

REVIEW

# Chronic Idiopathic Constipation in Adults: A Review on Current Guidelines and Emerging Treatment Options

# Gabrio Bassotti place Paolo Usai Satta 2 Massimo Bellini 3

<sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology & Hepatology Section, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Gastrointestinal Unit, "G. Brotzu" Hospital, Cagliari, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Gastrointestinal Unit, Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy Abstract: Chronic idiopathic constipation (CIC) is a common functional bowel disorder characterized by difficult, infrequent, and/or incomplete defecation. It has a great impact on the quality of life and on health care system and represents a heavy economic burden. The diagnosis is based on symptoms, classified by the Rome IV criteria. The aim of this review was to evaluate the current therapeutic guidelines for adult CIC and highlight new emerging treatments. In detail, European, French, Spanish and Korean guidelines have been identified and compared. Osmotic laxatives, and in particular polyethylene glycol, represent the firstline therapeutic approach. Stimulant laxatives are recommended as a second-line therapy. Pelvic floor rehabilitation is recommended in patients with ano-rectal dyssynergia. In patients who fail to improve with pharmacological therapies sacral nerve stimulation is considered as last chance before surgery. Surgical approach has however limited indications in selected cases. Inertia coli refractory to any approach and obstructed defecation are two subtypes which can benefit from surgery. Among emerging agents, prucalopride, a prokinetic agent, is recommended as a second-line treatment in refractory CIC patients. In addition, the secretagogues linaclotide and plecanatide and the bile acid transported inhibitor elobixibat can be effective in patients not responsive to a second-line therapeutic regimen, although they are not worldwide commercially available.

**Keywords:** chronic idiopathic constipation, guidelines, osmotic laxatives, pelvic floor rehabilitation, prokinetics, secretagogues

#### Introduction

Chronic idiopathic constipation (CIC) is a common functional disorder associated with an impaired quality of life (QoL), with a negative impact on social and professional life, and with a heavy economic burden. CIC affects about 10–17% of the world population, with a higher prevalence among females and elderly people, and may cause disabling symptoms.

The most widely used diagnostic criteria to assess CIC are the Rome IV Criteria. In fact, the diagnosis of constipation in the clinical setting is mainly made on the basis of symptoms alone. Routine extensive diagnostic testing is not recommended for chronic constipation. Objective testing can be suggested to rule out organic disease, in presence of alarm symptoms, or in refractory cases to identify underlying differential diagnoses.

A considerable amount of CIC patients in different countries use conventional laxatives, and their use is related to increasing age, symptom frequency, and

Correspondence: Gabrio Bassotti Clinica di Gastroenterologia ed Epatologia, Ospedale Santa Maria della Misericordia, Piazzale Menghini, I, San Sisto (Perugia), 06156, Italy Email gabassot@tin.it

413

duration of constipation. In particular, osmotic laxatives represent the first line in the treatment of CIC. 10-12 On the other hand, the increased prevalence of constipated patients refractory to traditional laxatives led to the development of new emerging therapeutic resources. Several guidelines aimed at improving the diagnostic and therapeutic management of CIC have been published. The purpose of this review was to evaluate the current guidelines on CIC in adults and the emerging therapeutic options of this functional disorder. Several guidelines aimed at improving the therapeutic management of CIC have been published, and will be discussed in this article. Even though consensus documents and positions papers are also available, these are source of conflicting results and cannot be considered as guidelines. Therefore, the present review will focus on the available guidelines and the emerging treatment options for CIC patients.

#### **Methods**

# Search Strategy

A comprehensive online search of Medline and the Science Citation Index was made using the keywords "colon", "constipation", "chronic constipation", "treatment", "adults", "laxatives", "new modalities", "guidelines", in various combinations with the Boolean operators and, or, and not. Only articles related to human studies were included, and manual cross-referencing was performed. Articles published in English between January 1960 and July 2021 were selected, but a search in non-English languages and among journals and books older than 1960 was also performed in our Universities and other libraries.

# Comparison of Current Guidelines for Treatment of CIC

A thorough literature review revealed that only four guidelines for CIC have to date been published: the Korean, <sup>13</sup> the Spanish, <sup>14</sup> the French, <sup>15</sup> and the European. <sup>16</sup> Tables 1–3 show the methods of assessment in these guidelines.

# Lifestyle, Diet, Exercise

Results are summarized in Table 4. The effect of lifestyle modifications was taken into consideration only by French and European guidelines. The French ones focused on adopting basic behaviours in order to facilitate defecation, such as daily presentation to the toilet, assuming an optimal position for defecation, in a calm and relaxed

environment. However, these suggestions were approved just as an Expert Recommendation. Also, European guidelines reported a positive effect of an overall lifestyle modification. A strong recommendation is unanimously suggested on the latter point, even though based on studies of moderate quality; positive effects were documented not only on constipation but also on general well-being.<sup>17</sup>

The French guidelines report as an Expert Recommendation that foods other than fiber (ie, milk, cheese, meat, rice, eggs, etc) has not shown benefits on constipation and that overeating does not show benefits, apart from undernourished patients, ie, anorexic patients. In addition, Korean, Spanish and French guidelines, based on scattered evidence, <sup>18,19</sup> report that dried plums have a better effectiveness than psyllium in mild and moderate constipation.

The increase of fluid intake alone does not have a positive or negative effect on constipation. An increase in fluid intake has a positive effect only in dehydrated subjects and in people consuming a high fiber diet. French guidelines report a significant effect drinking at least one litre a day of water rich in magnesium.<sup>20</sup>

Concerning the effect of exercise on constipation, the French and European guidelines did not identify a positive or negative effect, while the Spanish and Korean guidelines reported a positive effect, but with low scientific evidence and with a weak recommendation, because low physical activity is associated with constipation. It is worth noting that studies on the effectiveness of physical exercise in constipated patients provided variable results on intestinal transit time. As a matter of fact, most studies on the effect of diet and lifestyle changes are flawed by methodological biases; therefore, well-designed studies involving larger number of patients are mandatory.

