ORIGINAL PAPER



Pure LATE-NC: Frequency, clinical impact, and the importance of considering *APOE* genotype when assessing this and other subtypes of non-Alzheimer's pathologies

Yuriko Katsumata^{1,2} · Xian Wu^{1,2} · Khine Zin Aung^{1,2} · David W. Fardo^{1,2} · Davis C. Woodworth³ · S. Ahmad Sajjadi^{3,4} · Sandra O. Tomé⁵ · Dietmar Rudolf Thal^{5,6} · Juan C. Troncoso⁷ · Koping Chang⁷ · Charles Mock⁸ · Peter T. Nelson^{2,9}

Received: 27 August 2024 / Revised: 25 October 2024 / Accepted: 30 October 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Pure limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic changes (pure LATE-NC) is a term used to describe brains with LATE-NC but lacking intermediate or severe levels of Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic changes (ADNC). Focusing on pure LATE-NC, we analyzed data from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) Neuropathology Data Set, comprising clinical and pathological information aggregated from 32 NIH-funded Alzheimer's Disease Research Centers (ADRCs). After excluding subjects dying with unusual conditions, n = 1.926 autopsied subjects were included in the analyses. For > 90% of these participants, apolipoprotein E (APOE) allele status was known; 46.5% had at least one APOE 4 allele. In most human populations, only 15–25% of people are APOE ɛ4 carriers. ADRCs with higher documented AD risk allele (APOE or BINI) rates had fewer participants lacking ADNC, and correspondingly low rates of pure LATE-NC. Among APOE £4 non-carries, 5.3% had pure LATE-NC, 37.0% had pure ADNC, and 3.6% had pure neocortical Lewy body pathology. In terms of clinical impact, participants with pure LATE-NC tended to die after having received a diagnosis of dementia: 56% died with dementia among APOE £4 non-carrier participants, comparable to 61% with pure ADNC. LATE-NC was associated with increased Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes (CDR-SOB) scores, i.e. worsened global cognitive impairments, in participants with no/low ADNC and no neocortical Lewy body pathology (p=0.0023). Among pure LATE-NC cases, there was a trend for higher LATE-NC stages to be associated with worse CDR-SOB scores (p=0.026 for linear trend of LATE-NC stages). Pure LATE-NC was not associated with clinical features of disinhibition or primary progressive aphasia. In summary, LATE-NC with no or low levels of ADNC was less frequent than pure ADNC but was not rare, particularly among individuals who lacked the APOE 4 allele, and in study cohorts with APOE 4 frequencies similar to those in most human populations.

Keywords Epidemiology · Prevalence · Community-based · DLB · FTLD · FTD

Peter T. Nelson pnels2@email.uky.edu

¹ Department of Biostatistics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40536-0679, USA

- ² Sanders-Brown Center On Aging, University of Kentucky, U. Kentucky, Rm 575 Lee Todd Bldg 789 S. Limestone Ave, Lexington, KY 40536, USA
- ³ Department of Neurology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92,697, USA
- ⁴ Department of Pathology, University of California, Irvine, CA 92,697, USA
- ⁵ Laboratory for Neuropathology, Department of Imaging and Pathology and Leuven Brain Institute, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

- ⁶ Department of Pathology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- ⁷ Departments of Pathology and Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA
- ⁸ National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC), University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- ⁹ Department of Pathology, Division of Neuropathology, University of Kentucky, Rm 575 Lee Todd Bldg, U. Kentucky, 789 S. Limestone Ave., Lexington, KY 40536– 0230, USA

Acta Neuropathologica (2024) 148:66

Abbreviations

ADNC	Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic
	changes
ADGC	Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium
ADRC	Alzheimer's disease research center
CDR-SOB	Clinical dementia rating sum of boxes
FTLD-TDP	Frontotemporal lobar degeneration with
	TDP-43 inclusions
LATE-NC	Limbic predominant age-related TDP-43
	Encephalopathy neuropathologic changes
LB	Lewy bodies
MCI	Mild cognitive impairment
NACC	National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center
NP	Neuropathology
TDP-43	TAR DNA-binding protein 43
UDS	Uniform Data Set

Introduction

Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic changes (LATE-NC) and Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic changes (ADNC) are two dementiaassociated pathologies that are highly prevalent in older brains. LATE-NC is characterized by TDP-43 proteinopathy that is most prominent in the medial temporal lobes, i.e. amygdala and hippocampus [57]. The hallmarks of ADNC are Aβ amyloid plaques and tau neurofibrillary tangles [49], and these microscopic lesions are widely distributed in brains of severe ADNC cases. Both ADNC and LATE-NC are associated independently with substantial cognitive impairment [51, 62]. The overall public health impact of ADNC is larger than LATE-NC, but in advanced old age the dementia-associated attributable risk of LATE-NC approaches or even surpasses the aggregate impact of ADNC according to high-quality data from large autopsy cohorts [10, 39, 47, 73].

It has become increasingly clear that ADNC, LATE-NC, and other dementia-associated pathologies frequently coexist in the same brains. Indeed, it is the rule and not exception for older persons' brains to harbor more than one subtype of dementia-associated pathology [25, 59, 63, 66]. The concept of comorbid ("mixed") pathologies – particularly for ADNC and LATE-NC – has important implications about clinical trials and clinical management. More specifically, the presence of both ADNC and LATE-NC as co-pathologies in the same brain is associated with a relatively swiftly progressing and severe disease course, in comparison to either LATE-NC or ADNC alone [27, 29, 52], and, theoretically, may impact therapeutic responses.

To gain perspective on how often the different pathologies are comorbid in human populations requires an epidemiologic perspective, which is challenging since no human population has 100% autopsy rate, and there may be differences between human subpopulations. Thus, we are forced to make inferences based on data from the known autopsy series, while hoping for more comprehensive data (including better clinical biomarkers) in the future. With those caveats in mind a basic question is, how often do LATE-NC and ADNC coexist in the same brains?

According to the published literature, among people who die in advanced old age, i.e. > 85 years at death, at least incipient levels of ADNC was observed in ~ 80% of brains, and LATE-NC in ~ 30% or more [11, 47, 56]. Therefore, a substantial subset of brains should show both ADNC and LATE-NC even if the processes occurred independently of each other. Yet the rate at which ADNC and LATE-NC have been observed together in the same brains is substantially higher than would be expected by chance. In community- and population-based autopsy cohorts, approximately 50% of brains with severe levels of ADNC have comorbid LATE-NC, whereas in brains that lack any ADNC, approximately 25% of brains have LATE-NC [1, 15, 56]. The relatively high rates of co-pathology could occur via common upstream factors (e.g., shared risk factors), or downstream "pathologic synergy" [58, 82].

Perhaps the most impactful upstream factor in dementia risk is genetics. Twin studies indicated that dementia risk is up to 80% heritable [28, 41, 72] but the underlying pathologies and affected pathogenetic pathways are complex. The dementia-associated apolipoprotein E $(APOE) \varepsilon 4$ allele has been associated with increased risk for ADNC, LATE-NC, and other pathologic changes [19, 68, 88, 90, 94]. Not all studies found evidence of a direct association between APOE genotype and risk for LATE-NC [13, 43, 61, 65, 84]. Few individuals with the APOE ϵ 4 allele survive into advanced old age without any A β plaques [74, 75], and it remains to be seen exactly how the APOE ɛ4 allele promotes TDP-43 proteinopathy (there may be multiple pathways involved). Genetic risk factor genes for ADNC are now known to include many genes other than APOE, and of these, genetic variation in and near the BIN1 gene is a particularly robust non-APOE genetic risk factor for clinical AD and ADNC [6, 32, 77].

Although mixed pathology phenotypes are the norm in old people's brains, questions remain about the frequency and correlative impacts of pathologic changes among the individuals that have only one ("pure") subtype of pathology. The focal-point of the present work is pure LATE-NC—cases with LATE-NC that lack comorbid intermediate or severe ADNC. There remain unanswered questions about the frequency of pure LATE-NC and its cognitive impact. Comparing and contrasting the findings across different research centers, mindful of covariates involved, may elucidate reasons why different cohorts may find apparently different results, i.e., why some autopsy cohorts seem to show more and some less of this brain pathology.

To gain more insights into pure LATE-NC, we examined data from the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center (NACC) which provides extensive granular data from dozens of U.S. NIH/NIA-funded Alzheimer's Disease Research Centers (ADRCs) [4, 8, 9]. ADRCs that contribute data to NACC follow participants to autopsy whenever possible. By analyzing this data set we found clues about the frequency and impact of pure LATE-NC, and uncovered strong evidence that *APOE* ε 4 (and the *BIN1* risk allele) are important covariates to be considered in studying the phenomenon of pure LATE-NC.

