv Biol Regul*. 2015;57:1-9.
35. Melo SA, Esteller M. Disruption of microRNA nuclear transport in human cancer. Paper presented at: Seminars in cancer biology, 2014.
36. Sun Z, Shi K, Yang S, et al. Effect of exosomal miRNA on cancer biology and clinical applications. *Mol Cancer*. 2018;17(1):1-19.
37. Akers JC, Gonda D, Kim R, Carter BS, Chen CC. Biogenesis of extracellular vesicles (EV): exosomes, microvesicles, retrovirus-like vesicles, and apoptotic bodies. *J Neuro-Oncol*. 2013;113(1):1-11.
38. Mao L, Li X, Gong S, et al. Serum exosomes contain ECRG4 mRNA that suppresses tumor growth via inhibition of genes involved in inflammation, cell proliferation, and angiogenesis. *Cancer Gene Ther*. 2018;25(9):248-259.
39. Chiarugi P, Cirri P. Metabolic exchanges within tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Lett*. 2016;380(1):272-280.
40. Tkach M, Théry C. Communication by extracellular vesicles: where we are and where we need to go. *Cell*. 2016;164(6):1226-1232.
41. Wang Y, Xu X, Yu S, et al. Systematic characterization of A-to-I RNA editing hotspots in microRNAs across human cancers. *Genome Res*. 2017;27(7):1112-1125.
42. Greening DW, Gopal SK, Xu R, Simpson RJ, Chen W. Exosomes and their roles in immune regulation and cancer. Paper presented at: Seminars in cell & developmental biology, 2015.
43. Que R-s, Lin C, Ding G-p, Wu Z-r, Cao L-p. Increasing the immune activity of exosomes: the effect of miRNA-depleted exosome proteins on activating dendritic cell/cytokine-induced killer cells against pancreatic cancer. *J Zhejiang Univ-Sci B*. 2016;17(5):352-360.
44. Berzins SP, Ritchie DS. Natural killer T cells: drivers or passengers in preventing human disease? *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2014;14(9):640-646.
45. Feitelson MA, Arzumanyan A, Kulathinal RJ, et al. Sustained proliferation in cancer: Mechanisms and novel therapeutic targets. Paper presented at: Seminars in cancer biology, 2015.
46. Zhang L, Zhang S, Yao J, et al. Microenvironment-induced PTEN loss by exosomal microRNA primes brain metastasis outgrowth. *Nature*. 2015;527(7576):100-104.
47. Liang X, Zhang L, Wang S, Han Q, Zhao RC. Exosomes secreted by mesenchymal stem cells promote endothelial cell angiogenesis by transferring miR-125a. *J Cell Sci*. 2016;129(11):2182-2189.
48. Gomes FG, Nedel F, Alves AM, Nör JE, Tarquinio SBC. Tumor angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis: tumor/endothelial cross-talk and cellular/microenvironmental signaling mechanisms. *Life Sci*. 2013;92(2):101-107.
49. Yu S, Cao H, Shen B, Feng J. Tumor-derived exosomes in cancer progression and treatment failure. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(35):37151-37168.

50. Zhou W, Fong MY, Min Y, et al. Cancer-secreted miR-105 destroys vascular endothelial barriers to promote metastasis. *Cancer Cell*. 2014;25(4):501-515.
51. Nanbo A, Katano H, Kataoka M, et al. Infection of Epstein-Barr virus in type III latency modulates biogenesis of exosomes and the expression profile of exosomal miRNAs in the Burkitt lymphoma Mutu cell lines. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2018;10(7):237.
52. Perkins GL, Slater ED, Sanders GK, Prichard JG. Serum tumor markers. *Am Fam Physician*. 2003;68(6):1075-1082.
53. Avgeris M, Panoutsopoulou K, Papadimitriou M-A, Scorilas A. Circulating exosomal miRNAs: clinical significance in human cancers. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2019;19(11):979-995.
54. Zhu L, Zhao L, Wang Q, et al. Circulating exosomal miRNAs and cancer early diagnosis. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2022;24(3):393-406.
55. Ge Q, Zhou Y, Lu J, Bai Y, Xie X, Lu Z. miRNA in plasma exosome is stable under different storage conditions. *Molecules*. 2014;19(2):1568-1575.
56. Weber JA, Baxter DH, Zhang S, et al. The microRNA spectrum in 12 body fluids. *Clin Chem*. 2010;56(11):1733-1741.
57. Wu Q, Yu L, Lin X, et al. Combination of serum miRNAs with serum exosomal miRNAs in early diagnosis for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2020;12:485.
58. Zhang Z-J, Song X-G, Xie L, et al. Circulating serum exosomal miR-20b-5p and miR-3187-5p as efficient diagnostic biomarkers for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Exp Biol Med*. 2020;245(16):1428-1436.
59. Ng K, Stenzl A, Sharma A, Vasdev N. Urinary biomarkers in bladder cancer: a review of the current landscape and future directions. Paper presented at: Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations, 2021.
