### Functional *Pstl/Rsal* Polymorphism in *CYP2E1* Is Associated with the Development, Progression and Poor Outcome of Gastric Cancer

# Jin Feng<sup>1</sup><sup>9</sup>, Xiaolin Pan<sup>2</sup><sup>9</sup>, Junbo Yu<sup>1</sup>, Zheng Chen<sup>1</sup>, Hao Xu<sup>1</sup>, Wael El-Rifai<sup>3</sup>, Guoxin Zhang<sup>2</sup>\*, Zekuan Xu<sup>1</sup>\*

1 Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 2 Department of Gastroenterology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China, 3 Department of Surgery, Cancer Biology, and Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, United States of America

#### Abstract

**Background:** Cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1), an ethanol-inducible enzyme, has been shown to metabolically activate various carcinogens, which is critical for the development and progression of cancers. It has demonstrated that CYP2E1 polymorphisms alter the transcriptional activity of the gene. However, studies on the association between CYP2E1 polymorphisms (*Pstl/Rsal* or *Dral*) and gastric cancer have reported conflicting results. Thus, the aim of the present study was to investigate whether CYP2E1 polymorphisms is associated with the development and progression of gastric cancer and its prognosis in Chinese patients.

*Methods:* A case-control study was conducted in which *CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal* and *Dral* polymorphisms were analyzed in 510 Chinese patients with gastric cancer and 510 age- and sex- matched healthy controls by PCR-RFLP. Odds ratios were estimated by multivariate logistic regression, and the lifetime was calculated by Kaplan-Meier survival curves. In addition, a meta-analysis was also conducted to verify the findings.

**Results:** For CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal polymorphism, C2C2 homozygotes (OR = 2.15; CI: 1.18–3.94) and C2 carriers (OR = 1.48; CI: 1.13–1.96) were associated with an increased risk of gastric cancer when compared with C1C1 homozygotes. Both C1C2 and C2C2 genotypes were associated with advanced stage, but not the grade of gastric cancer. Moreover, C2C2 genotype was identified as an independent marker of poor overall survival for gastric cancer. However, there was not any significant association between *CYP2E1 Dral* polymorphism and the risk of gastric cancer. In the meta-analysis, pooled data from 13 studies confirmed that the *CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal* polymorphism was associated with a significantly increased risk of gastric cancer.

*Conclusion:* CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal polymorphism is associated with increased risk of development, progression and poor prognosis of gastric cancer in Chinese patients. Pooled data from 13 studies, mainly in Asian countries, are in agreement with our findings.

Citation: Feng J, Pan X, Yu J, Chen Z, Xu H, et al. (2012) Functional *Pstl/Rsal* Polymorphism in *CYP2E1* Is Associated with the Development, Progression and Poor Outcome of Gastric Cancer. PLoS ONE 7(9): e44478. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478

Editor: Georgina L. Hold, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

Received May 23, 2012; Accepted August 7, 2012; Published September 5, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Feng et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [NO. 81072031(BA10)]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: xuzekuan@njmu.edu.cn (ZX); guoxinz@njmu.edu.cn (GZ)

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

### Background

Gastric cancer, one of the most common cancers and the second leading cause of cancer death worldwide, remains an important public health problem. Studies have shown that 989,600 new gastric cancer cases occurred in 2008 and that 738,000 patients die annually of this disease [1–2]. There is a considerable geographic variation in the gastric cancer incidence, with higher rates in Asia and some parts of South America. Gastric cancer is one of the most prevalent malignant tumors in China, accounting for 38% of worldwide cases every year [3–4]. Although it is well known that environmental factors, dietary habits, and *Helicobacter* pylori infection are associated with the risk of gastric cancer, the host genetic factor is also believed to be important in gastric carcinogenesis [5]. Genetic susceptibilities could be explained, in part, by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of susceptible genes [6–7]. Therefore, determination and understanding of genetic and molecular factors involved in gastric cancer development and prognosis may help identify novel genetic biomarkers and highlight potential avenues of investigation for targeted therapies.

*Cytochrome P450 2E1 (CTP2E1)*, which is located on chromosome 10q26.3, is an 11.7 kb gene consisting of 9 exons and 8 introns,

and encoding a 493 amino acid protein [8]. CYP2E1 is an ethanol-inducible enzyme that metabolically activates various carcinogens, such as benzene, vinyl chloride and N-nitrosamines [9-10]. N-nitrosamines are present in tobacco smoke, and activation of N-nitrosamines has been linked to the development of various cancers, including gastric cancer [11-12]. Functional *CYP2E1* gene polymorphisms that alter the transcriptional activity of the gene and thus its substances such as N-nitrosamines would influence the susceptibility of cancers. Two genetic polymorphisms in the 5'-flank region (identified by RsaI is -1053C>T (rs2031920) and *PstI* is -1293G>C (rs3813867), respectively), which are in close linkage disequilibrium, have been reported to alter transcriptional activity of the gene [13–14]. The individuals with predominant homozygous allele (C1/C1), the heterozygous allele (C1/C2) and the rare homozygous allele (C2/C2) of PstI/ RsaI polymorphism are named the wild-type homozygote, the heterozygote and the rare homozygote, respectively [15-17]. Another important polymorphism detectable with DraI in intron 6 is T7632A (rs6413432), a mutation of T to A, which is reported to may alter transcription of the CYP2E1 gene [13].