# Drugs, Probiotics

These are summarized in Table 5. All guidelines agree that osmotic and bulking laxatives should be used as first-line therapy, due to their effectiveness, low cost and rare adverse reactions, especially for patients with a low-fiber diet. All guidelines agree on the positive effect of a high fiber diet and on the importance of combining it with an increased fluid intake. Korean guidelines assert that this is an optimal initial strategy, due to the low cost and low risk of adverse reactions. However, since some patients may experience worsening symptoms, especially an increase in abdominal pain and bloating, the French and Korean guidelines recommend to slowly increase the amount of

Table I Methods Used to Assess Evidence and Recommendation in the Different Guidelines

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Methods	Level of evidence and strength	Depending on the level of	Grading of	AGREE II was used to evaluate
	of recommendation were rated	evidence of the studies on	Recommendations,	the quality of the studies for
	using the Grading of	which they were based, the	Assessment, Development	adaptation. Grading of
	Recommendations,	recommendations have a	and Evaluation (GRADE,	Recommendations,
	Assessment, Development and	degree of variability, listed from	Table 2) was used to rate the	Assessment, Development and
	Evaluation (GRADE, Table 2).	A to C according to the scale	level of evidence and	Evaluation (GRADE, Table 2)
	All statements were subjected	proposed by the Haute	recommendation.	was used to rate the level of
	to a Delphi consensus process	Autorité de Santé (HAS)		evidence (A-high quality
		(Table 3). Variability provides a		evidence, B-moderate quality
		measure of how accurately any		evidence, C-low quality
		individual score or sample		evidence) and strength of
		represents the entire		recommendation I (strong) or 2
		population. References cited in		(weak).
		the manuscript have		The results were subjected to a
		contributed towards the		Delphi consensus process.
		development of grade		
		recommendations that rely on		
		all available references on the		
		topic for analysis, on their		
		readability and applicability		
		according to Delphi		
		methodology. In the absence of		
		sufficient scientific data, the		
		recommendations were based		
		on a professional		
		recommendation (Expert		
		Recommendation)		

Table 2 Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE)

Item	Definition
Level of evidence	
A. High-quality evidence	Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Consistent evidence from the RCTs without important limitations or exceptionally strong evidence from observational studies.
B. Moderate-quality evidence	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Evidence from RCTs with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise), or very strong evidence from observational studies.
C. Low-quality evidence	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Evidence for at least one critical outcome from observational studies, case series, or from RCTs with serious flaws, or indirect evidence, or expert's consensus.
Strength of recommendation	
I -Strong recommendation	Recommendation can apply to most patients in most circumstances.
2 -Weak recommendation	The best action may differ depending on circumstances or patient or society values. Other alternatives may be equally reasonable

Bassotti et al Dovepress

Table 3 French Guidelines Levels of Evidence

Level of evidence A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses
Level of evidence B	Data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large nonrandomized studies
Level of evidence C	Consensus of the expert and/or small studies, retrospective studies and registries

**Table 4** Recommendations and Evidence of Lifestyle Changes, Dietary Suggestions, and Exercise for Chronic Constipation According to the Different Guidelines

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Lifestyle	Overall lifestyle modifications have a positive effect • Evidence: Moderate • Recommendation: Strong • Agreement:100%	Behavioural rules (daily presentation to the toilet, optimal position on the toilet, environmental conditions)  • Positive effect • Expert Recommendation	NA	NA
Diet	Increase of fiber intake has positive effect, especially if combined with fluid increase • Evidence: Low • Recommendation: Weak • Agreement 92%	Increase of fiber intake has positive effect. Dried plums have a better efficacy than psyllium in mild to moderate constipation  • Evidence II, Grade B  • Consumption of foods other than fiber: not positive not negative effect  • Expert Recommendation  • Overeating has positive effect only in undernourished patients  • Expert Recommendation	Consuming high fiber foods has a positive effect • Evidence: Moderate • Recommendation: Strong	Dietary fiber has a positive effect. Evidence: C  Recommendation: 2  Experts' agreement: completely agree: 27.6%; mostly agree:72.4%  It can be an initial strategy  Evidence: C.  Recommendation: 2.  Experts' agreement: completely agree: 35.7%, mostly agree: 60.7%; partially agree: 3.6%
Increase of fluid intake	Positive effects only in dehydrated patients • Evidence: Low • Recommendation: Strong • Agreement: 100%	Positive effect in dehydrated patients or in those assuming fiber  • Expert Recommendation  • Positive effect of water rich in magnesium  • Level II, Grade B	Positive effect only if associated with fiber supplement • Evidence: Low • Recommendation: Weak	Positive effect in dehydrated patients or when bulking agents are added  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: I  • Experts' agreement completely agree: 37.0%; mostly agree: 55.6%;partially agree: 7.4%
Exercise	Not positive not negative effect on constipation  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement: 92%	Not positive not negative effect on constipation  • Expert Recommendation	Positive effect • Evidence: Low • Recommendation weak	Positive effect.  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: 2  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 7.1%, mostly agree: 67.9%, partially agree: 14.3%, mostly disagree: 10.7%

Abbreviation: NA, not assessed.

dietary fiber. All guidelines agree on their efficacy in CIC patients; the European guidelines report a good evidence on constipation only for soluble fibers, such as psyllium. European, Spanish and Korean ones report psyllium as the preferred one. Spanish guidelines offer methylcellulose as an alternative, even though for semi-synthetics bulking agents the quality of scientific evidence is lower.<sup>21</sup>

French and Korean guidelines start suggesting the use of magnesium as first drug in CIC patients, though it must be carefully evaluated in patients with impaired renal function, for the risk of hypermagnesemia. The European, French and Spanish guidelines reported that there are many studies about polyethylene glycol (PEG), which effectiveness is higher than lactulose. Korean guidelines in general stated that PEG is more effective than other laxatives in elderly patients, with very few adverse reactions. However, European, Spanish and Korean guidelines report a positive effect of lactulose in chronic constipation and its safety in chronic use. To sum up PEG is the first choice, especially in elderly population, as also

Table 5 Recommendations and Evidence of Drugs/Probiotics Suggested for Chronic Constipation According to the Different Guidelines

