

New technologies for the enhancement of skeletal repair: Challenges and opportunities

The abilities to stimulate fracture repair, enhance spinal arthrodesis, heal nonunions, or regenerate lost segments of bone are common goals among orthopedic surgeons, craniofacial surgeons, and other medical professionals who deal with skeletal wound healing. While in most clinical settings these processes are biologically optimized, many patients continue to experience delayed or impaired healing. Methods to enhance or accelerate these healing responses are greatly needed in order to ensure a patient's rapid recovery and return to work, recreation, and family life. Moreover, as bone graft harvesting procedures are still associated with surgical morbidity, the ability to heal skeletal injuries without the use of autologous iliac bone is highly desirable. Methods for the enhancement of skeletal repair can be divided into biophysical and biological strategies, and then further divided into local and systemic approaches. Biophysical strategies such as electromagnetic fields and ultrasound stimulation have undergone substantial scientific review including the use of systematic meta-analyses. Recent data on electromagnetic fields suggest there is no significant impact of this technology on delayed unions or un-united long bone fractures but that methodological limitations and high inter-study heterogeneity leaves the impact of electromagnetic stimulation on fracture healing uncertain.¹ With regard to low intensity pulsed ultrasound, evidence in support of an effect on the healing of fractures is moderate to very low in quality and provides conflicting results.² Thus, while these two forms of biophysical stimulation are currently available worldwide, the evidence to support their use is weak and further study is required. Local strategies for the repair and regeneration of bone include the use of osteogenic materials such as autologous bone or bone marrow, osteoconductive materials such as calcium-phosphate- or calcium-sulfate-based bone graft substitutes, human demineralized bone matrix, and emerging biological materials such as recombinant protein

growth factors. At this time, autologous bone remains the standard against which all new technologies are compared. Calcium-phosphate- or calcium-sulfate-based bone graft substitutes do not induce new bone but may enhance osteoconduction by providing an adequate scaffold or attachment surface for osteoblasts. The use of recombinant growth factors to enhance or accelerate healing remains an exciting field and possibly represents the future of skeletal trauma surgery. Based on the concept that the healing of a fracture is initiated at the time of injury when a clot is formed at the fracture site, several investigators have suggested that the degranulation of platelets in the fracture callus clot elaborates active components such as platelet-derived growth factor.^{3,4} A recent randomized controlled trial using recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor to enhance the healing of ankle arthrodeses led to a recent food and drug administration panel review in the United States. The panel recommended approval of this new growth factor for the enhancement of ankle fusion; the actual data which supported that decision have yet to be released to the public.⁵ Another recent technology that has emerged is the local application of recombinant human fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF2). This molecule is a known stimulator of angiogenesis and osteogenesis and a recent randomized controlled trial in tibia fractures showed enhancement of radiographic union with FGF2 treatment.⁶

Over the past decade, many studies have been reported on the use of recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2),^{7,8} recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-7 (rhBMP-7; OP-1),⁹ and their various combinations of BMPs with collagen-based delivery vehicles. At this time, there is regulatory approval in many countries around the world for the use of these materials in the enhancement of fresh fracture healing, nonunion, and spinal arthrodesis. Several concerns have been raised regarding their safety in the cervical^{10,11} and, indeed, lumbar spine¹² and other concerns have been expressed regarding their efficacy in skeletal trauma settings as well as their ability to stimulate heterotopic bone at various skeletal sites.¹³ There is no doubt that these molecules are extremely potent and potentially efficacious but their association with adverse events and their application in settings where appropriate stability has not yet been achieved has led to results that have been unfavorable at times. Moreover, results may vary depending on the operative fixation used as a recent

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijoonline.com
	DOI: 10.4103/0019-5413.87113

