

M. McNally, R. Sousa, M. Wouthuyzen-Bakker, A. F. Chen, A. Soriano, H. C. Vogely, M. Clauss, C. A. Higuera, R. Trebše

Correspondence should be sent to M. McNally; email: martin. mcnally@ouh.nhs.uk

© 2021 Author(s) et al. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.103B1. BJJ-2020-2417 \$2.00

Bone Joint J 2021;103-B(1):16–17.

INFOGRAPHIC Infographic: The EBJIS definition of periprosthetic joint infection

A PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR CLINICIANS

Periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) remains a major concern for surgeons and patients. It presents a large burden for healthcare systems, requiring expensive, invasive treatments, which are not always successful.^{1,2} Effective treatment starts with accurate diagnosis.

Previous definitions of PJI have been helpful and provided reference standards for diagnostic studies,³⁻⁵ but no single definition has been adopted.⁶ The European Bone & Joint Infection Society (EBJIS) has therefore worked with the Musculoskeletal Infection Society (MSIS) and the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Study Group for Implant-Associated Infections (ESGIAI) to produce a new definition, derived from the most robust evidence on diagnosis of PJI. This fulfils the two primary roles of a definition. Firstly, it provides a practical guide for clinicians to decide if an infection is present or not, based on widely available investigations. Secondly, it facilitates researchers to perform studies in patients with confirmed infection or not.

The elements of the definition were chosen on the specificity or sensitivity of each test. Unfortunately, we do not have any perfect tests, and currently we have no tests which can reliably exclude infection. A test with a high specificity (such as histology) is only positive in those with infection and so can be used to confirm the presence of infection. Sensitive tests can suggest the presence of infection but will not confirm it unless they also have high specificity. As new tests are evaluated, they can be added.

The novel 'traffic light' approach divides patients by the likelihood of infection (green or amber) or confirmed infection (red). It recognizes the difficulty of trying to create a simplistic 'black or white' definition of PJI.^{6,7} This mirrors the approach in the recent Consensus Definition of Fracture-Related Infection.^{8,9}

We hope that this work helps clinicians of all expertise and allows informed discussions with patients about the diagnosis of PJI. The definition is now undergoing validation trials and we welcome further work on this.

References

- Middleton R, Khan T, Alvand A. Update on the diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection in hip and knee arthroplasty. *Bone Joint 360*. 2019;8(4):5–13.
- Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. J Arthroplasty. 2012;27(8)(suppl):61–5.e1.

- Parvizi J, Gehrke T; International Consensus Group on Periprosthetic Joint Infection. Definition of periprosthetic joint infection. J Arthroplasty. 2014;29(7):1331.
- Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, et al; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(1):e1–e25.
- Shohat N, Bauer T, Buttaro M, et al. Hip and knee section, what is the definition of a periprosthetic joint infection (PJI) of the knee and the hip? can the same criteria be used for both joints?: proceedings of international consensus on orthopedic infections. J Arthroplasty. 2019;34(2S):S325–S327.
- Villa JM, Pannu TS, Piuzzi N, Riesgo AM, Higuera CA. Evolution of diagnostic definitions for periprosthetic joint infection in total hip and knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty. 2020;35(3S):S9–S13.
- Oussedik S, Gould K, Stockley I, Haddad FS. Defining periprosthetic infection; do we have a workable gold standard? *Bone Joint J.* 2012;94-B:1455–1456.
- McNally M, Govaert G, Dudareva M, Morgenstern M, Metsemakers W-J. Definition and diagnosis of fracture-related infection. *EFORT Open Rev.* 2020;5(10):614–619.
- Govaert GAM, Kuehl R, Atkins BL, et al; Fracture-Related Infection (FRI) Consensus Group. Diagnosing fracture-related Infections: current concepts and recommendations. J Orthop Trauma. 2020;34(1):8–17.

Author information:

M. McNally, MB, BCh, MD, FRCS(Orth), EBJIS Past-President, The Bone Infection Unit, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford University Hospitals, Oxford, UK.

R. Sousa, MD, PhD, EBJIS Executive Committee Member, Porto Bone Infection Group (GRIP), Orthopaedic Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Porto, Porto, Portugal.

M. Wouthuyzen-Bakker, MD, PhD, ESGIAI Chair, Department of Medical Microbiology and Infection Prevention, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands.

A. F. Chen, MA, MBA, Director of Research, Arthroplasty Services, Director of Research, Arthroplasty Services, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

A. Soriano, MD, EBJIS Vice-President, ESCMID Fellow, Head of Infectious Diseases Department, Hospital Clinic of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

H. C. Vogely, MD, PhD, EBJIS General Secretary, Orthopaedic Surgeon, Department of Orthopaedics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, Netherlands.

M. Clauss, MD, EBJIS Treasurer, Head of the Center for Musculoskeletal Infections, Department of Orthopaedics and Trauma Surgery, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland.

C. A. Higuera, MD, FAAOS, MSIS President, Levitetz Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Cleveland Clinic, Florida, USA.

R. Trebše, MD, PhD, EBJIS President, Head of Bone Infection, Orthopaedic Hospital Valdoltra, Ankaran, Slovenia.

Open access statement:

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence, which permits the copying and redistribution of the work only, and provided the original author and source are credited. See https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by nc-nd/4.0/.



The EBJIS definition of periprosthetic joint infection





S ≤	Infection Unlikely	Infection Likely	Infection Confirmed
	All findings negative	Two positive findings	Any positive finding
	A B C	A B or AC	A or B
A CLINICAL Clinical features	 Clear alternative reason for implant dysfunction 	 Early radiographic loosening Wound healing problems Recent fever/bacteraemia Purulence around 	Sinus tract communication with the joint +/- visualiza- tion of prosthesis
C-reactive protein)	prosthesis • CRP > 10mg/l	
B LABORATORY			• Leukocyte count > 3000
Synovial fluid 🤤	 Leukocyte count ≤ 1500 PMN ≤ 65% 	 Leukocyte count > 1500 PMN > 65% 	PMN > 80%Positive Alpha-defensin
Microbiology	 All cultures negative No growth on sonication	 Single positive culture (aspiration or intra-operative) > 1 CFU/ml any organism on sonication 	 ≥ 2 positive samples with the same microorganism > 50 CFU/ml any organism on sonication
Histology	Negative	Presence of \geq 5 neutrophils in a single HPF	 Presence of ≥ 5 neutro- phils in ≥ 5 HPF Visible microorganisms
C RADIOLOGY Nuclear imaging	Negative 3-phase isotope bone scan	Positive white blood cell labelled scintigraphy	
	SGIAI ESCMID STUDY GROUF FOR IMPLANT-ASSOCI INFECTIONS	ATED ATED fees M. Romanova	
Find us online at 🙀 bjj.bonea	ndjoint.org.uk/ and via social media c	hannels 🔟 🗗 🔽	în