Over the last two decades, several studies have explored the association of the *CYP2E1* polymorphism with the risk of lung cancer [18], oral cancer [19], and pancreatic cancer [20]. Recently, a few studies on the association between the *CYP2E1* polymorphism and gastric cancer have also been published, but those studies have yielded contradictory results [21–33]. Moreover, there has been no report on the association between *CYP2E1* polymorphism and survival of patients with gastric cancer. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate whether *CYP2E1* polymorphism is associated with the development and progression of gastric cancer and its prognosis in Chinese patients. In addition, we also carried out a meta-analysis of selected high quality studies published between 1990 and 2011, in order to reveal more precise association between *CYP2E1* polymorphism and gastric cancer.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Study Population**

The study included 510 patients who were admitted for gastric cancer treatment to the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University between May 2006 and September 2008 and 510 age- and sex-matched healthy controls. All subjects were unrelated ethnic Han Chinese and residents in Jiangsu Province. All cases were newly diagnosed and histologically confirmed without previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy. The pathological stage of gastric cancer was classified according to the tumor-lymph node-metastasis (TNM) classification system into stage I (T1-T2N0M0), stage II (T1-T2N1M0 or T3N0M0), stage III (T3N1M0, T1-T3N2M0, TanyN3M0, or T4NanyM0), or stage IV (TanyNanyM1) [34]. Tumor grade was grouped into low (well differentiated), intermediate (moderately differentiated), or high grade (poor differentiated) according to the World Health Organization (WHO) grade classification [35]. The healthy controls were recruited from individuals living in the same residential areas who took part in routine medical examination at the same hospital withnormal findings during the examination and were age-  $(\pm 5 \text{ years})$  and sex-matched to the cases.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, and the number of the document was 2008(1101). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

#### DNA Extraction and Genotyping of CYP2E1

Whole blood was collected into EDTA-coated tubes and centrifuged for 15 min, and the buffy coat layer was isolated. Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mL of buffy coat using a Qiagen QIAamp DNA Blood Mini kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA). Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) was used for gene analysis. The primer structure and restriction enzyme are shown in Table 1. Genotyping was performed without knowledge of the case/control status. The gel images were read independently by two research assistants. If a consensus was not reached on the tested genotypes, then the genotyping was repeated independently until a consensus was reached. To validate the RFLP method, 100 (50 from cases and 50 from controls) samples were selected randomly for direct sequencing with an ABI PRISM Dye Terminator sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif) with the samples loaded onto an ABI 3700 sequencer. The concurrence rate of these two methods was 99%.

#### Statistical Analysis

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of alleles was assessed by chisquare test. Comparison of age between cases and controls was assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test. The difference in the distribution of genotypes between cases and controls was determined using chi-square test, and the association between the CYP2E1 polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk was estimated by odds ratio (OR) with the 95% confidence interval (CI). Logistic regression was used to control for selected potential confounders (sex, age, and smoking habit) and to estimate crude and adjusted OR and 95% CI. Cumulative overall survival curves were constructed using the method of Kaplan-Meier and the difference was evaluated by the log-rank test. All data analyses were done using SPSS software (version 11.0, Chicago, IL, USA). A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Meta-analysis

The electronic databases PubMed, Embase and Web of Science, were searched for studies eligible for inclusion in the present meta-analysis, using the terms: "CYP2E1", "P4502E1", "polymorphism(s)", "gastric" and "cancer or carcinoma or tumor or neoplasm". An upper date limit of December 5, 2011 was applied, while a lower date limit was 1990. All published English language papers with full text matching the eligible criteria were retrieved. The citations in identified articles and in review articles were also examined. When the same patient population was included in more than one publication, only the most recent or most complete one was included in the meta-analysis. Inclusion criteria included: (a), case-control study on the association between the CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI or DraI polymorphism and gastric cancer; (b), English publication; (c), sufficient published data available for estimating an OR with 95% CI; and (d), the genotypes of the controls consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium distribution. Information was carefully and independently extracted from all eligible publications by two of the authors according to the inclusion criteria listed above. Disagreement was resolved by discussion between the two authors. If the two authors did not reach a consensus, a third author was consulted and a final decision was made by the majority of the votes. The following data were collected from each study: first author's name, publication date, ethnicity, study design, pathological types of gastric cancer, the total numbers of cases and controls, and information on CYP2E1 (PstI/RsaI and/or DraI) polymorphisms. Then we used the Review Manager 4.2 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, United Kingdom) for meta-analysis.

Table 1. Gene loci, restriction enzymes and primer sequences.

Gene	Location	Restriction enzyme	primer sequence	
CYP2E1	5'-flanking	Pstl	5'-CCA GTC GAG TCT ACA TTG TCA-3'	
			5'-TTC ATT CTG TCT TCT AAC TGG-3'	
CYP2E1	Intron 6	Dral	5'-TCG TCA GTT CCT GAA AG C AGG-3'	
			5'-GAG CTC TGA TGC AAG TAT CGC-3'	

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t001

#### Results

#### Characteristics of Study Population

The demographic and pathological characteristics of patients and controls are listed in Table 2. There were no significant differences in demographic data between cases and controls. However, 51.6% of cases were smokers whereas the rate was 44.1% for the controls (OR = 1.35, 95% CI: 1.05–1.73, P=0.017). Of the patients with gastric cancer, 41 (8.0%), 181 (35.5%), 160 (31.8%) and 25 (4.9%) had stages I, II, III, and IV tumors, respectively, and 56 (11.0%), 116 (22.7%) and 231 (45.3%) had low-, intermediate-, and high-grade tumors, respectively.