report noted lack of an effect of rhBMP-2 on the healing of open tibia fractures treated with an intramedullary nail.¹⁴ Much more work is needed in order to find optimum ways to utilize the biological potential of these BMP's. Most recently, strategies have emerged that may set the stage for developing drugs and/or devices that could stimulate skeletal healing in a systemic manner. Although many medical conditions are treated with oral or parenteral medications that enhance a healing response or correct a physiological deficit, no such strategies are available for the healing of skeletal injuries. Potential candidates for further scientific exploration include growth hormone, parathyroid hormone, and inhibitors of Wnt signaling antagonists. Although growth hormone may also be an effective agent for systemic enhancement of fracture healing, a recent placebo controlled dose escalating randomized controlled trial in open and in closed tibia fractures failed to meet the primary outcome measure of acceleration of time to healing.¹⁵ However, a subgroup analysis of only the closed fractures did show acceleration of healing with the highest dose of human growth hormone suggesting that a more extensively powered study or a further evaluation of appropriate dosing may lead to better results. The data on the use of parathyroid hormone (PTH) in the enhancement of skeletal repair is extensive. Numerous animal studies have demonstrated enhancement of fracture healing with PTH¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and recent clinical trials in distal radius fracture healing (with the use of PTH 1-34)¹⁹ and pubic ramus fractures (with PTH 1-84)²⁰ have demonstrated significant beneficial effects. These findings, coupled with reports of enhancement of osseous regeneration in the oral cavity with PTH 1-34²¹ strongly suggest that PTH may be an anabolic therapy for skeletal healing.

Perhaps the latest technological advance upon which to develop a systemic strategy for the enhancement of skeletal repair is in the area of inhibiting the Wnt signaling pathway antagonists. The Wnt signaling pathway, like the BMP signaling pathway, leads to the expression of target genes that enhance bone formation.²² The pathway is triggered by an interaction between the extra-cellular Wnt protein and both a receptor and co-receptor complex. Two antagonists of this co-receptor binding, DKK-1, and sclerostin modify signaling events and inhibit bone formation. This is a normal physiologic process. However, the development of monoclonal antibodies against these modifying antagonists may up-regulate target gene expression by interfering with normal physiological antagonism. In doing so, systemic enhancement of bone healing may occur. Although only a small number of advanced technologies are likely to make their way into widespread clinical use after extensive development and testing, the opportunities that exist are exciting. To achieve success, it will be necessary to develop

better delivery systems for cells, growth factors, and osteoinductive substances, explore systemic applications of osteogenic agents, and identify appropriate experimental settings and measurable, meaningful clinical endpoints for human clinical trial design. Although animal studies and *in vitro* data provide cause for optimism, a new idea or new technology is only as good as our ability to test it clinically.

Thomas A Einhorn

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Boston University Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Address for correspondence: Dr. Thomas Einhorn,
720 Harrison Avenue, Suite 808, Boston, USA.
E-mail: thomas.einhorn@bmc.org

REFERENCES

1. Bajammal SS, Zlowodzki M, Lelwica A, Tornetta P, Einhorn T A, Buckley R, *et al.* The use of calcium phosphate bone cement in fracture treatment. A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2008;90:1186-96.
2. Busse JW, Kaur J, Mollon B, Bhandari M, Tornetta P, Schünemann HJ, *et al.* Low intensity pulsed ultrasonography for fractures: Systematic review of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2009;27:338-51.
3. Nash TJ, Howlett CR, Martin C, Steele J, Johnson KA, Hicklin DJ. Effect of platelet-derived growth factor on tibial osteotomies in rabbits. *Bone* 1994;15:203-8.
4. Andrew JG, Hoyland JA, Freemont AJ, Marsh DR. Platelet-derived growth factor expression in normally healing human fractures. *Bone* 1995;16:455-60.
5. BioMimetic Therapeutics' Augment™ Bone Graft Receives Positive Recommendation from FDA Advisory Committee. Available from: <http://biomimetics.com> [Last cited on 2011 Sep 12].
6. Kawaguchi H, Oka H, Jingushi S, Izumi T, Fukunaga M, Sato K, *et al.* A local application of recombinant human fibroblast growth factor 2 for tibial shaft fractures: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Bone Miner Res* 2010;12:2735-43.
7. Govender S, Csimma CM, Genant HK, Valentin-Opran A, Amit Y, Arbel R, *et al.* Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 for treatment of open tibial fractures. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:2123-34.
8. Burkus JK, Gornet MF, Dickman CA, Zdeblick TA. Anterior lumbar interbody fusion using rhBMP-2 with tapered interbody cages. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 2002;15:337-49.
9. Friedlaender GE, Perry CR, Cole JD, Cook SD, Cierny G, Muschler GF, *et al.* Osteogenic protein-1 (bone morphogenetic protein-7) in the treatment of tibial nonunions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2001;83 Suppl 1:S151-8.
10. Shields LB, Raque GH, Glassman SD, Campbell M, Vitaz T, Harpring J, *et al.* Adverse effects associated with high-dose recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 use in anterior cervical spine fusion. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;31:542-7.
11. Smucker JD, Rhee JM, Singh K, Yoon ST, Heller JG. Increased swelling complications associated with off-label usage of rhBMP-2 in the anterior cervical spine. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2006;3:2813-9.
12. Carragee EJ, Mitsunaga KA, Hurwitz EL, Scuderi GJ. Retrograde ejaculation after anterior lumbar interbody fusion using

