



Projections of the Mouse Primary Visual Cortex

Arbora Resulaj^{1,2*}

¹ Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, ON, Canada, ² Department of Cell and Systems Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Lesion or damage to the primary visual cortex (V1) results in a profound loss of visual perception in humans. Similarly, in mice, optogenetic silencing of V1 profoundly impairs discrimination of orientated gratings. V1 is thought to have such a critical role in perception in part due to its position in the visual processing hierarchy. It is the first brain area in the neocortex to receive visual input, and it distributes this information to more than 18 brain areas. Here I review recent advances in our understanding of the organization and function of the V1 projections in the mouse. This progress is in part due to new anatomical and viral techniques that allow for efficient labeling of projection neurons. In the final part of the review, I conclude by highlighting challenges and opportunities for future research.

Keywords: primary visual cortex (V1), projections, mouse, synaptic connectivity, behavior

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ya-tang Li, California Institute of Technology, United States

Reviewed by:

Rinaldo D'Souza, Washington University in St. Louis, United States Aman B. Saleem, University College London, United Kingdom Jordan Paul Hamm, Georgia State University, United States Marie Tolkiehn, University of Hamburg, Germany

*Correspondence:

Arbora Resulaj arbora.resulaj@utoronto.ca

Received: 31 July 2021 Accepted: 28 October 2021 Published: 19 November 2021

Citation:

Resulaj A (2021) Projections of the Mouse Primary Visual Cortex. Front. Neural Circuits 15:751331. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2021.751331

INTRODUCTION

V1 is the first cortical area to receive visual input (Felleman and Van Essen, 1991; Siegle et al., 2021). It performs numerous computations locally (for a review, see Froudarakis et al., 2019; Katzner et al., 2019; Niell and Scanziani, 2021), and it distributes information to more than 18 brain areas (Oh et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2016; Han et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2019). These projections to the different brain areas are thought to play distinct roles: projections to higher visual cortical areas (Wang and Burkhalter, 2007) are thought to extract visual features that match the features represented by higher visual cortical areas (for a review see Glickfeld and Olsen, 2017), projections to the thalamus are thought to provide alternative routes of communication between the cortical areas (secondary thalamus: Guillery and Sherman, 2002; Blot et al., 2021), and projections to major subcortical areas like the superior colliculus, striatum, or the brainstem nuclei, are thought to modulate simple or innate behaviors (Khibnik et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016; Ruediger and Scanziani, 2020; Tang and Higley, 2020).

This review focuses on recent studies that reveal, or have started to reveal, general principles about V1 projections: whether the different V1 projections are anatomically segregated, whether V1 projections send specialized information to different target areas, and whether we can pinpoint a distinct behavioral role to a unique V1 projection.

ORGANIZATION OF V1 PROJECTIONS

Cortical neurons have axon collaterals that may terminate in different brain areas. In other words, we expect that some V1 neurons will target multiple brain areas. Yet, how common it is for V1 neurons to target multiple areas was not known until Han et al. (2018) showed that in fact most V1 neurons target more than one area (**Figure 1A**). This was achieved by using single cell

1

electroporation of a GFP-encoding plasmid combined with whole brain fluorescence imaging, or in separate experiments, by using MAPseq where unique DNA barcodes are taken up by V1 neurons and transported to the axons allowing for subsequent DNA sequencing of the target area. By focusing the analysis on V1 projections to higher visual cortical areas, the authors found that there were four sets of areas that received more shared axons than would be expected by chance when considering the probability of a V1 neuron projecting to each area (i.e., when multiplying the projection probabilities to each individual area). The four sets of areas were: posteromedial (PM) and anteromedial (AM) areas, lateromedial (LM) and anterolateral (AL) areas, PM and LM and laterointermediate (LI) areas, and PM and AM and rostrolateral (RL) areas. Therefore, although most V1 axons target more than one area, the axonal branches preferentially target a subset of areas (consistent with Berezovskii et al., 2011).