## Association between CYP2E1 Polymorphisms and Gastric Cancer Risk

The genotype frequencies of the polymorphisms in the controls were consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium distribution

**Table 2.** Demographic and pathological characteristics of patients and controls.

	Cases	(n = 510)	Contro	ol (n = 510)	
Variable	No.	%	No.	%	P <sup>a</sup> value
Sex					0.402
Men	321	62.9	308	60.4	
Women	189	37.1	202	39.6	
Age (y)					0.133
<58	240	47.1	264	51.8	
≥58	270	52.9	246	48.2	
Smoking status					0.017
Smoker	263	51.6	225	44.1	
Nonsmoker	247	48.4	285	55.9	
Stage at diagnosis					
I	41	8.0			
Ш	181	35.5			
Ш	162	31.8			
IV	25	4.9			
Unknow	101	19.8			
Grade at diagnose					
Low	56	11.0			
Intermediate	116	22.7			
High	231	45.3			
Unknow	107	21.0			

<sup>a</sup>Two-side chi-square test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t002

(P=0.055 for PstI, and P=0.056 for DraI). Table 3 shows the frequency distribution of CYP2E1 PstI or DraI genotypes and the estimated ORs (95% CI) for gastric cancer. In CYP2E1 PstI restriction analysis, there was a significant difference in the distribution of genotypes between the case and control groups. Individuals with the C1C2 or C2C2 genotype had a significantly elevated risk of developing gastric cancer compared with the C1C1 homozygotes, with an adjusted OR (95% CI) of 1.35 (1.01-1.80) and 2.15 (1.18-3.94), respectively. Moreover, C2 carriers (C1C2 or C2C2) had an adjusted OR (95% CI) of 1.48 (1.13-1.96), compared with the C1C1 homozygotes. However, in CYP2E1 DraI restriction analysis, there was no significant difference between the case and control group in the distribution of genotypes. The adjusted OR (95% CI) for TA, AA, and A carriers were 0.76 (0.58-1.01), 1.34 (0.83-2.17), and 0.85 (0.65-1.10), respectively, compared with the TT homozygotes. When the CYP2E1 PstI and DraI genotypes were analyzed together, the individuals with C2C2/AA, had a significantly elevated risk of gastric cancer, with an adjusted OR (95% CI) of 2.66 (1.27-5.57), compared with the C1C1/TT genotype (Table 3).

### Association between *CYP2E1* Polymorphisms and Gastric Cancer Disease Status

In CYP2E1 PstI restriction analysis, both C1C2 and C2C2 genotypes were associated with advanced stage, but not the grade of gastric cancer (Table 4). The frequencies of C1C2 genotype were 21.9%, 24.9%, 31.5%, and 44.0% in stages I, II, II and IV, respectively, whereas the frequencies of C2C2 genotype were 4.9%, 4.4%, 11.1%, and 24.0%, respectively. C2C2 genotype was associated with the advanced stage of gastric cancer, among all of the three subgroup analyses (i.e. III vs. I; III+ IV vs. I; III+ IV vs. I+ II), and the adjusted ORs (95% CI) were 5.17 (1.05-25.54), 4.80 (1.03-22.45), and 4.38 (1.92-9.97), respectively, compared with the C1C1 homozygotes. In addition, C1C2 genotype was associated with the advanced stage of gastric cancer only in the subgroup analysis comparing stages III+ IV with stages I+ II) (adjusted OR = 1.89; CI: 1.18–3.03), compared with the C1C1 homozygotes. However, no association between CYP2E1 Rsal polymorphism and gastric cancer grade was detected (Table 4).

In *CTP2E1 Dra*I restriction analysis, no significant association was observed with either gastric cancer stage or grade (Table 5).

### Association between CYP2E1 Polymorphisms and Gastric Cancer Survival

Overall, patients with gastric cancer were followed up for a median (range) of 39 (3–72) months. Kaplan-Meier survival curves (Figure 1A) and log-rank test show that *CTP2E1 Pst*I polymorphism was associated with the poor overall survival of gastric cancer. C1C2 or C2C2 genotype had a markedly poor overall survival, compared with the C1C1 genotype (P<0.001). The median estimated cumulative survival was significantly lower in

Table 3. Associations between CYP2E1 polymorphisms and gastric cancer risk.

