RESEARCH ARTICLE



REVISED An evolutionarily significant unicellular strategy in response to starvation in *Dictyostelium* social amoebae [v2; ref status: indexed, http://f1000r.es/4kb]

Darja Dubravcic^{1,2}, Minus van Baalen², Clément Nizak^{1,3}

¹CNRS, LIPHY, F-38000 Grenoble, France

²Laboratory of Ecology and Evolution, CNRS UMR7625, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris Universitas, CNRS, Paris, France

³Laboratory of Biochemistry, UMR 8231 ESPCI ParisTech/CNRS, PSL Research University, Paris, France

V2 First published: 24 Jun 2014, 3:133 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.4218.1) Latest published: 05 Dec 2014, 3:133 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.4218.2)

Abstract

The social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum is widely studied for its multicellular development program as a response to starvation. Aggregates of up to 10⁶ cells form fruiting bodies containing (i) dormant spores (~80%) that can persist for months in the absence of nutrients, and (ii) dead stalk cells (~20%) that promote the dispersion of the spores towards nutrient-rich areas. It is often overlooked that not all cells aggregate upon starvation. Using a new quantitative approach based on time-lapse fluorescence microscopy and a low ratio of reporting cells, we have quantified this fraction of non-aggregating cells. In realistic starvation conditions, up to 15% of cells do not aggregate, which makes this third cell fate a significant component of the population-level response of social amoebae to starvation. Non-aggregating cells have an advantage over cells in aggregates since they resume growth earlier upon arrival of new nutrients, but have a shorter lifespan under prolonged starvation. We find that phenotypic heterogeneities linked to cell nutritional state bias the representation of cells in the aggregating vs. non-aggregating fractions, and thus affect population partitioning. Next, we report that the fraction of non-aggregating cells depends on genetic factors that regulate the timing of starvation, signal sensing efficiency and aggregation efficiency. In addition, interactions between clones in mixtures of non-isogenic cells affect the partitioning of each clone into both fractions. We further build a numerical model to test the evolutionary significance of the non-aggregating cell fraction. The partitioning of cells into aggregating and non-aggregating fractions is optimal in fluctuating environments with an unpredictable duration of starvation periods. Our study highlights the unicellular component of the response of social amoebae to starvation, and thus extends its evolutionary and ecological framework.



- 1 Richard Gomer, Texas A&M University USA
- 2 Paul Rainey, Massey University New Zealand

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Clément Nizak (clement.nizak@espci.fr)

How to cite this article: Dubravcic D, van Baalen M and Nizak C. An evolutionarily significant unicellular strategy in response to starvation in *Dictyostelium* social amoebae [v2; ref status: indexed, http://f1000r.es/4kb] *F1000Research* 2014, **3**:133 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.4218.2)

Copyright: © 2014 Dubravcic D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information: DD is the recipient of a PhD fellowship from the AXA Research Foundation at the Frontières du Vivant PhD program.

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First published: 24 Jun 2014, 3:133 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.4218.1) First indexed: 18 Aug 2014, 3:133 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.4218.1)

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

- We have corrected our abstract and conclusions in the discussion section concerning the implications of our results regarding a bet-hedging strategy in *Dictyostelium* populations. Our text now clearly states that our results strongly suggest such a strategy but do not demonstrate it formally.
- We have clarified the paragraph concerning genetic factors that affect population partitioning. Our previous version was entitled "genetics of population partitioning..." which led to confusions since "genetics" refers traditionally to a genetic analysis through a screen to isolate new mutants and corresponding genomic mutations, which we have not performed. Rather, our study demonstrates that genetic factors do affect the partitioning process (using already well-known mutants isolated by others), and we further show that different genetic clones affect each other's partitioning in mixtures. We have corrected the paragraph title as well as a few sentences to cover these two kinds of results without employing the term "genetics". We believe the formulation is now precise, unambiguous, and clearly explains the meaning, implications and importance of this set of results
- We have removed anthropomorphic terms in one paragraph at the end of the discussion section, as suggested by Prof. Paul Rainey. We agree that these terms when used to qualify amoebae, although often employed in the field, may cause misinterpretations of results. We have kept these terms (between quotation marks) in the next paragraph that connects our results with game theory concepts because there is no possible confusion in the context of this type of mathematical theory and models.
- We have added the number of performed experiments in figure legends next to the error bar definition (the raw data already contained all these details).
- We have corrected a few typos, added one reference and removed the word 'stress' from the title.

See referee reports

Introduction

Every organism has a set of optimal conditions that maximizes its fitness (growth, reproduction and survival). Yet, environments typically deviate from these conditions. In some cases individuals can adapt to changes by sensing the environment and modifying their phenotypes accordingly, which is known as phenotypic plasticity¹. However, if the sensing mechanism is too costly, phenotypic plasticity may not be optimal even in the presence of environmental variation. Differentiation on a stochastic basis into different phenotypic states adapted to different environments, also known as risk spreading or bet hedging, has also been proposed as an adaptation to environmental variation²⁻⁶. Dormant states have often been described as such bet hedging strategies. Examples include plant seed dormancy⁷, arthropod diapauses⁸ and bacterial sporulation⁹. For entering and exiting the dormant state, cells or organisms depend on environmental cues. Yet, these cues are not always reliable indicators of the future environment. Therefore, in such unpredictable environments it pays off for a plant, for instance, to have its seeds germinating stochastically at different time scales to insure that at least some of them will germinate at the time that is beneficial for its growth⁷.

Here we focus on the dormancy of the cellular slime mold Dictyostelium discoideum as an adaptation to nutritional stress. D. discoideum amoebae live in soil where they feed on bacteria and divide mitotically. When starved, cells enter into the dormant social phase of the life cycle. Up to 10⁶ cells aggregate to form a multicellular organism that goes through a "slug" stage followed by the formation of a fruiting body. The slug is a motile, chemotactic and phototactic worm-like structure that senses and moves towards environments that are favorable for dispersion, germination and cell proliferation. The fruiting body is a sessile mushroom-like structure with the spore mass sitting on top of a stalk. Dormant spores can survive for months in the absence of food, and germinate into single cells upon dispersion towards nutritive areas. The stalk lifts the spores from the ground, which helps spore dispersion. Cells in the stalk, which represent $\sim 20\%$ of the total cell population, die owing to the metabolic cost of making up the stalk¹⁰.

Its social behavior has made *D. discoideum* a very popular system for studying altruism, cheating and cooperation^{11,12}, but not all aspects of its population-level adaptation to stress have been studied. Our main motivation was to study a previously known but neglected fact that not all cells aggregate upon starvation¹³. We have thus revisited the *D. discoideum* population-level response to nutritional stress by focusing on the aggregation stage. Incomplete aggregation may have significant evolutionary consequences. Aggregation is costly due to the death of stalk-forming cells and the arrest of cell division during fruiting body formation, which is an irreversible process¹⁴. Cells that do not aggregate do not pay these costs and may have the advantage of resuming growth immediately upon arrival of new nutrients. If conditions improve quickly, non-aggregating cells thus may have an important adaptive advantage.

In this study we present the first attempt to describe the D. discoideum response to starvation as a functional partitioning into two states: aggregating and non-aggregating. We focus on two major points: (i) establishing the phenotypic and genotypic sources of population partitioning and (ii) assessing the evolutionary significance of such partitioning. In microbial systems, cell states such as cell cycle phase, nutritional state or age are sources of phenotypic heterogeneities^{9,15}. Besides, different genetic backgrounds could give rise to different degrees of heterogeneity, giving insights into underlying molecular mechanisms. Here we develop a new technique based on quantitative live cell microscopy to analyze the effects of cell nutritional state, genetic background and environmental organization on population partitioning between aggregating and non-aggregating cells. In addition, we propose a model based on experimentally determined parameters to illustrate the potential evolutionary significance of population partitioning in fluctuating environments.

Materials and methods

D. discoideum strains and culture

D. discoideum axenic strains used in the study were AX3 (Dictybase ID: DBS0235545), DH1 (Dictybase ID: DBS0302388), *phg2* (Dictybase ID: DBS0302388), *pdsA* (Dictybase ID: DBS0237030), and *carA* (Dictybase ID: DBS0236438). All the strains were cultured in autoclaved HL5 medium (per L, 5 g proteose peptone, 5 g thiotone E peptone, 5 g yeast extract, from USBIO, 10 g glucose, 0.35 g

Na₂HPO₄*7H₂O, 0.35 g KH₂PO₄ from Sigma-Aldrich, pH=6.7) at 22°C if not mentioned otherwise. In experiments on nutritional effect we used: FM minimal medium (Formedium), NS (per L, 15.2 g peptone, 7.6 g yeast extract, from USBIO, 5mg Na₂HPO₄, 5mg KH₂PO₄, from Sigma-Aldrich, pH=6.7) and NS with 85mM glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) added after autoclaving¹⁵. The bacterial species used as the nutritional source in our study was *Klebsiella aerogenes*. Heat killed bacterial cultures were prepared by centrifuging 50mL of overnight LB cultures at 4°C, 5000 g for 10min and diluting the pellet in 1mL KK2 buffer (per L, 22 g KH₂PO₄, 7.0 g K₂HPO₄, Sigma-Aldrich). The suspension was incubated for 20min at 80°C and stored at -20°C.

GFP and RFP-expressing cell lines

GFP and RFP-expressing cell lines were obtained by transforming cells with pTX-GFP (Dictybase ID: 11)17 or pTX-RFP (Dictybase ID: 112) plasmids using a standard electroporation procedure. Cells were grown in 75cm² flasks until dense but not confluent (usually 1 day before confluency). The medium was changed 4-6h before transformation. For transformation cells were re-suspended in 10mL of ice-cold HL5 and kept on ice for 30min. Cells were centrifuged for 5min, 500 g at 4°C. Supernatant was re-suspended in 800µl of electroporation buffer and transferred into ice cold 4mm electroporation cuvettes containing 30µg of plasmid DNA. Cells were electroporated at 0.85 kV and 25 mF twice, waiting for 5 s between pulses. Cells were transferred from the cuvette to 75cm² flask with HL5. The next day, transformants were selected with 5µg/ml G418 (Sigma-Aldrich). The concentration of G418 was gradually increased to 20µg/ml G418 over 1-2 weeks. Transformed strains were maintained at this concentration of G418, yielding GFP and RFP-expressing cell lines that were analyzed by flow cytometry on a Becton-Dickinson LSRII analyzer to confirm their unimodal cellular fluorescence distribution (>99% of fluorescent cells upon analysis of 10⁶ cells, see Supplementary Figure S6).

