Original article:

ASSOCIATION BETWEEN MIR-124-1 RS531564 POLYMORPHISM AND RISK OF CANCER: AN UPDATED META-ANALYSIS OF CASE-CONTROL STUDIES

Abdolkarim Moazeni-Roodi¹, Mohammad Hashemi^{2*}

- ¹ Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Iranshahr University of Medical Sciences, Iranshahr, Iran
- ² Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
- * Corresponding author: Mohammad Hashemi, PhD, Professor of Clinical Biochemistry, Dept. of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran, E-mail: <u>mhd.hashemi@gmail.com</u>; <u>hashemim@zaums.ac.ir</u>, Tel: +98 541 3235122

http://dx.doi.org/10.17179/excli2018-1419

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>).

ABSTRACT

Many studies examined the association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and the risk of some human cancers, but the findings remain controversial. This update meta-analysis aimed to validate the association between rs531564 polymorphism of miR-124-1 and cancer risk. Eligible studies including 6,502 cancer cases and 7,213 controls were documented by searching Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and Google scholar databases. Pooled odds ratios (ORs) with 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated to quantitatively evaluate the association between rs531564 variant and cancer risk. The results indicated that rs531564 variant significantly decreased the risk of cancer in homozygous codominant (OR=0.54, 95 % CI=0.43-0.69, p<0.00001, GG vs CC), dominant (OR=0.84, 95 % CI=0.72-0.99, p=0.03, CG+GG vs CC), recessive (OR=0.65, 95 % CI=0.54-0.78, p<0.00001, GG vs CG+CC), and allele (OR=0.84, 95 % CI=0.73-0.96, p=0.008, G vs C) genetic model. Stratified analysis by cancer type revealed that rs531564 variant was associated with gastric cancer, cervical cancer, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and colorectal cancer risk. In summary, the findings of this meta-analysis support an association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer risk. Larger and well-designed studies are required to estimate this association in detail.

Keywords: miR-124-1, rs531564, polymorphism, cancer, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the main leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide (Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, 2015). In 2016, approximately 17.2 million new cancer cases and 8.9 million deaths occurred worldwide (Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, 2018). It has been proposed that the complex interaction of various genetic loci and diverse environmental factors play a role in cancer development (Borek, 1993; Lichtenstein et al., 2000). Despite physical disparities, all human populations are 99 % genetically identical, and the remaining 1 % genetic variations is responsible for human diversity (International HapMap Consortium, 2007; Ryan et al., 2010). Singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) contribute to phenotypic differences both within and among populations (Omrani et al., 2014).

MicroRNA (miRNA) are a class of noncoding RNAs consisting of 18–25 nucleotides in length that bind to the 3'untranslated region (3'UTR) of target mRNAs to regulate gene expression (Ryan et al., 2010). Variations in miRNAs genes, including pri-miR-NAs, pre-miRNAs, and mature miRNAs, impact on miRNAs biogenesis, processing, target binding, and expression level of mature miRNAs (Mishra et al., 2008). Preceding studies have shown that miRNAs play a crucial role in various tumor-associated biological processes, including proliferation, metastasis, apoptosis and differentiation (He et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Ge et al., 2016).

In human, miR-124 is encoded by three miRNA genes including MIR124-1 (8p23.1), MIR124-2 (8q12.3), and MIR124-3 (20q13.33). А functional polymorphism rs531564 located in the pri-miRNA region of the miR124-1 gene affect the expression levels of the mature miR-124 (Qi et al., 2012). To date, several epidemiological studies inspected the association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and the risk of various cancer including gastric cancer (Zhou et al., 2012; Asgarpour et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017), cervical cancer (Wu and Zhang, 2014; Xiong et al., 2014; Chuanyin et al., 2017), breast cancer (Ma et al., 2013; Ying et al., 2016; Danesh et al., 2018), renal cell carcinoma (Liang et al., 2017), osteosarcoma (Shi et al., 2016), esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) (Yin et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2018), colorectal cancer (Gao et al., 2015), but the findings are still controversial. Therefore, we performed an updated meta-analysis to find out the impact of rs11134527 polymorphism on cancer risk.

METHODS

Literature search

A comprehensive search in Web of Science, PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases was conducted for all articles describing an association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer risk published up to June 08, 2018. The search strategy was "cancer OR carcinoma, tumor OR neoplasms", AND "miR-124-1 OR micro-RNA-124-1 OR miRNA-124-1" AND "polymorphism OR mutation OR variant OR rs531564". Relevant studies included the meta-analysis if they met the following inclusion criteria: 1) Original case-control studies that evaluated the miR-124-1 polymorphism and cancer risk; 2) studies provided necessary information of the genotype frequencies of miR-124-1 rs531564 variant in both cases and controls. The exclusion criteria were: 1) conference abstract, case reports, reviews, duplication data; 2) insufficient genotype information provided.