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Osmotic	Positive effect, PEG is superior to lactulose.  • Evidence: Strong • Recommendation: Strong lactulose is effective • Evidence: Low • Recommendation: Weak • Agreement 100%	Positive effect as first line therapy  • Level II, Grade B  • Magnesium, Positive effect  • Level I, Grade A  action is more effective than lactulose  • Level I, Grade A	Evidence: Moderate     Recommendation: strong     Lactulose is recommended     Evidence: Low     Recommendation: Strong     PEG is preferred over lactulose     Evidence: Moderate     Recommendation: weak	Pagnesium. Positive effect, even if there are few studies  • Evidence: C  • Everal attention: I  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 57.1%, mostly agree: 39.3%, partially agree: 3.6%  Pagnesium: careful attention in patients with impaired renal function for the risk of hypermagnesemia.  • Evidence: C  • Everats' agreement: completely agree: 44.4%, mostly agree: 51.9% partially agree: 3.7%  Positive effect of nonabsorbable carbohydrates, such as sorbitol and lactulose.  • Evidence: B  Recommendation: I  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 53.9%, mostly agree: 46.1%  Long-term administration of nonabsorbable carbohydrates is allowed because serious adverse actions are rare.  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 39.3%, mostly agree: 60.7%  Positive effect also in elderly patients.  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 10.7%, mostly agree: 82.2%, partially agree: 7.1%  Positive effect of PEG  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 73.1%, mostly agree: 26.9%  In long-term administration of PEG serious adverse reactions are rare.  • Evidence: A  • Recommendation: 1  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 50.0% mostly agree: 50.0%  • Recommendation: 1  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 50.0% mostly agree: 50.0%  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 53.1%, mostly agree: 50.0%  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 23.1%, mostly agree: 50.0%  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 23.1%, mostly agree: 50.0%  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 23.1%, mostly agree: 50.0%  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 23.1%, mostly agree: 50.0%
Bulking agents	Positive effect as first line therapy, soluble fibers, as psyllium, are preferred to insoluble ones, because of less adverse events  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100%	Positive effect as first line therapy • Level II, Grade B	Positive effect. Psyllium is suggested as a first line treatment  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: weak Methylcellulose is recommended as an alternative  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Strong	Positive effect as first line treatment.  • Evidence: A  • Recommendation: 1  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 70.4%, mostly agree: 29.6%  Bulking agents can be effective in patients with inadequate fiber intake.  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: 2  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 15.4%, mostly agree: 80.8%, partially agree: 3.8%
Lubricant laxatives	Ā	Positive effect as second line therapy  • Level III, Grade C	Positive effect of paraffin oil and docusate sodium  Experts recommendation	ZA

(Continued)

Table 5 (Continued).

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Stimulant kaztives	Positive effect. Bisacodyl is well-tolerated  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100%  Sodium picosulfate is efficacious and well tolerated  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100%  Anthraquinones are effective  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Agreement 100%  Anthraquinones are well tolerated  • Evidence: Moderate  • Evidence: Moderate  • Evidence: Moderate	Positive effect as second line therapy • Level II, Grade B They can be proposed if patients have not had stools for several days • Level III, Grade C	Positive effect, recommended as rescue therapy  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Strong  Their use is suggested in patients who have not responded to bulking and osmotic laxatives  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: weak	Positive effect as second line therapy.  • Evidence: B  • Recommendation: 2  • Experts' agreements: completely agree: 22.2%, mostly agree: 70.4%, partially agree: 7.4%
Local hxatives	Positive effect of rectal suppositories and rectal enemas  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100%	Positive effect CO2 releasing suppositories are a first line approach in outlet obstruction constipation and in association with biofeedback  • Level I, Grade A	Positive effect of cleansing enemas, commercial enemas and/or glycerol or bisacodyl suppositorie.  • Expert recommendation	Positive effect of enemas.  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: 2  • Experts' agreenent: completely agree: 21.4%, mostly agree: 60.7%, partially agree: 10.7%, mostly disagree: 3.6% and not expressed opinion: 3.6%  Finemas should be used carefully because there are no standardized guidelines, and it may cause complications  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: 2  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 42.3%, mostly agree: 38.4%, partially agree: 15.4%, completely disagree: 3.3%

Positive effect of Prucalopride (2mg/day)  • Evidence: A  • Recommendation: 1  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 57.7%, mostly agree: 42.3%	Positive effect of Lubjprostone  • Evidence: A  • Recommendation: not applicable  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 29.2%, mostly agree: 58.3%, partially agree: 4.2% and not expressed opinion: 8.3% Linaclotide, may be effective in patients refractory to conventional laxatives.  • Evidence: B  • Recommendation: not applicable  • Experts' agreement: completely agree: 7.2%, mostly agree: 71.4%, partially agree: 14.3%, and not expressed opinion: 7.1%	Positive effect of probiotics in conjunction with other drugs.  • Evidence: C  • Recommendation: 2  Experts':agreement completely agree (14.8%), mostly agree (63.0%), partially agree (18.5%), mostly disagree (3.7%)
Positive effect. Prucalopride is a treatment option in women • Evidence: Moderate • Recommendation: weak	When guidelines had been published Lubiprostone was not marketed in Spain and Linaclotide was approved in Spain only for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-C)	V.∀V.
Positive effect Prucalopride (2mg/day) is indicated as second line both in women and also men • Level I, Grade A	Not available in France when guidelines had been published  • No practical recommendations	Not positive not negative effect of probiotics, not recommended Expert Recommendation
Positive effect as second line treatment of prucalopride  • Evidence: High  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100%  Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (distigmina, neostigmina) exert prokinetics effect on gut, but they are currendy not used in constipation  • Evidence: Moderate  • Recommendation: Weak  • Agreement 100% Peripherally Acting µ-Opioid Receptor Agonists (PAMORA) are effective in the management of opioid induced chronic constipation  • Evidence: High  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement 100% PAMORA may potentially be effective, also in constipation not caused by opioids  • Evidence: Low  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Weak	Positive effect as second line treatment. Linaclotide is effective and safe in the chronic constipation and IBS-C. Lubiprostone is also effective, but has limited availability in Europe  • Evidence: High  • Recommendation: Strong  • Agreement-92%	Insufficient evidence to recommend fecal microbiota transfer or probiotics for routine treatment of functional constipation, (even if some positive effects were described)  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Weak
Prokinetics	Secretagogues	Modulation of microbiota (microbiota transfer or probiotics)

Abbreviation: NA, not assessed.

suggested by other authors.<sup>22</sup> Osmotic laxatives are also recommended by French and Korean guidelines in addition to a moderate increase of dietary fiber in order to avoid abdominal bloating and pain.

Lubricant laxatives are suggested only in French guidelines as a second-line therapy; they are contraindicated in patients with dysphagia for the risk of lipoid pneumonia, and a possible malabsorption of fat-soluble vitamins must be considered.<sup>23</sup> Also, Spanish guidelines report a positive effect but without sufficient scientific evidence to recommend lubricant laxatives.

Stimulant laxatives are recommended by all the guidelines as a second-line therapy. The European guidelines recommend bisacodyl and sodium picosulfate, with moderate level of evidence, whereas a weak recommendation is made on the use of anthraquinones. Stimulant laxatives are usually well tolerated, the most common adverse effects being abdominal pain and diarrhoea.<sup>24</sup> Anthraquinones can cause *pseudomelanosis coli*, but no study demonstrated their association with a higher colon cancer risk.<sup>25</sup>

By considering topical laxatives, all guidelines agree on their effectiveness. These formulations are strongly recommended because they have been traditionally used for a long time with good results and scarce adverse reactions. French guidelines confirm the recommendation of CO2-releasing suppositories, alone and in association with biofeedback, with some scientific evidence in patients with constipation and dyschezia.<sup>26,27</sup>

All guidelines recommend prokinetics, and particularly prucalopride (since 2015 also for men), as a second-line therapy. The Spanish guidelines, however, recommend it only in women, referring to previous studies. European guidelines also consider PAMORA (peripherally acting opioid agonists) that are strongly recommended in patients affected with opioid induced constipation, but may be also recommended in patients with constipation due to other causes (low level of evidence, weak recommendation).

