RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Data mining of high density genomic variant data for prediction of Alzheimer's disease risk

Natalia Briones¹ and Valentin Dinu^{2*}

Abstract

Background: The discovery of genetic associations is an important factor in the understanding of human illness to derive disease pathways. Identifying multiple interacting genetic mutations associated with disease remains challenging in studying the etiology of complex diseases. And although recently new single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at genes implicated in immune response, cholesterol/lipid metabolism, and cell membrane processes have been confirmed by genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to be associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD), a percentage of AD heritability continues to be unexplained. We try to find other genetic variants that may influence LOAD risk utilizing data mining methods.

Methods: Two different approaches were devised to select SNPs associated with LOAD in a publicly available GWAS data set consisting of three cohorts. In both approaches, single-locus analysis (logistic regression) was conducted to filter the data with a less conservative p-value than the Bonferroni threshold; this resulted in a subset of SNPs used next in multi-locus analysis (random forest (RF)). In the second approach, we took into account prior biological knowledge, and performed sample stratification and linkage disequilibrium (LD) in addition to logistic regression analysis to preselect loci to input into the RF classifier construction step.

Results: The first approach gave 199 SNPs mostly associated with genes in calcium signaling, cell adhesion, endocytosis, immune response, and synaptic function. These SNPs together with APOE and GAB2 SNPs formed a predictive subset for LOAD status with an average error of 9.8% using 10-fold cross validation (CV) in RF modeling. Nineteen variants in LD with ST5, TRPC1, ATG10, ANO3, NDUFA12, and NISCH respectively, genes linked directly or indirectly with neurobiology, were identified with the second approach. These variants were part of a model that included APOE and GAB2 SNPs to predict LOAD risk which produced a 10-fold CV average error of 17.5% in the classification modeling.

Conclusions: With the two proposed approaches, we identified a large subset of SNPs in genes mostly clustered around specific pathways/functions and a smaller set of SNPs, within or in proximity to five genes not previously reported, that may be relevant for the prediction/understanding of AD.

Keywords: Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease, GWAS, SNPs, Random Forest

Background

It is predicted the number of people who suffer from Alzheimer's disease (AD) will increase from 5 million to 13.4 million in the United States of America and will be 115.4 million worldwide by 2050 [1,2]. There is currently no treatment to stop or reverse the progress of this disease. This neurodegenerative disorder is believed

to be caused by an inability to clear β -amyloid (increasing all its forms: monomer, oligomer, insoluble fibrils, and plaques) from the Central Nervous System provoking neuronal impairment and cell death, and by tangled tau formation when cells are dying [3]. Genetic variation is an important contributor to the risk for this disease, estimated to be up to seventy-nine percent in the lateonset AD (LOAD) more frequent form of the disease [4]. A few genes have been confirmed by independent studies to be implicated with LOAD, summarized below.



© 2012 Briones and Dinu; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative BioMed Central Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: valentin.dinu@asu.edu

²Department of Biomedical Informatics, Arizona State University, Mayo Clinic, Samuel C. Johnson Research Bldg. 13212 East Shea Boulevard, Scottsdale, Arizona 85259, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Alzheimer's can be divided into early-onset AD (EOAD) and LOAD. There are thus far three established genes involved in EOAD and follow autosomal dominant inheritance APP (β -amyloid precursor protein), PSEN1 and PSEN2 (presenilin-dependent y-secretase activity cuts amyloid precursor proteins into *β*-amyloid peptides) [5,6]. Another well established genetic risk factor is APOE (it encodes a lipoprotein that may interact with accumulated β -amyloid); it manifests in the more common LOAD and its inheritance does not follow Mendelian principles [7,8]. APOE has three common alleles, ε 4, ε 3, and ε 2, and each of these variants of the gene are determined by two single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). In European populations, $\varepsilon 4\varepsilon 4$ homozygotes are the most likely to develop disease, followed by $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 4$ heterozygotes and $\varepsilon 3\varepsilon 3$ homozygotes, with ε^2 heterozygotes having the least risk [8,9]. However, a person who has one or two copies of ε 4 may never develop AD, while another who does not carry the $\varepsilon 4$ alleles may [8].

APOE genotypes could be useful in combination with other genetic variations to predict disease risk since the scientific literature suggests the existence of additional genetic factors associated with LOAD. In the past two years, at least eight genes mapped to the immune system, cholesterol metabolism, and cell membrane processes have been confirmed by independent genomewide association studies (GWAS) to be implicated with LOAD (See AlzGene database [10]). The genetic factors are CLU (it encodes apoliprotein J and may have a similar function as to that of APOE), PICALM (it encodes a protein involved in intracellular traffic of neurotransmitters between proteins and lipids), CR1 (it encodes the main receptor of complement C3b protein thought to be involved in β -amyloid clearance through phagocytosis) [5,11,12], BIN1 (it is involved in synaptic vesicle endocytocis) [5,13,14]; moreover, recently two separate studies conducted by Hollingworth P., et al and Naj, A. C. et al identified MS4A6A/MS4A4E (these encode cell membrane proteins), CD2AP (encodes a protein involved in endocytocis), EPHA1 (it produces a membrane bound protein involved in cell and axon guidance and synaptic function; additionally, it is involved in cell morphology, motility, and inflammation), and provided further support for CD33 (it is involved in cell-cell interaction and function regulation of cells in the immune system and also mediates endocytocis through a process independent from clathrin) [14,15]. Different SNPs in *CD33* were previously identified by Bertram, L. et al [14-16]. CLU, PICALM, CR1 and BIN1 were confirmed by Naj, A.C. et al and BIN1 and CR1 were confirmed by Hollingworth P., et al as LOAD susceptibility loci [14,15]. In the study by Naj, A.C. et al, the genetic effect for the most salient SNPs at each locus had estimated population attributable fractions (PAF) of 2.72% - 5.97%; nonetheless, the authors caution that the true PAF might be different [15]. These newly confirmed genes could be mapped to pathways related to the innate and adaptive immune response - (*CLU, CR1, CD33, EPHA1*) [14,17], cell membrane processes including endocytocis (*PICALM, BIN1, CD33, CD2AP*) [14], and cholesterol/lipid metabolism (*CLU*) [14,17].

A few years ago, another gene that was shown to have an increased associated risk with LOAD was *GAB2* although with inconsistent reproducibility by independent GWAS [18,19]. *GAB2* protein may be involved in protection from the formation of insoluble tau deposits known as neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) [9] and may participate in the production of β -amyloid [20]. Reiman, Eric. M. *et al* utilized stratification and linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis and found six SNPs, part of a common haplotype block covering the *GAB2* gene, to have a strong interaction with *APOE* in three groups of *APOE* $\epsilon4$ carriers [9].

