



Synthesis, Antimicrobial Activity, Structure-Activity Relationship, and Molecular Docking Studies of Indole Diketopiperazine Alkaloids

Bin Jia¹, Yang-min Ma^{1*}, Bin Liu^{2,3}, Pu Chen¹, Yan Hu¹ and Rui Zhang⁴

¹ Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Chemical Additives for Industry, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an, China, ² School of Pharmacy, Shaanxi Institute of International Trade and Commerce, Xi'an, China, ³ Collaborative Innovation Center of Green Manufacturing Technology for Traditional Chinese Medicine in Shaanxi Province, Xi'an, China, ⁴ School of Arts and Sciences, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Teodorico Castro Ramalho, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brazil

Reviewed by:

Umer Rashid, COMSATS University Islamabad, Abbottabad Campus, Pakistan Assem Barakat, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia

*Correspondence:

Yang-min Ma mym63@sina.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Medicinal and Pharmaceutical Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Chemistry

Received: 17 September 2019 Accepted: 18 November 2019 Published: 29 November 2019

Citation:

Jia B, Ma Y, Liu B, Chen P, Hu Y and Zhang R (2019) Synthesis, Antimicrobial Activity, Structure-Activity Relationship, and Molecular Docking Studies of Indole Diketopiperazine Alkaloids. Front. Chem. 7:837. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019.00837 Strategies for the synthesis of indole diketopiperazine alkaloids (indole DKPs) have been described and involve three analogs of indole DKPs. The antimicrobial activity and structure-activity relationship (SAR) of 24 indole DKPs were explored. Compounds **3b** and **3c** were found to be the most active, with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) values in the range of 0.94–3.87 μ M (0.39–1.56 μ g/mL) against the four tested bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli*). Furthermore, compounds **4a** and **4b** displayed broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity with MIC values of 1.10–36.9 μ M (0.39–12.5 μ g/mL) against all tested bacteria and plant pathogenic fungi (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Valsa mali*, *Alternaria alternata* and *Alternaria brassicae*). According to the *in silico* study, compounds **3c** showed significant binding affinity to the FabH protein from *Escherichia coli*, which has been identified as the key target enzyme of fatty acid synthesis (FAS) in bacteria. Therefore, these compounds are not only promising new antibacterial agents but also potential FabH inhibitors.

Keywords: indole diketopiperazine alkaloids, antimicrobial activity, structure-activity relationship, molecular docking, *Escherichia coli* FabH

INTRODUCTION

Indole diketopiperazine alkaloids (indole DKPs) are comprised of two bioactive heterocyclic cores, an indole and a diketopiperazine. Indole DKPs are secondary metabolites of microorganisms that are widely distributed in filamentous fungi, including *Aspergillus, Penicillium, Pestalotiopsis*, and *Chromocleista* (Ma et al., 2016). These compounds have attracted significant attention from chemical and biological researchers over the years due to their intriguing structure and wide range of biological activities. These compounds show a variety of biological activities, such as antimicrobial (Zheng et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2011; Ebead et al., 2012; El-Gendy Bel and Rateb, 2015; Yu et al., 2018), anticancer (Isham et al., 2007; Tsukamoto et al., 2008), anti-inflammatory (Liu et al., 2018), antioxidant (Zhong et al., 2019), and insecticidal (De Guzman and Gloer, 1992; Dong et al., 2005; Hayashi, 2005; Rateb et al., 2013; An et al., 2014) activities. For example, the natural product sporidesmin A (**Figure 1**) has good antimicrobial activity that is comparable to the

1



well-known broad-spectrum antifungal and antibacterial agent ciclopirox (Sun et al., 2011). Tryprostatin B (Figure 1) is a fungal inhibitors of mammalian cell cycle progression at the G2/M transition (Tong Gan, 1997). Fumitremorgin B and verruculogen (Figure 1) are potential growth inhibitors of the parasites Trypanosoma brucei brucei and Leishmania donovani (Rateb et al., 2013). Although natural products of microbial origin that exist widely in nature have been proven to be effective and inherently biodegradable due to the complexity of their structures (Tareq et al., 2013), their natural abundance is scarce. Fortunately, the synthesis of indole DKPs has attracted attention from chemists. Various synthetic methods of these compounds have been explored, such as the synthesis of spirotryprostatin A (Figure 1) (Cheng et al., 2011; Kitahara et al., 2014). Moreover, developing new, harmless antimicrobial agents for foods and plants that are derived from natural compounds has attracted widespread attention (Zhao et al., 2017; Alejo-Armijo et al., 2018). Therefore, it is desirable to find active natural lead compounds from indole DKPs.

In our previous studies, 13 indole DKPs were isolated from the endophytic fungus *Aspergillus tamarii* in *Ficus carica* L., and all of the isolated indole DKPs showed potential antibacterial, antifungal and anti-phytopathogenic activities (Zhang, 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). Among these compounds, verruculogen (**Figure 1**) showed the best activity against the tested bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) with MIC values of 1.56–3.13 μ g/mL. However, verruculogen cannot be considered an appropriate antimicrobial agent candidate because it causes tremors in animals. Therefore, it is essential to synthesize a series of indole DKPs for research on their biological activities. To our knowledge, only a few reports have thoroughly studied the antimicrobial activity and mechanism of indole DKPs. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct an in-depth study on these compounds.

FabH plays an essential and regulatory role in bacterial fatty acid synthesis (FAS). FabH also plays a key regulatory role in

the entire biosynthetic pathway in the initiation of the fatty acid chain elongation cycle (Heatha et al., 2001). FabH is also essential for bacterial survival and for the universal processes of cell membrane generation and maintenance (Alhamadsheh et al., 2007a). In addition, FabH has been identified as the key target enzyme of FAS in bacteria and exists prevalently in a large number of clinical pathogens, such as gram-positive bacteria, gram-negative bacteria, and mycobacteria (Alhamadsheh et al., 2007b). Further research has also shown that FabH proteins are highly conserved at the gene sequence and structural level in both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, while no significant homologous with human tissue proteins exist. Moreover, the residues that comprise the active site are essentially invariant among gram-positive and gram-negative organisms (Wakil, 1989). Moreover, it has been reported that the mode of antimicrobial action is likely due to the inactivation of essential enzymes (Pisoschi et al., 2018). These attributes suggest that inhibitors of FabH could be potential antimicrobial agents (Nie et al., 2005). Although there are many enzymes in FAS, FabH is the most well-studied bacterial enzyme, having been cloned and characterized from a number of bacterial species (Khandekar et al., 2003). Additionally, computer docking techniques play an important role in the drug design and discovery, as well as in mechanistic studies by placing a molecule into the binding site of the target macromolecule in a non-covalent fashion (Abdel-Aziz et al., 2011). GlaxoSmithKline identified indole analog compound 1 (Figure 1) to be a potent inhibitor of Streptococcus pneumoniae FabH through high-throughput screening (Daines et al., 2003). This encouraging result excited us to determine the binding mode of indole DKPs with FabH via docking simulations for a better understanding of the drug-receptor interaction.

