A randomized controlled trial on maximal strength training in 60 patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty

Implementing maximal strength training into clinical practice

Siri B WINTHER^{1,2}, Olav A FOSS^{1,2}, Otto S HUSBY^{1,2}, Tina S WIK^{1,2}, Jomar KLAKSVIK¹, and Vigdis S HUSBY³

Correspondence: Siri.bjorgen@ntnu.no

Submitted 2017-10-10. Accepted 2018-01-29.

Background and purpose — Total hip arthroplasty (THA) patients have reduced muscle strength after rehabilitation. In a previous efficacy trial, 4 weeks' early supervised maximal strength training (MST) increased muscle strength in unilateral THA patients < 65 years. We have now evaluated muscle strength in an MST and in a conventional physiotherapy (CP) group after rehabilitation in regular clinical practice.

Patients and methods — 60 primary THA patients were randomized to MST or CP between August 2015 and February 2016. The MST group trained at 85–90% of their maximal capacity in leg press and abduction of the operated leg (4×5 repetitions), 3 times a week at a municipal physiotherapy institute up to 3 months postoperatively. The CP group followed a training program designed by their respective physiotherapist, mainly exercises performed with low or no external loads. Patients were tested pre- 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Primary outcomes were abduction and leg press strength at 3 months. Other parameters evaluated were pain, 6-min walk test, Harris Hip Score (HHS) and Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS) Physical Function Short-form score.

Results — 27 patients in each group completed the intervention. MST patients were substantially stronger in leg press and abduction than CP patients 3 (43 kg and 3 kg respectively) and 6 months (30 kg and 3 kg respectively) postoperatively ($p \le 0.002$). 1 year postoperatively, no intergroup differences were found. No other statistically significant intergroup differences were found.

Interpretation — MST increases muscle strength more than CP in THA patients up to 6 months postoperatively, after 3 months' rehabilitation in clinical practice. It was well tolerated by the THA patients and seems feasible to conduct within regular clinical practice. Patients with osteoarthritis have reduced muscle strength in the affected limb preoperatively (Rasch et al. 2007), and muscle strength decreases further in the first postoperative week after total hip arthroplasty (THA) (Winther et al. 2016). The muscle strength is still reduced following the initial few weeks post THA (Holm et al. 2013, Winther et al. 2016). Reduced muscle mass, strength, and functionality seem to persist after completed rehabilitation (Reardon et al. 2001, Bertocci et al. 2004, Judd et al. 2014), in some studies up to vears after surgery (Sicard-Rosenbaum et al. 2002, Rasch et al. 2010). Leg muscle strength affects ambulatory status following THA (Nankaku et al. 2014), and patients with the best muscle strength have higher physical function, quality of life scores, and lower pain levels (Rosenlund et al. 2016). Muscle strength is related to beneficial effects on functional performance such as chair raising, stair climbing, and gait performance (Samuel et al. 2012, Buirs et al. 2016, Unhjem et al. 2017), and seems important for minimizing postoperative limping (Horstmann et al. 2013).

The prolonged deficits in muscle strength of the operated leg after THA indicate a potential for improvements in postoperative care (Judd et al. 2014). It is recommended that persistent asymmetries in hip flexor muscles should receive focused attention during rehabilitation (Friesenbichler et al. 2017) and that muscle-strengthening exercises should be continued for at least 1 year after THA (Shih et al. 1994). Although muscle strength of the operated leg is considered an important postoperative outcome, what specifically constitutes the optimal rehabilitation program after THA remains unclear (Westby et al. 2014). Conventional physiotherapy (CP) consisting of exercises with low or no external load is frequently used in rehabilitation after THA; however, the effect has been questioned

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Taylor & Francis on behalf of the Nordic Orthopedic Federation. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) DOI 10.1080/17453674.2018.1441362

¹ Orthopaedic Research Centre, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Clinic of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Dermatology, St Olavs Hospital HF, Trondheim; ² Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU, Trondheim; ³ Department of Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Science, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU, Postbox 8905 MTFS, NO-7491, Trondheim, Norway

(Minns Lowe et al. 2009, Husted 2012). Physiotherapist-directed rehabilitation appears similarly effective performed unsupervised at home compared with supervised by a physiotherapist in an outpatient setting (Coulter et al. 2013). Reasonably there is great potential for improvement as weightbearing exercises and progressive strength training have shown favorable outcomes (Di Monaco and Castiglioni 2013, Skoffer et al. 2015).

