Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio Hernández-Mendo, University of Malaga, Spain

REVIEWED BY Elena De Rossi, European University of Rome, Italy Ricardo De La Vega, Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Sergio Machado secm80@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Movement Science and Sport Psychology, a section of the journal

RECEIVED 05 July 2022 ACCEPTED 20 July 2022 PUBLISHED 25 August 2022

Frontiers in Psychology

CITATION

Machado S, de Oliveira Sant'Ana L, Cid L, Teixeira D, Rodrigues F, Travassos B and Monteiro D (2022) Impact of victory and defeat on the perceived stress and autonomic regulation of professional eSports athletes. *Front. Psychol.* 13:987149. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.987149

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Machado, de Oliveira Sant'Ana, Cid, Teixeira, Rodrigues, Travassos and Monteiro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Impact of victory and defeat on the perceived stress and autonomic regulation of professional eSports athletes

Sergio Machado^{1,2,3}*, Leandro de Oliveira Sant'Ana⁴, Luis Cid^{5,6,7}, Diogo Teixeira^{8,9}, Filipe Rodrigues^{7,10}, Bruno Travassos^{2,5,11} and Diogo Monteiro^{5,7,10}

¹Department of Sports Methods and Techniques, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria, Brazil, ²Department of Sports Science, University of Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal, ³Laboratory of Physical Activity Neuroscience, Neurodiversity Institute, Queimados, Brazil, ⁴Postgraduate Program in Physical Education, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, Brazil, ⁵Research Center in Sport, Health and Human Development (CIDESD), Vila Real, Portugal, ⁶Sport Sciences School of Rio Maior, Polytechnic of Santarém (ESDRM-IPSantarém), Rio Maior, Portugal, ⁷Life Quality Research Centre (CIEQV), Leiria, Portugal, ⁸Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Lusófona University, Lisbon, Portugal, ¹⁰ESECS, Polytechnic of Leiria, Leiria, Portugal, ¹¹Portugal Football School, Portuguese Football Federation, Cruz Quebrada, Portugal

Competitive sports involve physiological, technical and psychological skills, which influence directly on individuals' performance. This study aims to investigate the levels of perceived stress and Heart Rate Variability (HRV) before and after matches with victory and defeat in professional eSports athletes. Our hypothesis was that the winners would have better autonomic and stress responses after match, thus corroborating the literature on neurocardiac connections. Fifty male eSport players were selected players from 10 different Brazilian teams. The experiment was carried out in 2 sessions. Firstly, after signing the informed consent form, 24h before the game, anthropometric, physical activity levels and time of expertise data were recorded only for sample characterization and the players were familiarized with the perceived stress scale-10 (PSS-10) and the HRV measurements. Secondly, players performed the PSS-10 and HRV recording at rest by 10min 60 and 30min before the game (i.e., baseline time) and 10min after the end of the game. Overall, concerning PSS-10 our findings show that VG had significant reduced scores in post-game time compared to baseline (BL) and pre-game times, while DG had significant increased scores in post-game time compared to BL and pre-game times. Regarding HRV, our results demonstrate that VG had significant increase in RR, SDNN, rMSSD, pNN50 and HF, and significant decrease in LF and LF/HF, while DG had a significant decrease in RR, SDNN, rMSSD and HF, and significant increase in LF and LF/HF. It was observed that VG had better HRV responses (greater parasympathetic activation) as well as lower levels of perceived stress, while DG had worst HRV responses (greater sympathetic activation) and higher levels of perceived stress.

KEYWORDS

victory, defeat, eSports, perceived stress, heart rate variability, HRV

Introduction

Independently of the environment and activities, individuals' performance are always affected by their physiological (Knechtle, 2014; Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018), technical (Chidley et al., 2015) and psychological (Knechtle, 2014) skills. For example, as a psychological factor, stress management is one of the most reported factors that hinders performance, due to the impact on autonomic functions (Blásquez et al., 2009). Particularly in sport, studies have shown the negative influence of stress on athletes from different sports (Foster et al., 2001; Bara-filho et al., 2013) as well as, negatively affecting performance (Mamlouk et al., 2021). Within this context, a non-invasive and reliable method to assess autonomic control is through heart rate variability (HRV) in which are variations in the time interval between heartbeats (Salahuddin et al., 2018) are accessed. HRV has been used both in clinical and sports contexts, as it is low cost and easy to apply (Ogliari et al., 2015; Laborde et al., 2017).

With the analysis of HRV it is possible to examine the balance of autonomic control (i.e., between the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems; Laborde et al., 2017), being considered an index of adaptive capacity. Since HRV increases (i.e., an indicator of good adaptation; Sant'Ana et al., 2020, 2022) stress tends to reduce. In line with that, HRV tends to decrease during moments of pre-competitive stress (Mamlouk et al., 2021), as well as before competitive matches (Goessl et al., 2017). Other studies (e.g., Hynynen et al., 2011), reveal that previous experience with stressful situations seems to minimize autonomic changes corroborating the importance of athletes' previous training and competition history.

In addition, few studies have examined the psychophysiological behavior (e.g., Seong et al., 2004; Fuentes et al., 2018; McEwan et al., 2018), especially on the relationship between HRV and stress perception in athletes (Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018; Mamlouk et al., 2021). However, despite being important variables to compose a sports performance evaluation (Broodryk et al., 2021), further research is still needed to expand information due to the close relationship between autonomic and emotional behaviors (Kemp and Quintana, 2013) both for performance evaluation, and recovery between games and throughout the competition. Stress, like other types of emotional reactions, is associated with a non-specific reaction of the body to any type of demand (Barnes and Van Dyne, 2009) and depending on the level of stress, will affect cardiac functions, mediated by neurological pathways (Friedman, 2007) that can acutely and/or chronically modify the autonomic condition (Goessl et al., 2017). In sports, athletes suffer strong mental demands, and the result of a competition can be a reason for significant changes in psychophysiological functioning (Broodryk et al., 2021).