APOE by itself, or in combination with *GAB2*, remains to some extend a weak predictor for the risk of developing AD [8]. We used a published GWAS data set from Reiman and colleagues [9] to analyze it for AD risk determination in new loci by different models in *APOE* ϵ 4 positive and negative samples.

One of the challenges trying to identify multiple interacting genetic mutations associated with disease in studying the etiology of complex diseases arises from the fact that there are millions of genome-wide variants, many of them untyped in the study samples of GWAS, and the number of possible combinations encountered in "interaction analysis" grows exponentially with the number of variants. As a result, it is computationally prohibitive to perform a comprehensive test for interaction analysis between four or more factors and disease. Heuristic approaches must be developed to analyze these data, that leverage and combined statistical and data mining methods [21].

We devised two informatics approaches to identify new genetic biomarkers. The first approach utilizes statistical and data mining methods. The second approach also leverages prior biological knowledge to refine the analysis. In both approaches, multi-locus (classifier building) analysis is done with a reduced number of variants that first passed, for instance, a single-locus (logistic regression) threshold.

Results and discussion

Approach I: Choice of SNPs without prior biological knowledge for model building *Step 1*

step

In order to cast a wide net to filter the data and take into account the correlation among some of the SNPs due to LD, the association analysis was run with a p-value = 1E-3. SNPs from *APOE* and *GAB2* were excluded from the analysis, since these are already known to be associated with AD in this data set [9]. This gave 199 SNPs with p-values \leq 1E-3 and 1 < ORs < 5. Table 1 lists the top seven scoring SNPs; the complete list of all 199 SNPs is found in additional file 1, table SA.

Step 2

After univariate association analysis, the Random Forest (RF) classifier performance assessment was done with the 199 SNPs data. With 100 trees, Figure 1 shows the test and out of bag (OOB) errors for different number of features (SNPs). The figure suggests that increasing the number of attributes above 70 actually leads to a gradual increase in test error rate, 10-fold cross validation (CV), for the 199 SNPs and APOE SNP and the 199 SNPs, APOE SNP and GAB2 SNPs sets. OOB error rate (estimated class \hat{y}_i is determined from models where row i is out-of- bag) is not a good estimation of test error in all instances here; however, as features are added to the forest the OOB error becomes a better estimator of the test error for these two data sets. Figure 2 shows the classifier tuning; the additional induced randomness on the selection of number of attributes for choosing the splits seems to have worked, giving a modest improvement with average 10-fold CV error rates in the range of 23-27%.

In order to further improve the classifiers, a supervised instance resample filter was applied to the data. The original case-control distribution in the data is 61% cases and 39% controls. After the data is filtered the distribution of the data becomes 52% cases and 48% controls. A big reduction in misclassification was obtained

Table 1 Logistic regression top scoring SNPs, approach I



by first resampling the data to make its distribution more balanced followed by RF. The results at 100, 300, and 600 trees and various numbers of attributes are listed in Table 2. When *APOE* and *GAB2* SNPs alone are used, the average classification error rate is 33.3%. This error rate is reduced when the 199 SNPs are used for classification. Random forests built with eighteen SNPs from the 199 SNPs give an average 10-fold CV error of 11.7%, and when the 199 SNPs are added to the bag containing either an *APOE* SNP or an *APOE* SNP and *GAB2* SNPs the average 10-fold CV error is reduced to between 9.3% and 9.5% for 11 and 18 attributes respectively.

A manual compilation of pathway, disease association, or biological function information reveals some of the 199 SNPs are associated with genes involved in calcium signalling, cell adhesion, endocytosis, and immune response in addition to synaptic function. This information was added to both Table 1 and the additional file 1,

	D'atawa ta	Un a dt in	500 0U	00 (050)	Detherment (Discourse (from atticut
RS ID	Gene	unadj. p- value	FDR_BH p- value	OR (95%) CI)	Pathway/Disease/function
NISCH rs6784615	;CH rs6784615 intron 7.16E-07 4.47E-02 2.21 (1.61- II 3.02) r [Interaction with PAK4 for reduction of LIMK1 phosphorylation; neuronal migration and axon/dendrite outgrowth [22,23].		
RABEP1 rs4356530	upstream 27742	8.59E-07	4.47E-02	2.21 (1.61- 3.02)	Endocytosis [24,32].
THEMIS rs9398855	intron	2.25E-06	8.78E-02	2.13 (1.56- 2.92)	Immnune response [25-27].
NDUFA12 rs249153	downstream 40719	4.13E-06	1.29E-01	1.62 (1.32- 2.00)	AD, Parkinson's, Hungtinton's, oxidative phosphorylation [28-32].
MUC21 rs2517509	downstream 72544	4.93E-06	1.39E-01	3.14 (1.92- 5.12)	Prevention of cell-cell interaction of Integrins [55].
TUSC1 rs10115381	upstream 328744	5.33E-06	1.39E-01	2.08 (1.52- 2.85)	Shwachman-Diamond syndrome [56].
CTNNA3 rs10996618	downstream 187123	1.19E-05	2.03E-01	2.03 (1.48- 2.78)	AD (4 studies), Adherens junction, Inmune response [10,32].

FDR_BH = Benjamini & Hochberg (1995) step-up False Discovery Rate control.



table SA. Some of the genes linked to the 199 SNPs appear among genes previously identified in GWAS as posted in the AlzGene database [10]. Furthermore, some of the top 199 SNPs are novel SNPs part of or in proximity (may be acting as flags) to genes that may be engaged in a cascade of events leading to AD. Some of these genes and their relevance to AD are discussed below.

For instance, *NISCH* codes for a cytosolic protein Nischarin which negatively affects cell migration by forming inhibitory complexes with PAK family kinases among other proteins [22]. *PAK4* suppression decreases the phosphorylation of LIMK1, key for axon/dendrite outgrowth and neuronal migration [23]. Another gene, *RABEP1* codes for rabaptin-1 which interacts with Gap43. One of the main roles of Gap-43 is adjustment of neurotransmitter release, endocytosis, and long-term potentiation and its expression and function is altered in AD [24]. Recently identified THEMIS produces a protein also known as GAB2 associated protein (Gasp) which plays a crucial regulatory role in positive selection during thymocyte development [25-27]. Post-positive selection, thymocytes differentiate into CD4 or CD8 singlepositive (SP) thymocytes as determined by their restriction to MHC class II and I respectively. SP CD4 and CD8 in time leave the thymus for other organs and form part of the adaptive immune system. It is thought Gasp may function through a new molecular pathway downstream of T-cell receptor (TCR) signalling [27]. Further studies will be needed to establish the role this gene may play in the etiology of AD.