Polymorphism of CYP2E1	Case		Control		Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted <sup>a</sup> OR (95% CI)	
	No.	%	No.	%			
Pstl genotypes							
C1C1	348	68.2	374	73.3	1.00	1.00	
C1C2	128	25.1	119	23.3	1.31 (0.98–1.74)	1.35 (1.01–1.80)	
C2C2	34	6.7	17	3.3	2.21 (1.21-4.03)	2.15 (1.18–3.94)	
C1C2+C2C2	162	31.8	136	26.7	1.42 (1.09–1.86)	1.49 (1.13–1.96)	
Dral genotypes							
Π	334	65.5	318	62.3	1.00	1.00	
ТА	131	25.7	160	31.4	0.78 (0.59–1.03)	0.76 (0.58–1.01)	
AA	45	8.8	32	6.3	1.33 (0.83–2.16)	1.34 (0.83–2.17)	
TA+AA	176	34.5	192	37.6	0.86 (0.66–1.11)	0.85 (0.65–1.10)	
Pstl and Dral genotypes							
C1C1/TT	212	41.6	233	45.7	1.00	1.00	
C1C2/TA	83	16.3	77	15.1	1.20 (0.83–1.72)	1.13 (0.79–1.63)	
C2C2/AA	26	5.1	11	2.2	2.62 (1.26-5.44)	2.66 (1.27-5.57)	

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for sex, age and smoking habit.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t003

C1C2 or C2C2 carriers (28 months; 95% CI: 22.1–33.9 months, or 23months; 95% CI: 14.0–32.0 months, respectively), compared with C1C1 carriers (44 months; 95% CI, 38.6–49.4 months). However, the survival was not significantly associated with the *CYP2E1 Dra*I polymorphism (Figure 1B).

### Meta-Analysis on the Association between CYP2E1 Polymorphisms and Gastric Cancer Risk

A total of 13 publications met the inclusion criteria [21–33]. Of these studies, one study [33] was excluded because the same data was available in a later publication [27]. With the pooled data from those previous studies and our current investigation, this meta-analysis included 2937 cases and 3602 controls. The characteristics of these studies are provided in Table 6. There

Table 5. Association with CYP2E1 Dral polymorphism and

	Total	C1C1		C1C2		C2C2	
	No.	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Tumor stage							
1	41	30	73.2	9	21.9	2	4.9
П	181	128	70.7	45	24.9	8	4.4
Ш	162	93	57.4	51	31.5	18	11.1
IV	25	8	32.0	11	44.0	6	24.0
Adjusted <sup>a</sup> OR (9	5% CI)						
III vs. I		1.00		2.29 (0.	94–5.58)	5.17 (1.	05–25.54)
III+ IV vs. I		1.00		2.30 (0.	98–5.38)	4.80 (1.	03–22.45)
III+ IV vs. I+ II		1.00		1.89 (1.	18–3.03)	4.38 (1.	92–9.97)
Tumor grade							
Low	56	39	69.6	13	23.2	4	7.1
Intermediate	116	79	68.1	20	17.2	17	14.7
High	231	152	65.8	67	20.3	12	5.2
Adjusted <sup>a</sup> OR (95	5% CI)						
Intermediate v	rs. Low	1.00		0.59 (0.	26–1.35)	1.88 (0.	58–6.14)
High <i>vs</i> . Low		1.00		0.50 (0.	20–1.26)	0.65 (0.	19–2.24)

**Table 4.** Association with CYP2E1 *Rsal/Pstl* polymorphism and progression of gastric cancer.

	Total	TT		ТА		AA		
	No.	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Tumor stage								
I	41	25	61.0	12	29.3	4	9.7	
II	181	122	67.4	38	21.0	21	11.6	
III	162	98	60.5	52	32.1	12	7.4	
IV	25	15	60.0	7	28	3	12.0	
Adjusted <sup>a</sup> OR (9	5% CI)							
III vs. I		1.00		1.00 (0	).45–2.20)	0.90 (0	).25-3.17	
III+ IV vs. I		1.00		0.98 (0	).45–2.14)	0.93 (0	).28–3.11	
III+ IV vs. I+ II		1.00		1.37 (0	1.37 (0.86–2.19)		0.75 (0.37-1.52)	
Tumor grade								
Low	56	39	69.6	11	19.7	6	10.7	
Intermediate	116	75	64.7	31	26.7	10	8.6	
High	231	171	74.0	34	14.7	26	11.3	
Adjusted <sup>a</sup> OR (9	5% CI)							
Intermediate v	/s. Low	1.00		1.39 (0	).62–3.09)	1.02 (0	).33–3.12	
High <i>vs</i> . Low		1.00		0.71 (0	).33–1.54)	1.08 (0	).41–2.81	

"Adjusted for sex, age and smoking hat

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t005

<sup>a</sup>Adjusted for sex, age and smoking habit.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t004



**Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for gastric cancer patients with** *CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsa***l (A) and** *Dral* (B) **polymorphisms.** (A), C1C2 or C2C2 genotype had a markedly poor overall survival, compared with C1C1 genotype (*P*<0.001); (B), The survival was not significantly associated with the *CYP2E1 Dral* polymorphism. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.g001

was a statistically significant association between C2C2 genotype (OR = 1.73; 95% CI: 1.26–2.38; P=0.0008) and gastric cancer risk (Figure 2A). However, there was no significant association between C2 carriers and gastric cancer risk. The pooled ORs for C2 carriers were 1.01 (95% CI: 0.80–1.28; P=0.93), compared with the homozygous wild-type genotype (C1/C1) (Figure 2B). Prior to the present study, there were only two previous studies evaluating the association between *CYP2E1 Dra*I polymorphism and gastric cancer risk [21,29]. Because the samples of these studies were too small to generate a sufficient power, we did not conduct the meta-analysis on this polymorphism. Nevertheless, all the three studies reported that there was no significant association between *Dra*I polymorphism and gastric cancer risk.

