

Preeclamptic Women Have Disrupted Placental microRNA Expression at the Time of Preeclampsia Diagnosis: Meta-Analysis

Andja Cirkovic^{1†}, Dejana Stanisavljevic^{1†}, Jelena Milin-Lazovic¹, Nina Rajovic¹, Vedrana Pavlovic¹, Ognjen Milicevic¹, Marko Savic¹, Jelena Kostic Peric², Natasa Aleksic³, Nikola Milic⁴, Tamara Stanisavljevic⁴, Zeljko Mikovic⁵, Vesna Garovic⁶* and Natasa Milic^{1,6}

¹Institute for Medical Statistics and Informatics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, ²Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, ³Center for Molecular Biology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ⁴Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia, ⁵Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics Narodni Front, Belgrade, Serbia, ⁶Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Umberto Galderisi, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

Reviewed by:

Liv Cecilie Vestrheim Thomsen, University of Bergen, Norway Martin Mueller, University Hospital Bern, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Vesna Garovic garovic.vesna@mayo.edu [†]These authors share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Preclinical Cell and Gene Therapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology

Received: 24 September 2021 Accepted: 22 November 2021 Published: 24 December 2021

Citation:

Cirkovic A, Stanisavljevic D, Milin-Lazovic J, Rajovic N, Pavlovic V, Milicevic O, Savic M, Kostic Peric J, Aleksic N, Milic N, Stanisavljevic T, Mikovic Z, Garovic V and Milic N (2021) Preeclamptic Women Have Disrupted Placental microRNA Expression at the Time of Preeclampsia Diagnosis: Meta-Analysis. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 9:782845. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.782845 **Introduction:** Preeclampsia (PE) is a pregnancy-associated, multi-organ, life-threatening disease that appears after the 20th week of gestation. The aim of this study was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine whether women with PE have disrupted miRNA expression compared to women who do not have PE.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies that reported miRNAs expression levels in placenta or peripheral blood of pregnant women with vs. without PE. Studies published before October 29, 2021 were identified through PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science. Two reviewers used predefined forms and protocols to evaluate independently the eligibility of studies based on titles and abstracts and to perform full-text screening, data abstraction and quality assessment. Standardized mean difference (SMD) was used as a measure of effect size.

Results: 229 publications were included in the systematic review and 53 in the meta-analysis. The expression levels in placenta were significantly higher in women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-16 (SMD = 1.51,95%Cl = 0.55-2.46), miRNA-20b (SMD = 0.89, 95%Cl = 0.33-1.45), miRNA-23a (SMD = 2.02, 95%Cl = 1.25-2.78), miRNA-29b (SMD = 1.37, 95%Cl = 0.36-2.37), miRNA-155 (SMD = 2.99, 95%Cl = 0.83-5.14) and miRNA-210 (SMD = 1.63, 95%Cl = 0.69-2.58), and significantly lower for miRNA-376c (SMD = -4.86, 95%Cl = -9.51 to -0.20). An increased level of miRNK-155 expression was found in peripheral blood of women with PE (SMD = 2.06, 95%Cl = 0.35-3.76), while the expression level of miRNA-16 was significantly lower in peripheral blood of PE women (SMD = -0.47, 95%Cl = -0.91 to -0.03). The functional roles of the presented miRNAs include control of trophoblast proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, differentiation, cellular metabolism and angiogenesis.

Conclusion: miRNAs play an important role in the pathophysiology of PE. The identification of differentially expressed miRNAs in maternal blood creates an opportunity to define an easily accessible biomarker of PE.

Keywords: epigenetics, miRNA, preeclampsia, pathophysiology, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Preeclampsia (PE) has been shown to affect 1-7.5% of all pregnancies, making it one of the leading causes of maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality worldwide (Abalos et al., 2013; Witcher, 2018; Garovic et al., 2020). PE is a multi-factorial, multisystemic pregnancy specific condition found typically after 20 weeks of gestation or early post-delivery (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 2019). Although clinical symptoms appear relatively late in pregnancy, PE pathology begins early, making the identification of potential biomarkers during the first trimester a possible strategy for identifying predictors of PE (McElrath et al., 2020). Several potential biomarkers already have been evaluated: C reactive protein (CRP), cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, TNF-a), microparticle proteins (C1RL, GP1BA, VTNC, and ZA2G), oxidative stress markers (malondialdehyde - MDA), and genetic factors (PAI-1 4G/5G polymorphism) (Black and Horowitz, 2018; Giannakou et al., 2018; Taravati and Tohidi, 2018; McElrath et al., 2020). There are few known biomarkers, however, that can accurately predict the risk for PE. The use of combinations of several biomarkers previously has been proposed as a diagnostic or predictive parameter, such as the ratio of soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 to placental growth factor ratio (sFlt-1/PlGF) (Lecarpentier and Tsatsaris, 2016). A study by Garovic et al. reported podocyturia, defined as the presence of podocin-positive cells in urine sampled ≤24 h of delivery, as a 100% sensitive and specific diagnostic marker for PE (Garovic et al., 2007).

Significant progress has been made in the past decade in the assessment of epigenetic mechanisms that might be involved in the pathophysiology of PE, and which aim to identify potential diagnostic and/or predictive epigenetic markers of PE. More specifically, short non-coding microRNAs (miRNAs) are involved in post-transcriptional gene expression and play a role in numerous diseases, modulating regulatory pathways that control development, differentiation, and organ function. MiRNAs are single-stranded RNA molecules consisting of 19-24 nucleotides, and their mode of action is primarily by degrading targeted mRNA transcripts or inhibiting translation of mRNA into a protein product (Hombach and Kretz, 2016). It is also known that miRNA molecules are involved in the physiological regulation of major processes of placentation (Mouillet et al., 2015). It might therefore be anticipated that dysfunction of miRNA expression could be important for the development of PE. Studies recently published explored a possible causal relationship between miRNA expression and PE (Youssef and Marei, 2019; Hemmatzadeh et al., 2020). It has been demonstrated that expression levels of miRNAs in different tissues play a role in physiological pregnancy as regulators of trophoblast proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, differentiation, cellular metabolism and placental angiogenesis (Hayder et al., 2018). The placenta is one of the main sources of miRNAs, but they also can be found in the circulation (Mouillet et al., 2015). Placental miRNA-210 expression has been the most studied in PE and other pregnancy related complications, and increased levels have been demonstrated (Muralimanoharan et al., 2012; Awamleh and Han, 2020). Results from

evaluations of other frequently analyzed miRNAs, such as miRNA-155, -223, -126, -183, -182, -281b, -154, -139-5p, -29b, -181a, -15b (Mayor-Lynn et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013; Sheikh et al., 2016; Hemmatzadeh et al., 2020), suggest that miRNA expression differs according to the severity of PE (Jairajpuri et al., 2017), and also differs throughout the course of normal pregnancy (Cai et al., 2017). While some research has been done to investigate the association between miRNA expression levels and PE, there is still a lack of evidence to support the common use of miRNAs as functional biomarkers related to PE. The aim of this study was to perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to determine whether women with PE have disrupted miRNA expressions compared to women without PE.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This systematic review was performed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) and MOOSE guidelines (Stroup et al., 2000; Liberati et al., 2009).

Study Selection

Publications were screened for inclusion in the systematic review in two phases, and all disagreements were resolved by discussion at each stage with inclusion of a third reviewer. We included studies that compared miRNA expression levels between women with and without PE. Studies were eligible for inclusion if the miRNA expression levels were measured in both groups. Studies were excluded if they: 1) investigated other outcomes, 2) did not make comparisons between PE and control groups, 3) examined other populations (animal, cell lines), 4) assessed other epigenetic markers, 5) were abstracts, or 6) were not original articles.

Database Search

Two biostatisticians with expertise in conducting systematic reviews and meta-analyses (NM, AC) developed the search strategy. A systematic review of peer-reviewed publications was performed through searches of PubMed, Web of Science (WoS) and embase electronic databases until October 29, 2021. Search queries differed according to the database. Key words for the PubMed search were: preeclampsia and (epigenetic or epigenetics or miRNA or microRNA or DNA methylation or DNA methylation or long non coding RNA); for Wos: TS = *eclampsia and TS= (epigenetic* or microRNA or DNA methylation or gene imprinting or long non coding RNA), and for embase: preeclampsia and (epigenetics or microRNA or DNA methylation or genome imprinting or long untranslated RNA). Only publications in English were considered. In addition, reference lists of articles identified through electronic retrieval were manually searched, as well as relevant reviews and editorials. Experts in the field were contacted to identify other potentially relevant articles.

Authors of relevant articles were contacted to obtain missing data. Studies with combined data of gestational hypertension and/or chronic hypertension in pregnancy and PE were only



eligible if data for the subset of women who developed preeclampsia were available.

Article Screening and Selection

Two reviewers (AC, JML) independently evaluated the eligibility of all titles and abstracts. Studies were included in the full text screening if either reviewer identified the study as being potentially eligible, or if the abstract and title did not include sufficient information. Studies were eligible for full text screening if they included comparisons of miRNA expression levels between women with and without PE. Preeclampsia included more severe, less severe, and not specified forms. The same reviewers independently performed full text screening to select articles for inclusion according to the criteria listed under Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria. Disagreements were resolved by consensus (AC, JML) or arbitration (NM, DS).

Data Abstraction and Quality Assessment

Two reviewers independently abstracted the following data: author(s), country of research, year of publication, study design, sample size, study population, maternal age, preeclampsia definitions, disease severity (more severe, less severe or not-specified PE), inclusion and exclusion criteria used in the original articles, sample type and time of sampling, matching, evaluated miRNAs, method for miRNA expression quantification, miRNA expression value, housekeeping gene for internal control, conclusion in original article. Each reviewer independently evaluated the quality of selected manuscripts using an adapted version of the Newcastle-Ottawa tool for observational studies (Wells et al., 2014). Reviewers used a standardized previously defined miRNA protocol when selecting and abstracting data. All detailed information about quality assessment, data extraction, variables, miRNA expression

TABLE 1 | Systematic review.

year* Country Pineles 2007* (Pineles et al., 1	design Not clear (cross- sectional, case- control study)	n PE 9	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls		of sampling			All	All non-	No chronic
Pineles 2007* (Pineles et al.,	Not clear (cross- sectional, case- control study)	9		(years)					primiparas	smokers	hypertension
2007) United States			9	28 (19–39) vs. 24 (18–37)	placenta	NR	pregnant women with presence of regular uterine contractions at a frequency of at least 2 contractions every 10 min that were associated with cervical changes and resulted in delivery at 37 completed weeks of gestation who delivered normal infants with birthweights appropriate for gestational age (10th–90th percentile) matched for gestational age at delivery	Gestational age	NR	no	yes
Hu 2009* (Hu et al., 2009) China	Cross-sectional	24	26	28.1 ± 1.3 vs. 28.7 ± 1.1	placenta (chorion)	at the time of delivery	(within 2 weeks) pregnant women with normal term pregnancy, without chronic hypertension, cardiovascular disease, renal disease, hepatitis, diabetes, any evidence of intrapartum infection or other pregnancy complications, such as fetal anomalies or chromosomal abnormalities	Maternal age and gestational age	no	NR	yes
Zhu 2009* (Zhu et al., 2009) (China	Cross-sectional	23 Total 8 mPE 15 sPE	11	31.9 ± 3.8 (sPE); 29.5 ± 5.3 (mPE) vs. 31.8 ± 3.7	placenta (villi)	NR	normal pregnancies	Gestational age	all nulliparous	NR	yes
Zhang 2010* (Zhang et al., 0 2010) China	Case-control	20	20	NR	placenta (chorion)	at the time of delivery	normotensive pregnancies with gestational age matched	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Cheng 2011 (Cheng et al., 0 2011) China	Cross-sectional	5	5	33 ± 3 (25–40) vs. 29 ± 1 (27–33)	UC HUVECs	at the time of delivery	Healthy women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Enquobahrie 2011 ((Enquobahrie et al., 2011) (United States	Not clear (participants were selected from cohort and case-control studies)	20	20	32.8 ± 7.4) vs. 30.4 ± 5.6)	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive pregnancies uncomplicated by proteinuria matched for parity, maternal race/ethnicity, and labor status	Parity, maternal race/ ethnicity and labor status	no	NR	yes
Gunel 2011 (Gunel et al., 0 2011) Turkey	Cross-sectional	20	20	NR	MPB (plasma)	NR	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Guo 2011 (Guo et al., 2011)	Cross-sectional	NR	NR	NR	placenta	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mayor-Lynn 2011* (Mayor-Lynn et al., 2011) United States	Cross-sectional	6	10 Total 5 term controls 5 preterm controls	23.8 (20–26) vs. 28.3 (21–38)	placenta (vili)	at the time of delivery	term controls - pregnancies who delivered normal infants at term without labor via elective Caesarean section preterm controls - presence of preterm regular uterine contractions of at least 3 contractions in 10 min that were associated with cervical changes that resulted in delivery at <35 completed weeks of gestation	NR	no	no	NR
Yang 2011 (Yang et al., 0 2011) China	Cross-sectional	4 Total 2 mPE 2 sPE	1	Individual data sPE: 28; 34 mPE: 26; 27 Control: 28 vears	MPB (serum)	before delivery (during 3rd trimester)	normal pregnant women	NR	all nulliparous	NR	NR
Bai 2012*(Bai et al., 2012) China	Cross-sectional	15	17	27.5 ± 4.3 vs. 29.7 ± 2.6	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women defined as a single gestation in	Gestational age	no	NR Continued on f	yes

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching		Inclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
							a previously normotensive woman who did not suffer from high blood pressure and proteinuria during pregnancy, and delivered a healthy neonate with a weight adequate for gestational age after 37 weeks of pregnancy.				
Hromadnikova 2012 (Hromadnikova et al., 2012) Czech Republic	Not clear (retrospective, cohort)	16 + 7 who later developed PE	50	NR	MPB (plasma)	NR	normal progression of pregnancy defined as those without medical, obstetric, or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full- term, singleton, healthy infants weighing >2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of gestation	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Ishibashi 2012 (Takizawa et al., 2012) China	Cross-sectional	8	10	Individual data for PE patients: 28, 28, 29, 31, 31, 32, 32, 36; NR for controls	placenta	NR	normal pregnancies	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Lazar 2012 (Lázár et al., 2012) Hungary	Not clear (prospective study)	31	28	29 (18–39) vs. 28 (20–41)	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive pregnant women	NR	no	NR	NR
Liu 2012*(Liu et al., 2012) China	Cross-sectional	11	16	NR	UC-MSCs placenta (decidua)	NR NR	women with normal pregnancy	NR	NR	NR	NR
Muralimanoharan 2012*(Muralimanoharan et al., 2012) United States	Cross-sectional	6	6	$32.6 \pm 3.6 \text{vs.} 28.6 \pm 2.6$	placenta (villi)	at the time of delivery	uncomplicated pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Wang 2012*(Wang et al., 2012a) United States	Cross-sectional	10	10	23 ± 1.2 vs. 23 ± 1.2	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive term	NR	NR	yes	NR
Wang 2012*(Wang et al., 2012b) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	30.81 ± 0.74 vs. 30.50 ± 0.76	placenta (decidua MSCs)	at the time of delivery	maternal age and gestational age at delivery matched normotensive controls	NR	NR	NR	yes
Wu 2012*(Wu et al., 2012) China	Case-control	10	9	$29.9 \pm 3.1 \text{ vs.} \ 30.4 \pm 1.3$	MPB (plasma)	NR	term matched normal pregnancies	Yes (no variable)	no	yes	NR
Zhang 2012 (Zhang et al., 2012) China	Case-control	30 Total 15 mPE 15 sPE	15	30.9 ± 4.1 (sPE) 31.6 ± 3.6 (mPE) vs. 29.7 ± 3.6	MPB (plasma)	NR	healthy pregnant controls who had had normal blood pressure with the absence of medical and obstetrical complications matched for age, gestational age, parity, and body mass index (BMI) at the time of blood sampling	Maternal age, gestational age, parity, and BMI at the time of sampling	NR	NR	yes
Anton* 2013 (Anton et al., 2013) United States	Case-control	40 (PE + GHTA)	33	25.5 ± 7.5 vs. 26.2 ± 6.7	MPB (serum)	before delivery (during 3rd trimester)	women without hypertension- related complications who presented for delivery at term (>37 gestational weeks)	NR	no	NR	NR
	Nested case-control	41 (PE + GHTA)	56	31.2 ± 7.5 vs. 29.7 ± 6.6	MPB (serum)	before delivery (15–20 gw)	randomly selected from the	NR	no	no	yes
Betoni 2013*(Betoni et al., 2013) United States	Case-control	16	12	26.0 ± 5.9 vs. 30.9 ± 5.8	placenta	NR	patients without PE matched for maternal age and ethnicity, as well as for type of delivery, gestational age, birth weight and sex of the child	Gestational age	no	no	yes
Choi 2013 (Choi et al., 2013)	Cross-sectional	11	10	31.0 ± 5.3 vs. 30.7 ± 3.9	placenta	NR	normotensive pregnancies	NR	no	NR	yes
onina							uncomplicated by proteinuria		(1	Continued on f	following page)

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	Inclusion crit	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age [°] PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
Fu, 2013*(Fu et al., 2013) China	Cross-sectional	15	22 term controls	30.8 ± 1.9 (preterm PE) 34.8 ± 2.2 (term PE) Vs. 32.0 ± 1.23 (preterm controls) 33.4 ± 0.7 (term controls)	placenta	at the time of delivery (36–40 gw)	normal pregnancies	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
	Cross-sectional	29 Total 13 preterm PE 16 term PE	44 Total 13 preterm controls 31 term controls	29.8 ± 0.7 (preterm PE) Vs. 29.5 ± 0.8 (preterm controls) 29.2 ± 1.0 (term PE) Vs. 31.7 ± 0.6 (term controls)	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (15- 18 gw and 36- 40 gw)	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Cross-sectional	37 Total 16 preterm PE 11 term PE	23 preterm controls 25 term controls	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery (25-35 gw and 36–40 gw)	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Guo 2013 (Guo et al., 2013) United States	Cross-sectional	16	29	NR	placenta	NR	control group	NR	no	NR	yes
Hromadnikova 2013 (Hromadnikova et al., 2013) Czech Republic	Cohort	63 Total 24 mPE 39 sPE 24 EOPE 39 LOPE	55	NR	MPB (plasma)	NR	pregnant women without complications who delivered full term, singleton, healthy infants weighting >2,500 g after 37 completed gw	Gestational age	NR	NR	no
Kumar 2013 (Kumar et al., 2013) China	Cross-sectional	8	8	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery	term gestation-matched normotensive pregnant women	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Li 2013 (Li et al., 2013a) China	Cross-sectional	4 mPE +4 sPE profiling study 16 mPE +22 sPE validation study	4 in profiling study 32 in validation study	34 (28–39) (sPE) 29 (23–36) (mPE) vs. 28 (26–30) in profiling study 33 (24–43) (sPE) 31 (26–39) (mPE) vs. 29 (25–36) in validation study	MPB (plasma)	before delivery	normal pregnancies, age, gestational week and gravidity matched with PE	Maternal age, gestational age, and gravidity	yes	NR	yes
Li 2013''(Li et al., 2013b) China	Cross-sectional	24	26	28.1 ± 1.3 vs. 28.7 ± 1.1	placenta (chorion)	at the time of delivery	normal term pregnancies without chronic hypertension, cardiovascular disease, renal disease, hepatitis, diabetes, any evidence of intrapartum infection or other pregnancy complications, such as fetal anomalies or chromosomal abcomalism	NR	no	NR	NR
Yan 2013 (Yan et al., 2013a) China	Case-control	12	12	31.4 ± 4.03 vs. 30.3 ± 3.67	placenta	NR	normotensive and nonproteinuric during pregnancy and delivered healthy infants of appropriate weight	Maternal age, BMI, and gestational age	NR	yes	yes
Campos 2014 (Campos et al., 2014) Brasil	Cross-sectional	19	14	26 ± 6 vs. 27 ± 6	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (at the time of clinical attendance)	healthy pregnant women	NR	no	yes	yes
Chen 2014 (Chen et al., 2014) China	Cross-sectional	20 Total 15 mPE 5 sPE	40	27 (24–34) vs. 25 (25–30)	placenta	NR	normal deliveries	NR	NR	NR	NR
Doridot 2014 (Doridot et al., 2014) France	Cross-sectional	5	8	NR	placenta	NR	Women who underwent Caesarean surgery without suffering any disease during pregnancy	NR	NR	NR	NR
Hong 2014 (Hong et al., 2014) China	Case-control	115	115	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery	gestational age matched normotensive pregnancies	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Lalevee 2014*(Lalevée et al., 2014) Switzerland		15	14	36.1 (22.6–44.5) vs. 33.3 (26.5–37.2)	placenta	at the time of delivery	controls	NR	no	NR	NR

matrix cont above matrix control matrix control <th>Author</th> <th>Study</th> <th>Sampl</th> <th>e size</th> <th>Maternal</th> <th>Sample</th> <th>Time</th> <th>Controls/Unexposed</th> <th>Matching</th> <th> </th> <th>Inclusion crit</th> <th>eria</th>	Author	Study	Sampl	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching		Inclusion crit	eria
Base- December Participation Particate Particate Particate	year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
L 2874 (L 43, 2014) Des sont of Des sont o		Not clear (prospective case-control study)										
U 2014 (U ard. 2014) O Ses sectional 19 2 27.64.2 vs. 22.2 t.4 planetic memory operationational sectional and control products of the sectional and control product products of t	Li 2014 (Li et al., 2014a) China	Case-control	13	26	29.58 ± 0.68 vs. 29.56 ± 0.48	Placenta (basal plate and chorionic plate)	at the time of delivery	gestation-week-matched pregnant healthy controls without renal disease, cardiovascular disease, transient hypertension in pregnancy, gestational diabetes melitus, hepatitis. Any evidence of spontaneous abortion, intrauterine fetal death, fetal chromosomal or other pregnancy complications were excluded from this study.	Gestational age	no	NR	yes
Lub 2014/Lub et al. 2010 Case-control 15 26 23.21.31%.31.61.20 paceral (accontrol MI) ethermator (worter)	Li 2014*(Li et al., 2014b) China	Cross-sectional	19	22	27.6 ± 4.2 vs. 28.2 ± 4.5	placenta	at the time of delivery	defined as previously and currently normotensive female during pregnancy who delivered a healthy neonate following 37 weeks of gestation	NR	NR	NR	yes
Luque 2014 (Luque et al., 2014) Spain. Una 2014 (Luque et al., 2014) Not deer 24 24 32.6 ± 6.6 vs.32.3 ± 5.6 MPB (serum) before delivery (11+ optimula utrotenuina utrotenuina (etrospective study) (retrospective study) (Luo 2014*(Luo et al., 2014) China	Case-control	15	26	29.3 ± 1.3 vs. 31.6 ± 0.9	placenta (chorionic vili) placenta (chorionic plate and basal plate)	after abortion/ elective termination (7–8 gw) at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women defined as gestation in a previously normotensive woman who did not suffer from any complications during pregnancy and who delivered a healthy neonate with a weight adequate for a gestational age of more than 37 weeks of morenary	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Ling 2014 (Jrag et al., 2014) Not dear 24 34.4 (33.0-36.8) vs. (MPB (serum) before delivery (12-14 gw) normal pregnancies NR no no no NR NR NR Variag 2014 (Variag et al., 2016) Not clear NR	Luque 2014 (Luque et al., 2014) Spain	Nested case-control	31	44	32.6 ± 6.6 vs. 32.3 ± 5.6	MPB (serum)	before delivery (11 + 0, 13 + 6 gw)	normotensive pregnancies without proteinuria	NR	no	no	yes
Wang 2014 (Wang et al., 2014) China Not clear NR	Ura 2014 (Ura et al., 2014) Italy	Not clear (retrospective study)	24	24	34.4 (33.0–36.8) vs. 33.7 (30.3–36.1)	MPB (serum)	before delivery (12-14 gw)	normal pregnancies	NR	no	no	NR
Weedon-Fekjaer 2014 Cross-sectional 49 Total 23 EOPE 26 23 NR placenta at the time of delivery uncomplicated pregnancies NR NR NR NR NR yes 2014) Vordeon-Fekjaer et al., 2014) Not clear 12 Total 7LOPE 5EOPE 19 43.7 ± 8.7 vs. 37.6 ± 5.1 MPB before delivery (1st trimester) delivery of a singleton normal NR NR <t< td=""><td>Wang 2014 (Wang et al., 2014) China</td><td>Not clear</td><td>NR</td><td>NR</td><td>NR</td><td>placenta</td><td>NR</td><td>normal pregnancies</td><td>NR</td><td>NR</td><td>NR</td><td>NR</td></t<>	Wang 2014 (Wang et al., 2014) China	Not clear	NR	NR	NR	placenta	NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Winger 2014 (Winger et al., 2014) United States Not clear 12 Total 7LOPE 5EOPE 19 43.7 ± 8.7 vs. 37.6 ± 5.1 MPB before delivery (1st delivery of a singleton normal NR	Weedon-Fekjaer 2014 (Weedon-Fekjær et al., 2014) Norway	Cross-sectional	49 Total 23 EOPE 26 LOPE	23	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery	uncomplicated pregnancies delivered at term (37-41 gw)	NR	NR	NR	yes
or derivery complications	Winger 2014 (Winger et al., 2014) United States	Not clear (retrospective study)	12 Total 7LOPE 5EOPE	19	43.7 ± 8.7 vs. 37.6 ± 5.1	MPB	before delivery (1st trimester)	delivery of a singleton normal karyotype baby with the following pregnancy criteria: (i) delivered at 37- to 40-weeks of gestation, (ii) birthweight of \gtrsim 6 lbs, (iii) normal maternal blood pressure throughout pregnancy or (iv) twin delivery with gestational age \ge 35 weeks with birthweights of \ge 5.1 lbs and (v) no other pregnancy or delivery competications	NR	NR	NR	NR
Case-control 14 33 NR Gestational age NR NR NR		Case-control	14	33	NR			or delivery complications	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR

Cirkovic et al.

