

Relationship between chemical composition and standardized ileal digestible amino acid contents of corn grain in broiler chickens

Behzad Sadighi Sheikhhasan,^{*} Hossein Moravej,^{*} Fateme Ghaziani,^{*} Enric Esteve-Garcia,[†] and Woo Kyun Kim^{‡,1}

^{*}Department of Animal Science, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran;

[†]Department of Animal Nutrition, Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, Centre Mas Bové, Reus 43280,

Spain; and [‡]Department of Poultry Science, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

ABSTRACT This experiment was conducted to evaluate the chemical composition and standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of corn grain and to use these data to develop prediction equations for estimating total amino acids (TAAs) and standardized ileal digestible amino acids (SIDAAs) for broiler chickens. Four types of corn grains were obtained from different origins (Brazil, Ukraine, Russia, and Iran). Eighty-day-old Ross 308 male broiler chicks were fed a standard diet until day 18, and experimental diets were fed from 19 to 24 D of age. Five dietary treatments consisted of 4 semi-purified diets containing corn from each origin as the only source of amino acid (AA) and a N-free diet for determination of basal endogenous AA losses. Assay diets contained 939 g of test corn/kg. The concentration of crude protein and gross energy ranged from 7.58 to 8.39% (coefficient of variation [CV] = 4.72%) and 4,121 to 4,621 kcal/kg (CV = 5.09%), respectively. There was significant variation among the 4 corn grains in standardized ileal digestibility (SID) for CP, Phe, Leu, Asp, Glu, Ser, Gly, Ala, and Tyr ($P \leq 0.05$). The results of linear regression

showed that linear prediction equations based on protein content can be used to predict the TAA and SIDAA contents (e.g., TLys = $0.041 \times \text{CP}$, adj $R^2 = 95.9$, standard error of prediction [SEP] = 0.05; SIDLys = $0.0356 \times \text{CP}$, adj $R^2 = 96$, SEP = 0.051). Inclusion of other proximate components of test samples into the regression equation increased the R^2 value and decreased the SEP value (e.g., TLys = $0.329 \times \text{crude fiber [CF]} - 0.209 \times \text{Ash}$, adj $R^2 = 99.9$, SEP = 0.005; SIDLys = $-1.1591 + 0.836 \times \text{CF} - 0.055 \times \text{Ash}$, adj $R^2 = 99.9$, SEP = 0.001). The concentration of TAA and SIDAA was highly correlated (adj $R^2 > 89\%$) for most AA and showed that the amount of SIDAA could be predicted from its total concentration with a high degree of accuracy (e.g., SIDLys = $0.0023 + 0.861 \times \text{TLys}$, adj $R^2 = 99.9$, SEP = 0.0001). In conclusion, this *in vitro* assays and equations accurately predicted TAA and SIDAA corn grain samples for broiler chickens and can serve as a reference analysis to develop calibration equations for rapid feed quality evaluation methods such as near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy.

Key words: amino acid, broiler, corn, prediction equation, standardized ileal digestibility

2020 Poultry Science 99:4496–4504

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.06.013>

INTRODUCTION

Corn grain is a commonly used ingredient in the diets of broiler chickens in many regions of the world. The concentration of crude protein (CP) in corn grain is generally lower than that in other cereal grains, and it

usually varies between 70 and 126 g/kg DM (Bryden et al., 2009; Zuber and Rodehutschord, 2017). Nevertheless, as corn grain is often used in high quantities in diets for broilers, it provides significant amounts of amino acid (AA). For example, 65% of corn grain in the diets provides 0.12% of dietary lysine, which is equal to 12% of broiler requirement in a finishing period (Ross 308 nutrition specifications, 2014). Corn grain nutrient value varies in terms of composition from year to year. There are numerous factors that could impact corn grain nutrient value and digestibility such as the plant species, geographical location, agronomic conditions, postharvest processing, and storage (Collins et al., 2001;

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Poultry Science Association Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Received February 18, 2020.

Accepted June 11, 2020.

¹Corresponding author: wkkim@uga.edu

Galon et al., 2011; Gehring et al., 2012). Da Silva et al. (2008) demonstrated that similar grains may have different chemical compositions which affect their nutritional values.

Determination of digestibility coefficients for dietary AA helps us to formulate well-balanced diets to meet bird requirement and reduce nitrogen excretion to the environment. Moreover, diets formulated based on digestible AA will have economic benefits (Baker, 1994; Baker and Han, 1994). There is a growing consensus that the standardized ileal amino acid digestibility (SIAAD) assay is a suitable method for evaluating the AA digestibility of feed ingredients (Bryden and Li, 2010). Adedokun et al. (2015) determined the prececal digestibility of AA in 3 corn samples and discovered values ranging from 83 to 93% and 90 to 93% for Lys and Met, respectively. Furthermore, Leeson and Summers (2009) suggested that the total amino acids (TAA) and standardized ileal digestible amino acids (SIDAA) of the corn grain need to be assessed 2 times and one time per year, respectively. However, high-pressure liquid chromatography and bioassays to determine the AA are costly and require a significant investment of time and labor. Therefore, rapid and accurate *in vitro* assays that can predict TAA and SIDAA would be useful tools for broiler nutritionists to evaluate currently available corn. Regression, one of the prediction methods for nutritive value of a feed ingredient from its chemical composition, is advantageous for its simplicity and rapid turnaround time (NRC, 1994; Ebadi et al., 2011; Sedghi et al., 2014). Thus, linear regression and artificial neural network have been used to predict the AA profiles of feed ingredients based on proximate analysis (Cravener and Roush, 2001). Information about TAA content of feedstuffs is important; however, it is more essential for a nutritionist to know the SIDAA contents of feed ingredients when formulating poultry diets. The central hypothesis was that a linear regression using CP and other proximate component values would provide more robust and accurate prediction of TAA and SIDAA of corn grains. Therefore, the main objective of this study was to develop linear regression to predict TAA and SIDAA of corn grains for broilers based on their CP content and other proximate components and also the SIDAA content from the TAA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data Collection and Chemical Analysis