60. Shi J. Considering exosomal miR-21 as a biomarker for cancer. *J Clin Med*. 2016;5(4):42.
61. Erb U, Zöller M. Progress and potential of exosome analysis for early pancreatic cancer detection. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2016;16(7):757-767.
62. Cazzoli R, Buttitta F, Di Nicola M, et al. microRNAs derived from circulating exosomes as noninvasive biomarkers for screening and diagnosing lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2013;8(9):1156-1162.
63. Zhou X, Wen W, Shan X, et al. A six-microRNA panel in plasma was identified as a potential biomarker for lung adenocarcinoma diagnosis. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(4):6513-6525.
64. Jin X, Chen Y, Chen H, et al. Evaluation of tumor-derived exosomal miRNA as potential diagnostic biomarkers for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer using next-generation sequencing exosomal miRNA as early diagnostic biomarkers for NSCLC. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2017;23(17):5311-5319.
65. Shan X, Zhang H, Zhang L, et al. Identification of four plasma microRNAs as potential biomarkers in the diagnosis of male lung squamous cell carcinoma patients in China. *Cancer Med*. 2018;7(6):2370-2381.
66. Zhang L, Shan X, Wang J, et al. A three-microRNA signature for lung squamous cell carcinoma diagnosis in Chinese male patients. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(49):86897-86907.
67. Feng M, Zhao J, Wang L, Liu J. Upregulated expression of serum exosomal microRNAs as diagnostic biomarkers of lung adenocarcinoma. *Annals of Clinical & Laboratory Science*. 2018;48(6):712-718.
68. Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Yin Y, Li S. Detection of circulating exosomal miR-17-5p serves as a novel non-invasive diagnostic marker for non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Pathol Res Pract*. 2019;215(8):152466.
69. Grimalizzi F, Monaco F, Leoni F, et al. Exosomal miR-126 as a circulating biomarker in non-small-cell lung cancer regulating cancer progression. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):1-12.
70. Wu Q, Yu L, Lin X, et al. Combination of serum miRNAs with serum exosomal miRNAs in early diagnosis for non-small-cell lung cancer. *Cancer Manag Res*. 2020;12:485-495.
71. Sun S, Chen H, Xu C, et al. Exosomal miR-106b serves as a novel marker for lung cancer and promotes cancer metastasis via targeting PTEN. *Life Sci*. 2020;244(117297):1-7.
72. Chen L, Cao P, Huang C, Wu Q, Chen S, Chen F. Serum exosomal miR-7977 as a novel biomarker for lung adenocarcinoma. *J Cell Biochem*. 2020;121(5-6):3382-3391.
73. Kim JE, Eom JS, Kim WY, et al. Diagnostic value of microRNAs derived from exosomes in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid of early-stage lung adenocarcinoma: A pilot study. *Thorac Cancer*. 2018;9(8):911-915.
74. Roman-Canal B, Moiola CP, Gatus S, et al. EV-associated miRNAs from pleural lavage as potential diagnostic biomarkers in lung cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):15057.
75. Liu X, Pan B, Sun L, et al. Circulating exosomal miR-27a and miR-130a act as novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers of colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2018;27(7):746-754.
76. Min L, Chen L, Liu S, et al. Loss of circulating exosomal miR-92b is a novel biomarker of colorectal cancer at early stage. *Int J Med Sci*. 2019;16(9):1231.
77. Min L, Zhu S, Chen L, et al. Evaluation of circulating small extracellular vesicles derived miRNAs as biomarkers of early colon cancer: a comparison with plasma total miRNAs. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2019;8(1):1643670.
78. Wang J, Yan F, Zhao Q, et al. Circulating exosomal miR-125a-3p as a novel biomarker for early-stage colon cancer. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7(1):1-9.
79. Xu X, Tao Y, Shan X, et al. The role of microRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cancer*. 2018;9(19):3557.
80. Tang S, Cheng J, Yao Y, et al. Combination of four serum exosomal miRNAs as novel diagnostic biomarkers for early-stage gastric cancer. *Front Genet*. 2020;11:237.
81. Zheng G-D, Xu Z-Y, Hu C, et al. Exosomal miR-590-5p in serum as a biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of gastric cancer. *Front Mol Biosci*. 2021;8:636566.
82. Shi Y, Wang Z, Zhu X, et al. Exosomal miR-1246 in serum as a potential biomarker for early diagnosis of gastric cancer. *Int J Clin Oncol*. 2020;25(1):89-99.
83. Isobe T, Hisamori S, Hogan DJ, et al. miR-142 regulates the tumorigenicity of human breast cancer stem cells through the canonical WNT signaling pathway. *Elife*. 2014;3(1):e01977.
84. Huang Z, Zhu D, Wu L, et al. Six serum-based miRNAs as potential diagnostic biomarkers for gastric cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2017;26(2):188-196.

