

Complexity of Antibiotic Resistance in Commensal *Escherichia coli* Derived from Pigs from an Intensive-Production Farm

JUSTYNA MAZUREK^{1*}, EWA BOK¹, and KATARZYNA BALDY-CHUDZIK¹

¹Department of Microbiology and Genetics, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Zielona Góra, Monte Cassino 21b, 65–561 Zielona Góra, Poland

(Received March 13, 2017—Accepted April 13, 2018—Published online September 13, 2018)

Antibiotics in animal husbandry are used to maintain welfare, but lead to the generation of resistant strains. We analyzed commensal multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* from pigs at the beginning and end of the production cycle in a farm with a farrow-to-finish system in order to investigate whether clonal spread or horizontal gene transfer constitutes the main factor responsible for the prevalence of resistance in this environment. Among 380 isolates, 56 multidrug-resistant *E. coli* with a similar resistant phenotype were selected for more detailed investigations including a genomic similarity analysis and the detection of mobile elements. Isolates carried *bla_{TEM-1}*, *aadA1*, *strA/B*, *tetA*, *tetB*, *tetC*, *dfrA1*, *dfrA5*, *dfrA7*, *dfrA12*, *sul1*, *sul2*, *sul3*, and *qnrS* resistance genes, with the common co-occurrence of genes encoding the same resistance phenotype. A pulse-field gel electrophoresis analysis of the genomic similarity of multidrug-resistant *E. coli* showed \leq 65% similarity of most of the tested strains and did not reveal a dominant clone responsible for the prevalence of resistance traits were unevenly spread in the clonal groups and suggested the major rearrangement of genetic material by horizontal gene transfer. The present results revealed that in commensal *E. coli* from pigs in a homogeneous farm environment, there was no dominant clone responsible for the spread of resistance traits and persistence in the population.

Key words: antibiotic resistance, commensal Escherichia coli, food production animals

The potential contribution of food-producing animals to the development of antibiotic resistance in bacteria has been widely discussed (16, 17, 18, 38). Animal breeding farms constitute specific environments with large concentrations of animals at various stages of the production cycle, necessitating the use of antibiotics, particularly for young pigs in the post-weaning period (8, 15). Although antibiotic administration is directed against pathogenic bacteria, commensals are also affected. Thus, the commensal intestinal flora reflects the history of antibiotic usage and constitutes a specific reservoir of resistant strains. An epidemiological threat may arise, particularly from multidrug-resistant strains that accumulate resistance genes (40). The European Food Safety Authority indicates commensal species, such as *Escherichia coli*, as indicator organisms for monitoring resistance to antibiotics in animals (12).

We herein investigated the resistance of *E. coli* derived from pigs from one intensive-production farm, working in a farrow-to-finish system. The aim of the present study was to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for the prevalence of *E. coli* resistance in animals from this environment. The research hypothesis assumed the occurrence of two possible mechanisms of resistance dissemination. The first assumption was that if the administration of antibiotics to piglets generates specific *E. coli*-resistant clones, they will also be present in 5-monthold adult sows at the end of the production cycle. The second mechanism concerned the process of horizontal gene transfer. If horizontal gene transfer is mostly responsible for the prevalence of resistance, mobile genetic elements will be spread among *E. coli* strains in young as well as adult animals.

Therefore, *E. coli* was collected from piglets at the beginning of the production cycle and from sows at the end of the production cycle. This sampling plan provided the opportunity to assess whether a particular multidrug-resistant clone persists in a given environment or if resistance determinants are spread by horizontal gene transfer between the different strains. The clonal diversity of strains was assessed by a PFGE analysis, and the contribution of horizontal gene transfer to resistance development was investigated by the identification of mobile elements, such as plasmids and the most prevalent transposons (7 and 21), class 1 and 2 integrons, and insertion sequences in the environment (1, 10, 21, 37).

Materials and Methods

Characteristics of the farm and animals

The selected farm is located in the western part of Poland. It is an intensive-production farm with a farrow-to-finish production system. Approximately 55,000 piglets are born annually. Groups of animals are housed in separate buildings for the different phases of their life and moved to another building. Animals enter a freshly disinfected environment, complying with all-in/all-out (AIAO) systems. Buildings are in close proximity to one another in order to ensure a uniform microclimate and the health status of all groups of pigs. Each group of pigs is fed a different diet.

Sample collection, isolation, and identification of E. coli

Groups of animals that had passed the same metaphylactic program were selected, and represented the main animal groups from the farm. There were four litters of post-weaning piglets aged 5–10 weeks

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: j.mazurek@wnb.uz.zgora.pl; Tel: +48–503–081–590; Fax: +48–68–328–23–41.