Author	Study	Sampl	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching		nclusion crit	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensior
Xu 2014*(Xu et al., 2014) China	Case-control	20	20	NR	placenta (chorionic plate and basal plate) MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery before delivery (15–19 gw) at the time of delivery (35–39 gw)	gestational week matched normal pregnant women gestational week matched normal pregnant women	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Zhao 2014*(Zhao et al., 2014) China	Case-control	20	20	28.9 ± 1.2 vs. 29.2 ± 1.4	Placenta (decidual MSCs)	at the time of delivery	age matched normotensive controls	Maternal age	no	NR	yes
Zou 2014 (Zou et al., 2014) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	30.2 ± 5.7 vs. 30.6 ± 3.5	placenta	at the time of delivery (immediately after placental delivery)	onomal pregnant women defined as not having PE or any other complications (including maternal history of hypertension and/or renal disease, maternal infection, smoking, alcoholism, chemical dependency, and fetal congenital anomalies)	NR	yes	no	NR
Akehurst 2015*(Akehurst et al., 2015) Scotland	Not clear (prospective study)	18	18	31 ± 5.3 vs. 31 ± 5.4	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (16–18 aw)	matched for age, BMI, and parity	Maternal age, BMI, and parity	no	no	NR
	Case-control	19	19	29 ± 5.4 vs. 30 ± 4.6	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive individuals matched for age, BMI, and parity	Maternal age, BMI, and parity	no	no	NR
	Not clear	2	9 term	NR	myometrium	at the time of delivery	normotensive women	Maternal age, BMI, and parity	NR	NR	NR
Anton 2015 (Anton et al., 2015) United States	Case-control	31 Total 18 term PE 13 preterm PE	14	28.1 ± 7.7 (total) 28.3 ± 8.2 (term PE) 27.8 ± 7.3 (preterm PE) vs. 27.0 ± 7.2	placenta	at the time of delivery	women without hypertension- related complications that presented for delivery at term (37 nestational weeks)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chen 2015 (Chen et al., 2015) China	Cross-sectional	5	10	NR	placenta (decidua MSCs)	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ding 2015 (Ding et al., 2015) China	Case-control	18	21	28.44 ± 0.95 vs. 30.05 ± 0.72	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancy defined as patients with no history of hypertension or proteinuria during weeks 35–40 of pregnancy who delivered healthy neonates via Caesarean section	Maternal age, gestational age	yes	NR	yes
Hromadnikova 2015a (Hromadnikova et al., 2015a) Czech Republic	Cohort	80	20	33 (30–36) vs. 30 (26.5–33)	placenta	NR	without medical, obstetrical, or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full term, singleton healthy infants weighing >2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of eastation	NR	NR	NR	yes
Hromadnikova 2015b (Hromadnikova et al., 2015b) Czech Republic	Cohort	63	42	31.7 ± 5.0 vs. 30.6 ± 4.4	placenta	NR	those without medical, obstetrical, or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full-term, singleton healthy infants weighing >2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of gestation	NR	NR	NR	yes
Hu 2015 (Hu et al., 2015) China	Cross-sectional	24 Total 17 7	24 Total 17 7	27.42 ± 3.89 vs. 27.11 ± 3.18	umbilical cord vein UC-MSC	NR	normal pregnancies delivered after 34 weeks	NR	NR	NR	NR
	Cross-sectional	20	20	$28.1 \pm 4.8 \text{vs.} 26.3 \pm 5.2$	placenta	NR		NR	NR (NR Continued on t	yes following page)

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	nclusion crit	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensior
Jiang 2015 (Jiang et al., 2015) China							previously and currently normotensive pregnant female, who delivered a healthy neonate following 37 weeks of gestation				
Lasabova 2015*(Lasabová et al., 2015) Slovak Republic	Case-control	11	7	27.6 ± 4.9 vs. 26.6 ± 2.8	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive healthy singleton pregnancies with no history of cigarette smoking, diabetes autoimmune disease, or thrombophilia	NR	NR	NR	yes
Li 2015*(Ll et al., 2015) China	Case-control	60 Total 12 - 1st trimester 20 - 2nd trimester 28 - 3rd trimester	60 Total 12 - 1st trimester 20 - 2nd trimester 28 - 3rd trimester	28.7 ± 3.6 vs. 28.1 ± 3.8	MPB (serum)	before delivery (after ≥8 h fasting)	healthy pregnant women without complications	Maternal age at delivery within 1-year-old gap and gestational age of blood sampling	NR	NR	NR
Miura 2015 (Miura et al., 2015) Japan	Case-control	20 Total 6 sEOPE 14 sLOPE	20	31.9 ± 2.9 sEOPE 30.2 ± 4.4 sLOPE vs. 32.8 ± 4.0	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (27–34 gw)	Uncomplicated gestational age matched pregnant women	Gestational	NR	NR	NR
Murphy 2015 (Murphy et al., 2015) Canada	Cohort	13 Total 7 mPE 6 sPE	17	30.4 ± 7.3 (total) 32.4 ± 6.9 (mPE) 28.0 ± 7.6 (sPE) vs. 28.2 ± 4.1	MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery (peripartum) after delivery (1 year postpartum)	Uncomplicated pregnancies	Time	NR	NR	NR
Sun 2015 (Sun et al., 2015)	Cross-sectional	20	20	29 \pm 3.7 vs. 28.9 \pm 2.5	placenta	at the time of	Healthy pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Winger 2015 (Winger et al., 2015) United States	Cross-sectional	12 Total 7 LOPE 5 EOPE 5 preconceptional PEs 5 1st trimester	20 Total 11 pre- conception controls 9 Controls for 1st trimester	36.7 ± 3.5 (total) 37.7 ± 3.8 (LOPE) 35.2 ± 2.8 (EOPE) vs. 36.3 ± 4.7	MPB	before delivery (pre- conception and 1st trimester)	Healthy pregnant women in healthy pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Yang 2015 (Yang et al., 2015) China	Cross-sectional	4	1	PE patients' individual data mPE: 27, 26 sPE: 34, 28 vs. Controls NR	MPB (plasma) placenta	before delivery at the time of delivery	Pregnant women without complications	NR	NR	NR	NR
Zhang 2015*(Zhang et al., 2015) China	Cross-sectional	3	3	29.58 ± 0.68 vs.	placenta (basal plate and chorionic plate)	at the time of delivery	Normal pregnant controls without any complications	Yes (no variable)	NR	NR	NR
Hromadnikova 2016'(Hromadnikova et al., 2016) Czech Republic	Not clear (retrospective study from prospective cohort)	68 Total 32 mPE 36 sPE 24 EOPE 44 LOPE	20	33 (30–36) vs. 30 (26.5–33)	MPB (whole peripheral blood)	NR	Normal pregnancies defined as those without medical, obstetrical, or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full term, singleton healthy infants weighing >2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of gestation	NR	NR	NR	no
Hu 2016 (Hu et al., 2016) China	Cross-sectional	19	23	27.42 ± 3.89 vs. 27.11 ± 3.18	placenta	NR	healthy pregnant women at term	NR	no	NR	yes
Munaut 2016*(Munaut et al., 2016) Belgium	Not clear (retrospective study from prospective cohort)	23	44	29 (19–44) vs. 30 (19–38)	MPB (serum)	before delivery	pregnant women presenting, at 24 to <37 weeks' gestation, clinical suspicion of, but not manifesting preeclampsia/ eclampsia/HELLP syndrome	NR	no	no	no
Ospina-Prieto (Ospina-Prieto et al., 2016) 2016 Germany	Cross-sectional	11	13	27.0 ± 2.8 (total) 28.0 mean EOPE 26.4 mean LOPE vs. 29.5 \pm 5.8	placenta (villi)	at the time of delivery (immediately after delivery)	NR	Maternal age		yes	
Sandrim 2016a (Sandrim et al., 2016a) Brasil	Case-control	7	10	24 \pm 6 vs. 28 \pm 6	MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnancies matched for gestational age at sampling, maternal age, and BMI	Gestational age, maternal age, and BMI	NR	NR	NR
Sandrim 2016b (Sandrim	Case-control	19	14	26 ± 5 vs.	MPB (plasma)	before delivery	healthy pregnant women	NR	no	yes	yes
or al, 20100 / Brasi	Nested case-control	8	8	NR	MPB (plasma)		healthy pregnant women	NR	no ((yes Continued on f	NR following page)

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	nclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age" PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
Vashukova 2016 (Vashukova et al., 2016)	Cross-sectional	5	6	35.0 ± 2.4 vs. 29.3 ± 0.6	placenta	before delivery (35 + 1 and 35 + 5 gw) at the time of delivery	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	yes
Russia Wang 2016 (Wang et al., 2016a) United States	Cross-sectional	5	5	26 ± 5 (20–33) vs. 29 ± 7 (20–37)	Maternal subcutaneous fat tissue endothelial cells	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancies defined as pregnancy with blood pressure (<140/90 mm Hg), absence of proteinuria, and obstetrical and medical complications	NR	NR	yes	NR
Wang 2016 (Wang et al., 2016b) China	Case-control	34 Total 13 PE age 21–29 years 13 PE age >30 years 8 PE with complications (chronic HTA and GDM)	13	25.69 ± 1.31 vs. 29.08 ± 2.60	MPB	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	no	NR	NR
Yang 2016 (Yang et al., 2016) China	Cross-sectional	17	40	28.85 ± 2.02 vs. 28.96 ± 4.11	placenta (chorionic plate, basal plate) MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery NR	normal pregnant women	NR	no	NR	NR
Zhou 2016*(Zhou et al., 2016) China	Cross-sectional	31 Total 9 discovery set 22 validation set	29 Total 9 discovery set 20 validation set	Discovery set 32.1 ± 6.9 vs. 28.3 ± 1.4 Validation set 30.4 ± 4.7 vs. 30.5 ± 4.4	placenta (chorionic plate)	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Adel 2017*(Adel et al., 2017) Egypt	Cross-sectional	35 Total 25 mPE 10 sPE	35	24 (18–40) vs. 25 (19–35)	placenta (villi)	at the time of delivery	primigravid normotensive throughout gestation with no excess albumin in urine	NR	NR	NR	NR
Azizi 2017*(Azizi et al., 2017) Iran	Case-control	59	40	27.42 ± 6.7 vs. 23.78 ± 4.15	placenta (chorion)	at the time of delivery	gestational age-matched normotensive pregnancies	Gestational age	all nulliparous	NR	yes
Fang 2017 (Fang et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	12	12	NR	placenta (trophoblast cells)	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Gan 2017 (Gan et al., 2017) China	Case-control	20	20	28.95 ± 4.16 vs. 30.05 ± 4.22	MPB (serum) urine	before delivery before delivery	healthy pregnant women without complications were selected as the control based on similar maternal age at delivery and the similar weight at delivery	Maternal age and maternal weight at delivery	NR	NR	NR
Gao 2017 (Gao et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	26	18	30.8 ± 5.2 vs. 29.6 ± 4.6	MPB (plasma)placenta	before delivery (16, 20, 24, 30 gw) NR	normal pregnancies were defined as those without medical, obstetric or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full term, singleton healthy infants weighing >2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of gestation	NR	NR	NR	NR
Gunel 2017 (Gunel et al., 2017) Turkey	Case-control	18	18	NR	MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery	matched for age, gestational week, and gravidity healthy pregnancies 37-40 gw	Maternal age, gestational age, and gravidity	yes	NR	NR
Guo 2017 (Guo et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	29	26	32.14 ± 1.17 vs. 29.64 ± 1.00	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	no	yes
Han 2017 (Han et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	40	20	30.25 ± 5.16 vs. 29.74 ± 4.16	placenta	at the time of delivery	women in normal late pregnancy	NR	NR	yes	NR
Hromadnikova 2017 (Hromadnikova et al., 2017) Czech Republic	Not clear (retrospective study)	56 Total 15 mPE 41 sPE 19 EOPE 37 LOPE	44	33 (22–43) vs. 32 (20–39)	UC blood	NR	Normal pregnancies defined as those without medical, obstetrical, or surgical complications at the time of the study and who subsequently delivered full term, singleton healthy infants weighing	NR	no	NR	no

Cirkovic et al.

(Continued on following page)

Author	Study	Sampl	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	nclusion crit	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
							>2,500 g after 37 completed weeks of gestation				
Hu 2017 (Hu et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	19	23	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women at term	NR	NR	NR	NR
Huang 2017 (Zhang et al., 2017) China	Nested case-control	26	52	28.3 ± 3.8 vs. 28.1 ± 4.4	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (12–20 gw)	healthy pregnant women who had no relevant disease over the same period	gestational age and maternal age	no	no	yes
Jairajpuri 2017 (Jairajpuri et al., 2017) Kingdom of Bahrain	Cross-sectional	15	7	30 (25–38) (mPE) 34 (28–39) (sPE) vs. 29 (23–36)	MPB (plasma)	NR	no previous history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, hepatitis, kidney disease, diabetes, and any evidence of intrapartum infection or other complications of pregnancy such as fetal anomalies or chromosomal abnormalies	Maternal age and BMI	yes	NR	no
Jiang 2017 (Jiang et al., 2017) China	Case-control	19	19	31.3 ± 5.8 vs. 30.9 ± 5.6	MPB (serum)	1st trimester 10- 14 gw 2nd trimester 20-24 gw 3rd trimester 30-34 gw	healthy pregnant women without complications	maternal age (±1 year) at delivery and gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Jin 2017*(Jin et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	15	15	NR	Placenta MPB	NR NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Korkes 2017*(Korkes et al., 2017) United States	Cross-sectional	11	11	31.6 ± 1.63 vs. 34.36 ± 1.5	placenta	NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	yes
Li 2017a*(Li et al., 2017a) China	Cross-sectional	NR	NR	NR	placenta	at the time of delivery	Normal pregnancy without preeclampsia or any other complications	NR	NR	NR	NR
Li 2017b (Li et al., 2017b) China	Case-control	32 Total 24 (UC tissue) 8 (UC-MSCs)	30 Total 24 (UC tissue) 6 (UC-MSCs)	29.5 ± 0.9 vs. 28.9 ± 0.5 (UC tissue) 29.6 ± 0.2 vs. 28.7 ± 0.9 (UC- MCSs)	UC tissue UC-MSCs	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnancies who underwent Caesarean section	NR	no	NR	yes
Lu 2017 (Lu et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	84 Total 38 mPE 46 sPE	50	28.5 ± 1.6 (mPE) 29.2 ± 2.1 (sPE) vs. 28.6 ± 1.3	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancy	NR	NR	no	NR
Luo 2017 (Luo et al., 2017a) NR	Cross-sectional	16	16	NR	placenta	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Luo 2017 (Luo et al., 2017b) China	Case-control	23	15	30.6 ± 1.0 vs. 28.1 ± 0.9	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy women not having precelampsia or any other complications, such as maternal history of hypertension and/or renal or cardiac disease, maternal infection, multiple pregnancies, premature rupture of membranes or fetal anomalies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Meng 2017 (Meng et al., 2017) Inner Mongolia (China)	Cross-sectional	20	10	28.9 ± 0.15 vs. 28.3 ± 0.21	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancy	NR	all nulliparous	NR	NR
Nizyaeva 2017"(Nizyaeva et al., 2017) NR	Cross-sectional	10 Total 5 EOPE 5 LOPE	8 Total 4 preterm 4 full-term	23-40 for all respondents	Placenta (syncytiotrophoblast and syncytial knots)	NR	preterm controls - women without clinical manifestations of hypertensive disorders and without inflammatory diseases (no inflammatory inflittation was confirmed by results of histological analysis) term controls - uterine scar after the previously surgery, severe myopia, and anatomically narrow pelvis	NR	NR	NR	NR
					MPB (plasma exosomes)			Gestational age	NR (0	yes Continued on f	yes ollowing page)

Author	Study	Samp	le size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	Inclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age° PE vs. Controls (years)		ot sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
Salomon 2017 (Salomon et al., 2017) Chile	Not clear (retrospectively stratified case- control experimental design)	45 Total 15 11–14 gw 15 22–24 gw 15 32–36 gw	96 Total 32 11–14 gw 32 22–24 gw 32 32–36 gw	29 ± 1.6 (18–40) vs. 25 ± 1.2 (18–36)		11-14 gw 22-24 gw 32-36 gw	healthy subjects without pregnancy complications or chronic medical problems, and did not differ in racial origin from PE patients				
Shao 2017 (Shao et al., 2017) China	Case-control	24 Total sEOPE 10 sLOPE 14	43 10 Preterm controls 33 Term controls	29.8 ± 6.5 (total) 30.3 ± 6.2 (sEOPE) 28.8 ± 6.3 (sLOPE) vs. 29.2 ± 5.6 (preterm controls) 28.6 ± 4.7 (normal pregnancy)	placenta	at the time of delivery	Term controls - gestation in a previously healthy woman who did not experience any complications during pregnancy and who delivered a healthy neonate with a weight adequate for a gestational age of longer than 37 weeks Preterm controls - unexplained preterm labor defined as labor of unknown causes earlier than 34 weeks, but without any other diagnosable pregnancy problems	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Singh 2017 (Singh et al., 2017) United States	Cross-sectional	4	4	NR	placenta (chorionic villi)	before delivery (11–12 gw)	healthy pregnancies who delivered at term matched for gestational age at CVS (+/- 6 days), fetal sex, parity with PE women	Gestational age (+/- 6 days), fetal sex and parity	NR	yes	yes
Truong 2017 (Truong et al., 2017) United States	Case-control	6	6	32 ± 4.3 (28 ± 33) vs. 31 ± 2.9 (29 ± 35)	MPB (plasma exosomes)	before delivery (before 20 gw)	women without chronic medical conditions or obstetric complications	NR	NR	NR	yes
Tsai 2017 (Tsai et al., 2017) Taiwan	Case-control	31	60	33.83 ± 5.77 vs. 31.33 ± 4.31	MPB (plasma) fetal cord blood (plasma) placenta	before delivery - within hours to 2 days before delivery at the time of delivery	healthy controls	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Wang 2017 (Wang et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	25	25	20–35 for all respondents	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy controls	NR	NR	NR	yes
Wei 2017 (Wei et al., 2017) New Zealand	Cross-sectional	7	4	28.0 ± 5.78 vs. 32 ± 4.99	placenta (trophoblast debris)	at the time of delivery	normotensive term pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	yes
Xiao 2017 (Xiao et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	28.34 ± 4.12 vs. 28.81 ± 4.94	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnancies who underwent Caesarean section	NR	NR	NR	NR
Xu 2017 (Xu and Zhang, 2017) China	Cross-sectional	25	25	NR	placenta	NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Yang 2017*(Yang et al., 2017) China	Cross-sectional	60	20	NR	MPB (serum) placenta	at the time of delivery	subjects who were normotensive during pregnancy and who, both previously and presently, had delivered a healthy neonate after 37 weeks of gestation	NR	NR	NR	yes
Brkic 2018 (Brkić et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	15	15	36.67 ± 0.27 vs. 37.56 ± 0.2	Placenta (chorionic plate and basal plate)	at the time of delivery	previously normotensive women who did not suffer from complications during pregnancy and who delivered a healthy neonate with a weight adequate for a gestational age	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
	Case-control	9 Term PE	69 Total 13 1st trimester 9 2nd trimester 23 preterm control 24 term control	32 \pm 1.17 (term PE) vs. (preterm control) 33 \pm 0.76 (term control)	placenta (trophoblast cells)	at the time of delivery	1st and 2nd trimester - healthy patients undergoing elective termination of pregnancy Preterm controls – spontaneous preterm labor	NR	NR	NR	NR

Cirkovic et al.

