

Cairo University

Journal of Advanced Research



SHORT COMMUNICATION

Sequence analysis of the Toll-like receptor 2 gene of old world camels



Shyam S. Dahiya ^{a,*}, Govindasamy Nagarajan ^a, Vijay K. Bharti ^b, Shelesh K. Swami ^a, Sharat C. Mehta ^a, Fateh C. Tuteja ^a, Shirish D. Narnaware ^a, Nitin V. Patil ^a

^a National Research Centre on Camel, Post Bag No. 7, Jorbeer, Bikaner 334 001, Rajasthan, India ^b DIHAR, DRDO, Ministry of Defence, C/O-56 APO, Leh, 901205, Jammu & Kashmir, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 June 2013 Received in revised form 26 August 2013 Accepted 2 September 2013 Available online 10 September 2013

Keywords: Bactrian camel Dromedary camel Toll-like receptor 2

Introduction

Old world camels (*Camelus dromedarius* and *Camelus bactrianus*) have acquired many special abilities and attributes to survive in harsh environments, including cold or hot, arid regions with poor grazing, including deserts or semidesert areas. The camel is less susceptible to many diseases that affect other livestock species, such as brucellosis [1]. Species-specific

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 151 2230183; fax: +91 151 2231213.

E-mail address: dshsing04@gmail.com (S.S. Dahiya).

Peer review under responsibility of Cairo University.



ABSTRACT

The Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) gene of old world camels (*Camelus dromedarius* and *Camelus bactrianus*) was cloned and sequenced. The TLR2 gene of the dromedary camel had the highest nucleotide and amino acid identity with pig, i.e., 66.8% and 59.6%, respectively. Similarly, the TLR2 gene of the Bactrian camel also had the highest nucleotide and amino acid identity with pig, i.e., 85.7% and 81.4%, respectively. Dromedary and Bactrian camels shared 77.9% nucleotide and 73.6% amino acid identity with each other. Interestingly, the amidation motif is present in camel (Dromedary and Bactrian) TLR2 only, and the TIR domain is absent in Dromedary camel TLR2. This is the first report of the TLR2 gene sequence of Dromedary and Bactrian camels.

© 2013 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University.

viral infections, such as camelpox [2] and contagious ecthyma [3], have been reported in Indian Dromedary camels. Camels are susceptible to foot and mouth disease, but no naturally occurring cases seem to occur [4].

Innate immunity is an evolutionarily conserved form of host defense present in invertebrate as well as vertebrate organisms. Activation of innate immunity initiates subsequent adaptive immune responses. The ability to recognize microorganisms depends in part on a family of cell surface transmembrane receptors known as the Toll-like receptors (TLRs). TLRs are among a growing number of receptors that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) as infectious non-self-ligands and, in response, activate an inflammatory cascade that includes recruitment of dendritic cells, the most potent antigen-presenting cells of innate immunity [5]. Although most TLRs appear to function as homodimers, TLR2 forms heterodimers with TLR1 or TLR6, each dimer

2090-1232 © 2013 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Cairo University. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2013.09.001 having different ligand specificity, thus increasing its binding repertoire [6]. Individual TLRs trigger specific biological responses. The TLR2–TLR1 heterodimer recognizes triacylated lipopeptides from Gram negative bacteria and mycoplasmas, whereas the TLR2–TLR6 heterodimer recognizes diacylated lipopeptides from Gram positive bacteria and mycoplasmas. TLR2 is also involved in the recognition of viral components such as human cytomegalovirus [7]. Considering the extremely diversified and peculiar features of camels with respect to their tolerance to a variety of climatic conditions and pathogens, the role of innate immunity in camels should not be overlooked. In this study, we sequenced the TLR2 gene of both Dromedary and Bactrian camels to better understand the history of their evolution and to provide a resource for research into the immune system of camels.

Material and methods

All animal experiments were performed according to protocols approved by the institutional committee for use and care of animals (Animal ethical clearance No. 354/CPCSEA, National Research Centre on Camel, Bikaner, India). Total RNA was extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), and cDNA was prepared using a standard procedure [8] from Dromedary camels maintained at NRCC, Bikaner, India, and Bactrian camels from the State Government Farm, Leh, India. To amplify the TLR2 of Dromedary and Bactrian camel, blood samples were collected by jugular vein puncture, and PBMCs were isolated by density-gradient centrifugation using Histopaque-1077 (Sigma-Aldrich). PBMCs were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco BRL) containing 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 ng/mL streptomycin. Cells $(1 \times 10^7/mL)$ were grown in 6-well plates and stimulated with 5 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 12 h. Total RNA was isolated from LPSstimulated PBMCs using the RNA isolation kit (Bangalore Genie). An aliquot of total RNA (5 µg) was reverse-transcribed using the Easyscript First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Applied Biological Materials) in a 20 µL volume reaction.

TLR2 cDNA was amplified by PCR using primers designed on the basis of TLR2 nucleotide sequence of the pig (Sus scrofa; GenBank GQ304753). Cycling conditions for PCR were 35 cycles at 94 °C for 60 s, 57 °C for 60 s, and 72 °C for 150 s, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Amplified PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels containing 10 mg/ mL ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet light. Purified PCR products were cloned into pGEM-T easy vector (Promega), and the resultant plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli DH5a. Positive clones were confirmed by colony PCR and restriction analysis with EcoRI and then sequenced in both directions using universal T7 and SP6 primers at Delhi University, India. The sequences were submitted to GenBank, and the assigned accession numbers are JO979305 (Dromedary camel) and JX453495 (Bactrian camel). The nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed using the BLAST program (NCBI). The resultant nucleotide and amino acid sequences of TLR2 gene from the Dromedary and Bactrian camel were assembled and analyzed with those of fifteen animal species published earlier in the GenBank (Tables 1 and 2) using BioEdit Version 7.0.9. These sequences were compared in Clustal W, and the Phylogenetic tree was constructed in MEGA4 by neighbor-joining method [9]. The functional motifs such as Prokaryotic membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site, RGD (motif), and amidation of the gene products were predicted by using the computer software Generunner version 3.05 (hastings Software Inc. Hastings, NY, USA; http://www.generunner.net). The secondary structure of the TLR2 amino acid sequence is analyzed by protean program of DNASTAR software.