(220 animals) that were in the successive stages of the metaphylactic program (in the five consecutive weeks, sulfonamide, trimethoprim, amoxicillin, and sulfaguanidine were administered in medicated feed) and two herds of sows (160 animals). Sows underwent the same metaphylactic program and were from between 10 and 18 weeks after antibiotic treatment. The program was introduced for piglets after weaning based on the diagnosis of streptococcosis and colibacillosis. Fresh fecal samples were collected only once and *E. coli* was selected as described previously (29). One isolate per pig fecal sample was randomly chosen for further study.

Antibiotic sensitivity testing

Antibiotic sensitivity to 11 antimicrobial agents—ampicillin, cefotaxime, ceftazidime, tetracycline, streptomycin, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, ciprofloxacin, sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, and chloramphenicol—was assessed using the broth microdilution method with Sensititre plates for veterinary application (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Independence, OH, USA). The results obtained were interpreted according to the epidemiological MIC cut-off values set by EFSA (13). *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as a susceptibility control strain.

Antibiotic resistance gene identification

All isolates were examined for the presence of genes encoding resistance to β -lactams: bla_{TEM} , bla_{SHV} (24), bla_{CTX-M} (22), and *ampC* (31); aminoglycosides: *aadA1*, *strA/B*, and *aac(3)-IV* (24), tetracycline: *tetA*, *tetB*, *tetC*, *tetD*, and *tetM* (30) sulfamethoxazole: *sul1*, *sul2*, and *sul3* (24) trimethoprim: *dfrA1*, *dfrA5*, *dfrA7*, and *dfrA12* (14); chloramphenicol: *catA1*, *cmlA1*, and *floR* (41) and quinolones: *qnrA1-6*, *qnrB1-6*, and *qnrS1-2* (6) by multiplex and simplex PCR amplification.

Pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

A PFGE analysis was performed with a CHEF-MAPER system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), according to the PulseNet protocol (http://www.cdc.gov/pulsenet/pathogens/pfge.html) with XbaI digestion (7). Based on PFGE fingerprinting patterns, a dendrogram was created using the UPGMA method with the Dice similarity coefficient (Fingerprinting II, V 3.0; Bio-Rad). The genetic relatedness of tested *E. coli* was assessed and isolates showing more than 90% PFGE pattern similarity were considered to belong to the same clonal group (36).

Mobile genetic element identification

The presence of class 1 and class 2 integrons was analyzed by the PCR identification of their elements: integrase genes (*int1* and *int2*), variable regions containing gene cassettes (c1gc and c2gc) and the 3' conserved region of the class 1 integron (qacEA1 and sul1) (22). Gene cassettes were identified by the sequencing of PCR products (Genomed, Poland). Transposons 7 (Tn7) and 21 (Tn21) were identified by the amplification of genes encoding their individual components. Transposase (tnpA) and resolvase (tnpR) genes, the regulatory gene *merR*, and one of the structural Genes, *merA*, were identified using multiplex PCR (Supplementary Table S1). Furthermore, the mobile elements' common regions—ISCR1, ISCR2, and ISCR3—were detected (Table S1). Plasmid replicon typing was conducted according to the PCR method designed by Carattoli (4).

Statistical analysis

Pearson's chi-squared test was used to assess the relationships between the prevalence of resistance genes and the source of the *E. coli* origin with the significance level set at P < 0.05 (statistical program R version 2.15.0).

Results

Antibiotic susceptibility tests on 380 E. coli isolates revealed a high prevalence of resistance among isolates from pigs. In isolates from piglets at the beginning of the production cycle during the administration of antibiotics, the frequency of resistant E. coli was 99%. Among isolates from adult pigs at the end of the production cycle, resistance was 100%. E. coli was resistant not only to the antibiotics used in the metaphylactic program, but also to other agents. The dominant patterns of phenotypic resistance were as follows: AMP/STR/TET/TMP/ SMX, STR/TET/TMP/SMX, and AMP/STR/GEN/TET/TMP/ SMX/CIP. Fourteen different resistance genes (*bla*_{TEM-1}, aadA1, strA/B, tetA, tetB, tetC, dfrA1, dfrA5, dfrA7, dfrA12, sul1, sul2, sul3, and gnrS) were found in the resistant isolates. Among the E. coli tested, multidrug isolates with a similar phenotype of resistance and similar set of resistance genes were selected for detailed genetic studies. This set included 56 isolates—34 from piglets (marked as P) and 22 from sows (marked as S). Among these isolates, resistance to β -lactam antibiotics was identified in 41 E. coli, which constituted 73% of the tested set (*i.e.*, 41/73%). Only the *bla_{TEM-1}* resistance gene was detected in these ampicillin-resistant isolates (Table 1). No gene was detected in four isolates from sows, which were resistant to ampicillin, and one isolate from piglets had the *bla_{TEM-1}* gene, but was sensitive to ampicillin (Table 1).