85. Wei S, Peng L, Yang J, et al. Exosomal transfer of miR-15b-3p enhances tumorigenesis and malignant transformation through the DYNLT1/caspase-3/caspase-9 signaling pathway in gastric cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;39(1):32.
86. Pu X, Ding G, Wu M, Zhou S, Jia S, Cao L. Elevated expression of exosomal microRNA-21 as a potential biomarker for the early diagnosis of pancreatic cancer using a tethered cationic lipoplex nanoparticle biochip. *Oncol Lett.* 2020;19(3):2062-2070.
87. Xu Y-F, Hannafon BN, Zhao YD, Postier RG, Ding W-Q. Plasma exosome miR-196a and miR-1246 are potential indicators of localized pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(44):77028.
88. Takahashi K, Iinuma H, Wada K, et al. Usefulness of exosome-encapsulated microRNA-451a as a minimally invasive biomarker for prediction of recurrence and prognosis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci.* 2018;25(2):155-161.
89. Goto T, Fujiya M, Konishi H, et al. An elevated expression of serum exosomal microRNA-191, -21, -451a of pancreatic neoplasm is considered to be efficient diagnostic marker. *BMC Cancer.* 2018;18(1):1-11.
90. Lee KT KIMJE, Lee JK, Paik SW, Rhee JC, Choi KW. Clinical usefulness of carbohydrate antigen 19-9 as a screening test for pancreatic cancer in an asymptomatic population. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2004;19(2):182-186.
91. Nakamura S, Sadakari Y, Ohtsuka T, et al. Pancreatic juice exosomal microRNAs as biomarkers for detection of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2019;26(7):2104-2111.
92. Machida T, Tomofuji T, Maruyama T, et al. Mir-1246 and miR-4644 in salivary exosome as potential biomarkers for pancreaticobiliary tract cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 2016;36(4):2375-2381.
93. Engle DD, Tiriach H, Rivera KD, et al. The glycan CA19-9 promotes pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer in mice. *Science.* 2019;364(6446):1156-1162.
94. Kondo N, Murakami Y, Uemura K, et al. Prognostic impact of perioperative serum CA 19-9 levels in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2010;17(12):2321-2329.
95. Qian M, Wang S, Guo X, et al. Hypoxic glioma-derived exosomes deliver microRNA-1246 to induce M2 macrophage polarization by targeting TERF2IP via the STAT3 and NF- κ B pathways. *Oncogene.* 2020;39(2):428-442.
96. Bryant R, Pawlowski T, Catto J, et al. Changes in circulating microRNA levels associated with prostate cancer. *Br J Cancer.* 2012;106(4):768-774.
97. Santangelo A, Imbrucè P, Gardenghi B, et al. A microRNA signature from serum exosomes of patients with glioma as complementary diagnostic biomarker. *J Neuro-Oncol.* 2018;136(1):51-62.
98. Manterola L, Guruceaga E, Pérez-Larraya JG, et al. A small non-coding RNA signature found in exosomes of GBM patient serum as a diagnostic tool. *Neuro Oncol.* 2014;16(4):520-527.
99. Lan F, Qing Q, Pan Q, Hu M, Yu H, Yue X. Serum exosomal miR-301a as a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for human glioma. *Cell Oncol.* 2018;41(1):25-33.
100. Shao N, Xue L, Wang R, Luo K, Zhi F, Lan Q. miR-454-3p is an exosomal biomarker and functions as a tumor suppressor in Glioma. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2019;18(2):459-469.
101. Yokoi A, Yoshioka Y, Hirakawa A, et al. A combination of circulating miRNAs for the early detection of ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(52):89811-89823.
102. Kobayashi M, Sawada K, Nakamura K, et al. Exosomal miR-1290 is a potential biomarker of high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma and can discriminate patients from those with malignancies of other histological types. *J Ovarian Res.* 2018;11(1):81.
103. Cappellesso R, Tinazzi A, Giurici T, et al. Programmed cell death 4 and microRNA 21 inverse expression is maintained in cells and exosomes from ovarian serous carcinoma effusions. *Cancer Cytopathol.* 2014;122(9):685-693.
104. Zavesky L, Jandakova E, Turyna R, Langmeierova L, Weinberger V, Minar L. Supernatant versus exosomal urinary microRNAs. Two fractions with different outcomes in gynaecological cancers. *Neoplasma.* 2016;63(1):121-132.
105. Zhou J, Gong G, Tan H, et al. Urinary microRNA-30a-5p is a potential biomarker for ovarian serous adenocarcinoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2015;33(6):2915-2923.
106. Zhu Z, Chen Z, Wang M, et al. Detection of plasma exosomal miRNA-205 as a biomarker for early diagnosis and an adjuvant indicator of ovarian cancer staging. *J Ovarian Res.* 2022;15(1):27.