Cortical neurons that target different areas also form local connections with each other within the area of origin (Brown and Hestrin, 2009; Cotel et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2018). These connections can influence whether neurons send similar or different information to their respective target areas. Kim et al. (2018) looked at the connection probability in V1 between neurons projecting to higher visual cortical area AL and neurons projecting to higher visual cortical area PM. To label V1 neurons according to their target area, the authors injected retrograde tracers of different colors in the two different target areas. By performing in vitro electrophysiology, they found that pairs of neurons, in which each neuron targeted a different area, had a highly reduced connection probability with each other compared to chance (Cossell et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2018). Hence, V1 neurons projecting to area AL and V1 neurons projecting to area PM avoid making local connections with each other within V1 and thus they are segregated from each other (Figure 1B).

A contrasting study by Brown and Hestrin (2009) showed that neurons projecting to the same area form enriched local connections with each other. By using retrograde tracers of different colors and *in vitro* electrophysiology, the authors found that neurons that project to the striatum have a much higher probability of connecting to each other than connecting to other neurons in V1. Consistent with this higher connection rate, Lur et al. (2016) found that the activity of individual V1 neurons projecting to the striatum is more correlated with the activity of neurons projecting to the striatum than with the activity of neurons projecting to other areas. What might be the function of these enriched interconnections between neurons projecting to the same area? One possibility is that they amplify cortical signals which may be required to achieve depolarization of striatal neurons downstream (Brown and Hestrin, 2009). Another argument for the observed pattern of local connections is the optimization of wiring length (Chklovskii and Koulakov, 2004).

Lastly, V1 neurons that target different areas not only form local connections with each other within V1, but they also receive feedback from these areas that they target. By using subcellular channelrhodopsin-2-assisted circuit mapping, Young et al. (2021) found that the feedback inputs into V1 neurons in deep layers were stronger if the contacted V1 neuron also targeted that particular area, thus demonstrating the specificity of the feedback input for the different V1 projections (consistent with Kim et al., 2015). Although the impact of feedback on V1 activity is likely not strong (Goldbach et al., 2021), feedback loops may be important for selective visual attention (Zhang et al., 2014).

INFORMATION IN THE V1 PROJECTIONS

V1 sends projections to numerous brain areas and these areas are thought to have distinct functions. For example, the lateral higher visual cortical areas are associated with the ventral (what) stream for object recognition, while the anterior and medial areas are associated with the dorsal (where) stream for movement information (Wang et al., 2012; Saleem, 2020), as proposed in primates (Goodale and Milner, 1992). A major question has been whether V1 sends the same unspecific information to all its target areas or whether it sends specific and specialized information to each target area. Several studies have now demonstrated that V1 sends specific information to some of the higher visual cortical areas (Jarosiewicz et al., 2012; Glickfeld et al., 2013a; Matsui and Ohki, 2013; Rasmussen et al., 2020; Blot et al., 2021; for an exception see Murgas et al., 2020) and to subcortical areas (Kim et al., 2015; Lur et al., 2016; Tang and Higley, 2020).

An early example showing that V1 sends specific information to some of the higher visual areas comes from Glickfeld et al. (2013a). By using 2-photon calcium imaging of V1 axons terminating in either higher visual cortical area AL or higher visual cortical area PM, Glickfeld et al. (2013a) found that the visual responses to drifting gratings were different in the different areas. The V1 axons terminating in area AL responded best to faster moving stimuli while axons terminating in area PM responded best to slower moving stimuli (Glickfeld et al., 2013a). The same preference for speed had been shown earlier for neurons in area AL and neurons in area PM (Andermann et al., 2011; Marshel et al., 2011; Roth et al., 2012). Hence, V1 axons send specialized information to each of these areas and, further, this information matches the responses of the recipient neurons. It is tempting to conclude that V1 confers these preferences for speed to these areas, however, Tohmi et al. (2014) showed that the speed preference in these areas remains after ablation of V1. Instead, it is the lesion of the superior colliculus that eliminates the differences in the visual properties between these areas (Tohmi et al., 2014). Yet, V1 might confer other visual properties to the higher visual areas, for example the spatial modulation of visual responses (Diamanti et al., 2021).