(Continued on following page)

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	Inclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^ª PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
							section for fetal distress or vaginal delivery Term controls - vaginal delivery or elective Caesarean sections with Appropriate for Gestation Age bables				
Chi 2018 (Chi and Zhang, 2018) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	25–35 for all respondents	placenta (placental villi)	NR	age matched healthy controls	Maternal age	NR	NR	NR
Dai 2018 (Dai and Cai, 2018) China	Cross-sectional	63 Total 55 sEOPE 8 sLOPE	65	29.7 ± 4.2 vs. 30.8 ± 3.9	placenta (trophoblast cells)	NR	pregnancies free of any pregnancy complications that terminated between 34 and 40 gestational weeks	Maternal age, BMI and gestational age	no	NR	NR
Fang 2018 (Fang et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	50	50	$29.8 \pm 4.2 \text{ vs. } 30.5 \pm 3.2$	Placenta	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Gao et al., 2018a) China	Cross-sectional	42	42	30.12 ± 3.98 vs. 32.36 ± 4.87	Placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnancy	NR	NR	NR	NR
Gao et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	29	35	28.3 ± 4.2 vs. 27.2 ± 3.1	Placenta	at the time of delivery	pregnant women without PE or any other complications, such as premature rupture of membranes, fetal anomalies, maternal history of hypertension and/or renal or cardiac disease, maternal infection, or smoking	NR	NR	NR	NR
Gunel 2018 (Gunel et al., 2020) Turkey	Cross-sectional	10	10	30.7 ± 2.3 vs. 31.75 ± 3.92	MPB (plasma) placenta	just before delivery at the time of delivery	healthy women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Guo 2018 (Guo et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	$28.6 \pm 3.1 \text{vs.} 27.1 \pm 2.6$	MPB (plasma and serum)	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Khaliq 2018*(Khaliq et al., 2018) South Africa	Cross-sectional	28	32	Not clear	MPB (serum) placenta	NR at the time of delivery	normotensives with no obstetrical or medical complications	NR	NR	NR	yes
Kim 2018 (Kim et al., 2018) Republic of Korea	Cross-sectional	17	17	NR	MPB (serum)	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Li 2018*(Li et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	91 Total 40 mPE 51 sPE	67	$29.4 \pm 2.8 \text{ vs. } 28.4 \pm 3.5$	MPB (plasma) placenta	during the treatment at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	yes
Liu 2018 (Liu et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	18	20	30.3 ± 4.6 vs. 29.5 ± 4.3	Placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Lou 2018 (Lou et al., 2018) China	Case-control	28	34	NR	Placenta	at the time of delivery	age matched healthy controls	Maternal age	NR	NR	NR
Lykoudi 2018 (Lykoudi et al., 2018) Greece	Cross-sectional	16 Total 11 EOPE 5 LOPE	8	35.1 (28–45) EOPE 28.4 (20–35) LOPE vs. 35.7 (35–39)	Placenta	at the time of delivery	uncomplicated term pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Martinez-Fierro 2018 (Martinez-Fierro et al., 2018) Mexico	Nested case-control study	45 in total 6 at 12 gw 10 at 16 gw 14 at 20 gw 15 at the time of diagnosis	18	23.5 ± 5.1 vs. 23.4 ± 5.8	MPB (serum)	before delivery (12th, 16th and/or 20th gw) at enrolment and PE patients at the time of diagnosis	matched healthy pregnancies without complications (normotensive controls)	NR	no	yes	yes
Motawi 2018 (Motawi et al., 2018) Egypt	Case-control	100 Total 23 EOPE 77 LOPE	100 Total 20 early pregnancy controls 80 late pregnancy controls	28.77 ± 5.72 vs. 28.06 ± 5.65	MPB (plasma exosomes)	NR	uncomplicated pregnancy: (1) gestational age at venipuncture between 20 – 42 weeks; (2) no medical, obstetrical, or surgical complications; (3) absence of labor at the time of venipuncture; and (4) delivery of a normal term (>37 weeks)	Maternal age	NR	NR	yes

(Continued on following page)

MicroRNA in Preeclamptic Women

Cirkovic et al.

design	n PE	n Controls	age"		of sampling			A11	All	
		PE vs. Controls (years)					primiparas	smokers	No chronic hypertensio	
						neonate whose birth weight was between the 10th and 90th percentile for gestational age. Divided into early (<20 gw) and late (>20 gw) pregnancy control groups				
Cross-sectional	25	20	27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 3.2	Placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	yes	yes
Cross-sectional	22 Total 12 EOPE 10 LOPE	15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normal	NR	placenta (syncytiotrophoblast) endothelium	at the time of delivery	Late normal pregnancies defined as women with physiological course of pregnancy and full-term gestational age. Early normal pregnancies defined as women with preterm operative delivery at 26–31 gw	NR	NB	NR	NR
Case-control	10	10	29.11 ± 5.01 vs.	MPB (serum exosomes)	before delivery (prior	gestational age-matched	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Cohort	28 Total 16 EOPE 2 moderate EOPE 14 severe EOPE 12 LOPE 11 moderate LOPE 1 severe LOPE	26 Total 16 full term 10 indicated for Caesarean	NR	Placenta MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery	women with full term physiological pregnancy (37–40 gw) and pregnant women with an indication for an emergency Caesarean section due to the lack of prolonging the pregnancy because of cervical insufficiency, placental abruption, or premature rupture of the fetal membrane without clinical manifestations of PE	NR	NR	NR	NR
Cohort	6 sEOPE	10	NR	MPB (plasma exosomes)	before delivery (11- 13 gw, 24–26 gw and 30–32 gw)	women with physiological pregnancy	NR	NR	NR	NR
Cross-sectional	20	20	$29.7 \pm 2.4 \text{ vs.} 28.6 \pm 3.2$	Placenta	at the time of delivery	pregnant women with normal term pregnancy (without PE or other complications)	NR	NR	NR	NR
Cross-sectional	9	8	34.8 ± 1.4 vs. 34.3 ± 2.2	MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery	preterm labor control defined an uniparous gestation in a previously normotensive woman who did not exhibit any gestational complication and delivered a healthy newborn of gestational age before 37 weeks of pregnancy	Gestational age	no	NR	yes
Case-control	10	10	31.3 ± 4.84 vs. 30.5 ± 4.37	Placenta	NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Case-control	16 Total 8 EOPE 8 LOPE	48 Total 8 term controls 7 at 10–11 gw 8 at 14.3–17.8 gw 8 preterm controls	NR	Placenta	at the time of delivery	Term controls defined as uncomplicated singleton pregnancies delivering at term (38.2–40.4 weeks gestation) by elective Caesarean section in the absence of labor. Women treated with non-steroidal anti- inflammatory drugs or who had a history of infection, chorioamnionitis, PE, or who	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
	Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Case-control Cohort Cohort Cross-sectional Cross-sectional Case-control Case-control	Cross-sectional25Cross-sectional22 Total 12 EOPE 10LOPELOPECase-control10Cohort28 Total 16 EOPE 14severe EOPE 12 LOPE11 moderate EOPE 14severe EOPE 12 LOPE11 moderate LOPE 1cohort6 sEOPECohort20Cross-sectional9Case-control10	Cross-sectional2520Cross-sectional22 Total 12 EOPE 10 LOPE15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normalCase-control1010Cohort28 Total 16 EOPE 2 moderate EOPE 12 LOPE 11 moderate LOPE 1 severe EOPE 12 LOPE 11 moderate LOPE 1 severe LOPE26 Total 16 full term 10 indicated for CasesreanCohort6 sEOPE10Cohort2020Cross-sectional98Case-control1010Case-control <td< td=""><td>Controls (gens)Cross-sectional252027.9±2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 3.2Cross-sectional22 Total 12 EOPE 10 LOPE15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normalNRCase-control101029.11 ± 5.01 vs. 27.55 ± 3.21Cohort28 Total 16 EOPE 2 moderate EOPE 12 LOPE 11 moderate LOPE 1 severe EOPE26 Total 16 full term CasereanNRCohort6 sEOPE10NRCohort6 sEOPE10NRCohort6 sEOPE2029.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2Cohort6 sEOPE10NRCoss-sectional9834.8 ± 1.4 vs. 34.3 ± 2.2Case-control101031.3 ± 4.84 vs. 30.5 ± 4.37Case-control101031.3 ± 4.84 vs. 30.5 ± 4.37NR10.11 gw 8 at 14.3-17.8 gw 8 preterm controlsNR</td><td>Cross-sectional252027.9±2.9vs.28.1±3.2PacentaCross-sectional22 Total 12 EOFE 10 LOPE15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normalNR(picenta (picenta dottelum)Case-control1010 29.11±5.01 vs. 27.56±3.21MPB (serum exceonnes) 27.56±3.21Cohort28 Total 16 EOFE 2 moderate EOPE 14 LOPE 11 moderate EOPE 14 19 noderate EOPE 14 19 server LOPE20 Total 16 ful term 10 indicated for Case-controlMPB (plasma)Cohort6 aEOPE10NRMPB (plasma)Cohort6 aEOPE10NRMPB (plasma)Cohort9834.8±1.4 vs. 34.3±2.2PlacentaCons-sectional101031.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37PlacentaCase-control101031.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37PlacentaCase-control16 Total BEOPE BLOPE 11 -31.74 gw 8 at 14.3-17.8 gw 8 preterm controls31.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37Placenta</td><td>Cross-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 3.2 Pacenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 22 Total 12 EOPE 10 15 Total 10 late NR placenta glacenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 22 Total 12 EOPE 10 15 Total 10 late NR placenta glacenta delivery Cross-sectional 10 10 29.11 ± 5.01 vs. MPB (serum exosomes) before delivery (prior to treatment) Cross-sectional 20 26 Total 16 full rem 75 5 ± 3.21 NR MPB (glasma) the time of delivery (prior to treatment) Cross-sectional 10 10 restarted for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 12 PE NR MPB (plasma exosomes) before delivery (11-11 rem of delivery) Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 Placenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma exosomes) before delivery (11-11 rem of delivery) Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma) at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma) at the time of delivery C</td><td>Consistential 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay rescale whole bith weight promote for graphical bits (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay at the time of delay heatby programs/ table (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay at the time of delay at the time of delay heatby programs/ table (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 10 10 27.0 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.0 vs. 27.0 ± 9.21 ± 6.01 vs. 27.0 ± 9.21 ± 6.01 vs. 27.0 ± 9.21</br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td><td>Constantional product includes (2) 25 20 27.3 ± 2 8 to 28 ± ± 8.2 (2) Pearta and the time of singlifyting policies (2) Product includes bit weight (2) or graphing (2) Pearta and the time of singlifyting policies (2) Pearta at is the time of singlifyting policies (2) Pearta P</td><td>Consecution2507.9 ± 2.9 ± 3.2 ± 3.4 ± 4.4 ±Paroniaat the time of discreting graphical systemProvide violation of the weight with the discreting graphical systemParonia<th< td=""><td>Order-outform Solutions Solutions Solutions Solutions NM MM MM<!--</td--></td></th<></td></td<>	Controls (gens)Cross-sectional252027.9±2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 3.2Cross-sectional22 Total 12 EOPE 10 LOPE15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normalNRCase-control101029.11 ± 5.01 vs. 27.55 ± 3.21Cohort28 Total 16 EOPE 2 moderate EOPE 12 LOPE 11 moderate LOPE 1 severe EOPE26 Total 16 full term CasereanNRCohort6 sEOPE10NRCohort6 sEOPE10NRCohort6 sEOPE2029.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2Cohort6 sEOPE10NRCoss-sectional9834.8 ± 1.4 vs. 34.3 ± 2.2Case-control101031.3 ± 4.84 vs. 30.5 ± 4.37Case-control101031.3 ± 4.84 vs. 30.5 ± 4.37NR10.11 gw 8 at 14.3-17.8 gw 8 preterm controlsNR	Cross-sectional252027.9±2.9vs.28.1±3.2PacentaCross-sectional22 Total 12 EOFE 10 LOPE15 Total 10 late normal 5 early normalNR(picenta (picenta dottelum)Case-control1010 29.11±5.01 vs. 27.56±3.21MPB (serum exceonnes) 27.56±3.21Cohort28 Total 16 EOFE 2 moderate EOPE 14 LOPE 11 moderate EOPE 14 19 noderate EOPE 14 19 server LOPE20 Total 16 ful term 10 indicated for Case-controlMPB (plasma)Cohort6 aEOPE10NRMPB (plasma)Cohort6 aEOPE10NRMPB (plasma)Cohort9834.8±1.4 vs. 34.3±2.2PlacentaCons-sectional101031.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37PlacentaCase-control101031.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37PlacentaCase-control16 Total BEOPE BLOPE 11 -31.74 gw 8 at 14.3-17.8 gw 8 preterm controls31.3±4.84 vs. 30.5±4.37Placenta	Cross-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 3.2 Pacenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 22 Total 12 EOPE 10 15 Total 10 late NR placenta glacenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 22 Total 12 EOPE 10 15 Total 10 late NR placenta glacenta delivery Cross-sectional 10 10 29.11 ± 5.01 vs. MPB (serum exosomes) before delivery (prior to treatment) Cross-sectional 20 26 Total 16 full rem 75 5 ± 3.21 NR MPB (glasma) the time of delivery (prior to treatment) Cross-sectional 10 10 restarted for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 10 indicated for assessment 12 PE NR MPB (plasma exosomes) before delivery (11-11 rem of delivery) Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 Placenta at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma exosomes) before delivery (11-11 rem of delivery) Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma) at the time of delivery Cross-sectional 20 20 29.7 ± 2.4 vs. 28.6 ± 3.2 MPB (plasma) at the time of delivery C	Consistential 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay rescale whole bith weight promote for graphical bits (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay at the time of delay heatby programs/ table (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 25 20 27.9 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.2 Patentia at the time of delay at the time of delay at the time of delay heatby programs/ table (-20.9 µ) regrances Coase-sectional 10 10 27.0 ± 2.9 vs. 28.1 ± 8.0 vs. 27.0 ± 9.21 ± 6.01 vs. 	Constantional product includes (2) 25 20 27.3 ± 2 8 to 28 ± ± 8.2 (2) Pearta and the time of singlifyting policies (2) Product includes bit weight (2) or graphing (2) Pearta and the time of singlifyting policies (2) Pearta at is the time of singlifyting policies (2) Pearta P	Consecution2507.9 ± 2.9 ± 3.2 ± 3.4 ± 4.4 ±Paroniaat the time of discreting graphical systemProvide violation of the weight with the discreting graphical systemParonia <th< td=""><td>Order-outform Solutions Solutions Solutions Solutions NM MM MM<!--</td--></td></th<>	Order-outform Solutions Solutions Solutions Solutions NM MM MM </td

Author	Study	Samp	le size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	nclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensior
							elective terminations of pregnancy at 10–11 gw or 14.3–17.8 gw. Preterm controls defined as equivalent gestational age women who delivered preterm (at 31.6–35.1 gestational weeks) after spontaneous labor/rupture of membranes and vaginal delivery with no evidence of hypertension				
Winger 2018 (Winger et al., 2018) United States	Not clear (retrospective study)	4	20	30.9 ± 8.8 vs. 33.3 ± 6.5	MPB (buffy coat)	before delivery (11–13 gw)	Normal delivery defined as the delivery of a singleton, normal karyotype baby with the following pregnancy criteria: delivery at 38 ± 42 weeks gestation, baby weight within the normal range for gestational age and maternal BMI <30	NR	NR	NR	NR
Zou 2018 (Zou et al., 2018) China	Cross-sectional	15	18	NR	placenta (basal plate)	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Awamleh 2019 (Awamleh et al., 2019) Canada	Case-control	19	20	28.6 ± 7.0 vs. 28.2 ± 5.0	placenta (villi)	at the time of delivery	gestational age- matched patients with preterm labor and no other complications before 34 weeks of gestation	Gestational age	NR	NR	yes
Biro 2019"(Biró et al., 2019) Hungary	Cross-sectional	21 Total 8 13	15 Total 8 7	33.43 ± 6.48 vs. 31.25 ± 5.80	MPB (plasma) placenta	before delivery (3rd trimester) at the time of delivery	normotensive group with the exclusion of women with history of pregnancy-related or other forms of hypertension, spontaneous abortion, preterm birth, and intrauterine growth restriction	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chen 2019*(Chen et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	29	27	31 ± 7 vs. 26 ± 6	Placenta	at the time of delivery	pregnant women with normal uncomplicated pregnancies (>36 weeks of gestation)	NR	no	NR	yes
Devor 2019 (Devor et al., 2020) United States	Case-control	4	5	35.8 ± 2.8 vs. 29.2 ± 2.1	MPB (plasma exosomes)	before delivery (in each trimester)	matched healthy controls who underwent a normal spontaneous varinal delivery	Yes (no variable)	NR	NR	NR
Dong 2019 [•] (Dong et al., 2019) China	Case-control	40 Total 20 EOPE 20 LOPE	40 Total 20 early control 20 late control	$\begin{array}{l} 29.10 \pm 6.03 \; (\text{EOPE}) \\ 29.15 \pm 5.13 \; (\text{LOPE}) \; \text{vs.} \\ 29.6 \pm 4.88 \; (\text{early} \\ \text{controls}) \; 30.05 \pm 4.91 \\ (\text{late controls}) \end{array}$	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (prior to any surgery) at the time of delivery (for PE patients)	Early controls defined as 20-34 gestational week normal pregnant women who underwent routine outpatient antenatal examinations and did not develop preeclampsia. Late controls defined as 34-41 gestational week normal pregnant women who underwent routine outpatient antenatal examinations and did not develop preeclampsia	Gestational age	no	yes	yes
Eghbal-Fard 2019 (Eghbal-Fard et al., 2019)	Case-control	50	50	33.2 ± 5.1 vs. 31.8 ± 3.4	MPB (mononuclear cells)	before delivery	healthy gestational matched pregnant women	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Hocaoglu 2019*(Hocaoglu et al., 2019) Turkey	Case-control	23 Total 6 mEOPE 6 sEOPE 5 mLOPE 6 sLOPE	28	29.8 ± 5.9 (Total) vs. 28.1 ± 5.8	MPB (leukocytes)	before delivery	no obstetrical or medical complications whose gestational weeks were matched	Gestational age	no	no	yes
			89		MPB		normal gestation	NR	no (C	no Continued on f	NR following page)

Author	Study	Sampl	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	Inclusion criteria		
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
Hromadnikova 2019a*(Hromadnikova et al., 2019b) Czech Republic	Not clear (cohort case-control study)	101 Total 24 mPE 77 sPE		32 (21–44) at delivery 38 (28–52) at follow-up vs. 32 (25–43) at delivery 38 (29–50) at follow-up		after delivery (3–11 years postpartum)					
Hromadnikova 2019b (Hromadnikova et al., 2019a) Czech Republic	Nested case-control	43 Total 13 mPE 30 sPE 10 EOPE 33 LOPE	102 Total 50 control 1 52 control 2	32.34 ± 0.73 Total vs. 31.88 ± 0.56 (control 1) 31.21 ± 0.56 (control 2)	MPB (plasma exosomes)	before delivery (10–13 gw)	normal pregnancies without complications delivering full term, healthy infants after 37 weeks of gestation weighting >2,500 g, were selected for equal gestational age, equal age of women at the time of sampling and equal plasma sample storage times	NR	no	NR	NR
Hu 2019 (Hu et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	25	25	29.24 ± 4.05 vs. 28.04 ± 3.09	Placenta	NR	normal pregnancy	NR	NR	NR	NR
Huang 2019 (Huang et al.,	Cross-sectional	20	20	29.6 (5.8) vs. 31.3 (4.6)	Placenta	at the time of	normotensive pregnant women	NR	yes	NR	NR
Li 2019 (Li et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	10	10	27.92 ± 3.94 (23–34) vs.	Placenta	at the time of	healthy controls	NR	NR	NR	NR
Liu 2019 (Liu et al., 2019a) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	28.00 ± 3.54 (22–34) NR	Placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Liu 2019 (Liu et al., 2019b) China	Cross-sectional	39	42	NR	Placenta	NR	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Liu 2019 (Liu et al., 2019c) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	27.07 ± 2.53 vs. 28.67 + 2.78	Placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	yes
Ma 2019 (Ma et al., 2019) China	Not clear (prospective study)	89	70	27.25 vs. 26.81	MPB (serum)	before delivery (20 gw)	pregnant women with no evident anomalies detected during physical examinations	NR	NR	no	yes
Martinez-Fierro 2019 (Martinez-Fierro et al., 2019) Mexico	Nested case-control	30 Total 6 12 gw 10 16 gw 14 20 gw	18	23.5 ± 5.1 vs. 23.4 ± 5.8	MPB (serum)	before delivery (at the time of PE diagnosis, and at the 12th, 16th and/ or 20th gw)	healthy pregnancies without complications matched by age, nulliparity, body mass index (BMI), and a personal and family history of PE	Maternal age, nulliparity, BMI and personal and family history of PE	no	yes	NR
Mei 2019 (Mei et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	NR	Placenta	at the time of delivery	normal pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nejad 2019 (Nejad et al., 2019) Iran	Case-control	20	20	29 ± 1.1 vs. 28 ± 0.92	MPB (plasma)	NR	healthy controls matched for BMI (body mass index, 29–39 kg/m2), ethnicity (Iranian), smoking (non-smoker)	BMI (29–39 kg/m ²), ethnicity (Iranian), smoking (non-smoker)	NR	yes	yes
Pillay 2019 (Pillay et al., 2019) South Africa	Case-control	30 Total 15 EOPE 15 LOPE	15 Preterm controls (≤33 gw) 15 Term controls (≥34 gw)	25.25 ± 5.13 (EOPE) 27.11 ± 5.23 (LOPE) vs. 28.43 ± 2.23 (≤33 gw) 26.12 ± 3.62 (>34 gw)	MPB (plasma exosomes)	before delivery (at the time of clinical diagnosis of PE)	Gestationally matched normotensive pregnant woman (blood pressure of 120 \pm 10/ 80 \pm 5 (systolic/diastolic mm Hg) with absent proteinuria as detected by a rapid urine dinstrick test)	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Sekar 2019 (Sekar et al., 2019) India	Cross-sectional	NR	NR	NR	MPB	NR	Normotensives	NR	NR	NR	NR
Shi 2019 (Shi et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	15	15	29.5 ± 2.8 vs. 28.3 ± 3.7	placenta	at the time of delivery	Normal-term pregnancies without PE or any other complications	NR	NR	NR	NR
Tang 2019 (Tang et al., 2019) China	Case-control	30	30	27.8 (24.5–31.0) vs. 27.3 (25.0–28.0)	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women with	Gestational age	no	yes	yes
Wang 2019 (Wang et al., 2019a) Taiwan	Case-control	33	55	34.02 ± 5.57 vs. 31.33 ± 4.31	MPB (plasma)	before delivery (prepartum after hospital admittance for delivery)	healthy controls	NR	no	NR	NR
	Cross-sectional	20	20		Placenta MPB (serum)	to: doivery	normal controls	NR	NR (0	NR Continued on f	NR following page)

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching		Inclusion crit	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
Wang 2019 (Wang et al.,				Individual data 29.00 ±		at the time of					
2019b) China Wang 2019 (Wang et al., 2019e) China	Cross-sectional	42	39	3.82 vs. 27.50 ± 3.35 28.9 ± 2.1 vs. 29.1 ± 1.9	Placenta MPB (serum	delivery NR	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Wang 2019*(Wang et al., 2019c) China	Case-control	17	17	28.1 \pm 0.8 vs. 29.7 \pm 1.2	placenta	at the time of delivery	normotensive healthy nulliparous and nonproteinuric during pregnancy matched for ane and BMI	Maternal age and BMI	NR	yes	NR
Wang 2019 (Wang et al., 2019d) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	28.2 ± 3.2 vs. 28.9 ± 3.0	placenta	at the time of deliverv	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	yes
Xiaobo 2019 (Xiaobo et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	15 Total 10 EOPE 5 LOPE	15	30.2 ± 5.4 vs. 29.3 ± 4.7	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy pregnant women	NR	NR	NR	yes
Xie 2019 (Xie et al., 2019) Chin	Cross-sectional	57	57	27.12 ± 4.11 vs. 26.37 ± 3.29	placenta	NR	healthy patients	NR	NR	NR	NR
Xue 2019 (Xue et al., 2019) China	Case-control	20	20	28.55 ± 0.63 vs. 27.00 ± 0.68	Placenta MPB (serum)	at the time of delivery NR	women without renal disease, cardiovascular disease, transient hypertension in pregnancy, gestational diabetes melitus, hepatitis, any evidence of spontaneous abortion, intrauterine fetal death, fetal chromosomal or other pregnancy complications	Maternal age and gestational age	no	NR	NR
Yang 2019*(Yang et al., 2019a) China	Cross-sectional	57 Total preterm PE 12 term PE 14 31 plasma	32 Total preterm age matched control 11 term age matched control 12 9 plasma	31.57 ± 2.98 vs. 32.83 ± 3.19	Placenta MPB (plasma)	at the time of delivery	Early trimester controls – patients undergoing terminated pregnancies through dilation and curettage procedure	Maternal age	no	NR	NR
Yang 2019a (Yang and Guo, 2019) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	28.63 ± 2.24 vs. 28.83 ± 2.42	placenta	at the time of delivery	control group	NR	NR	NR	yes (essential HTA)
Yang 2019b (Yang and Meng, 2019) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	27.80 ± 2.10 vs. 28.20 ± 1.50	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal group	NR	NR	yes	yes
Yang 2019a (Yang et al., 2019b) China	Cross-sectional	40	40	30.5 ± 5.3 vs. 30.9 ± 4.6	placenta	at the time of delivery	healthy controls	NR	NR	NR	NR
Yang 2019b (Yang et al., 2019c) China	Cross-sectional	57	70	73.8 ± 3.3 vs. 67.2 ± 2.6	placenta	at the time of delivery	normal controls	NR	yes	NR	yes
Youssef 2019*(Youssef and Marei, 2019) Egypt	Cross-sectional	30 Total mPE 12 sPE 18	20	31.77 ± 3.16 vs. 29.75 ± 4.24	MPB (serum)	before delivery	healthy pregnant women without any pregnancy complications who came for delivery between 38 and 40 weeks of gestation	NR	no	NR	yes
Zhong 2019 (Zhong et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	3	3	NR	MPB (plasma)	before delivery	normal pregnancies	NR	NR	NR	NR
Ayoub 2019* (Ayoub et al., 2019) Egypt	Cross-sectional	80	80	30.5 (21–41) vs. 32 (19.42)	MPB (serum)	At the time of diagnosis of PE	Normal pregnancies	No	NR	Yes	Yes
Cao 2019 (Cao et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	25	28	29.78 ± 5.25 vs. 30.45 ± 4.62	Placenta MPB (plasma)	NR	Normal pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Demirer 2019 (Demirer et al., 2020) Turkey	Not clear (prospective study)	96 total 48 EOPE 48 LOPE	23 + 3 early stage 3 late stage	30.12 ± 5.7 Total 31.0 ± 5.5 EOPE 29.4 ± 5.8 LOPE	MPB	Before delivery	Healthy pregnant women with no obstetrical or medical complications	No	No	No	Yes
Lip 2019 (Lip et al., 2020) Netherlands	Cross-sectional	10 EOPE	10	31.5 ± 5.7 vs. 28.0 ± 4.4	MPB (plasma)	At the time of PE diagnosis	Healthy pregnant women	Gestational age at sampling	NR	No	Yes
Lv 2019 (Lv et al., 2019) China	Cross-sectional	18	18	32.94 ± 4.64 vs. 31.06 ± 4.02	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal singleton pregnant women by Caesarean	No	NR	NR	Yes
Qian 2019 (Qian and Liu, 2019) China	Cross-sectional	16	16	29.3 ± 2.5 vs. 28.4 ± 3.1	Placenta (villi)	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women	No	NR	NR	NR
Xu 2019 (Xu et al., 2019) United States	Cross-sectional	6	6	29 \pm 6 vs. 29 \pm 7	Maternal subcutaneous adipose tissue	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women	No	NR	Yes	NR
	Case-control	30	30		Placenta		Normal full term pregnancy	No	Yes (I	Yes Continued on f	Yes ollowing page)

Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology | www.frontiersin.org

Author	Study	Samp	ole size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	I	nclusion crite	eria
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
Yang 2019 (Yang and Meng,				28.30 ± 2.07 vs.		At the time of					
2020) China Yuan 2019* (Yuan et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	29.00 ± 1.55 27.8 ± 2.8 vs. 26.52 ± 4.9	Placenta	delivery At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Zhang 2019 (Zhang et al., 2019b) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	28.36 ± 4.78 vs. 24.34 + 2.87	MPB (serum)	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Akgor 2020* (Akgör et al., 2021) Turkey	Cross-sectional	31	32	29.9 ± 6.66 vs. 29.47 ± 6.33	MPB (plasma)	Before delivery	Term-matched healthy pregnancies	Gestational age, BMI, additional comorbities, parities, age	No	NR	NR
Devor 2020 (Devor et al., 2020) United States	Case-control	4 LOPE	5	35.8 ± 2.8 vs. 29.2 ± 2.1	MPB (plasma)	Before delivery (1st trimester -before 13 GW 2nd trimester -13- 26 GW 3rd trimester -26- 40 GW0	Matched healthy controls	Mmaternal age, BMI	NR	NR	Yes
Dong 2020*(Dong et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	31.7 ± 3.2 vs. 29.7 ± 2.3	MPB Placenta	Before delivery At	Women without PE	No	NR	NR	Yes
Fan 2020* (Fan et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	25	25	27.92 ± 2.81 vs. 26.84 ± 2.30	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women without any other complications, such as premature rupture of membranes, fetal anomalies, maternal history of hypertension and/or renal or cardiac disease, maternal infection, or smoking	No	NR	Yes	Yes
Gong 2020 (Gong et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	8	8	31 ± 4.3 vs. 30 ± 4.5	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnancies	No	NR	NR	Yes
Han 2020 (Han et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	60 Total 30 severe EOPE 30 mild EOPE 20 PE	30 20	31.56 ± 4.76 Severe EOPE vs. 30.34 ± 4.28 Mild EOPE 31.18 ± 4.16 vs. 30.86 ± 4.72	MPB (serum) UCB Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies	Gestational age, maternal age	NR	Yes	Yes
Huang 2020 (Huang et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	46 sPE	57	$29.6 \pm 3.9 \text{vs.} 28.5 \pm 4.1$	Placenta	At the time of delivery	pregnant women without any pregnancy complications (34–40 gestational weeks)	Gestational age, BMI, maternal age	NR	NR	Yes
Jelena 2020 (Jelena et al., 2020) Serbia	Case-control	19	17	34 (20–51) vs. 32 (22–40)	MPB (plasma)	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	No	Yes
Kim 2020 (Kim et al., 2020) South Korea	Case-control	92	92	32.73 ± 0.54 vs. 31.49 ± 0.50	MPB (serum)	Before delivery	Normotensive pregnant women selected at random	No	NR	NR	Yes
Li W 2020 (Li et al., 2020d) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy	No	NR	NR	NR
Li T 2020* (Li et al., 2020c) China	Case-control	30 sPE	20	25.45 ± 3.03 vs. 25.27 ± 3.19	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	yes	NR	Yes
Li Q 2020 (Li et al., 2020b) China	Nested case-control	15	29	31.13 ± 1.24 vs. 30.62 ± 0.72	MPB (plasma) Placenta	Before delivery (between 12 + 0 and 13 + 6 GW) At the time of delivery	Gestational age matched healthy pregnancies without any other complications during pregnancy	Gestational age	NR	NR	Yes
Li H 2020 (Li et al., 2020a) China	Cross-sectional	24	24	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy Pregnancies	No	NR	NR	Yes
Licini 2020 (Licini et al., 2021)li Russia	Nested case-control	13 10	18 20	33 (31; 34) 36.9 ± 5.25 vs. 30.2 ± 7.59 1st trimester 32.6 ± 4.05 3rd trimester	MPB (plasma) Placenta	Before delivery (12th GW) At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women (normal uterine and umbilical Doppler flow velocimetry during gestation and where the foetus was appropriate for the gestational age (newborns _10th _90th percentile for gender and gestational age	Gestational age	NR	No	Yes

(Continued on following page)

year*									-		
Country	uesign	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio
							according to Italian charts) Voluntary terminations in the 1st trimester, and healthy term prgnancies				
Ma 2020 (Ma et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	36	30	NR	Placental monocytes MPB (serum exosomes)	NR	Normal pregnant volunteers	No	NR	NR	NR
Mavreli 2020 (Mavreli et al., 2020) Greece	Case-control	17 LOPE 5 for NGS 12 for qRT-PCR	17 5 for NGS 12 for qRT-PCR	31.81 (21.2–39.50) vs. 33.19 (26.75–41.27)	MPB (plasma)	Before delivery (1st trimester)	Uncomplicated pregnancies delivered at 38–42 GW, chromosomally normal baby weighing within the normal range for gestational age, matched for maternal age, gestational age and duration of storace of olasma samoles	Maternal age, gestational age, duration of storage plasma samples	NR	No	Yes
Sheng 2020 (Sheng et al., 2020) China	Case-control	200	200	31.19 ± 4.84 vs. 31.02 + 4.26	MPB (plasma)	NR	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	Yes	Yes
Song 2020 (Song et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	24	24	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	NR	NR
Tao 2020 (Tao et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	35	35	28.31 ± 2.86 vs. 28.66 ± 3.0	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Wang 2020 (Wang et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	24	24	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Whigham 2020 (Whigham et al., 2020) Australia	Case-control	34 PE 36 GW 43 PE 28 GW 32 sEOPE 34 LOPE	196 Controls 36 GW 91 Controls 28 GW 22 gestation matched preterm 12 gestation matched term	28 GW 31 (36–34) vs. 32 (29–34.8) 36 GW 31 (28–33) vs. 31 (26.5–36.3)	MPB (whole blood) Placenta	Before delivery (28 GW) At the time of delivery	Pre-term controls - pre-term rupture of membranes, placenta praevia or antepartum haemorrhage without any evidence of infection (histopathological examination of the placentas), hypertensive disease or maternal comorbidities. Term controls – healthy pregnancies matched fo gestational age	Gestational age	NR	NR	Yes
Wu 2020 (Wu et al., 2020a) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	31.2 ± 4.8 vs. 28.6 ± 5.7	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes
Wu 2020 (Wu et al., 2020b) China	Cross-sectional	64 Total 26 mPE 28 sPE	35	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	NR	NR
Xueya 2020 (Xueya et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	18	20	32.5 ± 1.25 vs. 32.1 ± 0.75	UCB (exosomes) MPB (plasma exosomes) Placenta	After childbirth After PE diagnosis At the time of delivery	Healthy donors	No	NR	NR	NR
Yang 2020 (Yang et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	20	20	NR	Placenta UCMSC	At the time of delivery	Normotensive pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes
Zhao 2020 (Zhao et al., 2020) China	Case-control	30	30	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies	No	NR	NR	NR
Zheng W 2020 (Zheng et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	30 sPE	20	28.2 ± 2.1 vs. 27.3 ± 1.9	Placenta MPB (serum)	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes
Zhou 2020 (Zhou et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	32	28	32 ± 4.6 vs. 33 ± 3.9	MPB (serum) Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women	Maternal age, gestational age, pre-pregnancy indices	NR	NR	NR
Zhu 2020 (Zhu et al., 2020) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal full term pregnancies	No	Yes	NR	NR
Ali 2021 (Ali et al., 2021) Pakistan	Cross-sectional	27	27	26 (23–30) vs. 25 (22–28)	MPB (serum)	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women with normal blood pressure (BP) and comparable age in the final trimester (28–40 weeks)	Maternal age, gestational age	NR	Yes	Yes
Brodowski 2021 (Brodowski et al., 2021) Germany	Cross-sectional	12 (6 UCB +6 MPB samples)	9 (6 UCB +6 MPB samples)	UCB ECFC 31.5 ± 3.7 vs. 32.8 ± 5.2 MPB	UCB (endothelial colony forming cells) MPB	Before delivery	Healthy uncomplicated pregnancies	Gestational age at delivery, BMI, and maternal age	No	NR	Yes

Cirkovic et al.

Author	Study	Sample	e size	Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	Inclusion criteria		
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertension
Cai 2021 (Cai et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	40	40	ECFC30.8 ± 5.5 vs. 31.7 ± 7.4 29.95 ± 2.67 vs. 28.00 ± 3.20	(endothelial colony forming cells) Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies defined as blood pressure or urine protein in the normal range within 35–40 weeks of pregnancy, followed by Caesarean delivery of healthy infants	No	NR	NR	Yes
Chu 2021 (Chu et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	18	28	28 ± 8 vs. 29 ± 6	Placenta	At the time of delivery After selective pregnancy termination (1st and 2nd trimester controls)	Normal term pregnancies 1st trimester (6–8 GW) controls 2nd trimester (18–21 GW) controls	No	No	NR	NR
Hayder 2021 (Hayder et al., 2021) Canada	Case-control	18 Total 14 Pre-term PE 4 Term PE	30 Total 13 Pre-term 17 Term	Pre-term 30.27 ± 0.36 vs. 29.83 ± 0.51 Term 37.25 ± 0.25 vs. 38.32 ± 0.14	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Pre-term controls 26–36 GW Term controls 37–40 GW	No	NR	NR	NR
Jairajpuri 2021 (Jairajpuri et al., 2021) Bahrain	Case-control	30 15 mPE 15 sPE	15	32 (29–35) mPE 33 (29–37) sPE vs. 30 (25–35)	MPB (plasma)	At the time of delivery	Healthy controls with no previous history of hypertension, cardiovascular disease, hepatitis, kidney disease, diabetes, and any evidence of intrapartum infection or other complications of pregnancy such as fetal anomalies or chromosomal abnormalities in the third trimester	No	NR	Yes	Yes
Liu 2021 (Liu et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	30 EOPE	30	30.77 ± 5.75 vs. 32.10 ± 4.96	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Helathy pregnancies who had chosen Caesarean section because of abnormal fetal position, pelvic stenosis, or social factorsetc.	No	No	NR	NR
Kamali Simsek, 2021 (Kamali Simsek et al., 2021) Turkev	Cross-sectional	7	7	31.3 ± 5.02 vs. 28.2 ± 4.7	Placenta (hDMSC)	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	Gestational age	NR	NR	NR
Kolkova 2021 (Kolkova et al., 2021) Slovakia	Case-control	27 Total 13 mPE 11 sPE 7 EOPE 17 LOPE	32 (29 used for miRNA analysis)	27 (21–50) vs. 30 (25–37)	MPB (plasma)	Before delivery	Normal pregnancies with no pregnancy complications, such as artificial insemination, threatened abortion, premature rupture of membranes and/or premature birth, placenta praevia, and foetal macrosomia	No	NR	NR	Yes
Liao 2021 (Liao et al., 2021) China	Case-control	70 EOPE 33 sEOPE 37 mEOPE	35	28.6 ± 2.2 sEOPE 27.9 ± 3.1 mEOPE vs. 28.2 ± 2.9	MPB (serum)	Before delivery	Normal pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes
Luizon 2021 (Luizon et al., 2021) Brasil	Nested case-control	5 sPE	5	$29.8 \pm 2.0 \text{ vs.} 28.8 \pm 2.6$	MPB (plasma)	Before delivery	Healthy pregnancies	No	NR	NR	Yes
Mao 2021 (Mao et al., 2021) China	Case-control	24	21	32.21 ± 4.51 Vs. 34.23 ± 3.29	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnancies	Maternal age, maternal weight, systolic blood pressure mmHg, diastolic blood pressure mmHg, proteinuria g/ day, body weight of infant g, Gestational age	NR	Yes	NR

Author	Study	Sample size		Maternal	Sample	Time	Controls/Unexposed	Matching	Inclusion criteria			
year* Country	design	n PE	n Controls	age ^a PE vs. Controls (years)		of sampling			All primiparas	All non- smokers	No chronic hypertensio	
Martinez-Fierro 2021 (Martinez-Fierro and Garza-Veloz, 2021) Mexico	Nested case-control	16	18	23.5 ± 5.1 vs. 23.4 ± 5.8	MPB (serum)	Before delivery (12, 16, 20 GW) At the time of PE diagnosis	Healthy pregnancies without complications	No	No	NR	Yes	
Peng 2021 (Peng et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	30	30	30.2 ± 5.1 vs. 30.5 ± 4.8	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes	
Witvrouwen 2021* (Witvrouwen et al., 2021) Belgium	Cross-sectional	24 EOPE	30	28.5 (26.7–30.9) vs. 29.2 (27.4–32.5)	MPB (plasma)	At the time of PE diagnosis (22–36 GW)	Healthy pregnancies free from medication and did not have a history of PE, (pregnancy- induced) hypertension, cardiovascular disease or other chronic conditions	No	No	No	No	
Xu 2021 (Xu et al., 2021) China	Cross-sectional	35 Total 20 EOPE 15 sPE	38	30.92 ± 1.89 EOPE 31.27 ± 3.85 sPE vs. 30.67 ± 2.56	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Healthy pregnant women	No	NR	NR	NR	
Yu 2021 (Yu et al., 2021) China	Case-control	40 sPE	40	NR	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Control pregnancies	No	NR	NR	Yes	
Zhao X 2021a' (Zhao et al., 2021a) China	Cross-sectional	10	10	29.73 ± 4.2 vs. 28.85 ± 3.9	Placenta	At the time of delivery	normal pregnant women were: 1) healthy subjects; 2) successful pregnancy, normal blood pressure and negative proteinuria	No	NR	NR	Yes	
Zhao X 2021b (Zhao et al., 2021b) China	Case-control	25	25	28.91 ± 5.42 vs. 26.73 ± 4.34	Placenta	At the time of delivery	Normal pregnant women	No	NR	NR	Yes	
Zhu 2021 (Zhu and Liu, 2021) China	Cross-sectional	21	21	34.1 ± 5 vs. 33.5 ± 4	MPB (serum)	NR	Normal pregnant women defined as i) Healthy subjects; ii) delivery after 37 weeks; iii) successful pregnancy without any complications, normal blood pressure and negative proteinuria	No	NR	NR	Yes	
Zolfaghari 2021 (Zolfaghari et al., 2021) Iran	Case-control	25	25	29.2 ± 4.38 vs. 28.12 ± 3.84	MPB (mononuclear cells)	Before delivery	Healthy age-matched pregnant women at 28–38 weeks of gestation with no sign of historical disorders were engaged for this study	Maternal age	NR	NR	Yes	

^aExpressed as mean ± sd, mean ± sd (min-max), mean (min-max), mean ± se, med (min-max), med (25–75 percentile), med (Q1; Q3), or as individual data, as stated in the original article.

GW, gestational week; mPE, mild PE; sPE, severe PE; MPB, maternal peripheral blood; mEOPE, mild early onset PE; sEOPE, severe early onset PE; mLOPE, mild late onset PE; sLOPE, severe late onset PE; BMI, body mass index; MSC, mesenchymal stem cells; UC, umbilical cord; NR, not reported; UCMSC, umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; UCB, umbilical cord blood; hDMSC, decidual derived mesenchymal stem cells; GHTA, gestational hypertension; Lbs, pounds; HELLP, Hemolysis, elevated Liver enzymes and Low Platelets; HTA, hypertension; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; CVS, chorionic villus sampling.









Control Std. Mean Difference Std. Mean Difference PE Study or Subgroup SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl Year Mean IV, Random, 95% CI 20 0.985 0.096 19 0.806 1.19 Zhang Y.Q. 2010 3.135 0.273 20 13.79 10.30 [7.84, 12.75] 2010 Li X. 2014 2.552 1.822 22 16.4% 1.13 [0.46, 1.80] 2014 10.364 10,732 16.1% 12.8% 0.99 [-0.03, 2.00] 2015 -4.22 [-7.14, -1.31] 2017 Lasabova Z. 2015 11 1.506 1.76 Nizyaeva N.V. LOPE 2017 0.051 5 0.081 0.009 0.003 -0.42 [-0.83, -0.02] 7.99 [6.05, 9.94] Azizi F. 2017 471 1.843 59 5.55 2.15 40 16.6% 2017 Jang X. 2017 20 0.328 0.025 0.839 0.085 20 14.7% 2017 Nizvaeva N.V. EOPE 2017 0.045 0.003 5 0.025 0.002 4 9.8% 6.79 [2.40, 11.17] 2017 Total (95% CI) 139 117 100.0% 2.99 [0.83, 5.14] Heterogeneity: Tau² = 7.23; Chi² = 160.20, df = 6 (P < 0.00001); l² = 96% Test for overall effect: Z = 2.72 (P = 0.007) -10 -5 FIGURE 6 | Meta-analysis of differences in expression level of miRNA-155 in placenta between women with vs. without preeclampsia.

		DE							011 11 017
Study of Subgroup	Mean	PE	Total	Moon	ontrol	Total	Moight	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
1.2.1 More severe PF	mean	30	TULAI	Weall	30	Total	weight	IV, Ranuom, 95% Ci	IV, Randolli, 95% Ci
Adel S 2017	23 31	8 917	25	0.54	0.87	35	6 5%	3 89 (3 01 4 78)	
Lun R C 2014	0.015	0.015	15	0.04	0.025	26	6.7%	-0.13 (-0.77, 0.50)	
Muralimanobaran S 2012	0.977	0.538	6	0.5	0.020	6	61%	1 01 (-0 22 2 25)	
Pineles 2007	4.801	0.921	9	2.537	0.645	g	6.0%	2.71 [1.35, 4.08]	
Wang Y. 2012	0.822	0.732	20	0.999	0.366	20	6.7%	-0.30 [-0.92, 0.32]	-+
Zhu X.M. sPE 2009	2.932	0.436	15	1.016	0.131	11	5.5%	5.40 [3.63, 7.17]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			90			107	37.5%	2.01 [0.31, 3.71]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 4.18; C Test for overall effect: Z = 2.3:	Chi ² = 99. 2 (P = 0.0	66, df= 02)	5 (P < (0.00001)	l ² = 959	6			
1.2.2 Less severe PE									
Adel S. mPE 2017	18	7.762	10	0.54	0.87	35	6.1%	4.72 [3.48, 5.96]	
Zhu X.M. mPE 2009	0.537	0.087	8	1.016	0.131	11	5.6%	-3.98 [-5.67, -2.29]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			18			46	11.8%	0.39 [-8.14, 8.92]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 37.28;	Chi ² = 66	5.30, df	= 1 (P <	0.00001); I ² = 98	%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.0	9 (P = 0.9	93)							
1.2.3 Not-specified PE									
Betoni J.S. 2013	24.073	0.955	16	22.308	0.809	12	6.4%	1.91 [0.99, 2.84]	
Biro O. 2019	3.208	2.053	13	1.03	0.616	7	6.4%	1.22 [0.21, 2.23]	
Chen J.Y. 2019	1.744	0.153	29	0.578	0.744	27	6.6%	2.18 [1.51, 2.85]	
Korkes H.A. 2017	2.737	0.471	11	1.125	0.164	11	5.7%	4.40 [2.74, 6.06]	
Lalevee S. 2014	26.17	0.973	15	26.945	0.796	14	6.6%	-0.84 [-1.61, -0.08]	
Mayor-Lynn K. term 2011	2.313	2.257	6	2.529	2.28	5	6.2%	-0.09 [-1.27, 1.10]	_
Wang R.L. 2019	6.115	2.252	17	0.371	0.136	17	6.3%	3.52 [2.40, 4.63]	
Zhou X.Y. 2016 Subtotal (95% CI)	1.894	0.93	120	0.993	0.791	20	50.9%	1.02 [0.37, 1.67]	
Subtorar (95% CI)	hiz - 70	00 df-	7/0 - 1	000041	12 - 000	, 115	50.8%	1.59 [0.55, 2.65]	-
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.0	0 (P = 0.0)	00, ar = 003)	7 (12 < 1	0.00001)	, I- = 90%	0			
Total (95% CI)			237			266	100.0%	1.63 [0.69, 2.58]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3.38; C	chi ² = 241	1.76, df	= 15 (P	< 0.0000	1); I ² = 9	4%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.3	9 (P = 0.0	0007)							-10 -5 0 5
		0.00 .10		- 0.00\ 1	- 0.04				





quantification methods and housekeeping gene for internal normalization are available at https://osf.io/g42ze/.

Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome was expression levels of miRNAs, presented as means with standard deviation. GetData Graph Digitizer version 2.26.0.20 was used to read miRNA values when figures presenting miRNA expression levels were available (Digitize graphs and plots, 2013). Median was used

as an approximation of the arithmetic mean, and IQR/1.35 was used as an approximation of standard deviation. If standard error was used in the original article, standard deviation was calculated as sd = se* \sqrt{n} , and if the range was presented, standard deviation was estimated as (max-min)/4.

Methodologies for measuring miRNA expression levels varied; therefore, the standardized mean difference (SMD) was used as a measure of effect size to examine differences between the preeclampsia and non-preeclampsia groups. SMD expresses



the difference between group means in units of standard deviation and was estimated by pooling individual trial results using random-effects models via the Der Simonian-Laird method. Heterogeneity was assessed using the Chi-square Q and I2 statistic. I2 presents the inconsistency between the study results and quantifies the proportion of observed dispersion that is real, i.e., due to between-study differences and not due to random error. The categorization of heterogeneity was based on the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins et al., 2019) and states that I2<30%, 30-60% or >60%, correspond to low, moderate and high heterogeneity, respectively. Forest plots were constructed for each analysis showing the SMD (box), 95% confidence interval (lines), and weight (size of box) for each trial. The overall effect size was represented by a diamond. Metaanalysis was performed for all miRNAs with available data from at least three relevant studies.

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to examine the effects of: 1) replacement of studies that measured miRNA expression levels in the chorionic plate with studies exploring the basal plate, 2) inclusion of measurements performed in more severe, less severe or not-specified PE forms only (instead of all PE forms), 3) replacement of miRNA expression levels obtained in term controls with miRNA expression levels in preterm controls, 4) inclusion of studies exploring miRNA expression levels in moderate or mild proteinuria PE groups, instead of severe proteinuria as in the PE group in the first analysis. A *p* value < 0.05 was statistically significant. Analyses were performed using Review Manager Version 5.4 (Cochrane, 2021).

RESULTS

Systematic Review

A total of 1773 potentially eligible articles were found. 1,517 articles were excluded because they were duplicates, not original articles, were without PE as the outcome, did not compare PE and control groups, examined populations other than women (animals, cell lines), did not explore miRNA expression levels, or were abstracts. Of the 256 reviewed full text articles, 229 were selected for inclusion in the systematic review. A flow diagram illustrating this selection process is presented in **Figure 1**.