#### Discussion

In the present case-control study, for *CTP2E1 Pst* polymorphism, we observed that both C2 carriers and C2C2 genotypes

were significantly associated with gastric cancer risk and poor clinical prognosis. However, we did not found any significant association between *CYP2E1 Dra*I polymorphism and both gastric cancer risk and clinical prognosis. In addition, our meta-analysis also confirmed that the *CYP2E1 Pst*I polymorphism, but not *Dra*I, was associated significantly with the risk of gastric cancer, which provided further evidence indicating an association between this functional polymorphism and gastric cancer susceptibility.

Gastric cancer is a multistep process in which genetic and environmental factors interact in the development of cancer. Interindividual genetic differences in susceptibility to chemical carcinogens are among the most important host factors in human cancer [36–38]. It has been proposed that various host factors affect susceptibility to cancer, even following the same exposure to environmental carcinogenic factors [39–41]. Of special interest is CTP2E1 whose polymorphisms are related to substantial interindividual variation in metabolizing carcinogens and cancer risks [42–44]. Our results indicating the association between the

#### Review CYP2E1Pst/Rsal polymorphism in gastric cancer susceptibility Comparison: Outcome:

Of gastric cancer versus control 01 gastric cancer versus control 01 Compared with C1C1 genotype, C2C2 genotype were associated with an increased risk of gastric cance

Study or sub-category	Case Control n/N n/N			OR (fixed) 95% Cl		OR (fixed) 95% CI		
Curerent study	34/382	17/391			26.48	2.15 [1.18, 3.92]		
Nishimoto IN 2000	1/210	8/249	<b>+</b>		12.60	0.14 [0.02, 1.16]		
Cai L 2001	6/57	1/72			1.37	8.35 [0.98, 71.52]		
Gao C 2002	9/67	13/134			12.98	1.44 [0.58, 3.57]		
Tsukino H 2002	7/78	12/100	-		16.56	0.72 [0.27, 1.93]		
Wu MS 2002	33/248	9/208			14.68	3.39 [1.58, 7.27]		
Park GT 2003	7/89	3/97			4.58	2.67 [0.67, 10.68]		
Cai L 2005	6/102	5/82			9.03	0.96 [0.28, 3.27]		
Malik MA 2009	0/88	1/178	+	-	→ 1.71	0.67 [0.03, 16.58]		
Total (95% CI)	1321	1511		-	100.00	1.73 [1.25, 2.38]		
Total events: 103 (Case), 69	(Control)							
Test for heterogeneity: Chi?=	15.80, df = 8 (P = 0.05), l?= 4	9.4%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.	34 (P = 0.0008)							
			0.1 0.2	0.5 1 2	5 10			
			Favou	rs control Favours cas	e			
			(A)					

Outcome: 02 no sig	nificant association between C2	2 allele carriers and GC ris	k		
Study	Case	Control	OR (fixed)	Weight	OR (fixed)
of sub-category	10N	1714	35% CI	70	55% CI
Curerent study	162/510	136/510		13.67	1.28 [0.98, 1.68]
Nishimoto IN 2000	39/248	83/324		8.94	0.54 [0.35, 0.83]
Cai L 2001	40/91	23/94		1.87	2.42 [1.29, 4.53]
Gao C 2002	40/98	75/196		4.36	1.11 [0.68, 1.83]
Tsukino H 2002	49/120	70/158		5.27	0.87 [0.54, 1.40]
Wu MS 2002	141/356	79/278		7.89	1.65 [1.18, 2.31]
Park GT 2003	40/120	51/145		4.54	0.92 [0.55, 1.53]
Colombo J 2004	11/100	16/150		1.68	1.04 [0.46, 2.33]
Suzuki S 2004	38/145	65/177		6.36	0.61 [0.38, 0.99]
Cai L 2005	63/159	115/192		9.27	0.44 [0.29, 0.67]
Nan HM 2005	148/416	230/630		17.36	0.96 [0.74, 1.24]
Malik MA 2009	20/108	18/195		1.54	2.23 [1.13, 4.44]
Kato S 2011	186/466	213/553	-	17.25	1.06 [0.82, 1.36]
Total (95% CI)	2937	3602	•	100.00	1.02 [0.91, 1.13]
Total events: 977 (Case),	174 (Control)				
Test for heterogeneity: Ch	?= 51.67, df = 12 (P < 0.00001)	1?= 76.8%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	0.30 (P = 0.77)				
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2	5 10	
			Fausting analysis Fausting and		

Figure 2. Forest plots on the association of CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal polymorphism with gastric cancer risk. (A), CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal C2C2 genotype and gastric cancer risk (fixed-effect model); (B), CYP2E1 Pstl/Rsal C2 allele carriers and gastric cancer risk (random-effect model). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.g002

CYP2E1 polymorphism and the risk of gastric cancer are biologically plausible. CYP2E1 catalyzes oxidation and DNA adduct formation of some low-molecular carcinogens of gastric cancer [45-46]. It has been revealed that the PstI and RsaI restriction sites are in the transcription-regulation region of CYP2E1 [14]. A tenfold increase in gene expression of the homozygous C2/C2 genotype of CYP2E1 using PstI or RsaI digestion has been reported [14]. In contrast, the polymorphism detected by DraI digestion of CYP2E1 is located in intron 6, and no functional significance of this polymorphism exists. This may explain why the PstI/RsaI, rather than DraI, polymorphism of CYP2E1 conferred a greater risk of gastric cancer in this study. Our meta-analysis provided further evidence indicating an association between CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism and gastric cancer susceptibility. It is agreed with the previous meta-analysis in 2007 [47], which reported that CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism may be a risk factor for gastric cancer in Asians.

