



# LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Reply: A homozygous GDAP2 loss-of-function variant in a patient with adult-onset cerebellar ataxia; and Novel GDAP2 pathogenic variants cause autosomal recessive spinocerebellar ataxia-27 (SCAR27) in a Chinese family

Ilse Eidhof,<sup>1</sup> Jonathan Baets,<sup>2,3,4</sup> Erik-Jan Kamsteeg,<sup>1</sup> Annette Schenck<sup>1</sup> and Bart P. van de Warrenburg <sup>5</sup>

- 1 Department of Human Genetics, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior, Radboud University Medical Centre, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 2 Center for Molecular Neurology, University of Antwerp, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium
- 3 Institute Born-Bunge, University of Antwerp, 2610 Antwerp, Belgium
- 4 Neuromuscular Reference Centre, Department of Neurology, Antwerp University Hospital, 6520 Antwerp, Belgium
- 5 Department of Neurology, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior, Radboud University Medical Centre, 6525 GC Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Bart P. van de Warrenburg

Department of Neurology, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition, and Behavior, Radboud University Medical Centre, 6525 GC Nijmegen, The Netherlands

E-mail: Bart.vandeWarrenburg@radboudumc.nl

#### Sir,

We read with great interest, the letters from Breza *et al.* (2020) and Dong *et al.* (2020). Following our recent publication in this journal reporting a new autosomal recessive cerebellar ataxia (ARCA) subtype caused by mutations in GDAP2 (Eidhof *et al.*, 2018*a*), both groups each identified a patient with gene-disruptive mutations in the GDAP2 gene by exome sequencing or after reanalysis of exome data.

First of all, these two independent reports are an important confirmation of our finding that bi-allelic GDAP2 mutations cause cerebellar ataxia. Second, although Breza et al. (2020) have not provided further details on the size of the ataxia cohort they screened, the fact that both they and Dong et al. (2020) in their cohort of 60 unsolved ARCA patients, identified only a single patient with mutations in GDAP2 suggests that this is a rare cause of ARCA. This is in line with our impression, as the two independent patients reported by us came from two large ataxia cohorts. Third, although the current number of patients is limited, there is so far a striking homogeneity in terms of phenotype: an adult-onset, slowly progressive cerebellar syndrome manifesting in the fourth decade of life, accompanied by pyramidal features/spasticity, and-in three of the currently four patients-cognitive decline. Whether the observed emotional liability, aggressive behaviour, and depressive episodes in the patient reported by Breza *et al.* are also linked to GDAP2 remains uncertain at this stage.

The homozygous frameshift variant, a 1-bp deletion, identified by Breza *et al.* (p.Pro45LeufsTer22), is located in exon 2 of the *GDAP2* gene and severely affects the *GDAP2* open reading frame. The variant damages the Macro domain and leads to complete absence of the CRAL-TRIO domain. Because of the presence of an early premature termination codon located 22 amino acids downstream of the variant, the mutated GDAP2 transcript is likely targeted for nonsense-mediated decay (NMD). Thus, similar to the *GDAP2* variants that we described previously, this variant likely leads to loss of GDAP2 protein levels.

The biallelic variants (p.Arg253\* and p.Thr422fs\*7) identified in the patient described by Dong *et al.* are located in exon 7 and exon 13 of the *GDAP2* gene. Both variants were predicted to be targeted for NMD or result in transcripts that encode prematurely terminated GDAP2 proteins that either completely lack or contain a truncated CRAL-TRIO domain. Based on the expression of these variants using copy-DNA constructs, Dong *et al.* suggested that both p.Arg253\* and p.Thr422fs\*7 do not result in a loss of, but in truncated GDAP2 proteins. However, there is a design problem with these experiments. NMD is triggered by

Advance access publication May 18, 2020

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) (2020). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

exon-junction complexes that bind the mRNA during the splicing process (Kervestin and Jacobson, 2012). By using copy-DNA, i.e. DNA without introns, the splicing step is eliminated and hence the utilized approach is not suitable to argue against NMD. It is therefore very likely that GDAP2 levels in the patient with the p.Arg253\* and p.Thr422fs\*7 variants reported by Dong et al. are strongly decreased, and loss-of-function is underlying the consistent phenotype. Dong et al. suggested that the CRAL-TRIO domain might be a mutational hotspot, and that loss of this domain, either via overall loss of the GDAP2 protein or a truncated GDAP2 protein that lacks a functional CRAL-TRIO domain, can be causative of ARCA. The GDAP2 CRAL-TRIO domain is indeed either directly or indirectly affected in all four cases. Nonetheless, more research will be required to understand the exact pathophysiological mechanisms.