We obtained mimics of fumitremorgin B and spirotryprostatin A (**Figure 1**) in previous studies. In order to broaden the knowledge of the structural features that could influence the antimicrobial activities of indole DKPs, herein, we (1) designed a set of indole DKPs analogs as tryprostatin B mimics, (2) evaluated the antimicrobial activity of 24 indole DKPs and discussed the preliminary structure-activity relationship (SAR), and (3) performed docking simulations to give a prediction of the binding mode between the indole DKPs and *E. coli* FabH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Instruments

Starting materials and all other reagents were purchased from Aladdin Chemical (Shanghai, China). All solvents were of analytical grade and purchased from Tianjin Hongyan Chemical Reagents Factory (Tianjin, China). Silica gel for column chromatography (200–300 mesh) was purchased from Tsingtao Haiyang Silica Gel Desiccant Factory (Tsingtao, China). Solvents were dried according to standard procedures. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were performed on a Bruker Avance 400 instrument (¹H NMR at 400 MHz, ¹³C NMR at 100 MHz). High-resolution mass spectra were acquired on a Bruker Daltonics MicrOTof-Q II mass spectrometer.

Synthesis

The synthetic routes of target compounds **1a–1e** are outlined in **Scheme 1**.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 1a–1e

To a solution of Fmoc-L-Pro-Cl (3.89 g, 11 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL), the corresponding *N*-substituted L-tryptophan methyl ester (**8a–8e**) (see the **Supporting Information** for the synthetic procedure) (10 mmol) was added dropwise at room temperature, and the resulting reaction system was stirred for 5 min, followed by the addition of Na₂CO₃ (1 mol/L, 10 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, and then the organic phase was separated. To this solution, morpholine (50%, 6 mL) was added. After stirring at room temperature for 3 h, the

solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography with dichloromethane/ethyl acetate (v/v = 10:1) to afford the desired products.

(35,8aS)-3-((1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-2-benzylhexahydropyr rolo[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione, 1a: yield, 55.7%; white solid; mp 251–253°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.41 (br, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 6H), 7.20 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (d, *J* = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.36 (dd, *J* = 12.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (td, *J* = 8.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.37 (m, 1H), 0.79 (m, 1H), -0.10 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.4, 164.4, 135.7, 135.4, 129.0, 128.5, 128.2, 127.4, 123.9, 122.4, 119.8, 119.7, 110.9, 108.6, 59.5, 59.6, 46.0, 44.5, 28.3, 26.6, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₃H₂₄N₃O₂ [M+H]⁺ 374.1869, found: 374.1864.

(35,8aS)-3-((1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-2-(4-methoxybenzyl) hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione, 1b: yield, 66.3%; white solid; mp 157–159°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 9.01–8.54 (m, 1H), 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.23–7.13 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.05 (m, 1H), 7.00–6.87 (m, 3H), 5.70 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (t, *J* = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, *J* = 14.5 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (d, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 3H), 3.78 (dd, *J* = 11.7, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, *J* = 14.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.51–3.27 (m, 2H), 2.94 (td, *J* = 11.0, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 1.85–1.57 (m, 1H), 1.44–1.16 (m, 1H), 0.92–0.52 (m, 1H), -0.09 to -0.30 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.04, 164.46, 136.73, 129.97, 128.15, 127.36, 119.66, 114.37, 109.82, 107.80, 59.25, 55.36, 45.48, 44.43, 28.59, 26.65, 20.68. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₄H₂₆N₃O₃ [M+H]⁺ 404.1974, found: 404.1969.

(35,8aS)-3-((1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-2-cinnamylhexahydr opyrrolo[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione, 1c: yield, 49.4%; white solid; mp 181–183°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 8.64 (br, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.18 (t, J =8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (m, 1H), 5.14 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 1H), 3.81–3.68





(m, 3H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.34 (dd, J = 12.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (td, J = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.35 (m, 1H), 0.76 (m, 1H), -0.13 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.2, 164.4, 136.1, 135.8, 134.8, 128.7, 128.2, 127.5, 126.5, 124.0, 122.7, 122.4, 119.7, 119.6, 111.0, 108.4, 60.0, 59.3, 45.2, 44.5, 28.2, 27.0, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) *m/z* calculated for C₂₅H₂₆N₃O₂ [M+H]⁺ 400.2025, found: 400.2019.

(3*S*,8*aS*)-3-((1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-2-(furan-2-ylmethyl) hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione, 1d: yield, 41.5%; white solid; mp 169–171°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.55 (br, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (t, *J* = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (d, *J* = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (m, 2H), 3.73 (m, 2H), 3.41 (m, 2H), 2.95 (td, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.35 (m, 1H), 0.75 (m, 1H), -0.13 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.3, 164.3, 148.8, 142.9, 135.7, 127.4, 123.9, 122.4, 119.7, 119.6, 111.0, 110.7, 110.2, 108.4, 60.2, 59.2, 44.5, 39.4, 28.2, 26.9, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₁H₂₂N₃O₃ [M+H]⁺ 364.1661, found 364. 1655.

(3S,8aS)-3-((1*H*-indol-3-yl)methyl)-2-(4-(dimethylamino) benzyl)hexahydropyrrolo[1,2-*a*]pyrazine-1,4-dione, 1e: yield, 61.5%; white solid; mp 195–197°C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.88 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.39–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (tt, *J* = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (ddt, *J* = 8.4, 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.68 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (s, 1H), 3.97 (d, *J* = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.83–3.72 (m, 1H), 3.64 (dd, *J* = 14.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.48–3.29 (m, 2H), 2.98 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 6H), 2.93 (td, *J* = 11.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81–1.67 (m, 1H), 1.41–1.25 (m, 1H), 0.79–0.59 (m, 1H), -0.21 (td, J = 10.9, 9.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 166.2, 164.7, 135.7, 129.9, 127.4, 124.0, 122.3, 119.7, 119.6, 112.9, 110.0, 108.4, 59.3, 59.0, 45.6, 44.5, 40.8, 28.3, 26.7, 20.6. HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z* calculated for C₂₅H₂₉N₄O₂ [M+H]⁺ 417.2291, found 417.2284.

Characterization data: ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectra for products **1a-e** (**Pages S3-S13**) and HRMS of **1b**, **d**, **e** (**Pages S24-S26**) are shown in the **Supplementary Material**. General procedure for synthesis of methyl *L*-tryptophanate hydrochloride, 7 and *N*-substituted *L*-tryptophan methyl ester **8a-8e** are presented in the **Supplementary Material** (**Page S2**). Characterization data: ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR spectra for intermediate products 7 (**Pages S14, S15**) and **8a-8e** (**Pages S16-S23**) are shown in the **Supplementary Material**.