Another interesting finding in the present study was the association of the CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism with gastric cancer stage, which may mirror the substantial role of this polymorphism in the progression. We also reported, for the first time, that this polymorphism affected gastric cancer survival. Recently, studies have demonstrated that CYP2E1 plays an important role in tumor progression, and may be used as a prognostic indicator. For instance, Vaclavikova et al. observed that increased CYP2E1 expression was associated with an invasive

breast cancer, and suggested its potential role as a breast cancer prognosis marker [48]. Tsunedomi et al. also found that the expression of CYP2E1 was associated with the progression of hepatitis C virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma [49]. In addition, CYP2E1 positivity is closely correlated with a poor survival of patients with non-small cell lung carcinoma, and the expression of CYP2E1 in bronchial epithelium has a prognostic potential [50]. Conversely, an animal study demonstrated that blockade of cytochrome P450 significantly reduced capillary formation and tumor size in glial tumors formed by injection of rat glioma 2 (RG2) cells, and also resulted in an increased animal survival time [51]. Furthermore, epidemiologic studies have also indicated that the CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism is associated with cancer progression and prognosis [52,53]. CYP2E1 C2C2 genotype is significantly associated with advanced clinical stages, and also associated with tumor recurrence, since it is important for determining the parameters associated with tumor progression and poor outcomes in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [52]. Haque AK et al. observed that CYP2E1 wild-type allele was significantly associated with better survival of non-small cell lung carcinoma and the expression of p53 [53]. However, it remains unclear whether CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism is associated with the differentiation of cancer. In our study, we found there was no significant association with the differentiation of gastric cancer.

**Table 6.** Characteristics of gastric cancer case-control studies included in meta-analysis on the association between *CYP2E1* polymorphisms and gastric cancer.

Study	Year	Population	Case			Control		
Pstl restriction anal	ysis		C1C1	C1C2	C2C2	C1C1	C1C2	C2C2
Park et al	2003	Koreans	80	33	7	94	48	3
Cai et al	2001	Chinese	58	27	6	71	22	1
Nishimoto et al	2000	Brazilian	209	38	1	241	75	8
Tsukino et al	2002	Japanese	71	42	7	88	58	12
Gao et al	2002	Chinese	58	31	9	121	62	13
Colombo et al	2004	Brazilian	89	11	0	134	16	0
Nan et al	2005	Korean	268	148 <sup>a</sup>		400	230 <sup>a</sup>	
Suzuki et al	2004	Japanese	107	38 <sup>a</sup>		112	65 <sup>a</sup>	
Wu et al	2002	Chinese	215	108	33	199	70	9
Cai et al	2005	Chinese	96	57	6	77	110	5
Malik et al	2009	Indian	88	20	0	177	17	1
Kato et al	2011	Japanese	280	186 <sup>a</sup>		340	213ª	
Current study		Chinese	348	128	34	374	119	17
Dral restriction analysi	is		Π	TA	AA	Π	TA	AA
Park et al	2003	Koreans	35	7	78	45	8	85
Wu et al	2002	Chinese	195	120	41	158	100	20
Current study		Chinese	334	131	45	348	121	41

<sup>a</sup>C1C2+C2C2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044478.t006

There were a few limitations in the present study. First, data on the cancer stage and differentiation status were unknown for a few patients, which may bring some bias to the results indicating the association between the polymorphism and cancer status. Second, although we carried out a meta-analysis, in order to further confirm our results, only published studies were included in it. This may have limited the power of the pooled results.

In conclusion, *CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI* polymorphism is associated with development and progression of gastric cancer and poor prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. However, there was no significant association between *CYP2E1 DraI* polymorphism and the risk of gastric cancer. Future investigation in this area should

#### References

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, et al. (2011) Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 61(2): 69–90.
- Brenner H, Rothenbacher D, Arndt V (2009) Epidemiology of stomach cancer. Methods Mol Biol 472: 467–77.
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P (2001) Estimating the world cancer burden: Globocan 2000. Int J Cancer 94(2): 153–6.
- Yang L (2006) Incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in China. World J Gastroenterol 12(1): 17–20.
- Kelley JR, Duggan JM (2003) Gastric cancer epidemiology and risk factors. J Clin Epidemiol 56: 1–9.
- Hwang IR, Kodama T, Kikuchi S, Sakai K, Peterson LE, et al. (2002) Effect of interleukin 1 polymorphisms on gastric mucosal interleukin 1beta production in Helicobacter pylori infection. Gastroenterology 123: 1793–803.
- Xue H, Lin B, Ni P, Xu H, Huang G (2010) Interleukin-1B and interleukin-1 RN polymorphisms and gastric carcinoma risk: a meta-analysis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 25(10): 1604–17.
- Umeno M, McBride OW, Yang CS, Gelboin HV, Gonzalez FJ (1988) Human ethanol-inducible P450IIE1: complete gene sequence, promoter characterization, chromosome mapping, and cDNA-directed expression. Biochemistry 27(25): 9006–13.
- Yamazaki H, Inui Y, Yun CH, Guengerich FP, Shimada T (1992) Cytochrome P450 2E1 and 2A6 enzymes as major catalysts for metabolic activation of Nnitrosodialkylamines and tobacco-related nitrosamines in human liver microsomes. Carcinogenesis 13(10): 1789–94.

aim to elucidate the underlying mechanisms between CYP2E1 PstI/RsaI polymorphism and gastric cancer.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank *Medjaden* Bioscience Limited for assisting in the preparation of this manuscript.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZX GZ ZC WER. Performed the experiments: JF XP JY HX. Analyzed the data: JF XP WER ZC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GZ. Wrote the paper: JF.