Starvation protocols

Cells were subjected to two different starvation conditions: sudden and gradual starvation. For each condition measurement was repeated 4–11 times (see Raw data for further details, each measurement is an independent experiment).

Sudden starvation: If not mentioned otherwise, sudden starvation was used as a standard plating protocol: When confluent the cell medium with antibiotics was replaced with an antibiotic free medium. After 4–6h cells were washed out of the nutrient medium and centrifuged in KK2 buffer at 500g for 5 min. The pellet was re-suspended in KK2 buffer to the concentration of 1×10^5 cells/µL. For the density dependent aggregation experiment cells were re-suspended to the concentration of 1×10^3 , 1×10^4 , 5×10^4 , 1×10^5 or $5-7.5\times10^5$ cells/µL. Green and red fluorescent cells were mixed in ratios indicated in Image analysis section. 30μ l of suspension was plated on 6cm plates filled with 2mL of 2% Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich) as previously described¹⁸. In the case of pairwise mixtures, strains grown in different media or genetically different strains, the ratio of two strains was 1:1.

Gradual starvation was induced in liquid cultures and on bacterial plates.

Gradual starvation in liquid: the cells were collected 1–2 days after reaching confluency in HL5. Cell washing and plating was done as in sudden starvation experiment described above.

Gradual starvation on bacterial plates: another way of slowly starving the cells is to plate them with bacteria and to let them deplete the food source as in natural conditions. Two types of plating were done: homogenous and heterogeneous plating. In both cases RFP-expressing AX3 and GFP-expressing AX3 cells were grown in HL5 medium with 20µg/mL G418. When confluent, cells were re-suspended in KK2 buffer and centrifuged at 500 g for 5min. The cell pellet was re-suspended in KK2 to the concentration of 1×10^5 cells/µL. Green and red fluorescent cells were mixed in ratios indicated in Image analysis section. For heterogeneous plating 200µL of heat-killed bacteria was mixed with 100µl of cell suspension. The mixture was spread on a 6cm plate with 2mL of 2% Phytagel (Sigma-Aldrich). This gave rise to heterogeneous distribution of cells and bacteria (Supplementary Figure S2). For homogenous plating 200µl of heat-killed bacteria were mixed with 100µl of cell suspension. A 100µl drop was plated on a 6cm plate with 2ml of 2% Phytagel and let to dry under the sterile hood. This gave a very homogeneous cell distribution (Supplementary Figure S2). In both cases, cells fed for ~8h on heat-killed bacteria before the beginning of starvation, and thus divided at most twice after plating. The density of cells at the onset of starvation (measured via a similar method as the one for measuring the non-aggregating cell fraction, see below) was comparable to that of cells processed according to the sudden starvation protocol.

Time-lapse microscopy

The 6cm diameter Petri dish was imaged on an automated inverted microscope setup duplicated from a previous study¹⁹. The setup was made of: OlympusIX70 inverted microscope, Photometrics Cool-SNAP HQ² CCD camera, Zeiss HBO 100 microscope illuminating system, Thorlabs SH05 shutter, Thorlabs TSC001 shutter controller, and 2.5×-5×-10×-20× objectives (5× was used for all experiments shown here). Images were acquired in WinView/32 and the whole setup was controlled by custom-made Visual Basic software. The setup allows Petri dish scanning at regular time intervals (typically 1h), with phase contrast and fluorescence image acquisition at all time points (at 100ms and 1s exposure times respectively). A mosaic image is reconstructed by combining all the images of contiguous areas of the Petri dish at a given time point by a custom-made macro using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/).

Image analysis

Mixing a small percentage of red fluorescent cells in a population of green fluorescent cells allowed us to get the image of single cells as single red fluorescent dots (Figure 1). We also confirmed that the reciprocal mixing of a minority of GFP-expressing cells with a majority of RFP-expressing cells yields the same results. We optimized the red to green cell ratios depending on plated cell density. For experiments with 1×10^5 and $5-7.5\times10^5$ cells/µL, 0.25-0.5% of RFP cells were mixed with 99.5–99.75\% GFP cells. For 1×10^4 cells/µL 1% of RFP cells were used and for 1×10^3 cells/µL 2% RFP cells were used. For pairwise mixtures the ratio was made as following: 50% of strain A in GFP was mixed with 49.75\% of strain B in GFP and 0.25% of strain B in RFP in order to monitor the behavior of



Figure 1. Upon starvation, a *D. discoideum* population partitions into aggregating and non-aggregating cells. AX3 cells were plated on nutrient free-agar and imaged before (**A**, **B**) and after (**C**, **D**) aggregation. **A** and **C** are phase contrast images, **B** and **D** are red fluorescence images. In **B** and **D**, 0.25% of AX3 RFP cells appear as single dots within a population of AX3 GFP cells. The percentage of non-aggregating cells was estimated as the ratio of dots counted outside aggregates after aggregation and dots counted before aggregation.

strain B in a A:B mixture. Images were acquired by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy. All the images were analyzed using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) using custom-made macros (see Data Set). The analysis consisted in counting fluorescent dots before and after aggregation. For each experiment 1000–10 000 dots/cells were monitored. Dead cells were excluded from counting by looking at cell displacement as an indicator of cell viability. Two fluorescent images taken 1–2h apart were overlapped and cells that showed no displacement were counted and subtracted from the overall non-aggregating population.

The density of red dots (RFP-expressing cells) was used to estimate cell density at the onset of starvation in all experiments. Cell density was comparable at the onset of starvation for all starvation protocols used.

Spore germination

Spore formation was induced by separately plating AX3 RFP and AX3 GFP cells on nutrient-free Phytagel plates. Once fruiting bodies had formed spores were picked using 1ml pipette tips and re-suspended at high density in 5m liquid HL5 with 70µL of dead bacteria culture. Presence of bacteria helped to induce spore germination. When the culture of germinated spores reached confluency (15–20h after plating) cells were washed of bacteria in ice cold KK2 and plated according to the Sudden starvation protocol.

Model

The model represents the *D. discoideum* life cycle with alternating growth and starvation periods of variable duration. During the growth phase the population grows according to a logistic Equation (1) with growth rate λ and carrying capacity $K = N_{max}$,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \lambda N \left(1 - \frac{N}{K} \right) \tag{1}$$

We assume that the growth phase lasts sufficiently long for the population to have reached maximum density *K* when the food eventually runs out and a starvation period *T* sets in. The population then splits into an aggregating $(N_{agg} = \alpha N)$ and a non-aggregating $(N_{non-agg} = (1-\alpha) N)$ fraction according to the aggregation factor α . Aggregating cells subsequently differentiate into spore and stalk cells with the proportion of spore cells given by sporulation efficiency *s*, so $N_{spores} = sN_{agg}$. We assume the process of aggregation is very quick relative to the duration of the growth and starvation periods. During the starvation period spores are dormant; their growth and mortality rate are assumed to be zero. When conditions become favorable again, spores germinate with germination efficiency *g* and start dividing, but only after a fixed and non-negligible development time *D*. During the starvation period the non-aggregating cells do not divide and are subjected to mortality with instantaneous mortality rate μ , so that their dynamics are governed by

$$\frac{dN_{\rm non-agg}}{dt} = -\mu N_{\rm non-agg}$$

The advantage that non-aggregating cells have is a head start when conditions improve, as spores produced by aggregating cells need time to develop from the point they irreversibly commit to fruiting body formation (20h) and to subsequently germinate (3h–6h). By the time the latter start growing, the descendants of the non-aggregating cells may have the opportunity to use up a sizable portion of the resources that have become available. Here, we assume that spore germination is limited by the remaining carrying capacity.

As a first step in understanding the relative benefits of aggregation and non-aggregation consider the fates of cells of either type at the moment starvation sets in. A non-aggregating cell stops reproducing but is subject to mortality so when conditions become favourable again, *T* time units later, it has a probability $e^{\mu T}$ of surviving the starvation period. Working out the fate of aggregating cells is simple: it has a probability *sg* of becoming a germinating spore when conditions improve. An aggregating cell thus has a fitness equivalent of

$$W_{agg} = sg$$

As discussed, germination involves a time cost: during a time D its surviving non-aggregating competitors can start reproducing, giving the latter an extra reproduction bonus (a period of logistic growth), giving a fitness equivalent of

$$W_{\text{non-agg}} = e^{-\mu T} \frac{e^{\lambda D}}{1 + \frac{n_0}{K} (e^{\lambda D} - 1)}$$

where n_0 is the number of surviving non-aggregating cells.

The expected fitness (descendants by the time conditions improve) of a cell that has a propensity α to aggregate can thus be expressed as

$$W = \alpha W_{aso} + (1 - \alpha) W_{non-aso}$$

This result suggests that (if the duration of the starvation period is fixed) it is either profitable to join an aggregation (if $W_{agg} > W_{non-agg}$) or to stay solitary (if $W_{agg} < W_{non-agg}$): a phenotype-switching strategy is not favored. However, this result does not take into account the frequency dependence that acts on the fitness of non-aggregating cells. That is, if many cells aggregate the number of surviving nonaggregating cells (n_0) will be low, boosting the profitability of remaining solitary. If many cells remain solitary, on the other hand, n_0 will be high, reducing the profitability of remaining solitary. Whether this frequency dependence results in population heterogeneity cannot be stated right away and other methods are necessary. The same is true when the environment, and in particular the starvation period *T*, is variable and unpredictable.