As eSports athletes compete in environments of high pressure and competitiveness, which are very similar to more traditional sports environments (Machado et al., 2021), they need to develop their mental skills, as well as the techniques used in the game to achieve optimal performance. Furthermore, they need strategic thinking, motivation, quick decision-making and intelligence, sustained attention, planning, working memory and inhibitory control, adapt to their opponents, communicate properly with teammates and trust your abilities. All these factors contribute to or affect the psychological state of players (Himmelstein et al., 2017).

Despite of that, the literature on eSports is still scarce, with studies based mainly on reports about playing video games, but not about eSports, and associating eSports with unhealthy lifestyles and health-related problems. Therefore, there are several gaps in the literature to be explored, on cardiovascular, respiratory, metabolic (Monteiro Pereira et al., 2022) and psychological health (Trotter et al., 2021). In line with this, although there are some studies on autonomic functioning, more specifically HRV, and stress in the context of more traditional sports (Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018; Broodryk et al., 2021; Mamlouk et al., 2021), there is no published study in the literature on stress and HRV professional eSports athletes and particularly in victory and defeat.

Thus, this study aims to investigate the levels of perceived stress and HRV before and after matches with victory and defeat in professional eSports athletes. Our hypothesis was that the winners would have better autonomic and stress responses after match, thus corroborating the literature on neurocardiac connections (Reigal et al., 2018; Broodryk et al., 2021; Mamlouk et al., 2021). The study reported here serves as a basis for future research and to fill the current gap in knowledge, helping to understand the behavior of HRV and stress in eSports athletes in terms of victory and defeat. For the sake of knowledge, this is the first study to assess the effect of win and loss on both the autonomic and perceived functioning of professional eSports athletes.

Materials and methods

Participants

The sample size was determined through power calculations conducted with G*Power v.3.1 (Faul et al., 2009), using the following input parameters: medium anticipated effect size for a comparison between two dependent means (d = 0.50), statistical power $1-\beta = 0.80$, and $\alpha = 0.05$. Given that no study has presented results directly relevant to the effect being targeted in the present study, estimates of effect sizes pertaining to psychophysiological responses to similar experimental manipulations within the context of pre-competitive stress in sports science were used (i.e., Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018). Therefore, prior effect sizes in the reported study were found to be large (Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018). Based on these calculations, the target sample size determined for present study was of 20 ($1-\beta = 1.616$).

Fifty male eSport players aged 18–29 years (age: 24.98 \pm 2.59 y, height: 178.6 \pm 1.45 cm, weight = 78.5 \pm 2.35 kg, time of experience: 7.68 \pm 1.33 years, and physically inactive: 36 \pm 1.05 min per week) of Brazilian teams took part of the study. The inclusion criteria

were being professional athlete with at least 5 years of experience of national or international competitions, and 8–10 h of training daily. The exclusion criteria were to have neuropsychiatric, cardiovascular, or osteoarticular diseases, used any kind of neuropsychiatric drugs, used any caffeinated or alcoholic beverages on the day of the experiment. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Research Center in Sport, Health and Human Development (CIDESD; Portugal), and all athletes were informed of the inherent risks and benefits of the study before signing an informed consent form.

Experimental design

We selected players from 10 different Brazilian teams, all composed of 5 players. If any of the players did not meet the inclusion criteria, the team was not selected to participate in the study. We chose to always carry out the study with two teams per decisive game to facilitate the equalization of the sample to observe the phenomenon of victory and defeat. Thus, after the end of the experiment we had 25 players to Victory Group (VG) and Defeat Group (DG).

Players were exposed to decisive games in international championships, such as CS: GO PGL Major Championship Fall and Six Invitational. The experiment was carried out in 2 sessions. In the first one, after signing the informed consent form in the game house, 24 h before the game, anthropometric (i.e., age, height and weight), physical activity levels through International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ; Hallal and Victora, 2004) and time of expertise data were recorded only for sample characterization and the players were familiarized with the perceived stress scale - 10 (PSS-10) and the HRV measurements. In the second one, the players performed the PSS-10 (Reis et al., 2010) and HRV recording at rest by 10 min, 60 and 30 min before the game (i.e., baseline [BL] and pre-game times, respectively) and 10 min after the end of the game (post-game time, Figure 1). Both sessions were carried out in the game house between 14:00-17:00 h to avoid circadian effects on psychological and autonomic performance. Psychological variables and HRV were assessed by the same researcher.

Familiarization

The familiarization procedures with the PSS-10 occurred with the same researcher, 24 h before the experimental conditions, as follows: (1) the researcher read the specific instructions for all questions; (2) the researcher clarified that "there is no right or wrong answer" to the questions and that the answers must be given among the alternatives exposed; (3) the researcher determined for players that there could be no double answers to the same question, and highlighted the importance of veracity in the answers; (4) the researcher asked the players to review their



answers before completing the questionnaire. This procedure occurred only during the familiarization phase. In turn, during the experimental conditions the questionnaire was self-reported.

As for the HRV collections, the players received instructions on the placement of the chest strap (over the xiphoid process), use of the heart rate monitor and received instructions to remain quiet, with eyes opened, and to breathe spontaneously over the acquisition period.