Data extraction

The authors independently searched the literatures, extracted the relevant data and finally discussed disagreement. The following data were recorded from each study including the first author's name, publication year, country, ethnicity of participants, cancer type, genotyping methods of miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism, number of genotypes in case– control groups and result of the HWE test (Table 1).

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was achieved by Revman 5.3 software (Version 5.3. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014) and STATA 14.1 software (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX, USA). Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for each study was calculated by the χ^2 test. The association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer risk was assessed by pooled odds ratios (ORs) and their 95 % confidence intervals (CIs) for codominant (CG vs CC and GG vs CC), dominant (CG+GG vs CC), recessive (GG vs CG+CC), overdominant (CG vs CC+GG) and the allelic (G vs C) genetic inheritance models. The significance of the pooled OR was as-

First	Year	Coun-	Eth-	Cancer	Source	Geno-	Case/	Cases Controls								ols		HWE
author		try	nicity	type	of control	typing Method	control	CC	CG	GG	С	G	CC	CG	GG	С	G	(p)
Asgar- pour	2017	Iran	Asian	Gastric cancer	HB	PCR- RFLP	45/48	15	27	3	57	33	26	22	0	74	22	0.04
Chuan- yin	2017	China	Asian	Cervical cancer	HB	TaqMan	609/583	17	144	448	178	1040	7	118	458	132	1034	0.85
Danesh	2018	Iran	Asian	Breast cancer	HB	PCR- RFLP	264/280	227	37	0	491	37	245	34	1	524	36	0.88
Gao	2015	China	Asian	Colorec- tal cancer	HB	Sequenc- ing	900/1110	693	200	7	1586	214	790	286	34	1866	354	0.19
Liang	2017	China	Asian	Renal cell carci- noma	HB	PCR-LDR	132/145	95	33	4	223	41	98	40	7	236	54	0.28
Ма	2013	China	Asian	Breast cancer	HB	Mass ARRAY	182/189	126	52	4	304	60	136	45	8	317	61	0.1
Shi	2016	China	Asian	Osteosar- coma	HB	PCR-LDR	174/150	143	25	6	311	37	102	36	12	240	60	0.002
Singh	2017	China	Asian	Gastric cancer	HB	PCR-LDR	320/586	225	90	5	540	100	429	141	16	999	173	0.29
Wu	2014	China	Asian	Cervical cancer	PB	PCR-LDR	158/260	134	22	2	290	26	184	66	10	434	86	0.19
Wu	2018	China	Asian	ESCC*	HB	Mass ARRAY	239/227	173	58	8	404	74	138	85	4	361	93	0.02
Xiong	2014	China	Asian	Cervical cancer	PB	PCR-LDR	107/208	91	15	1	197	17	151	51	6	353	63	0.5
Yin	2013	China	Asian	ESCC*	HB	PCR-LDR	611/657	454	146	11	1054	168	470	168	19	1108	206	0.4
Ying	2016	China	Asian	Colorec- tal cancer	PB	Mass ASSAY	1350/1079	982	338	30	2302	398	779	276	24	1834	324	0.94
Zhang	2014	China	Asian	ESCC*	PB	SNaP- shot	1109/1275	803	295	11	1901	317	910	331	34	2151	399	0.55
Zhou	2012	China	Asian	Gastric cancer	HB	Mass ARRAY	302/416	208	89	5	505	99	302	105	9	709	123	0.97

Table 1: Characteristics of all studies included in the meta-analysis

*ESCC = esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

sessed by the Z-test, and P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The choice of using fixed or random effects model was determined by the results of the betweenstudy heterogeneity test, which was measured using the Q test and I² statistic. If the test result was I² \geq 50 % or P_Q < 0.1, indicating the presence of heterogeneity, the random effect model was selected; otherwise, the fixed-effects model was used.

Begg's funnel plot was conducted under all inheritance models to evaluate the publication bias and the asymmetric plots implied potential publication bias. The degree of asymmetry was tested using Egger's test and p < 0.05 was considered significant publication bias.

Sensitivity analysis was performed to evaluate the stability of the studies on the pooled ORs. A single study in the analysis was neglected each time to calculate the outcomes again.

RESULTS

Study characteristics

Totally 15 case-control studies including 6,502 cancer cases and 7,213 controls were included in the meta-analyses. Table 1 shows the characteristics and relevant data of the included studies.