- rhBMP-2: A cohort controlled study. *Spine J* 2011;11:511-6.
13. Axelrad TW, Steen B, Lowenberg DW, Creevy WR, Einhorn TA. Heterotopic ossification after the use of commercially available recombinant human bone morphogenetic proteins in four patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008;90:1617-22.
 14. Aro HT, Govender S, Patel AD, Hernigou P, Perera de Gregorio A, Popescu GI, *et al.* Recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2: A randomized trial in open tibial fractures treated with reamed nail fixation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:801-8.
 15. Raschke M, Rasmussen MH, Govender S, Segal D, Suntum M, Christiansen JS. Effects of growth hormone in patients with tibial fracture: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2007;156:341-51.
 16. Andreassen TT, Ejersted C, Oxlund H. Intermittent parathyroid hormone (1-34) treatment increases callus formation and mechanical strength of healing rat fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 1999;14:960-8.
 17. Nakajima A, Shimoji N, Shiomi K, Shimizu S, Moriya H, Einhorn TA, *et al.* Mechanisms for the enhancement of fracture healing in rats treated with intermittent low-dose human parathyroid hormone (1-34). *J Bone Miner Res* 2002;17:2038-47.
 18. Alkhiary YM, Gerstenfeld LC, Krall E, Westmore M, Sato M, Mitlak BH, *et al.* Enhancement of experimental fracture-healing by systemic administration of recombinant human parathyroid hormone (PTH 1-34). *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005;87:731-41.
 19. Aspenberg P, Genant HK, Johansson T, Nino AJ, See K, Krohn K, *et al.* Teriparatide for acceleration of fracture repair in humans: A prospective randomized, double-blind study of 102 postmenopausal women with distal radius fractures. *J Bone Miner Res* 2010;25:404-15.
 20. Peichl P, Holzer LA, Maier R, Holzer G. Parathyroid hormone 1-84 accelerates fracture-healing in pubic bones of elderly osteoporotic women. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:1583-7.
 21. Bashutski JD, Eber RM, Kinney JS, Benavides E, Maitra S, Braun TM, *et al.* Teriparatide and osseous regeneration in the oral cavity. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:2396-405.
 22. Einhorn TA. The wnt signaling pathway as a potential target for therapies to enhance bone repair. *Sci Transl Med* 2010;2:42ps36.

How to cite this article: Einhorn TA. New technologies for the enhancement of skeletal repair: Challenges and opportunities. *Indian J Orthop* 2011;45:489-91.

Author Help: Online submission of the manuscripts

Articles can be submitted online from <http://www.journalonweb.com>. For online submission, the articles should be prepared in two files (first page file and article file). Images should be submitted separately.

- 1) **First Page File:**
Prepare the title page, covering letter, acknowledgement etc. using a word processor program. All information related to your identity should be included here. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files.
- 2) **Article File:**
The main text of the article, beginning with the Abstract to References (including tables) should be in this file. Do not include any information (such as acknowledgement, your names in page headers etc.) in this file. Use text/rtf/doc/pdf files. Do not zip the files. Limit the file size to 1 MB. Do not incorporate images in the file. If file size is large, graphs can be submitted separately as images, without their being incorporated in the article file. This will reduce the size of the file.
- 3) **Images:**
Submit good quality color images. Each image should be less than 4096 kb (4 MB) in size. The size of the image can be reduced by decreasing the actual height and width of the images (keep up to about 6 inches and up to about 1800 x 1200 pixels). JPEG is the most suitable file format. The image quality should be good enough to judge the scientific value of the image. For the purpose of printing, always retain a good quality, high resolution image. This high resolution image should be sent to the editorial office at the time of sending a revised article.
- 4) **Legends:**
Legends for the figures/images should be included at the end of the article file.