- Bellec G, Dréano Y, Lozach P, Ménez JF, Berthou F (1996) Cytochrome P450 metabolic dealkylation of nine N-nitrosodialkylamines by human liver microsomes. Carcinogenesis 17(9): 2029–34.
- Hoffmann D, Hecht SS (1985) Nicotine-derived N-nitrosamines and tobaccorelated cancer: current status and future directions. Cancer Res 45(3): 935–44.
- Hecht SS, Hoffmann D (1988) Tobacco-specific nitrosamines, an important group of carcinogens in tobacco and tobacco smoke. Carcinogenesis 9(6): 875– 84.
- Tang K, Li Y, Zhang Z, Gu Y, Xiong Y, et al. (2010) The PstI/RsaI and DraI polymorphisms of CYP2E1 and head and neck cancer risk: a meta-analysis based on 21 case-control studies. BMC Cancer 10: 575.
- Hayashi S, Watanabe J, Kawajiri K (1991) Genetic polymorphisms in the 5flanking region change transcriptional regulation of the human cytochrome p450IIE1 gene. J Biochem 110: 559–65.
- Hu Y, Hakkola J, Oscarson M, Ingelman-Sundberg M (1999) Structural and functional characterization of the 5'-flanking region of the rat and human cytochrome P450 2E1 genes: identification of a polymorphic repeat in the human gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 263(2): 286–93.
- Hayashi S, Watanabe J, Kawajiri K (1991) Genetic polymorphisms in the 5'flanking region change transcriptional regulation of the human cytochrome P450IIE1 gene. J Biochem 110(4): 559–65.
- Watanabe J, Hayashi S, Kawajiri K (1994) Different regulation and expression of the human CYP2E1 gene due to the RsaI polymorphism in the 5'-flanking region. J Biochem 116(2): 321–6.

- Zhan P, Wang J, Zhang Y, Qiu LX, Zhao SF, et al. (2010) CYP2E1 Rsa I/Pst I polymorphism is associated with lung cancer risk among Asians. Lung Cancer 69(1): 19–25.
- Balaji L, Singh KB, Bhaskar LV (2011) Genetic Polymorphisms of the CYP2E1 Gene do not Contribute to Oral Cancer Susceptibility in South Indians. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 12(6): 1523–7.
- Lee HC, Yoon YB, Kim CY (1997) Association between genetic polymorphisms of the cytochromes P-450 (1A1, 2D6, and 2E1) and the susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. Korean J Intern Med 12(2): 128–36.
- Park GT, Lee OY, Kwon SJ, Lee CG, Yoon BC, et al. (2003) Analysis of CYP2E1 polymorphism for the determination of genetic susceptibility to gastric cancer in Koreans. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 18(11): 1257–63.
- Nishimoto IN, Hanaoka T, Sugimura H, Nagura K, Ihara M, et al. (2000) Cytochrome P450 2E1 polymorphism in gastric cancer in Brazil: case-control studies of Japanese Brazilians and non-Japanese Brazilians. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 9(7): 675–80.
- Tsukino H, Kuroda Y, Qiu D, Nakao H, Imai H, et al. (2002) Effects of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2A6 gene deletion and CYP2E1 genotypes on gastric adenocarcinoma. Int J Cancer 100(4): 425–8.
- Colombo J, Rossit AR, Caetano A, Borim AA, Wornrath D, et al. (2004) GSTT1, GSTM1 and CYP2E1 genetic polymorphisms in gastric cancer and chronic gastritis in a Brazilian population. World J Gastroenterol 10(9): 1240–5.
   Gao C, Takezaki T, Wu J, Li Z, Wang J, et al. (2002) Interaction between
- Gao C, Takezaki T, Wu J, Li Z, Wang J, et al. (2002) Interaction between cytochrome P-450 2E1 polymorphisms and environmental factors with risk of esophageal and stomach cancers in Chinese. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 11(1): 29–34.
- Cai L, Yu SZ, Zhan ZF (2001) Cytochrome P450 2E1 genetic polymorphism and gastric cancer in Changle, Fujian Province. World J Gastroenterol 7(6): 792–5.
- Nan HM, Park JW, Song YJ, Yun HY, Park JS, et al. (2005) Kimchi and soybean pastes are risk factors of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 11(21): 3175–81.
- Suzuki S, Muroishi Y, Nakanishi I, Oda Y (2004) Relationship between genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes (CYP1A1, CYP2E1, GSTM1, and NAT2), drinking habits, histological subtypes, and p53 gene point mutations in Japanese patients with gastric cancer. J Gastroenterol 39(3): 220–30.
- Wu MS, Chen CJ, Lin MT, Wang HP, Shun CT, et al. (2002) Genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome p450 2E1, glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1, and susceptibility to gastric carcinoma in Taiwan. Int J Colorectal Dis 17(5): 338–43.
- Cai L, Zheng ZL, Zhang ZF (2005) Cytochrome p450 2E1 polymorphisms and the risk of gastric cardia cancer. World J Gastroenterol 11(12): 1867–71.
- Malik MA, Upadhyay R, Mittal RD, Zargar SA, Modi DR, et al. (2009) Role of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzyme gene polymorphisms and interactions with environmental factors in susceptibility to gastric cancer in Kashmir Valley. J Gastrointest Cancer 40(1–2): 26–32.
- 32. Kato S, Naito Z, Matsuda N, Onodera H, Sakurazawa N, et al. (2011) Localization of cytochrome P4502E1 enzyme in normal and cancerous gastric mucosa and association with its genetic polymorphism in unoperated and remnant stomach. J Nihon Med Sch 78(4): 224–34.
- Nan HM, Song YJ, Yun HY, Park JS, Kim H (2005) Effects of dietary intake and genetic factors on hypermethylation of the hMLH1 gene promoter in gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 11(25): 3834–41.
- Shparyk IaV (1998) [New classification of malignant tumors TNM (5th edition)]. Klin Khir (6): 36–8.