Four types of corn grains were obtained from different origins for this study. Three of the corn grains were obtained from commercial suppliers and were imported from Brazil, Ukraine, and Russia. The other corn grain was obtained directly from the suppliers (Single Cross variety-produced in the Moghan Plain of Iran). Three samples from each of the 4 corn grains were taken and evaluated for dry matter (DM), ash, CP, crude fiber (CF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber

(ADF), ether extract (EE), and gross energy (GE) by the chemical laboratory of the College of Agriculture and Natural Resources University of Tehran following Association of Official Analytical Chemists International (AOAC, 2000) analytical methods. Nitrogen-free extract (NFE) was determined by mathematical calculation.

For AA analysis, samples were prepared by 6N HCl hydrolysis for 24 h at 110°C followed by neutralization with 15 mL of NaOH (9.8 N) and then cooled to room temperature. Afterward, sodium citrate buffer was added to a 100-mL volume of the mixture (AOAC, 2000). Methionine and cysteine (sulfur-containing AAs) were analyzed by performic acid oxidation at 0°C, followed by acid hydrolysis (Moore, 1963). The AAs in the hydrolyzate were determined by HPLC Agilent 1100 and 1260 (Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, IRTA-Spain) using a reverse-phase chromatography with precolumn derivatization with orthophthalaldehyde with 2 replicates.

Bird Husbandry

This project was approved by the Animal Care Committee of the University of Tehran, Iran. Five dietary treatments consisted of 4 semi-purified diets containing corn from each origin as the only source of AA and one N-free diet (NFD) for determination of basal endogenous AA losses. The composition of the assay diets is presented in Table 1. Assay diets contained 939 g of test corn/kg. In the NFD, corn starch and dextrose were used as energy sources. The calculated CP levels for the diets containing Brazil, Russian, Ukraine, and Iran corn were 7.88, 7.85, 7.80, and 7.12%, respectively. All the diets were balanced in terms of calcium and phosphorus and supplemented with equal amounts of vitamin and mineral premixes (NRC, 1994). Celite (Celite 281, MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA), a source of acid-insoluble ash (AIA), was added to all diets at 1% as an indigestible marker. The analyzed CP and AA contents of the diets are presented in Table 2. All diets were fed in mash form.

In this trial, 80 one-day-old Ross 308 male chicks were obtained from a commercial hatchery and received vaccinations for Newcastle disease (7 and 18 D) and infectious bronchitis (1 D). Chicks were weighed and randomly allotted into 20 grower battery cages so that each cage of chicks had a similar initial weight and cage weight distribution (4 replicates and 4 birds per cage; 0.18 m²/bird), and each cage was equipped with one trough-feeder and one trough-waterer. Battery cages were located in a solid-sided house with a temperature control system. Temperature was set to 33°C at placement and was decreased gradually to 24°C by the end of experimentation, with continuous fluorescent lighting. Chicks were allowed *ad libitum* access to a corn-soybean meal conventional diet until 18 D of age; the starter diet contained 3,000 kcal/kg metabolizable energy and 23% CP (1–10 D), and the grower diet contained 3,100 kcal/kg metabolizable energy and 21.5% CP (11–18 D). On 19 D, after an over-night fast, chicks

Table 1. Ingredient composition of diets fed to broilers from 19 to 24 D of age (% , as-fed basis).

Item	Diets ¹				
	Corn-1	Corn-2	Corn-3	Corn-4	N-Free
Ingredient					
Corn	93.9	93.9	93.9	93.9	-
Corn-starch	-	-	-	-	45.65
Dextrose	-	-	-	-	43.00
Soybean oil	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Dicalcium phosphate	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.85	2.50
Limestone	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.85
Salt	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.40
Vitamin-mineral premix ²	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.60
Solka-Floc ³	-	-	-	-	5.00
Celite	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Total	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Calculated energy and nutrient					
AME _n , kcal/kg	3,258	3,258	3,258	3,258	3,191
Protein, %	7.88	7.85	7.80	7.12	-
Ca, %	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87	0.87
Available P, %	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44
Sodium, %	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18

¹The corn samples were obtained from Brazil (corn-1), Russia (corn-2), Ukraine (corn-3), and Iran (corn-4), respectively.

²Provided the following (per kg of diet): vitamin A (trans-retinyl acetate), 10,000 IU; vitamin D3 (chole-calciferol), 2,000 IU; vitamin E (all-rac-tocopherol acetate), 20 IU; vitamin K (bisulfate menadione complex), 3 mg; riboflavin, 5 mg; pantothenic acid (d-calcium pantothenate), 10 mg; nicotinic acid, 30 mg; pyridoxine (pyridoxine·HCl), 3 mg; thiamin (thiamin mononitrate), 1 mg; vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin), 12 µg; d-biotin, 0.15 mg; choline (choline chloride), 300 mg; folic acid, 0.5 mg; Se (Na₂SeO₃), 0.1 mg; I (KI), 2.0 mg; Cu (CuSO₄·5H₂O), 10 mg; Fe (FeSO₄·7H₂O), 30 mg; Mn (MnSO₄·H₂O), 100 mg; Zn (ZnO), 100 mg; and ethoxyquin, 110 mg.

