GENOME REPORT



Genomic Analysis of Diverse Members of the Fungal Genus *Monosporascus* Reveals Novel Lineages, Unique Genome Content and a Potential Bacterial Associate

Aaron J. Robinson,^{*,†,1,2} Donald O. Natvig,^{†,1} and Patrick S. G. Chain*

*Bioscience Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, and [†]Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-1406-5636 (A.J.R.); 0000-0003-0891-6534 (D.O.N.); 0000-0003-3949-3634 (P.S.G.C.)

ABSTRACT The genus Monosporascus represents an enigmatic group of fungi important in agriculture and widely distributed in natural arid ecosystems. Of the nine described species, two (M. cannonballus and M. eutypoides) are important pathogens on the roots of members of Cucurbitaceae in agricultural settings. The remaining seven species are capable of colonizing roots from a diverse host range without causing obvious disease symptoms. Recent molecular and culture studies have shown that members of the genus are nearly ubiquitous as root endophytes in arid environments of the Southwestern United States. Isolates have been obtained from apparently healthy roots of grasses, shrubs and herbaceous plants located in central New Mexico and other regions of the Southwest. Phylogenetic and genomic analyses reveal substantial diversity in these isolates. The New Mexico isolates include close relatives of M. cannonballus and M. ibericus, as well as isolates that represent previously unrecognized lineages. To explore evolutionary relationships within the genus and gain insights into potential ecological functions, we sequenced and assembled the genomes of three M. cannonballus isolates, one M. ibericus isolate, and six diverse New Mexico isolates. The assembled genomes were significantly larger than what is typical for the Sordariomycetes despite having predicted gene numbers similar to other members of the class. Differences in predicted genome content and organization were observed between endophytic and pathogenic lineages of Monosporascus. Several Monosporascus isolates appear to form associations with members of the bacterial genus Ralstonia (Burkholdariaceae).

KEYWORDS

Monosporascus fungal genomics bacterial associates Ralstonia

Members of the fungal genus *Monosporascus* (Ascomycota, Sordariomycetes, Xylariales) are known for their association with plant roots in agricultural and natural arid environments. Most research focused on the genus has addressed aspects of pathogenicity in agricultural settings, with the result that little is known about the functional and evolutionary diversity of members of this genus in natural environments. To gain deeper insight into the diversity and evolutionary history of *Monosporascus*, and to evaluate whether lineages that possess agricultural pathogens differ from those that appear to be benign endophytes in natural environments, we generated the first complete genome sequences for members of the genus, targeting isolates from diverse environments and locations.

There are currently several recognized *Monosporascus* species, including the closely related *M. cannonballus and M. eutypoides*, which have been studied for their ability to cause disease in the roots of many agriculturally important members of the Cucurbitaceae (Ben Salem *et al.* 2013). A third species, *M. ibericus*, was described as an endophyte in the roots and stems of several plant species in Spain (Collado *et al.* 2002). Recent surveys conducted in northern Brazil also resulted in the description of five new *Monosporascus* species: *M. brasiliensis*, *M. caatinguensis*, *M. mossoroensis*, *M. nordestinus* and *M. semiaridus* (Negreiros *et al.* 2019). Diverse members of the genus

Copyright © 2020 Robinson *et al.*

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401489

Manuscript received March 17, 2020; accepted for publication June 22, 2020; published Early Online June 24, 2020.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/ g3.12494639.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

²Corresponding author: Bioscience Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 87545. E-mail: aaronjonrobinson@gmail.com.

are also common in root endophyte surveys conducted in the southwestern United States using both molecular and culturing methods (Porras-Alfaro et al. 2008; Herrera et al. 2010; Dean et al. 2015). Monosporascus isolates display a wide range of morphological phenotypes when cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Figure 1B). The near ubiquity of Monosporascus species on the roots of plants in arid Southwestern ecosystems raises questions about their evolutionary diversity and primary ecological roles. There is no evidence that isolates of Monosporascus from the roots of plants in natural ecosystems cause disease symptoms despite their broad host association, which raises the question: can differences in host interaction be explained by differences in genome evolution or content? This question is complex and possible explanations include the evolution of functionally different sub-lineages and environmentally-driven shifts in genome content. To examine this question, we employed methods that included comparisons of genome synteny and functional gene content. We were particularly interested in genes that may be associated with fungal-plant interactions and genomic regions that demonstrate a lack of synteny among Monosporascus assemblies.

As reported here, preliminary comparisons of internal transcribed spacer (ITS) DNA regions indicated that certain Monosporascus isolates from arid environments were closely related to previously described species, while other isolates appeared to represent novel lineages. In order to explore this diversity more deeply, we sequenced and assembled the genomes of ten Monosporascus isolates, including representatives of two described species from culture collections in addition to several recent isolates from New Mexico. This work represents the first genome sequences and assemblies for the genus. The genome sizes revealed by these assemblies are significantly larger than what is commonly found in the Xylariales and other Ascomycota. Despite their larger size, the Monosporascus genomes have gene numbers and genome organization similar to other members of the Xylariales. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed substantial diversity across the genus while aiding in understanding the relationship of Monosporascus species to other members of the Xylariales. The availability of complete genome assemblies also allowed for comparisons of genome content and organization between the agricultural and aridland Monosporascus isolates.