Perceived stress scale-10

To assess perceived stress, the players responded to the PSS-10. We chose the PSS-10, which is a brief, easy-to-use version with equivalent psychometric properties to the PSS-14, as advised by Cohen and Williamson (1988). PSS-10 consists of 10 questions to verify how unpredictable, uncontrollable and overloaded participants perceive their lives. These three factors have been considered as central components in stress experience. PSS-10 is a general scale, which can be used in several age groups, from adolescents to elderly, as it does not contain specific issues of the context. The absence of specific context issues is an important factor in the scale and probably the reason this scale has been validated in several cultures, as well as in Brazilian culture (Reis et al., 2010). Each question presents 4 alternatives of response by Likert Scale of 1 (never) to 4 (always). The questions with positive response (4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10 and 13) have their reverse score, as follows, 0 = 4, 1 = 3, 2 = 2, 3 = 1 and 4 = 0. The questions with negative response (1, 2, 3, 8, 11 and 12) should be added directly. The total scale is the sum of the scores of the 10 questions and the scores may range from 0 to 40 (scores of 0–13 is considered as low perceived stress, 14-26 as moderate perceived stress, and 27-40 as high perceived stress). The Brazilian version of PSS-10 showed good psychometric properties for perceived stress in Brazilian adults (Reis et al., 2010). The duration of the assessment was up to 10 min. The Cronbach's α in our sample was 0.82.

10.3389/fpsyg.2022.987149

HRV recording and analysis

The HRV data from all players were recorded with players seated on a bench, in an air-conditioned room (Holmes et al., 2020). After assessment of perceived stress (≈ 5 min), players received the moistened strap transmitters and fitted firmly to the chest. Subsequently, players checked the functioning of the heart rate monitor receiver for RR intervals acquisition (Geus et al., 2019).

HRV was recorded at rest using a cardiotachometer Polar RS800cx (Polar[™], Kempele, Finland) at a sampling of 1,000 Hz (Quintana et al., 2012b). A period of 10-min for the recording of HRV was performed (i.e., 5-min stabilization period and a 5-min post-stabilization period). Data corresponding to 5-min post-stabilization were extracted and downloaded for analysis by specific software (Polar Precision Performance, Polar[™], Kempele, Finland). HRV indices were analyzed using the Kubios[™] HRV software (Biomedical Signal Analysis Group, Department of Applied Physics, University of Kuopio, Kuopio, Finland) considering the 5-min post-stabilization (Draghici and Taylor, 2016). Data were visually inspected to identify artifacts (≤2%), which were manually removed with the interpolated adjacent RR interval values (filter power < medium; Johnston et al., 2020).

The dependent variables were analyzed in the domain of frequency (Low Frequency [LF], High Frequency [HF], and simpato-vagal balance [LF/HF]) and time (beat-to-beat intervals [R-R], standard deviation of the mean of qualified NN-interval [SDNN]. Proportion of successive NN intervals with a difference greater than 50 ms [pNN50], and root-mean-square difference of successive normal RR intervals [rMSSD] were also measured (Johnston et al., 2020).

Statistical analyses

Assumptions of the homogeneity of variance and residual normality were tested by using the Levene's and Shapiro-Wilk tests, respectively. Data with residual normality were represented by mean and standard deviation (M \pm SD). At BL, the assumptions were met for age, weight, height, time of experience, PSS-10, and HRV. Thus, the independent samples t-tests were used to verify the differences between the two groups (VG vs. DG) in BL. A 2×2 mixed factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences between Victory Group vs. Defeat Group (betweengroup effects) and differences among BL, pre-game, and post-game (within-group effects) for PSS-10, and HRV measurements in the time and frequency domains. Post-hoc analysis was performed using the Bonferroni to assess the effects within each group. The level of significance was determined by 5% (p < 0.05). The effect size was calculated and then interpreted as suggested by Cohen's d-0.00 to 0.19 (trivial); 0.20 to 0.49 (small); 0.50 to 0.79 (moderate); and \geq 0.80 (large; Cohen and Williamson, 1988). For correlation analysis between PSS-10 and HRV measures we used a bivariate Pearson's correlation (Schober et al., 2018). All data were statistically treated by GraphPad Prism software, version 8.0.1.

| Variables | Victory group (n = 25) | Defeat group $(n=25)$ | Statistical difference |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Physical activity level | M±SD | $M \pm SD$ | P |
| Min per week | 37 ± 1.2 | 35 ± 0.9 | 0.63 |
| Anthropometry | $M \pm SD$ | $M \pm SD$ | P |
| Age (y) | 25.04 ± 2.77 | 24.92 ± 2.41 | 0.87 |
| Weight (kg) | 79.6 ± 2.1 | 77.4 ± 2.6 | 0.78 |
| Height (cm) | 179.5 ± 1.6 | 177.7 ± 1.3 | 0.69 |
| Time of expertise | $M \pm SD$ | $M \pm SD$ | P |
| Years (y) | 7.92 ± 1.29 | 7.44 ± 1.38 | 0.22 |

y, years; kg, kilograms; cm, centimeters; M, mean; SD, standard deviation; p, p-value.



Results

Sample characteristics

The groups in analysis revealed homogeneity. There were no significant group differences considering age, weight, height and time of experience. Descriptive data and differences between groups are shown in Table 1.

PSS-10

Any difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.823) and pre-game (p = 0.541) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.989). PSS-10 in the post-game time was lower in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -16.62, CI 95%: -19.92 to -13.31, Figure 2).