Dong *et al.* hint towards the possibility that truncated *GDAP2* mutations are associated with a less severe phenotype in comparison with mutations that lead to loss of GDAP2. However, current data suggest a dynamic phenotype based on disease duration, rather than a genotypephenotype correlation, and, as stated earlier, compelling evidence for a mechanism involving a truncated GDAP2 protein rather than GDAP2 loss-of-function is missing.

It is currently unclear how loss of GDAP2 leads to progressive cerebellar degeneration. We previously showed that ARCA-associated genes have enriched connectivity at the protein level, and are strongly enriched for defective cellular stress responses and DNA repair, to which the cerebellum seems preferentially vulnerable (Eidhof et al., 2018b, 2019). Based on its protein domains, GDAP2 connects to other ARCA-associated proteins implicated in cellular stress responses (Warde-Farley et al., 2010; Eidhof et al., 2018a, 2019). Indeed, Gdap2 expression levels in Drosophila respond to cellular stress and induction of cellular stress in Drosophila GDAP2 models severely reduced lifespan (Eidhof et al., 2018a), supporting the possibility that GDAP2 acts as a metabolic sensor in the cerebellum that is required for an appropriate, effective response to stress. The exact role of GDAP2, however, needs further investigation.

Others have given this ARCA subtype caused by *GDAP2* mutations the designation ARCA27 or SCAR27. However, we are in favour of using the recently proposed classification system for genetic movement disorders, including ataxia (Rossi *et al.*, 2018), and would like this entity to be referred to as ATX-*GDAP2*.

In conclusion, Breza *et al.* and Dong *et al.* have independently confirmed the causality of *GDAP2* mutations in rare, late-onset ARCA. Despite the phenotypic homogeneity suggested so far, additional cases and a longer follow-up time will help us to delineate the full phenotypic GDAP2 spectrum. More research will be required to understand the biological function of GDAP2, the pathophysiological consequences of *GDAP2* mutations, and how these contribute to cerebellar degeneration and ataxia.

#### **Data availability**

Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

### Funding

This research was supported by the E-RARE-3 Joint Transnational Call grant "Preparing therapies for autosomal recessive ataxias" (PREPARE; ZonMW, 9003037604 to A.S. and B.P.vdW.), by a Radboud university medical centre junior researcher grant to B.P.vdW. and A.S., by the Association Belge contre les Maladies Neuromusculaires (ABMM) - Aide à la Recherche ASBL, and the EU FP7/ 2007-2013 under grant agreement number 2012-305121 (NEUROMICS). J.B. is supported by a Senior Clinical Researcher mandate of the Research Fund - Flanders (FWO). B.P.vdW. is supported by research grants from Radboud university medical centre, ZonMW, Hersenstichting, Gossweiler Foundation, and uniQure.

## **Competing interests**

The authors report no competing interests.

#### References

- Breza M, Bourinaris T, Efthymiou S, Maroofian R, Athanasiou-Fragkoulia A, Tzartos J, et al. A homozygous GDAP2 loss-of-function variant in a patientwith adult-onset cerebellar ataxia. Brain 2020; 143: e49.
- Dong H-L, Cheng H-L, Bai G, Shen Y, Wu Z-W. Novel GDAP2 pathogenic variants cause autosomal recessive SCAR27 in a Chinese family. Brain 2020; 143: e50.
- Eidhof I, Baets J, Kamsteeg E-J, Deconinck T, van Ninhuijs L, Martin J-J, et al. GDAP2 mutations implicate susceptibility to cellular stress in a new form of cerebellar ataxia. Brain 2018a; 141: 2592–604.
- Eidhof I, van de Warrenburg BP, Schenck A. SnapShot: biology of Genetic Ataxias. Cell 2018b; 175: 890- e1.
- Eidhof I, van de Warrenburg BP, Schenck A. Integrative network and brain expression analysis reveals mechanistic modules in ataxia. J Med Genet 2019; 56: 283–92.
- Kervestin S, Jacobson A. NMD: a multifaceted response to premature translational termination. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2012; 13: 700–12.
- Rossi M, Anheim M, Durr A, Klein C, Koenig M, Synofzik M, et al. The genetic nomenclature of recessive cerebellar ataxias. Mov Disord 2018; 33: 1056–76.
- Warde-Farley D, Donaldson SL, Comes O, Zuberi K, Badrawi R, Chao P, et al. The GeneMANIA prediction server: biological network integration for gene prioritization and predicting gene function. Nucleic Acids Res 2010; 38: W214–20.