Although comparisons among genomes of isolates of *Monsoporascus* and other Xylariales genomes indicated substantial difference in genome organization across genera, comparisons of genomes among closely-related agricultural and aridland *Monosporascus* isolates revealed few differences in genome organization and content. Within the genus *Monosporascus*, endophytic strains possessed higher numbers of genes for predicted carbohydrate-active and pathogenesis enzymes than did pathogenic isolates. Across the Xylariales, however, there was no clear correlation between gene groups and primary ecological function. Members of the genus *Monosporascus* appear to have the capability to accommodate bacterial endosymbionts from the Burkholderiaceae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection

Monosporascus cannonballus (CBS 586.93 & CBS 609.92) and *Monosporascus ibericus* (CBS 110550) strains were obtained from the CBS-KNAW fungal collection (http://www.westerdijkinstitute.nl/ Collections/) (USDA permit P526P-16-01285). Six additional isolates were obtained from surface sterilized roots collected in previous endophyte surveys conducted at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge (SNWR) in New Mexico (Porras-Alfaro *et al.* 2014; Dean *et al.* 2015,). *Monosporascus cannonballus* strain MC13-8B was provided courtesy of Michael Stanghellini, University of California, Riverside. Geographic and host information are provided in Table 1.

Molecular methods and sequencing

Genomic DNA was obtained from pure cultures following a CTAB extraction protocol (Robinson and Natvig 2019). The KAPA Hyper Prep Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, Massachusetts) was used to prepare genomic libraries for each isolate. Genome sequencing was performed using the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform configured for 150 base-pair read lengths. A total yield of 52.99 Gbp was generated from this sequencing run. The CRB-9-2 isolate contained the smallest percentage of pass-filtered reads (4.02% or ~1.07 Gbp), while the MC13-8B isolate contained the largest percentage of pass filtered reads (13.63% or ~3.46 Gbp).

Genome assembly

Quality control of the resulting Illumina reads was performed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014), and three separate software packages designed for short read de-novo microbial genome assemblies were independently optimized to assemble these QC-filtered reads (Robinson and Natvig 2019). Quast (Gurevich et al. 2013) was used to generate assembly statistics, and additional assembly quality assessment was performed using the BUSCO software package and Ascomycota dataset (Simão et al. 2015). Assemblies produced in SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012) consistently showed the highest quality and were annotated using AUGUSTUS with Neurospora crassa selected for the species parameter, limited to few alternative transcripts and only complete gene predictions (Stanke and Morgenstern 2005; Hoff and Stanke 2013). These assemblies have been deposited at GenBank with the following accession numbers: QJNS0000000, QJNT0000000, QJNU0000000, QJNV00000000, QJNW00000000, QJNX00000000, QJNY00000000, QJNZ00000000, QJOA0000000, and QJOB0000000.

Bioinformatics

The search function of the MMseqs2 (Steinegger and Söding 2017) software was used with default settings to compare predicted aminoacid sequences from our annotations of Monosporascus genomes. CD-HIT (Li and Godzik 2006) was used to examine our assemblies for gene duplications and to compare protein similarities among assemblies. Predicted protein sequences generated by AUGUSTUS were annotated for carbohydrate-active enzymes using the dbCAN2 meta server (http://bcb.unl.edu/dbCAN2/) Zhang et al. 2018 For the dbCAN2 annotation we utilized HMMER (E-Value < 1e-15, coverage > 0.35), DIAMOND (E-Value < 1e-102) and Hotpep (Frequency > 2.6, Hits > 6), and only candidates agreed upon by at least two of these methods were retained. A local version of the PHI-base (Urban et al. 2017) database was created using BLAST, and predicted protein sequences were queried against this database using blastp. Results were filtered to retain matches with e-value scores less than or equal to 1e-10 and only the top hit was used in downstream analysis. InterProScan Jones et al. (2014) was used to infer the function of protein sequences based on the presence of conserved domains from non-syntenic regions of Monosporascus and other members of the Xylariales using the top matches identified with default settings.

Molecular alignments and phylogenetic analyses

Ribosomal RNA ITS sequences employed in preliminary phylogenetic analyses were obtained from our *Monosporascus* isolate collection and databases of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). ITS sequences were aligned with Clustal Omega (Sievers *et al.* 2011) and RAXML (Stamatakis 2014) was used to construct maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees using the GTRCAT substitution model and 1000 bootstrap replicates. The GTRCAT model was automatically determined as the best-scoring nucleotide substitution model for this data by the RAxML software.

Subsequent to the acquisition of genome sequence data, evolutionary relationships among *Monosporascus* isolates were evaluated using whole-genome phylogenetic analyses performed with PhaME (Shakya *et al.* 2020). PhaME analyses were conducted with the reads used in the assembly of the ten *Monosporascus* genomes, employing the smallest (GIB2) assembly as the reference and 100 bootstrap replicates. To maximize the number of nucleotide positions available for comparisons, only the ten *Monosporascus* genomes were used.