In order to study potential benefits of producing both aggregating and non-aggregating cells, strains with different aggregation factors α were put in competition using a multistrain variant of the abovedescribed model. The population is made of *i* strains each with α =0 (all cells aggregate), 0.1, 0.2, ... 1 (none of the cells aggregate). All strains had the same growth rate $\lambda = 0.38$, mortality rate $\mu = 0.002$ for t \leq 168h (7 days), after 7 days all cells die, $\mu = 0$, sporulation efficiency s = 0.8 and germination efficiency g = 0.63. All values are based on experimental measurements (Materials and Methods in Supplementary materials). Two-step mortality function is an approximation based on our unpublished results and previous studies^{20,21}. The precise shape of this function had no significant effect on our main observations and conclusions. Competition was carried out in two types of conditions, either constant or varying starvation periods T. In the case of varying starvation periods, the duration of starvation was randomly chosen from a uniform distribution U(x,y) at the end of every growth period. Population size was taken as an estimate of strain fitness. At the end of every growth cycle, the number of alive and growing individuals N(t) is plotted. In the case of varying starvation periods, the geometric mean over 100 simulations is plotted.

Aggregation vs. nonaggregation strategies in *Dictyostelium discoideum* amoebae in response to starvation stress: raw data

17 Data Files

http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1052997

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in R. Significant difference between the samples was calculated using Welch two sample t test function in R (t.test(x,y)). To test among groups differences we used one-way ANOVA test in R, using oneway.test() function. When only p value is indicated it means that a t-test was performed, when p and F values are indicated ANOVA was performed. p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results

When we plated a population of genetically identical axenic wildtype AX3 cells of D. discoideum on nutrient-free substrates at a 10^4 – 10^7 cells/cm² density range²², we observed that some cells aggregate while others remain outside of aggregates (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S1). A possible explanation is that the cells that did not aggregate are simply dead cells. However, the observation that non-aggregating cells are actively moving live cells that are intermixed with aggregating cells at the onset of starvation (Movie S1 in the Data Set below) rules out this possibility. It could also be that these non-aggregating cells have acquired a mutation that prevents aggregation. As we will detail further, this possibility can be ruled out by showing that the progeny of spores are partially nonaggregating and reciprocally that the progeny of non-aggregating cells aggregate upon starvation. Another explanation may be that partial aggregation is an artifact of a laboratory-adapted axenic strain that is not found in natural isolates, but in Supplementary Figure S2 we show that similar partitioning is found in natural isolates. Partitioning into aggregating and non-aggregating cells is therefore a process that occurs in both axenic strains and isolates of social amoebae from the wild. The non-aggregating cells we report here are clearly distinct from cells left in slug traces²³ since the former never aggregate as we have shown in Movie S1. For the same reason, non-aggregating cells are also clearly distinct from the immune-like cells identified in a previous study²⁴. The motility of the non-aggregating single cells we observe also rules out the possibility that these cells are sporulating without aggregating, as in single cell encystation that has been reported for other Dictvos*telium* species but not so far in *D. discoideum*¹⁰.

To quantitatively analyze this process, we have developed a technique to track single cell behavior at each time point of the life

cycle. Inspired by studies of cell motion within aggregates²⁵, a small proportion (0.25%-2%) of RFP-expressing reporting cells was mixed with GFP-expressing cells, and RFP cells were tracked (see Materials and methods). In the red fluorescence image single RFP cells appear as single red dots surrounded by undistinguishable GFP cells (Figure 1B). Since cell division ceases during starvation, tracking RFP-expressing single cells allowed us to determine the relative numbers of aggregating vs. non-aggregating cells, and thus to quantitatively describe the population partitioning into aggregating and non-aggregating cells. Previous techniques based on counting cells at the onset of starvation with a hemocytometer and germinating/colony-forming spores provide only indirect estimation of the numbers of stalk cells, non-aggregating cells, or non-germinating spores. In contrast, our strategy provides a direct estimation of the numbers of cells at the onset of starvation and aggregating vs. nonaggregating cells. Our automated microscopy setup is similar to the one used in a previous study of large scale population spatial structure at the single cell resolution¹⁹. We scan and image by phase contrast and fluorescence microscopy an area of 5cm² every 10min for 24h, allowing us to record the dynamics of the response of large populations (millions of cells) at the single cell resolution.

Phenotypic plasticity affects population partitioning

Using our set-up, we found that when cells of the AX3 wild-type axenic strain are grown in liquid rich medium (HL5) and subsequently plated on nutrient-free substrate, 2.51±0.6% of the population does not aggregate. This standard starvation protocol involves the sudden transition from exponential growth in rich medium to starvation on nutrient-free agar. However, in natural conditions starvation is probably much more gradual. We analyzed how different starvation processes can affect population partitioning (Figure 2A) at the same cell density range at the onset of starvation. We compared

(i) suddenly starved exponentially growing cells, (ii) starved stationary phase cells (1–2 days after confluency), and (iii) cells grown on bacterial plates that slowly deplete the food source, the latter being the most realistic starvation process with respect to natural conditions. While stationary phase cells show no significant difference compared to exponentially growing cells, cells feeding on a homogenous bacterial lawn (see Methods) and thus gradually starving showed a 3-fold increase in the proportion of non-aggregating cells, $6.3\pm3.17\%$ (p=0.027).

Gradual starvation on bacterial plates most likely increases heterogeneities in comparison with standard starvation protocols. We supposed that this was due to cell-to-cell differences in the timing of starvation. Some cells would start aggregating while others were not yet fully starved and therefore less sensitive (or not at all) to the aggregation signal. Increasing further heterogeneities during cell plating should thus increase further the non-aggregating cell fraction. This is indeed the case when a heterogeneous bacterial lawn (see Methods) is used as a food source, where the fraction of nonaggregating cells increases to 13%±1.79% (p=0.004). A possible explanation is that highly heterogeneous cell plating creates areas with different cell densities within a lawn of bacteria (Supplementary Figure S3C, D). Areas with high cell densities deplete bacteria faster and start starving and aggregating quicker, while cells in low cell density areas still have nutrients surrounding them and are not sensitive to the aggregation signal when the former sense starvation. In homogenous bacterial lawns, cells and bacteria are evenly distributed favoring more homogenous and synchronous onset of starvation across the population (Supplementary Figure S3). We hypothesized that differences at the onset of starvation result in a cell fate bias towards one phenotype or the other (as previously proposed in the case of stalk vs. spore differentiation in aggregates²⁶).



Figure 2. Starvation conditions, nutritional state and population partitioning. The percentage of non-aggregated cells (at initial density 3×10^6 cells/cm²) was measured for different initial cell states. **A**) Effect of starvation conditions. AX3 RFP and GFP cells were starved suddenly at exponential phase or at stationary phase, or gradually on homogenous bacterial lawns or on heterogeneous bacterial lawns. Gradually starved cells aggregate less than cells submitted to standard but less realistic sudden starvation protocols. **B**) Effect of nutritional state. AX3 cells were grown on HL5 rich medium, FM minimal medium, NS with 85mM Glucose (NS Glu) or NS medium, and subsequently plated on nutrient-free agar. Cells in the lowest nutritional state (FM) aggregate significantly less than cells fed with rich medium. **C**) Interactions between cells in different nutritional states. AX3 cells grown on HL5 or FM were plated either on their own or in 1:1 mixtures on nutrient-free agar (HL5inFM = HL5 cells monitored in 1:1 mixtures, and FMinHL5 = FM cells monitored in 1:1 mixtures with HL5-grown cells, FM-grown cells aggregate even less than on their own, while HL5-grown cells aggregate equally well in the presence of FM-grown cells are population. Note that the non-aggregating cell fraction of the global mixed population is higher than that of either pure population. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation (5≤n≤11). * represents p<0.05. ** represents p<0.01 (horizontal bars indicate the corresponding pairs of statistical samples).

To analyze these effects in the most reproducible and controllable manner, all following experiments were performed following the standard sudden starvation protocol (plating on nutrient-free agar) applied to cells grown in various well-defined conditions, with known genetic backgrounds, mixed at precise ratios and plated at controlled cell densities.

Nutritional state is known to affect whether a cell will become a spore or a stalk²⁷. Cells grown on rich medium (NS medium with 85mM glucose) are enriched in spores while cells grown in poorer medium (NS medium lacking glucose) are enriched in the stalk (which we have also observed, see Supplementary Figure S5). We thus asked whether nutritional state is a main determinant of the aggregating and non-aggregating dichotomy. We grew AX3 cells in media differing in nutrient content and analyzed whether they are differentially enriched in the non-aggregating state (Figure 2B). Four different media that have extensively been used to culture Dictyostelium cells and manipulate their nutritional status were tested: on the one hand HL5 rich medium, FM minimal medium, on the other hand NS medium with 85mM glucose (NS Glu) and plain NS medium. AX3 cells grown on FM minimal medium showed a significant two-fold increase in the fraction of non-aggregating cells, $5.85 \pm 1.9\%$ (p<0.01), with respect to HL5-grown cells (2.51±0.6%). In addition, cells grown on NS Glu showed a small but significant decrease in non-aggregating cells (1.47±0.31%, p<0.01) compared to HL5 grown cells (2.51±0.6%). However, we observed that cells grown in NS medium did not differ from cells grown in NS Glu in terms of non-aggregating cell fraction, making the role of glucose difficult to interpret. In this respect, it will be interesting to systematically modify a defined medium composition (such as that of FM medium) to point out particular types of nutrients, or ratios of nutrient concentrations, that influence population partitioning.