³Purified cellulose (Contain Dietary Fiber).

were given *ad libitum* access to the experimental diets and NFD. On day 24, all the birds were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation, and ileal digesta were collected from the distal two-thirds of the ileum (portion of the small intestine from Meckel's diverticulum to approximately

Table 2. Analyzed amino acids (AA) and CP composition of the semi-purified diets fed to broilers from 19 to 24 D of age (% , as-fed basis).¹

Item	Diets ²				
	Corn-1	Corn-2	Corn-3	Corn-4	N-Free
CP	7.52	7.65	7.26	6.66	0.27
Essential AA					
His	0.182	0.158	0.147	0.161	0.003
Thr	0.247	0.305	0.266	0.254	0.003
Arg	0.418	0.359	0.321	0.290	0.005
Val	0.283	0.270	0.248	0.244	0.005
Met	0.168	0.164	0.149	0.145	0.0001
Phe	0.284	0.295	0.247	0.238	0.005
Ile	0.191	0.252	0.208	0.200	0.003
Leu	0.637	0.733	0.625	0.628	0.009
Lys	0.197	0.259	0.294	0.232	0.005
Nonessential AA					
Asp	0.533	0.595	0.503	0.455	0.006
Glu	1.171	1.257	1.086	1.056	0.014
Ser	0.315	0.299	0.281	0.280	0.005
Gly	0.310	0.267	0.263	0.243	0.005
Ala	0.440	0.475	0.423	0.411	0.006
Tyr	0.236	0.236	0.208	0.197	0.001
Cys	0.123	0.130	0.145	0.136	0.0001
Pro	0.485	0.554	0.499	0.492	0.001

¹Values reported from the analysis conducted at the Chemical Laboratory, Institute for Food and Agricultural Research and Technology (IRTA), Catalonia, Spain. Samples were analyzed in duplicate.

²The corn samples were obtained from Brazil (corn-1), Russia (corn-2), Ukraine (corn-3), and Iran (corn-4), respectively.

1 cm anterior to the ileocecal junction) by flushing with distilled water (Kluth and Rodehutsord, 2005).

Collected ileal samples from 3 birds within a cage were pooled and stored in a freezer at -20°C for further analyses of AIA and AA. Frozen digesta samples were thawed, lyophilized, and ground using an electric coffee grinder to make finely ground samples while avoiding significant loss. AIA concentrations of diets and digesta were determined in duplicate based on the method reported by Van Keulen and Young (1977). The concentrations of AA were analyzed as described previously. The SIAAD values were obtained by multiplying TAA contents and standardized ileal digestibility coefficients. In the present study, 17 AAs (Asp, Thr, Ser, Gly, Glu, Pro, Ala, Cys, Val, Met, Ile, Leu, Tyr, Phe, His, Lys, and Arg) were selected to assess the relationship among TAA, SIDAA, and the corn chemical compositions.

Calculation

Apparent ileal AA digestibility (**AIAAD**) was calculated using the following equation (Lemme et al., 2004): $AIAAD = [(AA/AIA)_{diet} - (AA/AIA)_{digesta}] / (AA/AIA)_{diet}$. Ileal endogenous AA (**IEAA**) flow in broilers fed the NFD was calculated as milligrams of AA flow per kilogram of DM intake (DMI) using the following equation (Adedokun et al., 2008): $IEAA, \text{ mg/kg, of DMI} = \text{ileal AA, mg/kg,} \times [(AIA)_{diet} / (AIA)_{digesta}]$. Apparent IAAD coefficients were standardized using the determined IEAA flows using the following equation: $SIAAD = AIAAD [(IEAA \text{ flow}$

g/kg of DMI)/(AA content of the diet, g/kg of DM)] \times 100.

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed using a randomized complete block design (SAS, 2003). Pen location was the blocking factor. GLM procedure and lsmeans method were used to compare mean SIAAD coefficients.

Simple and multiple linear regressions were used to predict TAA and SIDAA contents in corn grain samples using SPSS version 19 (IBM Corp., NY) with the following model (Statistic, 2011). The input variables were proximate components (moisture, CP, GE, EE, CF, NDF, ADF, ash, and NFE) and TAA in the SIDAA equations. Each individual TAA and SIDAA contents were the output variable:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_{1 \times x_1} + \beta_{2 \times x_2} + \dots + \epsilon_i,$$

where y_i = TAA and SIDAA, β_0 = intercept of the regression equation, β_j = regression coefficient, x_j = CP and other proximate components, and ϵ_i = random error of the regression model. The coefficient of determination (R^2), adjusted R^2 , P -value regression, P -value coefficients, and standard error of prediction (SEP) were used to define the equation with the best fit. Statistical significance was considered at $P \leq 0.05$.

The SEP was calculated using the following equation (Yegani et al., 2013):

$$SEP = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (Y - \hat{Y})^2}{N}}$$

where Y is the TAA and SIDAA determined in the chick bioassay, \hat{Y} is the predicted TAA and SIDAA values based on the *in vitro* data, and N is the number of corn grain samples tested.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyzed CP contents in the Brazil, Russian, Ukraine, and Iran corn and NFD were 7.52, 7.65, 7.26, 6.66, and 0.27%, respectively, which were close to formulated values (Table 2). The AA concentrations in the diets were in general agreement with reported values by Kong and Adeola (2013).