Data availability

Strains are available upon request. These assemblies have been deposited at GenBank with the following accession numbers: QJNS00000000, QJNT00000000, QJNU00000000, QJNV00000000, QJNV00000000, QJNV00000000, QJNX00000000, QJNX00000000, QJNX00000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX00000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX0000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX00, GJNX00, GJNX000000, GJNX00, GJNX00000, GJNX00000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX00, GJNX000000, GJNX000000, GJNX00, GJNX0, GJNX00, GJNX0, GJNX00, GJNX0, GJNX0, GJNX0, GJNX

RESULTS

Genome sequencing and assembly

We sequenced, assembled and annotated the genomes of ten *Monosporascus* isolates that spanned the diversity of isolates based on ITS sequence analyses (Figure 1A). Data from the Joint Genome Institute

(JGI) Mycocosm portal (https://mycocosm.jgi.doe.gov/mycocosm/ home) indicate an average genome assembly length of 44.37 Mb for Sordariomycetes (322 genomes) and 44.31 Mb for Xylariales (103 genomes). The sizes of the *Monosporascus* genome assemblies were substantially larger than these averages, ranging from 70 to greater than 100 Mb (Figure 2A). Summary statistics for the final SPAdes assemblies demonstrated no relationship between assembly fragmentation (number of contigs) and total assembly size (Table 1 & Figure 3A).

A linear regression analysis employing *Monosporascus* genomes as well as genomes from the Sordariales and other members of the Xylariales revealed a negative relationship between GC content and genome size (Figure 4). BUSCO, which is commonly used to assess genome completeness through the concept of highly conserved single-copy orthologs, was able to locate over 97% of the expected complete single-copy Ascomycota orthologs in each *Monosporascus* assembly (Table 2).

Bacterial associations

During quality control filtering for NCBI submission we observed several contigs with strong hits to the bacterial species *Ralstonia pickettii*. Two of the *Monosporascus* assemblies contained nearly complete genomes for *R. pickettii*, and a third contained a large number of *R. pickettii* contigs. Three other assemblies contained a small (≤ 5) number of *Ralstonia* contigs, while the remaining four assemblies contained zero *Ralstonia* contigs. Phylogenetic analyses generated with PhaME (Shakya *et al.* 2020) using both raw Illumina reads and assembled contigs confirmed these bacterial sequences belong to distinct *R. pickettii* lineages, which is evidence against local contamination (Figure 5).

Genome diversity

To better understand diversity within the genus *Monosporascus* we utilized the PhaME (Shakya *et al.* 2020) analysis tool to estimate



Figure 1 Diversity of *Monosporascus* isolates. (A) Maximum likelihood tree for strains of *Monosporascus* based on analysis of ribosomal RNA ITS sequences. Diversity among *Monosporascus* ITS sequences was used to select isolates for whole genome sequencing (sequenced isolates are highlighted in red). Previously described isolates of *Monosporascus* are indicated by branches shown in blue. Other isolates are from culture surveys of root endophytes at the SNWR in central New Mexico. Bootstrap values (percent of 1000 replicates) are shown for branches with greater than 65% support. (B) Diversity of *Monosporascus* isolates from the SNWR plated on potato dextrose agar (PDA).



Figure 2 Comparison of genome assembly length and number of genes among the ten *Monosporascus* assemblies and other members of the Sordariomycetes and Xylariales represented at the JGI Mycocosm portal. (A) Genome assembly length (Mb) comparison based on the most current representative assemblies. (B) Number of predicted genes in the *Monosporascus* assemblies compared with other members of the Sordariomycetes and Xylariales.

phylogenetic relationships at the whole-genome, single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) level. PhaME was able to establish a core genome region of 12,695,860 base-pairs, and a total of 1,255,473 SNPs were used to determine the phylogenetic relationships between the *Monosporascus* isolates (Figure 6). This whole-genome phylogenetic analysis confirmed the high level of diversity initially observed with ribosomal RNA ITS analyses. There are close relatives of both *M. cannonballus* and *M. ibericus* among the New Mexican isolates. To gain a better understanding of the placement of *Monosporascus* within the Xylariales, a separate five-gene phylogenetic analysis was performed using the genes for beta-tubulin, MCM7, EF1 α , RPB1 and RPB2 (Figure S1 & File S1), which are commonly used to examine phylogenetic relationships in fungi at various levels of divergence (James *et al.* 2006). The inclusion of several additional members of the Xylariales in this analysis suggests the *Monosporascus* isolates were most closely related to *Eutypa lata*, which is commonly associated with disease in grape vines and has been placed in the family Diatrypaceae (Acero *et al.* 2004).

Annotation of the *Monosporascus* genome assemblies indicate a predicted gene content similar to other members of the Sordariomycetes and Xylariales despite their inflated genome sizes (Figure 2B). There was no consistent relationship between genome size and predicted gene number among the *Monosporascus* assemblies or across the Xylariales in general (Table 3 & Figure 3B). Synteny comparisons among *Monosporascus* genomes and other members of the Xylariales identified regions of synteny as well as large regions



Figure 3 Correlation plots evaluating relationships among genome assembly and annotation statistics for the ten *Monosporascus* assemblies. Genome size was not significantly correlated with either contig number or gene number. (A) Genome assembly size vs. number of contigs. (B) Genome assembly size vs. predicted number of genes.