Cells in different nutritional states have different aggregation rates on their own. We next examined how cells in different nutritional states interact in mixtures in order to analyze how introducing population nutritional state heterogeneity affects population partitioning. Pairwise mixtures of FM-grown cells with HL5-grown cells and NS-grown cells with NS Glu-grown cells were tested. Cells grown in NS or NS Glu that did not differ when alone showed no difference in behavior when in mixtures (Supplementary Figure S4) (F=1.54, p=0.27). On the other hand cells grown in FM were enriched 3 times more in the non-aggregating cell fraction when in mixture with HL5-grown cells, 15.4±7.12%, than on their own, 5.85% (Figure 2C). HL5-grown cells aggregated equally well when in mixture with FM-grown cells or not. As a control we monitored contribution to spores for both mixtures. As previously shown, cells grown in rich medium were enriched in spores in both NS Glu:NS and HL5:FM mixtures (Supplementary Figure S5).

We conclude that nutritional state distinguishes non-aggregating cells from aggregating cells, with better fed cells aggregating more efficiently, and that interactions between cells according to their nutritional state biases further partitioning between aggregating and non-aggregating cell fates. Moreover, the 1:1 mixed population of cells having different nutritional status showed a higher fraction of non-aggregating cells than the average of both populations. This is consistent with our data obtained with populations grown on heterogeneous food source showing a higher proportion of nonaggregating cells. Cells grown on low nutrient medium have higher chances of becoming non-aggregated cells than cells grown on rich medium. The fact that NS-grown cells displayed the same behavior as NS Glu-grown and HL5-grown cells is probably because cells were relatively well fed in all three cases and not much affected by the absence of glucose¹⁶. On the other hand FM-grown cells showed smaller cell size, slower growth and lower inner cell density indicating that they were affected by growth in poor medium (our unpublished observation). We can speculate that poorly fed FMgrown cells have low energy reserves, and that they consequently invest less into energetically costly multicellular development and thus aggregate less. The fact that, in mixtures with HL5-grown cells, FM-grown cells showed an even lower rate of aggregation indicates the effect of cell-cell interactions during aggregation. No difference in the timing of aggregation was seen between FM- and HL5-grown cells. Therefore, cell nutritional state rather than aggregation timing was the cause of the differences in the fraction of non-aggregating cells.

Genetic factors affect population partitioning

After exploring nutritional state effects, we tested whether different genetic backgrounds can lead to different population partitioning. In Figure 3A we show that two axenic strains, DH1 and AX3, significantly differ in the fraction of non-aggregating cells (p=0.0008). The DH1 strain showed 13.4% \pm 2.8% of non-aggregating cells, which is five times higher than for the AX3 strain (2.5% \pm 0.6%). This shows that the non-aggregating cell fraction depends on the genetic background and varies significantly between axenic wild-type strains.

Following these results, we explored which genetic factors may affect the cell propensity for aggregating or non-aggregating fates. For this, we first tested strains with single gene mutations in aggregation pathways. We used two mutants defective in signal sensing: 1) carA, a mutant in cAMP receptor protein cAR1, which is essential for binding the chemo-attractant cAMP and 2) pdsA, a mutant in cAMP-phosphodiesterase (PDE), which removes cAMP from its cAR1 receptor making it sensitive again to the aggregation signal¹⁰. Our results confirmed the previously reported result that when plated on nutrient-free agar, both strains showed no aggregation at all (Figure 3A)^{28,29}. This shows how single gene mutations may have a drastic effect on population partitioning. It is known that the presence of wild-type cells can rescue the non-aggregating pdsA phenotype (non-cell autonomous)³⁰. Our technique allows the quantification of aggregation efficiency of mutant and wild-type cells in mixtures. We thus varied the ratio of wild-type cells (AX3 or DH1) in mixtures with mutant pdsA cells from 10% to 90% and quantified how it affects aggregation of pdsA mutant and wild type strains. For both DH1: pdsA and AX3: pdsA mixtures, increasing the ratio of wild type cells decreased the proportion of pdsA nonaggregating cells (Figure 3B-D). Aggregation rescue of mutant cells came at a cost for the DH1 strain; the fraction of non-aggregating cells for DH1 increased in mixtures with pdsA (Figure 3C, D). In AX3: pdsA mixtures, AX3 cells aggregated as much as when on their own and pdsA cells aggregated more than when on their own (Figure 3B, D), suggesting that AX3 produces more PDE protein than DH1. More generally, we propose that expression levels of cAMPphosphodiesterase may tune the non-aggregated cell fraction. Low



Figure 3. Genetic factors affect population partitioning. The percentage of non-aggregated cells (at initial density 3×10^6 cells/cm²) was measured for genetically different wild-type strains (AX3 and DH1) and single-gene mutants (*phg2*, *pdsA*, *carA*) alone (**A**), and in mixtures between wild-type and single-gene mutant strains: mixtures of *pdsA* with AX3 (**B**) or DH1 (**C**), and mixtures of *phg2* with AX3 (**E**) or DH1 (**F**)., varying the percentage of mutant cells in mixtures. Wild-type DH1 cells aggregate less than wild-type AX3 cells (**A**). *phg2* mutant cells aggregate as well as their parent DH1 strain cells, while *pdsA* and *carA* cells do not aggregate on their own (**A**). The presence of AX3 or DH1 cells rescues *pdsA* cell aggregation (**B**–**D**). In turn, DH1 cells aggregate less than on their own when increasing the percentage of *pdsA* cells in DH1: *pdsA* mixtures, while AX3 cells aggregate as well as on their own in the presence of *pdsA* cells (**B**–**D**). *phg2* cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* mixtures, while AX3 cells aggregate as well as on their own in the presence of *pdsA* cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* mixtures, while AX3 cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* mixtures, while AX3 cells aggregate less than on their own in DH1: *phg2* mixtures, while AX3 cells aggregate as well as on their own in the presence of *phg2* cells (**E**–**G**). The non-aggregating cell fraction of the global mixed DH1: *phg2* or AX3: *phg2* population is higher than that of either pure populations, respectively DH1 and *phg2*, or AX3 and *phg2*. Overall, cell genotype determines the fraction of aggregating cells, and cells of different genotypes affect each other's non-aggregating cell fraction in mixtures. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation. * represents p<0.05 (2≤n≤9). *** represents p<0.001 (horizontal bars indicate the corresponding

concentration of cAMP-phosphodiesterase would increase the fraction of non-aggregating cells.

We found that differences in starvation sensing affect the partitioning between aggregating and non-aggregating fractions (Figure 2A). The phg2 mutant strain has been shown to have early onset of starvation compared to its parental strain DH1 due to a higher nutrient starvation sensing threshold³¹. We used this single gene mutant to test the effect of the nutrition starvation sensing threshold on partitioning. In addition, the phg2 gene codes for a serine/threonine kinase regulating cell substrate adhesion, actin cytoskeleton organization and motility³². When tested alone, phg2 produced a similar fraction of non-aggregated cells when compared to its parental strain DH1, 12.6%±4.3% (p=0.7). We further tested the behavior of phg2 in 1:1 mixtures with wild-type strains DH1 and AX3. Mixing at 1:1 led to an increase of the non-aggregating cell fraction for phg2 and its DH1 parent (Figure 3F, G), while AX3 aggregated equally well as when on its own (Figure 3E, G). This once more demonstrates that in mixtures, strains mutually affect each other's non-aggregating cell fractions. Indeed, the phg2 mutant aggregates less in 1:1 mixtures with wild-type cells than on its own, even in mixtures with its parent DH1 wild-type strain that has a similar aggregation fraction on its own. Moreover, in 1:1 mixtures of phg2 with DH1 or AX3, the global mixed population shows a significant increase in the fraction of non-aggregating cells with respect to both pure populations. This is again reminiscent of our previous results that population heterogeneities in terms of nutritional state (cells

grown on heterogeneous bacterial lawns, or on mixtures of cells grown on HL5 vs. FM) increase the non-aggregation fraction of the global population. In addition to starvation sensing, the dys-functional cytoskeleton organization and motility of the phg2 strain could explain the lower propensity of phg2 cells for aggregation.

Overall, genotypes determine the non-aggregating cell fraction in isogenic populations, and different genotypes affect each other's non-aggregating cell fraction in non-isogenic populations, with an apparent increase in the global non-aggregating cell fraction with respect to the corresponding isogenic populations.

Cell history and cell fate

We further tested whether non-aggregation is due to a stable mutation or a stochastic switch affecting aggregation vs non-aggregation. Can the same population partitioning be reproduced by starting from only aggregating or only non-aggregating cells? Answering this question allows us to: i) rule out any stable genetic differences between aggregating and non-aggregating cells (while a genetic switch remains a possibility) and ii) examine the inheritance of cell fate. When non-aggregating cells are *de novo* fed with bacteria, they resume growth on new nutrients (see below) until they are exhausted and finally aggregate upon starvation (Figure 4A–C and Movie S2). This shows that non-aggregating cells are not mutant cells that cannot aggregate, but rather cells that are not responding to the aggregation signal at a given time point. Further on in Figure 4D we show that a population of germinated spore cells dividing





Figure 4. Cells switch between aggregating and non-aggregating fates. A–C Non-aggregating cells have not stably lost the ability to aggregate. A) After the completion of aggregation and formation of fruiting bodies (white arrows), bacteria were added to areas with non-aggregating cells (black arrows). Non-aggregating cells grow and divide on fresh nutrients (see Figure 5). Once bacteria are consumed, the descendants of non-aggregating cells aggregate (B) and develop into a fruiting body (C). D) A population of germinated spores re-partitions into aggregating and non-aggregating cells upon starvation. A population of spores was germinated and grown on bacteria for 3–5 cell divisions. When this population is plated on a nutrient-free substrate it partitions into aggregating and non-aggregating cells with the same proportions as populations of exponentially growing cells submitted to starvation. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation ($4\leq n\leq 7$).

3 to 5 times upon germination partitions into aggregating and non-aggregating cells with the same fractions as a population of exponentially growing cells. This demonstrates the strong persistence of population partitioning and the fast loss of cell fate memory. Molecular mechanisms involved in this process may be either an epigenetic or a genetic switch.