Materials and methods

Participants, data source, and inclusion/exclusion criteria

Data were obtained from NACC, which is the data repository for past and present National Institute on Aging (NIA) funded ADRCs located at medical institutions across the United States. Participants are assessed using the standardized Uniform Data Set (UDS) at their local ADRC approximately annually. The UDS collects a robust set of data including participant demographics, health history, family history, medications, physical and neurological exams, clinical diagnoses, AD and related dementias symptomology, neuropsychological test scores (from a battery of 12 neuropsychological tests), the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR®) Dementia Staging Instrument plus NACC frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD) Behavior & Language Domains. Standardized data collected on neuropathological features present at the time of death are available for participants who were assessed with the UDS and who consented to autopsy [5, 9]. ADRC participants are enrolled from various sources including clinic samples, other existing studies, and referrals from clinicians and other participants. Data are maintained and actively curated by NACC and are freely available to researchers. NACC has been in existence since 1999. Additional details about the UDS are described elsewhere [4, 5, 8, 9, 50]. Participants who met the study's eligibility criteria were selected from the June 2024 data freeze, which included data from the participant's initial and most recent UDS visits, collected from September 2005 to June 2024. Participants who had at least one disease listed out in Supplementary Table 1, died at age of younger than 75 years, or had missing data on NP category were then excluded (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Neuropathology data

The NACC neuropathology (NP) data (https://www.alz. washington.edu/) were derived from the June 2024 data freeze and measured via the NACC NP v10-11 forms; this included data from 39 different NIA-funded ADRCs. Brain autopsies were performed on site at each of the contributory ADRCs. ADNC evaluated with an "ABC" score including Aβ Phase ratings (A score), Braak neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) stage (B score), and Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) ratings (C score) according to NIA and Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) guidelines. TDP-43 pathologies were measured as the presence of inclusions in three brain regions: amygdala, hippocampus. Lewy body pathology (LBP) data were dichotomized: 0 = none or present in non-neocortical regions and 1 = present in neocortical region. Hippocampal sclerosis was dichotomized as 0 =none and 1 =unilateral, bilateral or present but laterality not assessed. Brain arteriolosclerosis was measured as ordinal data (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe) and aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG) pathology as binary data (0 = no and 1 = yes).

Neuropathological Category Definition

Pure LATE-NC was operationalized to indicate LATE-NC with either ADNC=Not or ADNC=Low severity according to the NIA-AA consensus-based criteria [49]. We defined the presence of ADNC as intermediate ADNC or high ADNC of NIA-AA Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change (i.e., NPADNC = 2 or 3), LATE-NC as distribution of TDP-43 immunoreactive inclusions at least in hippocampus (i.e., NPTDPC = 1), and LB as LB pathology in neocortical region (i.e., NACCLEWY = 3 or NPLBOD = 3). We then categorized participants into seven groups: "pure ADNC" = ADNC positive (+), LATE-NC negative (-), and LB -, "pure LATE-NC" = ADNC -, LATE-NC+, and LB -, "pure LB" = ADNC -, LATE-NC -, and LB +, "ADNC + LATE-NC" = ADNC +, LATE-NC +, and LB -, "ADNC + LB" = ADNC +, LATE-NC -, and LB +, "ADNC + LATE-NC + LB" = ADNC +, LATE-NC +, and LB+, and "others". (Supplementary Table 2).

Clinical data

Clinical diagnosis of dementia was defined using cognitive status at UDS visit (NACCUDSD = 4). Disinhibition in the last month was measured at the UDS visit with 0 = No and 1 = Yes under Neuropsychiatric Inventory Questionnaire (NPI-Q). Diagnosis of primary progressive aphasia was determined using the NACCPPA variable.

Genetic data

The number of *APOE* ε 4 allele data (0 = no ε 4 allele, 1 = one copy of ε 4 allele, and two copies of ε 4 allele) were obtained from the NACC Genetic Data (NACCNE4S). The *BIN1* rs6733839 (which was reported by Bellenguez et al. 2022 [6]) single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) data came from the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC) genotype data (PLINK format file sets) which were provided by ADGC collaborators. The allele frequencies of the *APOE* and *BIN1* risk alleles are shown in **Supplemental Table 3** and their associations with ADNC, LATE-NC, and LBD are shown in **Supplemental Table 4**.

Statistical analysis

We used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) including age at death, sex, APOE £4, and ordinal arteriolosclerosis variables as a covariate to estimate adjusted means of standard clinical dementia rating (CDR[®]) sum of boxes (CDR-SOB) by LATE-NC status and its association with LATE-NC stages [60]. To estimate probability of pure LATE-NC over age at death in a general population, we employed the survey weighting method implemented with the "pewmethods" R package (https://github.com/pewresearch/pewmethods). We first estimated marginal population distributions of APOE ε4 and the T allele of BIN1 rs6733839 by the "create_raking_targets" function using the 1000 Genomes Project Phase 3 [21] (1000 g) in European (EUR) population. The APOE ε4 status was determined by rs429358 and rs7412. We then calculated the sample weights using the "rake_survey" function so that the weighted distributions of APOE ε 4 and the T allele of rs6733839 in our study samples became identical with those in the 1000 g EUR population. Since the range of the weights was 0.27 to 1.42, we did not apply weight truncation. The estimated probabilities of pure LATE-NC were finally computed for the unweighted and weighted samples using the "glm" and "svyglm" (the "survey" R package version 3.5) functions, respectively.

Results

Exclusion and inclusion criteria, and the numbers of participants excluded using them, along with other definitional criteria, are depicted in Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Fig 1. Following the application of exclusion criteria, a total of 1,926 participants were included from 32 different ADRCs in the NACC NP Data Set (Table 1). Of these participants, 52.9% were female, and 92.4% were White. In terms of cognitive status, 69.1% were documented to have dementia, 12.1% were MCI, leaving 18.7% Normal or impaired-not-MCI prior to death. Table 1 Characteristics of included research participants

C 1	
Characteristic	n (%)

Characteristic	11 (70)						
	Overall	APOE $\varepsilon 4^*$					
	(11=1,920)	No (n=932)	At least one $\varepsilon 4$ allele (n=810)				
Female	1,019 (52.9)	492 (52.8)	433 (53.5)				
White	1,780 (92.4)	867 (93.0)	746 (92.1)				
Cognitive status	5						
Normal/ Impaired- not-MCI	361 (18.7)	262 (28.1)	76 (9.4)				
MCI	234 (12.1)	144 (15.5)	61 (7.5)				
Dementia	1,331 (69.1)	526 (56.4)	673 (83.1)				
BIN1 (rs673383	^{\$9)**}						
C/C	506 (35.8)	282 (37.0)	224 (34.4)				
T/C	673 (47.6)	352 (46.2)	321 (49.4)				
T/T	234 (16.6)	128 (16.8)	105 (16.2)				

*n = 184 had no *APOE* genetic data (NACCNE4S) in the NACC UDS dataset

*** n=513 did not have BIN1 genetic data in the Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium (ADGC)

MCI Mild cognitive impairment

Our primary interest was in pure LATE-NC, for which there is no consensus-based operational definition, but the implication of the term is that LATE-NC is present in a brain that lacks intermediate- or high-level ADNC (as ADNC is formally defined [49]). We note that low severity of ADNC is not associated with substantial cognitive impairment [24, 55]. A preliminary assessment of pure LATE-NC versus ADNC+LATE-NC and ADNC+LATE-NC+LB pathology indicated that there was a trend for pure LATE-NC cases to be older at death, with a possible trend to have a higher frequency of comorbid hippocampal sclerosis (Table 2).

We wanted to evaluate the correlation between ADNC risk alleles (as a reflection of presumed recruitment bias favoring persons with risk of AD per se) and the detected frequencies of pure versions of non-ADNC pathologies. We hypothesized that ADRCs with higher levels of genetic risk for ADNC would have lower percentage of participants that lack ADNC, and thus could have pure LATE-NC. We found that among included participants in the NACC NP Data Set with known genotypes, the frequencies of AD risk alleles related to APOE and BIN1 were highly enriched in comparison to human populations: 46.5% of participants had at least one APOE ɛ4 allele, and 64.2% had at least one BIN1 AD risk allele (T allele of rs6733839). The reason that we evaluated BIN1 in addition to APOE is that we wanted to test whether the ADNC-related genetic risk factors that may influence recruitment bias (the enrichment for research participants with ADNC genetic risk factors in ADRC cohorts,

Characteristic	Pure LATE-NC	ADNC+LATE-NC	ADNC+LATE- NC+LB
Female, n (%)	35 (53.0)	232 (56.4)	37 (53.6)
Age at death, mean (SD)	90.7 (7.5)	87.3 (6.9)	84.8 (6.3)
Arteriolosclerosis, n (%)			
None	16 (24.2)	39 (9.7)	5 (7.4)
Mild	19 (28.8)	113 (28.1)	18 (26.5)
Moderate	20 (30.3)	169 (42.0)	28 (41.2)
Severe	11 (16.7)	81 (20.1)	17 (25.0)
Hippocampal sclerosis, n (%)	35 (53.0)	173 (43.0)	24 (35.3)
Aging-related tau astrogliopathy (ARTAG), n $(\%)^*$	15 (57.7)	67 (57.3)	10 (43.5)

n = 166 had the aging-related tau astrogliopathy (NPARTAG) data available

ADNC=Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change, LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change, LB Lewy bodies

and the corresponding lack of non-ADNC cases in those cohorts) pertained to genotypes other than *APOE*; *BIN1* was chosen for this analysis because variation at the *BIN1* locus appears to be the second-strongest driver of ADNC [6]. Looking across ADRCs with sufficient numbers of participants to be included in the analyses (n = 29 different ADRCs with 5 or more participants), there was a robust negative correlation between the allele frequencies for both *APOE* and *BIN1* and the proportion of participants from those individual ADRCs that lacked ADNC (Fig. 1). The data strongly indicate the existence of recruitment bias into ADRCs (some more than others), which generally favors recruitment of people at high genetic risk. This bias is associated with an artifactually high frequency of ADNC, and a correspondingly low frequency of pure LATE-NC.