In a Fast-track perspective, it is suggested that studies should focus on early, intense postoperative strengthening (Rasch et al. 2010, Husted 2012, Holm et al. 2013, Judd et al. 2014). Immediate full weight bearing after THA is safe (Wolf et al. 2010), and strength exercises do not exacerbate postoperative pain (Mikkelsen et al. 2017). This enables patients to start their rehabilitation within the first week after surgery. In a previous efficacy study, patients < 65 years who underwent unilateral THA following a 4-week early maximal strength training (MST) program under supervision from an exercise physiologist increased leg muscle strength more than CP after THA (Husby et al. 2009). In the pres-

ent study, we aimed to evaluate the effect from 2 rehabilitation programs, in regular clinical practice. The primary outcome was muscle strength at 3 months' follow-up. The null hypothesis is that there will be no intergroup differences.

Patients and methods

The study was a prospective randomized controlled superiority trial with THA patients operated on using the posterior approach. All patients followed the standardized Fast-track course (Winther et al. 2015). Patients were randomly assigned receiving different postoperative rehabilitation at municipal physiotherapy institutes—either MST or CP—and logged their physical activity in a training diary up to the 6-monthly follow-up. The primary outcome was 1RM in abduction and leg press strength, a surrogate outcome measure related to functional performance. Secondary outcomes were pain assessed by the numeric rating scale (NRS), distance covered during the 6-minute walk test (6MWT), Harris Hip Score (HHS) and Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical function Shortform score (HOOS-PS).



Figure 1. Patient inclusion and follow-up in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) groups.

Patients

Patients diagnosed with primary osteoarthritis, scheduled for elective THA surgery at St Olavs University Hospital, Norway, living within short travel distance to the hospital, were asked to participate in the study by a nurse at the admission office, and assessed by an orthopedic surgeon. Exclusion criteria were severe osteoarthritis of the contralateral hip, not fully recovered from previous THA surgery, communication difficulties, discharged to a rehabilitation institute, or any illness or disorder that could influence the training and/or physical testing performance. Between August 2015 and February 2016, 80 patients were assessed for eligibility. Finally, 60 patients gave written consent to participate (Figure 1). The randomization was stratified by sex and concealed by using a web-based service provided by the research department at the university.

Testing

Patients were tested preoperatively, and at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. 2 physiologists and 1 physiotherapist, all highly experienced with patient testing, conducted the physical tests starting with the 6MWT where the patient walked back and forth in a 50-meter hallway for 6 minutes. 1RM leg press was tested in an ergometer device (Steens Physical, Ring



Figure 2. Set-up for the (a) leg press ergometer and (b) abduction pulling apparatus.

Patients in the CP group were instructed to follow the conventional rehabilitation regimen advised by the hospital—outpatient physical therapy for 3–6 months—and to follow their physiotherapist's guidance. They could choose any municipal institute within the municipality in which they resided. CP consisted of different type of exercises performed with low or no external loads (10–20 repetitions in each series). Warm-up exercises were mainly cycling, step and treadmill walking. Other exercises used were aquatic exercises, balance training, range-of-motion exercises, massage, and sling exercises.

Group-size calculation

Mekanikk AS, Norway) (Figure 2a). 1RM abduction strength was tested in a pulling apparatus (Pivot 820, Sports Master, Norway) (Figure 2b). Pain was assessed by the NRS: "On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 is no pain and 10 is the worst pain imaginable, can you define the pain you have right now?" The disease-specific questionnaires HHS and HOOS-PS were obtained preoperatively and at 3 and 12 months postoperatively. Maximum score for the HHS is 100 points. HOOS-PS is scored from 0 to 100 with zero being optimal.