Individual-level costs and benefits of the non-aggregating cell fraction

We have shown that upon starvation *D. discoideum* cell populations partition into cells that aggregate and cells that do not aggregate, and that non-genetic and genetic cell characteristics affect cell fates. We next analyze evolutionary consequences of this population partitioning. To do this we analyzed fitness costs and benefits of both phenotypes on individual and population levels.

Once in an aggregate a cell is irreversibly committed to the multicellular development program¹⁴. During the 24h duration of development, cells cannot divide even if nutrients become available. Therefore, if food becomes available during the developmental period, non-aggregating cells may have an advantage over aggregating cells by immediately resuming growth. We tested this by adding a bacterial suspension to a starving *D. discoideum* population during the course of development. At this point aggregates were at the slug stage and non-aggregating cells in their vicinity had direct access to food (Figure 5A). In Figure 5B and Movie S3 we show that





non-aggregating cells are capable of resuming cell division directly after arrival of nutrients, while slugs (formed of non-dividing aggregated cells) continue moving through the bacterial lawn and form fruiting bodies. Our observation is clearly distinct from previous reports describing the dedifferentiation and re-growth of cells from artificially disaggregated slugs put in contact with fresh nutrients³³ since non-aggregating cells do not originate from slugs and are therefore not differentiated into prespore or prestalk. We also observed that by the time fruiting bodies are formed, non-aggregating cells have already consumed a high amount of nutrients, which will probably affect spore fitness by limiting the resources available for spore germination and proliferation (Movie S3).

Non-aggregating cells are motile and do not seem to enter a dormant state like spores do, making them likely to be much less fit than spores during prolonged starvation. Previous studies have reported starvation-induced mortality curves showing that most cells survive for 4 to 7 days²⁰ (corroborated by our unpublished results). These studies demonstrated that, in the absence of food, cells survive through autophagy, degrading their own cytoplasmic components and organelles. Once cells have degraded most of the inner cell components and autophagy can no longer serve as a mode of survival, mortality rate increases and cells die within a day. Nonaggregating cells are expected to pay the same survival costs during long starvation periods.

Model: evolutionary framework

To test how phenotypic partitioning affects population fitness, we developed a mathematical model that mimics the D. discoideum life cycle. We asked whether particular non-aggregation rates are selected in fluctuating environments having different, constant or variable, starvation duration and frequency. The model was defined as follows. Not all cells aggregate (Figure 1), cells that do not aggregate die at a defined mortality rate²⁰ (and our unpublished results), non-aggregating cells are capable of resuming growth upon arrival of bacteria (Figure 5A and B, Movie S3); once in an aggregate cells do not divide and are committed to multicellular development until the end¹⁴. All the parameters used in the model, such as growth rate, sporulation efficiency and germination efficiency were measured experimentally (see Supplementary materials). Since aggregation is an adaptation to starvation and since the duration of starvation affects costs and benefits of each phenotype (mortality, growth), we tested how the duration of starvation determines the optimal nonaggregating rate.

We defined 11 strains differing in their non-aggregating cell fractions and calculated their geometric growth rate as a fitness measure. Investment into non-aggregating cells ranged from all cells aggregate (value 1) to none of the cells aggregate (value 0) and was fixed for each strain during the whole competition. For the sake of simplicity, we did not take into account interactions between strains that may increase or decrease aggregation rates, even though our experimental results demonstrated that such interactions do occur and that heterogeneities play a role. In Figure 6A and B we show that under constant starvation periods there are two stable strategies: no aggregation for starvation periods under seven days (168h), and complete aggregation for longer starvation periods. The switch point at 168h is due to the 100% mortality rate after this period. Use of different mortality rates and functions did not significantly change the results (the time period for each optimal strategy just shifted). Since natural environments are rarely constant, with only long or only short starvation periods, we tested competition in environments with fluctuating, long (>168h) and short (<168h) starvation periods. We find that population partitioning into both aggregating and non-aggregating cells gives the highest (geometric) fitness benefits in these fluctuating conditions (Figure 6C and 6D). The results also show that different fluctuations in starvation duration select for different non-aggregating rates. This is in agreement with other models and experiments that showed that optimal population response depends on the rate of environmental fluctuations^{2,3,34}.

Discussion

We report that upon starvation stress a population of *D. discoideum* amoebae partitions into the widely studied multicellular structures (consisting of live but dormant spores and dead stalk cells) and a fraction that remains unicellular (non-aggregating cells). We have measured the fraction of non-aggregating cells and found that it can amount to up to 15% of the total population in realistic starvation conditions. This is much higher than the 2-3% of non-aggregating cells that result in the standard sudden starvation protocols, and shows that it is important to mimic natural conditions. Non-aggregating cells are live (Supplementary Movie S1), non-stably mutated cells (Figure 4) that occur in both axenic strain and natural isolates (Supplementary Figure S2). We have thus demonstrated that the non-aggregating cell fraction in natural starvation conditions constitutes a significant component of the population-level starvation response, at least of the order of the stalk cell subpopulation. For our detailed analysis of genetic and non-genetic contributions, we have nevertheless employed the standard sudden starvation protocol to ensure full control over cell population composition and nutritional state, even though this protocol tends to minimize the non-aggregating cell fraction.

In isogenic populations, we show that partitioning depends on phenotypic heterogeneities linked to cell nutritional state. This is a previously reported determinant of the differentiation between spore and stalk cell fate in aggregates²⁷, together with intracellular Ca^{2+} levels³⁵ and cell cycle phase³⁶. Decreased aggregation in cells with low nutritional status correlates with lower investment into energetically costly aggregation. The nutritional state-dependent partitioning of the social amoebae population is reminiscent of previous studies reporting non-genetic population heterogeneities in *Escherichia coli* persistor strains³⁷, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* colony morphology³⁸, *Bacillus subtilis* sporulation⁹ and many others.

Different genetic backgrounds can give rise to different levels of heterogeneity^{9,15,26,39}, giving insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms. We demonstrate that genetically different wild-type strains show different non-aggregating cell fractions. This has important implications when drawing a parallel with natural conditions. Distinct genetic strains in nature may show different aggregation fractions leading to competition between different aggregation strategies, as we explore in our model in Figure 6. Further, our results on single-gene mutants underlie possible mechanistic differences between aggregated and non-aggregated cells. We propose that genetic factors that regulate the timing of starvation, signal



Figure 6. Population partitioning is advantageous in fluctuating environments. Our numerical model involves eleven strains with different fixed investments into non-aggregating cells that were competed under different starvation conditions. Strain investment into non-aggregating cells varies from 0 to 1, with 1 corresponding to complete aggregation and 0 to no aggregation. The duration of the starvation period was varied from <168h (**A**), >168h (**B**), randomly taken between 10h and 200h (**C**), randomly taken between 10h and 300h (**D**). For systematically long (>168h, **B**) and short (<168h, **A**) durations of starvation, strains with 100% aggregation and 0% aggregation take over respectively. For random starvation duration, a particular aggregation rate is selected, for instance 0.4 for 10h<T<200h (**C**) and 0.9 for 10h<T<300h (**D**), and thus the superimposition of both strategies is the optimal response.

sensing efficiency and aggregation efficiency largely determine whether a cell adopts the aggregating or non-aggregating phenotype. We confirm that *cAR1* and *pdsA* mutants (Figure 3A and 3B), which are deficient in signal sensing, clearly display non-aggregating cell fractions that differ from their parent strain. Differences in gene expression levels are a known source of phenotypic heterogeneities; *comK* in *B. subtilis* cell competence⁴⁰, *spoA* in *B. subtilis* sporulation⁹, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* FLO-dependent phenotype⁴¹. It would be very interesting to monitor the same for early developmental genes, expressed at the beginning of aggregation, to see if distinct expression levels correlate with aggregating and non-aggregating cell fates. Genes that control the efficiency of aggregation such as *cAR1* and *pdsA* are potential candidates.

Our results on interactions between mutant and wild type cells in mixtures show that partitioning of social amoebae populations is a complex process, and that competition between genotypes with different aggregation rates is non-linear. In other words, the behavior of strains in mixtures is not the mere linear superposition of their behaviors when on their own, which is reminiscent of the well-documented behavior of strains in mixtures during sporulation experiments^{42–44}. Importantly, even if certain mutants such as phg2(starvation sensing and motility mutant) do not display a fraction of non-aggregating cells that differs from their parent strain, the non-aggregating cell fraction of the global population may increase (Figure 3) as a result of heterogeneities as is the case of cells grown in heterogeneous conditions (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S3). We propose that population heterogeneities, due to both genetic and phenotypic causes, play a key quantitative role in population partitioning between unicellular and multicellular cell fates. The effects of nutrition status heterogeneities we report are reminiscent of the previously reported link between nutrition status, cell cycle or Ca²⁺ content heterogeneities and prespore vs. prestalk differentiation. In nature, social amoebae gradually deplete their food source and spatial distributions of genetic clones largely overlap, thus making both phenotypic and genetic heterogeneities realistic features of the unicellular vs. multicellular starvation response we describe, and hence reinforcing the ecological significance of our findings.

Different phenotypes are often associated with different fitness costs and benefits. In our case, dormant spores survive for months without nutrients but take advantage of incoming food with a delay in comparison to non-aggregating cells. This lag corresponds to the duration of multicellular development and germination, up to 30h or 8 times the single cell division time. Therefore, non-aggregating cells may divide up to 8 times when nutrients are present soon after the beginning of multicellular development, while aggregating sporulating cells do not divide until the end of germination (Figure 5). This confers a considerable evolutionary advantage to non-aggregating cells in such situations (2⁸=256-fold). Our model explores the long term, evolutionary consequences of these effects on the competition between clones with different aggregation rates in fluctuating environments. We find that the aggregation rate is under selection in fluctuating environments and that the optimal rate depends on fluctuations in starvation duration and frequency.