The *aadA1* (45/80%) and *strA/B* (18/32%) genes were present in streptomycin-resistant isolates (50/89%), and their co-occurrence was observed in 13 (23%) *E. coli.* In

 Table 1.
 Prevalence of *E. coli* resistance and resistance genes in multidrug-resistant *E. coli* isolated from groups of pigs.

	Desistance	No. (%) of <i>E. coli</i> isolated from		
Antibiotic Agent	gene	No. (%) of E. coliPigletsSows $(n=34)$ $(n=22)$ 31 (91)10 (45)32 (94)6 (27)32 (94)18 (82)26 (76)19 (50)12 (35)6 (27)28 (82)12 (54)22 (65)8 (36)1 (3)7 (32)7 (20)1 (4)34 (100)22 (10)29 (85)20 (91)17 (50)2 (9)11 (32)7 (32)16 (47)19 (86)34 (100)20 (91)25 (73)11 (50)18 (53)7 (32)17 (50)7 (32)4 (12)0	Sows (n=22)	Total (<i>n</i> =56)
A	resistance	31 (91)	10 (45)	41 (73)
Ampicillin	bla _{TEM-1}	32 (94)	6 (27)	38 (68)
	resistance	32 (94)	18 (82)	50 (89)
Streptomycin	aadA1 strA/B	26 (76) 12 (35)	19 (50) 6 (27)	45 (80) 18 (32)
	resistance	28 (82)	12 (54)	40 (71)
Tetracycline	tetA tetB tetC	22 (65) 1 (3) 7 (20)	8 (36) 7 (32) 1 (4)	30 (54) 8 (14) 8 (14)
	resistance	34 (100)	22 (100)	56 (100)
Trimethoprim	dfrA1 dfrA5 dfrA7 dfrA12	29 (85) 17 (50) 11 (32) 16 (47)	20 (91) 2 (9) 7 (32) 19 (86)	49 (87) 19 (34) 18 (32) 35 (62.5)
	resistance	34 (100)	20 (91)	54 (96)
Sulfamethoxazole	sul1 sul2 sul3	25 (73) 18 (53) 17 (50)	11 (50) 7 (32) 7 (32)	36 (64) 25 (45) 24 (43)
	resistance	4 (12)	0	4 (7)
Ciprofloxacin	qnrS	3 (9)	0	3 (5)

The presence of the following genes was not detected in the tested set: resistance to β -lactams: bla_{SHV-I} , bla_{CTX-M} and ampC, tetracycline *tetD*; gentamicin *aac*(3)*IV*, trimethoprim *dfrA17*, phenicols: *floR*, *cmlA*, *cat1*; quinolones *qnrA*.

tetracycline-resistant isolates (40/71%), the tetA gene was the most common determinant (30/54%) found in isolates resistant to tetracycline, followed by the *tetC* and *tetB* genes (in 8/14% of isolates each). The co-occurrence of two tet genes was observed in three E. coli, while no gene was detected in three resistant isolates. Four dfrA genes were detected among trimethoprim-resistant isolates (56 strains/100%). and the *dfrA1* gene was the most prevalent (present in 49) isolates/87%), followed by dfrA12 (35/62.5%), dfrA5 (19/34%), and dfrA7 (18/32%). The presence of two to three dfrA genes in various combinations was detected in 98% of the isolates tested. Three different sul genes were detected among 54 sulfamethoxazole-resistant isolates. The sull gene was the most prevalent (present in 36 isolates/64%), and the sul2 and sul3 genes occurred at similar frequencies (25/45%; 24/43%, respectively). The occurrence of more than one *sul* gene was found in 27 isolates (48%), mainly in strains from piglets. Regarding quinolone resistance, the qnrS gene was identified in three isolates from piglets.