Characteristics of all 229 publications included in the systematic review are presented in detail in **Table 1**. They were published between 2007 and 2021, with a total of 13043 participants; 6,459 women with and 6584 without PE. The minimum sample size of the PE group was four, and a

minimum of one for the control group. The maximum sample size was 200 in PE and 321 in the control group. Four publications did not report the number of participants. 139 studies were crosssectional, 64 were case-control, 11 were nested case-control studies, while only six were prospectively followed cohorts. Five studies included two or three sub-studies with the same or different study designs. In eighteen publications, the study design was not clearly stated. Most studies were from China (138), United States (19), and Czech Republic (8). Study groups were matched in 73 (32%) of all articles, and gestational age at the time of delivery was the most used variable for matching (in 53 of 73 publications). Maternal age at the time of delivery was used for matching in 35 publications. Other matching variables were BMI at the time of delivery, parity, race and/or ethnicity, gravidity, delivery, fetal gender, family history of PE, smoking history, additional comorbidities, systolic blood pressure at the time of inclusion, diastolic blood pressure at the time of inclusion, proteinuria at the time of inclusion, infant weight, prepregnancy indices, duration of storage of plasma samples, and maternal body weight at the time of delivery. Regression analysis was used to account for confounders in 14 publications. Ethnicity was reported in eight and race in eleven publications. Fetal gender was reported in 25 publications. The expression levels of miRNA were explored according to fetal gender in just three studies, and a regression model was adjusted for fetal gender in one publication. The most examined source of miRNAs was placenta, reported in 155/229 publications. Ninety-eight studies used maternal peripheral blood: plasma in 46, serum in 28, plasma exosomes in 9, mononuclear cells in 2, serum exosomes in 2, whole blood in 2, and leukocytes and buffy coat in one study each. Twelve studies analyzed miRNA expression levels in umbilical cord cell populations: mesenchymal stem cells in 4, and HUVECs, vein cells, maternal blood, exosomes, endothelial progenitor cells, serum, fetal blood, and umbilical cord tissue in one study each. Other rarely sampled tissues were myometrium, urine, maternal subcutaneous fat tissue endothelium, and placental blood vessel endothelium. Tissue was sampled at the time of delivery in 149 (65%) studies. In 60 studies, sampling was done prior to delivery and, in two studies, after delivery; 1 year after (Murphy et al., 2015), and 3-11 years after delivery (Hromadnikova et al., 2019b). Time of sampling was not reported in 34 (15%) publications. Most articles did not differentiate the type of PE (70%). Inclusion and exclusion criteria were not reported in most studies assessing miRNA in preeclamptic pregnancies. Only primiparous women were included in six studies, only non-smokers in 17, and only

TABLE 2	Functional	roles c	of signifi	cant miF	NAs

•	0		
miRNA	Placenta	Maternal peripheral blood	Role
16	↑	Ļ	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR16-1
20b	<u>↑</u>	NA	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR20B&keywords=miRNA-20b
23a	Î	NA	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR23A&keywords=miRNA-23a
29b	1 1	NA	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR29B1&keywords=miRNA-29b
155	, ↑	<u>↑</u>	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR155&keywords=miRNA-155
210	Ť	NA	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR210&keywords=miRNA-210
376c	Ļ	NA	https://www.genecards.org/cgi-bin/carddisp.pl?gene=MIR376C&keywords=miRNA-376c

women without chronic hypertension in 89 publications. Detailed additional inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in **Supplementary Table S1**. The presence of renal disease was the most common (50/229). The presence of diabetes mellitus (49/229) and the presence of cardiovascular disease (32/229) were reported less often. The presence of obesity was reported in six and preeclampsia in the previous gestation in five publications.

Disease severity was reported in 70/229 publications. Details regarding PE definitions and the diagnostic criteria used in the original articles are presented in **Supplementary Tables S2, S3**. qRT-PCR as the detection method with U6 as an internal control was utilized in almost all studies, and the details regarding quantification methods and housekeeping genes used are presented in **Supplementary Table S4**. A list of all explored miRNAs from the included publications according to PE severity (more severe, less severe, and not-specified PE) is presented in **Supplementary Tables S5–S7**.

Meta-Analysis

A meta-analysis was performed for the following fourteen miRNAs: miRNA-16, miRNA-17, miRNA-17-5p, miRNA-20b, miRNA-23a, miRNA-29a-3p, miRNA-29b, miRNA-30a-3p, miRNA-155, miRNA-155-5p, miRNA-181a, miRNA-195, miRNA-210, and miRNA-376c.

The expression levels were significantly higher in the placentas of women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-16 (SMD = 1.51, 95%CI = 0.55-2.46, p = 0.002) (Figure 2), miRNA-20b (SMD = 0.89, 95%CI = 0.33-1.45, p = 0.002) (Figure 3), miRNA-23a (SMD = 2.02, 95%CI = 1.25–2.78, p < 0.001) (Figure 4), miRNA-29b (SMD = 1.37, 95%CI = 0.36-2.37, p = 0.008) (Figure 5), miRNA-155 (SMD = 2.99, 95%CI = 0.83-5.14, p = 0.007) (Figure 6) and miRNA-210 (SMD = 1.63, 95%CI = 0.69–2.58, p < 0.001) (Figure 7). Subgroup analysis showed increased levels of miRNA-210 expression in placentas of women with more severe (SMD = 2.01, 95%CI = 0.31-3.71, p = 0.020), but not in women with a less severe form of PE (SMD = 0.39, 95%CI = -8.14 = 8.92, p = 0.930), compared to women without PE (Figure 7). The expression levels in placenta were significantly lower in women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-376c (SMD = -4.86, 95%CI = -9.51 to -0.20, p = 0.040) (Figure 8).

The expression level was significantly higher in the maternal peripheral blood of women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-155 (SMD = 2.06, 95CI = 0.35-3.76, p = 0.020) (**Figure 9**), but it was lower for miRNA-16 (SMD = -0.47, 95%CI = -0.91 to -0.03, p = 0.040) (**Figure 10**).

The functional roles of all significant miRNAs are presented in detail in **Table 2**. Although the roles of the evaluated miRNAs are confusing, special emphasis should be placed on the interpretation of the miRNAs known roles in controlling trophoblast proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, differentiation, cellular metabolism, and angiogenesis.

Placental expression levels were not significantly different in women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-17 (SMD = 0.22, 95%CI = -1.35 to -1.79, *p* = 0.790) (**Supplementary** Figure S1), miRNA-30a-3p (SMD = 1.00, 95%CI = -0.50-2.50, p = 0.190) (Supplementary Figure S2), miRNA-181a (SMD = 0.05, 95%CI = -0.99-1.08, p = 0.930) (Supplementary Figure **S3**), and miRNA-195 (SMD = -0.16, 95%CI = -1.35-1.02, p =0.780) (Supplementary Figure S4). The expression level was not significantly different in maternal peripheral blood in women with PE compared to women without PE for miRNA-17-5p (SMD = 0.08, 95%CI = -0.74-0.90, p = 0.850)(Supplementary Figure S5), miRNA-29a-3p (SMD = -0.29, 95%CI = -1.22-0.64, p = 0.540) (Supplementary Figure S6), miRNA-155-5p (SMD = -0.37, 95%CI = -1.07-0.33, p = 0.300) (Supplementary Figure S7), miRNA-181a (SMD = 0.22, 95%CI = -0.42-0.86, p = 0.500) (Supplementary Figure S8), and miRNA-210 (SMD = 0.48, 95%CI = -0.66 - 1.62, p = 0.410) (Supplementary)Figure S9).

The same results were obtained when sensitivity analyses were performed to exclude studies with unspecified types of PE, to replace expression data obtained from the chorionic plate with those obtained from the basal plate, including/excluding different forms (more/less severe) of PE where possible (**Supplementary Figures S10–S22**).

DISCUSSION

We identified in this study seven differentially expressed miRNAs in the placentas of women with vs without PE. miRNA-16, miRNA-20b, miRNA-23a, miRNA-29b, miRNA-155, and miRNA-210 were significantly increased in the placentas of PE women, while the levels of miRNA-376c were significantly decreased in PE placentas. We found no differences in the expression levels of miRNA-17, miRNA-30a-3p, miRNA-181a, and miRNA-195 in placentas of PE vs. non-PE women. A metaanalysis of the miRNA expression levels in the peripheral blood of PE women compared to women without PE was performed for miRNA-16, miRNA-17-5p, miRNA-29a-3p, miRNA-155, miRNA-155-5p, miRNA-181a and miRNA-210. A significant decrease in miRNA-16 expression levels in maternal peripheral blood of PE women was found, and no differences were found for other evaluated miRNAs. A sensitivity analysis did not change the results of the primary analysis.

Placentation is thought to be the basis for normal physiological pregnancy and is required for fetal growth and development, as well as the expectation of term labor. Several sensitive, precisely dictated, vascular processes involving angiogenesis at the fetalmaternal interface and adequate cytotrophoblast invasion with spiral-artery remodeling are essential for placentation (Weedon-Fekjær et al., 2014). At the very beginning of a pregnancy in which PE will develop, the transformation of proliferative endothelium into invasive endothelium is absent, and the expected extensive invasion of cytotrophoblasts into the spiral arteries does not occur. This results in pathologic remodeling of the placental arterioles, which become narrow, with reduced flow and sclerotic changes in the arteriolar walls (Mouillet et al., 2015). Placental ischemia promotes an inflammatory state that is characterized by increased production of inflammatory cytokines by proinflammatory T cells, and a decrease in regulatory and antiinflammatory cytokines (Hanna et al., 2000). Decreased levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10, IL-4) and increased proinflammatory cytokines (TNF-a, IL-6) in the circulation and placental tissue support the inflammatory background of preeclampsia (Keiser et al., 2009; Spence et al., 2021). These processes lead to placental malnutrition, and subsequent development of PE. The placenta is known to be an organ in which a large number of miRNAs are expressed (Mouillet et al., 2015). Several miRNAs contribute to the processes of trophoblast proliferation, invasion, and differentiation. miRNA-125b-1-3p and miRNA-210 inhibit trophoblast proliferation and invasion, while miRNA-155 inhibits trophoblast invasion only. In contrast, miRNA-376c enhances trophoblast proliferation and invasion (Mouillet et al., 2015). Fu et al. demonstrated that miR-376c promotes trophoblast cell proliferation, survival, migration, and invasion, and postulated that inhibition of Nodal and TGF-β signaling by miR-376c is important for adequate placentation (Fu et al., 2013). Primate-specific C19MC miRNAs, which are almost exclusively expressed in placenta, were described as important factors influencing adequate trophoblast invasion and arterial remodeling (Hromadnikova et al., 2013; Mouillet et al., 2015). As knowledge of the functional importance of miRNAs in adequate placentation and the development of PE increases (Hayder et al., 2018), it becomes important to determine whether miRNA expression levels are disrupted in PE, and which specific miRNA contributes predominantly to disease pathogenesis.

Meta-analysis in this study revealed significantly higher miRNA-16 expression in the placentas of women with PE compared to those without PE. Confirmation of the possible association between altered expression of miRNA-16 and PE was first described by Hu et al. who showed that there is increased expression of miRNA-16 in the placentas of women with severe PE (Hu et al., 2009). This was followed by Vu et al. who found increased expression of miRNA-16 in the sera of women with PE compared with healthy controls (Wu et al., 2012). The pathologic significance of miRNA-16 lies in its function in regulating the cell cycle. Liu et al. have shown that miRNA-16 stops the cell cycle in G1 phase by regulating the expressions of the CCND3, CCNE1 and CDK6 genes. Based on these physiological roles, it is supposed that miRNA-16 acts as a tumor suppressor (Yan X. et al., 2013). It also is known that the target of miRNA-16 is the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) gene, whose product is an extremely important protein that initiates vasculogenesis in the placenta and induces proliferation and migration of endothelial cells in blood vessels (Wang and Zhao, 2010). In a study by Wang et al., miRNA-16 was found to have the potential to inhibit proliferation, migration and angiogenesis in mesenchymal stem cells (Wang Y. et al., 2012). The significantly lower miRNA-16 expression levels in the maternal peripheral blood of women with PE compared to those without PE led epigenetic analysis in another direction. It is proposed, but not proven, that miRNA-16 plays a significant role in the progression of human cardiac cell injury in ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy through endoplasmic reticulum stress, inflammation, autophagy, and apoptosis (Calderon-Dominguez et al., 2021). Down regulation of this miRNA, known as an antiapoptotic factor, also was registered in ischemic myocardial cells, as a reaction to hypoxia in order to protect the tissue (Zhang H. J. et al., 2019). Therefore, miRNA-16 may play a role in both ischemic cardiomyopathy and preeclampsia, which similarly represent hypoxia induced pathological states. Original research articles have reported differing results regarding miRNA-16 levels in pregnancy complications. miRNA-16 levels were elevated in fetal macrosomia, but decreased in severe preeclampsia (Wu et al., 2012; Ge et al., 2015).

Increased expressions of miRNA-20b and miRNA-29b in the placentas of women with PE compared to women without PE were also found in our study. It is well known that the target gene for both miRNA-20 and miRNA-16 is VEGF, thus affecting placental vasculogenesis (Hayder et al., 2018). miRNA-20b binds to the Ephrin Type-B Receptor 4 (EPHB4) and Ephrin Type-B Receptor 2 (EPHB2), important receptors for intercellular communication, which have functions in the regulation of cellular morphology, binding, migration, proliferation, differentiation, and survival. These processes are assumed to be involved in the miRNA-20b contribution to placental blood vessel remodeling (Pasquale, 2005; Lisabeth et al., 2013). miRNA-29b is involved in the processes of trophoblast proliferation and invasion (Harapan and Andalas, 2015). miRNA-29b contributes to preeclampsia through dysregulation of the extracellular signal-regulated protein kinase and focal adhesion kinase (ERK/FAK) signaling that pathway allows the expression of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP2), which is in turn an important factor for migration and invasion of trophoblast cells. Increased expression of miRNA-29b in severe PE has been previously shown to be associated with reduced expressions of MMP2 and integrin β1(ITGβ1) (Li H. et al., 2013).

The increased miRNA-23a levels in PE placentas support previously reported results that the level of this miRNA is upregulated in conditions related to abnormal angiogenesis (Chhabra et al., 2010). The main role of miRNA-23a, as part of the miR-23a~27a~24-2 cluster, is to mediate blood vessel genesis. It is included, except in PE, in pathological states such as muscle atrophy, cardiac hypertrophy, and cancers (Chhabra et al., 2010). Data *in vitro*, as well as *in vivo*, indicate that miRNA-23a and miR-23b may have opposite roles, with the former regulating angiogenesis and cellular junctions, and hence inhibiting vascular permeability, while miRNA-23b promotes permeability (Li et al., 2016).

MiRNA-155 expression levels were significantly increased in the placentas and maternal peripheral blood of women with PE compared to those without PE. The increased expression of miRNA-155 and resultant lower levels of cysteine-rich protein 61 (CYR61) and cyclin D1, have been associated with the inhibition of trophoblast invasion (Zhang et al., 2010; Dai et al., 2012). It also has been previously demonstrated that a significant increase in miRNA-155 decreases endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) expression and thus contributes to development of severe PE (Li X. et al., 2014). This result is consistent with findings from previous studies (Zhang et al., 2010; Gan et al., 2017). This immunomodulatory miRNA, induced in activated T lymphocytes, B lymphocytes and macrophages (Bernstein et al., 2003), is also disrupted in maternal peripheral blood. Its increased expression level was associated with a decreased level of pro-angiogenic factor, VEGF, in an experimental rat model of PE (Cheng et al., 2011). Newly performed studies have reported significantly higher levels of miRNA-155 in the maternal peripheral blood of women with compared to women without PE (Ayoub et al., 2019; Youssef and Marei, 2019; Witvrouwen et al., 2021).

MiRNA-210 has been the most evaluated small non-coding RNA. It is known that miRNA-210 is induced under hypoxic conditions which exist prior to, as well as during the clinical manifestations of PE. Hypoxia stimulates the production of NF-kB 1 (nuclear factor kappa-B 1) and HIF-1A (hypoxia inducible factor 1 α), which induce the expression of miRNA-210 (Muralimanoharan et al., 2012). Previous research has confirmed significantly increased expression of miRNA-210 in both the placentas and sera of women with PE and suggests that miRNA-210 obtained from serum may be a useful biomarker even months before diagnosis (Anton et al., 2013). Micro RNA-210 plays a role in several processes, such as inhibition of cytotrophoblast migration and invasion, differentiation, apoptosis, inflammation, angiogenesis, as well as in the regulation of cellular metabolism. miRNA-210 partially inhibits trophoblast invasion via the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway (Anton et al., 2012). Cell metabolism is dictated by miRNA-210 in that increased expression leads to decreased mitochondrial respiration and vice versa (Hayder et al., 2018). miRNA-210 also plays a role as a suppressor of EFNA3, a member of the ephrin ligand family which is important for cell migration, and HOXA9, an important angiogenesis regulator (Zhang et al., 2012; Luo et al., 2014). Overall, inadequate trophoblast invasion and impaired cellular metabolism are confirmed factors that can lead to the development of PE. Anton et al. found that for each 5-U increase in miR-210 in sera of previously healthy women at the beginning of the second trimester, the odds of PE development later in pregnancy increased fourfold (Anton et al., 2013).

MiRNA-376c plays a role in trophoblast proliferation and differentiation (Hayder et al., 2018). We found significantly lower levels of expression in the placentas of women with PE compared to women without PE, which is consistent with the findings of

other studies (Fu et al., 2013; Yang H.-l. et al., 2019). Only Yang et al. showed no significant difference in the levels of miRNA-376c expression in the placentas of women with preterm preeclampsia and gestational age matched controls without PE (Yang H.-l. et al., 2019). Fu at al. showed that a decrease in miR-376c expression results in excessive apoptosis, insufficient cell proliferation, and shallow invasion of trophoblasts in the uterus in preeclampsia (Fu et al., 2013).

In summary, our results clearly identify a subset of miRNAs that are dysregulated in preeclampsia and clearly point towards the underlying mechanisms that may be contributing to the pathophysiology of preeclampsia. Our results set the stage for several venues for future research with an overall goal to facilitate early diagnosis and optimize fetal and maternal outcomes. First, given the clinical heterogeneity of preeclampsia (severe vs. mild, late vs. early, and "placental" vs. "maternal"), adequately designed and powered studies may detect differences in miRNA and related specific underlying mechanisms responsible for specific clinical subtypes. Second, clinical studies may identify a marker (or set of markers) with either predictive or diagnostic role. Third, further discovery of signaling pathways affected by miRNA may lead to mechanism-based therapies.

Our study has several limitations. They originate from the unavailability of all/some data from the original publications, uninformative figures presented in the articles, and selection of the housekeeping gene used for internal controls. The consequences of the data unavailability are possible exclusion of relevant data and a smaller number of included studies, as well as miRNAs, in the meta-analysis that may lead to an overestimation/ underestimation of the effects of miRNA expression level on PE development. The importance of adequate selection of the housekeeping gene should be emphasized to standardize evaluation miRNA methodology and to provide comparability between studies. The definition of PE is not the same in each of the included studies which may lead to inclusion of heterogeneous cases that can change the assessment of the effect. Through the systematic review, it was realized that cases and controls were rarely matched for gestational age at the time of sampling. It is necessary to highlight the importance of comparing matched groups because it is known that there are physiological changes in miRNAs expression levels throughout pregnancy. The miRNA source in plasma may be maternal, fetal, or both, yet only a small number of studies reported these data.

CONCLUSION

MiRNAs play an important role in the pathophysiology of PE. The functional roles of the microRNAs found to be disrupted in preeclamptic pregnancies include control of trophoblast proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis, differentiation, cellular metabolism, and angiogenesis. The identification of differentially expressed miRNAs in maternal blood creates an opportunity to define an easily accessible biomarker of PE. A better understanding of the role of microRNAs in the development of PE offers great potential for developing diagnostic and therapeutic targets for PE.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: AC, VG, ZM, DS, NM; Data curation: AC, JM, MS, NR, JK, NA, TS, VP, DS, NM; Formal analysis: AC, JM, MS, NR, DS, NM; Investigation: AC, VG, JM, OM, MS, NR, JK, NA, NM, TS, VP, DS, NM; Methodology: AC, VG, JM, DS, NM;

REFERENCES

- Abalos, E., Cuesta, C., Grosso, A. L., Chou, D., and Say, L. (2013). Global and Regional Estimates of Preeclampsia and Eclampsia: A Systematic Review. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 170, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2013.05.005
- Adel, S., Mansour, A., Louka, M., Matboli, M., Elmekkawi, S. F., and Swelam, N. (2017). Evaluation of MicroRNA-210 and Protein Tyrosine Phosphatase, Nonreceptor Type 2 in Pre-eclampsia. *Gene* 596, 105–109. doi:10.1016/ j.gene.2016.10.014
- Akehurst, C., Small, H. Y., Sharafetdinova, L., Forrest, R., Beattie, W., Brown, C. E., et al. (2015). Differential Expression of microRNA-206 and its Target Genes in Preeclampsia. J. Hypertens. 33, 2068–2074. doi:10.1097/HJH.00000000000656
- Akgör, U., Ayaz, L., and Çayan, F. (2021). Expression Levels of Maternal Plasma microRNAs in Preeclamptic Pregnancies. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. 41, 910–914. doi:10.1080/01443615.2020.1820465
- Ali, Z., Zafar, U., Zaki, S., Ahmad, S., Khaliq, S., and Lone, K. P. (2021). Expression Levels of MiRNA-16, SURVIVIN and TP53 in Preeclamptic and Normotensive Women. J. Pak. Med. Assoc. 71, 2208–2213. doi:10.47391/JPMA.1171
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2019). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 202: Gestational Hypertension and Preeclampsia. Obstet. Gynecol. 133, e1. doi:10.1097/AOG.00000000003018
- Anton, L., Brown, A. G., Parry, S., and Elovitz, M. A. (2012). Lipopolysaccharide Induces Cytokine Production and Decreases Extravillous Trophoblast Invasion through a Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase-Mediated Pathway: Possible Mechanisms of First Trimester Placental Dysfunction. *Hum. Reprod.* 27, 61–72. doi:10.1093/humrep/der362
- Anton, L., Olarerin-George, A. O., Hogenesch, J. B., and Elovitz, M. A. (2015). Placental Expression of miR-517a/b and miR-517c Contributes to Trophoblast Dysfunction and Preeclampsia. *PLoS One* 10, e0122707. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0122707
- Anton, L., Olarerin-George, A. O., Schwartz, N., Srinivas, S., Bastek, J., Hogenesch, J. B., et al. (2013). MiR-210 Inhibits Trophoblast Invasion and Is a Serum Biomarker for Preeclampsia. *Am. J. Pathol.* 183, 1437–1445. doi:10.1016/ j.ajpath.2013.07.021
- Awamleh, Z., Gloor, G. B., and Han, V. K. M. (2019). Placental microRNAs in Pregnancies with Early Onset Intrauterine Growth Restriction and Preeclampsia: Potential Impact on Gene Expression and Pathophysiology. BMC Med. Genomics 12, 91. doi:10.1186/s12920-019-0548-x
- Awamleh, Z., and Han, V. K. M. (2020). Identification of miR-210-5p in Human Placentae from Pregnancies Complicated by Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction, and its Potential Role in the Pregnancy Complications. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 19, 159–168. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2020.01.002
- Ayoub, S. E., Shaker, O. G., Abdelwahed, M. Y., Ahmed, N. A., Abdelhameed, H. G., Bosilah, A. H., et al. (2019). Association of MicroRNA-155rs767649

Project administration: VG, DS, NM; Supervision: VG, DS, NM; Visualization: AC, JM, MS, DS, NM; Writing – original draft: AC, VG, JM, OM, MS, NR, NA, TS, JK, VP, DS, NM; Writing – review & amp; editing: AC, VG, JM, OM, MS, NR, NA, NM, TS, JK, VP, DS, NM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

Funding: NIH R01-HL136348 (VG).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2021.782845/full#supplementary-material

Polymorphism with Susceptibility to Preeclampsia. Int. J. Mol. Cel Med 8, 247–257. doi:10.22088/IJMCM.BUMS.8.4.247