Main analysis results

In the current meta-analysis of 15 eligible studies, the findings support an association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer risk. The rs531564 variant significantly decreased the risk of cancer in homo-(OR=0.54. 95 % zygous codominant CI=0.43-0.69, p<0.00001, GG vs CC), dominant (OR=0.84, 95 % CI=0.72-0.99, p=0.03, CG+GG vs CC), recessive (OR=0.65, 95 % CI=0.54-0.78, p<0.00001, GG vs CG+CC), and allele (OR=0.84, 95 % CI=0.73-0.96, p=0.008, G vs C) inheritance model (Figure 1 and Table 2).

Subgroup analysis by cancer type

Stratified analysis of miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism was achieved by cancer type (Table 3). The data implied that rs531564 variant increased the risk of gastric cancer in overdominant (OR=1.27, 95 % CI=1.02-1.58, p=0.03, CG vs GG+CC) inheritance model. The rs531564 variant was associated with significantly decrease in risk of cervical cancer in codominant, dominant, recessive and allele inheritance model (Table 3). Furthermore, the variant significantly decreased the risk of ESCC in recessive and allele models. The rs531564 variant was significantly associated with protection against colorectal in recessive model (Table 3). No significant association was found between rs531564 variant and breast cancer risk.

Heterogeneity and publication bias

Heterogeneity among the findings included in the meta-analysis is shown in Table 2. The data showed heterogeneity existed between studies.

The potential publication bias was estimated using a Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test. Neither Begg's funnel plot nor Egger's test detected any obvious evidence of publication bias in analyses for all genetic models (Table 2 and Figure 2).

Sensitivity analysis

We executed sensitivity analysis to evaluate the effect of a specific study on the overall estimate. The relevant pooled ORs showed no significant change appeared when each study was ignored, one at a time, from the overall meta-analysis in homozygous codominant, dominant, recessive, and allele models (Figure 3). This indicates that the results of this meta-analysis are relatively stable and reliable.

EXCLI Journal 2018;17:608-619 – ISSN 1611-2156 Received: June 09, 2018, accepted: June 25, 2018, published: June 28, 2018