Figure 4 Relationship between GC content and genome size for several genomes from the Sordariales and Xylariales. Linear regression analysis demonstrating the strong negative relationship between GC content and assembly size (p-value = 2.701e-07). The ten *Monosporascus* assemblies are represented in red. Green circles indicate assemblies for the following members of the Sordariales: *Neurospora crassa* (41.1 Mb), *Sordaria macrospora* (38.39 Mb) and *Chaetomium globosum* (34.89 Mb). The blue circles indicate assemblies for the following members of the following members of the Xylariales: *Eutypa lata* (54.01 Mb), *Rosellinia necatrix* (44.26 Mb) and *Daldinia eschscholtzii* (35.81 Mb).

lacking synteny. The genome from the GIB2 strain was used to examine in some detail the regions lacking synteny. This genome was used as a reference because it was the smallest Monosporascus genome and would therefore minimize noise from Monosporascus-specific variation (Table 3). We identified 401 contigs in the GIB2 assembly that did not show synteny with any of the non-Monosporascus assemblies listed in Table 3, although all of these contigs possessed synteny with at least one other Monosporascus genome. The combined size of these contigs was 12.04 Mb. Of these, 227 (10.82 Mb) contained at least one gene annotation with a total of 1,100 gene annotations across all 227 contigs, with a GC content of 35.11% (comparable to the average genome-wide GC content of 39.53% for all Monosporascus genomes). These 227 contigs appear to be enriched for genes involved in secondary metabolism. The remaining 174 contigs (1.22 Mb) had no predicted gene content and had a GC content of 24.76%, indicating the existence of AT-rich regions with no or obscured synteny with respect to members of the Xylariales outside the genus Monosporascus.

Substantial variation in predicted gene number existed among the three agricultural isolates from the single species *M. cannonballus*.

M. cannonballus isolates from the southwestern United States, CBS609.92 and MC13-8B, were found to have very similar predicted gene numbers (10,682 and 10,641, respectively), but the strain from Egypt, CBS586.93, had a larger predicted genome size (91.50 Mb) and number of genes (11,585). Comparisons using the MMseqs2 search tool failed to find matches based on sequence identity for approximately 700 predicted amino-acid sequences from the Egyptian isolate when compared with either of the two agricultural isolates. Comparisons of protein similarity using CD-HIT-2D also indicated that the predicted amino-acid sequences in the southwestern *M. cannonballus* isolates were more similar among those isolates, based on identity, relative to the predicted amino-acid sequences from the Egyptian isolate. The number of predicted protein sequences from the Egyptian isolate that did not pair with sequences from either of the southwestern isolates based on identity was larger than the differences between southwestern isolates.

Sexual pheromone receptor homologs

Hidden Markov models (HMM) were used to construct predicted protein homologs for PRE-1 and PRE-2 (*Neurospora nomenclature*)



76,279

Figure 5 Maximum-likelihood tree displaying relationships between the R. pickettii lineages found in the Monosporascus (shown in blue) whole genome sequencing data and other closely related lineages. Bootstrap values (percent of 100 replicates) are displayed as red node labels. The relevant NCBI accessions for the non-Monosporascus lineages are listed in parentheses following the strain identifier. Genome assemblies were used for the 12D, ICMP 8657 and H2Cu2 isolates while SRA datasets were used for the D. cristalligena RSA 468 isolate. The PhaME summary statistics for this tree are presented in the table below.

using amino-acid sequences from diverse members of the Sordariomycetes, Pezizomycetes and Leotiomycetes. These HMMs successfully identified homologs of both PRE-1 and PRE-2 in all examined

Total SNPs

Monosporascus and Xylariales genomes (Figure S2 and S3). Homologs of the *pre-1* and *pre-2* from members of the Xylariales appear to be evolutionary distinct from other members of the Sordariomycetes.



Figure 6 Maximum-likelihood tree generated in PhaME using reads obtained from whole genome sequencing of *Monosporascus* isolates and summary PhaME statistics. Bootstrap values (percent of 100 replicates) are shown as node labels. *Monosporascus* isolates from New Mexico are labeled in red, while agricultural isolates are labeled in brown. Endophytic isolates are labeled with green circles.



Figure 7 Boxplot comparisons of CAZyme content among the ten *Monosporascus* genomes examined. (A) and (B) Total and unique CAZyme content for the three agricultural *M. cannonballus* isolates and the six New Mexican *Monosporascus* isolates. (C) and (D) Total and unique CAZyme content for the three agricultural *M. cannonballus* isolates and the remaining seven non-agricultural *Monosporascus* isolates. The outlier point in (C) represents the *M. ibericus* isolate CBS110550.

Carbohydrate-active enzymes and pathogenicity homologs

Carbohydrate-active enzymes interact with a number of substrates that are common in plant cells, and it is predicted that some of these enzymes may facilitate plant-fungal interactions (Knapp et al. 2018). The New Mexican Monosporascus isolates have a significantly greater number of these predicted enzymes than do the agricultural M. cannonballus isolates (Figure 7A). Differences in the number of these predicted enzymes present between the New Mexico and agricultural M. cannonballus isolates were statistically insignificant when examining the presence of unique enzymes from each gene family (Figure 7B). When the non-agricultural M. ibericus isolate was included in these comparisons, the results were very similar (Figure 7C & 7D). Homologs of glycosidases comprised the majority of these predicted enzymes, and the genes for some enzyme types exhibited high copy numbers. The order Xylariales contains genera with species classified as pathogens as well as others classified as endophytes. Comparing profiles across these two ecological groups, there appears to be no observable relationship between either the total or unique number of genes for these predicted enzymes and primary ecological function (Table 4).