Overall, the level of SIAAD was high for most AA in the experimental diets and varied little among diets (Table 3). Ukraine corn grain had significantly lower SID of CP (82.56%) and most of the AAs (Phe, Leu, Asp, Glu, Ser, Gly, Ala, and Tyr) than the other corn samples ($P \leq 0.05$). However, corn samples from Brazil, Russia, and Iran had similar SID of CP (89.17–88.39%) and AA. Cys showed the lowest mean digestibility (82.6%), whereas Ser showed the highest mean digestibility (94.11%). The SID of Trp values were omitted because of levels being too low to be detected in the ileal assays. The results indicate that SID of CP and AA can be different depending on the origin. Therefore, the formulation of diets based on average nutritive and digestibility values may not be the most accurate method. The SID of CP and AA from Brazil, Russia, and Iran in the present study was within the range of values previously reported by Adedokun et al. (2008), Kim et al. (2011), and Kong and Adeola (2013) and was relatively higher than reported values by Iyayi and Adeola (2014) in 26-day-old broilers and by Szczurek (2009) in 30-day-

Table 3. Coefficient of standardized ileal digestibility (%) of CP and amino acid (AA) of the diet in broilers of 24 D of age.¹

Item	Corn ²				Mean	P value	SEM
	Corn-1	Corn-2	Corn-3	Corn-4			
CP	89.170 ^a	88.390 ^a	82.558 ^b	88.670 ^a	87.20	0.030	1.077
Essential AA							
His	94.29	91.58	89.64	92.78	92.07	0.143	0.737
Thr	84.84	86.11	80.04	87.40	84.60	0.438	1.546
Arg	92.12	89.61	86.27	88.55	89.14	0.366	1.088
Val	92.33	87.25	82.62	89.18	87.85	0.099	1.603
Met	93.97	86.26	86.54	89.74	89.13	0.425	1.794
Phe	94.60 ^a	93.82 ^a	88.62 ^b	93.79 ^a	92.71	0.037	0.964
Ile	91.27	89.85	85.72	91.21	89.51	0.269	1.328
Leu	92.43 ^a	92.87 ^a	87.65 ^b	92.97 ^a	91.48	0.017	0.867
Lys	86.78	87.16	86.63	87.15	86.93	0.998	1.310
Nonessential AA							
Asp	92.08 ^a	92.22 ^a	85.74 ^b	90.94 ^a	90.25	0.038	1.054
Glu	95.22 ^a	95.04 ^a	90.97 ^b	94.90 ^a	94.03	0.024	0.708
Ser	96.01 ^a	95.87 ^a	89.14 ^b	95.41 ^a	94.11	0.015	1.028
Gly	89.96 ^a	86.68 ^a	80.03 ^b	86.54 ^a	85.80	0.003	1.206
Ala	92.40 ^a	93.26 ^a	87.60 ^b	91.89 ^a	91.29	0.013	0.822
Tyr	94.39 ^a	93.87 ^a	87.82 ^b	94.07 ^a	92.54	0.011	1.005
Cys	83.47	82.53	81.62	82.79	82.60	0.991	1.878
Pro	87.59	86.46	85.21	87.75	86.75	0.893	0.649

Means within a row, not sharing a common superscript lowercase letter, are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

¹There were 4 cages of 4 chicks each per treatment.

²The corn samples were obtained from Brazil (corn-1), Russia (corn-2), Ukraine (corn-3), and Iran (corn-4), respectively.

Table 4. Determined chemical composition of the corn tested (% DM basis).¹

Component	Corn ²				Mean	CV%
	Corn-1	Corn-2	Corn-3	Corn-4		
DM	89.20	89.31	89.85	87.35	88.93	1.22
Moisture	10.8	10.69	10.15	12.65	11.07	9.83
GE, kcal/kg	4,621	4,332	4,198	4,121	4,318	5.09
CP	8.39	8.35	8.30	7.58	8.15	4.72
EE	4.57	4.50	4.23	4.37	4.42	3.34
CF	1.90	1.77	1.80	1.80	1.82	3.17
NDF	9.00	8.30	8.23	7.77	8.33	6.11
ADF	3.90	3.47	4.60	3.87	3.96	11.89
Total Ash	0.91	1.48	1.37	1.28	1.26	19.58
NFE	73.44	73.22	74.14	72.33	73.28	1.02

Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fiber; CF, crude fiber; CV, coefficient of variation; EE, ether extract; GE, gross energy; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; NFE, nitrogen-free extract.

¹Nutrients were analyzed in triplicate sample.

²The corn samples were obtained from Brazil (corn-1), Russia (corn-2), Ukraine (corn-3), and Iran (corn-4), respectively.

old broilers. However, it was relatively lower than that reported by [Adedokun et al. \(2015\)](#) in 21-day-old broilers. [Zuber and Rodehutsord \(2017\)](#) reported that AA digestibility of corn grain varied among corn samples. The differences in these studies could be due to variety, growing conditions, the presence of antinutritional factors (such as nonstarch polysaccharides of tannins), age of birds, or the method used in the estimation ([Choct and Hughes, 1999](#); [Ravindran et al., 1999](#)).

Among all the ingredients, the moisture, GE, and NDF differed with a coefficient of variation (CV) ranging from 5 to 10%, and the concentration of ADF and ash showed the greatest difference with a CV higher than 10% ([Table 4](#)). On DM basis, the concentration of CP and GE ranged from 7.58 to 8.39% and 4,121 to 4,621 kcal/kg, respectively. Mean contents of CP

(8.15%), DM (88.93%), EE (4.42%), and CF (1.82%) in this experiment were in good agreement with those reported by [Feedstuffs \(2014\)](#) and [NRC \(1994\)](#). The results of TAA and SIDAA ([Table 5](#)) showed that most AA values were variable (CV > 6%) except Phe, Ile, and Pro (CV < 6%). Mean contents of TAA and SIDAA values were within the range reported by [Bryden et al. \(2009\)](#). The present study also revealed considerable variation in total and SID of Lys (CV = 21.2 and 20.9) of corn grain in broilers and confirmed the variation in total Lys and AA digestibility observed by [Zuber and Rodehutsord \(2017\)](#).