In order to assess whether any dominant E. coli clones carrying resistance determinants existed in the tested population, the genetic diversity of multidrug-resistant strains was examined using a PFGE analysis. The UPGMA dendrogram created based on the PFGE genomic patterns of 56 resistant E. coli showed the presence of two major heterogeneous groups with a similarity of 65% (Fig. 1). The first group comprised 22 isolates derived mainly from piglets (17 E. coli). The second group was formed by 26 isolates-15 from adult pigs and 11 from piglets. Eight isolates (6 from piglets and 2 from adults) were not classified in the main groups. In six cases, two to three individual isolates were characterized by identical genetic profiles (>90% similarity) and were derived from the same group of pigs. In the next step, the spread of resistance genes in particular similarity groups of the dendrogram was analyzed. Resistance genes were evenly distributed in these groups.

In order to identify mobile genetic platforms with the ability to carry resistance genes, the presence of integrons, transposons, and common regions was investigated. In class 1 integron typing, both of the typical integrons with a complete set of elements and atypical (incomplete) integrons without one of the elements were detected in 44 E. coli (Table 2). Typical integrons were the most prevalent in E. coli from piglets (P=0.0027), while atypical class 1 integrons were identified significantly more often among E. coli isolated from sows (P=0.0389). In class 1 integrons, four gene cassette arrays were detected: dfrA1-aadA1 (in 20 isolates/36%), aadA1 (3/5%), dfrA7 (2/4%), and dfrA12-aadA2 (1/2%). Class 2 integrons were detected in 22/39% of all tested isolates and contained two gene cassette arrays: dfrA1-sat2aadA1 (11/20%) and estX-sat2-aadA1 (5/9%) (Table 2). Atypical structures were also found in this class of integrons (Table 2). Class 1 integrons were more frequently detected than class 2 integrons among E. coli from each group of animals. The co-existence of class 1 and 2 integrons was observed in 14 E. coli isolates.

In the case of transposons, their individual components, commonly accepted marker genes, were identified. Transposases (tnp) and mercury resistance operon genes (mer) of transposon 21 were both detected in 22 isolates (39%) (Table 3). Only tnp genes were detected in 7 isolates (12.5%), while

only *mer* genes were detected in the next 16 isolates (29%). Fragments of Tn21 were identified more frequently in strains from piglets than from sows (P=0.0218). The presence of Tn7, with all marker genes (*tns A, B, C, D,* and *E*), was detected in 22 (39%) of the tested isolates. The common region ISCR2 was found in 24 isolates (43%) (Table 3), and more frequently in *E. coli* from piglets (P=0.0143). Taking into account the dissemination of mobile elements in the similarity groups of the dendrogram, they were spread among all similarity PFGE groups and were not clustered in any of the selected groups (Fig. 1).

The presence of the plasmids was examined by the detection of their replicon types, determining their affiliation to incompatibility groups (Inc). In plasmid replicon typing, 11 different incompatibility groups (K, FIB, I1, FIIA, FIC, FIA, Y, P, HI1, B/O, and T) were detected (Table 4). Replicons belonging to the incompatibility groups K and IncF (FIB, FIIA, I1) were the most frequently identified. Replicons of the incompatibility groups P, HI1, B/O, and T were only found in isolates from piglets. Furthermore, replicons with the FIB, I1, and FIIA groups occurred more frequently among strains from these animals (P=0.0113, P=0.0035, and P=0.0499, respectively).

The replicon co-existence of more than one incompatibility group was found in many isolates. In the analyzed set of 56 strains, 30 different replicon arrangements were detected, representing 2 to 7 incompatibility groups. Three combinations of replicon types K/I1/FIB/FIIA, K/I1/FIB, and K/FIB/ FIC occurred in isolates from both groups of animals (Fig. 1). One to three replicons were identified significantly more often in isolates from sows (P=0.0343), while 4 or more co-existing replicons were detected more often in *E. coli* from piglets (P=0.0218). A correlation was not observed between replicon types and clonal groups in the dendrogram (Fig. 1). Plasmids of the same Inc groups were not related to specific resistance genes or stable platform integrin transposons. Isolates with identical PFGE restriction patterns (6 pairs) had very similar arrangements of resistance traits in one case only.

Discussion

The present study focused on elucidating whether clonal spreading or horizontal gene transfer is responsible for the prevalence and maintenance of resistance in the closed system of animal husbandry. In the population of animals studied, multidrug-resistant strains were isolated from young post-weaning piglets that received antibiotics and from adult pigs finishing the production cycle. Most of the resistant strains from both groups carried similar resistance genes and mobile elements. However, the PFGE analysis did not show identical genomic patterns, and they were not clustered in a similarity group. These results excluded the hypothesis of the spread of resistant *E. coli* clones in the bacterial population.