Another example showing that V1 sends specific information to different subcortical areas comes from Tang and Higley (2020). This study used a visually cued eye blink conditioning task and 2-photon calcium imaging of V1 neurons projecting to either the pons or to the striatum. The authors found that neurons projecting to the pons had larger responses for correct eye blink responses versus incorrect eye blink





responses, while neurons projecting to the striatum did not show a difference. Thus, Tang and Higley (2020) provide more evidence that V1 sends specific information to some of its target areas. Furthermore, it suggests the interesting possibility that V1 output may directly influence motor related areas because action-related signals are already present in these V1 projections, consistent with previous studies showing responses in V1 that correlate with the timing of action (Namboodiri et al., 2015).

The studies above show that V1 routes specific information to its target areas. A related question is whether the different projections are segregated from each other and whether information in these projections can be separately modulated, for example, by inputs into V1. By comparing wild type mice with $Frmd7^{TM}$ mutant mice which have a disruption in the direction selectivity in the horizontal motion in the retina, Rasmussen et al. (2020) found that V1 neurons projecting to higher visual cortical area RL showed a disruption in the horizontal direction selectivity while neurons projection to higher visual cortical area PM did not show a disruption. Therefore, information in the different projections can be separately modulated (consistent with Huh et al., 2018).

ROLE OF V1 PROJECTIONS DURING BEHAVIOR

Over the last decade, several studies have identified visual tasks for mice that critically depend on V1 (Poort et al., 2015; Goard et al., 2016; Resulaj et al., 2018). By optogenetically silencing V1 output during these tasks, these studies have shown that performance accuracy drops to near chance levels. Yet, it is important to note that lesioning V1 has yielded mixed results: for discrimination of oriented gratings, lesioning V1 dropped accuracy to chance levels in Resulaj et al. (2018) but not in Prusky and Douglas (2004). One possibility is that, in the study of Prusky and Douglas (2004), mice might have relearned to perform the task using orientation information from the superior colliculus (Feinberg and Meister, 2015). Several studies have also identified visual tasks where V1 only plays a modulatory role (Glickfeld et al., 2013b; Ruediger and Scanziani, 2020). In these tasks, V1 is thought to affect behavior by modulating the activity of subcortical structures (Zhao et al., 2014; Ruediger and Scanziani, 2020).

Can we pinpoint a distinct behavioral role to a specific V1 projection? Two recent studies have provided direct evidence for distinct roles (Ruediger and Scanziani, 2020; Tang and Higley, 2020). Tang and Higley (2020) showed that optogenetically suppressing V1 neurons projecting to the pons impaired a visually cued eye blink conditioning response, while suppressing V1 neurons projecting to the striatum had no effect. Another example showing distinct roles in behavior for different V1 projections comes from Ruediger and Scanziani (2020), where the authors permanently ablated either V1 neurons projecting to the striatum or V1 neurons projecting to the superior colliculus during a visual detection task. The authors found that V1 neurons projecting to the striatum controlled the speed at which mice learned the task, while V1 neurons projecting to the superior colliculus did not affect the speed of learning but affected the sensitivity of detecting the stimulus once the task was learned. Permanent ablation and acute optogenetic silencing have been shown to have different effects on downstream targets (Otchy et al., 2015) and therefore these two methods can be used as complementary approaches when assessing the role of specific projections.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Different V1 projections will most certainly have different roles in the target areas, and some roles will likely only be understood in the context of behavior. Diverse visual tasks that depend on V1 have been developed (Poort et al., 2015; Goard et al., 2016; Resulaj et al., 2018). These tasks, in combination with controlled perturbations (Carrillo-Reid et al., 2019; Marshel et al., 2019) and recordings of neural activity (Jun et al., 2017; Steinmetz et al., 2019; Stringer et al., 2019a,b), promise to reveal how V1 plays such a critical role in visual perception.