European guidelines report a positive effect of secretagogues; at present, however, linaclotide in Europe is strongly recommended only in constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome, and lubiprostone is not available in most countries. Because of this, French and Spanish guidelines do not recommend secretagogues. Korean guidelines also do not recommend lubiprostone and linaclotide, because they are not available and there are still few studies on eastern patients. Moreover, Korean guidelines deal with the bile acid transporter inhibitor,

elobixibat, which is not yet available in most countries (see below).

Regarding the use of probiotics or fecal transplant as microbiota modulating agents, the French guidelines do not suggest any use, since significant actions were not reported with this approach.

Also, European guidelines state that there is no sufficient scientific evidence to recommend probiotics, although some positive effects have been observed in some studies, such as acceleration of intestinal transit time and improvements in stool frequency. Conversely, Korean guidelines recommend the use of probiotics together with other medical drugs, such as laxatives, due to a possible synergic action.

# Non Pharmacological and Surgical Therapies

These are shown in Table 6. Transanal irrigation, <sup>28</sup> considering the low risk of perforation, is recommended by European guidelines in patients in whom laxative treatment failed, as positive results were reported by uncontrolled studies, although there is a low level of evidence and a low grade of recommendation. The French guidelines recommend transanal irrigation as a second-line therapy in patients with constipation resulting from neurological diseases and also (only as an expert recommendation) in constipated patients without neurological diseases after the failure of conservatives treatments.

Only French guidelines take into consideration the use of botulinum toxin, which showed good efficacy in the treatment of distal constipation, for its effect in decreasing resting anal pressure and improving puborectalis relaxation during straining with minimal adverse effects.

Concerning pelvic floor rehabilitation, the European guidelines report its positive effect, with good evidence, recommending it in subjects with constipation. The French guidelines also report a positive effect in patients with dyssynergia, but they distinguish the various parts of pelvic floor rehabilitation (eg, electrostimulation, kinesitherapy, biofeedback training and volumetric rehabilitation), asserting that biofeedback<sup>29</sup> is the most effective. The Spanish guidelines take into account only biofeedback, which, in dyssynergic patients, is more effective than laxatives or other treatments.<sup>30</sup> Comparing the different way of performing biofeedback (ie, manometric, electromyographic, verbal) no difference of effectiveness has been reported.<sup>31</sup> The Korean guidelines state that

Table 6 Recommendation and Evidence of Non-Pharmacological and Surgical Therapies Suggested for Chronic Constipation According to the Different Guidelines

	į			
	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Transanal	Positive effect in patients where laxatives failed.	Positive effect in patients	NA	NA
irrigation	• Evidence: Low	with neurological disease		
0	Becommendation: Weak	• Level I. Grade A		
	Agreement: I 00%	<ul> <li>It may be proposed also in</li> </ul>		
		the absence of neurological		
		diseases		
		Expert Recommendation		
Botulinum	Y Y	Positive effect in distal	AM	NA
toxin		constipation		
		• Level II, Grade B		
Pelvic floor	Positive effect.	Positive effect in patients	Biofeedback has positive effect in people with dyssynergia	Biofeedback has positive effect in people with
rehabilitation	• Evidence: Moderate	with dyssynergia. Among the	Evidence Low	dyssynergic anorectal and pelvic floor muscles
with	Recommendation: Strong	various techniques,	Recommendation: strong	• Evidence: A
biofeedback	• agreement:100%	biofeedback is the most		Recommendation: 1
		effective		<ul> <li>Experts' agreement: completely agree (55.6%), mostly</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Level I, Grade A</li> </ul>		agree (44.4%)
				Biofeedback therapy may be applied repeatedly, safely
				and can reduce the usage of laxatives
				• Evidence: C
				• Recommendation: 2
				• Experts' agreement: completely agree (34.6%), mostly
				agree (65.4%)
Pelvic floor	Positive effect in chronic constipated patients non-	NA	ĄZ	ZA
rehabilitation	responsive to standard care			
without	• Evidence: Low			
biofeedback	<ul> <li>Recommendation: Weak</li> </ul>			
	• Agreement:100%			
Stimulation	Positive effect of continuous direct nerve	Positive effects of sacral	Positive effect of sacral nerve stimulation for people refractory to	Positive effect of sacral nerve stimulation (SNS),
techniques	stimulation or sacral neuromodulation (SNS/SNM).	nerve stimulation	other treatments	Extracorporeal magnetic stimulation therapy (EMST)
	It is the least invasive surgical option after all	<ul> <li>Level II Grade B</li> </ul>	• Evidence: low	and Electrical stimulation when other approaches have
	conservative treatments has failed	Interferential therapy,	Recommendation:weak	failed. Small, low-level evidence studies.
	• Evidence: Low	positive effect, not		
	Recommendation: Weak	recommended		
	• Agreement:75%	<ul> <li>Expert Recommendation</li> </ul>		

Table 6 (Continued).

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Surgical	Positive effect. Surgical options, both resecting and	Ventral mesh rectopexy (for	Positive effect for colectomy, that should be considered only in	Positive effect of colectomy and others surgical
treatments	non-resecting, might be considered for selected	rectal prolapse)	people with severe chronic constipation refractory to other	interventions in patients with slow transit constipation
	patients if all other conservative treatments were	<ul> <li>Level I Grade A</li> </ul>	treatments and in whom extensive involvement of intestinal	who failed all non-surgical therapies.
	not effective	STARR intervention,	motility has been ruled out by specific tests, including	Evidence: C
	• Evidence: Moderate	proposed for rectal	gastrointestinal manometry	Recommendation: 2
	<ul> <li>Recommendation: Strong</li> </ul>	intussusception and/or	Evidence: Low	• Experts' agreement: completely agree (16.0%), mostly
	• Agreement:100%	rectocele	Recommendation: Weak	agree (64.0%), partially agree (16.0%), mostly disagree
	Surgery can be an effective treatment for an	<ul><li>Level I, Grade A</li></ul>		(4.0%)
	evacuation disorder due to structural causes	Total colectomy (for slow-		Other surgical treatments can be effective in patients
	• Evidence: Moderate	transit constipation)		who have an outlet obstruction such as symptomatic
	<ul> <li>Recommendation: Strong</li> </ul>	Expert Recommendation		rectocele and rectal prolapse.
	• Agreement:92%	Malone procedure, may be		<ul> <li>Grade of recommendation: 2.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Surgery should be offered after performing</li> </ul>	proposed in case of failure of		<ul> <li>Level of evidence: C.</li> </ul>
	physiological tests and only if the cause lies within	transanal irrigation		<ul> <li>Experts' opinions: completely agree (19.2%), mostly</li> </ul>
	the colon and/or rectum	<ul> <li>Expert Recommendation</li> </ul>		agree (61.6%), partially agree (19.2%)
	• Evidence: Very low			
	• Recommendation: Weak			
	• Agreement:100%			
	Total or segmental colectomy can be effective in			
	highly selected patients with normal upper Gl			
	function and slow-transit constipation who did not			
	respond to conservative therapy			
	• Evidence: Moderate			
	<ul> <li>Recommendation: Strong</li> </ul>			
	• Agreement:92%			
	The surgical method is chosen depending on the			
	disease. In case of intussusception, rectocele or			
	prolapse, the STARR or internal Delorme			
	procedures are proposed.			