Intervention

In accordance with current practice, the patients contacted the preferred physiotherapy institute the day after discharge and scheduled the first appointment. The physiotherapy institutes prioritized these patients. Patients in the MST group could attend 1 out of 5 municipal physiotherapy institutes that had consented to participate in the study. The institutes received the training protocol and were instructed in what manner to supervise the patients performing the specific exercises: leg press and abduction strength of the operated leg. 1-week postoperatively, 1RM in leg press and abduction of the operated leg were tested at the hospital to decide the initial weight load for the patients during the first training session. Each training was provided individually and started with a preferable warm-up exercise followed by the 2 strength-training exercises. Patients performed 5 repetitions × 4 series, starting with a load equal to 85-90% of 1RM, with emphasis on maximal mobilization of force in the concentric part of the movement. The series were separated by 1- to 2-minute resting periods, and the load was increased when the patient could perform 6RM. MST patients were prescribed 3 weekly physiotherapy visits for 3 months in accordance with clinical practice, and the adherence was assessed by means of self-reported visits collected from the training diaries. If required, the patients could receive stretching guidance and treatment by the physiotherapist, but no additional strength training of the operated leg was offered. After the intervention period, training with the physiotherapist was optional up to 6 months.

To reveal an effect size of approximately 1 based on the primary outcome—1RM in leg press strength—a between-group difference of 20 kg (SD 21) was considered the minimally clinically important difference and used for sample size calculation. With a significance level of 5% and a power of 90%, 24 participants were required for each group. 60 patients were included to account for dropouts.

Statistics

A General Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) was used to analyze all outcome variables. Strength measures were expressed as percentage of the preoperative score from the non-operated leg. The measured values were used when analyzing the other outcome variables. The preoperative value of the tested variable was included as a covariate representing a baseline control as well as correcting for eventual initial imbalance between groups. The preoperative pain score was additionally included as a covariate in all analyses. The 2 groups and time points served as fixed factors in the analyses. Interaction terms were used to acquire detailed comparisons between groups and between time points. Robust estimation was chosen to handle violations of model assumptions. P-values were Bonferroni corrected to adjust for multiple comparisons. Normality of residuals was verified by histograms. The covariates included in the models were a priori selected based on clinical evaluation. The analysis was based on an intention-to-treat principle, but there were no patient crossovers between the two treatment groups. In total, 3.2% of all data points were missing from follow-ups. As the GLMM is robust in handling missing data, there were no data set imputations made. Statistical significant intergroup differences of both primary endpoints were required to reach a confirmatory conclusion. Figures 3-5 represent model estimates, adjusted for covariates. Statistical analyses were performed using the software package IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 21.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

Table 1. Preoperative values from patients in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) group. Values are mean (SD) or (range)

	MST (n=31)	CP (n=29)
Sex (F/M) Age BMI Leg press: operated leg (kg) Abduction: operated leg (kg) Pain mobilization (NRS) 6MWT (m) HHS HOOS-PS	17/14 61 (35–77) 28 (4) 76 (29) 9 (3) 5.3 (2.2) 499 (124) 62 (13) 36 (16)	15/14 66 (44–83) 27 (3) 84 (33) 10 (4) 5.8 (2.0) 498 (125) 62 (14) 35 (14)

NRS: Numeric rating scale (0–10; 0 is no pain, 10 is worst pain imaginable), 6MWT: 6-minute walk test, HHS: Harris Hip Score, HOOS-PS: Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical function Short-form score.

Ethics, registration, funding, and potential conflicts of interest

The study was approved by the regional ethics committee (2010/3373) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was registered at CilnicalTrials. gov (NCT02498093) and supported by the Liaison Committee between the Central Norway Regional Health Authority (RHA) and the Norwegian University of Science and Technology [grant number 2010/708/MOCA]. The funding sources had no impact on the analyses, interpretation, or presentation of the data.

Results

Demographic variables of the patients are presented in Table 1.

Compliance

MST started 15 (SD 4) days after surgery. The average number of physiotherapy visits during the 3-month intervention period was 24 (4), and each training session was completed within 30 minutes. 6 patients in the MST group continued training with the physiotherapist from 3 to 6 months postoperatively, on an average of 2 (1) times per week, but did not perform the 1-leg MST.

CP started 19 (8) days after surgery. The average number of physiotherapy visits until 3 months was 19 (7), each lasting 55 (12) minutes. 12 patients in the CP group continued training with the physiotherapist from 3 to 6 months postoperatively, at an average of 2 (1) times per week.

Maximal strength

Patients in the MST group were stronger in leg press strength of the operated leg than the CP group at the 3 and 6 months' follow-up (p < 0.001). 1-year postoperatively, no statistically significant intergroup differences were found (p = 0.5) (Figure 3).

Patients in the MST group were stronger in abduction strength of the operated leg than the CP group at the 3 and 6 months' follow-up ($p \le 0.002$). 1-year postoperatively, no statistically significant intergroup differences were found (p = 0.2) (Figure 4).