The synthetic routes of indole DKPs **2a–2f**, **3a–3d**, **4a–4d**, and **5a–5e** are outlined in **Scheme 2** and **3**. General procedure for the synthesis of these compounds have been described in our previous research (Ma et al., 2013, 2014, 2017).

Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity

In vitro antibacterial and antifungal activities were determined by their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values with a minor modification. The MIC is the lowest concentration of a sample that inhibits the visible growth of a microorganism (Wiegand et al., 2008). Antimicrobial activity of indole DKPs against plant pathogenic fungi (*Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Valsa mali*, *Alternaria alternate*, and *Alternaria brassicae*), grampositive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus* and *Bacillus subtilis*), and



gram-negative bacteria (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli*). The test compounds were completely dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and added to a 96-well plate by double dilution of each compound over the range of 0.39–50 μ g/mL. Then, potato-glucose liquid medium and a bacteria or fungi suspension were added to each well. The concentration of each microorganism was adjusted to an estimated cell density of ~10⁶ cfu/mL. The plates were kept in an incubator for 24 h at 37°C for bacteria and 72 h at 30°C for fungi. Each experiment was carried out in triplicate. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration of the first clear well by macroscopic observation under a black background. Positive control samples were penicillin sodium for gram-positive bacteria, streptomycin sulfate for gram-negative bacteria and carbendazim for plant pathogenic fungi. DMSO was used as the blank control.

Molecular Modeling

The structure of FabH (PDB 1HNJ) was obtained from the RCSB protein Data Bank (http://www.pdb.org/pdb/home/home. do). AutoDock 4.2 was employed to analyze the interactions of the active compounds to the enzyme. All the heteroatoms were removed from the 1HNJ.pdb, to make complex receptor free of any ligand before docking. Water molecule of enzyme were removed, and hydrogen atoms were added in the standard geometry before docking by AutoDock tools. The ligand file was submitted to Chem3D Ultra Visualizing program to minimize to the lowest energy and obtain standard 3D structure in (.pdb) format. Docking runs were carried out using a radius of 48 Å, with coordinates x = 26.819, y = 19.041, z = 28.216, and a spacing of 0.375. Docking was conducted by Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (LGA). PyMOL (version 0.99; DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA, USA) and ligplus v1.4.5 were used to view the graphic.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Indole DKPs

The general synthetic route for indole DKPs 1a-1e, 2a-2f, 3a-3d, 4a-4d, and 5a-5e is outlined in Schemes 1-3. At the outset, a series of fused pentacyclic indole DKPs (2a-2f) consisting of a single isomer were synthesized from methyl L-tryptophanate hydrochloride 7 prepared through the esterification and acidification of L-tryptophan. Based on the Pictet-Spengler reaction (reaction condition b, Scheme 2), hydrochloride 7 reacted with six aldehydes to give rise to the corresponding chiral cyclic intermediate. Crystallizationinduced asymmetric transformation (CIAT) (reaction condition c, Scheme 2) allowed the stereoselective formation of the *cis*- or trans isomer of the chiral intermediate. Then, the intermediates were reacted with Fmoc-L-Pro-Cl, followed by treatment with morpholine as the base to obtain the cyclic peptides by the Schotten-Baumann reaction (reaction conditions e and f, Scheme 2) (Ma et al., 2013). Thus, the target compounds were obtained. In our following study, this method was further extended to synthesize the spiro-pentacyclic indole DKPs (4a-4d) (Scheme 2). N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) acted as the key reagent for the spiro-rearrangement. Unfortunately, the analog containing an aromatic group was not synthesized successfully. When aromatic aldehydes were employed in the Pictet-Spengler reaction, a series of open-cyclic compounds (3a-3d) bearing substitutions at the indole 2-position were obtained, Scheme 2 (Ma et al., 2014). The reason for this





failure may be because there were two paths for the reaction with NBS according to the effects between the conjunctive and electrophile. Notably, when the substitution was an aromatic group, the corresponding spiro-pentacyclic indole DKPs failed to form through the NBS oxidative rearrangement. In consideration of this failure, we turned our attention to developing another approach for the preparation of the spiro-pentacyclic scaffold via a 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of *N*-unprotected 2-oxoindolin-3-ylidenes and azomethine ylides, followed by the above synthetic routes. Finally, spiro-pentacyclic compounds 5a-5e were obtained successfully, as shown in Scheme 3 (Ma et al., 2017).

With the three indole DKPs analogs in hand, we focused on the substituted groups. In order to investigate whether the substituted groups attached to the indole or diketopiperazine influence the activity, a series of open-cyclic analogs 1a-1e with substitution at the diketopiperazine moiety were synthesized (Scheme 1). As shown in Figure 2, the obvious difference between 1a-1e and 3a-3d are their substituent positions. The substituents of 1a-1e are at C-2 position of the indole nucleus, while 3a-3d are N-substituted diketopiperazines. In the approach of 1a-1e, the key intermediates, N-substituted L-tryptophan methyl esters 8a-8e, were synthesized efficiently

TABLE 1 | Antimicrobial Activity of the Four Structure Groups against tested bacteria and plant pathogenic fungi.