Mixed analysis of variance showed significant group by time interaction [F(2, 144) = 889.8; $p \le 0.001$], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 790.1; $p \le 0.01$], and time [F(2, 144) = 15.36; $p \le 0.001$] for PSS-10. The interaction revealed a decreased score for PSS-10 in



game times (p = 0.001 and $p \le 0.0001$, respectively); (**C**) rMSSD: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$; p = 0.003 respectively), *Significant difference compared to Post-game time ($p \le 0.0001$); #Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (**D**) pNN50: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (**D**) pNN50: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (**D**) pNN50: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (**D**) pNN50: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (**D**) pNN50: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$).

the post-game (4.33 ± 0.96) compared to BL (15.12 ± 1.77) and pre-game (14.75 ± 1.62) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -7.90, CI 95%: -10.13 to -5.07 and d = -7.44, CI 95%: -9.54 to -4.77 respectively), while also showed an increased score for PSS-10 in the post-game (27.79 ± 1.71) compared to BL (14.70 ± 1.60) and pre-game (14.20 ± 1.84) times for DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -7.66, CI 95%: 5.50-9.23 and d = 7.91, CI 95%: 5.68-9.53, respectively).

Heart rate variability

Concerning time domain measures, for R-R any difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.994) and pre-game (p = 0.996) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.999). In opposition, R-R in the post-game time was higher in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -12.81, CI 95%: -15.38 to -10.24, Figure 3A). Mixed analysis of variance showed for R-R interval significant group by time interaction [F(2, 144) = 226.9], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 237.7], and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 95.08]. The interaction revealed an increased score of R-R in the post-game (993.44 ± 4.63) compared to BL (950.4 ± 39.97) and pre-game (951.28 ± 36.15) times for VG ($p \le 0.0001$; d = -0.76, CI 95%: -1.21 to -0.25 and p = 0.0002; d = -0.87, CI 95%: -1.34 to -0.34 respectively), while also showed a decrease in the score of R-R in the post-game (749.96±22.46) compared to BL (948.36±37.02) and pre-game (949.52±33.56) times for DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -6.67, CI 95%: -8.56 to -4.27 and d = -6.39, CI 95%: -8.21 to -4.08, respectively).

For SDNN, no difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.991) and pre-game (p = 0.966) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.999). However, SDNN in the post-game time was higher in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 3.73, CI 95%: 2.81–4.65, Figure 3B). Mixed analysis of variance showed for SDNN significant group by time interaction [$F(2, 144) = 39.82; p \le 0.001$], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 32.83; $p \le 0.001$], and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 4,263; p = 0.001]. The interaction revealed an increased score of SDNN in the post-game (70.76 ± 3.75) compared to BL (61.6 ± 4.91) and pre-game (61.76 ± 4.9) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 2.12, CI 95%: 1.41–2.67 and d = 2.08, CI 95%: 1.38–2.63, respectively), while also showed a decreased score of SDNN in the post-game (57.48 ± 3.36) compared to BL (61.92 \pm 4.97) and pre-game (62.28 \pm 4.41) times for DG (p = 0.001; d = -1.07, CI 95%: -1.56 to -0.48 and $p \le 0.001$; d = -1.24, CI 95%: -1.76 to -0.61, respectively).

For rMSSD, no difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.990) and pre-game (p = 0.899) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and

for DG (p = 0.999). However, rMSSD in the post-game time was higher in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 1.67, CI 95%: 1.02–2.31, Figure 3C). Mixed analysis of variance showed for rMSSD significant group by time interaction [F (2, 144) = 50.92; $p \le 0.001$], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 40.26; $p \le 0.001$], and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 5,646; $p \le 0.001$]. The interaction revealed an increased score of rMSSD in the post-game (76.96 ± 2.31) compared to BL (72.96 ± 3.80) and pre-game (72.12 ± 2.18) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 1.31, CI 95%: 0.78–1.76 and p = 0.003; d = 2.16, CI 95%: 1.44–2.72, respectively), while also showed a decreased score of rMSSD in the post-game (64.4 ± 5.93) compared to BL (73.24 ± 3.46) and pre-game (72.8 ± 3.42) times for DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -1.88, CI 95%: -2.54 to -1.08 and d = -1.80, CI 95%: -2.43 to -1.02, respectively).

For pNN50, no difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.999) and pre-game (p = 0.422) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.510). In opposition, pNN50 in the post-game time was higher in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 2.86, CI 95%: 2.07– 3.65, Figure 3D). Mixed analysis of variance showed for pNN50 significant group by time interaction [$F(2, 144) = 43.95; p \le 0.001$], main effects for group $[F(1, 144) = 29.06; p \le 0.0001]$, and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 47.17; $p \le 0.001$]. The interaction revealed an increased score of pNN50 in the post-game (7.04 ± 1.45) compared to BL (3.28 ± 1.13) and pre-game (3.48 ± 0.87) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 2.91, CI 95%: 2.02 to 3.60 and d = 3.07, CI 95%: 2.13–3.78 respectively), while also showed no significant changes in the score of pNN50 in the post-game (3.64 ± 0.86) compared to BL (3.38 ± 1.18) and pre-game (3.92 ± 1.11) times for DG (*p* = 0.988 and *p* = 0.999, respectively).

Considering frequency domain measures, for HF any difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.993) and pre-game (p = 0.999) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.999). HF in the post-game times was higher in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.0001$; d = 3.35, CI 95%: 2.49–4.21, Figure 4A). Mixed analysis of variance showed for HF significant group by time interaction [F(2,144) = 72.24; $p \le 0.001$], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 77.55; $p \le 0.001$], and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 10.22; $p \le 0.001$]. The interaction revealed an increased score of HF in the postgame (8.28 ± 1.20) compared to BL (6.08 ± 1.57) and pre-game (5.96 ± 0.88) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 1.59, CI 95%: 1.00–2.07 and d = 2.23, CI 95%: 1.50–2.80, respectively), however with no significant differences between times for while also showed a decreased score of HF in the post-game (3.24 ± 0.72) compared to BL (6.04 ± 1.54) and pre-game (5.88 ± 0.97) times for DG $(p \le 0.001; d = -2.48, CI 95\%: -3.27 \text{ to } -1.49 \text{ and } d = -3.12, CI$ 95%: -4.07 to -1.93, respectively).