A Study or Subgroup	Experime Events	ntal Total I	Contr Events	ol Total	Weight M	Odds Ratio 1-H, Random, 95% Cl	Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% Cl	B	Study or Subgroup	Experim Events	ental Total	Contro Events T	l Fotal	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Asgarpour 2017	27	42	22	48	2.7%	2.13 [0.91, 4.97]		A	Asgarpour 2017	3	18	0	26	0.2% 1	1.97 [0.58, 247.39]	
Chuanyin 2017	144	161	118	125	2.4%	0.50 [0.20, 1.25]		0	Chuanyin 2017	448	465	458	465	9.0%	0.40 [0.17, 0.98]	
Danesh 2018	37	264	34	279	5.5%	1.17 [0.71, 1.94]			Danesh 2018	0	227	1	246	0.8%	0.36 [0.01, 8.87]	
Gao 2015	200	179	286	178	5 1%	0.80 [0.65, 0.98]			Jang 2015	4	00	34	105	2 5%	0.23 [0.10, 0.53]	
Ma 2013	52	178	45	181	6.0%	1.25 [0.78, 1.99]		N	Ma 2013	4	130	8	144	4.0%	0.54 [0.16, 1.84]	
Shi 2016	25	168	36	138	4.7%	0.50 [0.28, 0.88]		S	5hi 2016	6	149	12	114	7.0%	0.36 [0.13, 0.98]	
Singh 2017	90	315	141	570	8.4%	1.22 [0.89, 1.66]	+	S	Singh 2017	5	230	16	445	5.7%	0.60 [0.22, 1.65]	
Wu 2014	22	156	66	250	5.2%	0.46 [0.27, 0.78]		1	Nu 2014	2	136	10	194	4.4%	0.27 [0.06, 1.27]	
Wu 2018	58	231	85	223	6.9%	0.54 [0.36, 0.81]		1	Nu 2018	8	181	4	142	2.3%	1.60 [0.47, 5.41]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Xiong 2014 Xin 2012	146	106	169	202	9.2%	0.49 [0.26, 0.92]		2	(iong 2014 (in 2012	11	92	10	157	2.4%	0.28 [0.03, 2.33]	
Ying 2016	89	297	105	407	8.0%	1 23 [0 88 1 72]	+	Y	(ing 2016	30	1012	24	803	14.0%	0.99 [0.58 1.71]	
Zhang 2014	295	1098	331	1241	10.7%	1.01 [0.84, 1.21]		z	Zhang 2014	11	814	34	944	16.7%	0.37 [0.18, 0.73]	
Zhou 2012	295	1098	331	1241	10.7%	1.01 [0.84, 1.21]	+	Z	Zhou 2012	5	213	9	311	3.8%	0.81 [0.27, 2.44]	
Total (95% CI)		5735		6757	100.0%	0.88 [0.75, 1.03]	•	-	Total (95% CI)		4931	5	5409	100.0%	0.54 [0.43, 0.69]	•
Total events	1528		1859				12 TO 100 100 100	г	Fotal events	545		642	at 0			5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	= 0.05; Chi ² : Z = 1.56 (F	= 39.5 P = 0.13	6, df = 2)	14 (P =	0.0003);	l ² = 65%	0.05 0.2 1 5 20	- F	Heterogeneity: Chi ² = Fest for overall effect:	19.87, df Z = 4.91	= 14 (P (P < 0.0	= 0.13); 0001)	$ ^2 = 30$)%		0.01 0.1 1 10 100
C	Fynerime	ntal	Contr	ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	п	r	Fynerim	ental	Contro	î		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight N	1-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI	S	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events T	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Asgarpour 2017	30	45	22	48	2.8%	2.36 [1.02, 5.48]		A	Asgarpour 2017	3	45	0	48	0.2% 7	7.99 [0.40, 159.12]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Chuanyin 2017	592	609	576	583	2.5%	0.42 [0.17, 1.03]		c	Thuanyin 2017	448	609	458	583	42.4%	0.76 [0.58, 0.99]	-
Danesh 2018	37	264	35	280	5.5%	1.14 [0.69, 1.87]			Danesh 2018	0	264	1	280	0.5%	0.35 [0.01, 8.68]	
Liang 2017	207	132	47	145	5.3%	0.74 [0.80, 0.90]			iang 2015	4	132	54 1	145	2.7%	0.23 [0.11, 0.36]	
Ma 2013	56	182	53	189	6.1%	1.14 [0.73, 1.78]		N	da 2013	4	182	8	189	2.6%	0.51 [0.15, 1.72]	
Shi 2016	31	174	48	150	5.3%	0.46 [0.27, 0.77]		S	5hi 2016	б	174	12	150	4.3%	0.41 [0.15, 1.12]	
Singh 2017	95	320	157	586	8.3%	1.15 [0.85, 1.56]		S	Singh 2017	5	320	16	586	3.8%	0.57 [0.21, 1.56]	
Wu 2014	24	158	76	260	5.4%	0.43 [0.26, 0.72]		V	Nu 2014	2	158	10	260	2.6%	0.32 [0.07, 1.48]	
Wu 2018	66	239	89	227	6.9%	0.59 [0.40, 0.87]		V	Mu 2018	8	239	4	227	1.4%	1.93 [0.57, 6.50]	