Strategies in which different phenotypes may show differential fitness advantages in different environments are often called bet hedging, and have been shown to be adaptive in fluctuating environments^{2-6,38}. In plants, the success of germination often depends on precipitation. Since rainfall is unpredictable and variable, the diversification of germination timings within season was predicted and demonstrated⁷. Similar examples include mosquito egg hatching⁴⁵, copepod egg diapause⁴⁶, phenotypic switching in S. cerevisiae³, persistor phenotype in E. coli³⁴ and many others⁷. B. subtilis behavior has the greatest resemblance to what we report in D. discoideum. Upon starvation the population of B. subtilis partitions into sporulating and non-sporulating cells. Non-sporulating vegetative cells postpone their sporulation by consuming secondary metabolites and cannibalizing each other, and have the advantage of immediate growth upon arrival of nutrients^{9,47}. In D. discoideum aggregation is required for sporulation. Since sporulation is beneficial only if the duration of starvation is long enough (Figure 6), and since cells cannot a priori sense the duration of starvation, population diversification should be the optimal response. This is exactly what we get with our model in Figure 6. We therefore propose the hypothesis that partitioning between non-aggregating and aggregating cells is a form of bet hedging in environments with unpredictable durations of starvation. Bet hedging behaviors result from switching between different phenotypes. Consistently with our hypothesis, we show that a population of only aggregating (spores) or only non-aggregating cells re-partitions into aggregating and non-aggregating cell fates upon starvation following re-growth for a couple of cell divisions.

Consequently, our results have implications for studies of cooperation that use social amoebae as a model system. Studies on mixtures of non-isogenic cells show that some genetic clones bias their ratio into spores^{48,49}. However, the behavior of a mixture of more than two clones going through a series of growth and sporulation cycles cannot be entirely explained based on biases observed in pairwise mixtures during one round of sporulation⁵⁰. The whole life cycle needs to be taken into account, as competition occurs between strains not only during sporulation within aggregates but also at other steps such as unicellular growth, with complex trade-offs^{51,52}. Here we characterize, in this respect, the aggregation step of the life cycle, and show that the previously neglected non-aggregating cell fraction constitutes a significant component of the population-level starvation response. This fraction is different for different genetic clones, it is at least of the order of the stalk cell subpopulation and interactions between clones do affect this fraction. Therefore, this additional unicellular cell fate needs to be taken into account when

defining a clone's behavior when alone and in mixtures. We propose to characterize amoebae behavior not only with respect to spore vs. stalk investment in aggregates but also with respect to aggregation vs. non-aggregation investment. This means that instead of classifying phenotypes as just stalk-biased and spore-biased we may find a much richer repertoire, involving high aggregation efficiency but low investment into stalk, low aggregation efficiency and high investment into stalk, and so forth.

Population partitioning can also be interpreted as probabilistic expression of 'social behavior' (here, aggregation). Genetic and non-genetic mechanisms may regulate the probability of a cell acquiring a social/aggregating phenotype. It has been shown that such probabilistic expressions of social phenotype may be strategies that play an important role in stabilizing 'cooperation'^{53,54}. The results presented here reinforce the notion that one should allow individuals to 'opt out' of a social interaction to gain a more complete understanding, as has been argued for some time by game theoreticians⁵⁵. For instance, allowing individuals to opt out of a social interaction may lead to evolutionary cycles^{53,56,57}. Our results show that environmental stochasticity affecting relative fitness of social and asocial individuals may also favor opting out of at least a part of the population. It will be important to investigate further the role of population partitioning into aggregating/social and nonaggregating/asocial phenotypes on the stabilization of cooperation.

Overall, we have demonstrated that the nutritional stress response of the social amoebae *Dictyostelium* consists of the coexistence of a unicellular non-sporulating strategy and a multicellular sporulating strategy. We provide evidence that cell fate is determined by four types of factors: (i) autonomous, linked to cell genotype, (ii) environmental, (iii) dependent on gene × environment interactions, and (iv) dependent on cell-cell interactions. We propose the hypothesis that social amoebae thus lie at the intersection of two key concepts in evolutionary microbiology, namely cooperation and bet hedging, and define a unique model system to explore this new frontier.

Data availability

figshare: Aggregation vs. nonaggregation strategies in *Dictyostelium discoideum* amoebae in response to starvation stress: raw data, doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.1052997⁵⁸

Author contributions

DD performed all microscopy experiments, cell culture and transformation, image analysis, statistical analysis, and conceived the mathematical model. MvB supervised the model formulation. CN produced the fluorescent reporter constructs, and conceived and set up the cell fraction quantification method. All authors contributed to the design of the study. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript and agree to publication.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

DD is the recipient of a PhD fellowship from the AXA Research Foundation at the Frontières du Vivant PhD program.

Acknowledgements

The *carA* and *pdsA* mutants were kindly provided by Kerry Ann Sheppard at the Dicty Stock Center of Northwestern University in Chicago, and the *phg2* mutant by Anna Marchetti and Pierre Cosson at the CMU in Geneva. We thank Vidyanand Nanjundiah, Santosh Sathe, Bahram Houchmandzadeh, Silvia de Monte, Sandrine Adiba, Madhu Priya, Pierre Cosson, Pierre Golstein, Dominique Schneider, Nicolas Desprat and Thomas Gregor for many helpful comments during the course of this work. We are grateful to Richard

Supplementary materials

Supplemental experimental protocols Germination efficiency

Spores were plated on 9cm SM/5 plates with 200µl of overnight *K. aerogenes* culture. Spores germinated, cells divided, consumed bacterial food and when starved formed new spores. Fresh spores were re-suspended in ice-cold KK2 buffer with 0.1% Tween20 (SIGMA and vortexed. 100 spores were plated with 500µl of overnight *K. aerogenes* culture and plated on 14cm petri dish with SM/5 agar. After 3 days we counted number of formed plaques. Germination efficiency was counted as $N_{spores}/N_{plaques}$. Experiment was done for 6 wild type strains; 34.1, 28.1, 105.1, 63.2, 85.2 and 98.1 isolated from North Carolina⁵⁹. Experiment was repeated 7–9 times with 3 replicas per measurement. The mean of the 6 strains was taken as the value for germination efficiency in the model.

Growth rate on bacterial plates

Spores were plated on SM/5 plates with 200µL of overnight *K. aero*genes culture. Spores germinated into cells and cells started dividing. Gomer and Paul B. Rainey for the careful reviewing of the first version of our manuscript and their valuable input for the revised version. We are indebted to Natale Scaramozzino for technical assistance, and to Shalmali Kamat during her internship at LIPhy Grenoble as well as Zak Frentz and Juliette BenArous at the Laboratory of Living Matter of Stanislas Leibler at Rockefeller University for their contributions during pilot experiments. We acknowledge Stanislas Leibler and all members of the Laboratory of Living Matter for many stimulating discussions and their invaluable support.

15-20h after plating spores cells were removed from the plates by washing the plates in ice-cold KK2 buffer. The cell suspension was centrifuged 3 times in ice-cold KK2 for 5min, 300 g to remove bacteria so we could measure the cell concentration. 1×10^5 cells were re-suspended in 500µL of overnight K. aerogenes and plated on 15cm petri dish with SM/5 agar. 16-20h after plating we started to measure cell growth. Growth was measured for 3 independent plates/time point every 2h during 8h. For each measurement cells were removed by scraping the cells from the plate in ice-cold KK2 buffer to prevent cell division. The cell suspension was centrifuged 3 times for 5min, 300 g on 4°C to remove bacteria. Cells were counted using a haemocytometer. The growth curve was represented as log2 of the cell number over time. The growth rate was calculated as the slope of the linear regression of the log2 growth curve. The experiment was done for 6 wild type strains; 34.1, 28.1, 105.1, 63.2, 85.2 and 98.1 isolated from North Carolina⁵⁸. The mean of the 6 strains was taken as the value of growth rate in the model.



Figure S1. Effect of cell density on the non-aggregating cell fraction. AX3 cells were plated at initial densities 3×10^4 , 3×10^5 , 3×10^6 and 1.5×10^7 cells/cm² according to the sudden starvation protocol. Standard plating protocols mainly use 3×10^6 cells/cm², which gave the lowest non-aggregating cell fraction. We plated cells at 3×10^6 cells/cm² density in all further experiments. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation ($4 \le n \le 10^6$). * represents p<0.05. ** represents p<0.01. *** represents p<0.001 (horizontal bars indicate the corresponding pairs of statistical samples).



Figure S2. Non-aggregating cells of the NC 28.1 wild isolate. Cells were grown on bacteria and plated on nutrient-free agar according to the standard "sudden" starvation protocol. Wild isolate populations partition into aggregating and non-aggregating cells as laboratory strains do.



Figure S3. Cell aggregation on homogenous or heterogeneous bacterial lawns. AX3 cells were plated mixed with bacterial suspensions and plated on nutrient-free agar either homogenously (**A**) or heterogeneously (**C**). Homogenous plating yields a synchronous timing of starvation and aggregation over the whole plate (**B**). Heterogeneous plating yields a non-uniform timing of starvation and aggregation, with aggregates forming in some areas while cells are growing in other areas (**D**) of the same plate.



Figure S4. Effect of NS and NS Glu medium on non-aggregating cell fractions. Cells were grown either on NS and NS with 85mM glucose (NS Glu) medium. Cells grown on either medium show no differences with respect to the non-aggregating cell fraction when alone compared to when NS-grown and NSGlu-grown cells are mixed together. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation ($6 \le n \le 8$). A one-way ANOVA test yielded F=1.54, p=0.27.



Figure S5. Nutritional state of the cells biases spore/stalk differentiation in aggregates. RFP-expressing AX3 and GFP-expressing AX3 cells were grown on one of 4 different media: HL5 (rich medium), FM (minimal medium), NS Glu (rich medium with glucose) and NS (rich medium without glucose). Cells grown on these media were mixed at 1:1 ratio for HL5:FM and NSGlu:NS mixtures. The ratio of spore percentage over plated cell percentage of each cell population in the 1:1 mixture indicates if one population is getting enriched into spores with respect to the other one. For spore/cells ratios = 1 both populations contribute equally to spores. If spore/cells ratio > 1, the population is underrepresented in the spores. We find that HL5-grown cells are overrepresented in the spores in mixture with FM-grown-cells, FM-grown cells are underrepresented in the spores in mixture with HL5-grown cells. We confirm previously published data showing that NSGlu-grown cells are over-represented in mixture with NS-grown-cells, NS-grown cells are underrepresented in mixture with NS-grown-cells, NS-grown cells are underrepresented in the spores in mixture with NSGlu-grown cells. Error bars represent +/- standard deviation (11≤n≤18). *** represents p<0.001 (horizontal bars indicate the corresponding pairs of statistical samples).