- Azizi, F., Saleh Gargari, S., Asadi Shahmirzadi, S., Dodange, F., Amiri, V., Mirfakhraie, R., et al. (2017). Evaluation of Placental Mir-155-5p and Long Non-coding RNA sONE Expression in Patients with Severe Pre-eclampsia. *Int. J. Mol. Cel Med* 6, 22–30.
- Bai, Y., Yang, W., Yang, H.-x., Liao, Q., Ye, G., Fu, G., et al. (2012). Downregulated miR-195 Detected in Preeclamptic Placenta Affects Trophoblast Cell Invasion via Modulating ActRIIA Expression. *PLoS One* 7, e38875. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0038875
- Bernstein, E., Kim, S. Y., Carmell, M. A., Murchison, E. P., Alcorn, H., Li, M. Z., et al. (2003). Dicer Is Essential for Mouse Development. *Nat. Genet.* 35, 215–217. doi:10.1038/ng1253
- Betoni, J. S., Derr, K., Pahl, M. C., Rogers, L., Muller, C. L., Packard, R. E., et al. (2013). MicroRNA Analysis in Placentas from Patients with Preeclampsia: Comparison of New and Published Results. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 32, 321–339. doi:10.3109/10641955.2013.807819
- Biró, O., Fóthi, Á., Alasztics, B., Nagy, B., Orbán, T. I., and Rigó, J. (2019). Circulating Exosomal and Argonaute-Bound microRNAs in Preeclampsia. *Gene* 692, 138–144. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2019.01.012
- Black, K. D., and Horowitz, J. A. (2018). Inflammatory Markers and Preeclampsia. Nurs. Res. 67, 242–251. doi:10.1097/NNR.00000000000285
- Brkić, J., Dunk, C., O'Brien, J., Fu, G., Nadeem, L., Wang, Y.-l., et al. (2018). MicroRNA-218-5p Promotes Endovascular Trophoblast Differentiation and Spiral Artery Remodeling. *Mol. Ther.* 26, 2189–2205. doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2018.07.009
- Brodowski, L., Schröder-Heurich, B., von Hardenberg, S., Richter, K., von Kaisenberg, C. S., Dittrich-Breiholz, O., et al. (2021). Microrna Profiles of Maternal and Neonatal Endothelial Progenitor Cells in Preeclampsia. *Ijms* 22, 5320. doi:10.3390/ijms22105320
- Cai, H., Li, D., Wu, J., and Shi, C. (2021). MiR-519d Downregulates LEP Expression to Inhibit Preeclampsia Development. Open Med. 16, 1215–1227. doi:10.1515/ med-2021-0244
- Cai, M., Kolluru, G. K., and Ahmed, A. (2017). Small Molecule, Big Prospects: MicroRNA in Pregnancy and its Complications. J. Pregnancy 2017, 1–15. doi:10.1155/2017/6972732
- Calderon-Dominguez, M., Mangas, A., Belmonte, T., Quezada-Feijoo, M., Ramos, M., and Toro, R. (2021). Ischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy Pathophysiology through microRNA-16-5p. *Revista Española de Cardiología (English Edition)* 74, 740–749. doi:10.1016/j.rec.2020.08.012
- Campos, C. B., Marques, T. M., Pereira, R. W., and Sandrim, V. C. (2014). Reduced Circulating miR-196b Levels Is Associated with Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens. Int. J. Women's Cardiovasc. Health* 4, 11–13. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2013.10.002
- Cao, G., Cui, R., Liu, C., and Zhang, Z. (2019). MicroRNA Regulation of Transthyretin in Trophoblast Biofunction and Preeclampsia. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 676, 108129. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2019.108129

- Chen, J., Zhao, L., Wang, D., Xu, Y., Gao, H., Tan, W., et al. (2019). Contribution of Regulatory Tcells to Immune Tolerance and Association of microRNA-210 and Foxp3 in P-reeclampsia. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 19, 1150–1158. doi:10.3892/ mmr.2018.9733
- Chen, S., Zhao, G., Miao, H., Tang, R., Song, Y., Hu, Y., et al. (2015). MicroRNA-494 Inhibits the Growth and Angiogenesis-Regulating Potential of Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *FEBS Lett.* 589, 710–717. doi:10.1016/ j.febslet.2015.01.038
- Chen, Y.-S., Shen, L., Mai, R.-Q., and Wang, Y. (2014). Levels of microRNA-181b and Plasminogen Activator Inhibitor-1 Are Associated with Hypertensive Disorders Complicating Pregnancy. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 8, 1523–1527. doi:10.3892/etm.2014.1946
- Chhabra, R., Dubey, R., and Saini, N. (2010). Cooperative and Individualistic Functions of the microRNAs in the miR-23a~27a~24-2 Cluster and its Implication in Human Diseases. *Mol. Cancer* 9, 232. doi:10.1186/1476-4598-9-232
- Chi, Z., and Zhang, M. (2018). Exploration of the Regulation and Control Mechanisms of miR-145 in T-rophoblast C-ell P-roliferation and I-nvasion. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 16, 5298–5304. doi:10.3892/etm.2018.6890
- Choi, S.-Y., Yun, J., Lee, O.-J., Han, H.-S., Yeo, M.-K., Lee, M.-A., et al. (2013). MicroRNA Expression Profiles in Placenta with Severe Preeclampsia Using a PNA-Based Microarray. *Placenta* 34, 799–804. doi:10.1016/ j.placenta.2013.06.006
- Chu, X., Gu, Y., Sheng, W., Sun, J., Morgan, J. A., Lewis, D. F., et al. (2021). Downregulation of miR-126-3p Expression Contributes to Increased Inflammatory Response in Placental Trophoblasts in Preeclampsia. *J. Reprod. Immunol.* 144, 103281. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2021.103281
- Cochrane (2021). RevMan 5 Download | Cochrane Training. Available at: https:// training.cochrane.org/online-learning/core-software-cochrane-reviews/ revman/revman-5-download (Accessed August 26, 2021).
- Dai, X., and Cai, Y. (2018). Retracted: Down-regulation of microRNA Let-7d Inhibits the Proliferation and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells in Preeclampsia. J. Cel. Biochem. 119, 1141–1151. doi:10.1002/jcb.26282
- Dai, Y., Qiu, Z., Diao, Z., Shen, L., Xue, P., Sun, H., et al. (2012). MicroRNA-155 Inhibits Proliferation and Migration of Human Extravillous Trophoblast Derived HTR-8/SVneo Cells via Down-Regulating Cyclin D1. *Placenta* 33, 824–829. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2012.07.012
- Demirer, S., Hocaoglu, M., Turgut, A., Karateke, A., and Komurcu-Bayrak, E. (2020). Expression Profiles of Candidate microRNAs in the Peripheral Blood Leukocytes of Patients with Early- and Late-Onset Preeclampsia versus normal Pregnancies. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 19, 239–245. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2019.11.003
- Devor, E., Santillan, D., Scroggins, S., Warrier, A., and Santillan, M. (2020). Trimester-specific Plasma Exosome microRNA Expression Profiles in Preeclampsia. J. Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med. 33, 3116–3124. doi:10.1080/ 14767058.2019.1569614
- Digitize graphs and plots (2013). GetData Graph Digitizer Graph Digitizing Software - Download. Available at: http://getdata-graph-digitizer.com/ download.php (Accessed August 26, 2021).
- Ding, J., Huang, F., Wu, G., Han, T., Xu, F., Weng, D., et al. (2015). MiR-519d-3p Suppresses Invasion and Migration of Trophoblast Cells via Targeting MMP-2. *PLoS One* 10, e0120321. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120321
- Dong, D., Khoong, Y., Ko, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2020). microRNA-646 I-nhibits A-ngiogenesis of E-ndothelial P-rogenitor C-ells in P-re-eclamptic P-regnancy by T-argeting the VEGF-A/HIF-1α axis. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 20, 1879–1888. doi:10.3892/etm.2020.8929
- Dong, K., Zhang, X., Ma, L., Gao, N., Tang, H., Jian, F., et al. (2019). Downregulations of Circulating miR-31 and miR-21 Are Associated with Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 17, 59–63. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2019.05.013
- Doridot, L., Houry, D., Gaillard, H., Chelbi, S. T., Barbaux, S., and Vaiman, D. (2014). miR-34A Expression, Epigenetic Regulation, and Function in Human Placental Diseases. *Epigenetics* 9, 142–151. doi:10.4161/epi.26196
- Eghbal-Fard, S., Yousefi, M., Heydarlou, H., Ahmadi, M., Taghavi, S., Movasaghpour, A., et al. (2019). The Imbalance of Th17/Treg axis Involved in the Pathogenesis of Preeclampsia. *J. Cel. Physiol.* 234, 5106–5116. doi:10.1002/jcp.27315

- Enquobahrie, D. A., Abetew, D. F., Sorensen, T. K., Willoughby, D., Chidambaram, K., and Williams, M. A. (2011). Placental microRNA Expression in Pregnancies Complicated by Preeclampsia. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 204, 178e12–178. e21. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2010.09.004
- Fan, Y., Dong, Z., Zhou, G., Fu, J., Zhan, L., Gao, M., et al. (2020). Elevated miR-23a Impairs Trophoblast Migration and Invasiveness through HDAC2 Inhibition and NF-Kb Activation. *Life Sci.* 261, 118358. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118358
- Fang, M., Du, H., Han, B., Xia, G., Shi, X., Zhang, F., et al. (2017). Hypoxiainducible microRNA-218 Inhibits Trophoblast Invasion by Targeting LASP1: Implications for Preeclampsia Development. *Int. J. Biochem. Cel Biol.* 87, 95–103. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2017.04.005
- Fang, Y., Huang, Z., Tan, W., Zhang, Q., and Wu, J. (2018). High Expression of miR-182-5p Promotes Preeclampsia Progression. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 22, 6583–6590. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201810_16132
- Fu, G., Ye, G., Nadeem, L., Ji, L., Manchanda, T., Wang, Y., et al. (2013). MicroRNA-376c Impairs Transforming Growth Factor-β and Nodal Signaling to Promote Trophoblast Cell Proliferation and Invasion. *Hypertension* 61, 864–872. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.203489
- Gan, L., Liu, Z., Wei, M., Chen, Y., Yang, X., Chen, L., et al. (2017). MIR-210 and miR-155 as Potential Diagnostic Markers for Pre-eclampsia Pregnancies. *Med.* (United States 96, e7515. doi:10.1097/MD.000000000007515
- Gao, S., Wang, Y., Han, S., and Zhang, Q. (2017). Up-regulated microRNA-300 in Maternal Whole Peripheral Blood and Placenta Associated with Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension and Preeclampsia. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 10, 4232–4242.
- Gao, X., Li, H., and Wei, J. X. (2018a). MiR-4421 Regulates the Progression of Preeclampsia by Regulating CYP11B2. Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci. 22, 1533–1540. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201803_14557
- Gao, Y., She, R., Wang, Q., Li, Y., and Zhang, H. (2018b). Up-regulation of miR-299 Suppressed the Invasion and Migration of HTR-8/SVneo Trophoblast Cells Partly via Targeting HDAC2 in Pre-eclampsia. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 97, 1222–1228. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2017.11.053
- Garovic, V. D., Wagner, S. J., Turner, S. T., Rosenthal, D. W., Watson, W. J., Brost, B. C., et al. (2007). Urinary Podocyte Excretion as a Marker for Preeclampsia. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 196, 320e1–320. e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2007.02.007
- Garovic, V. D., White, W. M., Vaughan, L., Saiki, M., Parashuram, S., Garcia-Valencia, O., et al. (2020). Incidence and Long-Term Outcomes of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 75, 2323–2334. doi:10.1016/ j.jacc.2020.03.028
- Ge, Q., Zhu, Y., Li, H., Tian, F., Xie, X., and Bai, Y. (2015). Differential Expression of Circulating miRNAs in Maternal Plasma in Pregnancies with Fetal Macrosomia. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 35, 81–91. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2014.1989
- Giannakou, K., Evangelou, E., and Papatheodorou, S. I. (2018). Genetic and Nongenetic Risk Factors for Pre-eclampsia: Umbrella Review of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Observational Studies. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.* 51, 720–730. doi:10.1002/uog.18959
- Gong, F., Chai, W., Wang, J., Cheng, H., Shi, Y., Cui, L., et al. (2020). miR-214-5p Suppresses the Proliferation, Migration and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells in Pre-eclampsia by Targeting Jagged 1 to Inhibit Notch Signaling Pathway. Acta Histochem. 122, 151527. doi:10.1016/j.acthis.2020.151527
- Gunel, T., Hosseini, M. K., Gumusoglu, E., Kisakesen, H. I., Benian, A., and Aydinli, K. (2017). Expression Profiling of Maternal Plasma and Placenta microRNAs in Preeclamptic Pregnancies by Microarray Technology. *Placenta* 52, 77–85. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.02.019
- Gunel, T., Kamali, N., Hosseini, M. K., Gumusoglu, E., Benian, A., and Aydinli, K. (2020). Regulatory Effect of miR-195 in the Placental Dysfunction of Preeclampsia. J. Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med. 33, 901–908. doi:10.1080/ 14767058.2018.1508439
- Gunel, T., Zeybek, Y. G., Akçakaya, P., Kalelioglu, I., Benian, A., Ermis, H., et al. (2011). Serum microRNA Expression in Pregnancies with Preeclampsia. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 10, 4034–4040. doi:10.4238/2011.November.8.5
- Guo, L., Liu, Y., Guo, Y., Yang, Y., and Chen, B. (2018). MicroRNA-423-5p Inhibits the Progression of Trophoblast Cells via Targeting IGF2BP1. *Placenta* 74, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2018.12.003
- Guo, L., Tsai, S. Q., Hardison, N. E., James, A. H., Motsinger-Reif, A. A., Thames, B., et al. (2013). Differentially Expressed microRNAs and Affected Biological Pathways Revealed by Modulated Modularity Clustering (MMC) Analysis of

Human Preeclamptic and IUGR Placentas. *Placenta* 34, 599-605. doi:10.1016/ j.placenta.2013.04.007

- Guo, L., Yang, Q., Lu, J., Li, H., Ge, Q., Gu, W., et al. (2011). A Comprehensive Survey of miRNA Repertoire and 3' Addition Events in the Placentas of Patients with Pre-eclampsia from High-Throughput Sequencing. *PLoS One* 6, e21072. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021072
- Guo, M., Zhao, X., Yuan, X., and Li, P. (2017). Elevated microRNA-34a Contributes to Trophoblast Cell Apoptosis in Preeclampsia by Targeting BCL-2. J. Hum. Hypertens. 31, 815–820. doi:10.1038/jhh.2017.65
- Han, L., Luo, Q. q., Peng, M. g., Zhang, Y., and Zhu, X. h. (2021). miR -483 Is D Ownregulated in Pre-eclampsia via Targeting Insulin-like Growth Factor 1 (IGF1) and Regulates the PI3K/Akt/mTOR Pathway of Endothelial Progenitor Cells. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 47, 63–72. doi:10.1111/jog.14412
- Han, L., Zhao, Y., Luo, Q. Q., Liu, X. X., Lu, S. S., and Zou, L. (2017). The Significance of miR-145 in the Prediction of Preeclampsia. *Bll* 118, 523–528. doi:10.4149/BLL_2017_101
- Hanna, N., Hanna, I., Hleb, M., Wagner, E., Dougherty, J., Balkundi, D., et al. (2000). Gestational Age-dependent Expression of IL-10 and its Receptor in Human Placental Tissues and Isolated Cytotrophoblasts. J. Immunol. 164, 5721–5728. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.164.11.5721
- Harapan, H., and Andalas, M. (2015). The Role of microRNAs in the Proliferation, Differentiation, Invasion, and Apoptosis of Trophoblasts during the Occurrence of Preeclampsia-A Systematic Review. *Tzu Chi Med. J.* 27, 54–64. doi:10.1016/j.tcmj.2015.05.001
- Hayder, H., Fu, G., Nadeem, L., O'Brien, J. A., Lye, S. J., and Peng, C. (2021). Overexpression of Mir-210-3p Impairs Extravillous Trophoblast Functions Associated with Uterine Spiral Artery Remodeling. *Ijms* 22, 3961. doi:10.3390/ijms22083961
- Hayder, H., O'Brien, J., Nadeem, U., and Peng, C. (2018). MicroRNAs: Crucial Regulators of Placental Development. *Reproduction* 155, R259–R271. doi:10.1530/REP-17-0603
- Hemmatzadeh, M., Shomali, N., Yousefzadeh, Y., Mohammadi, H., Ghasemzadeh, A., and Yousefi, M. (2020). MicroRNAs: Small Molecules with a Large Impact on Pre-eclampsia. J. Cel. Physiol. 235, 3235–3248. doi:10.1002/jcp.29286
- Higgins, J. P., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M. J., et al. (2019). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. John Wiley & Sons. doi:10.1002/9781119536604
- Hocaoglu, M., Demirer, S., Senturk, H., Turgut, A., and Komurcu-Bayrak, E. (2019). Differential Expression of Candidate Circulating microRNAs in Maternal Blood Leukocytes of the Patients with Preeclampsia and Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 17, 5–11. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2019.04.004
- Hombach, S., and Kretz, M. (2016). Non-coding RNAs: Classification, Biology and Functioning. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 937, 3–17. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-42059-2_1
- Hong, F., Li, Y., and Xu, Y. (2014). Decreased Placental miR-126 Expression and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Levels in Patients with Pre-eclampsia. J. Int. Med. Res. 42, 1243–1251. doi:10.1177/0300060514540627
- Hromadnikova, I., Dvorakova, L., Kotlabova, K., and Krofta, L. (2019a). The Prediction of Gestational Hypertension, Preeclampsia and Fetal Growth Restriction via the First Trimester Screening of Plasma Exosomal C19MC microRNAs. *Ijms* 20, 2972. doi:10.3390/ijms20122972
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Doucha, J., Dlouha, K., and Krofta, L. (2012).
 Absolute and Relative Quantification of Placenta-specific MicroRNAs in Maternal Circulation with Placental Insufficiency-Related Complications. J. Mol. Diagn. 14, 160–167. doi:10.1016/j.jmoldx.2011.11.003
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Dvorakova, L., and Krofta, L. (2019b). Postpartum Profiling of microRNAs Involved in Pathogenesis of Cardiovascular/cerebrovascular Diseases in Women Exposed to Pregnancy-Related Complications. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 291, 158–167. doi:10.1016/ j.ijcard.2019.05.036
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Hympanova, L., and Krofta, L. (2015a). Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease Associated microRNAS Are Dysregulated in Placental Tissues Affected with Gestational Hypertension, Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction. *PLoS One* 10, e0138383. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138383
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Hympanova, L., and Krofta, L. (2016). Gestational Hypertension, Preeclampsia and Intrauterine Growth Restriction Induce Dysregulation of Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease

Associated microRNAs in Maternal Whole Peripheral Blood. *Thromb. Res.* 137, 126–140. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2015.11.032

- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Ivankova, K., Vedmetskaya, Y., and Krofta, L. (2017). Profiling of Cardiovascular and Cerebrovascular Disease Associated microRNA Expression in Umbilical Cord Blood in Gestational Hypertension, Preeclampsia and Fetal Growth Restriction. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 249, 402–409. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.07.045
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Ondrackova, M., Kestlerova, A., Novotna, V., Hympanova, L., et al. (2013). Circulating C19MC MicroRNAs in Preeclampsia, Gestational Hypertension, and Fetal Growth Restriction. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2013, 1–12. doi:10.1155/2013/186041
- Hromadnikova, I., Kotlabova, K., Ondrackova, M., Pirkova, P., Kestlerova, A., Novotna, V., et al. (2015b). Expression Profile of C19MC microRNAs in Placental Tissue in Pregnancy-Related Complications. DNA Cel Biol. 34, 437–457. doi:10.1089/dna.2014.2687
- Hu, E., Ding, L., Miao, H., Liu, F., Liu, D., Dou, H., et al. (2015). MiR-30a Attenuates Immunosuppressive Functions of IL-1β-elicited Mesenchymal Stem Cells via Targeting TAB3. *FEBS Lett.* 589, 3899–3907. doi:10.1016/ j.febslet.2015.11.001
- Hu, S., Li, J., Tong, M., Li, Q., Chen, Y., Lu, H., et al. (2019). MicroRNA-144-3p M-ay P-articipate in the P-athogenesis of P-reeclampsia by T-argeting Cox-2. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 19, 4655–4662. doi:10.3892/mmr.2019.10150
- Hu, T.-X., Guo, X., Wang, G., Gao, L., He, P., Xia, Y., et al. (2017). MiR133b Is Involved in Endogenous Hydrogen Sulfide Suppression of sFlt-1 Production in Human Placenta. *Placenta* 52, 33–40. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.02.012
- Hu, T.-X., Wang, G., Guo, X.-J., Sun, Q.-Q., He, P., Gu, H., et al. (2016). MiR 20a,-20b and -200c Are Involved in Hydrogen Sulfide Stimulation of VEGF Production in Human Placental Trophoblasts. *Placenta* 39, 101–110. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2016.01.019
- Hu, Y., Li, P., Hao, S., Liu, L., Zhao, J., and Hou, Y. (2009). Differential Expression of microRNAs in the Placentae of Chinese Patients with Severe Pre-eclampsia. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* 47, 923–929. doi:10.1515/CCLM.2009.228
- Huang, J., Zheng, L., Kong, H., Wang, F., Su, Y., and Xin, H. (2020). miR-139-5p Promotes the Proliferation and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells by Targeting sFlt-1 in Preeclampsia. *Placenta* 92, 37–43. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2020.02.003
- Huang, X., Wu, L., Zhang, G., Tang, R., and Zhou, X. (2019). Elevated MicroRNA-181a-5p Contributes to Trophoblast Dysfunction and Preeclampsia. *Reprod. Sci.* 26, 1121–1129. doi:10.1177/1933719118808916
- Ishibashi, O., Ohkuchi, A., Ali, M. M., Kurashina, R., Luo, S.-S., Ishikawa, T., et al. (2012). Hydroxysteroid (17-β) Dehydrogenase 11s Dysregulated byMir-210andMir-518cThat Are Aberrantly Expressed in Preeclamptic Placentas. *Hypertension* 59, 265–273. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.180232
- Jairajpuri, D. S., Malalla, Z. H., Mahmood, N., and Almawi, W. Y. (2017). Circulating microRNA Expression as Predictor of Preeclampsia and its Severity. *Gene* 627, 543–548. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2017.07.010
- Jairajpuri, D. S., Malalla, Z. H., Sarray, S., and Mahmood, N. (2021). Analysis of Differential Expression of Hypoxia-Inducible microRNA-210 Gene Targets in Mild and Severe Preeclamptic Patients. *Non-coding RNA Res.* 6, 51–57. doi:10.1016/j.ncrna.2021.03.001
- Jelena, M., Sopić, M., Joksić, I., Zmrzljak, U. P., Karadžov-Orlić, N., Košir, R., et al. (2020). Placenta-specific Plasma miR518b Is a Potential Biomarker for Preeclampsia. Clin. Biochem. 79, 28–33. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2020.02.012
- Jiang, F., Li, J., Wu, G., Miao, Z., Lu, L., Ren, G., et al. (2015). Upregulation of microRNA-335 and microRNA-584 Contributes to the Pathogenesis of Severe Preeclampsia through Downregulation of Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 12, 5383–5390. doi:10.3892/mmr.2015.4018
- Jiang, L., Long, A., Tan, L., Hong, M., Wu, J., Cai, L., et al. (2017). Elevated microRNA-520g in Pre-eclampsia Inhibits Migration and Invasion of Trophoblasts. *Placenta* 51, 70–75. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.02.001
- Jin, M., Li, H., Xu, H., Huo, G., and Yao, Y. (2017). MicroRNA-20b Inhibits Trophoblast Cell Migration and Invasion by Targeting MMP-2. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 10, 10901–10909.
- Kamali Simsek, N., Benian, A., Sevgin, K., Ergun, Y., Goksever Celik, H., Karahuseyinoglu, S., et al. (2021). Microrna Analysis of Human Decidua Mesenchymal Stromal Cells from Preeclampsia Patients. *Placenta* 115, 12–19. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2021.09.004
- Keiser, S. D., Veillon, E. W., Parrish, M. R., Bennett, W., Cockrell, K., Fournier, L., et al. (2009). Effects of 17-Hydroxyprogesterone on Tumor Necrosis Factor-

-Induced Hypertension during Pregnancy. Am. J. Hypertens. 22, 1120–1125. doi:10.1038/ajh.2009.149