Xiong 2014 Xin 2012	157	611	197	208	4.3%	0.47 [0.25, 0.86]		×	(iong 2014 (in 2012	11	611	10	208	1.4%	0.32 [0.04, 2.67]	
Ying 2015	368	1350	300	1079	10.3%	0.87 [0.88, 1.11]	Ţ	Y	(ing 2015	30	1350	24 1	079	8.9%	1 00 10 58 1 721	
Zhang 2014	306	1109	365	1275	10.3%	0.95 [0.79, 1.14]	-	z	Zhang 2014	11	1109	34 1	275	10.7%	0.37 [0.18, 0.73]	
Zhou 2012	94	302	114	416	7.9%	1.20 [0.86, 1.66]		Z	Zhou 2012	5	302	9	416	2.6%	0.76 [0.25, 2.29]	
Total (95% CI)		6502		7213	100.0%	0.84 [0.72, 0.99]	•	٦	Fotal (95% CI)		6502	7	213	100.0%	0.65 [0.54, 0.78]	•
Total events	2116		2446					Т	Fotal events	545		642				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	= 0.06; Chi* : Z = 2.13 (F	= 43.3 = 0.0	0, df = 3)	14 (P <	: 0.0001);	* = 68%	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	100 1	Heterogeneity: Chi* = Fest for overall effect:	20.04, df $Z = 4.58$	= 14 (P (P < 0.0	= 0.13); 0001)	l ^e = 30)%	Į.	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
F	Evnerime	ntal	Contr			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	Ē		Experim	ontal	Contro			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight M	1-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI		Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% (CI M-H, Random, 95% CI
Asgarpour 2017	27	45	22	48	2.5%	1.77 [0.78, 4.04]		A	Asgarpour 2017	33	90	22	96	3.1%	1.95 [1.03, 3.70	
Chuanyin 2017	144	609	118	583	8.5%	1.22 [0.93, 1.61]	<u>+-</u>	(Chuanyin 2017	1040	1218	1034	1166	8.3%	0.75 [0.59, 0.9	5]
Danesh 2018	37	264	34	280	5.0%	1.18 [0.72, 1.94]		L L	Danesh 2018	37	528	36	560	4.6%	1.10 [0.68, 1.70	5]
Liang 2017	200	132	200	145	9.9%	0.82 [0.67, 1.01]			iang 2015	41	264	54	2220	9.5%	0.71 [0.59, 0.8	
Ma 2013	52	182	45	189	5.5%	1.28 [0.80, 2.04]			Ma 2013	60	364	61	378	5.7%	1.03 [0.69, 1.5]	ii —
Shi 2016	25	174	36	150	4.3%	0.53 [0.30, 0.94]		S	5hi 2016	37	348	60	300	5.0%	0.48 [0.31, 0.74	4]
Singh 2017	90	320	141	586	7.9%	1.23 [0.91, 1.68]	+- -	S	Singh 2017	100	640	173	1172	7.8%	1.07 [0.82, 1.40	
Wu 2014	22	158	66	260	4.7%	0.48 [0.28, 0.81]		1	Wu 2014	26	316	86	520	4.7%	0.45 [0.28, 0.7]	2]
Wu 2018	58	239	85	227	6.4%	0.54 [0.36, 0.80]		1	Wu 2018	74	478	93	454	6.6%	0.71 [0.51, 1.00	
Xi00g 2014 Vin 2013	15	611	168	208	5.7%	0.50 [0.27, 0.94]		2	(in 2013	169	1222	206	416	3.1% 2.7%	0.48 [0.28, 0.8]	
Ying 2016	338	1350	276	1079	10.3%	0.97 [0.81, 1 17]	1	,	ring 2016	398	2700	324	2158	9.9%	0.98 [0.83 1 1	51 +
Zhang 2014	295	1109	331	1275	10.3%	1.03 [0.86, 1.24]	+	2	Zhang 2014	317	2218	399	2550	9.9%	0.90 [0.77, 1.0	5] -
Zhou 2012	89	302	105	416	7.5%	1.24 [0.89, 1.72]	+	2	Zhou 2012	99	604	123	832	7.4%	1.13 [0.85, 1.5	1]
Total (95% CI)		6502		7213	100.0%	0.93 [0.80, 1.07]	•	1	Total (95% CI)		13004	1	14426	100.0%	0.84 [0.73, 0.9	5] 🔶
Total events	1571		1804				1	1	Total events	2661		3088				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Chi ²	= 38.2	3, df =	14 (P =	0.0005);	l ² = 63%	0.01 0.1 1 10 1	100	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.04; Ch	2 = 41.9	96, df = 1	4 (P =	0.0001);	l ² = 67%	0.01 0.1 1 10 100
rest for overall effect:	2 = 1.01 (F	r = 0.3	1)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		lest for overall effect:	2 = 2.64	(P' = 0.0)	108)				Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 1: The forest plot for relationship between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer susceptibility for CG vs CC (A), GG vs CC (B), CG+GG vs CC (C), GG vs CG+CC (D), CG vs CC+GG (E), and G vs C (F)