Figure S6. Flow cytometry analysis of fluorescent reporter cell lines. RFP and GFP expressing DH1 cell lines were analyzed by flow cytometry to confirm that cell lines are homogenously fluorescent, which confirms the validity of our approach. 10⁶ exponentially growing cells of each cell line were analyzed via excitation by an Argon laser at 488nm and detection with emission filter sets specific to RFP and GFP. Excitation and emission settings were kept constant throughout analysis. **A**) RFP-expressing cells display a unimodal fluorescence in the RFP channel (bottom right plot), and background-level fluorescence in the GFP channel (upper right plot). The GFP vs RFP plot (left) displays a fluorescently homogeneous population in the RFP-specific analysis gate. **B**) GFP-expressing cells display the reciprocal features. Importantly, less than 1% of cells were not fluorescent, ruling out artifacts in our cell counting approach.

References

- 1. Stearns SC: The Evolutionary Significance of Phenotypic Plasticity. *Bioscience*. 1989; **39**(7): 436–45. Publisher Full Text
- Kussell E, Leibler S: Phenotypic diversity, population growth, and information in fluctuating environments. Science. 2005; 309(5743): 2075–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Acar M, Mettetal JT, van Oudenaarden A: Stochastic switching as a survival strategy in fluctuating environments. Nat Genet. 2008; 40(4): 471–5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Donaldson-Matasci MC, Bergstrom CT, Lachmann M: The fitness value of information. *Oikos*. 2010; 119(2): 219–30. Publisher Full Text
- McNamara JM, Dall SRX: Information is a fitness enhancing resource. Oikos. 2010; 119(2): 231–6.
 Publisher Full Text
- Rivoire O, Leibler S: The Value of Information for Populations in Varying Environments. Springer US. J Stat Phys. 2011; 142(6): 1124–66.
 Publisher Full Text
- Simons AM: Fluctuating natural selection accounts for the evolution of diversification bet hedging. Proc Biol Sci. 2009; 276(1664): 1987–92.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hopper KR: Risk-spreading and bet-hedging in insect population biology. Annu Rev Entomol. 1999; 44: 535–60.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Veening JW, Stewart EJ, Berngruber TW, et al.: Bet-hedging and epigenetic inheritance in bacterial cell development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008; 105(11): 4393–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kessin RH: Dictyostelium: Evolution, Cell Biology, and Development of Multicellularity. Cambridge University Press; 2001. Publisher Full Text
- Kaushik S, Nanjundiah V: Evolutionary questions raised by cellular slime mould development. Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy-Part B: Biological Sciences. Indian National Science Academy. 2003; 69(5): 825–52. Reference Source
- Strassmann JE, Queller DC: How social evolution theory impacts our understanding of development in the social amoeba Dictyostelium. Dev Growth Differ. 2011; 53(4): 597–607.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hashimoto Y, Cohen MH, Robertson A: Cell density dependence of the aggregation characteristics of the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J Cell Sci. 1975; 19(1): 215–29. PubMed Abstract
- Katoh M, Chen G, Roberge E, et al.: Developmental commitment in Dictyostelium discoideum. Eukaryot Cell. 2007; 6(11): 2038–45.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Avery SV: Microbial cell individuality and the underlying sources of heterogeneity. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2006; 4(8): 577–87.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Garrod DR, Ashworth IM: Effect of growth conditions on development of the cellular slime mould, *Dictyostelium discoideum*. J Embryol Exp Morphol. 1972; 28(2): 463–79.
 PubMed Abstract
- Levi S, Polyakov M, Egelhoff TT: Green fluorescent protein and epitope tag fusion vectors for *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Plasmid*. 2000; 44(3): 231–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Nizak C, Fitzhenry RJ, Kessin RH: Exploitation of other social amoebae by Dictyostelium caveatum. PLoS One. 2007; 2(2): e212.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Houchmandzadeh B: Neutral clustering in a simple experimental ecological community. Phys Rev Lett. 2008; 101(7): 078103.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Otto GP, Wu MY, Kazgan N, et al.: Dictyostelium macroautophagy mutants vary in the severity of their developmental defects. J Biol Chem. 2004; 279(15): 15621–9.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Tekinay T, Wu MY, Otto GP, et al.: Function of the Dictyostelium discoideum Atg1 kinase during autophagy and development. Eukaryot Cell. 2006; 5(10): 1797–806.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hashimoto Y, Cohen MH, Robertson A: Cell density dependence of the aggregation characteristics of the cellular slime mould *Dictyostelium discoideum. J Cell Sci.* 1975; 19(1): 215–29. PubMed Abstract
- Kuzdzal-Fick JJ, Foster KR, Queller DC, et al.: Exploiting new terrain: an advantage to sociality in the slime mold *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Oxford University Press. *Behav Ecol.* 2007; 18(2): 433–7. Publisher Full Text
- Chen G, Zhuchenko O, Kuspa A: Immune-like phagocyte activity in the social amoeba. Science. 2007; 317(5838): 678–81.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Dormann D, Weijer C, Siegert F: Twisted scroll waves organize Dictyostelium mucoroides slugs. J Cell Sci. 1997; 110(Pt 16): 1831–7. PubMed Abstract
- Nanjundiah V, Bhogle AS: The precision of regulation in *Dictyostelium discoideum*: implications for cell-type proportioning in the absence of spatial pattern. *Indian J Biochem Biophys.* 1995; 32(6): 404–16. PubMed Abstract
- Leach CK, Ashworth JM, Garrod DR: Cell sorting out during the differentiation of mixtures of metabolically distinct populations of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J Embryol Exp Morphol*. 1973; 29(3): 647–61.
 PubMed Abstract
- Malchow D, Nägele B, Schwarz H, et al.: Membrane-bound cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase in chemotactically responding cells of *Dictyostelium* discoideum. Eur J Biochem. 1972; 28(1): 136–42.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lacombe ML, Podgorski GJ, Franke J, et al.: Molecular cloning and developmental expression of the cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase gene of *Dictyostelium discoideum. J Biol Chem.* 1986; 261(36): 16811–7. PubMed Abstract
- 30. Sucgang R, Weijer CJ, Siegert F, et al.: Null mutations of the Dictyostelium

cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase gene block chemotactic cell movement in developing aggregates. Dev Biol. 1997; 192(1): 181–92. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Cherix N, Froquet R, Charette SJ, et al.: A Phg2–Adrm1 pathway participates in the nutrient-controlled developmental response in *Dictyostelium*. Mol Biol Cell. 2006; 17(12): 4982–7.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Gebbie L, Benghezal M, Cornillon S, et al.: Phg2, a kinase involved in adhesion and focal site modeling in *Dictyostelium*. Mol Biol Cell. 2004; 15(8): 3915–25.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Chandrasekhar A, Ennis HL, Soll DR: Biological and molecular correlates between induced dedifferentiation and spore germination in *Dictyostelium*. *Development*. 1992; 116(2): 417–25. PubMed Abstract
- Kussell E, Kishony R, Balaban NQ, et al.: Bacterial persistence: a model of survival in changing environments. Genetics. 2005; 169(4): 1807–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Azhar M, Manogaran PS, Kennady PK, et al.: A Ca(2+)-dependent early functional heterogeneity in amoebae of *Dictyostelium discoideum*, revealed by flow cytometry. *Exp Cell Res*. 1996; 227(2): 344–51.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gomer RH, Firtel RA: Cell-autonomous determination of cell-type choice in Dictyostelium development by cell-cycle phase. Science. 1987; 237(4816): 758-62.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Balaban NQ, Merrin J, Chait R, et al.: Bacterial persistence as a phenotypic switch. Science. 2004; 305(5690): 1622–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Beaumont HJ, Gallie J, Kost C, et al.: Experimental evolution of bet hedging. Nature. 2009; 462(7269): 90–3.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Levy SF, Ziv N, Siegal ML: Bet hedging in yeast by heterogeneous, agecorrelated expression of a stress protectant. *PLoS Biol.* 2012; 10(5): e1001325. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Smits WK, Eschevins CC, Susanna KA, et al.: Stripping Bacillus: ComK auto-stimulation is responsible for the bistable response in competence development. Mol Microbiol. 2005; 56(3): 604–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Halme A, Bumgarner S, Styles C, *et al.*: Genetic and epigenetic regulation of the FLO gene family generates cell-surface variation in yeast. *Cell.* 2004; 116(3): 405–15.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Kaushik S, Katoch B, Nanjundiah V: Social behaviour in genetically heterogeneous groups of Dictyostelium giganteum. Behav Ecol Sociobiol. 2006; 59(4): 521–30. Publisher Full Text
- Buttery NJ, Rozen DE, Wolf JB, et al.: Quantification of social behavior in D. discoideum reveals complex fixed and facultative strategies. Curr Biol. 2009; 19(16): 1373–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Buttery NJ, Thompson CR, Wolf JB: Complex genotype interactions influence social fitness during the developmental phase of the social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum. J Evol Biol. 2010; 23(8): 1664–71.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Khatchikian CE, Dennehy JJ, Vitek CJ, et al.: Environmental effects on bet hedging in Aedes mosquito egg hatch. Evol Ecol. 2010; 24(5): 1159–69.
 Publisher Full Text
- Hairston NG Jr, Olds EJ: Population differences in the timing of diapause: adaptation in a spatially heterogeneous environment. *Oecologia*. 1984; 61(1): 42–8.
 Publisher Full Text