For LF, no difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.986) and pre-game (p = 0.956) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.941) and for DG (p = 0.999). However, LF in the post-game time was lower in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.0001$; d = -2.87, CI 95%: -3.66 to -2.08, Figure 4B). Mixed analysis of variance



(A) HF: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to Postgame time ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to Postgame time ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (B) LF: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to Post-game times ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$); (C) LF-HF: *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to Postgame time ($p \le 0.0001$), *Significant difference compared to BL and Pre-game times (p = 0.004 and p = 0.002 respectively).

showed for LF significant group by time interaction [F(2, 144) = 81.64; $p \le 0.001$], main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 79.13; $p \le 0.001$] and main effects for time [F(2, 144) = 10.22; $p \le 0.001$]. The interaction revealed a decreased score of LF in the post-game (5.16 ± 0.85) compared to BL (7.72 ± 1.02) and pre-game (8.08 ± 0.86) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -2.74, CI 95%: -3.59 to -1.67 and d = -3.42, CI 95%: -4.44 to -2.12, respectively), while also showed an increased score of LF in the post-game (7.96 ± 0.88) times for DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = 1.52, CI 95%: 0.94-1.99 and d = 1.30, CI 95%: 0.77-1.75, respectively).

For LF-HF, no difference was found between groups in the BL (p = 0.870) and pre-game (p = 0.952) times, nor intra group difference between BL and pre-game times for VG (p = 0.999) and for DG (p = 0.999). In opposition, LF-HF in the post-game time was lower in VG than in DG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -2.60, CI 95%: -3.35 to -1.84, Figure 4C). Mixed analysis of variance showed for LF-HF significant group by time interaction for [F(2, 144) = 27.55; $p \leq 0.001$], and main effects for group [F(1, 144) = 18.02; $p \leq 0.001$]. The interaction revealed a decreased score of LF-HF in the post-game (1.42 ± 0.20) compared to BL (2.52 ± 0.78) and pre-game (2.44 ± 0.70) times for VG ($p \le 0.001$; d = -2.24, CI 95%: -2.98 to -1.33 and d = -2.27, CI 95%: -3.01 to -1.35, respectively), while also showed an increased score of LF-HF in the post-game (3.18 ± 0.94) compared to BL (2.41 ± 0.65) and pre-game (2.34 ± 0.66) times for DG (p = 0.004; d = 0.97, CI 95%): 0.49–1.38 and *p* = 0.002; *d* = 1.05, CI 95%: 0.56–1.47, respectively).

Concerning correlation analyses among PSS-10 and HRV indexes, we did not find any significative difference.

Discussion

The aim of present study was to verify the levels of perceived stress and HRV before and after decisive games involving victory and defeat in professional eSports athletes. In line with our hypothesis, VG had better autonomic and stress responses in comparison to DG that had worst autonomic and stress responses after game. Although there is evidence of psychophysiological aspects in athletes (Follador et al., 2018), there is still a need for more studies investigating HRV and stress behavior in athletes, especially in eSports athletes, who have strong psychological demands (Machado et al., 2021).

Recent studies have highlighted the importance of analyzing HRV and the state of stress in athletes (Britton et al., 2019) and also how these variables are in constant association (Moreira et al., 2021). In this regard, the present study showed that winning or losing can interfere with autonomic and stress responses. Overall, concerning PSS-10 our findings show that VG had significant reduced scores in post-game time compared to BL and pre-game times, while DG had significant increased scores in post-game time compared to BL and pre-game times. In addition, there were no differences in BL and pre-game times, as well as between BL and pre-game for both VG and DF in PSS-10. Regarding HRV, our results demonstrate that VG had significant increase in RR, SDNN, rMSSD, pNN50 and HF, and significant decrease in LF and LF/HF, while DG had a significant decrease in RR, SDNN, rMSSD and HF, and significant increase in LF and LF/HF. In addition, there were no differences in BL and pre-game times, as well as between BL and pre-game for both VG and DF in any measure.

The findings of the present study corroborate what the literature presents about HRV and stress behavior, where these variables are reactive to different emotions (Cooke et al., 2011), and that depending on the situation, they can offer relevant response magnitudes due to a strong association between the autonomic nervous system and psychological state, well described in the literature (Kemp and Quintana, 2013). Within this context, the outcome of a competition can significantly contribute to physiological and psychological responses (Salvador et al., 2003). Studies have highlighted the importance of investigating psychophysiological variables in athletes (Britton et al., 2019) and HRV has been relevant in monitoring athletes (Nakamura et al., 2015). Likewise, perceived stress in high-performance sports is extremely important for emotional control throughout the competition (Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018). However, HRV can offer changes due to emotional behavior, mainly due to stress (Goessl et al., 2017) and thus, we can highlight that these psychophysiological behaviors affect the athlete's performance (Alfonso and Capdevila, 2022). Considering information about victory and defeat, there are few studies for this discussion (Broodryk et al., 2021). However, we can suggest that different outcomes, such as victory and defeat, can affect positively and negatively on physiological (HRV) and psychological (stress) behaviors.

Therefore, our findings are in line with previous studies (e.g., Broodryk et al., 2021) who found that soccer players showed positive responses to victory and negative responses to defeat, in physiological (hormonal behavior) and psychological (anxiety and mood) variables. That is, the eSports winner players tend to reveal more adjusted responses in the perception of stress and greater parasympathetic activation compared to losers.