Changes of the expression of mitochondrial genes such as *NDUFA12*, part of complex I, may alter the oxidative metabolism in AD [28,29]. Complex I initiates electron transfer by oxidizing NADH and transferring the electrons to coenzyme Q while pumping protons across the mitochondrial membrane creating an electrochemical proton gradient [29]. The high rate of oxygen consumption needed for normal function, polyunsaturated fatty acid and transition metal ion composition, and limited antioxidant defence mechanisms renders neurons vulnerable to oxidative damage [29,30]. Energy decline and mitochondrial dysfunction are a major, early event in AD. Complex I deficiency decreases energy production by oxidative phosphorylation this in turn

Data	RF	10-Fold		CV	%	Error
(APOE ε4+ & ε4- samples)	Number of Trees	F = 7	F = 11	F = 18	F = 32	F = 42
APOE & 10 GAB2 SNPs						
	100	33.3	33.2			
	300	33.3	33.2			
	600	33.4	33.5			
199 SNPs						
	100		11.9	12.3	12.5	12.3
	300		11.3	11.3	12.1	11.6
	600		10.6	11.6	11.2	11.1
APOE & 199 SNPs						
	100		10.9	9.9	10.4	10.3
	300		8.9	9.6	9.5	10.3
	600		9.0	9.1	10.1	9.9
APOE, 10 GAB2, & 199 SNPs						
	100		9.9	10.1	9.9	11.2
	300		8.9	9.1	9.5	10.3
	600		9.1	9.4	9.9	10.3

Table 2 RF modeling, filtered data, approach I

F = number of features.

increases reactive oxygen species (ROS) which often causes structural and functional cell membrane changes setting off a vicious cycle that ends in apoptosis.

Research by Rhein *et al* found tau induces mitochondrial dysfunction and increases levels of ROS and together with β -amyloid synergistically alters complex I function and energy balance with aging in AD [31]. Tau has specific sensitivity of complex I oxidative phosphorylation system. Furthermore, β -amyloid directly interacts with mitochondria via the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM) system. Additionally, maternal family history of AD links maternal inheritance of mitochondria to predisposition to AD and glucose hypometabolism [31]. *NDUFA12* is already listed as part of the AD pathway [32].

Approach II: Choice of SNPs with prior biological knowledge for model building *Step 1*

Table 3 highlights 19 SNPs with the smallest p-values from the logistic regression and stratified analysis, in strong LD, and within six genes potentially relevant to AD. The LD patterns and gene overlays for the SNPs are shown in the supplementary information (additional file 2, figure S1, additional file 3, figure S2, additional file 4, figure S3, additional file 5, figure S4, additional file 6, figure S5, and additional file 7, figure S6).

Four genes, distinct from the ones already identified in approach I, are discussed next.ST5, suppression of tumorigenicity 5, encodes three proteins. One of the proteins, p126, is an activator of mitogen-activated protein kinase MAPK1 also known as ERK2 [33]. ERK1 and ERK2 are some of several proline-directed kinases that have been shown to phosphorylate tau protein [34]. Tau binds and stabilizes microtubules in cells and in neurons; intracellular transport occurs in axons through microtubules [35]. Hyperphosphorylation reduces tau binding to microtubules and may increase neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in cell bodies and dendrites of neurons [36]. There is direct correlation between NFTs and memory decline in AD patients [36]; however, it remains to be seen how important of a role ERK2 plays in the hyperphosphorylation of tau. Another gene, TRPC1 codes for a TRP cation channel protein expressed in the neurons of the hippocampus and cortex among other regions of the brain. TRPC1 is activated by either G receptor proteins or intracellular Ca²⁺ depletion [37]. Strübing et al discovered that TRPC1 channels uniquely adjust neuronal function independently of synapse processes [37]. In addition, they demonstrated that TRPC1 can form heteromeric channels i.e. TRPC1/TRPC5. TRPC5 is expressed in the hippocampus; TRPC1/TRPC5 is activated by G_q-coupled receptors and not by Ca²⁺ depletion and its regulation is not neurotransmitter specific [37]. Calcium signalling necessary for axonal regeneration in the adult CNS and for growth cone response of spinal neurons in *Xenopus* to myelin-associated glycoprotein is mediated by TRPC1 channels [38]. Calcium disequilibrium has been observed to lead to neuronal injury and apoptosis [39]. Key modulators of calcium homeostasis such as presenilins and CALHM1 have been associated with EOAD [39]; however, there have not been prior studies on *TRPC1* in AD patients.

ATG10 is an E2-like ligase protein involved in two ubiquitin-like modifications essential for autophagosome formation [40]. Autophagy is an intracellular degradation mechanism responsible for clearance of misfolded proteins, pathogens, and organelles (organelles such as functionally disabled mitochondria in aging) [41]. Double-membrane autophagosomes enclose cytoplasmic proteins and later degrade them by fusing with lysosomes. Autophagy initiation enhances the clearance of tau and offers a cytoprotective role. Overactive or dysfunctional autophagy may promote neuronal cell death in disease states contributing to the pathology of multiple neurodegenerative disorders [42]. Blocking autophagosome formation by knockout of either ATG5 or ATG7 genes causes ubiquitinated protein aggregates and eventual neurodegeneration, demonstrating that autophagy is both constitutive and essential for neuronal functioning [42].

A genome-wide screen study by Lipinski *et al* showed that ROS are common mediators upstream of the activation of the type III PI3 kinase (critical protein in autophagy initiation) in response to β -amyloid peptide. On the other hand, lysosomal blockage also caused by β -amyloid is independent of ROS. Furthermore, they proved that autophagy is transcriptionally down-regulated during normal aging in the human brain in contrast to the autophagy up-regulation observed in later stages of AD human brains. In addition, AD drugs they tested have inhibitory effects on autophagy, decreasing input into the lysosomal system; they hypothesized this may ameliorate cellular stress in AD [43].