107. Liu J, Yoo J, Ho JY, et al. Plasma-derived exosomal miR-4732-5p is a promising noninvasive diagnostic biomarker for epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res.* 2021;14(1):1-14.
108. Eichelsner C, Stückerath I, Müller V, et al. Increased serum levels of circulating exosomal microRNA-373 in receptor-negative breast cancer patients. *Oncotarget.* 2014;5(20):9650.
109. Zhang J, Nguyen LT, Hickey R, et al. Immunomagnetic sequential ultrafiltration (iSUF) platform for enrichment and purification of extracellular vesicles from biofluids. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):1-17.
110. Liu D, Li B, Shi X, et al. Cross-platform genomic identification and clinical validation of breast cancer diagnostic biomarkers. *Ageing (Albany NY).* 2021;13(3):4258.
111. Li M, Zhou Y, Xia T, et al. Circulating microRNAs from the miR-106a-363 cluster on chromosome X as novel diagnostic biomarkers for breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2018;170(2):257-270.
112. Yoshikawa M, Iinuma H, Umemoto Y, Yanagisawa T, Matsumoto A, Jinno H. Exosome-encapsulated microRNA-223-3p as a minimally invasive biomarker for the early detection of invasive breast cancer. *Oncol Lett.* 2018;15(6):9584-9592.
113. Ni Q, Stevic I, Pan C, et al. Different signatures of miR-16, miR-30b and miR-93 in exosomes from breast cancer and DCIS patients. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1-10.
114. Sakha S, Muramatsu T, Ueda K, Inazawa J. Exosomal microRNA miR-1246 induces cell motility and invasion through the regulation of DENND2D in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6(1):1-11.
115. Xie C, Du L-Y, Guo F, Li X, Cheng B. Exosomes derived from microRNA-101-3p-overexpressing human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells suppress oral cancer cell proliferation, invasion, and migration. *Mol Cell Biochem.* 2019;458(1-2):11-26.
116. Yasui T, Yanagida T, Ito S, et al. Unveiling massive numbers of cancer-related urinary-microRNA candidates via nanowires. *Sci Adv.* 2017;3(12):e1701133.

117. Han Z, Li Y, Zhang J, et al. Tumor-derived circulating exosomal miR-342-5p and miR-574-5p as promising diagnostic biomarkers for early-stage lung adenocarcinoma. *Int J Med Sci.* 2020;17(10):1428-1438.
118. Rodríguez M, Silva J, López-Alfonso A, et al. Different exosome cargo from plasma/bronchoalveolar lavage in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2014;53(9):713-724.
119. Aushev VN, Zborovskaya IB, Laktionov KK, et al. Comparisons of microRNA patterns in plasma before and after tumor removal reveal new biomarkers of lung squamous cell carcinoma. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(10):e78649.
120. Wu H, Zhou J, Mei S, et al. Circulating exosomal microRNA-96 promotes cell proliferation, migration and drug resistance by targeting LMO7. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2017;21(6):1228-1236.
121. Zhang Z, Tang Y, Song X, Xie L, Zhao S, Song X. Tumor-derived exosomal miRNAs as diagnostic biomarkers in non-small cell lung cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:560025.
122. Hsu YL, Hung JY, Chang WA, et al. Hypoxic lung cancer-secreted exosomal miR-23a increased angiogenesis and vascular permeability by targeting prolyl hydroxylase and tight junction protein ZO-1. *Oncogene.* 2017;36(34):4929-4942.
123. Feng M, Zhao J, Wang L, Liu J. Upregulated expression of Serum exosomal microRNAs as diagnostic biomarkers of lung adenocarcinoma. *Ann Clin Lab Sci.* 2018;48(6):712-718.
124. Zhang ZJ, Song XG, Xie L, et al. Circulating serum exosomal miR-20b-5p and miR-3187-5p as efficient diagnostic biomarkers for early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood).* 2020;245(16):1428-1436.
125. Tang Y, Zhang Z, Song X, et al. Tumor-derived exosomal miR-620 as a diagnostic biomarker in non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Oncol.* 2020;2020:6691211.
126. Liu C, Kannisto E, Yu G, et al. Non-invasive detection of exosomal microRNAs via tethered cationic lipoplex nanoparticles (tCLN) biochip for lung cancer early detection. *Front Genet.* 2020;11:258.
127. Wang Y, Xu YM, Zou YQ, et al. Identification of differential expressed PE exosomal miRNA in lung adenocarcinoma, tuberculosis, and other benign lesions. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2017;96(44):e8361.
128. Lin J, Wang Y, Zou YQ, et al. Differential miRNA expression in pleural effusions derived from extracellular vesicles of patients with lung cancer, pulmonary tuberculosis, or pneumonia. *Tumour Biol.* 2016;37(10):15835-15845.
129. Tamiya H, Mitani A, Saito A, et al. Exosomal microRNA expression profiling in patients with lung adenocarcinoma-associated malignant pleural effusion. *Anticancer Res.* 2018;38(12):6707-6714.