Given the above considerations, we sought to visualize the data in a manner that enables better discrimination of results among *APOE* ε 4 non-carriers. Table 3 shows the numbers of participants stratified by *APOE* ε 4 status, LATE-NC status, and ADNC severity. Note that overall 4.3% (75/1,742) participants had pure LATE-NC (LATE-NC but No/Low ADNC), but among the *APOE* ε 4 non-carriers, 6.4% (60/932) participants had pure LATE-NC.

To query the actual (in the NACC data set) and predicted (more generalizable to human populations) probabilities of pure LATE-NC across the lifespan, we performed an analysis of NACC data without and with a correction for *APOE* ε 4 and *BIN1* (rs6733839) T risk allele frequencies. The graph in Fig. 2 depicts estimated probabilities of pure LATE-NC by age at death in unweighted samples (blue) (i.e., directly estimated from the NACC NP Data Set) and weighted samples with *APOE* ε 4 allele frequency of 15.5% and *BIN1* T allele of 38.0% (red). Consistent with the data shown in Table 3, Fig. 2 indicates that, age-for-age, there is approximately 50% increase of predicted pure LATE-NC, based only on the assumption of more population-generalizable *APOE* and *BIN1* allele frequencies. The model indicates a possible narrowing of the gap between actual and estimated pure LATE-NC rates, with advancing age.

We developed neuropathologic cutoff criteria for defining pure and mixed subtypes of LATE-NC, ADNC, and LB; see Supplemental Table 2. We compared the frequency of pure LATE-NC with pure neocortical LB and pure ADNC. In this subsample lacking *APOE* ε 4, pure LATE-NC (lacking neocortical LB, as well as substantial ADNC) was found in 5.3% of participants, whereas pure neocortical LB was 3.6%, and pure ADNC was 37.0% (Table 4).

Applying these same pathology-based diagnostic criteria, we queried what percent of included participants died with dementia, stratifying by the various subtypes of pathology – pure and "mixed types". These data are presented in Table 5. Across all the subtypes of pathology, pure subtypes tended to have died with dementia diagnosis – among *APOE* ϵ 4 non-carrier participants, with pure LATE-NC 56% died with dementia, versus ADNC 61%, and pure LB 70% died with dementia. Notably, people who died with mixed pathologies were even more likely to die with dementia (>86% of ADNC+LATE-NC and 100% of ADNC+LATE-NC+LB died with dementia).

In terms of other phenomena associated with pure LATE-NC, 47% with pure LATE-NC had moderate or severe brain arteriolosclerosis, 53% had hippocampal sclerosis, and 38% had been given during life the presumptive diagnosis of "Probable AD". Using ANCOVA that took into account covariates including age at death, sex, brain arteriolosclerosis, and *APOE* ε 4, the presence of LATE-NC among people with no/low ADNC and no LB in neocortical region was associated with significantly increased CDR-SOB scores (p <0.0023), that is, worsened global cognitive impairments (Fig. 3).

Among the participants with pure LATE-NC and having available neuropathologic data on amygdala, hippocampal,



Fig. 1 Associations between *APOE* ε 4 allele status **A** and *BIN1* rs6733839 T allele status **B** and lack of ADNC frequency in NIA-funded AD Research Centers (ADRCs). Each data point represents aggregated data from a single ADRC; n=29 ADRCs were included which each had \geq 5 participants with *APOE* genotype data. Normative allele frequency levels risk alleles in most human populations (~15.5%) are shown with a dotted vertical line **A**. Normative allele frequency levels for *BIN1* rs6733839 T (risk) allele in most human populations (~38%) are shown with a dotted vertical line **B**. Note that there is a strong negative correlation between the allele frequency of both *APOE* ε 4 and the *BIN1* risk allele, and the frequency of participants that lack ADNC. Only participants that lack ADNC can have pure LATE-NC, so the high ADNC-specific risk allele frequency in some ADRCs is linked to lower apparent LATE-NC frequency

and middle frontal gyrus TDP-43 pathology (to enable staging of LATE-NC distribution), there was a trend for higher LATE-NC stages to be associated with higher (i.e., worse) CDR-SOB scores (p=0.026 for linear trend of LATE-NC stages) as shown in Table 6. There was no evidence that pure LATE-NC was associated with clinical features of FTLD-TDP, namely disinhibition or primary progressive aphasia (Table 7); these clinical findings were more likely to have been reported with severe ADNC (bottom row of Table 7), with or without comorbid LATE-NC.

Discussion

Data were analyzed from the multicenter-derived NACC Neuropathology Data Set, focusing on the frequency and clinical impact of pure LATE-NC, i.e. brains with LATE-NC but lacking intermediate or severe ADNC. Prior scholarship has been published analyzing NACC Neuropathology Data Set data related to TDP-43 pathology and LATE-NC phenotypes [9, 14, 18, 20, 23, 29–31, 33, 45, 48, 70, 89, 93], but as far as we know this is the first study with an emphasis specifically on pure LATE-NC in this data set. As expected, most participants evaluated had "mixed" pathology, so that, whereas "pure ADNC" was the most common single "pure" pathologic group in this cohort, the pure subtypes were < 50% overall.

Using APOE and BIN1 alleles as proxies for ADNC genetic risk, we predict that the frequency of pure LATE-NC in a representative human population would comprise > 3% of participants over 80 years of age. This frequency (~1:30 presumed lifetime risk) for pure LATE-NC can be compared to other pathologies. For example, there is ~1:3 lifetime risk for pure ADNC [56] (the most common subtype of pure dementia-related pathology), and ~1:1000 lifetime risk for FTLD-TDP [16, 37] (rare). Given these data, pure LATE-NC is neither particularly common, nor is it rare in comparison to other neurodegenerative diseases, but is of intermediate prevalence in aging brains. The coexistence of LATE-NC and ADNC was highly frequent and many combinatorial mixtures (high ADNC/low LATE-NC, vice versa, &c.) exist along the pathological severity spectrum [56].

Beyond a description of the frequency of pure LATE-NC in the NACC Neuropathology Data Set, we sought to address several other pertinent questions: 1 > What is a credible hypothesis to explain why different research centers have large apparent differences in terms of the percentage of participants with pure LATE-NC? 2 > Is pure LATE-NC likely to have an impact on cognition?; and, 3 > What are some of the other clinical and pathological correlates of pure LATE-NC?

Prior work on TDP-43 pathology and LATE-NC phenotypes in the NACC Neuropathology Data Set [14, 20, 23, 29–31, 33, 48, 70, 93] laid the foundation for the present study. Previous studies from around the world (including ADRCs and many other sources) have touched on the presence, frequency, and correlative impacts of LATE-NC found at autopsy, while prior reviews have been written on the subject of LATE-NC and ADNC co-pathologies [46, 58, 81]. The present study helps to demonstrate why there may be different perspectives on pure LATE-NC according to the study design of the research cohort. The frequency of various pathologic combinations differed across

	n (%)							
	APOE ɛ4							
ADNC		No	At least one ε4 allele					
		(n = 932)	(n = 810)					
	LATE-NC-	LATE-NC+	% of group	LATE-NC-	LATE-NC+			
No	115 (16.1)	19 (8.7)	← 14.2	8 (1.5)	2 (0.7)			
Low	195 (27.3)	41 (18.7)	← 17.4	39 (7.5)	13 (4.5)			
Intermediate	208 (29.2)	43 (19.6)		135 (26.0)	46 (15.9)			
High	195 (37.3)	116 (53.0)		338 (65.0)	229 (79.0)			

Table 3 Numbers of participants stratifying by APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carrier status, ADNC severity, and LATE-NC (presence of absence of LATE-NC Stage > 1)

ADNC Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change, LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change



Fig. 2 Estimated probabilities of pure LATE-NC across the aging spectrum in the samples from the NACC Neuropathology dataset (blue) and after being weighted statistically to be population-representative for *APOE* ε 4 and *BIN1* risk alleles (red). The weighted samples had the *APOE* ε 4 allele frequency of 15.5% and *BIN1* (rs6733839 T allele) frequency of 38.0%. The weighted frequency predicts a~50% increase in pure LATE-NC in a population with more population-representative genetic markers for *APOE* ε 4 and *BIN1* risk alleles. Note that this estimation ignores the many additional genetic factors that are associated with variability in AD-type dementia risk (see Bellenguez et al., 2022 [6])

the contributory research centers, as described previously [20]. Of relevance to the topic of pure LATE-NC, ADRCs with research participants who on average had lower genetic vulnerability to ADNC (as demonstrated with *APOE* and *BIN1* genotypes) were more likely to have no/ low-ADNC participants and that tendency was correlated with increased frequency of pure LATE-NC. Our inclusion of *BIN1* analyses are to underscore that the ADRCs' (and probably other dementia clinic-based cohorts') recruitment bias in terms of ADNC genetic risk extends beyond the *APOE* risk alleles. In other words, recruitment bias helps

to explain some of the findings, which reflects the fact that persons at higher genetic risk for certain pathologies are more likely to be recruited into some studies in comparison to their representation in the general population. More specifically, in European populations, the prevalence of risk alleles in APOE is ~ 25%, and risk allele in BIN1 is $\sim 50\%$. However, in the NACC Neuropathology Data Set, the risk alleles for these genes were both present in > 40% and > 60%, of participants respectively, an indication of recruitment bias in the contributory research centers. Furthermore, given the basic nature of ADRCs, heritable risk may not be the only recruitment bias pertinent to this sample that favor enrichment of participants with ADNC underlying the clinical features. For example, many were recruited while already symptomatic with ADtype dementia [20].