Abduction strength – percent of preoperative value





Figure 3. Leg press strength of the operated leg compared with preoperative values (100%) of the non-operated leg in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) groups at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Model estimate with 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 4. Abduction strength of the operated leg compared with preoperative values (100%) of the non-operated leg in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) groups at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Model estimate with 95% confidence intervals. Figure 5. Pain score during mobilization on the numeric rating scale (NRS: 0–10) in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) groups preoperatively and at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively. Model estimate with 95% confidence intervals.

	Leg press (kg)		Abduction (kg)		6MW	6MWT (m)		HHS		HOOS-PS	
Month	MST	CP	MST	CP	MST	CP	MST	CP	MST	CP	
3	120 (31)	77 (30)	13 (4)	10 (4)	583 (97)	578 (129)	91 (14)	87 (12)	14 (12)	14 (13)	
6	114 (23)	84 (22)	13 (3)	10 (4)	607 (94)	596 (111)					
12	101 (27)	100 (26)	12 (4)	12 (4)	627 (96)	628 (110)	95 (7)	93 (9)	8 (10)	8 (10)	

Table 2. Postoperative values from patients in the maximal strength training (MST) and conventional physiotherapy (CP) groups. Values are mean (SD)

6MWT; 6-minute walk test, HHS; Harris Hip Score, HOOS-PS; Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score Physical function Short-form score.

Pain, 6MWT, HOOS-PS, and HHS

No statistically significant intergroup differences in pain score (p > 0.1) (Figure 5), 6MWT (p > 0.7), HOOS-PS (p > 0.6) or HHS (p > 0.3) were found at any follow-up (Table 2).

Discussion

Early postoperative MST increases muscle strength more than CP in THA patients within 3 months postoperatively. This strength difference persists up to 6 months postoperatively. No statistically significant intergroup differences were observed with respect to pain, 6MWT, HHS, or HOOS-PS. Husby et al. (2009) previously demonstrated that MST 5 times a week for 4 weeks, initiated 1 week postoperatively, increased strength more than CP in THA patients. Patients included in that study were restricted to unilateral osteoarthritis diagnosis and were relatively young, i.e., < 65 years. In contrast to our study, the training was located at a rehabilitation institute and closely supervised by 2 exercise physiologists during the entire intervention period. Our study was completed in accordance with current clinical practice as the patients had outpatient physiotherapy at municipal institutes, and few exclusion criteria. This demonstrates that MST can be implemented into clinical practice and the results generalized outside the experimental setting.

Muscle strength is considered an important outcome after primary THA (Westby et al. 2014). Consequently, we see this as a clinically meaningful surrogate measure. In a systematic review by Skoffer et al. (2015), weak evidence of a beneficial effect of progressive resistance training pre/post THA on muscle strength and functional capacity was found. Suetta et al. (2004) found that only 2 exercises with supervised progressive strength training (8-20 repetitions) of the operated leg increased muscle strength more than home-based training 12 weeks after THA. Conversely, Mikkelsen et al. (2014) did not find supervised progressive strength training twice a week superior to home-based exercise in improving muscle strength, 10 weeks after THA surgery. The training sessions in their study lasted longer than in our study, and consisted of 4 exercises with 10-12 repetitions. In the early postoperative phase, patients are physically reduced. Training should therefore be

simple, task specific and focused on targeting the affected muscles (Rasch et al. 2010). In our study, each training session lasted about twice as long for patients in the CP compared with the MST group (55 vs. 30 minutes). Shorter training sessions may increase the performance during training.