The linear regression equations for prediction of TAA contents of corn grain samples from protein content and other proximate components are shown in [Table 6](#). Corn grain TAA levels were predicted with high

Table 5. Determined total amino acids (TAAs) and standardized ileal digestible amino acid (SIDAA) of the corn tested (% DM basis).¹

Component	Corn ²										CV%	
	Corn-1		Corn-2		Corn-3		Corn-4		Mean		Total	SID
	Total	SID	Total	SID	Total	SID	Total	SID	Total	SID	Total	SID
Essential AA												
His	0.172	0.162	0.171	0.156	0.170	0.153	0.146	0.135	0.165	0.152	7.69	7.90
Thr	0.288	0.244	0.290	0.249	0.288	0.230	0.245	0.214	0.278	0.235	7.79	6.68
Arg	0.360	0.331	0.344	0.308	0.317	0.273	0.276	0.244	0.324	0.289	11.3	13.2
Val	0.287	0.265	0.273	0.238	0.264	0.218	0.235	0.210	0.265	0.233	8.22	10.5
Met	0.181	0.170	0.160	0.138	0.171	0.148	0.158	0.142	0.167	0.149	6.34	9.50
Phe	0.251	0.237	0.260	0.244	0.263	0.233	0.233	0.219	0.252	0.233	5.33	4.64
Ile	0.239	0.218	0.236	0.212	0.230	0.197	0.214	0.195	0.230	0.206	4.90	5.51
Leu	0.624	0.577	0.676	0.628	0.697	0.611	0.610	0.567	0.652	0.596	6.40	4.83
Lys	0.437	0.379	0.274	0.239	0.312	0.270	0.316	0.275	0.355	0.291	21.2	20.9
Nonessential AA												
Asp	0.559	0.514	0.537	0.495	0.541	0.464	0.471	0.428	0.527	0.475	7.29	7.90
Glu	1.120	1.067	1.164	1.106	1.199	1.091	1.014	0.963	1.124	1.057	7.13	6.12
Ser	0.275	0.264	0.296	0.284	0.302	0.270	0.255	0.244	0.282	0.265	7.61	6.34
Gly	0.277	0.249	0.268	0.232	0.258	0.206	0.217	0.188	0.255	0.219	10.2	12.3
Ala	0.439	0.406	0.459	0.428	0.465	0.408	0.383	0.352	0.436	0.398	8.62	8.20
Tyr	0.207	0.195	0.222	0.208	0.223	0.196	0.187	0.175	0.209	0.194	8.07	6.96
Cys	0.167	0.140	0.175	0.145	0.158	0.129	0.152	0.126	0.163	0.135	6.20	6.48
Pro	0.481	0.421	0.509	0.440	0.481	0.410	0.465	0.408	0.484	0.420	3.83	3.54

Abbreviations: CV, coefficient of variation; SID, standardized ileal digestibility.

¹Amino acids were analyzed in duplicate sample.

²The corn samples were obtained from Brazil (corn-1), Russia (corn-2), Ukraine (corn-3) and Iran (corn-4), respectively.

Table 6. Regression equations for prediction of total amino acids (TAAs) composition from protein content and proximate components of corn grain (DM basis).¹

Amino acids	Basis	Prediction equations	Statistical parameter ²					
			R^2	Adjusted R^2	P -value regression	P -value coefficients	SEP	
TMet	CP	$Y = 0.0205 \times CP$	99.8	99.7	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.007
	CF, NFE	$Y = -0.631 + 0.149 \times CF + 0.007 \times NFE$	99.9	99.9	0.005	CF	0.004	0.014
TCys	CP	$Y = 0.020 \times CP$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	NFE	0.006	
	NFE, ADF	$Y = -0.760 + 0.014 \times NFE - 0.026 \times ADF$	99.9	99.9	0.014	CP	0.0001	0.005
	CP, CF, EE	$Y = 0.017 \times CP + 0.047 \times EE - 0.102 \times CF$	99.8	99.7	0.006	NFE	0.011	0.000
						ADF	0.009	
						CF	0.091	0.002
						EE	0.081	
						CP	0.080	
TMet + Cys	CP	$Y = 0.0245 + 0.0375 \times CP$	84.0	76.0	0.084	CP	0.084	0.005
	CP	$Y = 0.0405 \times CP$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.005
	NDF, Ash	$Y = -0.0175 + 0.038 \times NDF + 0.024 \times Ash$	99.9	99.9	0.009	NDF	0.007	0.001
						Ash	0.022	
TLys	CP	$Y = 0.041 \times CP$	96.9	95.9	0.002	CP	0.002	0.050
	Ash	$Y = 0.692 - 0.283 \times Ash$	98.3	97.5	0.008	Ash	0.008	0.008
	CF, Ash	$Y = 0.329 \times CF - 0.209 \times Ash$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CF	0.001	0.005
						Ash	0.005	
TThr	CP	$Y = -0.1826 + 0.056 \times CP$	99.0	98.4	0.005	CP	0.005	0.004
	CP, moisture	$Y = 0.0415 \times CP - 0.005 \times Moisture$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.005
						Moisture	0.013	
Tlle	CP	$Y = 0.0009 + 0.028 \times CP$	94.0	91.0	0.003	CP	0.003	0.002
	CP, EE	$Y = -0.065 + 0.0258 \times CP + 0.019 \times EE$	99.9	99.8	0.024	CP	0.018	0.000
						EE	0.062	
TLeu	CP	$Y = 0.0798 \times CP$	99.8	99.7	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.029
	CP, NDF	$Y = 0.183 \times CP - 0.101 \times NDF$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.017	0.008
						NDF	0.051	
THis	CP	$Y = -0.100 + 0.0325 \times CP$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.000
	CP, NFE	$Y = 0.0346 \times CP - 0.0016 \times NFE$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.005	0.001
						NFE	0.026	
TVal	CP	$Y = -0.172 + 0.0535 \times CP$	88.1	82.2	0.061	CP	0.061	0.006
	CP	$Y = 0.0324 \times CP$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.009
	CP, GE	$Y = -0.2277 + 0.0359 \times CP + 0.00004617 \times GE$	99.9	99.7	0.020	CP	0.027	0.000
						GE	0.037	
TArg	CP	$Y = -0.389 + 0.0875 \times CP$	84.2	76.3	0.082	CP	0.082	0.012
	CP	$Y = 0.0398 \times CP$	99.6	99.5	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.020
	EE, Moisture	$Y = 0.140 \times EE - 0.0266 \times Moisture$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	EE	0.004	0.004
						Moisture	0.015	
TPhe	CP	$Y = 0.0308 \times CP$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.005
	CP, NDF	$Y = 0.050 \times CP - 0.0188 \times NDF$	99.9	98.6	0.0001	CP	0.006	0.001
						NDF	0.039	

Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fiber; CF, crude fiber; EE, ether extract; GE, gross energy; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; NFE, nitrogen-free extract; SEP, standard error of prediction.

¹Analyzed with SPSS statistical software and stepwise and inter procedures.

² R^2 is the coefficient of determination, adjusted R^2 adjusted for the number of predictors in the model, P value < 0.05 is statistically significant (Yegani et al., 2013).

accuracy (adj $R^2 \geq 76$) with linear regression based on protein content and other proximate components. Inclusion of other proximate components of the test samples (e.g., CF, EE, NDF, NFE and so on) into the regression equation increased the adj R^2 (adj $R^2 > 97$) and decreased the SEP, indicating that the predictive ability was improved as compared to the protein content equations. Cravener and Roush (2001) used a linear regression model to predict the AA content in feed ingredients based on proximate analysis and reported the AA contents of feedstuffs were related to the sample proximate analysis. NRC (1994) presented an equation for estimating the TAA content of feedstuffs related to changes in protein content ($Y = a + bx$) and an equation for estimating AA content from other proximate components $\{Y = a + b_1 (\% \text{ protein}) + b_2 (\% \text{ moisture}) + b_3 (\% \text{ fat}) + b_4 (\%$

fiber) + $b_5 (\% \text{ ash})\}$, where the b_n represents the regression coefficients. In the equations based on other proximate components for corn grain reported in NRC (1994), most of the proximate components for prediction of AA except threonine are not included, and also the accuracy of the regression equations for estimating the amount of TAA in ingredients seems to be variable and low. The prediction equations for the SID contents of the AAs from protein content, other proximate components, and TAA presented a high degree of accuracy (adj R^2 of above 91%), as indicated by significant P -value regression and coefficients (Tables 7 and 8). The SID of Lys was predicted using the following equations: $\% \text{SID Lys} = [0.0356 \times CP]$, ($R^2 = 97\%$, SEP = 0.051); $[-1.1591 + 0.836 \times CF - 0.055 \times \text{ash}]$, ($R^2 = 99.9$, SEP = 0.001); and $[0.0023 + 0.861 \times \text{TLys}]$, ($R^2 = 99.9\%$, SEP = 0.000). Zuber and

Table 7. Regression equations for prediction of standardized ileal digestible amino acid (SIDAA) composition from protein content and proximate components of corn grain (DM basis).¹

Amino acids	Basis	Prediction equations	Statistical parameter ²					
			R^2	Adjusted R^2	P -value regression	P -value coefficients	SEP	
SID Met	CP	$Y = 0.0183 \times CP$	99.4	99.2	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.011
	CF, NFE	$Y = -0.5327 + 0.0033 \times NFE + 0.241 \times CF$	99.9	99.8	0.010	NFE CF	0.037 0.007	0.002 0.005
SID Cys	CP	$Y = 0.0165 \times CP$	99.8	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.005
	CP, ADF	$Y = 0.0432 + 0.0177 \times CP - 0.0133 \times ADF$	99.9	99.9	0.002	CP ADF	0.002 0.002	0.000 0.002
SID Met + Cys	CP	$Y = 0.035 \times CP$	99.8	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.012
	NDF	$Y = -0.021 + 0.0367 \times NDF$	96.6	95.0	0.017	NDF	0.017	0.003
SID Lys	CP	$Y = 0.0356 \times CP$	97.0	96.0	0.002	CP	0.002	0.051
	CF, Ash	$Y = -1.1591 + 0.836 \times CF - 0.055 \times Ash$	99.9	99.9	0.001	CF Ash	0.005 0.017	0.001 0.006
SID Thr	CP	$Y = 0.0287 \times CP$	99.8	99.7	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.006
	CP, ADF	$Y = -0.0123 + 0.0375 \times CP - 0.015 \times ADF$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP ADF	0.0001 0.0001	0.000 0.000
SID Ile	CP	$Y = 0.0252 \times CP$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.024
	EE, moisture	$Y = 0.059 \times EE - 0.005 \times Moisture$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	EE Moisture	0.002 0.043	0.024 0.063
SID Leu	CP	$Y = 0.073 \times CP$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.058
	CP, CF	$Y = 0.827 + 0.0625 \times CP - 0.4078 \times CF$	99.9	99.8	0.024	CP CF	0.019 0.020	0.013 0.019
SID His	CP	$Y = -0.0877 + 0.0293 \times CP$	94.5	91.8	0.028	CP	0.028	0.034
	CP, GE	$Y = -0.1077 + 0.0223 \times CP + 0.0000166 \times GE$	99.9	99.9	0.014	CP GE	0.015 0.037	0.019 0.034
SID Val	CP	$Y = 0.0285 \times CP$	99.5	99.4	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.033
	NDF, ADF	$Y = -0.0841 + 0.0453 \times NDF - 0.0153 \times ADF$	99.8	99.5	0.043	NDF ADF	0.029 0.052	0.040 0.040
SID Arg	CP	$Y = 0.0355 \times CP$	99.3	99.1	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.040
	GE, CF	$Y = 0.000243 \times GE - 0.4185 \times CF$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	GE CF	0.011 0.012	0.036 0.020
SID Phe	CP	$Y = 0.0285 \times CP$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	CP	0.0001	0.020
	NDF, Ash	$Y = 0.0227 \times NDF + 0.035 \times Ash$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	NDF Ash	0.002 0.031	0.019 0.020
	CF, NDF	$Y = 0.374 - 0.232 \times CF + 0.0336 \times NDF$	99.5	98.4	0.057	CF NDF	0.042 0.036	0.020 0.020

Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fiber; CF, crude fiber; EE, ether extract; GE, gross energy; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; NFE, nitrogen-free extract; SEP, standard error of prediction; SID, standardized ileal digestibility.

¹Analyzed with SPSS statistical software and stepwise and inter procedures.

² R^2 is the coefficient of determination, adjusted R^2 adjusted for the number of predictors in the model, P value < 0.05 is statistically significant (Yegani et al., 2013).

Rodehutsord (2017) reported that the digestibility of most AA was positively correlated with the CP concentration of the corn samples. Ebadi et al. (2011) found the best relationships between true digestible AA and

chemical composition (CP, CF, EE, ash, and total phenols) in sorghum grain for most AAs. These studies show that the chemical composition of feed ingredient is related to the digestibility of AAs.

Table 8. Regression equations for prediction of SIDAA from TAA of corn grain (DM Basis).¹

Amino acids	Prediction equations	Statistical parameter ²				
		R^2	Adjusted R^2	P -value regression	P -value coefficient	SEP
SID Met	$Y = 0.8936 \times TMet$	99.9	99.8	0.0001	0.0001	0.005
SID Cys	$Y = -0.0083 + 0.879 \times TCys$	97.7	96.6	0.011	0.011	0.001
SID Met + Cys	$Y = 0.863 \times TMetCys$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.007
SID Lys	$Y = 0.0023 + 0.861 \times TLys$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.000
SID Thr	$Y = 0.842 \times TThr$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.007
SID Ile	$Y = 0.894 \times TIle$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.024
SID Leu	$Y = 0.913 \times TLeu$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.056
SID His	$Y = -0.0039 + 0.895 \times THis$	93.2	89.8	0.035	0.035	0.021
SID Val	$Y = 0.880 \times TVal$	99.8	99.8	0.0001	0.0001	0.031
SID Arg	$Y = -0.0444 + 1.028 \times TArg$	97.2	95.8	0.014	0.014	0.035
SID Phe	$Y = 0.926 \times TPhe$	99.9	99.9	0.0001	0.0001	0.018

Abbreviations: SEP, standard error of prediction; SID, standardized ileal digestibility; SIDAA, standardized ileal digestible amino acids; TAA, total amino acids.

¹Analyzed with SPSS statistical software and stepwise and inter procedures.

² R^2 is the coefficient of determination, adjusted R^2 adjusted for the number of predictors in the model, P value < 0.05 is statistically significant (Yegani et al., 2013).

The prediction equations of digestible AA from TAA concentration in distillers dried corn with soluble in growing pigs were reported (Urriola et al., 2009). They found a low correlation between the concentration and digestibility of AA, His (0.71), Lys (0.66), Met (0.75), and Thr (0.39), suggesting that it is desirable to develop *in vitro* procedures to predict digestible AA concentration. This observation differs from that reported by Van Kempen et al. (2002) for SBM in growing swine, where the amount of digestible CP and AA could be predicted from its total concentration ($R^2 = 0.96$ for Lys, 0.98 for Met, 0.90 for Thr, and 0.89 for Trp). The Lys concentration per unit CP could be an acceptable predictor of Lys SID in wheat distillers dried grains with soluble (Cozannet et al., 2010).

In conclusion, predictions based on chemical characteristics were more accurate in terms of reflecting the bioassay results. Inclusion of proximate components increased the accuracy of prediction of TAA and SIDAA for broiler chickens, but additional time and costs were associated with this approach. The goodness of fit of the regression equations may have been improved with a wider range in corn grain samples, and further research is warranted to develop robust TAA and SIDAA prediction equations for corn grains currently used by the poultry industry. As a result, the equations developed in the present study can serve as a reference to develop calibration equations for the prediction of concentration of TAA and SIDAA of corn grains for broiler chickens using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Appreciation is expressed to the IRTA Mas Bové (Institute of Agrifood Research and Technology, Catalonia, Spain) for their excellent help in the analysis of amino acids and to chemical laboratory (Department of animal science of the College of Agriculture and Natural Resources University of Tehran, Iran) for their assistance in the analysis of nutrients.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors did not provide a conflict of interest statement