130. Hydrbring P, De Petris L, Zhang Y, et al. Exosomal RNA-profiling of pleural effusions identifies adenocarcinoma patients through elevated miR-200 and LCN2 expression. *Lung Cancer.* 2018;124:45-52.
131. Sun Y, Liu Y, Cogdell D, et al. Examining plasma microRNA markers for colorectal cancer at different stages. *Oncotarget.* 2016;7(10):11434.
132. Yau T, Wu C, Dong Y, et al. microRNA-221 and microRNA-18a identification in stool as potential biomarkers for the non-invasive diagnosis of colorectal carcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* 2014;111(9):1765-1771.
133. Phua LC, Chue XP, Koh PK, Cheah PY, Chan ECY, Ho HK. Global fecal microRNA profiling in the identification of biomarkers for colorectal cancer screening among Asians. *Oncol Rep.* 2014;32(1):97-104.
134. Wang S, Xiang J, Li Z, et al. A plasma microRNA panel for early detection of colorectal cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2015;136(1):152-161.
135. Wu CW, Ng SS, Dong YJ, et al. Detection of miR-92a and miR-21 in stool samples as potential screening biomarkers for colorectal cancer and polyps. *Gut.* 2012;61(5):739-745.
136. Xu L, Li M, Wang M, Yan D, Feng G, An G. The expression of microRNA-375 in plasma and tissue is matched in human colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer.* 2014;14(1):1-11.
137. Zhang L, Meng L, Fan Z, Liu B, Pei Y, Zhao Z. Expression of plasma miR-106a in colorectal cancer and its clinical significance. *J South Med Univ.* 2014;34(3):354-357.
138. Yuan D, Li K, Zhu K, Yan R, Dang C. Plasma miR-183 predicts recurrence and prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2015;16(2):268-275.
139. Li J, Liu Y, Wang C, et al. Serum miRNA expression profile as a prognostic biomarker of stage II/III colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5(1):1-13.
140. Wang Q, Huang Z, Ni S, et al. Plasma miR-601 and miR-760 are novel biomarkers for the early detection of colorectal cancer. 2012.
141. Kanaan Z, Roberts H, Eichenberger MR, et al. A plasma microRNA panel for detection of colorectal adenomas: a step toward more precise screening for colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg.* 2013;258(3):400-408.
142. Zhao YJ, Song X, Niu L, Tang Y, Song X, Xie L. Circulating exosomal miR-150-5p and miR-99b-5p as diagnostic biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2019;9:1129.
143. Zheng G, Du L, Yang X, et al. Serum microRNA panel as biomarkers for early diagnosis of colorectal adenocarcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* 2014;111(10):1985-1992.
144. Toiyama Y, Imaoka H, Fujikawa H, et al. Circulating microRNA-1290 as a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in human colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2016;76(14_Supplement):968-968.
145. Toiyama Y, Takahashi M, Hur K, et al. Serum miR-21 as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer. *JNCI: J National Cancer Inst.* 2013;105(12):849-859.
146. Kalimutho M, Del Vecchio Blanco G, Di Cecilia S, et al. Differential expression of miR-144* as a novel fecal-based diagnostic marker for colorectal cancer. *J Gastroenterol.* 2011;46(12):1391-1402.
147. Chen Q, Xia H-W, Ge X-J, Zhang Y-C, Tang Q-L, Bi F. Serum miR-19a predicts resistance to FOLFOX chemotherapy in advanced colorectal cancer cases. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2013;14(12):7421-7426.
148. Vychytilova-Faltejskova P, Radova L, Sachlova M, et al. Serum-based microRNA signatures in early diagnosis and prognosis prediction of colon cancer. *Carcinogenesis.* 2016;37(10):941-950.

149. Liu C, Wu H, Mao Y, Chen W, Chen S. Exosomal microRNAs in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2021;21(1):1-12.
150. Wang H, Hou L, Li A, Duan Y, Gao H, Song X. Expression of serum exosomal microRNA-21 in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *BioMed Res Int.* 2014;2014:1-5.
151. Qu Z, Wu J, Wu J, et al. Exosomal miR-665 as a novel minimally invasive biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma diagnosis and prognosis. *Oncotarget.* 2017;8(46):80666.
152. Tang J, Li Y, Liu K, et al. Exosomal miR-9-3p suppresses HBGF-5 expression and is a functional biomarker in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Minerva Med.* 2018;109(1):15-23.
153. Wang Y, Zhang C, Zhang P, et al. Serum exosomal micro RNAs combined with alpha-fetoprotein as diagnostic markers of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Med.* 2018;7(5):1670-1679.
154. Sohn W, Kim J, Kang SH, et al. Serum exosomal microRNAs as novel biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Exp Mol Med.* 2015;47(9):e184-e184.