Abbreviations: STARR, Stapled Trans Anal Rectal Resection; NA, not assessed.

biofeedback has positive effect in people with dyssynergic defectaion and, due to its safety, it can be repeated if needed, reducing the use of laxatives.

The European guidelines take into account also pelvic rehabilitation without performing biofeedback. This involves dietary advice to improve stool consistency and to maximize the gastro-colic response in order to ease defecation, and some simple pelvic floor exercises and abdominal muscular coordination training to improve the pushing effort. 32,33 Before suggesting it for all patients with persistent constipation, it must be however taken into account that this is not an evidence-based treatment, and results from randomized clinical trials are pending.

All guidelines report positive effects of sacral nerve stimulation as a last chance, before surgery, in patients who failed to improve with non-surgical therapies. However, this approach has both a low level of evidence and recommendation.

All guidelines agree that a surgical treatment must be taken into account only after an adequate evaluation of the constipated patient. There are two main conditions that can benefit from surgery. The first is represented by patients with normal function of the upper GI tract showing abnormal colonic motility (ie, inertia coli). In this situation, the most appropriate intervention will be a total colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis. The second is represented by patients with normal colonic motility displaying obstructed defecation provoked by rectal intussusception and/or rectocele (Stapled Trans Anal Rectal Resection or internal Delorme procedures can be suggested), or by rectal prolapse (a ventral mesh rectopexy can be suggested). Another surgical procedure, reported only by the French guidelines, is the Malone procedure, which can be proposed in treatment refractory patients in whom transanal irrigation has failed.

## Complementary and Alternative Medicine

These approaches are shown in Table 7. The European and French guidelines take into consideration complementary and alternative therapies. The French ones, due to the lack of reliable studies, state that it is impossible to express a definite opinion about their positive or negative effect. Any judgement can be formulated only as an expert recommendation, even if a positive effect can be obtained mainly in patients confident on the efficacy of these therapies (ie, as a placebo effect). Also the European guidelines, attempting to analyze different therapies, due to the absence of high-quality study and the difficulty of

comparing products without a well specified composition, conclude that level of evidence is low or very low and the recommendation is weak. With respect to the psychological approaches, European guidelines state that these did not show any positive or negative effect on constipation, even though the quality of life can be improved.

# Commercially Available but Scarcely Investigated/Not Approved Drugs for CIC

It is surprising that some common commercially available drugs are used to treat CIC patients or have been investigated with controlled trials for this purpose, although in a quite scattered manner. For instance, oral colchicine has been shown to be effective, at least in the short-term period, for CIC treatment, even in double-blind, placebocontrolled trials. However, likely due to possible important side effects, to date the drug has not been approved for such an indication. The same limitations apply to the use of misoprostol, a potentially useful agent to treat CIC patients. 36,37

The combination sodium picosulphate/magnesium citrate is frequently used as a cleansing preparation for colonoscopy; its efficacy to treat CIC patients has been assessed in a pilot study carried out on a small group of subjects with refractory symptoms, and was shown to be of benefit.<sup>38</sup> No other studies are, however, available.

Of interest, in a small randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot trial it was shown that the nonabsorbable antibiotic rifaximin (400 mg tid for two weeks) was able to ameliorate CIC symptoms by improving methane production and colonic transit;<sup>39</sup> no other studies have been, however, published on this topic.

# **Emerging Treatment Options**

In the last years, some new drugs for the treatment of CIC have been developed, 40 and a few are close to be commercially available or have been recently commercialized, although only in some Countries. Plecanatide is a guany-late cyclase C (GC-C) agonist that belongs (together with the similar drug linaclotide, already commercially available 41) to the secretagogues class of laxatives and shares the structural and physiological characteristics of uroguanylin. 42 Plecanatide at the dosage of 3 mg once a day proved to be effective for the treatment of CIC, 43,44 with a very favourable safety profile due to its low systemic availability with a low (about 10%) incidence of

Bassotti et al Dovepress

 Table 7 Recommendation and Evidence of Complementary and Alternative Therapies Suggested for Chronic Constipation According to the Different Guidelines

	European GL	French GL	Spanish GL	Korean GL
Complementary and Alternative Medicine	Positive effect of Chinese herbal medicine, (but it is not known which formulation is best)  • Evidence: Low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Agreement:100% Insufficient evidence to recommend or not acupuncture, moxibustion or herbal remedies (other than Chinese herbal medicine)  • Evidence: Very low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Agreement:100% Abdominal massage may have a positive effect, but it needs to be standardized before it could be recommended  • Evidence: Very low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Recommendation: Weak	It is impossible to express a definite opinion about their positive or negative effect but any judgement can be formulated only as an expert recommendation.	NA	NA
Psychotherapy, cognitive behavioral therapy and hypnotherapy	Not positive, not negative on constipation, even if they improve quality of life  • Evidence: Very low  • Recommendation: Weak  • Agreement: 100%	NA	NA	NA

Abbreviation: NA, not assessed.

diarrhea resulting in treatment interruption in less than 6% of patients. In addition, the drug has been shown to be effective and safe also in patients older than 65 years. An important issue related to this (and other recently marketed) class of drugs to treat CIC is the cost that featured some critical comments on patients' affordability. To date, plecanatide is commercially available only in the USA.

Elobixibat is an ileal bile acid transported inhibitor that represents a new class of treatment for CIC patients; its administration causes increased delivery of bile acids to the colon, <sup>49</sup> accelerating large bowel transit and increasing colonic secretion. Elobixibat, in both Phase II<sup>50–52</sup> and Phase III studies<sup>53,54</sup> proved to be efficacious and well tolerated even in real-life conditions, <sup>55</sup> with a good safety profile even in elderly patients, <sup>56</sup> suggesting its possible rose as first-line approach to treat patients complaining of CIC. <sup>57</sup> The use of elobixibat is currently approved only in Japan.