Structure group	Compound	Minimum inhibitory concentrations [MICs, μ g/mL (μ M)]								Clog P ^a
		Antibacterial activity				Antifungal activity				
		Gram-positive		Gram-negative						
		SA	BS	PA	EC	CG	VM	AA	AB	
A	1a	3.13 (8.39)	NA ^b	1.56 (4.18)	1.56 (4.18)	NA	25 (67.0)	25 (67.0)	25 (67.0)	3.3254
	1b	25 (62.0)	NA	6.25 (15.5)	0.78 (1.93)	25 (62.0)	25 (62.0)	50 (124)	NA	3.2444
	1c	25 (62.6)	12.5 (31.3)	3.13 (7.84)	3.13 (7.84)	12.5 (31.3)	12.5 (31.3)	25 (62.6)	25 (62.6)	4.0254
	1d	25 (68.8)	12.5 (34.4)	6.25 (17.2)	1.56 (4.30)	25 (68.8)	25 (68.8)	25 (68.8)	25 (68.8)	2.5014
	1e	NA	NA	3.13 (7.52)	6.25 (15.4)	50 (120)	25 (60.1)	25 (60.1)	NA	3.4904
	3a	0.78 (2.01)	1.56 (4.02)	3.13 (8.04)	3.13 (8.04)	NA	25 (64.6)	NA	25 (64.6)	2.5510
	3b	0.39 (0.94)	1.56 (3.74)	1.56 (3.74)	0.78 (1.87)	25 (59.9)	12.5 (30.0)	25 (59.9)	25 (59.9)	2.5924
	3c	0.78 (1.93)	1.56 (3.87)	1.56 (3.87)	0.78 (1.93)	25 (62.0)	12.5 (31.0)	25 (62.0)	25 (62.0)	2.1390
	3d	0.39 (0.90)	3.13 (7.24)	1.56 (3.62)	0.78 (1.80)	NA	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	NA	2.4560
В	2a	0.78 (2.10)	12.5 (33.7)	1.56 (4.20)	3.13 (8.43)	50 (135)	NA	NA	50 (135)	2.8014
	2b	NA	25 (62.3)	1.56 (3.89)	3.13 (7.80)	NA	25 (62.3)	NA	NA	2.7204
	2c	3.13 (7.50)	NA	1.56 (3.74)	6.25 (15.0)	25 (60.0)	NA	25 (60.0)	25 (60.0)	1.9836
	2d	3.13 (8.08)	25 (64.6)	12.5 (32.3)	12.5 (32.3)	25 (64.6)	25 (64.6)	25 (64.6)	25 (64.6)	2.1344
	2e	NA	NA	12.5 (30.0)	12.5 (30.0)	25 (60.1)	25 (60.1)	25 (60.1)	25 (60.1)	2.5444
	2f	0.39 (1.16)	12.5 (37.1)	1.56 (4.63)	1.56 (4.63)	25 (74.1)	25 (74.1)	NA	25 (74.1)	2.6904
С	4a	0.78 (2.30)	1.56 (4.60)	3.13 (9.23)	0.78 (2.30)	12.5 (36.9)	25 (73.7)	6.25 (18.4)	12.5 (36.9)	1.1819
	4b	0.39 (1.10)	1.56 (4.42)	3.13 (8.86)	1.56 (4.42)	12.5 (35.4)	12.5 (35.4)	6.25 (17.7)	12.5 (35.4)	1.7109
	4c	0.78 (2.12)	1.56 (4.24)	1.56 (4.24)	0.78 (2.12)	25 (68.1)	12.5 (34.0)	12.5 (34.0)	12.5 (34.0)	2.2399
	4d	0.78 (2.12)	0.78 (2.12)	1.56 (4.24)	0.78 (2.12)	12.5 (34.0)	25 (68.1)	25 (68.1)	25 (68.1)	2.1099
	5a	12.5 (27.0)	6.25 (13.5)	12.5 (27.0)	12.5 (27.0)	25 (54.0)	25 (54.0)	25 (54.0)	25 (54.0)	3.3239
	5b	25 (50.7)	6.25 (12.7)	25 (50.7)	12.5 (25.3)	25 (50.7)	25 (50.7)	25 (50.7)	25 (50.7)	3.2429
	5c	6.25 (12.6)	12.5 (25.1)	NA	NA	50 (101)	50 (101)	50 (101)	50 (101)	4.0369
	5d	12.5 (25.1)	12.5 (25.1)	12.5 (25.1)	25 (50.2)	50 (101)	50 (101)	50 (101)	50 (101)	4.0369
	5e	12.5 (21.7)	6.25 (10.9)	12.5 (21.7)	25 (43.5)	50 (86.9)	50 (86.9)	25 (43.5)	50 (86.9)	4.8999
D	6a	25 (62.8)	12.5 (41.4)	25 (62.8)	25 (62.8)	NA	50 (126)	NA	50 (126)	3.2219
J	6b	12.5 (29.2)	25 (58.4)	NA	NA	50 (117)	50 (117)	50 (117)	25 (29.2)	3.1409
	6c	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	12.5 (29.0)	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	25 (57.9)	3.9349
	6d	NA	12.5 (29.0)	NA	NA	50 (116)	50 (116)	NA	50 (116)	3.9349
	6e	NA	12.5 (24.5)	NA	NA	50 (98.0)	NA	25 (49.0)	50 (98.0)	4,7979
	Streptomycin sulfate	_c		1.56 (1.07)	0.78 (0.54)	_	_			
	Penicillin sodium	0.78 (2.19)	1.56 (4.38)	_		_	_	_	_	
	Ketoconazole	_	_	_	_	12,5 (23,5)	12,5 (23,5)	6,25 (11.8)	12,5 (23,5)	
						- ()	- ()		. (

Gram positive bacteria: SA, Staphylococcus aureus; BS, Bacillus subtilis; Gram negative bacteria: PA, Pseudomonas aeruginosa; EC, Escherichia coli; Fungi: CG, colletotrichum gloeosporioides; VM, valsa mali; AA, alternaria alternate; AB, alternaria brassicae.

^a Theoretical estimated using ChemBioDraw Ultra 14.0 program.

 b NA, not active in assay (MIC > 50 $\mu g/mL).$

^cNot determined.

via a one-pot procedure, which included removal of the hydrochloride, condensation and reduction. This synthetic method yielded tryptophan analogs in 54.9% yield, which efficiently shortened the overall reaction time and increased the yield of the indole DKPs. Then, **8a–8e** were treated with Fmoc-L-Pro-Cl and morpholine. Subsequently, we obtained five openring indole DKPs, **1a–1e** (Scheme 1). It is worth mentioning that compounds **1b**, **1d**, and **1e** have not been previously reported. In conclusion, the preferred synthetic methods for indole DKPs have been discovered, which delivered 24 indole DKPs (Figure 2).

Antimicrobial Assay

The antimicrobial activities of the 24 indole DKPs and 5 indole analogs were evaluated against gram-positive bacteria, gramnegative bacteria and 4 plant pathogenic fungi by determining their MICs. The results are listed in **Table 1** and **Figure 3**. Most indole DKPs exhibited good antibacterial activity (1.0– $30.0 \ \mu$ M) but moderate antifungal activity ($30.0-68.8 \ \mu$ M). For indole analogs **6a–6e**, their antimicrobial activity remarkably decreased or disappeared (most of them >57.9 \ \muM). The best antibacterial effects against the tested strains were found for indole DKPs **3a–3d** and **4a–4d**, which showed MIC values