- Khaliq, O. P., Murugesan, S., Moodley, J., and Mackraj, I. (2018). Differential Expression of miRNAs Are Associated with the Insulin Signaling Pathway in Preeclampsia and Gestational Hypertension. *Clin. Exp. Hypertens.* 40, 744–751. doi:10.1080/10641963.2018.1431257
- Kim, S., Lee, K.-S., Choi, S., Kim, J., Lee, D.-K., Park, M., et al. (2018). NF-κBresponsive miRNA-31-5p Elicits Endothelial Dysfunction Associated with Preeclampsia via Down-Regulation of Endothelial Nitric-Oxide Synthase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 18989–19000. doi:10.1074/jbc.RA118.005197
- Kim, S., Park, M., Kim, J.-Y., Kim, T., Hwang, J., Ha, K.-S., et al. (2020). Circulating miRNAs Associated with Dysregulated Vascular and Trophoblast Function as Target-Based Diagnostic Biomarkers for Preeclampsia. *Cells* 9, 2003. doi:10.3390/cells9092003
- Kolkova, Z., Holubekova, V., Grendar, M., Nachajova, M., Zubor, P., Pribulova, T., et al. (2021). Association of Circulating Mirna Expression with Preeclampsia, its Onset, and Severity. *Diagnostics* 11, 476. doi:10.3390/diagnostics11030476
- Korkes, H. A., De Oliveira, L., Sass, N., Salahuddin, S., Karumanchi, S. A., and Rajakumar, A. (2017). Relationship between Hypoxia and Downstream Pathogenic Pathways in Preeclampsia. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 36, 145–150. doi:10.1080/10641955.2016.1259627
- Kumar, P., Luo, Y., Tudela, C., Alexander, J. M., and Mendelson, C. R. (2013). The C-Myc-Regulated MicroRNA-17~92 (miR-17~92) and miR-106a~363 Clusters Target hCYP19A1 and hGCM1 to Inhibit Human Trophoblast Differentiation. *Mol. Cel. Biol.* 33, 1782–1796. doi:10.1128/MCB.01228-12
- Lalevée, S., Lapaire, O., and Bühler, M. (2014). MiR455 Is Linked to Hypoxia Signaling and Is Deregulated in Preeclampsia. *Cell Death Dis* 5, e1408. doi:10.1038/cddis.2014.368
- Lasabová, Z., Vážan, M., Zibolenová, J., Švecová, I., and Lasabová, A. Z. (2015). Overexpression of miR-21 and miR-122 in Preeclamptic Placentas. *Neuro Endocrinol. Lett.* 36, 695–699.
- Lázár, L., Nagy, B., Molvarec, A., Szarka, A., and Rigó, J. (2012). Role of Hsa-miR-325 in the Etiopathology of Preeclampsia. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 6, 597–600. doi:10.3892/mmr.2012.954
- Lecarpentier, E., and Tsatsaris, V. (2016). Angiogenic Balance (sFlt-1/PlGF) and Preeclampsia. Ann. d'Endocrinologie 77, 97–100. doi:10.1016/ j.ando.2016.04.007
- Li, H., Ge, Q., Guo, L., and Lu, Z. (2013a). Maternal Plasma miRNAs Expression in Preeclamptic Pregnancies. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2013, 1–9. doi:10.1155/2013/ 970265
- Li, H. Q., Fan, J. J., Li, X. H., and Bao, D. (2020a). MiR-507 Inhibits the Growth and Invasion of Trophoblasts by Targeting CAMK4. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 24, 5856–5862. doi:10.26355/eurrev_202006_21477
- Li, J., Du, J., Wang, Z., Wang, C., Bai, J., and Zhang, S. (2018). Expression of miR-376 in B-lood of P-regnant W-omen with P-reeclampsia and its E-ffect on 25hydroxyvitamin D. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 16, 1701–1706. doi:10.3892/etm.2018.6394
- Li, J., Zhao, Y., Lu, Y., Ritchie, W., Grau, G., Vadas, M. A., et al. (2016). The Poly-Cistronic miR-23-27-24 Complexes Target Endothelial Cell Junctions: Differential Functional and Molecular Effects of miR-23a and miR-23b. *Mol. Ther. - Nucleic Acids* 5, e354. doi:10.1038/mtna.2016.62
- Li, L., Hou, A., Gao, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., Wang, J., et al. (2017a). Lentivirusmediated miR-23a Overexpression Induces Trophoblast Cell Apoptosis through Inhibiting X-Linked Inhibitor of Apoptosis. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 94, 412–417. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2017.07.082
- Li, P., Guo, W., Du, L., Zhao, J., Wang, Y., Liu, L., et al. (2013b). MicroRNA-29b Contributes to Pre-eclampsia through its Effects on Apoptosis, Invasion and Angiogenesis of Trophoblast Cells. *Clin. Sci.* 124, 27–40. doi:10.1042/ CS20120121
- Li, Q., Han, Y., Xu, P., Yin, L., Si, Y., Zhang, C., et al. (2020b). Elevated microRNA-125b Inhibits Cytotrophoblast Invasion and Impairs Endothelial Cell Function in Preeclampsia. *Cell Death Discov.* 6, 35. doi:10.1038/s41420-020-0269-0
- Li, Q., Long, A., Jiang, L., Cai, L., Xie, L., Gu, J. A., et al. (2015). Quantification of Preeclampsia-Related microRNAs in Maternal Serum. *Biomed. Rep.* 3, 792–796. doi:10.3892/br.2015.524
- Li, Q., Pan, Z., Wang, X., Gao, Z., Ren, C., and Yang, W. (2014a). MiR-125b-1-3p Inhibits Trophoblast Cell Invasion by Targeting Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Receptor 1 in Preeclampsia. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 453, 57–63. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.09.059

- Li, R., Wang, N., Xue, M., Long, W., Cheng, C., Mi, C., et al. (2019). A Potential Regulatory Network Among WDR86-AS1, miR-10b-3p, and LITAF Is Possibly Involved in Preeclampsia Pathogenesis. *Cell Signal*. 55, 40–52. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2018.12.006
- Li, T., Zhou, B., He, Y., Liu, J., and Li, Y. (2020c). Expression and Clinical Diagnostic Value of miR-383 in Patients with Severe Preeclampsia. *Cel Mol Biol* (*Noisy-le-grand*) 66, 92–100. doi:10.14715/cmb/2020.66.3.14
- Li, W., Yu, N., Fan, L., Chen, S.-H., and Wu, J.-L. (2020d). Circ_0063517 Acts as ceRNA, Targeting the miR-31-5p-ETBR axis to Regulate Angiogenesis of Vascular Endothelial Cells in Preeclampsia. *Life Sci.* 244, 117306. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2020.117306
- Li, X., Li, C., Dong, X., and Gou, W. (2014b). MicroRNA-155 Inhibits Migration of Trophoblast Cells and Contributes to the Pathogenesis of Severe Preeclampsia by Regulating Endothelial Nitric Oxide Synthase. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 10, 550–554. doi:10.3892/mmr.2014.2214
- Li, X., Song, Y., Liu, D., Zhao, J., Xu, J., Ren, J., et al. (2017b). MiR-495 Promotes Senescence of Mesenchymal Stem Cells by Targeting Bmi-1. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 42, 780–796. doi:10.1159/000478069
- Liao, G., Cheng, D., Li, J., and Hu, S. (2021). Clinical Significance of microRNA-320a and Insulin-like Growth Factor-1 Receptor in Early-Onset Preeclampsia Patients. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 263, 164–170. doi:10.1016/ j.ejogrb.2021.06.032
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., et al. (2009). The PRISMA Statement for Reporting Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses of Studies that Evaluate Health Care Interventions: Explanation and Elaboration. *Plos Med.* 6, e1000100. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100
- Licini, C., Avellini, C., Picchiassi, E., Mensà, E., Fantone, S., Ramini, D., et al. (2021). Pre-eclampsia Predictive Ability of Maternal miR-125b: a Clinical and Experimental Study. *Translational Res.* 228, 13–27. doi:10.1016/ j.trsl.2020.07.011
- Lip, S. V., Boekschoten, M. V., Hooiveld, G. J., van Pampus, M. G., Scherjon, S. A., Plösch, T., et al. (2020). Early-onset Preeclampsia, Plasma microRNAs, and Endothelial Cell Function. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 222, 497e1–497. e12. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2019.11.1286
- Lisabeth, E. M., Falivelli, G., and Pasquale, E. B. (2013). Eph Receptor Signaling and Ephrins. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect. Biol.* 5, a009159. doi:10.1101/ cshperspect.a009159
- Liu, B., Liu, L., Cui, S., Qi, Y., and Wang, T. (2021). Expression and Significance of microRNA -126 and VCAM -1 in Placental Tissues of Women with Early-onset Preeclampsia. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 47, 2042–2050. doi:10.1111/jog.14732
- Liu, E., Liu, Z., Zhou, Y., Chen, M., Wang, L., and Li, J. (2019a). MicroRNA-142-3p I-nhibits T-rophoblast C-ell M-igration and I-nvasion by D-isrupting the TGFβ1/Smad3 S-ignaling P-athway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 49, 3775–3782. doi:10.3892/ mmr.2019.9997
- Liu, F., Wu, K., Wu, W., Chen, Y., Wu, H., Wang, H., et al. (2018). miR-203 C-ontributes to P-re-eclampsia via I-nhibition of VEGFA E-xpression. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 17, 5627–5634. doi:10.3892/mmr.2018.8558
- Liu, J. J., Zhang, L., Zhang, F. F., Luan, T., Yin, Z. M., Rui, C., et al. (2019b). Influence of miR-34a on Preeclampsia through the Notch Signaling Pathway. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 923–931. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201902_16978
- Liu, L., Wang, Y., Fan, H., Zhao, X., Liu, D., Hu, Y., et al. (2012). MicroRNA-181a Regulates Local Immune Balance by Inhibiting Proliferation and Immunosuppressive Properties of Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Stem Cells 30, 1756–1770. doi:10.1002/stem.1156
- Liu, W., Liu, T., Jiang, F., Liu, C., Zhao, X., Gao, Y., et al. (2011). microRNA-155 Regulates Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor Expression in Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells from Severely Pre-eclamptic Pregnant Women. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 27, 393–399. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2011.598
- Liu, Z., Zhao, X., Shan, H., Gao, H., and Wang, P. (2019c). microRNA-520c-3p Suppresses NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation and Inflammatory cascade in Preeclampsia by Downregulating NLRP3. *Inflamm. Res.* 68, 643–654. doi:10.1007/s00011-019-01246-8
- Lou, C. X., Zhou, X. T., Tian, Q. C., Xie, H. Q., and Zhang, J. Y. (2018). Low Expression of microRNA-21 Inhibits Trophoblast Cell Infiltration through Targeting PTEN. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 22, 6181–6189. doi:10.26355/ eurrev_201810_16023
- Lu, T.-M., Lu, W., and Zhao, L.-J. (2017). MicroRNA-137 Affects Proliferation and Migration of Placenta Trophoblast Cells in Preeclampsia by Targeting ERRa. *Reprod. Sci.* 24, 85–96. doi:10.1177/1933719116650754

- Luizon, M. R., Conceição, I. M. C. A., Viana-Mattioli, S., Caldeira-Dias, M., Cavalli, R. C., and Sandrim, V. C. (2021). Circulating MicroRNAs in the Second Trimester from Pregnant Women Who Subsequently Developed Preeclampsia: Potential Candidates as Predictive Biomarkers and Pathway Analysis for Target Genes of miR-204-5p. *Front. Physiol.* 12, 678184. doi:10.3389/fphys.2021.678184
- Luo, R., Shao, X., Xu, P., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Zhao, Y., et al. (2014). MicroRNA-210 Contributes to Preeclampsia by Downregulating Potassium Channel Modulatory Factor 1. *Hypertension* 64, 839–845. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03530
- Luo, S., Cao, N., Tang, Y., and Gu, W. (2017a). Identification of Key microRNAs and Genes in Preeclampsia by Bioinformatics Analysis. *PLoS One* 12, e0178549. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0178549
- Luo, S., Li, H., Cao, N., Tang, Y., and Gu, W. (2017b). MicroRNA-148a Affects Functions of Placental Trophoblast Cells in Preeclampsia by Regulating HLA-G. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 10, 5205–5212.
- Luque, A., Farwati, A., Crovetto, F., Crispi, F., Figueras, F., Gratacós, E., et al. (2014). Usefulness of Circulating microRNAs for the Prediction of Early Preeclampsia at First-Trimester of Pregnancy. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 4882. doi:10.1038/ srep04882
- Lv, Y., Lu, X., Li, C., Fan, Y., Ji, X., Long, W., et al. (2019). miR-145-5p Promotes Trophoblast Cell Growth and Invasion by Targeting FLT1. *Life Sci.* 239, 117008. doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2019.117008
- Lykoudi, A., Kolialexi, A., Lambrou, G. I., Braoudaki, M., Siristatidis, C., Papaioanou, G. K., et al. (2018). Dysregulated Placental microRNAs in Early and Late Onset Preeclampsia. *Placenta* 61, 24–32. doi:10.1016/ j.placenta.2017.11.005
- Ma, H. Y., Cu, W., Sun, Y. H., and Chen, X. (2020). MiRNA-203a-3p Inhibits Inflammatory Response in Preeclampsia through Regulating IL24. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 24, 5223–5230. doi:10.26355/eurrev_202005_21304
- Ma, R., Lu, Y., Dou, C., and Gu, Q. (2019). Clinical Significance of miR-133a and miR-206 in Pregnant Women with Preeclampsia and Correlation with Pregnancy Outcomes. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 12, 7383–7391.
- Mao, Y., Hou, B., Shan, L., Sun, X., and Wang, L. (2021). Aberrantly Up-Regulated miR-142-3p Inhibited the Proliferation and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells by Regulating FOXM1. *Placenta* 104, 253–260. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2021.01.002
- Martinez-Fierro, M. L., Carrillo-Arriaga, J. G., Luevano, M., Lugo-Trampe, A., Delgado-Enciso, I., Rodriguez-Sanchez, I. P., et al. (2019). Serum Levels of miR-628-3p and miR-628-5p during the Early Pregnancy Are Increased in Women Who Subsequently Develop Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 16, 120–125. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2019.03.012
- Martinez-Fierro, M. L., and Garza-Veloz, I. (2021). Analysis of Circulating Microrna Signatures and Preeclampsia Development. *Cells* 10, 1003. doi:10.3390/cells10051003
- Martinez-Fierro, M. L., Garza-Veloz, I., Gutierrez-Arteaga, C., Delgado-Enciso, I., Barbosa-Cisneros, O. Y., Flores-Morales, V., et al. (2018). Circulating Levels of Specific Members of Chromosome 19 microRNA Cluster Are Associated with Preeclampsia Development. Arch. Gynecol. Obstet. 297, 365–371. doi:10.1007/ s00404-017-4611-6
- Mavreli, D., Lykoudi, A., Lambrou, G., Papaioannou, G., Vrachnis, N., Kalantaridou, S., et al. (2020). Deep Sequencing Identified Dysregulated Circulating MicroRNAs in Late Onset Preeclampsia. *In Vivo* 34, 2317–2324. doi:10.21873/invivo.12044
- Mayor-Lynn, K., Toloubeydokhti, T., Cruz, A. C., and Chegini, N. (2011). Expression Profile of microRNAs and mRNAs in Human Placentas from Pregnancies Complicated by Preeclampsia and Preterm Labor. *Reprod. Sci.* 18, 46–56. doi:10.1177/1933719110374115
- McElrath, T. F., Cantonwine, D. E., Gray, K. J., Mirzakhani, H., Doss, R. C., Khaja, N., et al. (2020). Late First Trimester Circulating Microparticle Proteins Predict the Risk of Preeclampsia. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 17353. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-74078-w
- Mei, Z., Huang, B., Zhang, Y., Qian, X., Mo, Y., and Deng, N. (2019). Histone Deacetylase 6 Negatively Regulated microRNA-199a-5p Induces the Occurrence of Preeclampsia by Targeting VEGFA In Vitro. Biomed. Pharmacother. 114, 108805. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2019.108805
- Meng, H.-X., Xu, L.-N., Jing, G., Qian, L., and Qi, M.-G. (2017). MiR-223 Promotes Trophoblast Cell Survival and Invasion by Targeting STAT3 in Preeclampsia. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 10, 6577–6585.
- Miura, K., Higashijima, A., Murakami, Y., Tsukamoto, O., Hasegawa, Y., Abe, S., et al. (2015). Circulating Chromosome 19 miRNA Cluster microRNAs in

Pregnant Women with Severe Pre-eclampsia. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res. 41, 1526-1532. doi:10.1111/jog.12749

- Motawi, T. M. k., Sabry, D., Maurice, N. W., and Rizk, S. M. (2018). Role of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Exosomes Derived microRNAs; miR-136, miR-494 and miR-495 in Pre-eclampsia Diagnosis and Evaluation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 659, 13–21. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2018.09.023
- Mouillet, J.-F., Ouyang, Y., Coyne, C. B., and Sadovsky, Y. (2015). MicroRNAs in Placental Health and Disease. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 213, S163–S172. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.057
- Munaut, C., Tebache, L., Blacher, S., Noël, A., Nisolle, M., and Chantraine, F. (2016). Dysregulated Circulating miRNAs in Preeclampsia. *Biomed. Rep.* 5, 686–692. doi:10.3892/br.2016.779
- Muralimanoharan, S., Maloyan, A., Mele, J., Guo, C., Myatt, L. G., and Myatt, L. (2012). MIR-210 Modulates Mitochondrial Respiration in Placenta with Preeclampsia. *Placenta* 33, 816–823. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2012.07.002
- Murphy, M. S.-Q., Casselman, R. C., Tayade, C., and Smith, G. N. (2015). Differential Expression of Plasma microRNA in Preeclamptic Patients at Delivery and 1 Year Postpartum. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 213, 367e1-367. e9. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.013
- Nejad, R. M. A., Saeidi, K., Gharbi, S., Salari, Z., and Saleh-Gohari, N. (2019). Quantification of Circulating miR-517c-3p and miR-210-3p Levels in Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 16, 75–78. doi:10.1016/ j.preghy.2019.03.004
- Niu, Z.-r., Han, T., SunluanLuanxia, X., Luan, L.-x., Gou, W.-l., and Zhu, X.-m. (2018). MicroRNA-30a-3p Is Overexpressed in the Placentas of Patients with Preeclampsia and Affects Trophoblast Invasion and Apoptosis by its Effects on IGF-1. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 218, 249e1–249. e12. doi:10.1016/ j.ajog.2017.11.568
- Nizyaeva, N. V., Kulikova, G. V., Nagovitsyna, M. N., Kan, N. E., Prozorovskaya, K. N., and Shchegolev, A. I. (2018). Change in OncomicroRNA Expression in the Placenta during Preeclampsia. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* 165, 793–797. doi:10.1007/ s10517-018-4267-7
- Nizyaeva, N. V., Kulikova, G. V., Nagovitsyna, M. N., Kan, N. E., Prozorovskaya, K. N., Shchegolev, A. I., et al. (2017). Expression of MicroRNA-146a and MicroRNA-155 in Placental Villi in Early- and Late-Onset Preeclampsia. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* 163, 394–399. doi:10.1007/s10517-017-3812-0
- Ospina-Prieto, S., Chaiwangyen, W., Herrmann, J., Groten, T., Schleussner, E., Markert, U. R., et al. (2016). MicroRNA-141 Is Upregulated in Preeclamptic Placentae and Regulates Trophoblast Invasion and Intercellular Communication. *Translational Res.* 172, 61–72. doi:10.1016/j.trsl.2016.02.012
- Pasquale, E. B. (2005). Eph Receptor Signalling Casts a Wide Net on Cell Behaviour. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cel Biol. 6, 462–475. doi:10.1038/nrm1662
- Peng, P., Song, H., Xie, C., Zheng, W., Ma, H., Xin, D., et al. (2021). miR-146a-5pmediated Suppression on Trophoblast Cell Progression and Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Preeclampsia. *Biol. Res.* 54, 30. doi:10.1186/ s40659-021-00351-5
- Pillay, P., Vatish, M., Duarte, R., Moodley, J., and Mackraj, I. (2019). Exosomal microRNA Profiling in Early and Late Onset Preeclamptic Pregnant Women Reflects Pathophysiology. *Ijn* 14, 5637–5657. doi:10.2147/IJN.S208865
- Pineles, B. L., Romero, R., Montenegro, D., Tarca, A. L., Han, Y. M., Kim, Y. M., et al. (2007). Distinct Subsets of microRNAs Are Expressed Differentially in the Human Placentas of Patients with Preeclampsia. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 196, 261e1–261. e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2007.01.008
- Qian, S., and Liu, R. (2019). miR-30b Facilitates Preeclampsia through Targeting MXRA5 to Inhibit the Viability, Invasion and Apoptosis of Placental Trophoblast Cells. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol. 12, 4057–4065.
- Salomon, C., Guanzon, D., Scholz-Romero, K., Longo, S., Correa, P., Illanes, S. E., et al. (2017). Placental Exosomes as Early Biomarker of Preeclampsia: Potential Role of Exosomal MicroRNAs across Gestation. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 102, 3182–3194. doi:10.1210/jc.2017-00672
- Sandrim, V. C., Dias, M. C., Bovolato, A. L. d. C., Tanus-Santos, J. E., Deffune, E., and Cavalli, R. C. (2016a). Plasma from Pre-eclamptic Patients Induces the Expression of the Anti-angiogenic miR-195-5p in Endothelial Cells. J. Cel. Mol. Med. 20, 1198–1200. doi:10.1111/jcmm.12767
- Sandrim, V., Luizon, M., Palei, A., Tanus-Santos, J., and Cavalli, R. (2016b). Circulating microRNA Expression Profiles in Pre-eclampsia: Evidence of Increased miR-885-5p Levels. *Bjog: Int. J. Obstet. Gy* 123, 2120–2128. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.13903

- Sekar, D., Lakshmanan, G., Mani, P., and Biruntha, M. (2019). Methylationdependent Circulating microRNA 510 in Preeclampsia Patients. *Hypertens. Res.* 42, 1647–1648. doi:10.1038/s41440-019-0269-8
- Shao, X., Liu, Y., Liu, M., Wang, Y., Yan, L., Wang, H., et al. (2017). Testosterone Represses Estrogen Signaling by Upregulating miR-22. *Hypertension* 69, 721–730. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08468
- Sheikh, A. M., Small, H. Y., Currie, G., and Delles, C. (2016). Systematic Review of Micro-RNA Expression in Pre-eclampsia Identifies a Number of Common Pathways Associated with the Disease. *PLoS One* 11, e0160808. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0160808
- Shen, L., Li, Y., Li, R., Diao, Z., Yany, M., Wu, M., et al. (2018). Placenta-associated S-erum E-xosomal miR-155 D-erived from P-atients with P-reeclampsia I-nhibits eNOS E-xpression in H-uman U-mbilical V-ein E-ndothelial C-ells. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 41, 1731–1739. doi:10.3892/ijmm.2018.3367
- Sheng, C., Zhao, Y., and Zhu, L. (2020). Down-regulation of EDN1 Gene Expression by Circulating miR-206 Is Associated with Risk of Preeclampsia. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 99, e20319. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000020319
- Shi, Z., She, K., Li, H., Yuan, X., Han, X., and Wang, Y. (2019). MicroRNA-454 Contributes to Sustaining the Proliferation and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells through Inhibiting Nodal/ALK7 Signaling in Pre-eclampsia. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 298, 8–14. doi:10.1016/j.cbi.2018.10.012
- Singh, K., Williams, J., Brown, J., Wang, E. T., Lee, B., Gonzalez, T. L., et al. (2017). Up-regulation of microRNA-202-3p in First Trimester Placenta of Pregnancies Destined to Develop Severe Preeclampsia, a Pilot Study. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 10, 7–9. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2017.04.002
- Song, H., Wang, X., Li, J. C., and Lv, Y. H. (2020). MiR-655-3p Inhibits Growth and Invasiveness of Trophoblasts via Targeting PBX3 and Thus Deteriorates Preeclampsia. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 24, 10346–10351. doi:10.26355/eurrev_202010_23383
- Spence, T., Allsopp, P. J., Yeates, A. J., Mulhern, M. S., Strain, J. J., and McSorley, E. M. (2021). Maternal Serum Cytokine Concentrations in Healthy Pregnancy and Preeclampsia. J. Pregnancy 2021, 1–33. doi:10.1155/2021/6649608
- Stroup, D. F., Berlin, J. A., Morton, S. C., Olkin, I., Williamson, G. D., Rennie, D., et al. (2000). Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in EpidemiologyA Proposal for Reporting. JAMA 283, 2008–2012. doi:10.1001/jama.283.15.2008
- Sun, M., Chen, H., Liu, J., Tong, C., and Meng, T. (2015). MicroRNA-34a Inhibits Human Trophoblast Cell Invasion by Targeting MYC. BMC Cel Biol 16, 21. doi:10.1186/s12860-015-0068-2
- Tang, Q., Gui, J., Wu, X., and Wu, W. (2019). Downregulation of miR-424 in Placenta Is Associated with Severe Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 17, 109–112. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2019.05.017
- Tao, J., Xia, L.-Z., Liang, L., Chen, Y., Wei, D., Meng, J., et al. (2020). MiR-124-3p Promotes Trophoblast Cell HTR-8/SVneo Pyroptosis by Targeting Placental Growth Factor. *Placenta* 101, 176–184. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2020.08.011
- Taravati, A., and Tohidi, F. (2018). Comprehensive Analysis of Oxidative Stress Markers and Antioxidants Status in Preeclampsia. *Taiwanese J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 57, 779–790. doi:10.1016/j.tjog.2018.10.002
- Timofeeva, A. V., Gusar, V. A., Kan, N. E., Prozorovskaya, K. N., Karapetyan, A. O., Bayev, O. R., et al. (2018). Identification of Potential Early Biomarkers of Preeclampsia. *Placenta* 61, 61–71. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.11.011
- Truong, G., Guanzon, D., Kinhal, V., Elfeky, O., Lai, A., Longo, S., et al. (2017). Oxygen Tension Regulates the miRNA Profile and Bioactivity of Exosomes Released from Extravillous Trophoblast Cells - Liquid Biopsies for Monitoring Complications of Pregnancy. *PLoS One* 12, e0174514. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0174514
- Tsai, P.-Y., Li, S.-H., Chen, W.-N., Tsai, H.-L., and Su, M.-T. (2017). Differential miR-346 and miR-582-3p Expression in Association with Selected Maternal and Fetal Complications. *Ijms* 18, 1570. doi:10.3390/ijms18071570
- Ura, B., Feriotto, G., Monasta, L., Bilel, S., Zweyer, M., and Celeghini, C. (2014). Potential Role of Circulating microRNAs as Early Markers of Preeclampsia. *Taiwanese J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 53, 232–234. doi:10.1016/j.tjog.2014.03.001
- Vashukova, E. S., Glotov, A. S., Fedotov, P. V., Efimova, O. A., Pakin, V. S., Mozgovaya, E. V., et al. (2016). Placental microRNA Expression in Pregnancies Complicated by Superimposed Pre-eclampsia on Chronic Hypertension. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 14, 22–32. doi:10.3892/mmr.2016.5268
- Wang, C. Y., Tsai, P. Y., Chen, T. Y., Tsai, H. L., Kuo, P. L., and Su, M. T. (2019a). Elevated miR-200a and miR-141 Inhibit Endocrine Gland-derived Vascular