One exciting future direction is to reveal the role of direct V1 projections in a particular target area. This is now possible thanks to a recent tool, inhibitory opsins that suppress neurotransmitter synaptic transmission (Copits et al., 2021; Mahn et al., 2021). To reveal the contribution of the direct V1 projection to the target area, it is now possible to shine light at the direct axonal branch in the target area to selectively suppress neurotransmitter release only in this area (**Figure 2**). Different V1 projections make overlapping local connections with each other within V1. Therefore, a particular V1 projection can mediate its effects

REFERENCES

- Andermann, M. L., Kerlin, A. M., Roumis, D. K., Glickfeld, L. L., and Reid, R. C. (2011). Functional specialization of mouse higher visual cortical areas. *Neuron* 72, 1025–1039. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.1 1.013
- Beltramo, R., and Scanziani, M. (2019). A collicular visual cortex: neocortical space for an ancient midbrain visual structure. *Science* 363, 64–69. doi: 10.1126/ science.aau7052

either directly *via* its direct projection to the target area or indirectly *via* its local connections with other V1 projections (**Figure 2A**). In addition, V1 neurons have axons that branch out in multiple areas, which means they can further mediate their effects indirectly through another axonal branch targeting another area (**Figure 2B**).

However, a word of caution on the presumed role of V1 projections is worth mentioning. It is generally assumed that the role of V1 neurons is to carry visual information to the target areas. However, it is possible that the particular V1 projection is only providing unspecific excitation to the target area and that removal of this excitation leaves the downstream circuits in an unbalanced state and unable to function (for a cautionary example from the motor cortex, see Otchy et al., 2015). This possibility needs attention especially following recent demonstrations that visual information can reach specific target areas through alternate routes that do not involve V1, for example through the extrageniculate pathway via the superior colliculus (Tohmi et al., 2014; Beltramo and Scanziani, 2019). Is the visual information from V1 used in the target area? To address this, it will be important to record in the target area while perturbing the particular V1 projection. Remarkably, to perturb activity, it is now possible to use 2-photon guided single cell optogenetics and selectively activate a few neurons to recapitulate some of the pattern of V1 activity evoked by a visual stimulus and elicit the correct behavioral response (Carrillo-Reid et al., 2019; Marshel et al., 2019). These recently developed techniques are poised to advance our understanding of the organization and function of the numerous V1 projections.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author confirms being the sole contributor of this work and has approved it for publication.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the University of Toronto Mississauga.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Baohua Liu, Cameron Parro, and Simon Pond for helpful discussions and for their comments on this manuscript.

- Berezovskii, V. K., Nassi, J. J., and Born, R. T. (2011). Segregation of feedforward and feedback projections in mouse visual cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 519, 3672– 3683. doi: 10.1002/cne.22675
- Blot, A., Roth, M. M., Gasler, I., Javadzadeh, M., Imhof, F., and Hofer, S. B. (2021). Visual intracortical and transthalamic pathways carry distinct information to cortical areas. *Neuron* 109, 1996–2008. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.04.017
- Brown, S. P., and Hestrin, S. (2009). Intracortical circuits of pyramidal neurons reflect their long-range axonal targets. *Nature* 457, 1133–U89. doi: 10.1038/ nature07658