in range of 0.90–9.23 μ M. For compounds 1a–1e and 2a–2f, except 1a, 2a, 2c, and 2f, most of them showed modest (30.0-68.8 μ M) or no antibacterial activity against the tested bacteria. However, most of the remaining compounds 5a-5e and 6a-6e had little or no bioactivity against any strain (most of them >50.7 μ M). In view of these results, all tested compounds were divided into three groups according to their antibacterial activities: high activity (3a-3d and 4a-4d), medium activity (1a-1e and 2a-2f), and low activity (5a-5e and 6a-6e). It is noteworthy that some of the compounds were highly active against the tested bacteria, and the compounds showed activity higher than that of penicillin sodium. For example, compounds 2a, 2f, 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 4b, 4c, and 4d were more active than the positive control of penicillin sodium (2.19 μ M) against S. aureus at the MICs 2.10, 1.16, 2.01, 0.94, 1.93, 0.90, 1.10, 2.12, and 2.12 µM, respectively. Compounds 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 4c, and 4d were higher or comparable to the positive control of penicillin sodium (4.38 μ M) against B. subtilis at the MICs 4.02, 3.74, 3.87, 4.60, 4.42, 4.42, and 2.12 µM, respectively. In addition, compounds 1b, 3b, 3c, and 3d had good activity against E. coli at the MICs 1.98, 1.87, 1.93, and 1.80 μ M, respectively, in comparison with positive control of streptomycin sulfate (0.54 μ M). However, only **4a**-**4d** displayed broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity against the tested bacteria and fungi with MIC values ranging from 1.10 to 73.7 μ M. Fortunately, 4b was found to show moderate antifungal activity range (17.7–35.4 μ M) against the four test fungi, and similarly, 4a (18.4–36.9 μ M) also had moderate antifungal activity against the three test fungi except for V. mali. Moreover, 4c had moderate antifungal activity with MIC of 34.0 μ M against A, alternate, V. mali and A. brassicae. Besides that, 1c was found to have moderate antifungal activity with MIC of 31.3 μ M against C. gloeosporioides and V. mali. 3b and 3c were found to have moderate antifungal activity against V. mali with MIC values of 30.0 and 31.0 μ M, respectively. Furthermore, fumitremorgin B, verruculogen and cyclotryprostatin A, which we previously obtained from the endophytic fungus Aspergillus tamarii in Ficus carica L, showed good anti-phytopathogenic activity against Fusarium graminearum, Phytophthora capsici, and V. mali (MICs: 12.5-25 µg /mL) compared to the positive control Nystatin (MICs: 6.25-12.5 µg /mL) (Zhang, 2012). Therefore, the antifungal activity of indole DKPs deserves further study.

Lipophilicity

Lipophilicity is an important factor for exploring the mechanism of action of antimicrobial agent against pathogenic bacteria (Shi et al., 2018). Therefore, the theoretical partition coefficients (Clog P) were considered for the current discussion. The antimicrobial activity of indole DKPs was also found to have a significant relationship with their lipophilicity. We found that many of the synthesized molecules have encouraging antimicrobial activities, as well as lower Clog P values, such as high activity compounds **3a–3d** and **4a–4d** (Clog P, 1.18–2.59), medium activity compounds **1a–1e** and **2a–2f** (Clog P, 1.98–4.03). Moreover, low activity **5a–5e** and **6a–6e** had higher Clog P

IABLE 21 The binding attinity of three group indole UKPS with <i>E_COII</i> Fac	TABLE 2	Ps with E coli FabH
--	---------	---------------------

Structure group	Compound	ΔG_{b}^{a} (kcal/mol)	Hydrogen bond ^a	Hydrophobic residue ^b	$\pi-\pi$ interaction ^b
Α	1a	-9.20	$N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly209$	Cys112, Asn247, lle250, Ala216, Leu220, His244, Ala246, Phe304	_c
	1b	-9.50	$N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly209$ $O_{II-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn274$	Cys112, Leu220, lle250, Ala246, His244, Asn247, lle156, Phe304	-
	1c	-10.59	$N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly209$ $O_{II-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn274$	Cys112, Leu220, lle250, Ala246, His244, Asn247, lle156, Phe304	-
	1d	-9.03	$N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly209$ $O_{II-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn274$	Cys112, Ala246, lle250, Asn247, lle156	-
	1e	-8.54	$N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly209$	Cys112, Asn247, Val212, Ala216, Ile250, Ile156, His244, Leu220, Ala246, Phe304	-
	3a	-9.79	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$ $N_{II-3} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Ala246$	lle250, His244, Leu189, Leu220, Val212, Cys112	-
	Зb	-10.79	O _{II−2} · · · H−N/Asn247 N _I -H· · · O/Phe304	lle250, Ala246, Leu220, Met207, Cys112, Leu189	-
	3с	-10.61	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$ $N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Phe304$ $O_{III-OH} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/His244$	Ala246, His244, Leu220, Ile250, Cys112, Met207	-
	3d	-9.99	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$ $N_I - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Phe304$ $O_{IIII - NO2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/His244$	Ala246, lle250, Leu220, Met207, Phe157, Cys112, Leu189	-
В	2a	-7.87	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ -N/Asn247	lle156, Met207, lle155, Phe213, Asn210	$Ph_{I} \cdot \cdot \cdot Ph/Phe213$
	2b	-7.65	$O_{III-MeO} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Phe304$	_c	-
	2c	-5.63	$O_{III-OH} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly152$	Met207, lle156, Ala246, Gly209, Phe213, Asn210	$Ph_{l} \cdot \cdot \cdot Ph/Phe213$
	2d	-5.45	$O_{III-OH} - H \cdot \cdot \cdot O/Gly152$	Met207, Ala246, Asn210, Phe213, Gly209	Ph _l · · · Ph/Phe213
	2e	-5.61	-	Met207, Ala246, Asn210, Phe213, Gly209	$Ph_{l} \cdot \cdot \cdot Ph/Phe213$
	2f	-6.40	$O_{II-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn274$	Cys112, Phe213, Met207	-
С	4a	-7.37	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$	lle156, Ala246, Val212	-
	4b	-7.37	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$	lle156, Ala246, Val212	-
	4c	-7.24	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H$ –N/Asn247	Phe213, lle156, Ala246, Val212	_
	4d	-7.78	$O_{II-2} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn247$ $O_{I} \cdot \cdot \cdot H-N/Asn274$	lle156, Ala246, Val212	-

^a ΔG_b and hydrogen bond were obtained from AutoDock 4.2.

^bHydrophobic residues and $\pi - \pi$ interaction were obtained from https://proteins.plus/.

^cNo interactions found by AutoDock 4.2 and the PoseView interaction model.

values (3.14–4.80). Shi et al. have reported that ferulic acid and ferulic acid alkyl esters (FAC2) with 1.4212 and 2.1762 of Clog P values showed higher antibacterial activity against E. *coli* as compared with other similar compounds having higher Clog P values (Shi et al., 2018). Moreover, this similar trend also was reported by Wu et al. They found auranofin analogs with lower log P values (1–2) exhibited better activity than some analogs with higher log P values (>3) against S. *aureus*, E. *coli*, and P. *aeruginosa* (Wu et al., 2019). In view of the results, we can suggest that high hydrophobicity promotes reagents uptake by bacteria because of the lower Clog P value. Thus Clog P values (Blaser et al., 2012). It has been proposed that ligands with a Clog P < 5 have a more promising druglikeness profile (Schübler et al., 2017). In general, all the indole DKPs we synthesized

endowed with moderate Clog *P* values (1.18–4.90) that indicated the compounds can be as drug candidates.