The findings of disparate results when comparing between the ADRC cohorts in the present study provided a basis to interpret the findings in published studies from around the world. Table 8 shows the results for the present study for comparison to prior published work; published papers used for this table necessarily included breakdowns of LATE-NC status by amyloid plaque data. Note that otherwise excellent prior published studies that lacked APOE allele frequency [1, 63, 86], or that focused on normal subjects [3, 53] or on chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE) [36, 64], were not included in this table. Considered together were communitybased cohorts contributory to the combined study that represents the third data row in Table 8 [47, 79, 87]. The community-based studies included Adult Changes in Thought (ACT) [40]; Brazilian Biobank for Aging Studies (BAS) of the University of Sao Paulo [80]; Cambridge City over-75 s Cohort (CC75C) [12]; Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Ageing Study (CFAS) [91]; Duke/University of North Carolina AD Research Center (Duke/UNC-ADRC) [22]; Honolulu Asia-Aging Study (HAAS) [92]; Mayo Clinic Study of Aging (MCSA) [67]; Nun Study[85]; Rush University Religious Orders Study/Memory and Aging Project (ROS-MAP) [7]; University of California Irvine The

Table 4 Numbers of APOE ε 4- participants stratifying by neocortical Lewy bodies (present/absent), ADNC severity, and LATE-NC (presence or absence of LATE-NC Stage > 1)

	Among participants who lack APOE E4 allele							
ADNC	No LB in neocortical region(s)				LB in neocortical region(s)			
severity	LATE- NC-		LATE- NC+		LATE- NC-		LATE- NC+	
No	102		15	← Pure	13	← Pure	4	
Low	175		35	LATE- NC: 5.3%	20	LB: 3.5%	6	
Intermediate	176	← Pure	39		32		4	
High	169	ADNC: 37.0%	104		26		12	

ADNC Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change, LB Lewy bodies, LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change

Table 5 Numbers of participants stratifying by documented dementia status and various subtypes and combinations of pathology

Pathology-based disease categories*	APOE ɛ4							
	No (n=932)			At least one $\varepsilon 4$ allele (n = 810)				
	Not demented (n)	Demented (n)	Demented %	Not demented (n	Demented (n)	Demented %		
Pure ADNC	134	211	61.2	72	335	82.3		
Pure LATE-NC	22	28	56.0	4	10	71.4		
Pure LB	10	23	69.7	0	7	100.0		
ADNC+LATE-NC	19	124	86.7	16	212	93.0		
ADNC+LB	12	46	79.3	7	59	89.4		
ADNC+LATE-NC+LB	0	16	100	4	43	91.5		

ADNC Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change, LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change, LB Lewy bodies

*See Supplemental Tables 2 and 3 for pathology-based category definitions

90 + Study (The 90 + Study) [34]; University of Kentucky AD Research Center (UK-ADRC) [76]; Vantaa 85 + Study [35]; and, Vienna Trans-Danube Aging (VITA) study [38]. As Table 8 indicates, findings in the NACC NP Cohort show lower frequency of pure LATE-NC in comparison to prior results in community-based cohorts. We also note that the observed and predicted frequencies of pure LATE-NC tended to more closely correspond in advanced old age (the trend lines appear to converge in Fig. 2). We speculate that this may reflect that as ADNC risk factors other than *APOE* and *BIN1* recede in advanced old age, the frequency of pure LATE-NC is more predictable. However, more work is required to understand the age- and senescence-related factors that contribute to pure LATE-NC.

Our analyses also showed that, in persons lacking ADNC, LATE-NC was associated with cognitive impairment, compatible with results of prior work [26, 44, 54, 73, 78, 93, 95]. Dementia is a clinical syndrome defined by progressive impairment that compromises a person's abilities to perform activities of daily living [71] and most pure LATE-NC participants had premortem dementia documented; however, operationalizing dementia as a dichotomous variable ignores highly prevalent, subtler impairment that still may be disturbing to individuals and caregivers. Our abilities to discriminate subtle changes and cognitive gradations in the current study was not ideal, because we employed the relatively blunt instrument of CDR scores which are indicative of functional impairment and dementia [17]. Further, there was considerable biologic variation on both sides of the clinical-pathological correlation: there were many participants with other subtypes of pathology (e.g., the strong influence of vascular pathologies for which there are not yet optimal rubrics for the purposes of clinical-pathological correlation), and also variation in terms of the severity of TDP-43 proteinopathy that is not fully captured by LATE-NC staging (LATE-NC grades the distribution but not the densities of TDP-43 proteinopathy[60]). There also were not very large sample sizes for some of the subgroupings. With those caveats in mind, there was a statistically significant trend for lower functioning in pure LATE-NC, versus appropriate controls.



participants with low or no ADNC (all without neocortical LB pathology)

Fig. 3 Adjusted means of Clinical Dementia Rating Sum of Boxes (CDR-SOB) scores, by LATE-NC in people with no or low ADNC (i.e., NPADNC=0 or 1) and no-neocortical Lewy bodies. Analysis of covariance including age at death, sex, *APOE* ϵ 4, and brain arteriolosclerosis as a covariate was used. Among those with not or low ADNC and no neocortical Lewy bodies, the presence of LATE-NC was associated with deficits in terms of activities of daily living as measured by the CDR-SOB (p=0.0023). Comparison was according to a two-tailed test and error bars represent 95% confidence interval

Table 6 Adjusted means of CDR sum of boxes scores by LATE-NC pathological staging in pure LATE group with data available on amygdala, hippocampus, and middle frontal gyrus TDP-43 pathology (n=62)

	LATE-NC stage						
n (%) CDR sum of boxes, mean ± SE	1 5 (7.6) 2.4±2.2	2 45 (68.2) 6.3±0.9	3 12 (18.2) 8.8±1.6	p=0.079 (ANCOVA) p=0.026 (Linear trend)			

LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change, *CDR* Clinical dementia rating, *SE* Standard error, *ANCOVA* Analysis of covariance

In terms of other clinical correlates, we did not find evidence that pure LATE-NC is associated with clinical features of FTLD-TDP. More specifically, in this cohort both disinhibition and primary progressive aphasia were associated with severe ADNC, but not with pure LATE-NC. It is

 Table 7
 Numbers of participants stratifying by documented clinical disinhibition and primary progressive aphasia diagnoses and subtypes of pathology – ADNC and LATE-NC

ADNC severity	n (%)						
	Disinhibition		Primary progressive aphasia				
	LATE-NC-	LATE-NC+	LATE-NC-	LATE-NC+			
No	17 (7.1)	2 (1.7)	1 (3.6)	0 (0)			
Low	24 (10.1)	10 (8.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Intermedi- ate	48 (20.2)	18 (15.0)	1 (3.6)	0 (0)			
High	149 (62.6)	90 (75.0)	26 (92.9)	14 (100)			

ADNC Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic change, LATE-NC Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change

important to note that pathologically-confirmed FTLD cases were excluded from the sample. However, concordant with prior studies [29, 69, 93], these results again underscore that LATE-NC is a very different condition than FTLD-TDP. Whereas pure LATE-NC did not tend to resemble FTLD-TDP clinically, the clinical findings in many pure LATE-NC cases did resemble AD-type dementia, given that 38% of pure LATE-NC cases were diagnosed during life as Probable AD. It is important to keep in mind that the diagnoses were all made in state-of-the-art ADRCs, among the most resource- and expertise-rich academic centers in the world. A community hospital would not necessarily have access to the same diagnostic assets. LATE-NC masquerading clinically as AD dementia is a potential confounder to keep in mind for clinicians, but the misdiagnosis of Probable AD in participants of pure LATE-NC will presumably become less common as clinical diagnostics continue to improve.

