

Efficacy and challenges of combining transarterial chemoembolization with pembrolizumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: insights from the PETAL study

Qingyan Kong^{1#}, Xiankun Wang^{1,2#}, Zhuyu Chen¹, Wei Peng¹

¹Division of Liver Surgery, Department of General Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China; ²Department of General Surgery, Tibet Hospital, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Lhasa, China

Correspondence to: Wei Peng, MD. Division of Hepatic Surgery, Department of General Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, 37 Guoxue Rd., Chengdu 610041, China. Email: pengwei@wchscu.edu.cn.

Comment on: Pinato DJ, D'Alessio A, Fulgenzi CAM, et al. Safety and preliminary efficacy of pembrolizumab following transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: the PETAL phase Ib study. Clin Cancer Res 2024;30:2433-43.

Keywords: Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE); pembrolizumab; hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)

Submitted Jan 15, 2025. Accepted for publication Mar 04, 2025. Published online Mar 25, 2025. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-2025-36

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/hbsn-2025-36

We recently read the study by Pinato et al. published in Clinical Cancer Research, which explores the combination of transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and pembrolizumab in the treatment of liver-confined hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (1). The authors conducted a phase Ib trial, PETAL, to evaluate the safety, preliminary activity, and potential mechanisms of efficacy of TACE combined with pembrolizumab. A total of 15 patients with liver-confined HCC were enrolled in the study. These patients received up to two rounds of TACE followed by pembrolizumab (200 mg every 21 days) starting 30 days post-TACE, continuing until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity for a maximum of 1 year. The authors report that TACE plus pembrolizumab was well-tolerated, with no synergistic toxicities or dose-limiting adverse events observed post-TACE. Treatment-related adverse events occurred in 93% of patients, most commonly skin rash (40%), fatigue, and diarrhea (27%). Encouragingly, the objective response rate (ORR) at 12 weeks post-TACE was 53%, with a median progression-free survival (PFS) of 8.95 months [95% confidence interval (CI): 7.30-not evaluable (NE)], a median duration of response was 7.3 months (95% CI: 6.3-8.3) and a median overall survival (OS) of 33.5 months (95% CI: 11.6-NE).

Liver cancer is a prevalent malignancy worldwide and is ranked as the fourth most commonly diagnosed cancer. Meanwhile, HCC ranks third among malignant tumors in terms of mortality, with over 860,000 new cases diagnosed each year and more than 750,000 deaths attributed to liver cancer annually (2). Pembrolizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody that works by targeting the programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) pathway, which plays a crucial role in downregulating the immune system and preventing the immune response from attacking cancer cells. Currently, there are numerous studies reporting that pembrolizumab plays an important role in the treatment of various malignancies, including melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, and triple-negative breast cancer, demonstrating good therapeutic responses and lower drug toxicity. Pembrolizumab is often used as a second-line treatment and plays a significant role in the long-term prognosis of patients with advanced HCC. Recent research highlights the promising role of pembrolizumab in the treatment of HCC. Clinical trials indicate that pembrolizumab significantly prolongs OS and PFS in Asian patients with previously treated advanced HCC, with a median OS of 14.6 months compared to 13.0 months for placebo (P=0.018) (3).

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work as co-first authors.