A fourth gene, *ANO3* encodes anoctamin 3, rs1389421 is in a 49 kb LD region upstream of *ANO3* as seen in additional file 5, figure S4. The anoctamin family of ten highly hydrophobic membrane proteins is also known as *TMEM16* [44]. Some anoctamins function as Ca^{2+} activated Cl⁻ channels (CaCCs) in the retinal photoreceptor synaptic terminals and the olfactory sensory neurons; others participate in tumor progression [44,45]. Some studies indicate that olfactory neurogenesis disruption is linked to AD [46]. *ANO2*, *3*, and *4* are mostly expressed in neuronal tissues. *ANO3* and *ANO4* mRNA are equally expressed in spinal cord, brain stem, cerebellum, and eye; however, it is not known how ano3 and ano4

Gene (Chr.) dbSNP RS ID	Physical Position	Distance to Gene	Minor Allele (MAF)	p-value from χ2	OR (95% CI)
		APOE E4- SAMPLES			
ST5 (11)					
rs4910068	8830651	intron	C (0.25)	9.47E-05	1.57 (1.25-1.98)
rs10743089	8744744	intron	A (0.33)	2.12E-05	1.59 (1.28-1.97)
		APOE E4+ SAMPLES			
TRPC1 (3)					
rs4259003	144006245	intron	A (0.21)	6.82E-05	2.36 (1.53-3.64)
rs9784320	144024724	intron	C (0.25)	9.68E-04	1.87 (1.28-2.71)
rs2033912	143999057	intron	T (0.22)	1.71E-03	1.86 (1.26-2.75)
rs891159	81526843	intron	C (0.24)	2.40E-05	2.34 (1.56-3.49)
ATG10 (5)					
rs1485587	81362798	intron	G (0.48)	8.11E-05	1.82 (1.35-2.45)
rs4703879	81589571	intron	A (0.24)	1.01E-03	1.86 (1.28-2.71)
ANO3 (11)					
rs1389421	25747721	upstream 561825	G (0.45)	3.39E-06	2.07 (1.52-2.83)
rs10834774	25715397	upstream 594149	C (0.20)	4.01E-03	1.86 (1.21-2.85)
rs11028909	25729021	upstream 580525	G (0.20)	4.13E-03	1.84 (1.21-2.81)
NDUFA12 (12)					
rs249153	93848520	downstream 40719	C (0.19)	3.19E-06	1.63 (1.33-2.00)
rs249154	93848687	downstream 40552	C (0.18)	3.22E-05	1.54 (1.25-1.89)
		APOE ϵ 4+ and ϵ 4- SAMPI	ES		
NISCH (3)					
rs6784615	52481466	intron	C (0.09)	6.63E-07	2.13 (1.57-2.88)
rs9855470	52468315	intron	A (0.06)	4.86E-05	2.11 (1.46-3.05)
rs6445486	52481531	intron	A (0.06)	2.77E-04	1.90 (1.34-2.71)
rs10865972	52466487	intron	C (0.06)	3.38E-04	1.87 (1.32-2.65)
rs4687619	52493826	intron	T (0.06)	3.54E-04	1.93 (1.34-2.79)
rs6810027	52499614	intron	C (0.05)	5.80E-04	1.89 (1.31-2.73)

Table	3	СМН	top	scoring	SNPs in	LD,	approach	Ш
-------	---	-----	-----	---------	---------	-----	----------	---

All p-values are uncorrected.

function thus far [44,47]. *Ist2p*, ANO in S. cerevisiae, is translated locally at the peripheral endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and may be inserted into the plasma membrane by the fusion of peripheral ER with the plasma membrane. If these anion selective proteins in mammals are transported by a similar novel mechanism, it is believed they might have effects in protein synthesis in axons and dendrites [45].

Step 2

The 19 SNPs in LD with six genes that resulted from the analysis in step 1 above were used next in RF. Table 4 shows that when combining the 19 SNPs with the *APOE* SNP the average 10-fold CV error rate is reduced to 20.5%. The 10-fold CV error rate is reduced to 16.9% when the data set is the 19 SNPs, *APOE* SNP, and 10 *GAB2* SNPs, and using 600 trees and 11 features for tree building. The higher 10-fold CV error rate obtained in approach II as compared to that of approach I may

not be due to LD. As Meng, Y.A. *et al* explain, in RF if a SNP is near the root of a tree in the forest and a second SNP in LD with the first SNP is close to the leaf of the same tree, the permutation of the first SNP value will not increment the prediction error of the tree because the second SNP can be a substitute for the first SNP. However, the prediction error might be still somewhat increased [48].

Conclusions

It is believed that LOAD is a complex disease caused by the interaction of multiple genetic and environmental factors. In the past two years, at least eight genes have been confirmed to be associated with LOAD. These are common risk variants of moderate to small effects the same as *APOE*. The new variants functionality could be mapped to the immune response, cholesterol metabolism, and cell membrane processes pathways [14].

Data	RF		10-Fold Cross	Validation	% Error
(APOE ε4+ and ε4- samples)	Number of Trees	F = 6	F = 7	F = 11	F = 18
APOE & 10 GAB2 SNPs					
	100		33.3	33.2	
	150		33.4	33.5	
	200		33.4	33.2	
	250		33.2	33.2	
	300		33.3	33.2	
	600		33.4	33.5	
19 SNPs					
	100	26.9		27.5	27.9
	150	26.7		27.0	27.5
	200	26.8		27.0	27.4
	250	27.1		27.3	27.6
	300	27.2		27.1	27.6
	600	27.1		27.4	27.4
APOE & 19 SNPs					
	100	20.3		20.8	20.7
	150	19.9		20.6	20.8
	200	20.1		20.7	20.9
	250	20.3		20.6	20.6
	300	20.1		20.6	20.6
	600	19.8		20.4	20.7
APOE, 10 GAB2, & 19 SNPs					
	100	17.6		17.6	18.2
	150	17.2		17.0	17.6
	200	17.2		17.2	18.1
	250	17.3		17.1	17.9
	300	17.2		17.3	17.9
	600	17.3		16.9	17.6

F = number of features

However, a percentage of AD heritability is still missing. The purpose of this study was to explore new associations between multiple SNPs and AD by data mining approaches. We analyzed a published AD GWAS data set by a couple of two-step approaches that first filtered the data with a low threshold to obtain a data subset used in a second step for multi-locus analysis. In one approach statistical and data mining techniques were implemented, and in the other approach biological domain knowledge and LD analysis were done prior to the multi-locus analysis. A 10-fold CV was done with the multi-locus analysis which helped remove bias from the reported error rate. Previously AD associated SNPs [7,9] were removed from the data to avoid obscuring other possible significant variants. There is overlap between the SNPs identified with both approaches; some of the genes associated with the SNPs used to build the classifiers have not been reported before as currently listed in the AlzGene database [10].

The model built for approach I confirmed, that *APOE* and *GAB2* genotypes alone can produce a moderate determinant of LOAD status [9], being able to discriminate between cases and controls with about 33% error rate (10 fold CV). By adding close to 200 other genomewide SNPs that had a relatively high score of association with LOAD from the GWAS data set, the error rate of the model was greatly reduced, from 33% to about 10%. While many of the 200 SNPs were in the vicinity of genes that could potentially be involved in AD pathways, some of them were not.