One of the main research issue in the last years has been the selective targeting of 5-HT4 receptors. One drug with this effect, prucalopride, is already commercially available in Europe and in the US due to its proven beneficial effects on CIC patients<sup>58</sup> (see also above), and other drugs belonging to this class have been developed for this purpose. Tegaserod, although effective and approved for the treatment of CIC, 59 has been subsequently withdrawn due to the risk of cardiovascular ischemic events and reintroduced on the market in the US with only one indication (women aged <65 years with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome and no cardiovascular risk)60 However, some promising data on other highly selective 5-HT4 agonist are available. Phase II studies showed that various doses of velusetrag<sup>61</sup> and naronapride (data published only in abstract form)<sup>62</sup> display beneficial effects in CIC patients, with relatively favourable safety profile. 63 However, as for other recently investigated drugs, data on velusetrag and naronapride are

still quite scarce, the evidence is of moderate quality, and the long-term effects of these drugs unknown. <sup>64,65</sup>

Relamorelin, a pentapeptide selective agonist of ghrelin receptor 1a, significantly reduced constipation symptoms and accelerated colonic transit in a Phase II, placebo-controlled randomized trial.<sup>66</sup> These effects have been related to the stimulation of colonic high-amplitude propagated contractions in these patients,<sup>67</sup> even though this effect appears to be weaker compared to other laxatives.<sup>68,69</sup> To date, no other studies have been published with this drug in CIC patients.

In a small randomized double-blind placebo-controlled pilot trial the effects of CSP01, a novel superabsorbent hydrogel, were evaluated IN CIC patients; although the hydrogel was able to decrease colonic transit time compared to placebo, no effects on symptoms were documented. Once again, no other data are available on this agent concerning CIC.

Mizagliflozin, a novel oral sodium-glucose cotransporter 1 inhibitor that increases luminal glucose and water, has been recently tested in CIC patients in a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled Phase II trial. The drug, at doses of 5 and 10 mg once a day, showed favorable efficacy and tolerability, suggesting a potential alternative approach to target CIC. However, more data are needed to confirm its efficacy and safety in the time course.

#### **Conclusions**

CIC is a frequent functional digestive disorder with a relevant impact on the QoL. Several therapeutic approaches have been proposed to ameliorate symptoms associated with CIC. European, French, Spanish and Korean therapeutic guidelines have been compared and discussed with respect to the different methodological criteria and results. Based on the available evidence, a high fiber diet and PEG represent the recommended firstline therapeutic approach; 72,73 it is worth noting that this approach is useful also as over-the-counter therapy.<sup>74</sup> Stimulating laxatives and new agents like prucalopride and plecanatide can be proposed as a second-line therapy in patients unresponsive to osmotic laxatives; of interest, literature evidence suggest that prucalopride has the potential for being a first-line treatment for CIC.75 There is also evidence that some drugs currently approved for constipation-predominant IBS might in the near future be approved also for CIC, due to their efficacy also in this condition.<sup>76</sup> In patients with ano-rectal dyssynergia, pelvic floor rehabilitation is the principal recommended method. In

constipated patients refractory to any therapeutic approach sacral nerve stimulation and surgery can represent the last therapeutic chance. Finally, new promising emerging agents have been demonstrated effective to treat CIC patients<sup>77</sup> although only some of these medicaments are commercially available.

## **Acknowledgments**

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our friend and colleague, Professor Corrado Blandizzi.

#### **Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

### References

- Neri L, Iovino P. Laxative Inadequate Relief Survey (LIRS) Group. Bloating is associated with worse quality of life, treatment satisfaction, and treatment responsiveness among patients with constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome and functional constipation. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016;28:581–591. doi:10.1111/nrmo.12758
- Lindberg G, Hamid SS, Malfertheiner P, et al. World Gastroenterology Organisation global guideline: constipation—a global perspective. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2011;45:483–487. doi:10.1097/ MCG.0b013e31820fb914
- Bharucha AE, Pemberton JH. Locke 3rd GR. American Gastroenterological Association technical review on constipation. Gastroenterology. 2013;144:218–238. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2012.10.028
- Neri L, Basilisco G, Corazziari E, et al. Constipation severity is associated with productivity losses and healthcare utilization in patients with chronic constipation. *United European Gastroenterol* J. 2014;2:138–147. doi:10.1177/2050640614528175
- Nellesen D, Yee K, Chawla A, et al. A systematic review of the economic and humanistic burden of illness in irritable bowel syndrome and chronic constipation. *J Manag Care Pharm*. 2013;19:755– 764. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2013.19.9.755
- Peppas G, Alexiou VG, Mourtzoukou E, et al. Epidemiology of constipation in Europe and Oceania: a systematic review. BMC Gastroenterol. 2008;8:5. doi:10.1186/1471-230X-8-5
- McCrea GL, Miaskowski C, Stotts NA, et al. A review of the literature on gender and age differences in the prevalence and characteristics of constipation in North America. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2009;37:737–745. doi:10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.04.016
- Mearin F, Lacy BE, Chang L, et al. Bowel disorders. Gastroenterology. 2016;150:1393–1407. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.20 16.02.031
- Bellini M, Usai-Satta P, Bove A, et al. Chronic constipation diagnosis and treatment evaluation: the "CHRO.CO.DI.T.E." study. BMC Gastroenterol. 2017;17:11. doi:10.1186/s12876-016-0556-7
- Bove A, Bellini M, Battaglia E, et al. Consensus statement AIGO/ SICCR diagnosis and treatment of chronic constipation and obstructed defecation (part II: treatment). World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18:4994–5013. doi:10.3748/wjg.v18.i36.4994
- Tack J, Muller-Lissner S, Stanghellini V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of chronic constipation—a European perspective. *Neurogastroenterol Motil.* 2011;23:697–710. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2982.2011.01709.x
- Bellini M, Gambaccini D, Usai-Satta P, et al. Irritable bowel syndrome and chronic constipation: fact and fiction. World J Gastroenterol. 2015;21:11362–11370. doi:10.3748/wjg.v21.i40.1 1362

Bassotti et al Dovepress

 Shin JE, Jung HK, Lee TH, et al. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic functional constipation in Korea, 2015 Revised Edition. J Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2016;22:383–411. doi:10.5056/jnm15185