- Carrillo-Reid, L., Han, S., Yang, W., Akrouh, A., and Yuste, R. (2019). Controlling visually guided behavior by holographic recalling of cortical ensembles. *Cell* 178, 447–457. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.05.045
- Chklovskii, D. B., and Koulakov, A. A. (2004). Maps in the brain: what can we learn from them? *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 27, 369–392.
- Copits, B. A., Gowrishankar, R., O'Neill, P. R., Li, J.-N., Girven, K. S., Yoo, J. J., et al. (2021). A photoswitchable GPCR-based opsin for presynaptic inhibition. *Neuron* 109, 1791–1809. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.04.026
- Cossell, L., Iacaruso, M. F., Muir, D. R., Houlton, R., Sader, E. N., Ko, H., et al. (2015). Functional organization of excitatory synaptic strength in primary visual cortex. *Nature* 518, 399–403.
- Cotel, F., Fletcher, L. N., Kalita-de Croft, S., Apergis-Schoute, J., and Williams, S. R. (2018). Cell class-dependent intracortical connectivity and output dynamics of layer 6 projection neurons of the rat primary visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 2340–2350. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx134
- Diamanti, E. M., Reddy, C. B., Schröder, S., Muzzu, T., Harris, K. D., Saleem, A. B., et al. (2021). Spatial modulation of visual responses arises in cortex with active navigation. *eLife* 10:e63705.
- Feinberg, E. H., and Meister, M. (2015). Orientation columns in the mouse superior colliculus. *Nature* 519, 229–232. doi: 10.1038/nature14103
- Felleman, D. J., and Van Essen, D. C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 1, 1–47. doi: 10.1093/cercor/1.1.1
- Froudarakis, E., Fahey, P. G., Reimer, J., Smirnakis, S. M., Tehovnik, E. J., and Tolias, A. S. (2019). The visual cortex in context. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* 5, 317–339.
- Glickfeld, L. L., and Olsen, S. R. (2017). Higher-order areas of the mouse visual cortex. Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci. 3, 251–273. doi: 10.1146/annurev-vision-102016-061331
- Glickfeld, L. L., Andermann, M. L., Bonin, V., and Reid, R. C. (2013a). Corticocortical projections in mouse visual cortex are functionally target specific. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 219–226. doi: 10.1038/nn.3300
- Glickfeld, L. L., Histed, M. H., and Maunsell, J. H. R. (2013b). Mouse primary visual cortex is used to detect both orientation and contrast changes. J. Neurosci. 33, 19416–19422. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.3560-13.2013
- Goard, M. J., Pho, G. N., Woodson, J., and Sur, M. (2016). Distinct roles of visual, parietal, and frontal motor cortices in memory-guided sensorimotor decisions. *Elife* 5:e13764. doi: 10.7554/eLife.13764
- Goldbach, H. C., Akitake, B., Leedy, C. E., and Histed, M. H. (2021). Performance in even a simple perceptual task depends on mouse secondary visual areas. *ELife* 10:e62156. doi: 10.7554/eLife.62156
- Goodale, M. A., and Milner, A. D. (1992). Separate visual pathways for perception and action. *Trends Neurosci.* 15, 20–25. doi: 10.1016/0166-2236(92)90344-8
- Guillery, R. W., and Sherman, S. M. (2002). Thalamic relay functions and their role in corticocortical communication: generalizations from the visual system. *Neuron* 33, 163–175. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00582-7
- Han, Y., Kebschull, J. M., Campbell, R. A. A., Cowan, D., Imhof, F., Zador, A. M., et al. (2018). The logic of single-cell projections from visual cortex. *Nature* 556, 51–56. doi: 10.1038/nature26159
- Harris, J. A., Mihalas, S., Hirokawa, K. E., Whitesell, J. D., Choi, H., Bernard, A., et al. (2019). Hierarchical organization of cortical and thalamic connectivity. *Nature* 575, 195–202.
- Huh, C., Peach, J. P., Bennett, C., Vega, R. M., and Hestrin, S. (2018). Featurespecific organization of feedback pathways in mouse visual cortex. *Curr. Biol.* 28, 114.e5–120.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.056
- Jarosiewicz, B., Schummers, J., Malik, W. Q., Brown, E. N., and Sur, M. (2012). Functional biases in visual cortex neurons with identified projections to higher cortical targets. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 269–277. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.01.011
- Jun, J. J., Steinmetz, N. A., Siegle, J. H., Denman, D. J., Bauza, M., Barbarits, B., et al. (2017). Fully integrated silicon probes for high-density recording of neural activity. *Nature* 551, 232–236.
- Katzner, S., Born, G., and Busse, L. (2019). V1 microcircuits underlying mouse visual behavior. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 58, 191–198. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2019. 09.006
- Khibnik, L. A., Tritsch, N. X., and Sabatini, B. L. (2014). A direct projection from mouse primary visual cortex to dorsomedial striatum. *PLoS One* 9:e104501. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104501
- Kim, E. J., Juavinett, A. L., Kyubwa, E. M., Jacobs, M. W., and Callaway, E. M. (2015). Three types of cortical layer 5 neurons that differ in brain-wide