The model built for approach II leveraged biological domain knowledge to select a small number of SNPs from genes that had relevance to LOAD. This model used only 19 to 30 SNPs (Table 4), while the model in approach I used one order of magnitude more, about 200 SNPs (Table 2). The model in approach II was less successful in lowering the LOAD classification error rate - to only about 17%, vs. the 10% that the model in approach I did. Approach II; however, with its limited number of biologically-relevant SNPs, would be much easier to test, as opposed to the model in approach I, which included 200 genome-wide SNPs. A model employing dozens of SNPs might be harder to test, but it could be that dozens of genetic variants linked to many different pathways could be involved in the etiology of AD as is the case for other complex diseases [19], and as it is beginning to emerge from the GWAS outcomes from the past two years.

In order to improve the results from the analysis of this data, a (joint) meta-analysis could be done with another AD data set conducted on the same platform. The combined data sets would give more statistical power for gene-gene interaction effects and make possible fine mapping of variants with larger effect sizes. The functionality of the selected SNPs here could be further assessed by mapping the variants to genes that interact with or are in the same pathways as those already implicated in AD, and querying of genomic annotations of SNPs representing variation in micro-RNA target sites.

The two approaches described here are only a starting point that can be further refined to better understand the possible causes of LOAD. Similar approaches - that combine high throughput genomics techniques, statistical and data mining analysis, and leverage biological domain knowledge - can be applied to study other complex diseases that have a strong genetic component.

Methods

Data

A published AD GWAS data set was obtained from the Translational Genomics Research Institute [9]. The data includes results on 312,316 SNPs that passed quality control checks across the genome genotyped with the Mapping 500K Array set from Affymetrix on 1411 LOAD cases and controls from a discovery group and two replicate groups. Each of the three groups is divided into two sub-groups of *APOE* ε 4 carriers and ε 4 non-carriers. In addition to genotypes, the original data includes phenotypes such as gender, age of disease onset, and age at death. The analysis presented here focuses on genotype interactions and excludes these phenotypes from the analysis.

The data can be described as 312,316 nominal predictors along with a two class response variable y. The response variable is unevenly distributed; it is 61% cases and 39% controls. Also, the data has missing values. Furthermore, in order to avoid false-positive results due to population stratification, the data is from a Caucasian population of European ancestry; the samples were obtained from the United States and from the Netherlands.

The data was originally used to identify a novel interaction between LOAD and two genes, *APOE* and *GAB2* [9]. In this analysis, known *GAB2* and *APOE* SNPs are first excluded and then re-added in the model building phase. In the next section, we describe the approaches employed and briefly explain the RF algorithm.

Analytical approaches for Alzheimer's disease association analysis

In order to identify new genetic variants that increase disease risk, we implement some of the latest algorithm versions for disease association, LD, and data mining with the most recent genetic variant annotation files. A two step analysis, to reduce multiple genetic interactions to be tested, is implemented by two approaches: one statistically driven and a second incorporating sample stratification and biological knowledge. In the first step of both approaches, SNPs are filtered at a less stringent threshold for disease association. The multiple testing threshold correction, Bonferroni, assumes there are M independent tests ($\alpha_p = \alpha_e / M$ where α_p is the pointwise error and α_e is the experimental error); however, the independence assumption fails in genetic association studies since there is correlation among some of the SNPs due to LD. Thus, we use a threshold of p-value = 1E-3 and take into account positive ORs (a positive OR means the minor allele increases disease risk relative to the major allele) for the genome-wide screening step. Furthermore, for the first step of approach II, the data is also filtered by the p-values and ORs from chi-square tests, and by significant LD values of selected SNPs within or close (~5 Kb) to neurobiological relevant genes. For both two step methods, known GAB2 and APOE SNPs (originally published with this data set [9]) are first removed so they do not obscure the finding of other statistically significant SNPs and then they are readded in the RF building phase.

Random forest (or random forests) is an ensemble classifier that consists of many decision trees and outputs the class that is the mode (most frequent outcome) of the class's output by individual trees [49]. Ensemble methods use multiple models to obtain better predictive performance than could be obtained from any of the constituent models. For example, if individual classifiers would have an error rate of $\varepsilon = 0.35$, an ensemble of twenty-five independent base classifiers will make a wrong prediction at a smaller rate of 0.06 by the for-

mula
$$\sum_{i=13}^{25} {25 \choose i} \epsilon^{i} (1-\epsilon)^{25-i} = 0.06[50].$$

RF is a special case of bagging algorithm which is simple to train and tune. Bagging, or bootstrap aggregating, is a parallel ensemble method that induces additional randomness by allowing bag size to be chosen [51]. For each classifier in the ensemble, a sample is drawn uniformly and with replacement from the original training data set. If the training data has more rows expressing cases than expressing controls, the randomness causes more frequent cases rows in the bag than control rows. This results in cases rows getting classified much better than the control rows. The aim is to have both classes classified in a way to lead to overall low error rate. RF, as a classifier, induces additional randomness in the selection of features from a subset for deciding the splits at the nodes in each tree and if the skewed features in the data are de-selected one may improve the model predictions or vice versa. The size of the subset is decided by first taking the square root of the total number of attributes in the data set or by the \log_2 of the total number of attributes + 1. The additional randomness in RF helps to reduce variance (correlation among attributes) and maintain bias. It is standard to let the trees grow deep and not to prune them since the average of trees that are put together is taken to reduce the variance.

In the second step for both approaches, RF algorithms are optimized to build stable classifiers with new SNPs, the most significant *APOE* SNP (rs4420638) identified by Coon *et al* [7], and 10 *GAB2* SNPs (Table 1[9]) for AD prognosis.

Approach I: Choice of SNPs without prior biological knowledge for model building *Step 1*

Logistic regression with a less stringent p-value than the Bonferroni cut-off of 0.05/312,316 = 1.55E-7 is performed using PLINK v 1.07 [52] on all samples. A filter is set at a p-value = 1E-3 and the association analysis is run with removal of *APOE* and *GAB2* SNPs. In addition, SNPs with p-values \leq 1E-3 and 1 < ORs < 5 are selected. The raw genotype data corresponding to each of the selected SNPs is extracted by running Perl scripts and PLINK code. And to identify the genes corresponding to these SNPs, annotation files updated by the chip manufacturer (Affymetrix) with the Human Genome v 19 are queried.