155. Cho HJ, Eun JW, Baek GO, et al. Serum exosomal microRNA, miR-10b-5p, as a potential diagnostic biomarker for early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Med.* 2020;9(1):281.
156. Xue X, Zhao Y, Wang X, Qin L, Hu R. Development and validation of serum exosomal microRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cell Biochem.* 2019;120(1):135-142.
157. Guan C, Yang F, He X, et al. Clinical significance of microRNA-155 expression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Oncol Lett.* 2016;11(2):1574-1580.
158. Li Y, Zhang L, Liu F, Xiang G, Jiang D, Pu X. Identification of endogenous controls for analyzing serum exosomal miRNA in patients with hepatitis B or hepatocellular carcinoma. *Dis Markers.* 2015;2015:893594.
159. Murakami Y, Toyoda H, Tanahashi T, et al. Comprehensive miRNA expression analysis in peripheral blood can diagnose liver disease. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(10):e48366.
160. Bukong TN, Momen-Heravi F, Kodys K, Bala S, Szabo G. Exosomes from hepatitis C infected patients transmit HCV infection and contain replication competent viral RNA in complex with Ago2-miR122-HSP90. *PLoS Pathog.* 2014;10(10):e1004424.
161. Lin H, Zhang Z. Diagnostic value of a microRNA signature panel in exosomes for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol.* 2019;12(4):1478.
162. Yu L-X, Zhang B-L, Yang Y, et al. Exosomal microRNAs as potential biomarkers for cancer cell migration and prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma patient-derived cell models. *Oncol Rep.* 2019;41(1):257-269.
163. Shi M, Jiang Y, Yang L, Yan S, Wang YG, Lu XJ. Decreased levels of serum exosomal miR-638 predict poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Cell Biochem.* 2018;119(6):4711-4716.
164. Sugimachi K, Matsumura T, Hirata H, et al. Identification of a bona fide microRNA biomarker in serum exosomes that predicts hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after liver transplantation. *Br J Cancer.* 2015;112(3):532-538.
165. Luo J, Chen M, Huang H, et al. Circulating microRNA-122a as a diagnostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2013;6:577-583.
166. Liang Z, Gao Y, Shi W, et al. Expression and significance of microRNA-183 in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Sci World J.* 2013;2013:381874.
167. Liu AM, Yao TJ, Wang W, et al. Circulating miR-15b and miR-130b in serum as potential markers for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2012;2(2):e000825.
168. Abdalla MA, Haj-Ahmad Y. Promising candidate urinary microRNA biomarkers for the early detection of hepatocellular carcinoma among high-risk hepatitis C virus Egyptian patients. *J Cancer.* 2012;3:19-31.
169. Xu J, Wu C, Che X, et al. Circulating microRNAs, miR-21, miR-122, and miR-223, in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma or chronic hepatitis. *Mol Carcinog.* 2011;50(2):136-142.
170. Qu KZ, Zhang K, Li H, Afdhal NH, Albitar M. Circulating microRNAs as biomarkers for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2011;45(4):355-360.
171. Fang T, Lv H, Lv G, et al. Tumor-derived exosomal miR-1247-3p induces cancer-associated fibroblast activation to foster lung metastasis of liver cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):191.
172. Wang F, Li L, Piontek K, Sakaguchi M, Selaru FM. Exosome miR-335 as a novel therapeutic strategy in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology.* 2018;67(3):940-954.
173. Kogure T, Lin WL, Yan IK, Braconi C, Patel T. Intercellular nanovesicle-mediated microRNA transfer: a mechanism of environmental modulation of hepatocellular cancer cell growth. *Hepatology.* 2011;54(4):1237-1248.
174. Jx W, Lh L, Yl W, et al. Vps4A functions as a tumor suppressor by regulating the secretion and uptake of exosomal microRNAs in human hepatoma cells. *Hepatology.* 2015;61(4):1284-1294.
175. Li T, Yin J, Yuan L, et al. Downregulation of microRNA-139 is associated with hepatocellular carcinoma risk and short-term survival. *Oncol Rep.* 2014;31(4):1699-1706.
176. Shen J, Wang A, Wang Q, et al. Exploration of genome-wide circulating microRNA in hepatocellular carcinoma: MiR-483-5p as a potential BiomarkerCirculating miRNAs in differentiation of hepatocellular carcinoma from control. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2013;22(12):2364-2373.
177. Lai X, Wang M, McElyea SD, Sherman S, House M, Korc M. A microRNA signature in circulating exosomes is superior to exosomal glypican-1 levels for diagnosing pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2017;393:86-93.
178. Que R, Ding G, Chen J, Cao L. Analysis of serum exosomal microRNAs and clinicopathologic features of patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *World J Surg Oncol.* 2013;11(1):1-9.
179. Madhavan B, Yue S, Galli U, et al. Combined evaluation of a panel of protein and miRNA serum-exosome biomarkers for pancreatic cancer diagnosis increases sensitivity and specificity. *Int J Cancer.* 2015;136(11):2616-2627.