- Serra J, Mascort-Roca J, Marzo-Castillejo M, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of constipation in adults. Part 2: diagnosis and treatment. *Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2017;40:303–316. doi:10.1016/j.gastrohep.2016.02.007
- Vitton V, Damon H, Benezech A, et al. Clinical practice guidelines from the French National Society of Coloproctology in treating chronic constipation. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;30:357– 363. doi:10.1097/MEG.000000000001080
- Serra J, Pohl D, Azpiroz F, et al. European society of neurogastroenterology and motility guidelines on functional constipation in adults. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2020;32:e13762. doi:10.1111/nmo.13762
- Dukas L, Willett WC, Giovannucci EL. Association between physical activity, fiber intake, and other lifestyle variables and constipation in a study of women. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2003;98:1790–1796. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2003.07591.x
- Wisten A, Messner T. Fruit and fibre (Pajala porridge) in the prevention of constipation. Scand J Caring Sci. 2005;19:71–76. doi:10.1111/j.1471-6712.2004.00308.x
- Attaluri A, Donahoe R, Valestin J, et al. Randomised clinical trial: dried plums (prunes) vs. psyllium for constipation. *Alim Pharmacol Ther*. 2011;33(7):822–828. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04594.x
- Dupont C, Campagne A, Constant F. Efficacy and safety of a magnesium sulfate-rich natural mineral water for patients with functional constipation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2014;12:1280–1287. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2013.12.005
- Hamilton JW, Wagner J, Burdick BB, et al. Clinical evaluation of methylcellulose as a bulk laxative. *Dig Dis Sci*. 1988;33(8):993–998. doi:10.1007/BF01535996
- 22. Ford AC, Moayyedi P, Lacy BE, et al. American College of Gastroenterology monograph on the management of irritable bowel syndrome and chronic idiopathic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109(Suppl 1):S2–26.
- Meltzer E, Guranda L, Perelman M, et al. Lipoid pneumonia: a preventable form of drug-induced lung injury. Eur J Intern Med. 2005;16(8):615–617. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2005.06.014
- 24. Kienzle-Horn S, Vix JM, Schuijt C, et al. Efficacy and safety of bisacodyl in the acute treatment of constipation: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Alim Pharmacol Ther*. 2006;23:1479–1488. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02903.x
- Brenner DM. Stimulant laxatives for the treatment of chronic constipation: is it time to change the paradigm? *Gastroenterology*. 2012;142:402–404. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.12.025
- Tarrerias AL, Abramowitz L, Marty MM, et al. Efficacy of a CO2releasing suppository in dyschezia: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Dig Liver Dis.* 2014;46:682–687. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2014.04.005
- 27. Cotelle O, Cargill G, Marty MM, et al. A concomitant treatment by CO2-releasing suppositories improves the results of anorectal biofeedback training in patients with dyschezia: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Dis Colon Rectum*. 2014;57:781–789. doi:10.1097/DCR.00000000000000098
- Emmett CD, Close HJ, Yiannakou Y, et al. Trans-anal irrigation therapy to treat adult chronic functional constipation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Gastroenterol*. 2015;15(1):139. doi:10.1186/s12876-015-0354-7
- Bassotti G, Chistolini F, Sietchiping-Nzepa F, et al. Biofeedback for pelvic floor dysfunction in constipation. *BMJ*. 2004;328(7436):393– 396. doi:10.1136/bmj.328.7436.393
- Chiarioni G, Whitehead WE, Pezza V, et al. Biofeedback is superior to laxatives for normal transit constipation due to pelvic floor dyssynergia. *Gastroenterology*. 2006;130(3):657–664. doi:10.1053/j. gastro.2005.11.014

 Enck P, Van der Voort IR, Klosterhalfen S. Biofeedback therapy in fecal incontinence and constipation. *Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2009;21:1133–1141. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2982.2009.01345.x

- Iqbal F, Askari A, Adaba F, et al. Factors associated with efficacy of nurse-led bowel training of patients with chronic constipation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13:1785–1792. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2015.05.037
- Etherson KJ, Horrocks EJ, Scott SM, et al. A national biofeedback practitioners service evaluation: focus on chronic idiopathic constipation. Frontline Gastroenterol. 2017;8:62–67. doi:10.1136/flgastro-2015-100660
- Verne GN, Davis RH, Robinson ME, et al. Treatment of chronic constipation with colchicine: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover trial. Am J Gastroenterol. 2003;98:1112–1116.
- Taghavi SA, Shabani S, Mehramiri A, et al. Colchicine is effective for short-term treatment of slow transit constipation: a double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2010;25:389– 394. doi:10.1007/s00384-009-0794-z
- Soffer EE, Metcalf A, Launspach J. Misoprostol is effective treatment for patients with severe chronic constipation. *Dig Dis Sci*. 1994;39:929–933. doi:10.1007/BF02087539
- Roarty TP, Weber F, Soykan I, et al. Misoprostol in the treatment of chronic refractory constipation: results of a long-term open label trial. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 1997;11:1059–1066. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2036.1997.00237.x
- Chan AO. A pilot study on the efficacy of Picolax given as a fourweek course for the treatment of refractory constipation. *Hong Kong Med J.* 2012;18:388–394.
- Ghoshal UC, Srivastava D, Misra A. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial showing rifaximin to improve constipation by reducing methane production and accelerating colon transit: a pilot study. *Indian J Gastroenterol*. 2018;37:416–423. doi:10.1007/ s12664-018-0901-6
- Camilleri M. What's in the pipeline for lower functional gastrointestinal disorders in the next 5 years? *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol*. 2019;317:G640–G650. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00205.2019
- Bassotti G, Usai-Satta P, Bellini M. Linaclotide for the treatment of chronic constipation. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2018;19:1261– 1266. doi:10.1080/14656566.2018.1494728
- Bassotti G, Usai Satta P, Bellini M. Plecanatide for the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation in adult patients. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*. 2019;12:1019–1026. doi:10.1080/17512433.2019.1670057
- Miner PB Jr, Koltun WD, Wiener GJ, et al. A randomized phase III clinical trial of plecanatide, a uroguanylin analog, in patients with chronic idiopathic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2017;112:613–621. doi:10.1038/ajg.2016.611
- DeMicco M, Barrow L, Hickey B, et al. Randomized clinical trial: efficacy and safety of plecanatide in the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol*. 2017;10:837–851. doi:10.1177/1756283X17734697
- Miner PB Jr. Benefit-risk assessment of plecanatide in the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation. *Drug Saf.* 2019;42:603–615. doi:10.1007/s40264-018-0781-9
- 46. Menees SB, Franklin H, Chey WD. Evaluation of plecanatide for the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation and irritable bowel syndrome with constipation in patients 65 years or older. *Clin Ther.* 2020;42:1406–1414.e4. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.05.012
- Morrow T. \$850 per bowel movement? Hard to justify that cost. Manag Care. 2017;26:36–37.
- Bassotti G. New pharmacologic treatments for idiopathic chronic constipation: a financial strain for strainers. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021;15:723–725. doi:10.1080/17474124.2021.1913054
- Misawa N, Higurashi T, Takatsu T, et al. The benefit of elobixibat in chronic constipation is associated with faecal deoxycholic acid but not effects of altered microbiota. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2020;52:821–828. doi:10.1111/apt.15950