Step 2

After pre-formatting the data subset, the data mining analysis is run using WEKA v 3-6-6 [53]. All the analysis in this study involves a cross validation of 10 folds without pruning the trees. To start the RF model building, the number of SNPs to include in the model is calculated by taking the square root of the total number of SNPs. Four data sets are used to build the RF classifiers, and all of the sets have y (disease) in the last column as class attribute. The first data set includes the *APOE* SNP and 10 *GAB2* SNPs, the second set consists of the SNPs from step 1, the SNPs from step 1 plus the *APOE* SNP form the third set, and the fourth set comprises the SNPs from step 1, the *APOE* SNP, and 10 *GAB2* SNPs. In order to assess the performance of each of the classifiers, the number of trees is held constant at 100 and the number of features (SNPs) is varied. Then, the classifiers are tuned by holding constant the various numbers of attributes, which gave the smallest test and OOB error rates (for the three data sets) in the performance step, and by changing the number of trees.

A supervised instance resample filter is applied to each data set. This produces a random subsample of each data set using sampling with replacement. The filter is set to bias the class distribution towards a uniform distribution; the original case-control distribution in the data is 61% cases and 39% controls.

After classification modelling, a manual compilation of epistasis information relevant to AD on the 199 SNPs is done.

Approach II: Choice of SNPs with prior biological knowledge for model building *Step 1*

For the second approach, prior biological knowledge is used to supplement statistical analysis in selecting SNPs from genes that are more likely to play a role in AD. SNPs from *APOE* and *GAB2* are excluded; then, the data is filtered by p-values \leq 1E-3 and 1 < ORs < 5 from logistic regression and the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) test. For CMH, the stratification (three groups) is done based on *APOE* ϵ 4 carrier status using PLINK.

A list of twenty-three SNPs selected from logistic regression and CMH based on their p-values and ORs, and situated in the vicinity of genes potentially relevant to AD is uploaded into Haploview v 4.2 [54] in order to find their linkage to other SNPs within a 300 kb region. The default settings are kept; the "Download HapMap info Track", release 22 version 2 and release 21 with panel CEU (Caucasian European), and the "Solid Spine" method to detect strong LD are utilized [54].

Step 2

The RF is implemented at various fixed number of attributes and trees with four different data sets. The *APOE* SNP and 10 *GAB2* SNPs are a first set, the SNPs in LD from step 1 are a second set, and the SNPs from step 1 together with the *APOE* SNP are a third set. The SNPs from step 1 added to the *APOE* SNP and *GAB2* SNPs make a fourth set. The classification building is performed in the same manner as for approach I - step 2.

Additional material

Additional file 1: Table SA. Complete list of 199 SNPs from logistic regression approach I with corresponding pathway, disease or biological function information which may be pertinent to AD.

Additional file 2: Figure S1. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *ST5* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb pairs interval surrounding the *ST5* locus. Bottom: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs4910068 and rs10743089, were found to be in significant LD with a D' value of 0.83. Standard color scheme for Haploview: D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are white, D' = 1 and LOD < 2 are bright red. LOD = log of the odds.

Additional file 3: Figure S2. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *TRPC1* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb region surrounding the *TRPC1* locus. Bottom two: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs4259003, rs9784320, and rs2033912, were found to be in significant LD; rs4259003 and rs9784320, rs4259003 and rs2033912, and rs9784320 and rs2033912 with D' values of 1.0. Standard color scheme for Haploview: D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are white, D' = 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 or blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 or blue the order of the odds.

Additional file 4: Figure S3. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *ATG10* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb region surrounding the *ATG10* locus. Bottom three: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs891159, rs1485587, and rs4703879, were found to be in significant LD; rs891159 and rs1485587, rs891159 and rs4703879, and rs1485587 and rs4703879 with D' = 1. Standard color scheme for Haploview: D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are white, D' = 1 and LOD < 2 are bright red. LOD = log of the odds.

Additional file 5: Figure S4. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *ANO3* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb region surrounding the *ANO3* locus. Bottom two: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs1389421, rs10834774, and rs11028909, were found to be in significant LD; rs1389421 and rs10834774, rs1389421 and rs10834774, and rs11028909 and rs10834774 with D' = 1. Standard color scheme for Haploview: D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are white, D' = 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are blue,

Additional file 6: Figure S5. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *NDUFA12* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb pairs interval surrounding the *NDUFA12* locus. Bottom: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs249153 and rs249154, were found to be in significant LD with D' = 1. Standard color scheme for Haploview: D' < 1 and LOD < 2 are white, D' = 1 and LOD < 2 are blue, D' < 1 and LOD \geq 2 are shades of pink/red, D' = 1 and LOD \geq 2 are bright red. LOD = log of the odds.

Additional file 7: Figure S6. LD display for SNPs across the 300 kb region surrounding the *NISCH* locus. Top: Entrez gene track overlaid with Hapmap genotyped SNPs across a 300 kb region surrounding the *NISCH* locus. Bottom: zoomed LD SNP region. The SNPs identified, rs6784615, rs9855470, rs6445486, rs10865972, rs4687619, and rs6810027, were found to be in significant LD; rs6784615 and rs9855470, rs6784615 and rs64845486, rs6784615 and rs6845019, rs6784615 and rs6810027, rs9855470 and rs6445486 and rs10865972, rs6445486 and rs4687619, rs6784619, rs10865972, rs6445486 and rs6810027, rs10865972, rs6445486 and rs4687619, rs68410027, rs10865972 and rs6487619, rs10865972 and rs6810027, rs10865972 and rs6487619, rs10865972 and rs6810027, rs10865972, rs10865972 and rs6810027, rs10865972, rs10865972 and rs6810027, rs10865972 and rs6810027, rs10865972, rs10, rs10865972, rs10865972, rs10865972, rs10865972, r

Author details

¹Computational Biosciences Program, School of Mathematics and Statistical Sciences, Arizona State University, 1711 South Rural Road, Tempe, Arizona, 85287-1804, USA. ²Department of Biomedical Informatics, Arizona State University, Mayo Clinic, Samuel C. Johnson Research Bldg. 13212 East Shea Boulevard, Scottsdale, Arizona 85259, USA.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: VD. Analyzed the data and wrote the paper: NB. Made major edits: VD. Both authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 22 July 2011 Accepted: 25 January 2012 Published: 25 January 2012