180. Xu Y-F, Hannafon BN, Khatri U, Gin A, Ding W-Q. The origin of exosomal miR-1246 in human cancer cells. *RNA Biol.* 2019;16(6):770-784.
181. Abue M, Yokoyama M, Shibuya R, et al. Circulating miR-483-3p and miR-21 is highly expressed in plasma of pancreatic cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2015;46(2):539-547.

182. Kondo N, Murakami Y, Uemura K, et al. Prognostic impact of perioperative serum CA 19-9 levels in patients with resectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2010;17(9):2321-2329.
183. Bhagirath D, Yang TL, Bucay N, et al. microRNA-1246 is an exosomal biomarker for aggressive prostate cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2018;78(7):1833-1844.
184. Mihelich BL, Maranville JC, Nolley R, Peehl DM, Nonn L. Elevated serum microRNA levels associate with absence of high-grade prostate cancer in a retrospective cohort. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(4):e0124245.
185. Cheng HH, Mitchell PS, Kroh EM, et al. Circulating microRNA profiling identifies a subset of metastatic prostate cancer patients with evidence of cancer-associated hypoxia. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e69239.
186. Selth LA, Townley S, Gillis JL, et al. Discovery of circulating microRNAs associated with human prostate cancer using a mouse model of disease. *Int J Cancer*. 2012;131(3):652-661.
187. Nguyen HC, Xie W, Yang M, et al. Expression differences of circulating microRNAs in metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer and low-risk, localized prostate cancer. *Prostate*. 2013;73(4):346-354.
188. Alhasan AH, Scott AW, Wu JJ, et al. Circulating microRNA signature for the diagnosis of very high-risk prostate cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113(38):10655-10660.
189. Huang X, Yuan T, Liang M, et al. Exosomal miR-1290 and miR-375 as prognostic markers in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2015;67(1):33-41.
190. Endzeliņš E, Melne V, Kalniņa Z, et al. Diagnostic, prognostic and predictive value of cell-free miRNAs in prostate cancer: a systematic review. *Mol Cancer*. 2016;15(1):41.
191. Chen ZH, Zhang GL, Li HR, et al. A panel of five circulating microRNAs as potential biomarkers for prostate cancer. *Prostate*. 2012;72(13):1443-1452.
192. Sharova E, Grassi A, Marcer A, et al. A circulating miRNA assay as a first-line test for prostate cancer screening. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;114(12):1362-1366.
193. Al-Qatati A, Akrong C, Stevic I, et al. Plasma microRNA signature is associated with risk stratification in prostate cancer patients. *Int J Cancer*. 2017;141(6):1231-1239.
194. Rodríguez M, Bajo-Santos C, Hessvik NP, et al. Identification of non-invasive miRNAs biomarkers for prostate cancer by deep sequencing analysis of urinary exosomes. *Mol Cancer*. 2017;16(156):1-6.
195. Samsonov R, Shtam T, Burdakov V, et al. Lectin-induced agglutination method of urinary exosomes isolation followed by mi-RNA analysis: application for prostate cancer diagnostic. *Prostate*. 2016;76(1):68-79.
196. Wani S, Kaul D, Mavuduru R, Kakkar N, Bhatia A. Urinary-exosomal miR-2909: a novel pathognomonic trait of prostate cancer severity. *J Biotechnol*. 2017;259:135-139.
197. Foj L, Ferrer F, Serra M, et al. Exosomal and non-exosomal urinary miRNAs in prostate cancer detection and prognosis. *Prostate*. 2017;77(6):573-583.
198. Bryzgunova OE, Zaripov MM, Skvortsova TE, et al. Comparative study of extracellular vesicles from the urine of healthy individuals and prostate cancer patients. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(6):e0157566.
199. Xu Y, Qin S, An T, Tang Y, Huang Y, Zheng L. Mir-145 detection in urinary extracellular vesicles increase diagnostic efficiency of prostate cancer based on hydrostatic filtration dialysis method. *Prostate*. 2017;77(10):1167-1175.
200. Fredsøe J, Rasmussen AK, Thomsen AR, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic microRNA biomarkers for prostate cancer in cell-free urine. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2018;4(6):825-833.
201. Meng X, Müller V, Milde-Langosch K, Trillsch F, Pantel K, Schwarzenbach H. Diagnostic and prognostic relevance of circulating exosomal miR-373, miR-200a, miR-200b and miR-200c in patients with epithelial ovarian cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(13):16923-16935.
202. Jeon H, Seo SM, Kim TW, et al. Circulating exosomal miR-1290 for diagnosis of epithelial ovarian cancer. *Curr Issues Mol Biol*. 2022;44(1):288-300.
203. Ying X, Wu Q, Wu X, et al. Epithelial ovarian cancer-secreted exosomal miR-222-3p induces polarization of tumor-associated macrophages. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(28):43076-43087.