Table 2: The pooled ORs and 95 % CIs for the association between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer susceptibility.

Genetic model	Test of associatio	n		Heterog	geneity (l	² (%), p)	Egger's	Begg's
	OR (95 % CI)	Z p		χ2	l²(%)	Р	test P-value	test P-value
CG vs CC	0.88 (0.75-1.03)	1.56	0.12	39.56	65	0.0003	0.348	0.456
GG vs CC	0.54 (0.43-0.69)	4.91	<0.00001	19.87	30	0.13	0.444	0.903
CG+GG vs CC	0.84 (0.72-0.99)	2.13	0.03	43.30	68	<0.0001	0.366	0.347
GG vs CG+CC	0.65 (0.54-0.78)	4.58	<0.00001	20.04	30	0.13	0.197	0.714
CG vs GG+CC	0.93 (0.80-1.07)	1.01	0.31	38.23	63	0.0005	0.433	0.347
G vs C	0.84 (0.73-0.96)	2.64	0.008	41.96	67	0.0001	0.560	0.729

Table 3: Stratified analysis of miR-124-	1 rs531564 variant c	on susceptibility to cancer
--	----------------------	-----------------------------

Cancer type	Genetic model	Test of as	Heterogeneity test				
		OR (95 % CI)	z	р	χ2	l² (%)	Р
Gastric cancer (n=3)	CG vs CC	1.09 (0.93-1.27)	1.03	030	3.53	43	0.17
	GG vs CC	0.89 (0.45-1.75)	0.34	0.74	3.46	42	0.18
	CG+GG vs CC	1.23 (0.99-1.52)	1.90	0.06	2.52	21	0.28
	GG vs CG+CC	0.82 (0.41-1.61)	0.59	0.56	2.75	27	0.25
	CG vs GG+CC	1.27 (1.02-1.58)	2.14	0.03	0.68	0	0.71
	G vs C	1.15 (0.96-1.39)	1.50	0.13	2.89	31	0.24
Cervical cancer (n=3)	CG vs CC	0.48 (0.33-0.69)	3.92	<0.0001	0.04	0	0.98
	GG vs CC	0.35 (0.17-0.72)	2.87	0.004	0.24	0	0.89
	CG+GG vs CC	0.44 (0.31-0.63)	4.45	<0.00001	0.04	0	0.98
	GG vs CG+CC	0.72 (0.56-0.94)	2.46	0.01	1.79	0	0.41
	CG vs GG+CC	0.69 (0.34-1.39)	1.04	0.30	13.57	85	0.001
	G vs C	0.64 (0.52-0.78)	4.48	<0.00001	4.67	57	0.10
ESCC* (n=3)	CG vs CC	0.83 (0.61-1.12)	1.22	0.22	7.53	73	0.02
	GG vs CC	0.54 (0.35-0.86)	2.64	0.008	4.32	54	0.12
	CG+GG vs CC	0.87 (0.76-1.00)	1.96	0.05	4.70	57	0.10
	GG vs CG+CC	0.67 (0.29-151)	0.97	0.33	5.53	64	0.06
	CG vs GG+CC	0.83 (0.60-1.15)	1.12	0.26	8.61	77	0.01
	G vs C	0.86 (0.76-0.97)	2.45	0.01	1.52	0	0.47
Colorectal cancer (n=2)	CG vs CC	0.97 (0.64-1.48)	0.14	0.89	4.70	79	0.03
	GG vs CC	0.50 (0.12-2.07)	0.96	0.34	8.40	88	0.004
	CG+GG vs CC	0.85 (0.65-1.12)	1.17	0.24	4.04	75	0.04
	GG vs CG+CC	0.60 (0.39-0.91)	2.38	0.02	7.89	87	0.005
	CG vs GG+CC	0.90 (0.77-1.06)	1.27	0.21	1.38	28	0.24
	G vs C	0.84 (0.61-1.14)	1.11	0.26	6.58	85	0.01
Breast cancer (n=2)	CG vs CC	1.21 (0.86-1.71)	1.11	0.27	0.03	0	0.86
	GG vs CC	0.51 (0.16-1.60	1.16	0.25	0.05	0	0.82
	CG+GG vs CC	1.14 (0.82-1.59)	0.78	0.44	0.00	0	1.00
	GG vs CG+CC	0.48 (0.16-1.51)	1.25	0.21	0.04	0	0.83
	CG vs GG+CC	1.23 (0.88-1.73)	1.20	0.23	0.06	0	0.81
	G vs C	1.05 (0.78-1.42)	0.34	0.73	0.05	0	0.83

*ESCC = esophageal squamous cell carcinoma



Figure 2: The funnel plot for the test of publication bias. The funnel plot for CG vs CC (**A**), GG vs CC (**B**), CG+GG vs CC (**C**), GG vs CG+CC (**D**), CG vs CC+GG (**E**), and G vs C (**F**)



Figure 3: Sensitivity analyses for studies on miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism using different genetic models. Sensitivity analyses for CG vs CC (A), GG vs CC (B), CG+GG vs CC (C), GG vs CG+CC (D)



Figure 3 (cont.): Sensitivity analyses for studies on miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism using different genetic models. Sensitivity analyses for CG vs CC+GG (E), and G vs C (F)

DISCUSSION

It has been well known that miRNAs is involved in carcinogenesis as tumor suppressor gene or oncogene (Calin et al., 2004; Ryan et al., 2010). Dysregulation of miRNAs contributes to the initiation and progression of human malignancies (Shen et al., 2015; He et al., 2018; Skjefstad et al., 2018). It has been documented that miR-124 is a tumor suppressor miRNA in many cancers (Jin et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2017; Cai et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2018).

An increasing number of studies have focused on associations between miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism and various cancer susceptibility (Zhou et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Wu and Zhang, 2014; Xiong et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Ying et al., 2016; Asgarpour et al., 2017; Chuanyin et al., 2017; Liang et al., 2017; Singh et al., 2017; Danesh et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018), but the findings were inconsistent. We performed an updated meta-analysis of 15 case-control studies to find out the impact of rs531564 polymorphism of miR-124-1 gene on overall cancer risk.

The findings of our meta-analysis showed that the rs531564 polymorphism was significantly associated with protection against cancer in homozygous codominant, dominant, recessive and allele inheritance model. Our findings are in agreement with the results of two meta-analyses regarding the impact of miR-124-1 rs531564 variant on cancer risk (Fang et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015). The metaanalysis performed by Li et al. (2015) enrolled 4 case-control studies and the findings revealed that rs531564 polymorphism significantly reduced cancer risk. The other study conducted by Fang et al. (2015) with 5 casecontrol studies also showed that the primiR1241 rs531564 polymorphism significantly reduced cancer risk.

We performed stratified analysis by cancer type and the findings revealed that the rs531564 variant was significantly associated with gastric cancer, cervical cancer ESCC, colorectal and breast cancer risk. There are several limitations in our metaanalysis that should be addressed. First, heterogeneity existed between some studies. It can be supposed that the heterogeneity probably derived from difference of ethnicity, source of control, status and cancer type. Second, the languages of the studies were limited to English. Third, we did not evaluate potential gene-environmental interactions due to lack of relevant data across the included studies. Finally, all subjects are of Asian descent, so our meta-analysis was limited to Asian population and lack of other ethnicities.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis proposed that miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism may be an important protective factor for cancer in Asians. Additional well designed case-control studies with larger sample sizes are required to validate the findings.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Asgarpour J, Mehrzad J, Yazdi SAT. MicroRNA binding site polymorphisms are associated with the development of gastric cancer. J Cell Mol Res. 2017;9: 73-7.

Borek C. Molecular mechanisms in cancer induction and prevention. Environ Health Perspect. 1993;101 (Suppl 3):237-45.

Cai WL, Huang WD, Li B, Chen TR, Li ZX, Zhao CL, et al. MicroRNA-124 inhibits bone metastasis of breast cancer by repressing Interleukin-11. Mol Cancer. 2018;17:9.

Calin GA, Sevignani C, Dumitru CD, Hyslop T, Noch E, Yendamuri S, et al. Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004; 101:2999-3004.

Chuanyin L, Xiaona W, Zhiling Y, Yu Z, Shuyuan L, Jie Y, et al. The association between polymorphisms in microRNA genes and cervical cancer in a Chinese Han population. Oncotarget. 2017;8:87914-27.

Danesh H, Hashemi M, Bizhani F, Hashemi SM, Bahari G. Association study of miR-100, miR-124-1, miR-218-2, miR-301b, miR-605, and miR-4293 polymorphisms and the risk of breast cancer in a sample of Iranian population. Gene. 2018;647:73-8.

Fang C, Zeng H, Li A, Xu X, Long X. Association of the pri-miR-124-1 rs531564 polymorphism with cancer risk: A meta-analysis. Mol Clin Oncol. 2015;3: 892-6.

Gao XR, Wang HP, Zhang SL, Wang MX, Zhu ZS. Pri-miR-124 rs531564 polymorphism and colorectal cancer risk. Sci Rep. 2015;5:14818.

Ge L, Zheng B, Li M, Niu L, Li Z. MicroRNA-497 suppresses osteosarcoma tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. Oncol Lett. 2016;11:2207-12.

Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Dicker D, Pain A, Hamavid H, Moradi-Lakeh M, et al. The global burden of cancer 2013. JAMA Oncol. 2015;1:505-27.

Global Burden of Disease Cancer Collaboration, Fitzmaurice C, Akinyemiju TF, Al Lami FH, Alam T, Alizadeh-Navaei R, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted lifeyears for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. JAMA Oncol. 2018;epub. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.2706.

He J, Zhang W, Zhou Q, Zhao T, Song Y, Chai L, et al. Low-expression of microRNA-107 inhibits cell apoptosis in glioma by upregulation of SALL4. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013;45:1962-73.

He RQ, Yang X, Liang L, Chen G, Ma J. MicroRNA-124-3p expression and its prospective functional pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma: A quantitative polymerase chain reaction, gene expression omnibus and bioinformatics study. Oncol Lett. 2018;15:5517-32.

International HapMap Consortium, Frazer KA, Ballinger DG, Cox DR, Hinds DA, Stuve LL, et al. A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs. Nature. 2007;449:851-61.

Jin H, Li Q, Cao F, Wang SN, Wang RT, Wang Y, et al. MiR-124 inhibits lung tumorigenesis induced by K-ras mutation and NNK. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids. 2017; 9:145-54.

Li WJ, Wang Y, Gong Y, Tu C, Feng TB, Qi CJ. MicroRNA-124 rs531564 polymorphism and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015; 16:7905-9. Liang G, Liu Q, Sun J, Liu W, Shen W. The rs20541 G A polymorphism in the interleukin 13 gene is associated with a decreased risk of renal cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2017; 10:708-16.

Lichtenstein P, Holm NV, Verkasalo PK, Iliadou A, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, et al. Environmental and heritable factors in the causation of cancer - analyses of cohorts of twins from Sweden, Denmark, and Finland. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:78-85.