References

- 1. Park A: Alzheimer's Unlocked. (cover story). Time 2010, 176(17):53.
- Hollingworth P, Harold D, Jones L, Owen MJ, Williams J: Alzheimer's disease genetics: current knowledge and future challenges. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2010.
- Mawuenyega KG, Sigurdson W, Ovod V, Munsell L, Kasten T, Morris JC, Yarasheski KE, Bateman RJ: Decreased clearance of CNS beta-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. Science 2010, 330(6012):1774.
- Gatz M, Reynolds CA, Fratiglioni L, Johansson B, Mortimer JA, Berg S, Fiske A, Pedersen NL: Role of genes and environments for explaining Alzheimer disease. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006, 63(2):168-174.
- Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Williams A, Jones N, Thomas C, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, Holmes C, et al: Genomewide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2009, 41(10):1088-1093.
- Tanzi RE, Bertram L: Twenty years of the Alzheimer's disease amyloid hypothesis: a genetic perspective. *Cell* 2005, 120(4):545-555.
- Coon KD, Myers AJ, Craig DW, Webster JA, Pearson JV, Lince DH, Zismann VL, Beach TG, Leung D, Bryden L, Halperin RF, Marlowe L, Kaleem M, Walker DG, Ravid R, Heward CB, Rogers J, Papassotiropoulos A, Reiman EM, Hardy J, Stephan DA: A high-density whole-genome association study reveals that APOE is the major susceptibility gene for sporadic late-onset Alzheimer's disease. J Clin Psychiatry 2007, 68(4):613-618.
- Avramopoulos D: Genetics of Alzheimer's disease: recent advances. Genome Med 2009, 1(3):34.
- Reiman EM, Webster JA, Myers AJ, Hardy J, Dunckley T, Zismann VL, Joshipura KD, Pearson JV, Hu-Lince D, Huentelman MJ, Craig DW, Coon KD, Liang WS, Herbert RH, Beach T, Rohrer KC, Zhao AS, Leung D, Bryden L, Marlowe L, Kaleem M, Mastroeni D, Grover A, Heward CB, Ravid R, Rogers J, Hutton ML, Melquist S, Petersen RC, Alexander GE, Caselli RJ, Kukull W, Papassotiropoulos A, Stephan DA: GAB2 alleles modify Alzheimer's risk in APOE epsilon4 carriers. Neuron 2007, 54(5):713-720.
- Bertram L, McQueen MB, Mullin K, Blacker D, Tanzi RE: Systematic metaanalyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. Nat Genet 2007, 39(1):17-23.
- 11. Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, Hiltunen M, Combarros O, Zelenika D, Bullido MJ, Tavernier B, Letenneur L, Bettens K, Berr C, Pasquier F, Fievet N, Barberger-Gateau P, Engelborghs S, De Deyn P, Mateo I, Franck A, Helisalmi S, Porcellini E, Hanon O, European Alzheimer's Disease Initiative Investigators, de Pancorbo MM, Lendon C, Dufouil C, Jaillard C, Leveillard T, Alvarez V, Bosco P, *et al*: Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2009, 41(10):1094-1099.
- Carrasquillo MM, Belbin O, Hunter TA, Ma L, Bisceglio GD, Zou F, Crook JE, Pankratz VS, Dickson DW, Graff-Radford NR, Petersen RC, Morgan K, Younkin SG: Replication of CLU, CR1, and PICALM associations with alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2010, 67(8):961-964.

- 13. Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, DeStefano AL, Gudnason V, Boada M, Bis JC, Smith AV, Carassquillo MM, Lambert JC, Harold D, Schrijvers EM, Ramirez-Lorca R, Debette S, Longstreth WT Jr, Janssens AC, Pankratz VS, Dartigues JF, Hollingworth P, Aspelund T, Hernandez I, Beiser A, Kuller LH, Koudstaal PJ, Dickson DW, Tzourio C, Abraham R, Antunez C, Du Y, Rotter JI, *et al*: Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. JAMA 2010, 303(18):1832-1840.
- Hollingworth P, Harold D, Sims R, Gerrish A, Lambert JC, Carrasquillo MM, Abraham R, Hamshere ML, Pahwa JS, Moskvina V, Dowzell K, Jones N, Stretton A, Thomas C, Richards A, Ivanov D, Widdowson C, Chapman J, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, et al: Common variants at ABCA7, MS4A6A/MS4A4E, EPHA1, CD33 and CD2AP are associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nat Genet 2011, 43(5):429-435.
- Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Vardarajan BN, Buros J, Gallins PJ, Buxbaum JD, Jarvik GP, Crane PK, Larson EB, Bird TD, Boeve BF, Graff-Radford NR, De Jager PL, Evans D, Schneider JA, Carrasquillo MM, Ertekin-Taner N, Younkin SG, Cruchaga C, Kauwe JS, Nowotny P, Kramer P, Hardy J, Huentelman MJ, Myers AJ, Barmada MM, Demirci FY, Baldwin CT, *et al*: Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* 2011, 43(5):436-441.
- Bertram L, Lange C, Mullin K, Parkinson M, Hsiao M, Hogan MF, Schjeide BM, Hooli B, Divito J, Ionita I, Jiang H, Laird N, Moscarillo T, Ohlsen KL, Elliott K, Wang X, Hu-Lince D, Ryder M, Murphy A, Wagner SL, Blacker D, Becker KD, Tanzi RE: Genome-wide association analysis reveals putative Alzheimer's disease susceptibility loci in addition to APOE. Am J Hum Genet 2008, 83(5):623-632.
- 17. Jones L, Holmans PA, Hamshere ML, Harold D, Moskvina V, Ivanov D, Pocklington A, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, Pahwa JS, Jones N, Stretton A, Morgan AR, Lovestone S, Powell J, Proitsi P, Lupton MK, Brayne C, Rubinsztein DC, Gill M, Lawlor B, Lynch A, Morgan K, Brown KS, Passmore PA, Craig D, McGuinness B, Todd S, *et al*: Genetic evidence implicates the immune system and cholesterol metabolism in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* 2010, 5(11):e13950.
- Bertram L, Lill CM, Tanzi RE: The genetics of Alzheimer disease: back to the future. Neuron 2010, 68(2):270-281.
- Bertram L: Alzheimer's Genetics in the GWAS Era: A Continuing Story of (Replications and Refutations'. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep 2011, 11(3):246-253.
- Nizzari M, Venezia V, Repetto E, Caorsi V, Magrassi R, Gagliani MC, Carlo P, Florio T, Schettini G, Tacchetti C, Russo T, Diaspro A, Russo C: Amyloid precursor protein and Presenilin1 interact with the adaptor GRB2 and modulate ERK 1,2 signaling. J Biol Chem 2007, 282(18):13833-13844.
- Dinu V, Zhao H, Miller PL: Integrating domain knowledge with statistical and data mining methods for high-density genomic SNP disease association analysis. J Biomed Inform 2007, 40(6):750-760.
- Alahari SK, Reddig PJ, Juliano RL: The integrin-binding protein Nischarin regulates cell migration by inhibiting PAK. *EMBO J* 2004, 23(14):2777-2788.
- Eswaran J, Soundararajan M, Kumar R, Knapp S: UnPAKing the class differences among p21-activated kinases. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2008, 33(8):394-403.
- Chakravarthy B, Rashid A, Brown L, Tessier L, Kelly J, Menard M: Association of Gap-43 (neuromodulin) with microtubule-associated protein MAP-2 in neuronal cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2008, 371(4):679-683.
- Fu G, Vallee S, Rybakin V, McGuire MV, Ampudia J, Brockmeyer C, Salek M, Fallen PR, Hoerter JA, Munshi A, Huang YH, Hu J, Fox HS, Sauer K, Acuto O, Gascoigne NR: Themis controls thymocyte selection through regulation of T cell antigen receptor-mediated signaling. *Nat Immunol* 2009, 10(8):848-856.
- Patrick MS, Oda H, Hayakawa K, Sato Y, Eshima K, Kirikae T, Iemura S, Shirai M, Abe T, Natsume T, Sasazuki T, Suzuki H: Gasp, a Grb2-associating protein, is critical for positive selection of thymocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 2009, 106(38):16345-16350.
- 27. Labrecque N, Baldwin T, Lesage S: Molecular and genetic parameters defining T-cell clonal selection. *Immunol Cell Biol* 2010.
- Mancuso M, Calsolaro V, Orsucci D, Carlesi C, Choub A, Piazza S, Siciliano G: Mitochondria, cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's disease. Int J Alzheimers Dis 2009, 2009:951548.