Muscle strength in the MST group decreased when the training was terminated. Still, they were stronger than the CP group, and exceeded the preoperative values of the nonoperated leg at all follow-ups. The gradually reduced muscle strength in the MST group after the training intervention might be expected as muscle strength has an expiration date and the benefits from the training cannot be stored for later. In the CP group, muscle strength continued to increase from 3 to 6 months and further up to the 1-year follow-up. Patients in the CP group did not reach the preoperative leg press values of the non-operated leg until the 1-year follow-up. Our results are consistent with previously reported findings of only modest strength improvements after CP (Minns Lowe et al. 2009), and the fact that strength increased after completed rehabilitation (Beaupre et al. 2014). It has therefore been suggested that THA patients should continue strength training of the operated hip for at least 1 year postoperatively or should be given a more advanced exercise program later in their recovery (Shih et al. 1994, Trudelle-Jackson et al. 2002). The MST group had on average a few more training sessions than the CP group during the intervention period, but each session lasted half the time so that the total volume was higher in the CP group. This demonstrates that performing MST in clinical practice requires less effort and resources than CR. Furthermore, only half as many patients in the MST group as the CP group preferred to continue training with the physiotherapist after the 3 months' intervention period, due to subjective perception of equal bilateral leg strength and independence, and being competent to continue training on their own as reasons for terminating rehabilitation. The early substantial increase in muscle strength in the MST group suggests that 3 months' rehabilitation might be sufficient when done efficiently.

Early postoperative rehabilitation should focus on preventing the great reduction in muscle strength demonstrated in these patients. Therefore, especially in the early phase when the total training volume ought not be too high, strength training should be a priority. As soon as leg muscle strength is regained, the patient's endurance capacity and physical activity should be increased to prevent various lifestyle-related diseases. The MST and CP groups approach each other in muscle strength 1-year postoperatively with a decreasing/increasing trend respectively, with no statistical significant intergroup difference (Figure 3). A reasonable question is whether the patients are completely rehabilitated when compared with healthy age-matched controls 1 year postoperatively. Previous studies have shown that THA patients have less strength in the lower extremities than healthy age-matched controls 1 year postoperatively (Sicard-Rosenbaum et al. 2002, Judd et al. 2014). In the present study, the MST group probably could have maintained superior muscle strength, for example, by having 1 MST session a week (Lexell et al. 1995).

Both groups expressed very little pain at the 3-month followup with a mean NRS score of 0.8 in the MST and 1.4 in the CP group, which consistently decreased by the 1-year followup in both groups, with no statistically significant intergroup differences (Figure 5). This is an important finding, as heavy strength training early after THA is anticipated to induce more pain than exercising at lower intensities. Our results are in accordance with findings from Mikkelsen et al. (2017) who found that substantial load progression during strength training did not exacerbate postoperative pain after THA.

We did not find any statistically significant intergroup differences in 6MWT, HHS, or HOOS-PS. The 6MWT is foremost designed to evaluate cardiorespiratory fitness and does not appreciably challenge the leg muscle strength. The stairclimbing test could have differentiated between the groups as it evaluates tasks closely related to daily living situations/activities (Unver et al. 2015). All patients were treated according to the fast-track clinical pathway, previously shown to assign high scores for HHS and HOOS-PS (Winther et al. 2015). The ceiling effect of these scores might limit their validity for use in clinical trials and a more differentiating score, such as the forgotten joint score, could have been used.

The main limitation of our study is the lack of a healthy agematched control group to identify the muscle strength of the normal population. This could have been informative when considering the results from the THA patients. Also, we did not record the patients' exercise status from 6 to 12 months. The study was not designed with the power to evaluate the secondary endpoints, but they are interesting seen in context with the primary finding, and as descriptive information.

As muscle strength is important for physical function in both patients and healthy people (Nankaku et al. 2016, Unhjem et al. 2017), it is important to explore rehabilitation methods that can restore and improve muscle strength postoperatively (Westby et al. 2014). Further, the proportion of THA patients < 70 years is increasing, and many are still employees and engaged in physical activities, demanding effective rehabilitation (Hobbs et al. 2011, Smith et al. 2015). As a result, patients might be able to return faster to physical activities and work. For future studies, it might be beneficial to train these patients both pre- and postoperatively, and in combination with endurance training, since most patients experience a period of inactivity before surgery due to activity-related pain and contracture of the hip.

In summary, MST increases muscle strength more than CP in THA patients after rehabilitation in clinical practice. The muscle strength difference persists up to 6 months postoperatively; however, the groups are approaching each other. 1 year postoperatively, no intergroup differences were found. MST is feasible to conduct in regular clinical practice and the results can be generalized to a wide THA population.

All authors contributed substantially to interpreting the results, and drafting and revising the article. SBW, VSH, OSH, TSW and OAF contributed to the study design; SBW and VSH collected data; OAF and JK conducted the statistical analysis; OSH and TSW screened the patients.