There were some limitations to the current study. In terms of disease-related pathological cutoffs for group-level criteria designation, different categorizations could be used, e.g. using CERAD neuritic amyloid plaque scores rather than $A\beta$ phase scores for operationalizing ADNC, and therefore for delineating pure LATE-NC. Our rationale for using $A\beta$ phases rather than neuritic plaque scores (or Braak NFT stages) is that the $A\beta$ phases were used in the NIA-AA criteria as the most specific feature of ADNC [49]—by definition, a brain with $A\beta$ plaques but no tau pathology is still ADNC, whereas, by contrast, a brain with tau pathology but no $A\beta$ plaques is not ADNC [49]. (The consensus-based ADNC criteria [49] specify that $A\beta$ plaques without neuritic plaques is still "Low" ADNC, but leave unspecified what the implications are of neuritic plaques without $A\beta$).

There are limitations of using the NACC data sets in terms of recruitment bias and generalizability—ADRCs tend to be enriched for high socioeconomic Caucasians who are at risk for AD-type dementia [2, 9, 20, 48]. One strategy to

Cohort	Ref	Autopsy cohort study design		<i>APOE</i> ε4+% ***	% Pure LATE-NC overall in the cohort	
					No ADNC definition: Aβ Phase 0	No ADNC defi- nition: Aβ Phase 0 or 1
(Current study) NACC Neuropathol- ogy data set; all	[9], current study	Multi-center; 30 mostly clinic-based with several community-based cohorts	1926	46.5	1.2	4.3
(Current study) NACC Neuropathol- ogy data set; APOE ε4-			932	0	2.0	6.4
Combined community-based cohorts****	[56]	Multi-center; 13 community- and population-based cohorts	3803	25.5	4.0	9.9
U. California Irvine, The 90+Study	[42]	Community-based cohort	364	18.0	2.0	7.7
UZ/KU Leuven biobank – Brain Collection	[82, 83]	Hospital-based cohort	342	34.9	2.0	3.5
Johns Hopkins/Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging	[15]	Mixed community- and hospital-based cohort	113	26.1	3.9	7.8

Table 8 Summary data indicating the frequency of pure LATE-NC and other parameters in the current and select prior studies*

*Additional demographic and neuropathologic data referent to these cohorts are provided as Supplemental Table 5

** These numbers reflect non-FTLD participants

****All cohorts had > 60% APOE genotyping, these percentages refer to the subset of those genotyped

*****In this study a subset (n = 3803) had access to Aβ phase and LATE-NC status; these were the data tabulated in Ref. [56] Table 5

overcome some of these biases is to limit the analyses to community- and population-based cohorts; this strategy has been successful in prior work [56, 63]. However, to understand how the different autopsy cohorts may come to differing conclusions, and the covariates that may help explain those differences, it is useful to leverage the multicenter nature of NACC and its contributory ADRCs. ADRCs vary in their recruitment practices and protocols while providing standardized assessment instruments across the different institutions. The hypothesis of there being recruitment bias at clinic-based cohorts such as ADRCs, increasing AD risk in comparison to most human populations, was supported by our results displaying the high frequency of AD risk alleles in APOE and BIN1 in the NACC Neuropathology Data Set. The ADRC system thus provided a useful context to assess the relationships between study designs, recruitment practices, and reported outcomes related to dementia research. As such, some of the current study's results could not have been arrived at by analyzing data derived from any single- or even several-center study cohort.

Conclusions

Mixed pathologies are the norm in old people's brains, but pure LATE-NC is still fairly common (approximately as frequent as "pure" neocortical LB), particularly among individuals who lack the *APOE* ε 4 allele, and when analyzing data from autopsy cohorts with lower *APOE* ε 4 allele rates. In terms of clinical impact, pure LATE-NC was associated with increased likelihood of global cognitive impairments, and tended to be associated with dementia, but not with clinical features of FTLD-TDP. Taking all of these results together, among people that live to beyond age 85, the frequency of LATE-NC with no or scant ADNC, is predicted to be approximately 5%, most of whom would be expected to be demented before death.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-024-02821-y.

Acknowledgements The authors are profoundly grateful to the research volunteers and their families, as well as to the other clinicians and researchers who contributed to this project.

Authors' contributions YK performed primary work on data analytics and study design; XW performed data analyses and helped edit the manuscript; KZA performed data analyses and helped edit the manuscript; DWF performed data analyses and helped edit the manuscript; DCW provided primary data and helped analyze and interpret NACC data; SAS provided primary data and helped analyze and interpret NACC data; SOT provided primary data and helped analyze and interpret NACC data; DRT provided primary data and helped analyze and interpret NACC data and helped edit the manuscript; CM provided perspective on NACC data and helped edit the manuscript; JT and KC provided primary data from JHU and helped write/edit the manuscript; ADGC provided key genetic data and reviewed the manuscript; PTN contributed to the design and conceptualization of the study, analysis and interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institutes of Health under award numbers U24 NS133945, P30 AG072946, R01 AG061111, RF1 NS118584, R01 AG057187. The

National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging (NIH-NIA) supported this work through the following grants: ADGC, U01 AG032984, RC2 AG036528; Samples from the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD), which receives government support under a cooperative agreement grant (U24 AG21886) awarded by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), were used in this study.Data for this study were prepared, archived, and distributed by the National Institute on Aging Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Site (NIAGADS) at the University of Pennsylvania (U24-AG041689-01); NACC, U01 AG072122; NIA LOAD, U24 AG026395, R01AG041797; Banner Sun Health Research Institute P30 AG019610; Boston University, P30 AG013846, U01 AG10483, R01 CA129769, R01 MH080295, R01 AG017173, R01 AG025259, R01AG33193; Columbia University, P50 AG008702, R37 AG015473; Duke University, P30 AG028377, AG05128; Emory University, AG025688; Group Health Research Institute, UO1 AG006781, UO1 HG004610, UO1 HG006375; Indiana University, P30 AG10133; Johns Hopkins University, P50 AG005146, R01 AG020688; Massachusetts General Hospital, P50 AG005134; Mayo Clinic, P50 AG016574; Mount Sinai School of Medicine, P50 AG005138, P01 AG002219; New York University, P30 AG08051, UL1 RR029893, 5R01AG012101, 5R01AG022374, 5R01AG013616, 1RC2AG036502, 1R01AG035137; Northwestern University, P30 AG013854; Oregon Health & Science University, P30 AG008017, R01 AG026916; Rush University, P30 AG010161, R01 AG019085, R01 AG15819, R01 AG17917, R01 AG30146; TGen, R01 NS059873; University of Alabama at Birmingham, P50 AG016582; University of Arizona, R01 AG031581; University of California, Davis, P30 AG010129; University of California, Irvine, P50 AG016573; University of California, Los Angeles, P50 AG016570; University of California, San Diego, P50 AG005131; University of California, San Francisco, P50 AG023501, P01 AG019724; University of Kentucky, P30 AG028383, AG05144; University of Michigan, P50 AG008671; University of Pennsylvania, P30 AG010124; University of Pittsburgh, P50 AG005133, AG030653, AG041718, AG07562, AG02365; University of Southern California, P50 AG005142; University of Texas Southwestern, P30 AG012300; University of Miami, R01 AG027944, AG010491, AG027944, AG021547, AG019757; University of Washington, P50 AG005136; University of Wisconsin, P50 AG033514; Vanderbilt University, R01 AG019085; and Washington University, P50 AG005681, P01 AG03991. NIAGADS thank contributors who collected samples used in this study, as well as patients and their families, whose help and participation made this work possible. The Kathleen Price Bryan Brain Bank at Duke University Medical Center is funded by NINDS grant # NS39764, NIMH MH60451 and by Glaxo Smith Kline. Genotyping of the TGEN2 cohort was supported by Kronos Science. The TGen series was also funded by NIA grant AG041232 to AJM and MJH, The Banner Alzheimer's Foundation, The Johnnie B. Byrd Sr.Alzheimer's Institute, the Medical Research Council, and the state of Arizona and also includes samples from the following sites: Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource (funding via the Medical Research Council, local NHS trusts and Newcastle University), MRC London Brain Bank for Neurodegenerative Diseases (funding via the Medical Research Council), South West Dementia Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including the Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), Alzheimer's Research Trust (ART), BRACE as well as North Bristol NHS Trust Research and Innovation Department and DeNDRoN), The Netherlands Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including Stichting MS Research, Brain Net Europe, Hersenstichting Nederland Breinbrekend Werk, International Parkinson Fonds, Internationale Stiching Alzheimer Onderzoek), Institut de Neuropatologia, Servei Anatomia Patologica, Universitat de Barcelona. ADNI data collection and sharing was funded by the National Institutes of Health Grant U01 AG024904 and Department of Defense award number W81XWH-12-2-0012. ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following:

AbbVie, Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; EuroImmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC.; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study at the University of California, San Diego. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California. We thank Drs. D. Stephen Snyder and Marilyn Miller from NIA who are ex-officio ADGC members. Support was also from the Alzheimer's Association (LAF, IIRG-08-89720; MP-V, IIRG-05-14147) and the US Department of Veterans Affairs Administration, Office of Research and Development, Biomedical Laboratory Research Program. P.S.G.-H. is supported by Wellcome Trust, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the Canadian Institute of Health Research. The NACC database is funded by NIA/NIH Grant U24 AG072122. NACC data are contributed by the NIA-funded ADRCs: P30 AG062429 (PI James Brewer, MD, PhD), P30 AG066468 (PI Oscar Lopez, MD), P30 AG062421 (PI Bradley Hyman, MD, PhD), P30 AG066509 (PI Thomas Grabowski, MD), P30 AG066514 (PI Mary Sano, PhD), P30 AG066530 (PI Helena Chui, MD), P30 AG066507 (PI Marilyn Albert, PhD), P30 AG066444 (PI John Morris, MD), P30 AG066518 (PI Jeffrey Kaye, MD), P30 AG066512 (PI Thomas Wisniewski, MD), P30 AG066462 (PI Scott Small, MD), P30 AG072979 (PI David Wolk, MD), P30 AG072972 (PI Charles DeCarli, MD), P30 AG072976 (PI Andrew Saykin, PsyD), P30 AG072975 (PI David Bennett, MD), P30 AG072978 (PI Neil Kowall, MD), P30 AG072977 (PI Robert Vassar, PhD), P30 AG066519 (PI Frank LaFerla, PhD), P30 AG062677 (PI Ronald Petersen, MD, PhD), P30 AG079280 (PI Eric Reiman, MD), P30 AG062422 (PI Gil Rabinovici, MD), P30 AG066511 (PI Allan Levey, MD, PhD), P30 AG072946 (PI Linda Van Eldik, PhD), P30 AG062715 (PI Sanjay Asthana, MD, FRCP), P30 AG072973 (PI Russell Swerdlow, MD), P30 AG066506 (PI Todd Golde, MD, PhD), P30 AG066508 (PI Stephen Strittmatter, MD, PhD), P30 AG066515 (PI Victor Henderson, MD, MS), P30 AG072947 (PI Suzanne Craft, PhD), P30 AG072931 (PI Henry Paulson, MD, PhD), P30 AG066546 (PI Sudha Seshadri, MD), P20 AG068024 (PI Erik Roberson, MD, PhD), P20 AG068053 (PI Justin Miller, PhD), P20 AG068077 (PI Gary Rosenberg, MD), P20 AG068082 (PI Angela Jefferson, PhD), P30 AG072958 (PI Heather Whitson, MD), P30 AG072959 (PI James Leverenz, MD). The particular data used and/or analysed during the current study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. DRT received grants from Fond Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO, Belgium) G0F8516N, G065721N, KU-Leuven Onderzoeksraad (Belgium) C14/17/107; C14/22/132; C3/20/057, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, Germany) TH-624-4-1, 4-2, 6-1, Alzheimer Forschung Initiative (AFI, Germany) #10810, #13803, and Alzheimer Association (USA) 22-AAIIA-963171 to classify and recruit the UZ/KU-Leuven biobank - Brain Collection. SOT was supported from KU Leuven Internal Funding PDMT2/21/069, BrightFocus Foundation (A2022019F), and Alzheimer's Association AARF-24-1300693.

Data availability The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the NACC data set repository, https://naccdata.org/requesting-data/web_

Declarations

Conflict of interest DRT & SOT received consultant honorary from Muna Therapeutics (Belgium). DRT collaborated with Novartis Pharma AG (Switzerland), and GE-Healthcare (UK). DRT and PTN are members of *Acta Neuropathologica* editorial board. They were not involved in the assessment or decision-making process for this manuscript. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical approval and consent to participate For all NACC data, only anonymized summary information was used. Institutional review board approval and informed consent were obtained from all participants at each of individual AD Research Center (ADRC). Under those auspices, informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. See https://naccdata.org/requesting-data/naccdata

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Alafuzoff I, Libard S (2024) Ageing-related neurodegeneration and cognitive decline. Int J Mol Sci. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms2 5074065
- Arce Renteria M, Mobley TM, Evangelista ND, Medina LD, Deters KD, Fox-Fuller JT et al (2023) Representativeness of samples enrolled in Alzheimer's disease research centers. Alzheimers Dement (Amst) 15:e12450. https://doi.org/10.1002/dad2.12450
- Arnold SJ, Dugger BN, Beach TG (2013) TDP-43 deposition in prospectively followed, cognitively normal elderly individuals: correlation with argyrophilic grains but not other concomitant pathologies. Acta Neuropathol 126:51–57. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00401-013-1110-0
- Beekly DL, Ramos EM, Lee WW, Deitrich WD, Jacka ME, Wu J et al (2007) The national alzheimer's coordinating center (NACC) database: the Uniform Data Set. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 21:249–258
- Beekly DL, Ramos EM, van Belle G, Deitrich W, Clark AD, Jacka ME et al (2004) The national Alzheimer's coordinating center (NACC) database: an Alzheimer disease database. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 18:270–277
- Bellenguez C, Kucukali F, Jansen IE, Kleineidam L, Moreno-Grau S, Amin N et al (2022) New insights into the genetic etiology of Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. Nat Genet 54:412– 436. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-022-01024-z

- Bennett DA, Schneider JA, Arvanitakis Z, Wilson RS (2012) Overview and findings from the religious orders study. Curr Alzheimer Res 9:628–645. https://doi.org/10.2174/156720512801322 573
- Besser L, Kukull W, Knopman DS, Chui H, Galasko D, Weintraub S et al (2018) Version 3 of the national Alzheimer's coordinating center's uniform data set. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 32:351– 358. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.00000000000279
- Besser LM, Kukull WA, Teylan MA, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Kofler JK et al (2018) The revised national Alzheimer's coordinating center's neuropathology form-available data and new analyses. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 77:717–726. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jnen/nly049
- Boyle PA, Yu L, Leurgans SE, Wilson RS, Brookmeyer R, Schneider JA et al (2019) Attributable risk of Alzheimer's dementia attributed to age-related neuropathologies. Ann Neurol 85:114– 124. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25380
- Braak H, Thal DR, Ghebremedhin E, Del Tredici K (2011) Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 70:960–969. https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e318232a379
- Brayne C, Richardson K, Matthews FE, Fleming J, Hunter S, Xuereb JH et al (2009) Neuropathological correlates of dementia in over-80 year-old brain donors from the population-based Cambridge city over-75 s cohort (CC75C) study. J Alzheimers Dis 18:645–658. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2009-1182
- Brenowitz WD, Monsell SE, Schmitt FA, Kukull WA, Nelson PT (2014) Hippocampal sclerosis of aging is a key Alzheimer's disease mimic: clinical-pathologic correlations and comparisons with both alzheimer's disease and non-tauopathic frontotemporal lobar degeneration. J Alzheimers Dis 39:691–702. https://doi.org/ 10.3233/JAD-131880
- Butler Pagnotti RM, Pudumjee SB, Cross CL, Miller JB (2023) Cognitive and clinical characteristics of patients with limbicpredominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy. Neurology 100:e2027–e2035. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000 207159
- Chang K, Ling JP, Redding-Ochoa J, An Y, Li L, Dean SA et al (2023) Loss of TDP-43 splicing repression occurs early in the aging population and is associated with Alzheimer's disease neuropathologic changes and cognitive decline. Acta Neuropathol 147:4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-023-02653-2
- Coyle-Gilchrist IT, Dick KM, Patterson K, Vazquez Rodriquez P, Wehmann E, Wilcox A et al (2016) Prevalence, characteristics, and survival of frontotemporal lobar degeneration syndromes. Neurology 86:1736–1743. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000 0000002638
- Dooneief G, Marder K, Tang MX, Stern Y (1996) The Clinical Dementia Rating scale: community-based validation of "profound" and "terminal" stages. Neurology 46:1746–1749. https:// doi.org/10.1212/wnl.46.6.1746
- Dugan AJ, Nelson PT, Katsumata Y, Shade LMP, Boehme KL, Teylan MA et al (2021) Analysis of genes (TMEM106B, GRN, ABCC9, KCNMB2, and APOE) implicated in risk for LATE-NC and hippocampal sclerosis provides pathogenetic insights: a retrospective genetic association study. Acta Neuropathol Commun 9:152. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-021-01250-2
- Farfel JM, Yu L, Buchman AS, Schneider JA, De Jager PL, Bennett DA (2016) Relation of genomic variants for Alzheimer disease dementia to common neuropathologies. Neurology 87:489–496. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000002909
- Gauthreaux K, Kukull WA, Nelson KB, Mock C, Chen YC, Chan KCG et al (2023) Different cohort, disparate results: selection bias is a key factor in autopsy cohorts. Alzheimers Dement. https://doi. org/10.1002/alz.13422