Liu Z, Gersbach E, Zhang X, Xu X, Dong R, Lee P, et al. MiR-106a represses the Rb tumor suppressor p130 to regulate cellular proliferation and differentiation in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. Mol Cancer Res. 2013;11:1314-25.

Ma F, Zhang P, Lin D, Yu D, Yuan P, Wang J, et al. There is no association between microRNA gene polymorphisms and risk of triple negative breast cancer in a Chinese Han population. PLoS One. 2013;8: e60195.

Ma J, Weng L, Wang Z, Jia Y, Liu B, Wu S, et al. MiR-124 induces autophagy-related cell death in cholangiocarcinoma cells through direct targeting of the EZH2-STAT3 signaling axis. Exp Cell Res. 2018;366:103-13.

Mishra PJ, Mishra PJ, Banerjee D, Bertino JR. MiRSNPs or MiR-polymorphisms, new players in microRNA mediated regulation of the cell: Introducing microRNA pharmacogenomics. Cell Cycle. 2008;7: 853-8.

Omrani M, Hashemi M, Eskandari-Nasab E, Hasani SS, Mashhadi MA, Arbabi F, et al. Hsa-mir-499 rs3746444 gene polymorphism is associated with susceptibility to breast cancer in an Iranian population. Biomark Med. 2014;8:259-67.

Qi L, Hu Y, Zhan Y, Wang J, Wang BB, Xia HF, et al. A SNP site in pri-miR-124 changes mature miR-124 expression but no contribution to Alzheimer's disease in a Mongolian population. Neurosci Lett. 2012;515:1-6.

Ryan BM, Robles AI, Harris CC. Genetic variation in microRNA networks: the implications for cancer research. Nat Rev Cancer. 2010;10:389-402.

Shen B, Zhang Y, Yu S, Yuan Y, Zhong Y, Lu J, et al. MicroRNA-339, an epigenetic modulating target is involved in human gastric carcinogenesis through targeting NOVA1. FEBS Lett. 2015;589:3205-11.

Shi ZW, Wang JL, Zhao N, Guan Y, He W. Single nucleotide polymorphism of hsa-miR-124a affects risk and prognosis of osteosarcoma. Cancer Biomark. 2016;17:249-57.

Singh DK, Zhang WB, Xu Y, Yin J, Gu HY, Jiang PC. Hsa-miR-34b/c rs4938723 T>C, pri-miR-124-1 rs531564 C>G, pre-miR-125a rs12975333 G>T and hsa-miR-423 rs6505162 C>A polymorphisms and the risk of gastric cardia adenocarcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2017;10:14919-26.

Skjefstad K, Johannessen C, Grindstad T, Kilvaer T, Paulsen EE, Pedersen M, et al. A gender specific improved survival related to stromal miR-143 and miR-145 expression in non-small cell lung cancer. Sci Rep. 2018;8:8549.

Wu F, Li M, You W, Ji Y, Cui X, Hu J, et al. A genetic variant in miR-124 decreased the susceptibility to esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese Kazakh population. Genet Test Mol Biomark. 2018;22: 29-34.

Wu H, Zhang J. MiR-124 rs531564 polymorphism influences genetic susceptibility to cervical cancer. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014;7:5847-51.

Xiong X, Cheng J, Liu X, Tang S, Luo X. [Correlation analysis between miR-124 rs531564 polymorphisms and susceptibility to cervical cancer]. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao. 2014;34:210-3. Yin J, Wang X, Zheng L, Shi Y, Wang L, Shao A, et al. Hsa-miR-34b/c rs4938723 T>C and hsa-miR-423 rs6505162 C>A polymorphisms are associated with the risk of esophageal cancer in a Chinese population. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e80570.

Ying HQ, Peng HX, He BS, Pan YQ, Wang F, Sun HL, et al. MiR-608, pre-miR-124-1 and pre-miR26a-1 polymorphisms modify susceptibility and recurrence-free survival in surgically resected CRC individuals. Oncotarget. 2016;7:75865-73.

Yuan L, Li S, Zhou Q, Wang D, Zou D, Shu J, et al. MiR-124 inhibits invasion and induces apoptosis of ovarian cancer cells by targeting programmed cell death 6. Oncol Lett. 2017;14:7311-7.

Zhang J, Huang X, Xiao J, Yang Y, Zhou Y, Wang X, et al. Pri-miR-124 rs531564 and pri-miR-34b/c rs4938723 polymorphisms are associated with decreased risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in Chinese populations. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e100055.

Zhou Y, Du WD, Chen G, Ruan J, Xu S, Zhou FS, et al. Association analysis of genetic variants in micro-RNA networks and gastric cancer risk in a Chinese Han population. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2012;138:939-45.