The diversity of resistance genes associated with the resistance phenotypes was high, indicating the dynamics of resistance development. The accumulation of resistant genes responsible for a particular antibiotic was significant. The co-existence of genes affecting resistance to the same antibiotic in a single strain was the most prevalent in *E. coli* from piglets that were under antibiotic pressure. This may have resulted from a mutation leading to gene diversity and the



Fig. 1. Dendrogram of the relationship between PFGE patterns of 56 multidrug resistant *E. coli* isolates.

Source of isolates No. (%) E. coli with class 1 integrons		Class 1 integron elements		No. (%) E. coli	Class 2 integron elements		
	with class 1 integrons	5'CS*	variable region with gene cassettes	3' CS*	with class 2 integrons	5'CS*	variable region with gene cassettes
Typical 20 (59) Piglets	Typical 20 (59)	int1	dfrA1-aadA1 dfrA12-aadA2 dfrA7	sul1-qacE∆1	Typical 7 (20)	int2	dfrA1-sat2-aadA1; estX-sat2-aadA1
(<i>n</i> =34) Atypical 5 (15)	$\Delta turning [5(15)]$	int1	aadA1		A tripical 2 (0)	int?	
	int1			Atypical 5 (9)	Int2	—	
Sows (n=22) Typical 5 (27) Atypical 14 (64)	int1	dfrA1-aadA1 aadA1 dfrA7	sul1-qacE∆1	Typical 9 (41)	int2	dfr1-sat2-aadA1; estX-sat2-aadA1	
	Atypical 14 (64)	int1			Atypical 3 (14)	int2	_
Total (<i>n</i> =56) –	Typical class 1 integrons 25 (45)			Typical class 2 integrons 16 (28)			
	Atypical class 1 integrons 19 (34)			Atypical class 2 integrons 6 (11)			

Table 2. Prevalence of class 1 and 2 integrons among multidrug-resistant E. coli isolated from groups of pigs.

* 5'CS and 3'CS-conserved segments of integrons.

Table 3. Prevalence of elements of transposons Tn7 and Tn21 and the
common region ISCR among multidrug-resistant *E. coli*
isolated from groups of pigs.

	No. (%) of <i>E. coli</i> from pigs			
Genetic element	Piglets (n=34)	Sows (n=22)	Total (<i>n</i> =56)	
<i>tnpA</i> , <i>R merA</i> , <i>R</i> of Tn21*	12 (35)	10 (45)	22 (39)	
<i>tnpA</i> , <i>R</i> of Tn21**	5 (15)	2 (9)	7 (12.5)	
<i>merA</i> , <i>R</i> of Tn21**	12 (35)	4 (18)	16 (29)	
<i>tnsA,B,C,D,E</i> of Tn7	11 (32)	11 (50)	22 (39)	
ISCR2	18 (53)	6 (27)	24 (43)	

* Complete set of genes of Tn21; ** incomplete set of genes of Tn21.

Table 4.Prevalence of Inc plasmid groups among multidrug-resistantE. coli isolated from groups of pigs.

	No. (%) of <i>E. coli</i> from pigs				
Inc group	Piglets (n=34)	Sows (n=22)	Total (<i>n</i> =56)		
K	29 (85)	21 (95)	50 (89)		
FIB	31 (91)	14 (64)	45 (80)		
I1	28 (82)	10 (45)	38 (69)		
FIIA	18 (53)	8 (36)	26 (46)		
FIC	8 (23)	7 (32)	15 (27)		
Y	5 (15)	8 (36)	13 (23)		
Р	9 (26)	0	9 (16)		
FIA	4 (12)	3 (14)	7 (12)		
HI1	2 (6)	0	2 (3)		
B/O	1 (3)	0	1 (2)		
Т	1 (3)	0	1 (2)		

accumulation of resistance genes forced by horizontal gene transfer. The resistance genes identified in the *E. coli* tested have also been detected by other researchers. However, the prevalence of strains carrying multiple genes for resistance to one antibiotic was higher in the present study, particularly for trimethoprim-resistance genes, than previously reported (3, 11, 14, 16, 19, 23, 33, 35, 39). It is important to note that the *bla*_{CTX-M}, *bla*_{SHV}, and *bla*_{CMY-2} genes, encoding extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) (32), were not detected among the strains tested. These genes, which have an origin in a clinical environment, may not have been introduced into the gene pool of the tested environment, although the gene reservoir in farm animals occurs in Poland (26).