Regarding possible mechanisms underlying perceived stress, sympathetic/parasympathetic imbalance is related to performance of tasks (Barnes and Van Dyne, 2009), and depending on the outcome of such a task, important changes in brain functioning may occur in the prefrontal cortex (responsible for executive functioning) at different levels (Ai et al., 2021), triggering changes in the individual's psychological state, such as stress (Habay et al., 2021). However, there is a relationship between cognitive functioning, psychological state and HRV (Lin et al., 2017), as brain and heart connection is constant and it becomes important that these associated mechanisms act and react efficiently and modulated (You et al., 2021). However, the level of stress affects HRV via vagus nerve (parasympathetic) in the sinoatrial node of the heart, causing an imbalance between the sympathetic and parasympathetic systems (Quintana et al., 2012a; Kemp and Quintana, 2013). In addition, in athletes who are constantly performing tasks, whether in training or competitions, their performance can affect neurocardiac behavior and the results (win and defeat) will be critical to establishing balance (Britton et al., 2019; Broodryk et al., 2021). eSports players need of cognitive functioning at all times, especially concentration, in order to be efficient in the task (Machado et al., 2021) and this demand can provoke changes in the perceived stress and HRV, favoring or not game performance.

To the best of our knowledge, the study conducted by Broodryk et al. (2021) was the only one to investigate psychophysiological variables (i.e., cortisol, anxiety and mood) and their associations with victory and defeat in athletes. Therefore, future studies are needed to deepen the knowledge about HRV behavior and emotional states (not just stress) in different sports before and after different outcomes (win, lose and maybe draw), especially in eSports players. Studies with this line of investigation will also be relevant, with regard to the behavior of these variables in the competitive period, providing solid information for possible interventions in relation to the recovery process of athletes between games and throughout the competition.

This study results in important, albeit exploratory, findings for eSports athletes and coaches. In this sense, we can suggest that victory and defeat in competitive games elicit different responses in HRV and perceived stress. An important practical implication of our study deals with the use of HRV as an optimizing tool to the athletic training through the quantification of training loads (Borresen and Lambert, 2009), as well as the analysis of the training program (Tønnessen et al., 2014), allowing for an assessment, monitoring, recovery and improvement in the athletic performance. HRV is essential in monitoring fatigue and/or performance responses to overtraining or functional overload (Meeusen et al., 2013), which influences psychological factors (Oliveira-Silva et al., 2018; Mamlouk et al., 2021). In this sense, the most useful indicator of HRV is the rMSSD at rest (Buchheit, 2014). rMSSD is effective in identifying the general level of fatigue, although it does not allow the grouping of different sub-categories of fatigue (Buchheit, 2014). Thus, these findings should be taken into account by eSports athletes and coaches, as they can be used to assess, monitor, recovery and preserve efficiency in training and game performance.

Our study has some limitations. We investigated psychophysiological patterns of eSport athletes just before and after games and not during the game. In addition, we did not compare psychophysiological variables among athletes with different functions in the team. Another point is the lack of an assessment of other clinical variables related to HRV responses (e.g., anxiety, emotion-regulation) to control for. As future perspectives, topics such as the use of ergogenic resources to improve neurocognitive and neuromotor performance through caffeine (Sainz et al., 2020) and brain neuromodulation techniques, such as tDCS (Machado et al., 2021), should be explored.

Conclusion

The present study investigated the behavior of HRV in the time and frequency domains, and in the perceived stress in different outcomes in eSports athletes during competitive matches. It was observed that VG had better HRV responses (greater parasympathetic activation) as well as lower levels of perceived stress, while DG had worst HRV responses (greater sympathetic activation) and higher levels of perceived stress. Future studies are needed to investigate HRV behavior and emotional states in eSports before and after different outcomes (win, lose and maybe draw).

References

Ai, J. Y., Chen, F. T., Hsieh, S. S., Kao, S. C., Chen, A. G., Hung, T. M., et al. (2021). The effect of acute high-intensity interval training on executive function: Asystematic review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18, 1–27. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18073593

Alfonso, C., and Capdevila, L. (2022). Heart rate variability, mood and performance: a pilot study on the interrelation of these variables in amateur road cyclists. *PeerJ* 10:e13094. doi: 10.7717/peerj.13094

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this article are not readily available because the data are under confidentiality requirements. Requests to access the datasets should be directed to SM, secm80@gmail.com.

Ethical statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of the Research Center in Sport, Health and Human Development (CIDESD; Portugal). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SM, BT, and DM have substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work, drafted the work and revising it critically for important intellectual content provide approval for publication of the content. LO, LC, DT, and FR helped in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by national funds through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P., under the project UID04045/2020.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Bara-filho, M. G., Freitas, D. S., Moreira, D., Matta, M. O., Lima, J. R. P., and Nakamura, F. Y. (2013). Heart rate variability and soccer training: a case study. *Motriz* 19, 171–177. doi: 10.1590/S1980-65742013000100017

Barnes, C. M., and Van Dyne, L. (2009). "I'm tired": differential effects of physical and emotional fatigue on workload management strategies. *Hum. Relations* 62, 59–92. doi: 10.1177/0018726708099518

Blásquez, J. C. C., Font, G. R., and Ortís, L. C. (2009). Heart-rate variability and precompetitive anxiety in swimmers. *Psicothema* 21, 531–536.