The PHI-base database catalogs genes that have been experimentally verified to be involved in pathogenicity for both eukaryotic and prokaryotic pathogens that interact with plant hosts (Urban *et al.* 2017). The *Monosporascus* isolates from New Mexico contain a significantly higher number of pathogenicity homologs than do the *M. cannonballus* agricultural isolates (Figure 8B). This also raises a question about the function of these homologs and if perhaps they could have been altered to allow access to a broader host range or possibly encouraging a more mutualistic lifestyle.

Finally, we examined potential effector-protein genes within the genomes of *Monosporascus* isolates. Effector proteins are secreted by fungi to facilitate colonization of plant cells. The predicted effector protein content is very similar between the New Mexico and agricultural *M. cannonballus* isolates (Figure 8A). The inclusion of the non-agricultural *M. ibericus* isolate had a minimal effect on the comparison. Our comparison also included other members of the Xylariales and once again this analysis showed no obvious relationship between primary ecological function and the content of these two categories of PHI-base genes (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The genus *Monosporascus* is diverse and contains several lineages. Complete genome sequences of ten *Monosporascus* isolates revealed larger than expected genome sizes and diverse genome content and organization. This diversity is apparent even among closely-related



Figure 8 Boxplot comparisons of PHI-base gene content for the ten Monosporascus genomes examined. (A) Comparison of predicted effector gene content between the three agricultural M. cannonballus isolates and the other seven non-agricultural isolates (one M. ibericus isolate and six NM Monosporascus isolates). (B) Comparison of predicted content of genes associated with pathogenicity between the three agricultural M. cannonballus isolates and the other seven non-agricultural isolates. Genes represented in these figures had either an effector or loss of pathogenicity characterized top match in PHI-base with an e-value \geq = 1e-10.

M. cannonballus isolates from different geographical origins. The sequencing design for this work was based on the assumption that these genomes would be similar in size to other members of the Xylariales, which would have resulted in an average coverage of around 100x. Given the much larger size of these Monosporascus genomes, the coverage is lower than what is typical for fungal denovo genome sequencing projects. Despite their lower coverage, assemblies scored well in examinations commonly used to assess quality. Three different software packages designed to assemble microbial genomes produced de novo assemblies of similar size for each isolate. The consistency among these assembly methods and results supported the large genome estimates. Assemblies produced by SPAdes consistently had the largest N50 to number of scaffolds ratio, which was anticipated given previous benchmarking analyses that demonstrated proficiency with low coverage data (Bankevich et al. 2012).

While preparing our assemblies for submission we noticed that three of the Monosporascus assemblies contained a substantial number of bacterial contigs that were classified as Ralstonia pickettii. The genus Ralstonia belongs to the Burkholderiaceae, a family that contains known fungal endosymbionts (Uehling et al. 2017; Lastovetsky et al. 2018; Bonfante and Lanfranco 2019). Previous studies have demonstrated that R. pickettii can exist as a bacterial endosymbiont in fungi and that it is capable of promoting fungal pathogenesis through this intimate relationship (Itabangi et al. 2019). We initially considered the possibility that this bacterial signal was due to contamination, especially given that members of the Burkholderiaceae have been demonstrated to be present in extraction and sequencing reagents (Glassing et al. 2016). In nearly all demonstrated cases of reagent contamination, diverse taxa of bacteria are present, and even in instances involving amplification the relative percentages of sequences from these contaminating taxa are quite low in early

Table 1 Metadata and assembly statistics for Monosporascus isolates selected for whole genome sequencing

Species (strain)	Location	Host	NCBI Accession	Number of contigs	N50	Total length (Mb)
Monosporascus cannonballus (CBS 609.92)	Arizona	Cucumis melo	QJNS0000000	753	256,764	89.62
Monosporascus cannonballus (CBS 586.93)	Egypt	Cucumis melo	QJNT0000000	758	248,352	91.50
Monosporascus cannonballus (MC13-8B)	California	Cucumis melo	QJNW0000000	1,441	151,284	89.29
Monosporascus ibericus (CBS110550)	Spain	Undetermined	QJNU0000000	1,612	104,428	87.25
Monosporascus sp. (5C6A)	New Mexico	Bouteloua eriopoda	QJOB0000000	823	246,621	75.25
Monosporascus sp. (CRB-8-3)	New Mexico	Larrea tridentata	QJNZ0000000	2,382	93,740	102.96
Monosporascus sp.(CRB-9-2)	New Mexico	Larrea tridentata	QJOA0000000	2,348	88,305	76.10
Monosporascus sp. (MG133)	New Mexico	Mentzelia perennis Wooton	QJNX0000000	768	166,637	71.87
Monosporascus sp. (MG162)	New Mexico	Nerisyrenia linearifolia	QJNY00000000	1,320	134,088	89.46
Monosporascus sp. (GIB2)	New Mexico	Bouteloua eriopoda	QJNV00000000	935	138,727	70.59