Subgroup analysis from the KEYNOTE-240 trial reveals a higher ORR of 20.6% for pembrolizumab versus 2.0% for the placebo group, alongside a median OS of 13.8 months compared to 8.3 months for placebo, underscoring its effectiveness in specific populations (4). The safety profile of pembrolizumab is generally acceptable, with treatmentrelated adverse events occurring more frequently in the pembrolizumab arm (66.9% vs. 49.7% for placebo), though most were of mild to moderate severity (3). Additionally, studies demonstrate that combining pembrolizumab with lenvatinib can yield significant antitumor activity, achieving an ORR of 46% in patients with unresectable HCC (5). However, while pembrolizumab shows encouraging clinical efficacy, its high cost raises concerns regarding costeffectiveness, with some economic models indicating it may not meet acceptable thresholds for many payers (6). Overall, pembrolizumab presents a valuable therapeutic option for advanced HCC, particularly in second-line settings and specific patient populations, warranting further investigation into its broader applications and economic implications. The current landscape of TACE combined with PD-1 inhibitors in the treatment of HCC demonstrates promising efficacy and safety profiles, reflecting a growing interest in multimodal therapeutic strategies. Studies indicate that combining TACE with PD-1 inhibitors and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), such as lenvatinib, significantly improves OS, PFS, ORR, and disease control rate (DCR) in patients with advanced HCC compared to other treatment regimens. A meta-analysis encompassing 1,279 patients showed substantial clinical benefits without increasing the risk of adverse events (7). Patients receiving TACE with PD-1 inhibitors have reported longer median PFS compared to those undergoing monotherapy (8), suggesting enhanced treatment synergy that may lead to effective disease management.

The study by Pinato *et al.* is crucial and has several key advantages. First, it is a registered, well-structured prospective clinical trial (9). While there are existing studies investigating the combination of TACE and PD-1 inhibitors in the treatment of HCC, this is the first early-phase clinical trial to evaluate the safety and preliminary efficacy of TACE in combination with pembrolizumab for HCC, making it highly innovative. Second, the study includes a comprehensive set of outcome measures. In addition to reporting on the safety and toxicity of the treatment, it also incorporates important tumor-related endpoints such as PFS, ORR, and OS. No significant synergistic toxicities were observed, and the treatment demonstrated promising

anti-tumor activity, providing valuable data and theoretical support for future phase III and IV clinical trials. Most importantly, the study integrates biomarker assessments to explore the underlying mechanisms of treatment. This includes the dynamics of peripheral T-cell subsets, changes in circulating tumor DNA concentration, targeted transcriptomics of tumor biopsies, correlations with the composition of fecal microbiota, and serum metabolomics analysis. The methodology for the mechanistic discussion is diverse, particularly the observation of changes in T-cell subsets in patients with or without treatment response following immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy. This provides support for the synergistic effect of PD-1 inhibitors and TACE on immune cells.

While this study provides important insights, several aspects could be improved to enhance its overall quality. First, the lack of a well-designed control group is a limitation. Receiving a placebo or an alternative established treatment as a control group would help minimize potential confounding factors and strengthen the study's internal validity. While the study presents several angles in exploring mechanisms, the analysis remains somewhat superficial. A more in-depth discussion, coupled with additional in vivo and in vitro experiments, would lend further support to the findings and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the underlying mechanisms. Meanwhile, it is important to note that HCC patient populations vary across regions, each exhibiting distinct disease profiles, risk factors, and progression patterns. These regional differences can influence treatment choices and drug selection (10). Since most of the people in this study were white, the benefits of these therapies for Asian populations remain to be further validated.

Many studies have reported that combination therapies, including TKIs with PD-1 inhibitors, bispecific antibodies, and combinations of local and systemic treatments, generally demonstrate superior efficacy and tolerability in HCC patients (11,12). Currently, there is broad consensus on the combination of TACE with TKIs and PD-1 inhibitors for the treatment of advanced HCC (13). A study on the combination of TACE with PD-1 inhibitors and molecular targeted therapies in patients with advanced HCC reported favorable efficacy, with a median PFS and median OS of 9.5 and 19.2 months, respectively (14). Another study also reported better outcomes including a median PFS of 9.9 months and median OS of 22.6 months in the combination therapy (15). Both studies used a combination of TACE with PD-1 inhibitors and molecular

targeted drugs. The majority of patients in these studies were in Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage C and only a few patients were in BCLC stage B. However, in this study, nearly half of the enrolled HCC patients were in BCLC stage A, and the rest were in BCLC stage B. Although the tumor burden of these patients was relatively low, the median PFS (8.95 months) was noticeably shorter than in the aforementioned studies. Based on the results obtained, the necessity of conducting a phase II clinical trial on the combination of TACE and pembrolizumab should be reconsidered and further rigorously evaluated. Expanding this study to include TKIs may help determine the most effective treatment regimen for advanced HCC.