The genes responsible for resistance to ampicillin and tetracycline were not found in several strains, suggesting that other resistance determinants are present among the tested strains. It emphasizes the high diversity of the genetic background of resistance in a given environment. Moreover, the presence of resistance genes in sensitive strains indicates high mutation variability. In similar studies, we reported that the trimethoprim resistance genes dfr were not expressed due to defective promoters (29). Furthermore, similar changes may have occurred in this case. The occurrence of horizontal gene transfer also strongly indicates rearrangements within mobile elements, such as integrons and transposons. Numerous genetic elements responsible for the transfer of resistance genes were detected among the strains tested. Class 1 and/or class 2 integrons carried gene cassettes that are globally disseminated and have been reported in the literature for years (9, 16, 19, 21, 28). However, in the tested population, integrons were present not only in the form of a typical genetic platform, containing characteristic integron components, but also as atypical integrons (without a variable region of gene cassettes and/or gene of 3' ends). The gene cassettes in integrons are generally incorporated or excised ("cut-and-paste" relocalization) by site-specific recombination catalyzed by the integrase (34). In the present study, the difference observed in the prevalence of typical and incomplete integrons suggests the strong activity of rearrangement mechanisms, involving the insertion of integron gene cassettes in the course of antibiotic pressure and/or cutting out of gene cassettes when antibiotic pressure ceases. Gene cassettes are genetic elements incapable of self-replication and require the presence of the integron Pc promoter for their expression (10). The consequence of this system is that the transfer of the cassette beyond the promoter may result in the inactivity of the genes.

The presence of atypical class 1 and 2 integrons has been reported, but in a smaller number of isolates (1, 16, 23, 27, 28). The present study is one of the few that allowed for indications of changes in the structures of integrons in strains from a defined population when the pressure of antibiotics occurs and ceases.

Integrons may be transmitted between bacterial cells when they are within larger genetic platforms—in transposons. The presence of Tn7 and Tn21 was detected in the tested set of multidrug-resistant strains. All characteristic genes were detected for Tn7, whereas the complete set of genes and just the 3' or 5' ends of genes were detected for Tn21. Fragments of Tn21 were detected more frequently in strains from animals during antibiotic therapy. This is further evidence for the significant rearrangements of mobile genetic elements under antibiotic pressure. The present study also revealed the presence of the common region ISCR2, which was mainly identified among *E. coli* animals during the antibiotic treatment. This group of insertion sequences has been identified previously in association with a number of antibiotic resistance genes, such as *sul2*, *dfr18*, and *floR* (37); however, a correlation was not observed with any of the resistance genes detected in the tested *E. coli* set.

Furthermore, the plasmids appeared to be an important factor responsible for the occurrence of resistance in the studied population. Plasmids from the IncF group were the most commonly identified, which confirms the common observation that plasmids from the IncF family play a significant role in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance in Enterobacteriaceae (5, 20). However, higher diversity was noted in the numbers and types of replicon incompatibility groups, particularly among E. coli from piglets. This result indicates the important role of plasmids in the transmission of resistance traits in the bacterial population when antibiotics are administered. Plasmids were also present in all multidrugresistant strains from pigs at the end of the production cycle. Plasmid maintenance in the bacterial population, regardless of environmental pressure, may be the result of plasmid stability mechanisms in cells (2).

The presence of specific (definite) plasmid replicons in strains belonging to the different PFGE similarity groups and the lack of a connection to particular clonal groups also support the hypothesis of the non-clonal dissemination of a resistant determinant among the tested *E. coli*. Strains with a similar Inc plasmid carried a different set of resistance genes, and it was not possible to connect a given gene to a particular plasmid. This indicates the great potential for the dissemination of resistant traits in the farm environment tested.

The present results suggest the existence of a diverse gene pool of resistance; however, it is limited by the closed farm environment. Antibiotic pressure triggers the mechanisms of horizontal gene transfer, which leads to the major rearrangement of genetic material. Classical patterns of mobile elements associated with resistance are as follows: gene cassettesintegrons-transposons-plasmids. These typical schemes are often revealed in clinical strains, with epidemiological significance (25). These elements were found to be reshuffled in the environment tested. There was no single specific genetic platform or one selected environment-specific E. coli clone that may be responsible for the resistance phenotype in the analyzed strains. In conclusion, the present results demonstrated that animal farms, in which antibiotics are constantly administered at the early stage of the pig production cycle, constitute a fundamental environment for dynamic changes and the development of antibiotic resistance. This environment is the crucible in which various factors of resistance are mixed, and the driving force is the use of antibiotics. The gene pool changes, but is retained and circulates.