Table 2 Validation of gene annotation with BUSCO assessment with Asco	mycota dataset (n = 1315)
---	---------------------------

		Complete and	Complete and		
Isolate	Complete (C)	single-copy (S)	duplicated (D)	Fragmented (F)	Missing (M)
M. cannonballus (CBS 609.92)	98.2%	98.0%	0.2%	0.8%	1.0%
M. cannonballus (CBS 586.93)	97.8%	97.4%	0.4%	1.1%	1.1%
M. ibericus (CBS 110550)	98.1%	97.9%	0.2%	0.8%	1.1%
Monosporascus sp. (5C6A)	98.1%	97.6%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%
Monosporascus sp. (CRB-8-3)	98.4%	97.9%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%
Monosporascus sp. (CRB-9-2)	98.3%	97.9%	0.4%	0.7%	1.0%
Monosporascus sp. (MG133)	98.4%	97.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%
Monosporascus sp. (MG162)	98.4%	98.1%	0.3%	0.5%	1.1%
Monosporascus sp. (GIB2)	98.1%	97.6%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%

serial dilutions (Salter *et al.* 2014). Given that our *Monosporascus* isolates were originally cultured on media containing antibiotics, the lack of other bacterial sequences at such abundances and the fact that *Ralstonia* sequences were not identified in all of the samples sequenced, it is unlikely that these sequences are the result of contamination during sample or sequencing preparation. While the *Monosporascus* isolates 5C6A and CRB-9-2 are closely related in our phylogenetic analysis (Figure 6), the *R. pickettii* signals detected in 5C6A and the more distantly related CBS 586.93 isolate appear to be more closely related. The *R. pickettii* signal detected in CRB-9-2 is closely related to the environmental lineage *R. pickettii* 12D and the *R. pickettii* signal detected in the whole genome sequencing project of *D. cristalligena* RSA 468. The size of the core genome lengths detected by PhaME (~33%) suggest the examined *R. pickettii* genomes are quite evolutionarily diverse.

Our previous work demonstrated that the canonical mating type regions are either missing or highly divergent in these *Monosporascus* genomes and all other members of the Xylariales examined (Robinson and Natvig 2019). Homologs of the *Neurospora crassa* sex pheromone receptor genes *pre-1* and *pre-2* are involved with mating behavior in species of *Neurospora* and diverse fungi, and their expression is typically under the control of mating-type genes (Kim and Borkovich 2004; Jones and Bennett 2011; Kim *et al.* 2012), making them logical targets for subsequent analysis of downstream effects caused by genetic changes in the mating-type region. The presence of these pheromone receptor genes indicates that downstream signaling pathways related to sexual reproduction may be conserved in the Xylariales, despite the absence of canonical mating-type regions. We acknowledge, however, that fungal pheromone receptors have been reported with atypical expression patterns and altered functions in the homothallic species *Gibberella zeae* (Lee *et al.* 2008), and a homolog of the PRE-2 receptor in *Fusarium oxysporum* has been reported to be involved in host-pathogen recognition (Turrà *et al.* 2015). Therefore, the presence of these receptor genes in species of *Monosporascus* cannot be taken as proof of their involvement in mate recognition.

Endophytic isolates of *Monosporascus* from New Mexico associate with a broad range of hosts, and evidence from molecular and culture studies suggest that this broad host range can apply to individual terminal clades within the genus. This raises questions regarding whether presumed endophytic lineages differ from pathogenic lineages with respect to specific genes or groups of genes. We therefore compared the genomes of endophytic and pathogenic isolates within the genus *Monosporascus* and also across genera within the Xylariales with respect to genes for carbohydrate-active enzymes, genes known to be involved in pathogenicity in certain fungi, and genes for effector proteins that facilitate colonization of plant tissues. It remains unclear if the *Monosporascus* isolates from New Mexico are capable of causing disease, but they contain more predicted genes associated with pathogenesis and host plant interactions than their agricultural relatives. In any event, *Monosporascus*

Table 3 Xylariales annotation, functional guild and assembly size comparison

	Predicted number			
Species (strain)	of genes	Total length (Mb)	NCBI Accession	Functional Guild
Monosporascus sp. (GIB2)	11,783	70.59	QJNS0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus sp. (MG133)	11,569	71.87	QJNT0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus sp. (5C6A)	12,537	75.25	QJNW0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus sp. (CRB-9-2)	12,001	76.10	QJNU0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus ibericus (CBS110550)	11,063	87.25	QJOB0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus cannonballus (MC13-8B)	10,641	89.29	QJNZ0000000	Pathogen
Monosporascus sp. (MG162)	11,881	89.46	QJOA0000000	Endophyte
Monosporascus cannonballus (CBS609.92)	10,682	89.62	QJNX0000000	Pathogen
Monosporascus cannonballus (CBS586.93)	11,585	91.50	QJNY0000000	Pathogen
Monosporascus sp. (CRB-8-3)	11,775	102.96	QJNV0000000	Endophyte
Eutypa lata (UCREL1)	11,685	54.01	AORF0000000.1	Pathogen
Pestalotiopsis fici (W106)	15,413	51.91	ARNU0000000.1	Endophyte
Microdochium bolleyi (J235TASD1)	13,338	38.84	LSSP0000000.1	Endophyte
Rosellinia necatrix (W97)	12,644	44.26	BBSO0000000.2	Pathogen
Hypoxylon sp. (CO27-5)	12,245	46.59	MDCL0000000.1	Endophyte
Xylaria grammica (IHI A82)	12,126	47.04	RYZI0000000.1	Endophyte