Structure-Activity Relationship

The structure-activity relationship (SAR) of indole DKPs can be established from the results of the antimicrobial activities reported in **Table 1**. Analysis of the SAR of the indole DKPs provides the link between the structure and the activity, which offers clues for structural modifications that can improve the activity. SAR analysis is important in understanding the mechanisms of antibacterial activity for indole DKPs. First, the skeleton of indole DKPs, which is comprised of two cores, an indole and a diketopiperazine, was shown to be important for antimicrobial activity. Indole analogs **6a–6e** were less efficient as antibacterial agents, while most indole DKPs exhibited good



and FabH. The receptor FabH is shown in surface view, while the structure of compound **3c** is shown as sticks. **(B)** The 3D diagram of compound **3c** interaction with the FabH active site. The proteins are shown in cartoon representation with the ligands drawn as sticks.

activity. Second, a change in the skeleton greatly affected the antibacterial activity. We can see that there is a tendency for decreasing influence in the following order: tryprostatin openring > fused pentacyclic > spiro-pentacyclic indole DKPs. For most of tested bacteria, fused pentacyclics 2a-2f were more active than the spiro-pentacyclics 5a-5e but 2a-2f were weaker inhibitors than the tryprostatin open-ring compounds 3a-3d. However, spiro-pentacyclic compounds 4a-4d showed better activities than the open-ring compounds 1a-1e and fused pentacyclics 2a-2f. In addition, tryprostatin open-ring compounds 3a-3d were more active than 1a-1e. Third, the kind of substituent and its position also significantly affected the antimicrobial activity. For the spiro-pentacyclic indole DKPs, alkyl substituents improved the antibacterial activity, while aromatic substituents decreased the antibacterial activity. For tryprostatin open-ring indole DKPs, substituents at C-2 position of the indole nucleus improved the antibacterial activity, while N-substituted diketopiperazines decreased the antibacterial activity. These results can provide some useful information for future work.

Molecular Docking

The FabH active site generally contains a catalytic triad tunnel consisting of Cys112, His244, and Asn274. Affecting these amino acid resides may greatly influence, inhibit or even stop the enzyme's catalytic activity (Davies et al., 2000). The direct result of this would be that fatty acid biosynthesis cannot proceed smoothly, the energy supply to the organism would not be sufficient, the components of all cell membranes could not be formed, and antimicrobial activity would be displayed (Cheng et al., 2013). We therefore carried out molecular docking studies of the indole DKPs with the crystal structure of *E. coli* FabH (entry 1HNJ in the Protein Data Bank) to reveal the indole DKPs binding mode. The molecular docking study can provide useful information for the active mechanism of indole DKPs. The docking results are shown in **Table 2** and **Figure 3**.

In silico studies revealed that most of the synthesized molecules showed good binding free energy (ΔG_b , kcal/mol) toward the target protein ranging from -5.45 to -10.79 kcal/mol (**Table 2**). Moreover, the changes in ΔG_b coincided well with

the MIC values obtained for most of the compounds, as shown in Figure 3. Specifically, compounds 3a-3d with good activity exhibited a very low ΔG_b , from -10.79 to -9.79 kcal/mol. Compounds 2a-2f with moderate activity exhibited ΔG_b values from -7.87 to -5.45 kcal/mol. Moreover, compounds 5a-5e and 6a-6e had the lowest bioactivities and also revealed poor binding free energy values compared to the other indole DKPs, their binding free energies were 4.43, 25.00, 21.73, 9.41, 11.43 kcal/mol and -5.51, -1.94, -1.90, -1.07, -1.29 kcal/mol, respectively (Figure 3). Furthermore, no hydrogen bonds and little hydrophobic contacts could be found in the best binding conformations for 5a-5e and 6a-6e. With the observations from the biological assay data and the molecular docking results, might suggest that the antibacterial activities of these compounds are seemingly derived from the interaction between the compounds and the enzyme FabH. However, there was not always a correlation between the in vitro activity and in silico study results. Although compounds 1a-1e showed good binding affinity for FabH with ΔG_b values between -10.59 and -8.54kcal/mol, they showed low antimicrobial activity against S. aureus and B. subtilis, but good activity against P. aeruginosa and E. coli, as shown in Figure 3. The results suggest that there may be an alternate target in addition to FabH for compounds 1a-1e.

In addition, the binding affinity was also evaluated by hydrogen bonding, hydrophobic interactions and $\pi - \pi$ interactions. As shown in Table 2, hydrogen bonding and hydrophobic interactions are important interactions between the compounds and FabH. For example, Analogs 1b-1d exhibited hydrogen bonds via their NIH (amino group of the indole, Figure 2) with the carbonyl oxygen of Gly209, and their O_{II-1} (the backbone oxygen atom of proline, Figure 2) with the side chain NH from Asn274. Analogs 3b-3d exhibited hydrogen bonds via their O_{II-2} (the oxygen atom of the N-acetyl group, Figure 2) with the side chain NH from Asn247, and their N_IH with the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Phe304. There is also a hydrogen bond between their substituent (in subunit III, Figure 2) and His244. Analogs 2a-2d and 2f formed hydrogen bonds with Asn247, Phe304, Gly152, or Asn274. Furthermore, there is a $\pi - \pi$ interaction between the phenyl ring of compound 2a and the benzyl ring of Phe213, and this interaction is also observed for 2c, 2d, and 2e (Table 2), which further stabilized the interaction of these compounds with the enzyme. Analogs 4a-4d exhibited hydrogen bonds via their OII-2 with the side chain NH of Asn247. Compound 4d also formed a hydrogen bond via its OI (carbonyl oxygen of indole moiety, Figure 2) with the side chain NH of Asn274. In general, two subunits (subunit I and subunit II, Figure 2) of the indole DKPs and a substituent group (subunit III, Figure 2) of the indole DKPs could form hydrogen bonds with FabH. In addition, hydrophobic interactions were observed between the indole DKPs and a set of amino acids in the catalytic center of E. coli FabH (Table 2).