References

- Ajiboye, R.M., O.D. Solberg, B.M. Lee, E. Raphael, C. DebRoy, and L.W. Riley. 2009. Global spread of mobile antimicrobial drug resistance determinants in human and animal *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains causing community-acquired infections. Clin. Infect. Dis. 49:365–371.
- Bahl, M.I., L.H. Hansen, and S.J. Sørensen. 2009. Persistence mechanisms of conjugative plasmids. Methods Mol. Biol. (N. Y., NY, U. S.) 532:73–102.
- Bean, D.C., D.M. Livermore, and L.M. Hall. 2009. Plasmids imparting sulfonamide resistance in *Escherichia coli*: implications for persistence. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 53:1088–1093.
- Carattoli, A., A. Bertini, L. Villa, V. Falbo, K.L. Hopkins, and E.J. Threlfall. 2005. Identification of plasmids by PCR-based replicon typing. J. Microbiol. Methods. 63:219–228.
- Carattoli, A. 2011. Plasmids in Gram negatives: molecular typing of resistance plasmids. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 301:654–658.
- Cattoir, V., L. Poirel, V. Rotimi, C.J. Soussy, and P. Nordmann. 2007. Multiplex PCR for detection of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance *qnr* genes in ESBL-producing enterobacterial isolates. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 60:394–397.
- CDC. 2004. One-day (24–48 h) standardized laboratory protocol for molecular subtyping of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, non-typhoidal *Salmonella serotypes*, and *Shigella sonnei* by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). PulseNet PFGE Manual Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta.
- Chardon, H., and H. Brugere. 2014. Antibiotic uses in animal husbandry & meat value chains. Centre d'Information des Viandes Tour Mattei, Paris.
- Cocchi, S., E. Grasselli, M. Gutacker, C. Benagli, M. Convert, and J.C. Piffaretti. 2007. Distribution and characterization of integrons in *Escherichia coli* strains of animal and human origin. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 50:126–132.
- Collis, C.M., and R.M. Hall. 1995. Expression of antibiotic resistance genes in the integrated cassettes of integrons. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:155–162.
- Enne, V.I., C. Cassar, K. Sprigings, M.J. Woodward, and P.M. Bennett. 2008. A high prevalence of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs and a low prevalence of antimicrobial resistant from cattle and sheep in Great Britain at slaughter. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 278:193–199.
- European Food Safety Authority. 2008. Report from the task force on zoonoses data collection including guidance for harmonized monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. from food animals. EFSA J. 141:1–44.
- European Food Safety Authority. 2014. Manual for reporting on antimicrobial resistance in the framework of Directive 2003/99/EC and indicator bacteria for information derived from the year 2013. EFSA supporting publication 2014:EN-574 p. 1–36.
- Grape, M., A. Motakefi, S. Pavuluri, and G. Kahlmeter. 2007. Standard and real-time multiplex PCR methods for detection of trimethoprim resistance *dfr* genes in large collections of bacteria. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. 13:1112–1118.
- Guardabassi, L., L.B. Jensen, and H. Kruse. 2008. Guide to Antimicrobial Use in Animals, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford.
- Guerra, B., E. Junker, A. Schroeter, B. Malorny, S. Lehman, and R. Helmuth. 2003. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in German *Escherichia coli* isolates from cattle, swine, and poultry. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 52:489–492.
- Hao, H., G. Cheng, Z. Iqbal, X. Ai, H.I. Hussain, L. Huang, M. Dai, Y. Wang, Z. Liu, and Z. Yuan. 2014. Benefits and risks of antimicrobial use in food-producing animals. Front. Microbiol. 5:288.
- Hao, H., P. Sander, Z. Iqbal, Y. Wang, G. Cheng, and Z. Yuan. 2016. The risk of some veterinary antimicrobial agents on public health associated with antimicrobial resistance and their molecular basis. Front. Microbiol. 7:1626.
- Ho, P.L., R.C. Wong, K.H. Chow, and T.L. Que. 2009. Distribution of integron-associated trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance determinants among *Escherichia coli* from humans and food-producing animals. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 49:627–634.
- Johnson, T.J., Y.M. Wannemuehler, S.J. Johnson, C.M. Logue, D.G. White, C. Doetkott, and L.K. Nolan. 2007. Plasmid replicon typing of commensal and pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73:1976–1983.