66

- Genomes Project C, Abecasis GR, Altshuler D, Auton A, Brooks LD, Durbin RM et al (2010) A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 467:1061–1073. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature09534
- 22. Harrison WT, Lusk JB, Liu B, Ervin JF, Johnson KG, Green CL et al (2021) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathological change (LATE-NC) is independently associated with dementia and strongly associated with arteriolosclerosis in the oldest-old. Acta Neuropathol. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00401-021-02360-w
- Hiya S, Maldonado-Diaz C, Walker JM, Richardson TE (2023) Cognitive symptoms progress with limbic-predominant agerelated TDP-43 encephalopathy stage and co-occurrence with Alzheimer disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 83:2–10. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlad098
- Hyman BT, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Carrillo MC et al (2012) National institute on Aging-Alzheimer's association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jalz.2011.10.007
- Kapasi A, DeCarli C, Schneider JA (2017) Impact of multiple pathologies on the threshold for clinically overt dementia. Acta Neuropathol 134:171–186. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-017-1717-7
- Kapasi A, Yu L, Boyle PA, Barnes LL, Bennett DA, Schneider JA (2020) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy, ADNC pathology, and cognitive decline in aging. Neurology 95:e1951–e1962. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000 010454
- Karanth S, Nelson PT, Katsumata Y, Kryscio RJ, Schmitt FA, Fardo DW et al (2020) Prevalence and clinical phenotype of quadruple misfolded proteins in older adults. JAMA Neurol 77:1299– 1307. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.1741
- Karlsson IK, Escott-Price V, Gatz M, Hardy J, Pedersen NL, Shoai M et al (2022) Measuring heritable contributions to Alzheimer's disease: polygenic risk score analysis with twins. Brain Commun. https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcab308
- Katsumata Y, Abner EL, Karanth S, Teylan MA, Mock CN, Cykowski MD et al (2020) Distinct clinicopathologic clusters of persons with TDP-43 proteinopathy. Acta Neuropathol 140:659– 674. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-020-02211-0
- 30. Katsumata Y, Fardo DW, Kukull WA, Nelson PT (2018) Dichotomous scoring of TDP-43 proteinopathy from specific brain regions in 27 academic research centers: associations with Alzheimer's disease and cerebrovascular disease pathologies. Acta Neuropathol Commun 6:142. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40478-018-0641-y
- Katsumata Y, Fardo DW, Shade LMP, Alzheimer's Disease Genetics C, Nelson PT (2023) LATE-NC risk alleles (in TMEM106B, GRN, and ABCC9 genes) among persons with African ancestry. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 82:760–768. https://doi.org/10.1093/ jnen/nlad059
- 32. Katsumata Y, Shade LM, Hohman TJ, Schneider JA, Bennett DA, Farfel JM et al (2022) Multiple gene variants linked to Alzheimer's-type clinical dementia via GWAS are also associated with non-Alzheimer's neuropathologic entities. Neurobiol Dis 174:105880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2022.105880
- 33. Katsumata Y, Wu X, Aung KZ, Gauthreaux K, Mock C, Forrest SL et al (2024) Pathologic correlates of aging-related tau astrogliopathy: ARTAG is associated with LATE-NC and cerebrovascular pathologies, but not with ADNC. Neurobiol Dis 191:106412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2024.106412
- Kawas CH, Kim RC, Sonnen JA, Bullain SS, Trieu T, Corrada MM (2015) Multiple pathologies are common and related to dementia in the oldest-old: The 90+ Study. Neurology 85:535– 542. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.000000000001831

- Kero M, Raunio A, Polvikoski T, Tienari PJ, Paetau A, Myllykangas L (2018) Hippocampal sclerosis in the oldest old: a finnish population-based study. J Alzheimers Dis 63:263–272. https://doi. org/10.3233/JAD-171068
- 36. King A, Sweeney F, Bodi I, Troakes C, Maekawa S, Al-Sarraj S (2010) Abnormal TDP-43 expression is identified in the neocortex in cases of dementia pugilistica, but is mainly confined to the limbic system when identified in high and moderate stages of Alzheimer's disease. Neuropathology 30:408–419. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1440-1789.2009.01085.x
- Knopman DS, Roberts RO (2011) Estimating the number of persons with frontotemporal lobar degeneration in the US population. J Mol Neurosci 45:330–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12031-011-9538-y
- Kovacs GG, Milenkovic I, Wohrer A, Hoftberger R, Gelpi E, Haberler C et al (2013) Non-Alzheimer neurodegenerative pathologies and their combinations are more frequent than commonly believed in the elderly brain: a community-based autopsy series. Acta Neuropathol 126:365–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00401-013-1157-y
- 39. Latimer CS, Burke BT, Liachko NF, Currey HN, Kilgore MD, Gibbons LE et al (2019) Resistance and resilience to Alzheimer's disease pathology are associated with reduced cortical pTau and absence of limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy in a community-based cohort. Acta Neuropathol Commun 7:91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-019-0743-1
- Lee CS, Latimer CS, Henriksen JC, Blazes M, Larson EB, Crane PK et al (2021) Application of deep learning to understand resilience to Alzheimer's disease pathology. Brain Pathol 31:e12974. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12974
- Lee T, Sachdev P (2014) The contributions of twin studies to the understanding of brain ageing and neurocognitive disorders. Curr Opin Psychiatry 27:122–127. https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.00000 00000000039
- 42. Leiby AC, Scambray KA, Nguyen HL, Basith F, Fakhraee S, Melikyan ZA et al (2023) Characterizing limbic-predominant agerelated TDP-43 encephalopathy without alzheimer's disease and lewy body dementia in the oldest old: a case series. J Alzheimers Dis 96:113–124. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-230238
- Leverenz JB, Agustin CM, Tsuang D, Peskind ER, Edland SD, Nochlin D et al (2002) Clinical and neuropathological characteristics of hippocampal sclerosis: a community-based study. Arch Neurol 59:1099–1106
- 44. Liu KY, Reeves S, McAleese KE, Attems J, Francis P, Thomas A et al (2020) Neuropsychiatric symptoms in limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy and Alzheimer's disease. Brain 143:3842–3849. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa315
- 45. Maldonado-Diaz C, Hiya S, Yokoda RT, Farrell K, Marx GA, Kauffman J et al (2024) Disentangling and quantifying the relative cognitive impact of concurrent mixed neurodegenerative pathologies. Acta Neuropathol 147:58. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00401-024-02716-y
- Meneses A, Koga S, O'Leary J, Dickson DW, Bu G, Zhao N (2021) TDP-43 Pathology in Alzheimer's Disease. Mol Neurodegener 16:84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-021-00503-x
- 47. Mikhailenko E, Colangelo K, Tuimala J, Kero M, Savola S, Raunio A et al (2024) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy in the oldest old: a population-based study. Brain. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awae212
- Mock C, Teylan M, Beecham G, Besser L, Cairns NJ, Crary JF et al (2020) The utility of the national Alzheimer's coordinating center's database for the rapid assessment of evolving neuropathologic conditions. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 34:105–111. https://doi.org/10.1097/WAD.00000000000380
- 49. Montine TJ, Phelps CH, Beach TG, Bigio EH, Cairns NJ, Dickson DW et al (2012) National institute on aging-Alzheimer's

association guidelines for the neuropathologic assessment of Alzheimer's disease: a practical approach. Acta Neuropathol 123:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-011-0910-3

- Morris JC, Weintraub S, Chui HC, Cummings J, Decarli C, Ferris S et al (2006) The uniform data set (UDS): clinical and cognitive variables and descriptive data from Alzheimer disease centers. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 20:210–216. https://doi.org/10.1097/ 01.wad.0000213865.09806.92
- Nag S, Schneider JA (2023) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP43 encephalopathy (LATE) neuropathological change in neurodegenerative diseases. Nat Rev Neurol 19:525–541. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41582-023-00846-7
- 52. Nag S, Yu L, Boyle PA, Leurgans SE, Bennett DA, Schneider JA (2018) TDP-43 pathology in anterior temporal pole cortex in aging and Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol Commun 6:33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-018-0531-3
- 53. Nascimento C, Di Lorenzo Alho AT, Bazan Conceicao Amaral C, Leite REP, Nitrini R, Jacob-Filho W et al (2018) Prevalence of transactive response DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) proteinopathy in cognitively normal older adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol 44:286–297. https://doi.org/10.1111/nan.12430
- Nelson PT (2021) LATE neuropathologic changes with little or no alzheimer disease is common and is associated with cognitive impairment but not frontotemporal dementia. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlab050
- 55. Nelson PT, Alafuzoff I, Bigio EH, Bouras C, Braak H, Cairns NJ et al (2012) Correlation of Alzheimer disease neuropathologic changes with cognitive status: a review of the literature. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 71:362–381. https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN. 0b013e31825018f7
- 56. Nelson PT, Brayne C, Flanagan ME, Abner EL, Agrawal S, Attems J et al (2022) Frequency of LATE neuropathologic change across the spectrum of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology: combined data from 13 community-based or population-based autopsy cohorts. Acta Neuropathol. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00401-022-02444-1
- Nelson PT, Dickson DW, Trojanowski JQ, Jack CR, Boyle PA, Arfanakis K et al (2019) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy (LATE): consensus working group report. Brain 142:1503–1527. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz099
- Nelson PT, Fardo DW, Wu X, Aung KZ, Cykowski MD, Katsumata Y (2024) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy (LATE-NC): Co-pathologies and genetic risk factors provide clues about pathogenesis. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlae032
- 59. Nelson PT, Jicha GA, Schmitt FA, Liu H, Davis DG, Mendiondo MS et al (2007) Clinicopathologic correlations in a large Alzheimer disease center autopsy cohort: neuritic plaques and neurofibrillary tangles "do count" when staging disease severity. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 66:1136–1146
- Nelson PT, Lee EB, Cykowski MD, Alafuzoff I, Arfanakis K, Attems J et al (2023) LATE-NC staging in routine neuropathologic diagnosis: an update. Acta Neuropathol 145:159–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-022-02524-2
- Nelson PT, Schmitt FA, Lin Y, Abner EL, Jicha GA, Patel E et al (2011) Hippocampal sclerosis in advanced age: clinical and pathological features. Brain 134:1506–1518. https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/awr053
- 62. Nelson PT, Schneider JA, Jicha GA, Duong MT, Wolk DA (2023) When Alzheimer's is LATE: why does it matter? Ann Neurol 94:211–222. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26711
- 63. Nichols E, Merrick R, Hay SI, Himali D, Himali JJ, Hunter S et al (2023) The prevalence, correlation, and co-occurrence of neuropathology in old age: harmonisation of 12 measures across six community-based autopsy studies of dementia. Lancet Healthy