In terms of the highly active analogs 3a-3d, specifically compound 3c, as expected from the docking calculations of the indole DKPs, showed significant binding affinity to FabH. Compounds 3c forms three hydrogen bonds with the key residues His244, Asn247, and Phe304, and fits best in the FabH active pocket with a very low interaction energy. Furthermore,



3c reveals an intriguing binding conformation in this pocket. As Figure 4A shows, the FabH binding site buries the indole ring of 3c in its cavity while leaving the DKP ring floating in the hydrophobic pocket. The backbone and chains of 3c were nicely nestled (Figure 4B). Additionally, compound 3d shows a similar binding mode to that of 3c. Figure 5 show detailed illustrations of interactions between compound 3c and FabH. First, 3c fills a hydrophobic region at the top of the active site tunnel and exhibits hydrogen bonds via its N-acetyl group O_{II-2} with the side chain NH from Asn247. Second, its indole NH is linked by a hydrogen bond to the backbone carbonyl oxygen of Phe304 on one side of the tunnel. Third, 3c exhibits hydrogen bonds via its hydroxyl groups with the backbone NH and O of the catalytic residue His244 and fills the bottom of the active tunnel. Hydrophobic interactions between 3c and FabH appear to be additional important factors in the binding mode, which are made up of Ala246, Leu220, Ile250, Cys112, and Met207 and which enhance the binding affinity. All of these results may explain why 3c had good antibacterial activity.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, a series of indole DKPs were designed and synthesized. Most of the analogs exhibited good antibacterial activity against the four tested bacteria and showed moderate antifungal activity against the four tested fungal species. Some of the compounds displayed lower MIC values than the positive control on some of the tested bacteria. We carried out the first SAR investigation into the antimicrobial activity of indole DKPs. The SAR results showed that the indole DKPs skeleton has significant effects on the activity. All of the results revealed that the compounds are potential antibacterial agents, which could be further optimized and developed as new antibacterial agents. Furthermore, based on the biological assay data and molecular docking, there could be some correlation between the bioactivity and the FabH inhibitory activity of these compounds. The docking results further suggested that **3c** is a potential FabH inhibitor. However, further experimental studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis, which is our next research focus.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BJ and YM conceived and designed the experiments. BL performed the experiments. PC performed the molecular docking. YH analyzed the data. BJ wrote the paper. YM interpreted the data and revised the manuscript. RZ revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This project was supported in part by the Scientific Research Project Item for Key Laboratory of Shaanxi Province Education Department of China (No. 16JS010).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fchem. 2019.00837/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, A. A., Asiri, Y. A., and Al-Agamy, M. H. (2011). Design, synthesis and antibacterial activity of fluoroquinolones containing bulky arenesulfonyl fragment: 2D-QSAR and docking study. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 46, 5487–5497. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.09.011
- Alejo-Armijo, A., Glibota, N., Frías, M. P., Altarejos, J., Gálvez, A., Salido, S., et al. (2018). Synthesis and evaluation of antimicrobial and antibiofilm properties of A-type procyanidin analogues against resistant bacteria in food. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 66, 2151–2158. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b00535
- Alhamadsheh, M. M., Musayev, F., Komissarov, A. A., Sachdeva, S., Wright, H. T., Scarsdale, N., et al. (2007a). Alkyl-CoA disulfides as inhibitors and mechanistic probes for FabH enzymes. *Chem. Biol.* 14, 513–524. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2007.03.013
- Alhamadsheh, M. M., Waters, N. C., Huddler, D. P., Kreishman-Deitrick, M., Florova, G., and Reynolds, K. A. (2007b). Synthesis and biological evaluation of thiazolidine-2-one 1,1-dioxide as inhibitors of *Escherichia coli* beta-ketoacyl-ACP-synthase III (FabH). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 17, 879–883. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2006.11.067
- An, C. Y., Li, X. M., Li, C. S., Xu, G. M., and Wang, B. G. (2014). Prenylated indolediketopiperazine peroxides and related homologues from the marine sediment-derived fungus *Penicillium brefeldianum* SD-273. *Mar. Drugs* 12, 746–756. doi: 10.3390/md12020746
- Blaser, A., Palmer, B. D., Sutherland, H. S., Kmentova, I., Franzblau, S. G., Wan, B., et al. (2012). Structure-activity relationships for amide-, carbamate-, and urea-linked analogues of the tuberculosis drug (6S)-2-nitro-6-{[4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyl]oxy}-6,7-dihydro-5H-imidazo[2,1-b][1, 3]oxazine (PA-824). J. Med. Chem. 55, 312–326. doi: 10.1021/jm2012276
- Cheng, K., Xue, J. Y., and Zhu, H. L. (2013). Design, synthesis and antibacterial activity studies of thiazole derivatives as potent ecKAS III inhibitors. *Biorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 23, 4235–4238. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.05.006
- Cheng, M. N., Wang, H., and Gong, L. Z. (2011). Asymmetic organocatalytic 1,3dipolar cycloaddition of azomethine ylide to methyl 2-(2-nitrophenyl)acrylate for the synthesis of diastereoisomers of spirotryprostatin A. Org. Lett. 13, 2418–2421. doi: 10.1021/ol200652j
- Daines, R. A., Pendrak, I., Sham, K., Aller, G. S. V., Konstantinidis, A. K., Lonsdale, J. T., et al. (2003). First X-ray cocrystal structure of a bacterial FabH condensing enzyme and a small molecule inhibitor achieved using rational design and homology modeling. J. Med. Chem. 46, 5–8. doi: 10.1021/jm025571b
- Davies, C., Heath, R. J., White, S. W., and Rock, C. O. (2000). The 1.8 Å crystal structure and active-site architecture of β -ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase III (FabH) from *Escherichia coli*. Structure 8, 185–195. doi: 10.1016/S0969-2126(00)00094-0
- De Guzman, F. S., and Gloer, J. B. (1992). New diketopiperazine metabolites from the sclerotia of *Aspergillus ochraceus*. J. Nat. Prod. 55, 931–939. doi:10.1021/np50085a013
- Dong, J. Y., He, H. P., Shen, Y. M., and Zhang, K. Q. (2005). Nematicidal epipolysulfanyldioxopiperazines from *Gliocladium roseum*. J. Nat. Prod. 68, 1510–1513. doi: 10.1021/np0502241
- Ebead, G. A., Overy, D. P., Berrue, F., and Kerr, R. G. (2012). Westerdykella reniformis sp. nov., producing the antibiotic metabolites melinacidin IV and chetracin B. IMA Fungus 3, 189–201. doi: 10.5598/imafungus.2012.03.02.11
- El-Gendy Bel, D., and Rateb, M. E. (2015). Antibacterial activity of diketopiperazines isolated from a marine fungus using *t*-butoxycarbonyl group as a simple tool for purification. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 25, 3125–3128. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2015.06.010
- Hayashi, H. (2005). Bioactive alkaloids of fungal origin. *Stud. Nat. Prod. Chem.* 32, 549–609. doi: 10.1016/S1572-5995(05)80064-X
- Heatha, R. J., White, S. W., and Rock, C. O. (2001). Lipid biosynthesis as a target for antibacterial agents. *Prog. Lipid Res.* 40, 467–497. doi: 10.1016/S0163-7827(01)00012-1
- Isham, C. R., Tibodeau, J. D., Jin, W., Xu, R., Timm, M. M., and Bible, K. C. (2007). Chaetocin: a promising new antimyeloma agent with *in vitro* and *in vivo* activity mediated via imposition of oxidative stress. *Blood* 109, 2579–2588. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-07-027326
- Khandekar, S. S., Daines, R. A., and Lonsdale, J. T. (2003). Bacterial β -ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthases as targets for antibacterial agents. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 4, 21–29. doi: 10.2174/1389203033380377