The authors would like to thank the physiotherapy institutes participating; Moholt physiotherapy, Tiller physiotherapy and manual therapy, Leangen physiotherapy, Frisk physiotherapy and Orkanger physiotherapy and osteopathy. They also thank physiotherapist Sølvi Liabakk-Selli for contribution in patient testing, and nurses Ann Kristin Green-Helgetun, Ann-Merete Kjøsnes, and Elin Ida Sletner for contribution in patient recruiting and coordination.

Acta thanks Thomas Bandholm and Henrik Husted for help with peer review of this study.

- Beaupre L A, Masson E C, Luckhurst B J, Arafah O, O'Connor G J. A randomized pilot study of a comprehensive postoperative exercise program compared with usual care following primary total hip arthroplasty in subjects less than 65 years of age: feasibility, selection of outcome measures and timing of assessment. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014; 15: 192.
- Bertocci G E, Munin M C, Frost K L, Burdett R, Wassinger C A, Fitzgerald S G. Isokinetic performance after total hip replacement. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2004; 83(1): 1-9.
- Buirs L D, Van Beers L W, Scholtes V A, Pastoors T, Sprague S, Poolman R W. Predictors of physical functioning after total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2016; 6(9): e010725.
- Coulter C L, Scarvell J M, Neeman T M, Smith P N. Physiotherapist-directed rehabilitation exercises in the outpatient or home setting improve strength, gait speed and cadence after elective total hip replacement: a systematic review. J Physiother 2013; 59(4): 219-26.
- Di Monaco M, Castiglioni C. Which type of exercise therapy is effective after hip arthroplasty? A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2013; 49(6): 893-907, quiz 21-3.
- Friesenbichler B, Casartelli N C, Wellauer V, Item-Glatthorn J F, Ferguson S J, Leunig M, Maffiuletti N A. Explosive and maximal strength before and 6 months after total hip arthroplasty. J Orthop Res 2017. doi: 10.1002/ jor.23626. [Epub ahead of print]
- Hobbs N, Dixon D, Rasmussen S, Judge A, Dreinhofer K E, Gunther K P, Dieppe P. Patient preoperative expectations of total hip replacement in European orthopedic centers. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2011; 63(11): 1521-7.
- Holm B, Thorborg K, Husted H, Kehlet H, Bandholm T. Surgery-induced changes and early recovery of hip-muscle strength, leg-press power, and functional performance after fast-track total hip arthroplasty: a prospective cohort study. PLoS One 2013; 8(4): e62109.
- Horstmann T, Listringhaus R, Brauner T, Grau S, Mundermann A. Minimizing preoperative and postoperative limping in patients after total hip arthroplasty: relevance of hip muscle strength and endurance. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 2013; 92(12): 1060-9.

- Husby V S, Helgerud J, Bjorgen S, Husby O S, Benum P, Hoff J. Early maximal strength training is an efficient treatment for patients operated with total hip arthroplasty. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009; 90(10): 1658-67.
- Husted H. Fast-track hip and knee arthroplasty: clinical and organizational aspects. Acta Orthop 2012; 83 (Suppl 346): 1-39.
- Judd D L, Dennis D A, Thomas A C, Wolfe P, Dayton M R, Stevens-Lapsley J E. Muscle strength and functional recovery during the first year after THA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2014; 472(2): 654-64.
- Lexell J, Downham D Y, Larsson Y, Bruhn E, Morsing B. Heavy-resistance training in older Scandinavian men and women: short- and long-term effects on arm and leg muscles. Scand J Med Sci Sports 1995; 5(6): 329-41.
- Mikkelsen L R, Mechlenburg I, Soballe K, Jorgensen L B, Mikkelsen S, Bandholm T, Petersen A K. Effect of early supervised progressive resistance training compared to unsupervised home-based exercise after fasttrack total hip replacement applied to patients with preoperative functional limitations. A single-blinded randomised controlled trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 2014; 22(12): 2051-8.
- Mikkelsen L R, Petersen A K, Mechlenburg I, Mikkelsen S, Soballe K, Bandholm T. Description of load progression and pain response during progressive resistance training early after total hip arthroplasty: secondary analyses from a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2017; 31(1): 11-22.
- Minns Lowe C J, Barker K L, Dewey M E, Sackley C M. Effectiveness of physiotherapy exercise following hip arthroplasty for osteoarthritis: a systematic review of clinical trials. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2009; 10: 98.
- Nankaku M, Akiyama H, Kakinoki R, Nishikawa T, Tanaka Y, Matsuda S. Factors associated with ambulatory status 6 months after total hip arthroplasty. Physiotherapy 2014; 100(3): 263-7.
- Nankaku M, Ikeguchi R, Goto K, So K, Kuroda Y, Matsuda S. Hip external rotator exercise contributes to improving physical functions in the early stage after total hip arthroplasty using an anterolateral approach: a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil 2016: 1-6.
- Rasch A, Bystrom A H, Dalen N, Berg H E. Reduced muscle radiological density, cross-sectional area, and strength of major hip and knee muscles in 22 patients with hip osteoarthritis. Acta Orthop 2007; 78(4): 505-10.
- Rasch A, Dalen N, Berg H E. Muscle strength, gait, and balance in 20 patients with hip osteoarthritis followed for 2 years after THA. Acta Orthop 2010; 81(2): 183-8.
- Reardon K, Galea M, Dennett X, Choong P, Byrne E. Quadriceps muscle wasting persists 5 months after total hip arthroplasty for osteoarthritis of the hip: a pilot study. Intern Med J 2001; 31(1): 7-14.
- Rosenlund S, Holsgaard-Larsen A, Overgaard S, Jensen C. The Gait Deviation Index is associated with hip muscle strength and patient-reported outcome in patients with severe hip osteoarthritis: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One 2016; 11(4): e0153177.