Despite these areas for improvement, the study carries substantial clinical value. As the first clinical report on the combination of TACE and pembrolizumab in HCC treatment, it provides critical data to support future phase II clinical trials. Furthermore, the findings offer valuable insights for clinical decision-making. With the growing array of therapeutic options for advanced HCC, it is anticipated that more patients will benefit from these emerging treatment strategies in the near future.

Acknowledgments

None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, HepatoBiliary Surgery and Nutrition. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Funding: None.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://hbsn.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/hbsn-2025-36/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International

License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Pinato DJ, D'Alessio A, Fulgenzi CAM, et al. Safety and preliminary efficacy of pembrolizumab following transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: the PETAL phase Ib study. Clin Cancer Res 2024;30:2433-43.
- Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2024;74:229-63.
- Qin S, Chen Z, Fang W, et al. Pembrolizumab Versus Placebo as Second-Line Therapy in Patients From Asia With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial. J Clin Oncol 2023;41:1434-43.
- Kudo M, Lim HY, Cheng AL, et al. Pembrolizumab as Second-Line Therapy for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: A Subgroup Analysis of Asian Patients in the Phase 3 KEYNOTE-240 Trial. Liver Cancer 2021;10:275-84.
- Finn RS, Ikeda M, Zhu AX, et al. Phase Ib Study of Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab in Patients With Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2020;38:2960-70.
- Chiang CL, Chan SK, Lee SF, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Pembrolizumab as a Second-Line Therapy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. JAMA Netw Open 2021;4:e2033761.
- Wang L, Lin L, Zhou W. Efficacy and safety of transarterial chemoembolization combined with lenvatinib and PD-1 inhibitor in the treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis. Pharmacol Ther 2024;257:108634.
- Marinelli B, Kim E, D'Alessio A, et al. Integrated use of PD-1 inhibition and transarterial chemoembolization for hepatocellular carcinoma: evaluation of safety and efficacy in a retrospective, propensity score-matched study. J Immunother Cancer 2022;10:e004205.
- 9. Fessas P, Scheiner B, D'Alessio A, et al. PETAL protocol: a phase Ib study of pembrolizumab after transarterial

- chemoembolization in hepatocellular carcinoma. Future Oncol 2023;19:499-507.
- Gordan JD, Kennedy EB, Abou-Alfa GK, et al. Systemic Therapy for Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: ASCO Guideline Update. J Clin Oncol 2024;42:1830-50.
- Peng W, Zhang X, Li C, et al. Programmed cell death protein 1 and tyrosine kinase inhibition plus transcatheter arterial chemoembolization of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Br J Surg 2023;110:746-8.
- Sangro B, Kudo M, Erinjeri JP, et al. Durvalumab with or without bevacizumab with transarterial chemoembolisation in hepatocellular carcinoma (EMERALD-1): a multiregional, randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 3 study. Lancet 2025;405:216-32.
- 13. Bi X, Zhao H, Zhao H, et al. Consensus of Chinese expert

Cite this article as: Kong Q, Wang X, Chen Z, Peng W. Efficacy and challenges of combining transarterial chemoembolization with pembrolizumab in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: insights from the PETAL study. HepatoBiliary Surg Nutr 2025;14(2):301-304. doi: 10.21037/hbsn-2025-36

- on neoadjuvant and conversion therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma: 2023 update. Liver Cancer 2024. doi: 10.1159/000541249.
- Zhu HD, Li HL, Huang MS, et al. Transarterial chemoembolization with PD-(L)1 inhibitors plus molecular targeted therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma (CHANCE001). Signal Transduct Target Ther 2023;8:58.
- 15. Jin ZC, Chen JJ, Zhu XL, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor antibody/tyrosine kinase inhibitors with or without transarterial chemoembolization as first-line treatment for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CHANCE2201): a target trial emulation study. EClinicalMedicine 2024;72:102622.