- Kitahara, K., Shimokawa, J., and Fukuyama, T. (2014). Stereoselective synthesis of spirotryprostatin A. Chem. Sci. 5, 904–907. doi: 10.1039/C3SC52525B
- Liu, J., Gu, B., Yang, L., Yang, F., and Lin, H. (2018). New anti-inflammatory cyclopeptides from a sponge-derived fungus Aspergillus violaceofuscus. Front. Chem. 6:226. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00226
- Ma, Y. M., Fan, C., Jia, B., Cheng, P., Liu, J., Ma, Y., et al. (2017). Total synthesis and biological evaluation of spirotryprostatin A analogs. *Chirality* 29, 737–746. doi: 10.1002/chir.22746
- Ma, Y. M., Liang, X. A., Kong, Y., and Jia, B. (2016). Structural diversity and biological activities of indole diketopiperazine alkaloids from fungi. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 6659–6671. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b01772
- Ma, Y. M., Ren, D. C., Wu, H., Zhang, J., Feng, T., and Li, Y. (2014). Synthesis of Indolyldiketopiperazines with NBS. *Chirality* 26, 790–792. doi: 10.1002/chir.22346
- Ma, Y. M., Wu, H., Zhang, J., and Li, Y. (2013). Enantioselective synthesis and antimicrobial activities of tetrahydro-beta-carboline diketopiperazines. *Chirality* 25, 656–662. doi: 10.1002/chir.22193
- Nie, Z., Perretta, C., Lu, J., Su, Y., Margosiak, S., Gajiwala, K. S., et al. (2005). Structure-based design, synthesis, and study of potent inhibitors of -ketoacylacyl carrier protein synthase iii as potential antimicrobial agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 48, 1596–1609. doi: 10.1021/jm049141s
- Pisoschi, A. M., Pop, A., Georgescu, C., Turcuş, V., Olah, N. K., and Mathe, E. (2018). An overview of natural antimicrobials role in food. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 143, 922–935. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.11.095
- Rateb, M. E., Hallyburton, I., Houssen, W. E., Bull, A. T., Goodfellow, M., Santhanam, R., et al. (2013). Induction of diverse secondary metabolites in *Aspergillus fumigatus* by microbial co-culture. *RSC Adv.* 3, 14444–14450. doi: 10.1039/c3ra42378f
- Schübler, M., Sadek, B., Kottke, T., Weizel, L., and Stark, H. (2017). Synthesis, molecular properties estimations, and dual dopamine D2 and D3 receptor activities of benzothiazole-based ligands. *Front. Chem.* 5:64. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2017.00064
- Shi, Y. G., Zhu, Y. J., Shao, S. Y., Zhang, R. R., Wu, Y., Zhu, C. M., et al. (2018). Alkyl ferulate esters as multifunctional food additives: antibacterial activity and mode of action against *Escherichia coli in vitro*. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 66, 12088–12101. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b04429
- Sun, R., Gao, Y. X., Shen, K. Z., Xu, Y. B., Wang, C. R., Liu, H. Y., et al. (2011). Antimicrobial metabolites from the aquatic fungus *Delitschia corticola*. *Phytochem. Lett.* 4, 101–105. doi: 10.1016/j.phytol.2010.12.001
- Tareq, F. S., Kim, J. H., Lee, M. A., Lee, H. S., Lee, J. S., Lee, Y. J., et al. (2013). Antimicrobial gageomacrolactins characterized from the fermentation of the marine-derived bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* under optimum growth conditions. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 61, 3428–3434. doi: 10.1021/jf4009229
- Tong Gan, J. M. C. (1997). Enantiospecific total synthesis of tryprostatin A. *Tetrakedron Lett.* 38, 1301–1304. doi: 10.1016/S0040-4039(97)00077-4
- Tsukamoto, S., Kato, H., Samizo, M., Nojiri, Y., Onuki, H., Hirota, H., et al. (2008). Notoamides F-K, prenylated indole alkaloids isolated from a marine-derived *Aspergillus* sp. J. Nat. Prod. 71, 2064–2067. doi: 10.1021/np800471y
- Wakil, S. J. (1989). Fatty acid synthase, a proficient multifunctional enzyme. Biochemistry 28, 4523–4530. doi: 10.1021/bi00437a001
- Wiegand, I., Hilpert, K., and Hancock, R. E. W. (2008). Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protoc.* 3, 163–175. doi: 10.1038/nprot.20 07.521
- Wu, B., Yang, X., and Yan, M. (2019). Synthesis and structure-activity relationship study of antimicrobial auranofin against ESKAPE pathogens. J. Med. Chem. 62, 7751–7768. doi: 10.1021/acs.jmedchem.9b00550
- Yu, F. X., Chen, Y., Yang, Y. H., Li, G. H., and Zhao, P. J. (2018). A new epipolythiodioxopiperazine with antibacterial and cytotoxic activities from the endophytic fungus *Chaetomium* sp. M336. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 32, 689–694. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2017.1338285
- Zhang, H. C. (2012). Secondary metabolites of endophytic fungi from two medicinal plants and their bioactivities (Ph.D Thesis). Xi'an: Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, 196–198.
- Zhang, H. C., Ma, Y. M., Liu, R., and Zhou, F. (2012). Endophytic fungus *Aspergillus tamarii* from *Ficus carica* L., a new source of indolyl diketopiperazines. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 45, 31–33. doi: 10.1016/j.bse.2012. 07.020

- Zhao, G. P., Li, Y. Q., Sun, G. J., and Mo, H. Z. (2017). Antibacterial actions of glycinin basic peptide against *Escherichia coli. J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 65, 5173–5180. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b 02295
- Zheng, C. J., Park, S. H., Koshino, H., Kim, Y. H., and Kim, W. G. (2007). Verticillin G, a new antibacterial compound from *Bionectra byssicola*. J. Antibiot. 60, 61–64. doi: 10.1038/ja.2007.8
- Zhong, W., Wang, J., Wei, X., Fu, T., Chen, Y., Zeng, Q., et al. (2019). Three pairs of new spirocyclic alkaloid enantiomers from the marine-derived fungus *Eurotium* sp. SCSIO F452. *Front. Chem.* 7:350. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2019. 00350

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Jia, Ma, Liu, Chen, Hu and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.