Table 4 Predicted carbohydrate-active enzymes from dbCAN2 analysis and number of pathogenicity and effector genes predicted by PHI-base analysis

		Predicted Genes				
	Predicted	Predicted Unique	Associated	Predicted Effector		
Isolate (strain)	CAZymes ^a	CAZymes ^a	with Pathogenicity	Genes		
M. cannonballus ^b (CBS 609.92)	427	153	285	43		
M. cannonballus ^b (CBS 586.93)	441	158	301	59		
M. cannonballus ^b (MC13-8B)	428	151	285	45		
M. ibericus (CBS 110550)	448	153	316	42		
5C6A	474	154	320	56		
CRB-8-3	469	161	322	51		
CRB-9-2	471	158	323	58		
MG133	476	161	331	41		
MG162	470	157	330	47		
GIB2	471	155	336	44		
Eutypa lata ^b (UCREL1)	494	158	305	40		
Daldinia eschscholzii (EC12)	407	145	291	29		
Rosellinia necatrix ^b (W97)	444	166	317	47		
Microdochium bolleyi (J235TASD1)	433	158	307	48		

^aValues were obtained from automated CAZyme annotations on protein sequences from each isolate. HMMER (E-Value < 1e-15, coverage > 0.35), DIAMOND (E-Value < 1e-102) and Hotpep (Frequency > 2.6, Hits > 6) were all utilized, and only candidates identified by at least two of these methods are represented. Pathoaenic lineages.

isolates are capable of associating with a broad diversity of plant hosts, suggesting they will be important in understanding mechanisms of plant-fungal interactions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Division, under award number LANLF59T, and in part by a National Science Foundation award to the University of New Mexico for the Sevilleta Long-term Ecological Research program. We thank George Rosenberg and Lijing Bu of the UNM Department of Biology's Molecular Biology Facility for help with sequencing and analysis and acknowledge support from the UNM Center for Evolutionary & Theoretical Immunology (CETI) under National Institutes of Health grant P30GM110907. We thank the UNM Center for Advanced Research Computing, supported in part by the National Science Foundation, for providing access to high performance systems for data analyses.

LITERATURE CITED

- Acero, F. J., V. González, J. Sánchez-Ballesteros, V. Rubio, J. Checa *et al.*, 2004 Molecular phylogenetic studies on the Diatrypaceae based on rDNA-ITS sequences. Mycologia 96: 249–259. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 15572536.2005.11832975
- Bankevich, A., S. Nurk, D. Antipov, A. A. Gurevich, M. Dvorkin *et al.*, 2012 SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J. Comput. Biol. 19: 455–477. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/cmb.2012.0021
- Ben Salem, I., K. C. Correia, N. Boughalleb, S. J. Michereff, M. León et al., 2013 Monosporascus eutypoides, a cause of root rot and vine decline in Tunisia, and evidence that M. cannonballus and M. eutypoides are distinct species. Plant Dis. 97: 737–743. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-12-0464-RE
- Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bonfante, P., and L. Lanfranco, 2019 The mycobiota: fungi take their place between plants and bacteria. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 49: 18–25. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2019.08.004
- Collado, J., A. González, G. Platas, and A. M. Stchigel, 2002 *Monosporascus ibericus* sp. nov., an endophytic ascomycete from plants on saline soils,

with observations on the position of the genus based on sequence analysis of the 18S rDNA. Mycol. Res. 106: 118–127. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756201005172

- Dean, S. L., D. D. Warnock, M. E. Litvak, A. Porras-Alfaro, and R. L. Sinsabaugh, 2015 Root-associated fungal community response to drought-associated changes in vegetation community. Mycologia 107: 1089–1104. https://doi.org/10.3852/14-240
- Glassing, A., S. E. Dowd, S. Galandiuk, B. Davis, and R. J. Chiodini, 2016 Inherent bacterial DNA contamination of extraction and sequencing reagents may affect interpretation of microbiota in low bacterial biomass samples. Gut Pathog. 8: 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13099-016-0103-7
- Gurevich, A., V. Saveliev, N. Vyahhi, and G. Tesler, 2013 QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29: 1072–1075. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086
- Herrera, J., H. H. Khidir, D. M. Eudy, A. Porras-Alfaro, D. O. Natvig et al., 2010 Shifting fungal endophyte communities colonize Bouteloua gracilis: effect of host tissue and geographical distribution. Mycologia 102: 1012–1026. https://doi.org/10.3852/09-264
- Hoff, K. J., and M. Stanke, 2013 WebAUGUSTUS a web service for training AUGUSTUS and predicting genes in eukaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. 41: W123–W128. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt418
- Itabangi, H., P. C. S. Stephton-Clark, X. Zhou, I. Insua, M. Probert *et al.*, 2019 A bacterial endosymbiont enables fungal immune evasion during fatal mucormycete infection. bioRxiv. doi: 10.1101/584607 (Preprint posted March 21, 2019).https://doi.org/10.1101/584607
- James, T. Y., F. Kauff, C. L. Schoch, P. B. Matheny, V. Hofstetter *et al.*, 2006 Reconstructing the early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny. Nature 443: 818–822. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05110
- Jones, Jr., S. K., and R. J. Bennett, 2011 Fungal mating pheromones: choreographing the dating game. Fungal Genet. Biol. 48: 668–676. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2011.04.001
- Jones, P., D. Binns, H. Y. Chang, M. Fraser, W. Li et al., 2014 InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30: 1236– 1240. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031
- Kim, H., and K. A. Borkovich, 2004 A pheromone receptor gene, pre-1, is essential formating type-specific directional growth and fusion of trichogynes and female fertility in *Neurospora crassa*. Mol. Microbiol. 52: 1781–1798. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04096.x
- Kim, H., S. J. Wright, G. Park, S. Ouyang, S. Krystofova et al., 2012 Roles for receptors, pheromones, G Ppoteins, and mating type genes during sexual