Endothelial Growth Factor Expression and Ciliogenesis in Preeclampsia. J. Physiol. 597, 3069–3083. doi:10.1113/JP277704

- Wang, F., and Yan, J. (2018). MicroRNA-454 Is Involved in Regulating Trophoblast Cell Proliferation, Apoptosis, and Invasion in Preeclampsia by Modulating the Expression of Ephrin Receptor B4. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 107, 746–753. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.055
- Wang, H., Zhang, L., Guo, X., Bai, Y., Li, Y.-X., Sha, J., et al. (2018a). MiR-195 Modulates Oxidative Stress-Induced Apoptosis and Mitochondrial Energy Production in Human Trophoblasts via Flavin Adenine Dinucleotidedependent Oxidoreductase Domain-Containing Protein 1 and Pyruvate Dehydrogenase Phosphatase Regulatory Subunit. J. Hypertens. 36, 306–318. doi:10.1097/HJH.000000000001529
- Wang, N., Feng, Y., Xu, J., Zou, J., Chen, M., He, Y., et al. (2018b). miR-362-3p Regulates Cell Proliferation, Migration and Invasion of Trophoblastic Cells under Hypoxia through Targeting Pax3. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 99, 462–468. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.01.089
- Wang, N., Li, R., and Xue, M. (2019b). Potential Regulatory Network in the PSG10P/miR-19a-3p/IL1RAP Pathway Is Possibly Involved in Preeclampsia Pathogenesis. J. Cel. Mol. Med. 23, 852–864. doi:10.1111/jcmm.13985
- Wang, R., Liu, W., Liu, X., Liu, X., Tao, H., Wu, D., et al. (2019c). MicroRNA-210 Regulates Human Trophoblast Cell Line HTR-8/SVneo Function by Attenuating Notch1 Expression: Implications for the Role of microRNA-210 in Pre-eclampsia. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 86, 896–907. doi:10.1002/mrd.23154
- Wang, S., Wang, X., Weng, Z., Zhang, S., Ning, H., and Li, B. (2017). Expression and Role of microRNA 18b and Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1α in P-lacental T-issues of P-reeclampsia P-atients. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 14, 4554–4560. doi:10.3892/etm.2017.5067
- Wang, W., Feng, L., Zhang, H., Hachy, S., Satohisa, S., Laurent, L. C., et al. (2012a).
 Preeclampsia up-regulates angiogenesis-associated microRNA (i.e., miR-17, -20a, and -20b) that target ephrin-B2 and EPHB4 in human placenta. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 97, E1051–E1059. doi:10.1210/jc.2011-3131
- Wang, Y., Cheng, K., Zhou, W., Liu, H., Yang, T., Hou, P., et al. (2019d). miR-141-5p Regulate ATF2 via Effecting MAPK1/ERK2 Signaling to Promote Preeclampsia. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 115, 108953. doi:10.1016/ j.biopha.2019.108953
- Wang, Y., Dong, Q., Gu, Y., and Groome, L. J. (2016a). Up-regulation of miR-203 Expression Induces Endothelial Inflammatory Response: Potential Role in Preeclampsia. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 76, 482–490. doi:10.1111/aji.12589
- Wang, Y., Fan, H., Zhao, G., Liu, D., Du, L., Wang, Z., et al. (2012b). MiR-16 Inhibits the Proliferation and Angiogenesis-Regulating Potential of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Severe Pre-eclampsia. FEBS J. 279, 4510–4524. doi:10.1111/febs.12037
- Wang, Y., Lumbers, E. R., Arthurs, A. L., De Meaultsart, C. C., Mathe, A., Avery-Kiejda, K. A., et al. (2018c). Regulation of the Human Placental (Pro)renin Receptor-Prorenin-Angiotensin System by microRNAs. *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 24, 453–464. doi:10.1093/molehr/gay031
- Wang, Y. P., Zhao, P., Liu, J. Y., Liu, S. M., and Wang, Y. X. (2020). MicroRNA-132 Stimulates the Growth and Invasiveness of Trophoblasts by Targeting DAPK-1. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 24, 9837–9843. doi:10.26355/ eurrev_202010_23193
- Wang, Y., Yang, X., Yang, Y., Wang, W., Zhao, M., Liu, H., et al. (2016b). Highthroughput Deep Screening and Identification of Four Peripheral Leucocyte microRNAs as Novel Potential Combination Biomarkers for Preeclampsia. *J. Perinatol.* 36, 263–267. doi:10.1038/jp.2015.192
- Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2014). Aberrantly Up-Regulated miR-20a in Pre-eclampsic Placenta Compromised the Proliferative and Invasive Behaviors of Trophoblast Cells by Targeting Forkhead Box Protein A1. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 10, 973–982. doi:10.7150/ijbs.9088
- Wang, Y., and Zhao, S. (2010). Vascular Biology of the Placenta. *Review*. doi:10.4199/C00016ED1V01Y201008ISP009
- Wang, Z., Wang, P., Wang, Z., Qin, Z., Xiu, X., Xu, D., et al. (2019e). MiRNA-548c-5p Downregulates Inflammatory Response in Preeclampsia via Targeting PTPRO. J. Cel. Physiol. 234, 11149–11155. doi:10.1002/jcp.27758
- Weedon-Fekjær, M. S., Sheng, Y., Sugulle, M., Johnsen, G. M., Herse, F., Redman, C. W., et al. (2014). Placental miR-1301 Is Dysregulated in Early-Onset Preeclampsia and Inversely Correlated with Maternal Circulating Leptin. *Placenta* 35, 709–717. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2014.07.002

- Wei, J., Blenkiron, C., Tsai, P., James, J. L., Chen, Q., Stone, P. R., et al. (2017). Placental Trophoblast Debris Mediated Feto-Maternal Signalling via Small RNA Delivery: Implications for Preeclampsia. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 14681. doi:10.1038/ s41598-017-14180-8
- Wells, G., Shea, B., O'connell, D., Peterson, J., and Welch, V. (2014). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for Assessing the Quality of Nonrandomised Studies in Meta-Analyses.
- Whigham, C.-A., MacDonald, T. M., Walker, S. P., Hiscock, R., Hannan, N. J., Pritchard, N., et al. (2020). MicroRNAs 363 and 149 Are Differentially Expressed in the Maternal Circulation Preceding a Diagnosis of Preeclampsia. Sci. Rep. 10, 18077. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-73783-w
- Winger, E. E., Reed, J. L., and Ji, X. (2014). First Trimester Pbmc Microrna Predicts Adverse Pregnancy Outcome. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 72, 515–526. doi:10.1111/ aji.12287
- Winger, E. E., Reed, J. L., and Ji, X. (2015). First-trimester Maternal Cell microRNA Is a superior Pregnancy Marker to Immunological Testing for Predicting Adverse Pregnancy Outcome. J. Reprod. Immunol. 110, 22–35. doi:10.1016/ j.jri.2015.03.005
- Winger, E. E., Reed, J. L., Ji, X., and Nicolaides, K. (2018). Peripheral Blood Cell microRNA Quantification during the First Trimester Predicts Preeclampsia: Proof of Concept. PLoS One 13, e0190654. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0190654
- Witcher, P. M. (2018). Preeclampsia: Acute Complications and Management Priorities. AACN Adv. Crit. Care 29, 316–326. doi:10.4037/aacnacc2018710
- Witvrouwen, I., Mannaerts, D., Ratajczak, J., Boeren, E., Faes, E., van Craenenbroeck, A. H., et al. (2021). MicroRNAs Targeting VEGF Are Related to Vascular Dysfunction in Preeclampsia. *Biosci. Rep.* 41, BSR20210874. doi:10.1042/BSR20210874
- Wu, D., Shi, L., Hong, L., Chen, X., and Cen, H. (2020a). MiR-135a-5p Promotes the Migration and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells in Preeclampsia by Targeting β-TrCP. *Placenta* 99, 63–69. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2020.07.028
- Wu, G.-M., Jin, Y., Cao, Y.-M., and Li, J.-Y. (2020b). The Diagnostic Value and Regulatory Mechanism of miR-200a Targeting ZEB1 in Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 39, 243–251. doi:10.1080/ 10641955.2020.1757700
- Wu, L., Zhou, H., Lin, H., Qi, J., Zhu, C., Gao, Z., et al. (2012). Circulating microRNAs Are Elevated in Plasma from Severe Preeclamptic Pregnancies. *Reproduction* 143, 389–397. doi:10.1530/REP-11-0304
- Xiao, J., Tao, T., Yin, Y., Zhao, L., Yang, L., and Hu, L. (2017). miR-144 May Regulate the Proliferation, Migration and Invasion of Trophoblastic Cells through Targeting PTEN in Preeclampsia. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 94, 341–353. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2017.07.130
- Xiaobo, Z., Qizhi, H., Zhiping, W., and Tao, D. (2019). Down-regulated miR-149-5p Contributes to Preeclampsia via Modulating Endoglin Expression. *Pregnancy Hypertens.* 15, 201–208. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2019.01.002
- Xie, N., Jia, Z., and Li, L. (2019). miR-320a U-pregulation C-ontributes to the D-evelopment of P-reeclampsia by I-nhibiting the G-rowth and I-nvasion of T-rophoblast C-ells by T-argeting I-nterleukin 4. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 20, 3256–3264. doi:10.3892/mmr.2019.10574
- Xu, H., and Zhang, X. (2017). Abnormal Expression of microRNA-370 Regulates Endoglin Expression in Preeclampsia. Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med. 10, 4943–4949.
- Xu, J., Gu, Y., Lewis, D. F., Cooper, D. B., McCathran, C. E., and Wang, Y. (2019).
 Downregulation of Vitamin D Receptor and miR-126-3p Expression Contributes to Increased Endothelial Inflammatory Response in Preeclampsia. Am. J. Reprod. Immunol. 82, e13172. doi:10.1111/aji.13172
- Xu, P., Zhao, Y., Liu, M., Wang, Y., Wang, H., Li, Y.-x., et al. (2014). Variations of MicroRNAs in Human Placentas and Plasma from Preeclamptic Pregnancy. *Hypertension* 63, 1276–1284. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02647
- Xu, Q., Song, Y., and Lu, L. (2021). Overexpression of Let-7d Explains Downregulated KDM3A and ENO2 in the Pathogenesis of Preeclampsia. J. Cel. Mol. Med. 25, 8127–8139. doi:10.1111/jcmm.16299
- Xue, F., Yang, J., Li, Q., and Zhou, H. (2019). Down-regulation of microRNA-34a-5p Promotes Trophoblast Cell Migration and Invasion via Targetting Smad4. *Biosci. Rep.* 39, BSR20181631. doi:10.1042/BSR20181631
- Xueya, Z., Yamei, L., Sha, C., Dan, C., Hong, S., Xingyu, Y., et al. (2020). Exosomal Encapsulation of miR-125a-5p Inhibited Trophoblast Cell Migration and Proliferation by Regulating the Expression of VEGFA in Preeclampsia. *Biochem. Biophysical Res. Commun.* 525, 646–653. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.02.137

- Yan, T., Liu, Y., Cui, K., Hu, B., Wang, F., and Zou, L. (2013a). MicroRNA-126 Regulates EPCs Function: Implications for a Role of miR-126 in Preeclampsia. *J. Cel. Biochem.* 114, 2148–2159. doi:10.1002/jcb.24563
- Yan, X., Liang, H., Deng, T., Zhu, K., Zhang, S., Wang, N., et al. (2013b). The Identification of Novel Targets of miR-16 and Characterization of Their Biological Functions in Cancer Cells. *Mol. Cancer* 12, 1–11. doi:10.1186/ 1476-4598-12-92
- Yang, H.-l., Zhang, H.-z., Meng, F.-r., Han, S.-y., and Zhang, M. (2019a). Differential Expression of microRNA-411 and 376c Is Associated with Hypertension in Pregnancy. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 52, e7546. doi:10.1590/ 1414-431X20197546
- Yang, Q., Lu, J., Wang, S., Li, H., Ge, Q., and Lu, Z. (2011). Application of Next-Generation Sequencing Technology to Profile the Circulating microRNAs in the Serum of Preeclampsia versus normal Pregnant Women. *Clinica Chim. Acta* 412, 2167–2173. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2011.07.029
- Yang, S., Li, H., Ge, Q., Guo, L., and Chen, F. (2015). Deregulated microRNA Species in the Plasma and Placenta of Patients with Preeclampsia. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 12, 527–534. doi:10.3892/mmr.2015.3414
- Yang, W., Wang, A., Zhao, C., Li, Q., Pan, Z., Han, X., et al. (2016). MiR-125b Enhances IL-8 Production in Early-Onset Severe Preeclampsia by Targeting Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Lyase 1. *PLoS One* 11, e0166940. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0166940
- Yang, X., and Guo, F. (2019). miR-342-3p S-uppresses C-ell M-igration and I-nvasion in P-reeclampsia by T-argeting P-latelet-derived G-rowth F-actor R-eceptor α. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 20, 1772–1780. doi:10.3892/ mmr.2019.10372
- Yang, X., and Meng, T. (2019). MicroRNA-431 Affects Trophoblast Migration and Invasion by Targeting ZEB1 in Preeclampsia. *Gene* 683, 225–232. doi:10.1016/ j.gene.2018.10.015
- Yang, X., and Meng, T. (2020). miR-215-5p Decreases Migration and Invasion of Trophoblast Cells through Regulating CDC6 in Preeclampsia. *Cell Biochem. Funct.* 38, 472–479. doi:10.1002/cbf.3492
- Yang, X., Zhang, J., and Ding, Y. (2017). Association of microRNA-155, Interleukin 17A, and Proteinuria in Preeclampsia. *Med. (United States* 96, e6509. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000006509
- Yang, Y., Li, H., Ma, Y., Zhu, X., Zhang, S., and Li, J. (2019b). MiR-221-3p Is Down-Regulated in Preeclampsia and Affects Trophoblast Growth, Invasion and Migration Partly via Targeting Thrombospondin 2. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 109, 127–134. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2018.10.009
- Yang, Y., Xi, L., Ma, Y., Zhu, X., Chen, R., Luan, L., et al. (2019c). The lncRNA Small Nucleolar RNA Host Gene 5 Regulates Trophoblast Cell Proliferation, Invasion, and Migration via Modulating miR-26a-5p/N-cadherin axis. J. Cel. Biochem. 120, 3173–3184. doi:10.1002/jcb.27583
- Yang, Z., Shan, N., Deng, Q., Wang, Y., Hou, Y., Mei, J., et al. (2021). Extracellular Vesicle-derived microRNA-18b Ameliorates Preeclampsia by Enhancing Trophoblast Proliferation and Migration via Notch2/TIM3/mTORC1 axis. J. Cel. Mol. Med. 25, 4583–4595. doi:10.1111/jcmm.16234
- Youssef, H. M. G., and Marei, E. S. (2019). Association of MicroRNA-210 and MicroRNA-155 with Severity of Preeclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 17, 49–53. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2019.05.010
- Yu, Z., Zhang, Y., Zheng, H., Gao, Q., and Wang, H. (2021). LncRNA SNHG16 Regulates Trophoblast Functions by the miR-218-5p/LASP1 axis. J. Mol. Histol. 52, 1021–1033. doi:10.1007/s10735-021-09985-x
- Yuan, Y., Wang, X., Sun, Q., Dai, X., and Cai, Y. (2020). MicroRNA-16 Is Involved in the Pathogenesis of Pre-eclampsia via Regulation of Notch2. J. Cel. Physiol. 235, 4530–4544. doi:10.1002/jcp.29330
- Zhang, C., Li, Q., Ren, N., Li, C., Wang, X., Xie, M., et al. (2015). Placental miR-106a~363 Cluster Is Dysregulated in Preeclamptic Placenta. *Placenta* 36, 250–252. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2014.11.020
- Zhang, H. J., Zhang, Y. N., and Teng, Z. Y. (2019a). Downregulation of miR-16 P-rotects H9c2(2-1) C-ells against H-ypoxia/reoxygenation D-amage by T-argeting CIAPIN1 and R-egulating the NF-κB P-athway. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 20, 3113–3122. doi:10.3892/mmr.2019.10568
- Zhang, W. M., Cao, P., Xin, L., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Yao, N., et al. (2019b). Effect of miR-133 on Apoptosis of Trophoblasts in Human Placenta Tissues via Rho/ ROCK Signaling Pathway. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 23, 10600–10608. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201912_19755

- Zhang, Y., Diao, Z., Su, L., Sun, H., Li, R., Cui, H., et al. (2010). MicroRNA-155 Contributes to Preeclampsia by Down-Regulating CYR61. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 202, 466e1–466. e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2010.01.057
- Zhang, Y., Fei, M., Xue, G., Zhou, Q., Jia, Y., Li, L., et al. (2012). Elevated Levels of Hypoxia-Inducible microRNA-210 in Pre-eclampsia: New Insights into Molecular Mechanisms for the Disease. J. Cel. Mol. Med. 16, 249–259. doi:10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01291.x
- Zhang, Y., Huang, G., Zhang, Y., Yang, H., Long, Y., Liang, Q., et al. (2017). MiR-942 Decreased before 20 Weeks Gestation in Women with Preeclampsia and Was Associated with the Pathophysiology of Preeclampsia *In Vitro. Clin. Exp. Hypertens.* 39, 108–113. doi:10.1080/10641963.2016.1210619
- Zhao, G., Zhou, X., Chen, S., Miao, H., Fan, H., Wang, Z., et al. (2014). Differential Expression of microRNAs in Decidua-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Patients with Pre-eclampsia. J. Biomed. Sci. 21, 81. doi:10.1186/s12929-014-0081-3
- Zhao, X., Liu, F., Zhang, J., Zhang, J., Zhang, L., and Chen, L. (2021a). LINC01128 miR-16 Interaction Regulates the Migration and Invasion of Human Chorionic Trophoblast Cells. *Hypertens. Pregnancy* 40, 152–161. doi:10.1080/10641955.2021.1917602
- Zhao, X., Zhang, X., Wu, Z., Mei, J., Li, L., and Wang, Y. (2021b). Up-regulation of microRNA-135 or Silencing of PCSK6 Attenuates Inflammatory Response in Preeclampsia by Restricting NLRP3 Inflammasome. *Mol. Med.* 27, 82. doi:10.1186/s10020-021-00335-x
- Zhao, Y.-H., Liu, Y.-L., Fei, K.-L., and Li, P. (2020). Long Non-coding RNA HOTAIR Modulates the Progression of Preeclampsia through Inhibiting miR-106 in an EZH2-dependent Manner. *Life Sci.* 253, 117668. doi:10.1016/ j.lfs.2020.117668
- Zhao, Z., Moley, K. H., and Gronowski, A. M. (2013). Diagnostic Potential for miRNAs as Biomarkers for Pregnancy-specific Diseases. *Clin. Biochem.* 46, 953–960. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2013.01.026
- Zheng, W., Chen, A., Yang, H., and Hong, L. (2020). MicroRNA-27a I-nhibits T-rophoblast C-ell M-igration and I-nvasion by T-argeting SMAD2: Potential R-ole in P-reeclampsia. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 20, 2262–2269. doi:10.3892/ etm.2020.8924
- Zhong, Y., Zhu, F., and Ding, Y. (2019). Differential microRNA Expression Profile in the Plasma of Preeclampsia and normal Pregnancies. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 18, 826–832. doi:10.3892/etm.2019.7637
- Zhou, F., Sun, Y., Gao, Q., and Wang, H. (2020). microRNA-21 R-egulates the P-roliferation of P-lacental C-ells via FOXM1 in P-reeclampsia. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 20, 1871–1878. doi:10.3892/etm.2020.8930
- Zhou, X., Li, Q., Xu, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Xiang, Y., et al. (2016). The Aberrantly Expressed miR-193b-3p Contributes to Preeclampsia through Regulating Transforming Growth Factor-β Signaling. Sci. Rep. 6, 19910. doi:10.1038/ srep19910

- Zhu, H., Niu, X., Li, Q., Zhao, Y., Chen, X., and Sun, H. (2020). Circ_0085296 Suppresses Trophoblast Cell Proliferation, Invasion, and Migration via Modulating miR-144/e-Cadherin axis. *Placenta* 97, 18–25. doi:10.1016/ j.placenta.2020.06.002
- Zhu, L., and Liu, Z. (2021). Serum from Patients with Hypertension Promotes Endothelial Dysfunction to Induce Trophoblast Invasion through the miR-27b-3p/ATPase P-lasma M-embrane Ca2+ T-ransporting 1 axis. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 23, 319. doi:10.3892/mmr.2021.11958
- Zhu, X.-m., Han, T., Sargent, I. L., Yin, G.-w., and Yaoqing, Y.-q. (2009). Differential Expression Profile of microRNAs in Human Placentas from Preeclamptic Pregnancies vs normal Pregnancies. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 200, 661e1-661. e7. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2008.12.045
- Zolfaghari, M. A., Motavalli, R., Soltani-Zangbar, M. S., Parhizkar, F., Danaii, S., Aghebati-Maleki, L., et al. (2021). A New Approach to the Preeclampsia Puzzle; MicroRNA-326 in CD4+ Lymphocytes Might Be as a Potential Suspect. *J. Reprod. Immunol.* 145, 103317. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2021.103317
- Zou, A.-X., Chen, B., Li, Q.-X., and Liang, Y.-C. (2018). MiR-134 Regulates the Progression of Preeclampsia. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 22, 2199–2206. doi:10.26355/eurrev_201803_14557
- Zou, Y., Jiang, Z., Yu, X., Zhang, Y., Sun, M., Wang, W., et al. (2014). MiR-101 Regulates Apoptosis of Trophoblast HTR-8/SVneo Cells by Targeting Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER) Protein 44 during Preeclampsia. J. Hum. Hypertens. 28, 610–616. doi:10.1038/jhh.2014.35

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Cirkovic, Stanisavljevic, Milin-Lazovic, Rajovic, Pavlovic, Milicevic, Savic, Kostic Peric, Aleksic, Milic, Stanisavljevic, Mikovic, Garovic and Milic. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.