Borresen, J., and Lambert, M. I. (2009). The quantification of training load, the training response and the effect on performance. *Sports Med.* 39, 779–795. doi: 10.2165/11317780-00000000-00000

Britton, D. M., Kavanagh, E. J., and Polman, R. C. J. (2019). Validating a selfreport measure of student athletes' perceived stress reactivity: associations with heart-rate variability and stress appraisals. *Front. Psychol.* 10, 1–10. doi: 10.3389/ fpsyg.2019.01083

Broodryk, A., Piennaar, C., Edwards, D., and Sparks, M. (2021). Effects of a soccer tournament on the psychormonal states of collegiate female players. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 35, 1873–1894. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002993

Buchheit, M. (2014). Monitoring training status with HR measures: do all roads lead to Rome? *Front. Physiol.* 5:73. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00073

Chidley, J. B., MacGregor, A. L., Martin, C., Arthur, C. A., and Macdonald, J. H. (2015). Characteristics explaining performance in downhill mountain biking. International journal of sports physiology and performance. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 10, 183–190. doi: 10.1123/jispp.2014-0135

Cohen, S., and Williamson, G. (1988). "Perceived stress in a probability sample of the United States" in *The Social Psychology of Health: Claremont Symposium on Applied Social Psychology*. eds. S. Spacapan and S. Oskamp (Newbury Park, CA: Sage), 31–67.

Cooke, A., Kavussanu, M., McIntyre, D., and Ring, C. (2011). Effects of competition on endurance performance and the underlying psychological and physiological mechanisms. *Biol. Psychol.* 86, 370–378. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsycho.2011.01.009

Draghici, A. E., and Taylor, J. A. (2016). The physiological basis and measurement of heart rate variability in humans. *J. Physiol. Anthropol.* 35, 22–28. doi: 10.1186/ s40101-016-0113-7

Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., and Lang, A. G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behav. Res. Methods* 41, 1149–1160. doi: 10.3758/BRM.41.4.1149

Follador, L., Alves, R. C., Ferreira, S. D., Buzzachera, C. F., Andrade, V. F. D., Garcia, E. D. S. D., et al. (2018). Physiological, perceptual, and affective responses to six high-intensity interval training protocols. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 125, 329–350. doi: 10.1177/0031512518754584

Foster, C., Florhaug, J. A., Franklin, J., Gottschall, L., Hrovatin, L. A., Suzanne, P., et al. (2001). A new approach to monitoring exercise training. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 15, 109–115. PMID: 11708692

Friedman, B. (2007). An autonomic flexibility-neurovisceral integration model of anxiety and cardiac vagal tone. *Biol. Psychol.* 74, 185–199. doi: 10.1016/j. biopsycho.2005.08.009

Fuentes, J. P., Villafaina, S., Collado-Mateo, D., de la Veja, R., Gusi, N., and Clemente-Suárez, V. J. (2018). Use of biotechnological devices in the quantification of psychophysiological workload of professional chess players. *J. Med. Syst.* 42, 40. doi: 10.1007/s10916-018-0890-0

Geus, E. J. C., Gianaros, P. J., Brindle, R. C., Jennings, J. R., and Berntson, G. G. (2019). Should heart rate variability be "corrected" for heart rate? Biological, quantitative, and interpretive considerations. *Psychophysiology* 56, e13287. doi: 10.1111/psyp.13287

Goessl, V. C., Curtiss, J. E., and Hofmann, S. G. (2017). The effect of heart rate variability biofeedback training on stress and anxiety: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Med.* 47, 2578–2586. doi: 10.1017/S0033291717001003

Habay, J., Van Cutsem, J., Verschueren, J., De Bock, S., Proost, M., De Wachter, J., et al. (2021). Mental fatigue and sport-specific psychomotor performance: a systematic review. *Sport. Med.* 51, 1527–1548. doi: 10.1007/s40279-021-01429-6

Hallal, P. C., and Victora, C. G. (2004). Reliability and validity of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 36:556. doi: 10.1249/01.MSS.0000117161.66394.07

Himmelstein, D., Liu, Y., and Shapiro, J. L. (2017). An exploration of mental skills among competitive league of legend players. *Int. J. Gaming Comput. Mediat. Simul.* 9, 1–21. doi: 10.4018/IJGCMS.2017040101

Holmes, C. J., Fedewa, M. V., Dobbs, W. C., Liu, Y., Flatt, A. A., Nakamura, F. Y., et al. (2020). The effects of different body positions on the accuracy of ultra-short-term heart rate variability indexes. *J. High Technol. Manag. Res.* 31:100375. doi: 10.1016/j.hitech.2020.100375

Hynynen, E., Konttinen, N., Kinnunen, U., Kyrolainen, H., and Rusko, H. (2011). The incidence of stress symptoms and heart rate variability during sleep and orthostatic test. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 111, 733–741. doi: 10.1007/s00421-010-1698-x

Johnston, B. W., Barrett-Jolley, R., Krige, A., and Welters, I. D. (2020). Heart rate variability: measurement and emerging use in critical care medicine. *J. Intensive Care Soc.* 21, 148–157. doi: 10.1177/1751143719853744

Kemp, A. H., and Quintana, D. S. (2013). The relationship between mental and physical health: insights from the study of heart rate variability. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 89, 288–296. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.018

Knechtle, B. (2014). Relationship of anthropometric and training characteristics with race performance in endurance and ultra-endurance athletes. *Asian J. Sports Med.* 5, 73–90.

Laborde, S., Mosley, E., and Thayer, J. F. (2017). Heart rate variability and cardiac vagal tone in psychophysiological research-recommendations for experiment planning, data analysis, and data reporting. *Front. Psychol.* 8, 1–18. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213

Lin, F., Heffner, K. L., Ren, P., and Tadin, D. (2017). A role of the parasympathetic nervous system in cognitive training. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 14, 784–789. doi: 10.217 4/1567205014666170203095128.A

Machado, S., Travassos, B., Teixeira, D. S., Rodrigues, F., Cid, L., and Monteiro, D. (2021). Could tDCS be a potential performance-enhancing tool for acute neurocognitive modulation in eSports? A perspective review. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18, -3678. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18073678

Mamlouk, A. C., Younes, M., Zarrouk, F., Shephard, R., and Bouhlel, E. (2021). Heart rate variability and stress perception: The influence of physical fitness. *Sci. Sport.* 36, 276–283. doi: 10.1016/j.scispo.2021.02.001

McEwan, G., Arthur, R., Phillips, S. M., Gibson, N. V., and Easton, C. (2018). Interval running with self-selected recovery: physiology, performance, and perception. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 18, 1058–1067. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2018.1472811

Meeusen, R., Duclos, M., Foster, C., Fry, A., Gleeson, M., Nieman, D., et al. (2013). Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: joint consensus statement of the European College of Sport Science and the American College of Sports Medicine. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 45, 186–205. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318279a10a

Monteiro Pereira, A., Costa, J. A., Verhagen, E., Figueiredo, P., and Brito, J. (2022). Associations between eSports participation and health: a scoping review. *Sports Med.* doi: 10.1007/s40279-022-01684-1 (in press).

Moreira, M. F., Gamboa, O. L., and Oliveira, M. A. P. (2021). Association between severity of pain, perceived stress and vagally-mediated heart rate variability in women with endometriosis. *Women Health* 61, 937–946. doi: 10.1080/03630242.2021.1993423

Nakamura, F. Y., Flatt, A. A., Pereira, L. A., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Loturco, I., and Esco, M. R. (2015). Ultra-short-term heart rate variability is sensitive to training effects in team sports players. *J. Sport. Sci. Med.* 14, 602–605.

Ogliari, G., Mahinrad, S., Stott, D. J., Jukema, W., Mooijaart, S. P., Macfarlane, P. W., et al. (2015). Resting heart rate, heart rate variability and functional decline in old age. *CMAJ* 187, E442–E449. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.150462

Oliveira-Silva, I., Silva, V. A., Cunha, R. M., and Foster, C. (2018). Autonomic changes induced by precompetitive stress in cyclists in relation to physical fitness and anxiety. *PLoS One* 13, 1–9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0209834

Quintana, D. S., Guastella, A. J., Outhred, T., Hickie, I. B., and Kemp, A. H. (2012a). Heart rate variability is associated with emotion recognition: direct evidence for a relationship between the autonomic nervous system and social cognition. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 86, 168–172. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2012.08.012

Quintana, D. S., Heathers, J. A., and Kemp, A. H. (2012b). On the validity of using the polar RS800 heart rate monitor for heart rate variability research. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 112, 4179–4180. doi: 10.1007/s00421-012-2453-2

Reigal, R. E., Giralt, J. D., Cazorla, R. L., and Hernández-Mendo, A. (2018). Perfil psicológico deportivo y ansiedad estado competitiva en triatletas. *Rev. Psicol. Deport.* 27, 125–132.

Reis, R. S., Hino, A. A. F., and Añez, C. R. R. (2010). Perceived stress scale: reliability and validity study in Brazil. *J. Health Psychol.* 15, 107–114. doi: 10.1177/1359105309346343

Sainz, I., Collado-Mateo, D., and Coso, J. D. (2020). Effect of acute caffeine intake on hit accuracy and reaction time in professional e-sports players. *Physiol. Behav.* 224:113031. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2020.113031

Salahuddin, N., Shafquat, A., Marashly, Q., Zaza, K. J., Sharshir, M., Khurshid, M., et al. (2018). Increases in heart rate variability signal improved outcomes in rapid response team consultations: a cohort study. *Cariol. Reserarch Pract.* 2018, 1–8. doi: 10.1155/2018/1590217

Salvador, A., Suay, F., Gonzalez-Bono, E., and Serrano, M. A. (2003). Anticipatory cortisol, testosterone and psychological responses to judo competition in young men. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 28, 364–375. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4530(02)00028-8

Sant'Ana, L. O., Machado, S., Ribeiro, A. A. S., Reis, N. R., Campos, Y. A. C., Silva, J. G. V., et al. (2020). Effects of cardiovascular interval training in healthy elderly subjects: A systematic review. *Front. Physiol.* 11, 1–10. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00739

Sant'Ana, L. O., Scartoni, F. R., Cruz, T. M., Ribeiro, A. A. S., Reis, N. R., Silva, J. G. V., et al. (2022). Acute effects of different Sprint intervals on blood pressure, heart rate variability, lactate and performance responses in physically active men. *Open Sports Sci. J.* 14, 3–13. doi: 10.2174/1875399X0201401

Schober, P., Boer, C., and Schwarte, L. A. (2018). MBA correlation coefficients: appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesth. Analg.* 126, 1763–1768. doi: 10.1213/ANE.00000000002864

Seong, H. M., Lee, J. S., Shin, T. M., Kim, W. S., Yoon, Y. R., and Yoon, Y. R. (2004). The analysis of mental stress using time-frequency distribution of heart rate variability signal. *Conf. Proc. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.* 26, 283–285. doi: 10.1109/IEMBS.2004.1403147

Tønnessen, E., Sylta, Ø., Haugen, T. A., Hem, E., Svendsen, I. S., and Seiler, S. (2014). The road to gold: training and peaking characteristics in the year prior to a

gold medal endurance performance. *PLoS One* 9:e101796. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0101796

Trotter, M. G., Coulter, T. J., Davis, P. A., Poulus, D. R., and Polman, R. (2021). Social support, self-regulation, and psychological skill use in E-athletes. *Front. Psychol.* 12:722030. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.722030

You, M., Laborde, S., Borges, U., Vaughan, R. S., and Dosseville, F. (2021). Cognitive failures: relationship with perceived emotions, stress, and resting vagally-mediated heart rate variability. *Sustain.* 13, 1–11. doi: 10.3390/su132413616