reproduction in *Neurospora crassa*. Genetics 190: 1389–1404. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.136358

- Knapp, D. G., J. B. Németh, K. Barry, M. Hainaut, B. Henrissat *et al.*,
 2018 Comparative genomics provides insights into the lifestyle and reveals functional heterogeneity of dark septate endophytic fungi. Sci. Rep. 8: 6321. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24686-4
- Lastovetsky, O. A., E. Ahn, S. J. Mondo, K. H. Toomer, A. Zhang *et al.*, 2018 Distribution and population structure of endobacteria in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi at North Atlantic dunes. ISME J. 12: 3001–3013. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0246-2
- Lee, J., J. F. Leslie, and R. L. Bowden, 2008 Expression and function of sex pheromones and receptors in the homothallic ascomycete *Gibberella zeae*. Eukaryot. Cell 7: 1211–1221. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00272-07
- Li, W., and A. Godzik, 2006 Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 22: 1658–1659. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158
- Negreiros, A. M. P., R. S. Júnior, A. P. M. S. Rodrigues, M. León, and J. Armengol, 2019 Prevalent weeds collected from cucurbit fields in Northeastern Brazil reveal new species diversity in the genus *Monosporascus*. Ann. Appl. Biol. 174: 349–363. https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12493
- Porras-Alfaro, A., J. Herrera, R. L. Sinsabaugh, K. J. Odenbach, T. Lowrey et al., 2008 Novel Root Fungal Consortium Associated with a Dominant Desert Grass. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74: 2805–2813. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/AEM.02769-07
- Porras-Alfaro, A., S. Raghavan, M. Garcia, R. L. Sinsabaugh, D. O. Natvig *et al.*,
 2014 Endophytic fungal symbionts associated with gysophilous plants.
 Botany 92: 295–301. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjb-2013-0178
- Robinson, A. J., and D. O. Natvig, 2019 Diverse members of the Xylariales lack canonical mating-type regions. Fungal Genet. Biol. 122: 47–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2018.12.004
- Salter, S. J., M. J. Cox, E. M. Turek, S. T. Calus, W. O. Cookson *et al.*, 2014 Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. BMC Biol. 12: 87. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12915-014-0087-z
- Shakya, M., S. A. Ahmed, K. W. Davenport, M. C. Flynn, C. C. Lo *et al.*, 2020 Standardized phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analysis

applied to species across the microbial tree of life. Sci. Rep. 10: 1723. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58356-1

- Sievers, F., A. Wilm, D. G. Dineen, T. J. Gibson, K. Karplus et al., 2011 Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 7: 539. https://doi.org/10.1038/ msb.2011.75
- Simão, F. A., R. M. Waterhouse, P. Ioannidis, E. V. Kriventseva, and E. M. Zdobnov, 2015 BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics 31: 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
- Stamatakis, A., 2014 RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30: 1312–1313. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
- Stanke, M., and B. Morgenstern, 2005 AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in eukaryotes that allows user-defined constraints. Nucleic Acids Res. 33: W465–W467. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki458
- Steinegger, M., and J. Söding, 2017 MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching for the analysis of massive data sets. Nat. Biotechnol. 35: 1026–1028. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3988
- Turrà, D., M. El Ghalid, F. Rossi, and A. Di Pietro, 2015 Fungal pathogen uses sex pheromone receptor for chemotropic sensing of host plant signals. Nature 527: 521–524. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15516
- Uehling, J., A. Gryganskyi, K. Hameed, T. Tschaplinski, P. K. Misztal et al., 2017 Comparative genomics of Mortierella elongata and its bacterial endosymbiont Mycoavidus cysteinexigens. Environ. Microbiol. 19: 2964– 2983. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13669
- Urban, M., A. Cuzick, K. Rutherford, A. Irvine, H. Pedro et al., 2017 PHIbase: a new interface and further additions for the multi-species pathogenhost interactions database. Nucleic Acids Res. 45: D604–D610. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1089
- Zhang, H., T. Yohe, L. Huang, S. Entwistle, P. Wu *et al.*, 2018 dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 46: W95–W101. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky418

Communicating editor: A. Rokas