- Sobin LH (1981) The international histological classification of tumours. Bull World Health Organ 59(6): 813–9.
- Glatt HR (2000) An overview of bioactivation of chemical carcinogens. Biochem Soc Trans 28: 1–6.
- Indulski JA, Lutz W (2000) Metabolic genotype in relation to individual susceptibility to environmental carcinogens. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 73: 71–85.
- Cai L, Yu SZ, Zhang ZF (2001) Glutathione S-transferases M1, T1 genotypes and the risk of gastric cancer: A case-control study. World J Gastroenterol 7: 506–9.
- Augenlicht LH, Heerdt BG, Mariadason JM, Yang W, Wilson AJ, et al. (2002) Environment-gene interactions in intestinal cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev (Suppl 2): S12–7.
- Malats N (2001) Gene-environment interactions in pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology 1: 472–6.
- Kiyohara C (2000) Genetic polymorphism of enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism and the risk of colorectal cancer. J Epidemiol 10: 349–60.
- Agundez JA (2004) Cytochrome p450 gene polymorphism and cancer. Curr Drug Metab 5: 211–24.
- Neuhaus T, Ko YD, Lorenzen K, Fronhoffs S, Harth V, et al. (2004) Association of cytochrome P450 2E1 polymorphisms and head and neck squamous cell cancer. Toxicol Lett 151: 273–82.
- Munaka M, Kohshi K, Kawamoto T, Takasawa S, Nagata N, et al. (2003) Genetic polymorphisms of tobacco- and alcohol-related metabolizing enzymes and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 129: 355–60.
- Kuno S, Hirohata T (1996) Nutrition and cancer. Cancer Causes Control 7: 41– 55.
- 46. Camus AM, Geneste O, Honkakoshi P, Béréziat JC, Henderson CJ, et al. (1993) High variability of nitrosamine metabolism among individuals: role of cytochrome p450 2A6 and 2E1 in the dealkylation of N-nitrosodimethylamine and N-nitrosoditethylamine in mice and humans. Mol Carcinog 7: 268–75.
- Boccia S, De Lauretis A, Gianfagna F, van Duijn CM, Ricciardi G (2007) CYP2E1PstI/RsaI polymorphism and interaction with tobacco, alcohol and GSTs in gastric cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis of the literature. Carcinogenesis 28(1): 101–6.
- Vaclavikova R, Hubackova M, Stribrna-Sarmanova J, Kodet R, Mrhalova M, et al. (2007) RNA expression of cytochrome P450 in breast cancer patients. Anticancer Res 27(6C): 4443–50.
- Tsunedomi R, Iizuka N, Hamamoto Y, Uchimura S, Miyamoto T, et al. (2005) Patterns of expression of cytochrome P450 genes in progression of hepatitis C virus-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Oncol 27(3): 661–7.
- Oyama T, Sugio K, Uramoto H, Iwata T, Onitsuka T, et al. (2007) Increased cytochrome P450 and aryl hydrocarbon receptor in bronchial epithelium of heavy smokers with non-small cell lung carcinoma carries a poor prognosis. Front Biosci 12: 4497–503.
- Zagorac D, Jakovcevic D, Gebremedhin D, Harder DR (2008) Antiangiogenic effect of inhibitors of cytochrome P450 on rats with glioblastoma multiforme. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 28(8): 1431–9.
- Olivieri EH, da Silva SD, Mendonça FF, Urata YN, Vidal DO, et al. (2009) CYP1A2\*1C, CYP2E1\*5B, and GSTM1 polymorphisms are predictors of risk and poor outcome in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. Oral Oncol 45(9): c73–9.
- Haque AK, Au W, Cajas-Salazar N, Khan S, Ginzel AW, et al. (2004) CYP2E1 polymorphism, cigarette smoking, p53 expression, and survival in non-small cell lung cancer: a long term follow-up study. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 12(4): 315–22.