Longev 4:e115-e125. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(23) 00019-3

- Nicks R, Clement NF, Alvarez VE, Tripodis Y, Baucom ZH, Huber BR et al (2023) Repetitive head impacts and chronic traumatic encephalopathy are associated with TDP-43 inclusions and hippocampal sclerosis. Acta Neuropathol 145:395–408. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00401-023-02539-3
- 65. Pao WC, Dickson DW, Crook JE, Finch NA, Rademakers R, Graff-Radford NR (2011) Hippocampal sclerosis in the elderly: genetic and pathologic findings, some mimicking alzheimerdisease clinically. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 25:364–368. https:// doi.org/10.1097/WAD.0b013e31820f8f50
- 66. Rahimi J, Kovacs GG (2014) Prevalence of mixed pathologies in the aging brain. Alzheimers Res Ther 6:82. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13195-014-0082-1
- Roberts RO, Geda YE, Knopman DS, Cha RH, Pankratz VS, Boeve BF et al (2008) The mayo clinic study of aging: design and sampling, participation, baseline measures and sample characteristics. Neuroepidemiology 30:58–69. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000115751
- Robinson JL, Lee EB, Xie SX, Rennert L, Suh E, Bredenberg C et al (2018) Neurodegenerative disease concomitant proteinopathies are prevalent, age-related and APOE4-associated. Brain 141:2181–2193. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy146
- Robinson JL, Porta S, Garrett FG, Zhang P, Xie SX, Suh E et al (2020) Limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy differs from frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Brain 143:2844– 2857. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa219
- Robinson JL, Richardson H, Xie SX, Suh E, Van Deerlin VM, Alfaro B et al (2021) The development and convergence of copathologies in Alzheimer's disease. Brain 144:953–962. https:// doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa438
- Sachdev PS, Blacker D, Blazer DG, Ganguli M, Jeste DV, Paulsen JS et al (2014) Classifying neurocognitive disorders: the DSM-5 approach. Nat Rev Neurol 10:634–642. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrneurol.2014.181
- 72. Sachdev PS, Lee T, Wen W, Ames D, Batouli AH, Bowden J et al (2013) The contribution of twins to the study of cognitive ageing and dementia: the Older Australian twins study. Int Rev Psychiatry 25:738–747. https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2013.870137
- Sajjadi SA, Bukhari S, Scambray KA, Yan R, Kawas C, Montine TJ et al (2023) Impact and risk factors of limbic predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy neuropathologic change in an oldest-old cohort. Neurology 100:e203–e210. https://doi.org/ 10.1212/WNL.000000000201345
- 74. Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, George-Hyslop PH, Pericak-Vance MA, Joo SH et al (1993) Association of apolipoprotein E allele epsilon 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 43:1467–1472
- 75. Schmechel DE, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Crain BJ, Hulette CM, Joo SH et al (1993) Increased amyloid beta-peptide deposition in cerebral cortex as a consequence of apolipoprotein E genotype in late-onset Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:9649–9653
- 76. Schmitt FA, Nelson PT, Abner E, Scheff S, Jicha GA, Smith C et al (2012) University of kentucky sanders-brown healthy brain aging volunteers: donor characteristics, procedures, and neuropathology. Curr Alzheimer Res 9:724–733
- 77. Seshadri S, Fitzpatrick AL, Ikram MA, DeStefano AL, Gudnason V, Boada M et al (2010) Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. JAMA 303:1832–1840. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.574
- Smirnov DS, Salmon DP, Galasko D, Edland SD, Pizzo DP, Goodwill V et al (2022) TDP-43 pathology exacerbates cognitive decline in primary age-related tauopathy. Ann Neurol. https://doi. org/10.1002/ana.26438

- 79. Sordo L, Qian T, Bukhari SA, Nguyen KM, Woodworth DC, Head E et al (2023) Characterization of hippocampal sclerosis of aging and its association with other neuropathologic changes and cognitive deficits in the oldest-old. Acta Neuropathol 146:415–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-023-02606-9
- Suemoto CK, Leite REP, Ferretti-Rebustini REL, Rodriguez RD, Nitrini R, Pasqualucci CA et al (2019) Neuropathological lesions in the very old: results from a large Brazilian autopsy study. Brain Pathol 29:771–781. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12719
- Tome SO, Gawor K, Thal DR (2023) LATE-NC in Alzheimer's disease: Molecular aspects and synergies. Brain Pathol. https:// doi.org/10.1111/bpa.13213
- Tome SO, Gomes LA, Li X, Vandenberghe R, Tousseyn T, Thal DR (2021) TDP-43 interacts with pathological tau protein in Alzheimer's disease. Acta Neuropathol 141:795–799. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00401-021-02295-2
- Tome SO, Vandenberghe R, Ospitalieri S, Van Schoor E, Tousseyn T, Otto M et al (2020) Distinct molecular patterns of TDP-43 pathology in Alzheimer's disease: relationship with clinical phenotypes. Acta Neuropathol Commun 8:61. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s40478-020-00934-5
- Troncoso JC, Kawas CH, Chang CK, Folstein MF, Hedreen JC (1996) Lack of association of the apoE4 allele with hippocampal sclerosis dementia. Neurosci Lett 204:138–140
- Tyas SL, Salazar JC, Snowdon DA, Desrosiers MF, Riley KP, Mendiondo MS et al (2007) Transitions to mild cognitive impairments, dementia, and death: findings from the Nun study. Am J Epidemiol 165:1231–1238. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm085
- Uchino A, Takao M, Hatsuta H, Sumikura H, Nakano Y, Nogami A et al (2015) Incidence and extent of TDP-43 accumulation in aging human brain. Acta Neuropathol Commun 3:35. https://doi. org/10.1186/s40478-015-0215-1
- Uemura MT, Robinson JL, Cousins KAQ, Tropea TF, Kargilis DC, McBride JD et al (2021) Distinct characteristics of limbic-predominant age-related TDP-43 encephalopathy in Lewy body disease. Acta Neuropathol. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00401-021-02383-3
- Walker JM, Richardson TE (2023) Cognitive resistance to and resilience against multiple comorbid neurodegenerative pathologies and the impact of APOE status. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 82:110–119. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlac115

- Walker JM, Richardson TE (2022) Cognitive resistance to and resilience against multiple comorbid neurodegenerative pathologies and the impact of APOE status. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 82:110–119. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlac115
- Wennberg AM, Tosakulwong N, Lesnick TG, Murray ME, Whitwell JL, Liesinger AM et al (2018) Association of apolipoprotein E epsilon4 With transactive response DNA-binding protein 43. JAMA Neurol 75:1347–1354. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol. 2018.3139
- 91. Wharton SB, Brayne C, Savva GM, Matthews FE, Forster G, Simpson J et al (2011) Epidemiological neuropathology: the MRC cognitive function and aging study experience. J Alzheimers Dis 25:359–372. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2011-091402
- 92. White L, Small BJ, Petrovitch H, Ross GW, Masaki K, Abbott RD et al (2005) Recent clinical-pathologic research on the causes of dementia in late life: update from the Honolulu-Asia Aging Study. J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol 18:224–227. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0891988705281872
- 93. Woodworth DC, Nguyen KM, Sordo L, Scambray KA, Head E, Kawas CH et al (2024) Comprehensive assessment of TDP-43 neuropathology data in the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center database. Acta Neuropathol 147:103. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00401-024-02728-8
- 94. Yang HS, Yu L, White CC, Chibnik LB, Chhatwal JP, Sperling RA et al (2018) Evaluation of TDP-43 proteinopathy and hippocampal sclerosis in relation to APOE epsilon4 haplotype status: a community-based cohort study. Lancet Neurol 17:773–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30251-5
- 95. Yu L, Schneider JA, Kapasi A, Bennett DA, Boyle PA (2020) Limbic-predominant Age-related TDP-43 Encephalopathy and Distinct Longitudinal Profiles of Domain-specific Literacy. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 34:299–305. https://doi.org/10.1097/ WAD.00000000000389

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.