- Yano T: The energy-transducing NADH: quinone oxidoreductase, complex I. Mol Aspects Med 2002, 23(5):345-368.
- Cardoso SM, Proenca MT, Santos S, Santana I, Oliveira CR: Cytochrome c oxidase is decreased in Alzheimer's disease platelets. *Neurobiol Aging* 2004, 25(1):105-110.
- Rhein V, Song X, Wiesner A, Ittner LM, Baysang G, Meier F, Ozmen L, Bluethmann H, Drose S, Brandt U, Savaskan E, Czech C, Gotz J, Eckert A: Amyloid-beta and tau synergistically impair the oxidative phosphorylation system in triple transgenic Alzheimer's disease mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2009, 106(47):20057-20062.
- 32. KEGG PATHWAY Database. [http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html].
- Majidi M, Hubbs AE, Lichy JH: Activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 by a novel Abl-binding protein, ST5. J Biol Chem 1998, 273(26):16608-16614.
- Hebert SS, Papadopoulou AS, Smith P, Galas MC, Planel E, Silahtaroglu AN, Sergeant N, Buee L, De Strooper B: Genetic ablation of Dicer in adult forebrain neurons results in abnormal tau hyperphosphorylation and neurodegeneration. *Hum Mol Genet* 2010, 19(20):3959-3969.
- Gustke N, Trinczek B, Biernat J, Mandelkow EM, Mandelkow E: Domains of tau protein and interactions with microtubules. *Biochemistry* 1994, 33(32):9511-9522.
- Brunden KR, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM: Advances in tau-focused drug discovery for Alzheimer's disease and related tauopathies. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009, 8(10):783-793.
- Strubing C, Krapivinsky G, Krapivinsky L, Clapham DE: TRPC1 and TRPC5 form a novel cation channel in mammalian brain. *Neuron* 2001, 29(3):645-655.
- Shim S, Goh EL, Ge S, Sailor K, Yuan JP, Roderick HL, Bootman MD, Worley PF, Song H, Ming GL: XTRPC1-dependent chemotropic guidance of neuronal growth cones. *Nat Neurosci* 2005, 8(6):730-735.
- Marambaud P, Dreses-Werringloer U, Vingtdeux V: Calcium signaling in neurodegeneration. Mol Neurodegener 2009, 4:20.
- Garcia-Arencibia M, Hochfeld WE, Toh PP, Rubinsztein DC: Autophagy, a guardian against neurodegeneration. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2010, 21(7):691-698.
- Levine B, Kroemer G: Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. Cell 2008, 132(1):27-42.
- Boland B, Kumar A, Lee S, Platt FM, Wegiel J, Yu WH, Nixon RA: Autophagy induction and autophagosome clearance in neurons: relationship to autophagic pathology in Alzheimer's disease. J Neurosci 2008, 28(27):6926-6937.
- Lipinski MM, Zheng B, Lu T, Yan Z, Py BF, Ng A, Xavier RJ, Li C, Yankner BA, Scherzer CR, Yuan J: Genome-wide analysis reveals mechanisms modulating autophagy in normal brain aging and in Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2010, 107(32):14164-14169.
- Milenkovic VM, Brockmann M, Stohr H, Weber BH, Strauss O: Evolution and functional divergence of the anoctamin family of membrane proteins. *BMC Evol Biol* 2010, 10:319.
- Hartzell HC, Yu K, Xiao Q, Chien LT, Qu Z: Anoctamin/TMEM16 family members are Ca2+-activated Cl- channels. J Physiol 2009, 587(Pt 10):2127-2139.
- 46. Curtis MA, Faull RL, Eriksson PS: The effect of neurodegenerative diseases on the subventricular zone. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2007, **8(9)**:712-723.
- Schreiber R, Uliyakina I, Kongsuphol P, Warth R, Mirza M, Martins JR, Kunzelmann K: Expression and function of epithelial anoctamins. *J Biol Chem* 2010, 285(10):7838-7845.
- Meng YA, Yu Y, Cupples LA, Farrer LA, Lunetta KL: Performance of random forest when SNPs are in linkage disequilibrium. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009, 10:78.
- 49. Breiman L: Random Forests. Mach Learning 2001, 45(1):5-32.
- 50. Tan P, Steinbach Michael, Kumar V: *Introduction to data mining* Boston: Pearson Addison Wesley; 2006.
- 51. Breiman L: Bagging predictors. Mach Learning 1996, 24(2):123-140.
- Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MA, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker PI, Daly MJ, Sham PC: PLINK: a tool set for wholegenome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007, 81(3):559-575.
- Hall M, Frank E, Holmes G, Pfahringer B, Reutemann P, Witten IH: The WEKA data mining software. ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter 2009, 11(1):10.

- 54. Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ: Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics* 2005, **21(2)**:263-265.
- Yi Y, Kamata-Sakurai M, Denda-Nagai K, Itoh T, Okada K, Ishii-Schrade K, Iguchi A, Sugiura D, Irimura T: Mucin 21/epiglycanin modulates cell adhesion. J Biol Chem 2010, 285(28):21233-21240.
- Rujkijyanont P, Beyene J, Wei K, Khan F, Dror Y: Leukaemia-related gene expression in bone marrow cells from patients with the preleukaemic disorder Shwachman-Diamond syndrome. Br J Haematol 2007, 137(6):537-544.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2350/13/7/prepub

doi:10.1186/1471-2350-13-7

Cite this article as: Briones and Dinu: Data mining of high density genomic variant data for prediction of Alzheimer's disease risk. *BMC Medical Genetics* 2012 13:7.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit