SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 28 April 2016 Accepted: 10 August 2016 Published: 07 September 2016

OPEN Dectin-1 predicts adverse postoperative prognosis of patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma

Yu Xia^{1,*}, Li Liu^{1,*}, Qi Bai^{1,*}, Jiajun Wang¹, Wei Xi¹, Yang Qu¹, Ying Xiong¹, Qilai Long¹, Jiejie Xu² & Jianming Guo¹

Dectin-1, a classical pattern-recognition receptor, was now identified as an important regulator in immune homeostasis and cancer immunity through its extensive ligands binding functions and subsequent cytokines production. The aim of this study was to assess the clinical significance of dectin-1 expression in 290 patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) through immunohistochemistry on tissue microarrays. We found that dectin-1 was predominantly expressed on ccRCC cells, in accordance with several other online databases. Moreover, Kaplan-Meier method was conducted and high expression of tumoral dectin-1 was associated with shorter patient recurrence free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) (P < 0.001 for both). In multivariate analyses, tumoral dectin-1 expression was also confirmed as an independent prognostic factor for patients' survival together with other clinical parameters (P < 0.001 for RFS and OS). After incorporating these characteristics including tumoral dectin-1 expression, two nomograms were constructed to predict ccRCC patients' RFS and OS (c-index 0.796 and 0.812, respectively) and performed better than existed integrated models (P < 0.001 for all models comparisons). In conclusion, high tumoral dectin-1 expression was an independent predictor of adverse clinical outcome in ccRCC patients. This molecule and established nomograms might help clinicians in future decision making and therapeutic developments.

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for about 2-3% of all adult malignancies and causes approximately 102,000 deaths around the world every year. Nearly one third of the patients who underwent curative surgeries would develop recurrences or metastases afterwards¹. Owing to complicated molecular heterogeneity in tumors, current TNM stage, Fuhrman grade and several integrated models were not enough for RCC patient outcome prediction². Incorporating specific molecular biomarkers into existing models might help solve this problem and numerous studies focusing on the genetic and proteome signature of RCC are underway over the world³.

Dectin-1 (official name CLEC7A), belonging to the c-type lectin superfamily, was originally identified as a pattern-recognition receptor (PPR) expressed on dendritic cells (DCs)⁴, specifically against exogenous fungal pathogens with β -glucan structure⁵. It contains an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif (ITAM)-like motif, which is generally involved in immune cell activation and cytokine production⁶. However, recent published papers suggested its extensive ligand structures and comprehensive immunomodulation function in different cell types. Like other PRRs, dectin-1 has several endogenous ligands, such as some unidentified N-glycans⁷, intestinal mucin-28 and costimulatory molecule on T-cells9. Dectin-1 could interact with these ligands and regulate downstream immune homeostasis, autoimmunity, allergy and cancer immunity¹⁰. Dectin-1 expressed on DCs and macrophages was shown to recognize the N-glycans on tumor cells and enhance tumour killing by natural killer (NK) cells through homophilic interactions, suggesting its potential role in cancer immunomodulation⁷.

In recent years, several immunotherapies focusing on immunoreceptors such as PD-1 or CTLA-1 have brought great success in RCC treatment, and it was widely accepted that a dynamic interplay existed between the host and tumor¹¹. Cancer cells could shape its microenvironment into a pro-tumor type through the modulation on infiltrated immune cells¹², and we wondered whether dectin-1 could participate in such process and become a potential prognostic marker for RCC patients. Thus, here through immunohistochemistry, we investigated the expression of intratumoral dectin-1 in a large cohort of clear cell RCC patients (ccRCC, the most common

¹Department of Urology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China. ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China. *These authors contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.X. (email: jjxufdu@fudan.edu.cn) or J.G. (email: guo.jianming@zs-hospital.sh.cn)





Low Stromal Dectin-1 Expression



High Tumoral Dectin-1 Expression



High Stromal Dectin-1 Expression



Figure 1. Representative photographs of dectin-1 immunostaining in ccRCC. (A) Tumoral dectin-1 low expression; (B) Tumoral dectin-1 high expression; (C) Stromal dectin-1 low expression; (D) Stromal dectin-1 high expression. Scale bar = $100 \,\mu$ m. Original magnification $\times 200$. (E) Frequency distribution of tumoral dectin-1 immunohistochemistry integrated optical density (IOD) score in 290 ccRCC samples.

histologic type of RCC) and analyzed the impact of dectin-1 expression on their recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results

Dectin-1 staining intensity and its association with clinicopathological characteristics. Dectin-1 expression was predominantly found on the membrane and cytoplasm of tumor cells and the intensity of the staining was variable (Fig. 1A,B). In the stromal areas, there were also some positive cells aggregation (Fig. 1D), but due to the complexity of stromal cell composition, we did not further explore its significance on patient survival. For tumoral dectin-1 expression, the staining intensity was divided into low and high based on the cut-off value (21000) derived from total IOD score (median 19761, IQR 15272-23018; mean and SD, 19249 ± 6177) and minimum p value method (Fig. 1E). Tumoral dectin-1 expression was strongly associated with higher pT stage (P = 0.031), while its correlation with other clinicopathological characteristics did not meet statistical significance (Table 1).

	Patients		Tumoral dectin-1 expression			
Characteristics	n	%	low	high	P-value	
All patients	290	100	181	109		
Age, years*	range	15-86			0.250^{\dagger}	
≤55	143	49.3	94	49		
>55	147	50.7	87	60		
Gender					0.958^{\dagger}	
Female	91	31.4	57	34		
Male	199	68.6	124	75		
Tumor size, cm*					0.948^{\dagger}	
≤ 4	163	56.2	102	61		
>4	127	43.8	79	48		
Pathological T stage					0.031 [‡]	
pT1	183	63.1	122	61		
pT2	27	9.3	16	11		
pT3	76	26.2	42	34		
pT4	4	1.4	1	3		
Pathological N stage					0.495†	
pN0	35	12.1	19	16		
pN1	2	0.7	2	0		
pNx	253	87.2				
Distant metastasis					0.994^{\dagger}	
No	274	94.5	171	103		
Yes	16	5.5	10	6		
TNM stage					0.098 [‡]	
Ι	177	61.0	117	60		
II	23	7.9	14	9		
III	70	24.1	39	31		
IV	20	6.9	11	9		
Fuhrman grade					0.557 [‡]	
1	31	10.7	19	12		
2	214	73.8	136	78		
3	42	14.5	25	17		
4	3	1.0	1	2		
Necrosis					0.058^{\dagger}	
Absent	251	86.6	162	89		
Present	39	13.4	19	20		
ECOG PS					0.312 [‡]	
0	211	72.8	137	74		
1	64	22.1	34	30		
2	11	3.8	8	3		
3	4	1.4	2	2		

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients according to tumoral dectin-1 expression. *Split at median; $^{\dagger}\chi^2$ test or Fisher's exact test, *Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel χ^2 test, P-value <0.05 was regarded as statistically</td>significant; ECOG PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.

Clinical outcomes and association of tumoral dectin-1 expression with survival. The median follow-up for all available patients was 99.03 months (range 2.63–120.47). 83 in 290 patients (28.6%) died during the follow up and 72 in 265 patients (27.2%) experienced disease relapse after excluding those with missing data or preoperational metastasis. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were carried out to analyze the RFS and OS according to dectin-1 expression. As is shown in Fig. 2A,E, the median survivals for both the low and high dectin-1 expression groups in the RFS and OS analyses were not reached during the follow-up. The mean survival estimates for RFS were 109.8 months (SE, 2.0, 95% CI, 105.9–113.7) for dectin-1 low expression and 88.7 months (SE, 3.8, 95% CI, 81.2–96.2) for high expression. In OS analysis, they were 109.6 months (SE, 1.9, 95% CI, 105.9–113.3) and 90.1 months (SE, 3.4, 95% CI, 83.4–96.9), respectively. Patients with high tumoral dectin-1 expression had a significantly poorer RFS (P < 0.001) and OS (P < 0.001). This result was further confirmed by univariate analysis, in which high tumoral dectin-1 expression was significantly associated with poor patient survivals (RFS, HR, 3.139, 95% CI, 1.953–5.045, P < 0.001; OS, HR, 3.053, 95% CI, 1.966–4.742, P < 0.001) (Table S1). Furthermore, in multivariate analysis, high tumoral dectin-1 expression was also an independent predictor for both RFS and



Figure 2. Tumoral dectin-1 expression stratified by SSIGN (localized)/SSIGN score and related Kaplan-Meier analyses of patient recurrence free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS). (A) RFS of all ccRCC patients according to tumoral dectin-1 expression; (B–D) RFS of patients in different SSIGN (localized) risk groups according to tumoral dectin-1 expression; (E) OS of all available ccRCC patients according to tumoral dectin-1 expression; (F–H) OS of patients in different SSIGN risk groups according to tumoral dectin-1 expression. *P-value*, calculated by log rank test, <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

OS (RFS, HR, 2.436, 95% CI, 1.494–3.970, P < 0.001; OS, HR, 3.123, 95% CI, 1.961–4.976, P < 0.001). After a 1000-resampled bootstrap correction, its significance remained (RFS, HR, 2.438, 95% CI, 1.276–4.367, P = 0.006; OS, HR, 3.212, 95% CI, 1.862–5.906, P = 0.001), together with pT stage, distant metastasis, Fuhrman grade, necrosis and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) (Table 2).

Predictive impact of tumoral dectin-1 expression upon SSIGN/SSIGN(localized) and UISS The Mayo Clinic stage, size, grade and necrosis score (SSIGN) score was applied to classify patients model. into three risk levels: 0-3 (low), 4-7 (intermediate), \geq 8 (high) for OS analysis, and the SSIGN localized (Leibovich) score: 0-2, 3-5, ≥ 6 for RFS analysis^{13,14}. As is seen in Fig. 2, high tumoral dectin-1 expression displayed as a poor prognostic factor in the low- and intermediated-risk groups in both RFS and OS analyses (RFS, P = 0.017 (median survival estimates 114.3 months, SE, 1.9, 95% CI, 110.5–118.1 for low expression and 103.7 months, SE, 4.0, 95% CI, 95.8–111.6 for high expression), OS, P < 0.001 (median survival estimates 113.6 months, SE, 1.7, 95% CI, 110.3–116.9 for low expression and 98.7 months, SE, 3.3, 95% CI, 92.2–105.2 for high expression) in low-risk groups; RFS, P < 0.001 (median survival estimates 102.9 months, SE, 4.0, 95% CI, 95.1–110.7 for low expression and 75.0 months, SE, 6.1, 95% CI, 63.1–86.9 for high expression), OS, P < 0.001 (median survival estimates 97.2 months, SE, 5.7, 95% CI, 85.9-108.4 for low expression and 62.6 months, SE, 7.5, 95% CI, 47.8-77.3 for high expression) in intermediated-risk groups), while in the high-risk groups it did not meet statistical significance possibly owning to the small sample size. Moreover, tumoral dectin-1 expression information could add additional prognostic power for SSIGN (localized) score system in patients' RFS prediction (c-index 0.762 vs 0.718, P=0.017). For the University of California Integrated Staging System (UISS) score¹⁵, adding tumoral dectin-1 expression into the model could also strengthen its accuracy for both RFS and OS prediction (c-index 0.760 vs 0.713, P=0.010 for RFS; c-index 0.763 vs 0.723, P=0.050 for OS) (Table 3).

Construction and validation of prognostic nomogram for OS and RFS. Two nomograms for predicting 2-, 5- and 8-year ccRCC patients' RFS and OS were established based on the validated multivariate analyses (Fig. 3A,E), involving pT stage, distant metastasis, Fuhrman grade, necrosis status, ECOG PS and tumoral dectin-1 expression. A value was assigned to each level of these variables, and the total values could be used to estimate patient survival probability at different time. Bootstrap validations were performed to exam the robustness of these models and the calibration plots displayed good consistency between the predicted and actual observation of patient survival (Fig. 3B–D,F–H). The Harrell's c-index was 0.796 (95% CI, 0.747–0.846) and 0.812 (95% CI, 0.769–0.856) for RFS and OS prediction respectively. After comparing the c-indexes of established nomograms with those of the SSIGN(localized)/SSIGN and UISS models, we found that the two nomograms presented significant advantages in both RFS and OS prediction (P < 0.001). These superior performances were also kept among SSIGN(localized)/SSIGN and UISS defined low/intermediate risk patients (P < 0.001) (Table 3).

	RFS (n = 265)				OS (n=290)			
	Base model		Bootstrap validate model*		Base model		Bootstrap validate model*	
Variables	HR (95%CI)	\mathbf{P} -value [†]	HR (95%CI)	\mathbf{P} -value [†]	HR (95%CI)	\mathbf{P} -value [†]	HR (95%CI)	P-value [†]
Pathological T stage		< 0.001		0.001		< 0.001		0.001
pT1	Reference	_	Reference	—	Reference	_	Reference	_
pT2 <i>vs</i> pT1	2.579 (1.170-5.681)	0.019	2.790 (1.080-6.760)	0.035	2.691 (1.331-5.443)	0.006	2.759 (1.185-6.341)	0.013
pT3 <i>vs</i> pT1	3.090 (1.786-5.346)	< 0.001	3.114 (1.329-5.590)	0.004	2.986 (1.791-4.977)	< 0.001	3.037 (1.675-5.635)	0.001
pT4 vs pT1	11.864 (3.743-37.605)	< 0.001	13.183 (1.000-64.264)	0.001	5.740 (1.630-20.209)	0.007	5.737 (0.000-72.675)	0.054
Distant metastasis								
Yes vs No	—	_	—	_	4.089 (2.121-7.885)	< 0.001	4.787 (1.822–15.379)	0.008
Fuhrman grade		< 0.001		< 0.001		0.001		0.001
1-2	Reference	_	Reference	_	Reference	_	Reference	Ι
3 vs 1-2	2.744 (1.502-5.012)	0.001	2.751 (1.023-5.414)	0.002	2.471 (1.414-4.317)	0.001	2.588 (1.439-4.563)	0.002
4 vs 1-2	5.675 (1.648-19.538)	0.006	5.906 (1.000-17.868)	0.001	4.782 (1.409–16.227)	0.012	5.328 (2.125-18.634)	0.004
Necrosis								
Present vs Absent	2.182 (1.211-3.930)	0.009	2.232 (1.012-4.477)	0.011	2.033 (1.146-3.607)	0.015	2.136 (1.136-4.242)	0.025
ECOG PS		0.003		0.031		0.003		0.010
0	Reference	_			Reference	_	Reference	1
1 vs 0	1.892 (1.093-3.273)	0.023	1.908 (1.005-3.636)	0.039	2.236 (1.383-3.615)	0.001	2.293 (1.302-4.047)	0.004
2 vs 0	3.954 (1.374–11.379)	0.011	3.304 (1.104–12.858)	0.025	2.658 (0.990-7.142)	0.052	2.477 (0.482-9.281)	0.104
3 vs 0	4.142 (1.283-13.367)	0.017	5.496 (0.947-32.852)	0.097	3.193 (0.890-11.455)	0.075	2.787 (0.320-15.721)	0.101
Tumoral dectin-1								
Low vs High	2.436 (1.494-3.970)	< 0.001	2.438 (1.276-4.367)	0.006	3.123 (1.961-4.976)	< 0.001	3.212 (1.862-5.906)	0.001

Table 2. Proportional hazard model for recurrence free survival and overall survival prediction. ECOG PS = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; OS = overall survival; RFS = recurrence free survival; [†]Data obtained from the Cox proportional hazards model, P-value <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant; *Bootstrapping with 1000 resamples were used.

Discussion

Up to now, more than 5600 separate reports focusing on RCC prognostic markers have been published. However, in routine clinical usage, no such molecule has been verified applicable and remarkable for the survival assessment of RCC patients³. It has been widely accepted that cancer immunoediting and subsequent immune escape played an important role in tumor progression¹², and several recent articles also reported that the molecular signatures involving inflammation and immune response in RCC were related to patient survival and drug resistance¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Thus, exploring the prognostic roles of these immune related molecules might help RCC patient survival prediction and new agent development.

In this study, we focused on a classical PPR, dectin-1, and its expression in RCC samples through immunohistochemistry. We found that dectin-1 was predominantly expressed on tumor cells. Moreover, high tumoral dectin-1 expression positively correlated with higher pT stage and could be used as an independent prognosticator in ccRCC patients' RFS and OS prediction after adjusted with other parameters. Adding tumoral dectin-1 information into existed models such as TNM, SSIGN and UISS would noticeably enhance their prognostic power. Finally, after incorporating tumoral dectin-1 with other clinical parameters, two nomograms were generated to predict patients' RFS and OS, and performed better than existed prognostic models by c-indexes comparison.

Dectin-1 was originally thought to be a DC specific receptor, from which its name 'dendritic-cell-associated C-type lectin-1' was derived⁴, and could protect host from fungus infection through β -glucan recognition⁵. This receptor is now known to be expressed by many other immune cells, such as macrophages, monocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, T cells and B cells and has many physiological roles like immune homeostasis and cancer immune regulation¹⁰. In our research, however, dectin-1 expression was predominantly found on tumor cells, and this result was in accord with many other online databases. Referring to oncomine, we found that 4 in 5 ccRCC studies confirmed a higher expression of dectin-1 mRNA compared to normal tissues. The DNA information from 2013 TCGA cohort data also suggested amplification of dectin-1 copies in ccRCC samples. It is not rare that tumor cells could aberrantly express some immune receptors and facilitate immune regulation function, like the PD-L1 expressed on tumor cells and its inhibition on PD-1 positive cells¹⁹. And dectin-1 did have several endogenous ligands, such as some unidentified molecules on T-cells, glycosidoprotein mucin-2 and N-glycans. It has been recognized that dectin-1 could bind to these ligands and alter T cells function or NK cells' anti-tumor response⁷⁻⁹. Thus, we speculate that the aberrant dectin-1 expression on ccRCC might also interact with these identified or unidentified ligands on immune cells and interfere with their tumor surveillance function.

Dectin-1 is an activation receptor, transducing intracellular signals via an ITAM-like motif within its cytoplasmic tail⁶. This signal could basically stimulate immune cell maturation and proliferation, and induce numerous cytokines and chemokines production including TNF, CXCL2, IL-23, IL-6, IL-10 and IL-2, which are cell type dependent²⁰. As dectin-1 was found predominantly on RCC cells in our study, tumor cell might also be activated through this signal and facilitate specific immune regulatory cytokine production such as IL-10 and IL-23.

	Recurrence free survival			Overall survival			
Models	C-index (95%CI)	Coefficient (95%CI)	P-value	C-index (95%CI)	Coefficient (95%CI)	P-value	
Tumoral Dectin-1	0.643 (0.587-0.700)	—		0.638 (0.585 -0.691)	_		
TNM	0.658 (0.600-0.716)	_		0.702 (0.648-0.757)	—		
TNM + Tumoral Dectin-1	0.708 (0.650-0.767)	0.050 (0.012-0.089)	0.001^{\dagger}	0.747 (0.694–0.800)	0.045 (0.013-0.078)	0.006^{\dagger}	
SSIGN	0.718 (0.665-0.772)	—		0.740 (0.692–0.787)	—		
SSIGN + Tumoral Dectin-1	0.762 (0.708-0.815)	0.044 (0.008-0.080)	0.017^{\dagger}	0.772 (0.723-0.821)	0.032 (-0.006- 0.071)	0.093†	
UISS	0.713 (0.662–0.764)	_		0.723 (0.670-0.776)	—		
UISS + Tumoral Dectin-1	0.760 (0.709-0.811)	0.047 (0.023-0.072)	0.010^{\dagger}	0.763 (0.713-0.814)	0.040 (0.001-0.080)	0.050^{\dagger}	
Nomogram	0.796 (0.747-0.846)	_		0.812 (0.769–0.856)	—		
Nomogram vs SSIGN							
in all patients	_	0.086 (0.435-0.129)	$< 0.001^{\ddagger}$	—	0.072 (0.036-0.110)	$< 0.001^{\ddagger}$	
in SSIGN low/ intermediate groups	_	0.097 (0.049-0.146)	< 0.001‡	-	0.099 (0.053-0.146)	< 0.001 [‡]	
Nomogram vs UISS							
in all patients	_	0.082 (0.045-0.119)	< 0.001‡	—	0.089 (0.043-0.135)	$< 0.001^{\ddagger}$	
in UISS low/ intermediate groups	_	0.095 (0.069–0.130)	< 0.001‡	_	0.092 (0.052-0.133)	< 0.001 [‡]	

Table 3. Comparison of the predictive accuracy of the prognostic models. [†]Compared the c-index with the original model without tumoral Dectin-1 expression data; [†]Compared the c-index of nomogram with SSIGN/UISS stratification in different patient groups; C-index = concordance index; CI = confidence interval; SSIGN = Mayo clinic stage, size, grade, and necrosis score; UISS = UCLA Integrated Staging System. C-index and 95%CI were calculated from 1000 bootstrap samples to protect from overfitting.

Moreover, some studies found that macrophage treated with IL-4 or IL-13 (alternatively activated macrophage) could express high levels of dectin-1²¹, suggesting that these immune regulatory cytokines might also influence tumor cell dectin-1 expression, like a tumor-host cytokine interaction.

In summary, our group has identified that dectin-1 was preponderantly expressed by ccRCC tumor cells and exerted profound impact on patient survival. This finding may also indicate this molecule as a potential target for future immune therapies development. However, there were also several limitations in this study, one of which was its retrospective and single-centered design in nature. Though bootstrap has been performed for minimizing overfitting bias, the cut-off point chosen for tumoral dectin-1 expression and its prognostic significance in ccRCC patients should still be concerned and validated in further external cohorts. Moreover, the proportion of advance ccRCC patients were much smaller in our study which hindered the robustness of this prognostic marker in such patient groups. A prospective, multicenter study is needed, and researches are also required to investigate the detailed roles of dectin-1 in either ccRCC tumor cells or surrounding positive immune cells.

Methods

Patient selection. This study included 290 ccRCC patients who underwent nephrectomy in the Department of Urology, Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University between Jan 2005 and Jun 2007. All methods mentioned below were approved by the ethics committee of Zhongshan Hospital with the approval number B2015-030 and were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines. Written informed consent on the use of clinical specimens from each patient was achieved. The primary inclusion criteria were patients (1) having pathologically proven ccRCC (2) having received partial or radical nephrectomy and (3) having available Formalin Fixed Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) specimen of tumor mass (≥ 1 cm³). Those who had other former malignant tumor, perioperative mortalities, histories of adjuvant or neoadjuvant targeted therapies and patients with mixed type renal cancer, bilateral renal cancer and FFPE samples necrosis area >80% were excluded.

Data collection. Patients' RFS was counted from the time of nephrectomy to the time of recurrence (defined as local or distant metastases confirmed by imaging, biopsy or physical examination), and OS was calculated from the time of nephrectomy to the time of death. The follow-up interval was three months during the first 5 years and annually thereafter. Information was censored if patient died without recurrence or lived till the last follow up time (Jan 30, 2015). 7 patients were excluded from RFS analysis for missing data of recurrence state and 18 patients for preoperational metastases. All baseline demographic, clinical, and laboratory data were reconfirmed. MRI and CT scans were reassessed by radiology units, and diagnostic H&E slides were reviewed by two urologic pathologists independently (Yuan J. and Jun H.). According to the 2014 EAU guidelines, ccRCC histologic subtype was confirmed²². Tumor stage was reclassified based on the 2010 AJCC TNM classification²³. Fuhrman grade and coagulative necrosis were reported according to 2012 ISUP consensus³. The SSIGN, SSIGN localized (Leibovich) and UISS score were applied to stratify patient risks as previously reported¹³⁻¹⁵.





Figure 3. Nomogram for predicting 2-, 5- and 8-year recurrence free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) in patients with ccRCC. (A) Nomogram for predicting RFS integrating pT stage, Fuhrman nuclear grade, necrosis, ECOG PS and tumoral dectin-1 expression; (B–D) Calibration plot for predicted and observed 2-, 5- and 8-year RFS rate; (E) Nomogram for predicting OS integrating pT stage, distant metastasis, Fuhrman nuclear grade, necrosis, ECOG PS and tumoral dectin-1 expression; (F–H) Calibration plot for predicted and observed 2-, 5- and 8-year OS rate. *The grey line:* ideal model, *vertical bars:* 95% confident interval.

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 6:32657 | DOI: 10.1038/srep32657

Immunohistochemistry and evaluation. Immunohistochemical staining was performed on tissue microarray (two cores for one tumor block) with appropriate antibodies (Anti-Dectin-1 antibody, ab140039, Abcam, diluted 1/100) and visualization reagent (Dako EnVision Detection System) as previously described²⁴. The specificity of antibody was confirmed by western blot using RCC cell lines. IHC procedures without applying the primary antibody were conducted as negative control. Olympus CDD camera, Nikon eclipse Ti-s microscope (×200 magnification) and NIS-Elements F3.2 software were used to record the staining results and three independent shots with strongest staining were selected for each tumor core. The integrated optical densities (IOD) scores for each scan were calculated by Image-Pro Plus version 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA) and the pooled IOD mean of the six spots in two tumor cores was regarded as the final staining intensity for each block. One urologic pathologist unaware of the patients' clinical features and outcomes evaluated these slides. The IOD score cut-point for determining tumoral dectin-1 high/low expression was evaluated by X-tile software through minimum p value method²⁵.

Statistical analysis. $\chi 2$ test, Fisher's exact method and Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel $\chi 2$ test were applied for assessing the relationship between tumoral dectin-1 expression and patients' clinicopathological parameters. RFS and OS curves were illustrated using Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test was applied for comparison between different groups. Cox univariate analysis was carried out and those parameters with statistical significance were brought into a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model and formed two nomograms for predicting patients' RFS and OS. Concordance index (c-index) were generated to assess the predictive accuracy and sufficiency of different models, while Hanley-McNeil test was applied to compare the difference between c-indexes. 1000 bootstrap resamples were performed in multivariate analyses and c-index calculations for reducing overfitting bias. GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA), SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc., IL, Chicago, USA), Stata (version 12.1; StataCorp LP, TX, USA) and R software version 3.1.2 with the "rms" package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) were used in these procedures. A two-sided *P-value* <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

References

- Gupta, K., Miller, J. D., Li, J. Z., Russell, M. W. & Charbonneau, C. Epidemiologic and socioeconomic burden of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC): a literature review. *Cancer treatment reviews* 34, 193–205, doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2007.12.001 (2008).
- 2. Volpe, A. & Patard, J. J. Prognostic factors in renal cell carcinoma. World J Urol 28, 319–327, doi: 10.1007/s00345-010-0540-8 (2010).
- Delahunt, B. et al. The International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) grading system for renal cell carcinoma and other prognostic parameters. The American journal of surgical pathology 37, 1490–1504, doi: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e318299f0fb (2013).
- Ariizumi, K. et al. Identification of a novel, dendritic cell-associated molecule, dectin-1, by subtractive cDNA cloning. The Journal
 of biological chemistry 275, 20157–20167, doi: 10.1074/jbc.M909512199 (2000).
- Brown, G. D. & Gordon, S. Immune recognition. A new receptor for beta-glucans. *Nature* 413, 36–37, doi: 10.1038/35092620 (2001).
 Rogers, N. C. *et al.* Syk-dependent cytokine induction by Dectin-1 reveals a novel pattern recognition pathway for C type lectins.
- Immunity 22, 507–517, doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2005.03.004 (2005). 7. Chiba, S. *et al.* Recognition of tumor cells by Dectin-1 orchestrates innate immune cells for anti-tumor responses. *eLife* 3, e04177,
- doi: 10.7554/eLife.04177 (2014).
 8. Shan, M. *et al.* Mucus enhances gut homeostasis and oral tolerance by delivering immunoregulatory signals. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*
- 342, 447–453, doi: 10.1126/science.1237910 (2013).
 Grunebach, F., Weck, M. M., Reichert, J. & Brossart, P. Molecular and functional characterization of human Dectin-1. *Experimental*
- *hematology* **30**, 1309–1315 (2002). 10. Dambuza, I. M. & Brown, G. D. C-type lectins in immunity: recent developments. *Current opinion in immunology* **32**, 21–27,
- doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2014.12.002 (2015).
- 11. Suzuki, S., Ishida, T., Yoshikawa, K. & Ueda, R. Current status of immunotherapy. *Japanese journal of clinical oncology* **46**, 191–203, doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyv201 (2016).
- 12. Schreiber, R. D., Old, L. J. & Smyth, M. J. Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **331**, 1565–1570, doi: 10.1126/science.1203486 (2011).
- 13. Leibovich, B. C. *et al.* Prediction of progression after radical nephrectomy for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a stratification tool for prospective clinical trials. *Cancer-Am Cancer Soc* **97**, 1663–1671, doi: 10.1002/cncr.11234 (2003).
- Frank, I. *et al.* An outcome prediction model for patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma treated with radical nephrectomy based on tumor stage, size, grade and necrosis: the SSIGN score. *The Journal of urology* 168, 2395–2400, doi: 10.1097/01. ju.0000035885.91935.d5 (2002).
- 15. Zisman, A. *et al.* Risk group assessment and clinical outcome algorithm to predict the natural history of patients with surgically resected renal cell carcinoma. *Journal of clinical oncology: official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology* **20**, 4559–4566 (2002).
- 16. Rini, B. *et al.* A 16-gene assay to predict recurrence after surgery in localised renal cell carcinoma: development and validation studies. *The Lancet. Oncology* **16**, 676–685, doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(15)70167-1 (2015).
- Ko, J. J. et al. The International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium model as a prognostic tool in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma previously treated with first-line targeted therapy: a population-based study. *The Lancet. Oncology* 16, 293–300, doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(14)71222-7 (2015).
- Choudhury, Y. et al. A multigene assay identifying distinct prognostic subtypes of clear cell renal cell carcinoma with differential response to tyrosine kinase inhibition. European urology 67, 17–20, doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2014.06.041 (2015).
- Zou, W. & Chen, L. Inhibitory B7-family molecules in the tumour microenvironment. Nature reviews. Immunology 8, 467–477, doi: 10.1038/nri2326 (2008).
- Brown, G. D. Dectin-1: a signalling non-TLR pattern-recognition receptor. *Nature reviews. Immunology* 6, 33–43, doi: 10.1038/ nri1745 (2006).
- Willment, J. A. et al. Dectin-1 expression and function are enhanced on alternatively activated and GM-CSF-treated macrophages and are negatively regulated by IL-10, dexamethasone, and lipopolysaccharide. J Immunol 171, 4569–4573 (2003).
- 22. Ljungberg, B. et al. EAU guidelines on renal cell carcinoma: 2014 update. European urology 67, 913-924, doi: 10.1016/j. eururo.2015.01.005 (2015).
- Kim, S. P. et al. Independent validation of the 2010 American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM classification for renal cell carcinoma: results from a large, single institution cohort. The Journal of urology 185, 2035–2039, doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2011.02.059 (2011).

- 24. Pan, D. *et al.* Interleukin-11 receptor predicts post-operative clinical outcome in patients with early-stage clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Japanese journal of clinical oncology* **45**, 202–209, doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyu194 (2015).
- Camp, R. L., Dolled-Filhart, M. & Rimm, D. L. X-tile: a new bio-informatics tool for biomarker assessment and outcome-based cutpoint optimization. *Clin Cancer Res* 10, 7252–7259, doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-04-0713 (2004).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Yuan Ji, Dr. Jun Hou and Ms. Haiying Zeng (Department of Pathology, Zhongshan Hospital of Fudan University) for diagnosis confirmation and technical assistance, respectively. This study was funded by grants from National Key Projects for Infectious Diseases of China (2012ZX10002012-007, 2016ZX10002018-008), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31100629, 31270863, 81372755, 31470794, 81401988, 81402082, 81402085, 81471621, 8147227, 81472376, 31570803, 81501999 and 81572352), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0146) and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (14ZR1406300). All these study sponsors have no roles in the study design, in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

Author Contributions

Y.X. for acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data, statistical analysis and drafting of the manuscript; L.L., Q.B., J.W., W.X., Y.Q., Y.X. and Q.L. for technical and material support; J.X. and J.G. for study concept and design, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the manuscript, obtained funding and study supervision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Xia, Y. *et al.* Dectin-1 predicts adverse postoperative prognosis of patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 32657; doi: 10.1038/srep32657 (2016).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

© The Author(s) 2016