Fublisher Full Text

- González-Pastor JE, Hobbs EC, Losick R: Cannibalism by sporulating bacteria. Science. 2003; 301(5632): 510–3.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Strassmann JE, Zhu Y, Queller DC: Altruism and social cheating in the social amoeba Dictyostelium discoideum. Nature. 2000; 408(6815): 965–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Dao DN, Kessin RH, Ennis HL: Developmental cheating and the evolutionary biology of Dictyostelium and Myxococcus. Microbiology. 2000; 146(Pt 7): 1505–12.
 PubMed Abstract
- Saxer G, Brock DA, Queller DC, et al.: Cheating does not explain selective differences at high and low relatedness in a social amoeba. *BMC Evol Biol.* 2010; 10: 76.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sathe S: Trade-offs and social behaviour in the cellular slime moulds. PhD thesis. Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, Karnataka, India; 2012. Reference Source

- Dubravcic D: Quantitative evolutionary analysis of the life cycle of social amoebae. PhD thesis. University Paris Descartes - Paris V Paris, France; 2013. Reference Source
- Hauert C, De Monte S, Hofbauer J, et al.: Volunteering as Red Queen mechanism for cooperation in public goods games. Science. 2002; 296(5570): 1129–32.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Garcia T, De Monte S: Group formation and the evolution of sociality. Evolution. 2013; 67(1): 131–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Batali J, Kitcher P: Evolution of altruism in optional and compulsory games. J Theor Biol. 1995; 175(2): 161–71.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Hauert C, Traulsen A, Brandt H, et al.: Via freedom to coercion: the emergence of costly punishment. Science. 2007; 316(5833): 1905–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 57. Mathew S, Boyd R: When does optional participation allow the evolution of cooperation? *Proc Biol Sci.* 2009; 276(1659): 1167–74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Darja D, Minus VB, Clément N: Aggregation vs. nonaggregation strategies in Dictyostelium discoideum. amoebae in response to starvation stress: raw data. figshare. 2014. Data Source
- Francis D, Eisenberg R: Genetic structure of natural populations of Dictyostelium discoideum, a cellular slime mold. *Mol Ecol.* 1993; 2(8): 385–391.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 2

Referee Report 05 December 2014

doi:10.5256/f1000research.5915.r5222



Paul Rainey

NZ Institute For Advanced Study, Massey University, North Shore City, New Zealand

I approve the authors' revision.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Version 1

Referee Report 18 August 2014

doi:10.5256/f1000research.4516.r5848



Paul Rainey

NZ Institute For Advanced Study, Massey University, North Shore City, New Zealand

This is an important paper that draws attention to non-aggregating cells of Dictyostelium and shows that under nutrient deprived conditions such cells arise by a stochastic mechanism and when considered alongside cells that commit to slug – and ultimately spore – formation appear to represent a bet-hedging strategy. Such a strategy may be adaptive in the face of uncertainty surrounding unpredictable fluctuations in resource availability. This little considered aspect of the biology of Dicty has significant implications for how we think about the function of this amoeba in its natural environment.

The article content is of good quality and the experiments are well conducted. However, I suggest re-writing the section entitled "*Genetics of population partitioning*..." to bring greater clarity.

Experiments showing that loners can switch back to spore-formers do not demonstrate that the switch is epigenetic (the switch could be mutational (a genetic switch)). Similarly, the hypothesis of bet hedging is not tested: it is an entirely reasonable hypothesis, but not proven.

There is need to provide experimental details in the figure captions concerning number of replicates, nature of error and statistical analyses (where appropriate).

Specific suggestions

Abstract

"...studies of microbial cooperation..."

I recommend deleting this.

• "Non-aggregating cells have an advantage over cells in aggregates since they resume growth earlier upon arrival of new nutrients, but have a shorter lifespan under prolonged starvation."

Compared to what? Compared to spores?

• "We find that phenotypic heterogeneities linked to cell nutritional state bias the representation of cells in the aggregating vs. non-aggregating fractions, and thus regulate population partitioning."

I probably wouldn't use the word regulate. Maybe just 'affect'

• "D.discoideum thus constitutes a model system lying at the intersection of microbial cooperation and bet hedging, defining a new frontier in microbiology and evolution studies"

This is not such a great sentence. Rather than try and sell it in this way, I would recommend that the authors either delete the sentence, or emphasize that they have drawn attention to an overlooked aspect of the biology of Dicty that may have ecological relevance.

Introduction

"Yet, living environments typically deviate from these conditions."

Remove "living"

• "Our main motivation was to study a previously known but neglected fact that not all cells aggregate upon starvation."

Add reference

- Remove "While often considered an experimental error or just insignificant"
- "We asked whether the fraction of non-aggregating cells constitutes an important component of the adaptive response to stress."

I thought the main idea you are testing is the possibility that solitary cells constitute a bet hedging strategy that may have adaptive significance in the face of unpredictable changes in the nutritional status of the environment?

Materials and methods

<u>Model</u>

• "The advantage that non-aggregating cells have is a head start when conditions improve, as spores produced by aggregating cells need time to develop." The advantage may come even earlier than spore formation. Is the commitment to form fruit bodies terminal? If so, then right from the outset, there is likely to be an advantage for spreading risk. In many ways this is very similar to what has been worked out for *Bacillus* and the idea that the commitment to sporulation is delayed as long as possible (see work from Losick's group).

Results

• *"...the observation that non-aggregating cells are actively moving, live cells that are intermixed with aggregating cells at the onset of starvation"*

Remove the comma

Phenotypic plasticity affects population partitioning

 "While stationary phase cells show no significant difference compared to exponentially growing cells, cells feeding on a homogenous bacterial lawn and thus gradually starving showed a 3-fold increase in the proportion of non-aggregating cells,6.3±3.17% (p=0.027)."

Perhaps mention in the results what a homogeneous vs. heterogeneous lawn of bacteria means.

• "Four different media were tested: HL5 rich medium, FM minimal medium, NS with 85mM glucose (NS Glu) and NS medium."

Without going to the M&M I don't know how to read the differences between the media. Why did you not systematically change C / N and the ratio of C:N?

• "We conclude that nutritional state distinguishes non-aggregating cells from aggregating cells, and that interactions between cells according to their nutritional state biases further partitioning between aggregating and non-aggregation cell fates."

But what is it about the nutritional status of the media?

Figure 2

- Axes should Homog. and Heterog. lawn instead of loan.
- Legend Mean and standard deviation of *x* replicates (state number of replicates). State the meaning of the lines. Also, was a posteriori test was applied (assuming the lines are indicating the result of this analysis). If this was ANOVA first and then a posteriori test, then state the ANOVA result as well (without this I don't know what the p levels mean).

Figure 3

• Legend - See comments above regarding representation of statistical results. <u>Genetics of population partitioning into aggregating and non-aggregating fractions</u>

• This section is unclear to me. It is not what I would call "genetics". I am not sure to what extent this is an *'exploration of the genetic mechanisms affecting aggregating / non-agg fates*'. I understand that the authors have taken two mutants that can be rescued when grown with wild type. I really don't understand what these experiments tell us. This should be clarified and made more explicit.

Cell history and cell fate

- Change "...bet hedging-like strategy between..." to "stochastic switch affecting"
- "Answering this question allows us to: i) rule out any genetic differences between aggregating and non-aggregating cells and ii) examine the effect of epigenetic inheritance of cell fate."

This does not rule out the possibility of a genetic mechanism. For example, a genetic switch could be responsible.

 "This demonstrates the strong persistence of population partitioning and the fast loss of cell epigenetic memory."

Or a genetic switch. I think this shows that the solitary types are not mutants. I do not think this shows evidence for an epigenetic switch.

Figure 4

• Legend - No. of replicates? Nature of error bar? etc.

<u>Model</u>

The model is useful and shows nicely that solitary cells and slug / spore forming cells have likely ecological relevance. One thing missing is any parameter to describe interactions among cells in the slugs / fruit body (and between different genotypes) that are likely to be important components of fitness, but perhaps at this stage there is insufficient empirical data for this to be usefully attempted.

Figure 6

• I think you should make clear in the caption that these are results from a mathematical model. **Discussion**

"We report that upon starvation stress a population of D. discoideum amoebae partitions..."

I think you should avoid the term "stress". It is meaningless.

• *"For our detailed analysis of genetic and non-genetic contributions..."*

The analyses are not particularly "detailed". I suggest removing this.

• "Bet hedging behaviors result from epigenetic switching between different phenotypes."

Not necessarily epigenetic. Take contingency loci in pathogenic bacteria for example.

 "This demonstrates the epigenetic bet hedging-like nature of population partitioning in D. discoideum."

No. It demonstrates neither epigenetic, nor that the strategy is a bet hedging one. The behaviour is consistent with a bet hedging strategy.

 "This means that instead of classifying phenotypes as just altruistic and cheaters we may find a much richer repertoire, involving social cheaters (high aggregation efficiency but low investment into stalk), asocial altruists (low aggregation efficiency and high investment into stalk), asocial cheaters (low aggregation efficiency and low investment in the stalk) and so forth."

I would suggest you could also put aside the anthropomorphic language and refer to solitary cells and slug / spore forming cells and their interactions.

Population partitioning can also be interpreted as probabilistic expression of social behavior."

See previous comment.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 04 July 2014

doi:10.5256/f1000research.4516.r5221



Richard Gomer

Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

This is a very nice explanation of why some Dictyostelium cells do not join into aggregates when the population of cells starves. Many of us have observed this phenomenon, and wondered why this happens. The explanation is that if nutrients suddenly appear while aggregated cells are undergoing development, the non-aggregating cells can immediately begin growth and proliferation, while the aggregated cells have to plod through development and then spore germination before they can start dividing. The authors show both data as well as nice mathematical models of this bet-hedging strategy.

One minor correction - in Figure 1A 'loan' should be 'lawn'.

In the future, finding the mechanism that causes a small percentage of cells to not aggregate may shed light into new mechanisms of cell population symmetry-breaking and differentiation.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.