REFERENCES

- Adedokun, S., O. Adeola, C. Parsons, M. Lilburn, and T. Applegate. 2008. Standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of plant feedstuffs in broiler chickens and turkey poulters using a nitrogen-free or casein diet. *Poult. Sci.* 87:2535–2548.
- Adedokun, S., P. Jaynes, R. Payne, and T. Applegate. 2015. Standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of corn, corn distillers' dried grains with solubles, wheat middlings, and bakery by-products in broilers and laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* 94:2480–2487.
- AOAC International. 2000. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 17th ed. AOAC International, Gaithersburg, MD.
- Baker, D. H. 1994. Ideal amino acid profile for maximal protein accretion and minimal nitrogen excretion in swine and poultry. Pages 134–139 in Proceedings of the Cornell Nutrition Conference for Feed Manufacturers, Rochester, NY.
- Baker, D. H., and Y. Han. 1994. Ideal amino acid profile for chicks during the first three weeks posthatching. *Poult. Sci.* 73:1441–1447.
- Bryden, W. L., and X. Li. 2010. Amino acid digestibility and poultry feed formulation: expression, limitations and application. *Rev. Bras. Zootec.* 39:279–287.
- Bryden, W., X. Li, G. Ravindran, L. Hew, and V. Ravindran. 2009. Ileal Digestible Amino Acid Values in Feedstuffs for Poultry. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, Barton, ACT, Australia.
- Choct, M., and R. Hughes. 1999. Chemical and physical characteristics of grains related to variability in energy and amino acid availability in poultry. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 50:689–702.
- Collins, N., E. Moran, Jr, and H. Stilborn. 2001. Influence of yellow dent corn hybrids having different kernel characteristics yet similar nutrient composition on broiler production. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* 10:228–235.
- Cozannet, P., Y. Primot, C. Gady, J. Métayer, P. Callu, M. Lessire, F. Skiba, and J. Noblet. 2010. Ileal digestibility of amino acids in wheat distillers dried grains with solubles for pigs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 158:177–186.
- Cravener, T. L., and W. B. Roush. 2001. Prediction of amino acid profiles in feed ingredients: genetic algorithm calibration of artificial neural networks. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 90:131–141.
- Da Silva, M., G. Garcia, E. Vizoni, O. Kawamura, E. Hirooka, and E. Ono. 2008. Effect of the time interval from harvesting to the pre-drying step on natural fumonisin contamination in freshly harvested corn from the state of Paraná, Brazil. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess.* 25:642–649.
- Ebadi, M., M. Sedghi, A. Golian, and H. Ahmadi. 2011. Prediction of the true digestible amino acid contents from the chemical composition of sorghum grain for poultry. *Poult. Sci.* 90:2397–2401.
- Feedstuffs. 2014. Ingredient Analysis Table Prepared by Amy Batal and Nick Dale. Huvepharma Inc. University of Georgia, Athens, GA.
- Galon, L., S. P. Tironi, A. A. da Rocha, E. R. Soares, G. Concenço, and C. M. Alberto. 2011. Influência dos fatores abióticos na produtividade da cultura do milho. *Revista Trópica: Ciências Agrárias e Biológicas* 4.
- Gehring, C., M. Bedford, A. Cowieson, and W. Dozier, III. 2012. Effects of corn source on the relationship between *in vitro* assays and ileal nutrient digestibility. *Poult. Sci.* 91:1908–1914.
- Iyayi, E., and O. Adeola. 2014. Standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of feedstuffs in broiler chickens. *Europ. Poult. Sci.* 78:2535–2548.
- Kim, E., P. Utterback, T. Applegate, and C. Parsons. 2011. Comparison of amino acid digestibility of feedstuffs determined with the precision-fed cecectomized rooster assay and the standardized ileal amino acid digestibility assay. *Poult. Sci.* 90:2511–2519.
- Kluth, H., and M. Rodehutschord. 2005. A linear regression approach to compare prececal amino acid digestibility in broilers, turkeys and ducks. Pages 548–550 in Proceedings of the 15th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Balatonfüred, Hungary.
- Kong, C., and O. Adeola. 2013. Additivity of amino acid digestibility in corn and soybean meal for broiler chickens and White Pekin ducks. *Poult. Sci.* 92:2381–2388.
- Leeson, S., and J. D. Summers. 2009. Commercial Poultry Nutrition. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, England.
- Lemme, A., V. Ravindran, and W. Bryden. 2004. Ileal digestibility of amino acids in feed ingredients for broilers. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 60:423–438.
- Moore, S. 1963. On the determination of cystine as cysteic acid. *J. Biol. Chem.* 243:235–237.
- National Research Council. 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.
- Ravindran, V., L. Hew, G. Ravindran, and W. Bryden. 1999. A comparison of ileal digesta and excreta analysis for the determination of amino acid digestibility in food ingredients for poultry. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 40:266–274.
- SAS Institute. 2003. SAS/STAT Software Version 9. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.
- Sedghi, M., A. Golian, F. Kolahan, A. H. Moussavi, and A. Afsar. 2014. Broiler diets formulated based on digestible amino acid values as determined by *in vivo* and prediction methods. *Iran J. Appl. Anim. Sci.* 4.

- Statistic, I. S. 2011. IBM SPSS STATISTIC Program, Version 19 Statistical Software Packages. IBM Corporation, New York, NY.
- Szczurek, W. 2009. Standardized ileal digestibility of amino acids from several cereal grains and protein-rich feedstuffs in broiler chickens at the age of 30 days. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.* 663:127.
- Urriola, P., D. Hoehler, C. Pedersen, H. Stein, and G. Shurson. 2009. Amino acid digestibility of distillers dried grains with solubles, produced from sorghum, a sorghum-corn blend, and corn fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87:2574–2580.
- Van Kempen, T., I. Kim, A. Jansman, M. Verstegen, J. Hancock, D. Lee, V. Gabert, D. Albin, G. Fahey, Jr, and C. Grieshop. 2002. Regional and processor variation in the ileal digestible amino acid content of soybean meals measured in growing swine. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80:429–439.
- Van Keulen, J., and B. Young. 1977. Evaluation of acid-insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. *J. Anim. Sci.* 44:282–287.
- Yegani, M., M. Swift, R. Zijlstra, and D. Korver. 2013. Prediction of energetic value of wheat and triticale in broiler chicks: a chick bioassay and an in vitro digestibility technique. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 183:40–50.
- Zuber, T., and M. Rodehutscord. 2017. Variability in amino acid digestibility and metabolizable energy of corn studied in cecectomized laying hens. *Poult. Sci.* 96:1696–1706.