- Kadlec, K., and S. Schwarz. 2008. Analysis and distribution of class 1 and class 2 integrons and associated gene cassettes among *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine, horses, cats and dogs collected in the BfT-GermVet monitoring study. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 62:469–473.
- Karczmarczyk, M., C. Walsh, R. Slowey, N. Leonard, and S. Fanning. 2011. Molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from Irish cattle farms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:7121–7127.
- Karczmarczyk, M., Y. Abbott, C. Walsh, N. Leonard, and S. Fanning. 2011. Characterization of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from animals presenting at a university veterinary hospital. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:7104–7112.
- Kozak, G., P. Boerlin, N. Janecko, R.J. Reid-Smith, and C. Jardine. 2009. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine and wild small mammals in the proximity of swine farms and in natural environments in Ontario, Canada. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:559– 566.
- Kubasova, T., D. Cejkova, J. Matiasovicova, Z. Sekelova, O. Polansky, M. Medvecky, I. Rychlik, and H. Juricova. 2016. Antibiotic resistance, core-genome and protein expression in IncHI1 plasmids in *Salmonella* Typhimurium. Genome Biol. Evol. 8:1661–1671.
- Lalak, A., D. Wasyl, M. Zając, M. Skarżyńska, A. Hoszowski, I. Samcik, G. Woźniakowski, and K. Szulowski. 2016. Mechanisms of cephalosporin resistance in indicator *Escherichia coli* isolated from food animals. Vet. Microbiol. 194:69–73.
- Lee, M.F., C.F. Peng, H.J. Hsu, and H.S. Toh. 2011. Use of inverse PCR for analysis of class 1 integrons carrying an unusual 3' conserved segment structure. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55:943–945.
- Machado, E., T.M. Coque, R. Canton, J.C. Sousa, and L. Peixe. 2008. Antibiotic resistance integrons and extended-spectrum β-lactamases among *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates recovered from chickens and swine in Portugal. J. Antimicrob. Chemoth. 62:296–302.
- Mazurek, J., E. Bok, M. Stosik, and K. Baldy-Chudzik. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Escherichia coli* from pigs during metaphylactic trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole treatment and in the post-exposure period. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12:2150–2163.
- Ng, L.K., I. Martin, M. Alfa, and M. Mulvey. 2001. Multiplex PCR for the detection of tetracycline resistant genes. Mol. Cell. Probes 15:209–215.

- Poirel, L., J.W. Decousser, and P. Nordmann. 2016. Insertion sequence ISEcp1B is involved in expression and mobilization of a *bla(CTX-M)* beta-lactamase gene. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 47:2938–2945.
- Rao, L., L. Lv, Z. Zeng, *et al.* 2014. Increasing prevalence of extendedspectrum cephalosporin-resistant *E. coli* in food animals and the diversity of CTX-M genotypes during 2003–2012. Vet. Microbiol. 172:534–541.
- Rosengren, L.B., C.L. Waldner, and R.J. Reid-Smith. 2009. Associations between antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, antimicrobial resistance genes, and virulence genes of fecal *Escherichia coli* isolates from healthy grow-finish pigs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:1373–1380.
- Stalder, T., O. Barraud, M. Casellas, Ch. Dagot, and M.C. Ploy. 2012. Integron involvement in environmental spread of antibiotic resistance. Front. Microbiol. 3:119.
- Szmolka, A., and B. Nagy. 2013. Multidrug resistant commensal *Escherichia coli* in animals and its impact for public health. Front. Microbiol. 4:258.
- Tenover, F.C., R.D. Arbeit, and R.V. Goering. 1995. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 33:2233–2239.
- Toleman, M.A., P.M. Bennett, and T.R. Walsh. 2006. Common regions e.g. orf513 and antibiotic resistance: IS91-like elements evolving complex class 1 integrons. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 58:1–
- Weese, J.S., S. Giguère, L. Guardabassi, P.S. Morley, M. Papich, D.R. Ricciuto, and J.E. Sykes. 2015. ACVIM consensus statement on therapeutic antimicrobial use in animals and antimicrobial resistance. J. Vet. Intern. Med. 29:487–498.
- Wu, S., A. Dalsgaard, A.M. Hammerum, L.J. Porsbo, and L.B. Jensen. 2010. Prevalence and characterization of plasmids carrying sulfonamide resistance genes among *Escherichia coli* from pigs, pig carcasses and human. Acta Vet. Scand. 52:47.
- 40. Wyrsch, E.R., R. Chowdhury, T.A. Chapman, I.G. Charles, J.M. Hammond, and S.P. Djordjevic. 2016. Genomic microbial epidemiology is needed to comprehend the global problem of antibiotic resistance and to improve pathogen diagnosis. Front. Microbiol. 7:843.
- Zhang, A.Y., H.N. Wang, G.B. Tian, Y. Zhang, X. Yang, Q.Q. Xia, J.N. Tang, and L.K. Zou. 2009. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in faecal bacteria from 30 Giant pandas. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents. 33:456–460.