 Wong BS, Camilleri M, McKinzie S, et al. Effects of a3309, an ileal bile acid transporter inhibitor, on colonic transit and symptoms in females with functional constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106:2154–2164. doi:10.1038/ajg.2011.285

- Chey WD, Camilleri M, Chang L, et al. A randomized placebocontrolled phase IIb trial of a3309, a bile acid transporter inhibitor, for chronic idiopathic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2011;106:1803–1812. doi:10.1038/ajg.2011.162
- 52. Nakajima A, Seki M, Taniguchi S. Determining an optimal clinical dose of elobixibat, a novel inhibitor of the ileal bile acid transporter, in Japanese patients with chronic constipation: a phase II, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *J Gastroenterol.* 2018;53:525–534. doi:10.1007/s00535-017-1383-5
- 53. Nakajima A, Seki M, Taniguchi S, et al. Safety and efficacy of elobixibat for chronic constipation: results from a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 trial and an open-label, singlearm, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;3:537–547. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(18)30123-7
- 54. Nakajima A, Taniguchi S, Kurosu S, et al. Efficacy, long-term safety, and impact on quality of life of elobixibat in more severe constipation: post hoc analyses of two phase 3 trials in Japan. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2019;31:e13571. doi:10.1111/nmo.13571
- 55. Eguchi T, Yoshizaki T, Ikeoka S, et al. Real-world comparison of elobixibat and lubiprostone treatment in patients with chronic constipation: a propensity score matched analysis. *Dig Dis.* 2020. doi:10.1159/000512745
- Abe T, Kunimoto M, Hachiro Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of elobixibat in elderly patients with chronic constipation: a single-center, observational Study. *J Anus Rectum Colon*. 2020;4:122–127. doi:10.23922/jarc.2020-006
- Chedid V, Vijayvargiya P, Camilleri M. Elobixibat for the treatment of constipation. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;12:951–960. doi:10.1080/17474124.2018.1522248
- Bassotti G, Usai Satta P, Bellini M. Prucalopride for the treatment of constipation: a view from 2015 and beyond. Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;13:257–262. doi:10.1080/17474 124.2019.1568238
- Al-Judaibi B, Chande N, Gregor J. Safety and efficacy of tegaserod therapy in patients with irritable bowel syndrome or chronic constipation. *Can J Clin Pharmacol*. 2010;17:e194–200.
- Madia VN, Messore A, Saccoliti F, et al. Tegaserod for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *Antiinflamm Antiallergy Agents Med Chem.* 2020;19:342

  –369. doi:10.2174/1871523018666190911121306
- 61. Goldberg M, Li YP, Johanson JF, et al. Clinical trial: the efficacy and tolerability of velusetrag, a selective 5-HT4 agonist with high intrinsic activity, in chronic idiopathic constipation a 4-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-response study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2010;32:1102–1112. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2010.04456.x
- 62. Palme M, Milner PG, Ellis DJ, et al. A novel gastrointestinal prokinetic, ATI-7505, increased spontaneous bowel movements (Sbms) in a phase II, randomized, placebo-controlled study of patients with chronic idiopathic constipation (CIC). Gastroenterology. 2010;138: S128–S129. doi:10.1016/S0016-5085(10)60590-2
- Shin A, Camilleri M, Kolar G, et al. Systematic review with metaanalysis: highly selective 5-HT4 agonists (prucalopride, velusetrag or naronapride) in chronic constipation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;39:239–253. doi:10.1111/apt.12571

- Bassotti G, Gambaccini D, Bellini M. Velusetrag for the treatment of chronic constipation. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2016;25:985–990. doi:10.1080/13543784.2016.1195369
- Luthra P, Camilleri M, Burr NE, et al. Efficacy of drugs in chronic idiopathic constipation: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2019;4(11):831–844. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30246-8
- Acosta A, Camilleri M, Kolar G, et al. Relamorelin relieves constipation and accelerates colonic transit in a Phase 2, placebo-controlled, randomized trial. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2015;13:2312–2319. e1. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2015.04.184
- 67. Acosta A, Camilleri M, Busciglio I, et al. Short-term effects of relamorelin on descending colon motility in chronic constipation: a randomized, controlled trial. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2016;61:852–860. doi:10.1007/s10620-015-3876-5
- 68. Bassotti G, Chiarioni G, Germani U, et al. Endoluminal instillation of bisacodyl in patients with severe (slow transit type) constipation is useful to test residual colonic propulsive activity. *Digestion*. 1999;60:69–73. doi:10.1159/000007591
- Bassotti G. Relamorelin to treat constipation: "pusher" or pushover?
   Dig Dis Sci. 2016;61:658–659. doi:10.1007/s10620-015-3929-9
- Staller K, Barshop K, Vélez C, et al. CSP01, a novel superabsorbent hydrogel, reduces colonic transit time in patients with chronic idiopathic constipation in a randomized, double-blind, controlled pilot clinical trial. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil*. 2020;26:496–504. doi:10.5056/jnm20001
- 71. Fukudo S, Endo Y, Hongo M, et al. Safety and efficacy of the sodium-glucose cotransporter 1 inhibitor mizagliflozin for functional constipation: a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind phase 2 trial. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;3:603–613. Erratum in: *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2018, 3:e4. doi:10.1016/S2468-1253 (18)30165-1
- Corsetti M, Brown S, Chiarioni G, et al. Chronic constipation in adults: contemporary perspectives and clinical challenges. 2: conservative, behavioural, medical and surgical treatment. Neurogastroenterol Motil. 2021;33:e14070. doi:10.1111/nmo.14070
- Sharma A, Rao SS, Kearns K, et al. Review article: diagnosis, management and patient perspectives of the spectrum of constipation disorders. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2021;53:1250–1267. doi:10.1111/apt.16369
- Rao SSC, Brenner DM. Efficacy and safety of over-the-counter therapies for chronic constipation: an updated systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2021;116:1156–1181. doi:10.14309/ajg.0000 000000001222
- Hong JT. Current opinion on prucalopride in gastroparesis and chronic constipation treatment: a focus on patient selection and safety. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2021;17:601–615. doi:10.2147/ TCRM.S269330
- Zhao Q, Fang Y, Yan C, et al. Effects of linaclotide in the treatment of chronic constipation and irritable bowel syndrome with constipation: a meta-analysis. Z Gastroenterol. 2021. doi:10.1055/a-1491-1784
- Ooba N, Takahashi Y, Nagamura M, et al. Safety of elobixibat and lubiprostone in Japanese patients with chronic constipation: a retrospective cohort study. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2021. doi:10.1080/ 14740338.2021.1952980

#### Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology

# **Dovepress**

#### Publish your work in this journal

Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of gas-troenterology in the clinic and laboratory. This journal is indexed on American Chemical Society's Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS).

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-and-experimental-gastroenterology-journal