- Samuel D, Rowe P, Hood V, Nicol A. The relationships between muscle strength, biomechanical functional moments and health-related quality of life in non-elite older adults. Age Ageing 2012; 41(2): 224-30.
- Shih C H, Du Y K, Lin Y H, Wu C C. Muscular recovery around the hip joint after total hip arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1994; (302): 115-20.
- Sicard-Rosenbaum L, Light K E, Behrman A L. Gait, lower extremity strength, and self-assessed mobility after hip arthroplasty. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2002; 57(1): M47-51.
- Skoffer B, Dalgas U, Mechlenburg I. Progressive resistance training before and after total hip and knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. Clin Rehabil 2015; 29(1): 14-29.
- Smith T O, Latham S, Maskrey V, Blyth A. Patients' perceptions of physical activity before and after joint replacement: a systematic review with metaethnographic analysis. Postgrad Med J 2015; 91(1079): 483-91.
- Suetta C, Magnusson S P, Rosted A, Aagaard P, Jakobsen A K, Larsen L H, Duus B, Kjaer M. Resistance training in the early postoperative phase reduces hospitalization and leads to muscle hypertrophy in elderly hip surgery patients: a controlled, randomized study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2004; 52(12): 2016-22.
- Trudelle-Jackson E, Emerson R, Smith S. Outcomes of total hip arthroplasty: a study of patients one year postsurgery. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 2002; 32(6): 260-7.
- Unhjem R, van den Hoven L T, Nygard M, Hoff J, Wang E. Functional performance with age: the role of long-term strength training. J Geriatr Phys Ther 2017. doi: 10.1519/JPT.0000000000000141. [Epub ahead of print]
- Unver B, Kahraman T, Kalkan S, Yuksel E, Karatosun V, Gunal I. Test-retest reliability of the stair test in patients with total hip arthroplasty. Hip Int 2015; 25(2): 160-3.
- Westby M D, Brittain A, Backman C L. Expert consensus on best practices for post-acute rehabilitation after total hip and knee arthroplasty: a Canada and United States Delphi study. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2014; 66(3): 411-23.
- Winther S B, Foss O A, Wik T S, Davis SP, Engdal M, Jessen V, Husby O S. 1-year follow-up of 920 hip and knee arthroplasty patients after implementing fast-track. Acta Orthop 2015; 86(1): 78-85.
- Winther S B, Husby V S, Foss O A, Wik T S, Svenningsen S, Engdal M, Haugan K, Husby OS. Muscular strength after total hip arthroplasty: a prospective comparison of 3 surgical approaches. Acta Orthop 2016; 87(1): 22-8.
- Wolf O, Mattsson P, Milbrink J, Larsson S, Mallmin H. Periprosthetic bone mineral density and fixation of the uncemented CLS stem related to different weight bearing regimes: A randomized study using DXA and RSA in 38 patients followed for 5 years. Acta Orthop 2010; 81(3): 286-91.