204. Kim S, Choi MC, Jeong JY, et al. Serum exosomal miRNA-145 and miRNA-200c as promising biomarkers for preoperative diagnosis of ovarian carcinomas. *J Cancer*. 2019;10(9):1958-1967.
205. Maeda K, Sasaki H, Ueda S, et al. Serum exosomal microRNA-34a as a potential biomarker in epithelial ovarian cancer. *J Ovarian Res*. 2020;13(1):1-9.
206. Wang S, Song X, Wang K, et al. Plasma exosomal miR-320d, miR-4479, and miR-6763-5p as diagnostic biomarkers in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Front Oncol*. 2022;12:986343.
207. Hannafon BN, Trigos YD, Calloway CL, et al. Plasma exosome microRNAs are indicative of breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2016;18(1):1-14.
208. Stevic I, Müller V, Weber K, et al. Specific microRNA signatures in exosomes of triple-negative and HER2-positive breast cancer patients undergoing neoadjuvant therapy within the GeparSixto trial. *BMC Med*. 2018;16(1):1-16.
209. Jang JY, Kim YS, Kang KN, Kim KH, Park YJ, Kim CW. Multiple microRNAs as biomarkers for early breast cancer diagnosis. *Mol Clin Oncol*. 2021;14(2):1-1.
210. Zhong S, Chen X, Wang D, et al. MicroRNA expression profiles of drug-resistance breast cancer cells and their exosomes. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(15):19601.
211. Sueta A, Yamamoto Y, Tomiguchi M, Takeshita T, Yamamoto-Ibusuki M, Iwase H. Differential expression of exosomal miRNAs between breast cancer patients with and without recurrence. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(41):69934-69944.
212. Tachibana H, Sho R, Takeda Y, et al. Circulating miR-223 in oral cancer: its potential as a novel diagnostic biomarker and therapeutic target. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7):e0159693.
213. Andreu Z, Oshiro RO, Redruello A, et al. Extracellular vesicles as a source for non-invasive biomarkers in bladder cancer progression. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2017;98:70-79.
214. Matsuzaki K, Fujita K, Jingushi K, et al. MiR-21-5p in urinary extracellular vesicles is a novel biomarker of urothelial carcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(15):24668-24678.

215. De Long J, Sullivan TB, Humphrey J, et al. A non-invasive miRNA based assay to detect bladder cancer in cell-free urine. *Am J Transl Res*. 2015;7(11):2500.
216. Pardini B, Cordero F, Naccarati A, et al. microRNA profiles in urine by next-generation sequencing can stratify bladder cancer subtypes. *Oncotarget*. 2018;9(29):20658.
217. Du L, Jiang X, Duan W, et al. Cell-free microRNA expression signatures in urine serve as novel noninvasive biomarkers for diagnosis and recurrence prediction of bladder cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(25):40832-40842.
218. Urquidi V, Netherton M, Gomes-Giacoa E, et al. A microRNA biomarker panel for the non-invasive detection of bladder cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(52):86290-86299.
219. Piao XM, Jeong P, Kim YH, et al. Urinary cell-free microRNA biomarker could discriminate bladder cancer from benign hematuria. *Int J Cancer*. 2019;144(2):380-388.
220. Zhang DZ, Lau KM, Chan ES, et al. Cell-free urinary microRNA-99a and microRNA-125b are diagnostic markers for the non-invasive screening of bladder cancer. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(7):e100793.
221. Sapre N, Macintyre G, Clarkson M, et al. A urinary microRNA signature can predict the presence of bladder urothelial carcinoma in patients undergoing surveillance. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;114(4):454-462.
222. Kim SM, Kang HW, Kim WT, et al. Cell-free microRNA-214 from urine as a biomarker for non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Korean J Urol*. 2013;54(11):791-796.
223. Jiang X, Du L, Wang L, et al. Serum microRNA expression signatures identified from genome-wide microRNA profiling serve as novel noninvasive biomarkers for diagnosis and recurrence of bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(4):854-862.
224. Jiang X, Du L, Wang L, et al. Serum microRNA expression signatures identified from genome-wide microRNA profiling serve as novel noninvasive biomarkers for diagnosis and recurrence of bladder cancer. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(4):854-862.
225. Jiang X, Du L, Duan W, et al. Serum microRNA expression signatures as novel noninvasive biomarkers for prediction and prognosis of muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(24):36733-36742.
226. Baumgart S, Meschkat P, Edelmann P, et al. MP78-05 invasion-associated MIRNAS S as possible diagnostic biomarkers of muscle invasive bladder cancer in tumor tissues and urinary exosomes. *J Urol*. 2018;199(4S):e1038-e1038.
227. Fanous H, Sullivan T, Rieger-Christ K. MP88-15 Distinct exosomal miRNA profiles in chemoresistant bladder carcinoma cell lines. *J Urol*. 2017;197(4S):e1179-e1180.