connectivity and function. Neuron 88, 1253–1267. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015. 11.002

- Kim, M.-H., Znamenskiy, P., Iacaruso, M. F., and Mrsic-Flogel, T. D. (2018). Segregated subnetworks of intracortical projection neurons in primary visual cortex. *Neuron* 100, 1313–1321. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.023
- Liang, F., Xiong, X. R., Zingg, B., Ji, X.-Y., Zhang, L. I., and Tao, H. W. (2015). Sensory cortical control of a visually induced arrest behavior via corticotectal projections. *Neuron* 86, 755–767. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.03.048
- Liu, B.-H., Huberman, A. D., and Scanziani, M. (2016). Cortico-fugal output from visual cortex promotes plasticity of innate motor behaviour. *Nature* 538, 383–387. doi: 10.1038/nature19818
- Lur, G., Vinck, M. A., Tang, L., Cardin, J. A., and Higley, M. J. (2016). Projectionspecific visual feature encoding by layer 5 cortical subnetworks. *Cell Rep.* 14, 2538–2545. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2016.02.050
- Mahn, M., Saraf-Sinik, I., Patil, P., Pulin, M., Bitton, E., Karalis, N., et al. (2021). Efficient optogenetic silencing of neurotransmitter release with a mosquito rhodopsin. *Neuron* 109, 1621–1635. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.03.013
- Marshel, J. H., Garrett, M. E., Nauhaus, I., and Callaway, E. M. (2011). Functional specialization of seven mouse visual cortical areas. *Neuron* 72, 1040–1054. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.004
- Marshel, J. H., Kim, Y. S., Machado, T. A., Quirin, S., Benson, B., Kadmon, J., et al. (2019). Cortical layer-specific critical dynamics triggering perception. *Science* 365, 558–569. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw5202
- Matsui, T., and Ohki, K. (2013). Target dependence of orientation and direction selectivity of corticocortical projection neurons in the mouse V1. Front. Neural Circuits 7:143. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2013.00143
- Murgas, K. A., Wilson, A. M., Michael, V., and Glickfeld, L. L. (2020). Unique spatial integration in mouse primary visual cortex and higher visual areas. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 1862–1873. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1997-19.2020
- Namboodiri, V. M. K., Huertas, M. A., Monk, K. J., Shouval, H. Z., and Hussain Shuler, M. G. (2015). Visually cued action timing in the primary visual cortex. *Neuron* 86, 319–330. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.043
- Niell, C. M., and Scanziani, M. (2021). How cortical circuits implement cortical computations: mouse visual cortex as a model. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 44, 517– 546. doi: 10.1146/annurev-neuro-102320-085825
- Oh, S. W., Harris, J. A., Ng, L., Winslow, B., Cain, N., Mihalas, S., et al. (2014). A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature* 508, 207–214.
- Otchy, T. M., Wolff, S. B. E., Rhee, J. Y., Pehlevan, C., Kawai, R., Kempf, A., et al. (2015). Acute off-target effects of neural circuit manipulations. *Nature* 528, 358–363. doi: 10.1038/nature16442
- Poort, J., Khan, A. G., Pachitariu, M., Nemri, A., Orsolic, I., Krupic, J., et al. (2015). Learning enhances sensory and multiple non-sensory representations in primary visual cortex. *Neuron* 86, 1478–1490. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015. 05.037
- Prusky, G. T., and Douglas, R. M. (2004). Characterization of mouse cortical spatial vision. Vision Res. 44, 3411–3418. doi: 10.1016/j.visres.2004.09.001
- Rasmussen, R., Matsumoto, A., Sietam, M. D., and Yonehara, K. (2020). A segregated cortical stream for retinal direction selectivity. *Nat. Commun.* 11:831.
- Resulaj, A., Ruediger, S., Olsen, S. R., and Scanziani, M. (2018). First spikes in visual cortex enable perceptual discrimination. *Elife* 7:e34044. doi: 10.7554/ eLife.34044
- Roth, M. M., Helmchen, F., and Kampa, B. M. (2012). Distinct functional properties of primary and posteromedial visual area of mouse neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 9716–9726. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0110-12.2012
- Ruediger, S., and Scanziani, M. (2020). Learning speed and detection sensitivity controlled by distinct cortico-fugal neurons in visual cortex. *Elife* 9:e59247. doi: 10.7554/eLife.59247
- Saleem, A. B. (2020). Two stream hypothesis of visual processing for navigation in mouse. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 64, 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2020.03.009
- Siegle, J. H., Jia, X., Durand, S., Gale, S., Bennett, C., Graddis, N., et al. (2021). Survey of spiking in the mouse visual system reveals functional hierarchy. *Nature* 592, 86–92. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-03 171-x
- Steinmetz, N. A., Zatka-Haas, P., Carandini, M., and Harris, K. D. (2019). Distributed coding of choice, action and engagement across the mouse brain. *Nature* 576, 266–273. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1787-x

- Stringer, C., Pachitariu, M., Steinmetz, N., Carandini, M., and Harris, K. D. (2019a). High-dimensional geometry of population responses in visual cortex. *Nature* 571, 361–365. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1346-5
- Stringer, C., Pachitariu, M., Steinmetz, N., Reddy, C. B., Carandini, M., and Harris, K. D. (2019b). Spontaneous behaviors drive multidimensional, brainwide activity. *Science* 364, 255–266. doi: 10.1126/science.aav7893
- Tang, L., and Higley, M. J. (2020). Layer 5 circuits in V1 differentially control visuomotor behavior. *Neuron* 105, 346–354. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2019.10.014
- Tohmi, M., Meguro, R., Tsukano, H., Hishida, R., and Shibuki, K. (2014). The extrageniculate visual pathway generates distinct response properties in the higher visual areas of mice. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 587–597. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014. 01.061
- Wang, Q., and Burkhalter, A. (2007). Area map of mouse visual cortex. J. Comp. Neurol. 502, 339–357. doi: 10.1002/cne.21286
- Wang, Q., Sporns, O., and Burkhalter, A. (2012). Network analysis of corticocortical connections reveals ventral and dorsal processing streams in mouse visual cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 32, 4386–4399. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI. 6063-11.2012
- Young, H., Belbut, B., Baeta, M., and Petreanu, L. (2021). Laminar-specific corticocortical loops in mouse visual cortex. *Elife* 10:e59551. doi: 10.7554/eLife. 59551
- Zhang, S., Xu, M., Chang, W.-C., Ma, C., Jeong, D., Lei, T., et al. (2016). Organization of long-range inputs and outputs of frontal cortex for top-down control. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 1733–1742. doi: 10.1038/nn.4417

- Zhang, S., Xu, M., Kamigaki, T., Hoang Do, J. P., Chang, W. C., et al. (2014). Selective attention. Long-range and local circuits for top-down modulation of visual cortex processing. *Science* 345, 660–665. doi: 10.1126/science.125 4126
- Zhao, X., Liu, M., and Cang, J. (2014). Visual cortex modulates the magnitude but not the selectivity of looming-evoked responses in the superior colliculus of awake mice. *Neuron* 84, 202–213. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.08.037

Conflict of Interest: The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Resulaj. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.