Results and discussion

TLR2 cDNA sequences of Dromedary and Bactrian camel were translated into amino acid sequences using EditSeq (DNA STAR). The ORF of Dromedary camel was found to be 1857 bp long encoding for 618 amino acid with molecular weight of 692.72 kDa. The ORF of Bactrian camel has same length of 2358 bp as that of *S. scrofa* (GQ304753) encoding

Host	Country and year of isolation	NCBI accession number	% Identity with Camelus dromedarius	
			Nucleotide	Amino acid
Camelus dromedarius	India, 2012	JQ979305	_	_
Camelus bactrianus	India, 2012	JX453495	77.9	73.6
Antidorcas marsupialis	UK, 2008	EU580538	66.0	57.5
Bos taurus	UK, 2006	AY634629	66.2	58.0
Boselaphus tragocamelus	India, 2011	DQ286731	66.1	57.5
Bubalus bubalis	India, 2007	EU178742	66.2	57.8
Canis lupus familiaris	Japan, 2009	AB189639	64.5	56.9
Capra hircus	India, 2011	DQ872435	66.3	57.8
Capra ibex	UK, 2008	EU580540	66.4	58.7
Cervus nippon	China, 2011	HQ260631	66.4	58.7
Equus caballus	NA	NM_001081796	66.6	59.3
Giraffa camelopardalis	UK, 2008	EU580542	66.5	59.1
Gorilla gorilla	Japan, 2008	AB445627	64.4	56.0
Homo sapiens	France, 2009	DQ012266	64.4	56.0
Ovis aries	UK, 2009	AM981300	66.2	58.4
Pongo pygmaeus	Japan, 2008	AB445628	64.5	56.0
Sus scrofa	Japan, 2003	AB085935	66.8	59.6

Host	Country and year of isolation	NCBI accession number	% Identity with Camelus bactrianus	
			Nucleotide	Amino acid
Camelus bactrianus	India, 2012	JQ979305	-	-
Camelus dromedarius	India, 2012	JX453495	77.9	73.6
Antidorcas marsupialis	UK, 2008	EU580538	85.1	79.8
Bos taurus	UK, 2006	AY634629	85.2	80.7
Boselaphus tragocamelus	India, 2011	DQ286731	85.3	79.8
Bubalus bubalis	India, 2007	EU178742	85.4	80.6
Canis lupus familiaris	Japan, 2009	AB189639	83.1	78.0
Capra hircus	India, 2011	DQ872435	85.1	80.1
Capra ibex	UK, 2008	EU580540	85.3	81.0
Cervus nippon	China, 2011	HQ260631	85.4	80.6
Equus caballus	NA	NM 001081796	85.6	81.2
Giraffa camelopardalis	UK, 2008	EU580542	85.4	80.5
Gorilla gorilla	Japan, 2008	AB445627	82.9	77.4
Homo sapiens	France, 2009	DQ012266	82.9	77.4
Ovis aries	UK, 2009	AM981300	84.9	80.2
Pongo pygmaeus	Japan, 2008	AB445628	83.1	77.5
Sus scrofa	Japan, 2003	AB085935	85.7	81.4

Table 2 Details of TLR-2 gene of Camelus bactrianus nucleotide and amino acid identity with TLR-2 of other species.



Fig. 1 Phylogenetic tree based on amino acid sequences of TLR2 gene of *Camelus dromedarius*. The tree was constructed by neighborjoining method using Mega 4 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) software with bootstrap values calculated for 1000 replicates. Horizontal distances are proportional to the genetic distances. Vertical distances are arbitrary. The numbers at each branch represent bootstrap values (1000 replicates). The scale bar at the bottom measures the nucleotide distance.

785 amino acid but molecular weight of 898.27 kDa as compared to 894.99 kDa of *S. scrofa*.

Among the TLR2 gene of different species, TLR2 gene of the Dromedary camel had the highest nucleotide identity with pig (66.8%), compared to 64.4–66.6% with cattle, sheep, horse, goat, human, and other species of animals (Table 1).

At the amino acid level, the predicted TLR2 protein of the Dromedary camel had highest identity with pig (59.6%), compared to 56–59.3% identity with cattle, sheep, horse, goat, human, and other species of animals. The TLR2 gene of the Bactrian camel had the highest nucleotide identity with pig (85.7%) and 82.9–85.6% with cattle, sheep, horse, goat,



Fig. 2 Alignment of amino acid sequences of TLR2 encoding gene of Dromedary and Bactrian camel with TLR2 of other species, using the software BioEdit Version 7.0.9. Star indicates the position of amidation motif in Dromedary and Bactrian camel TLR2. Arrow denotes the RGD motifs in Bactrian and pig TLR2 and triangle denotes the position of Prokaryotic membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site in Dromedary camel TLR2. Shaded areas indicate the conserved amino acids in the protein described.

human, and other species of animals (Table 2). At the amino acid level, the predicted TLR2 protein of the Bactrian camel had the highest identity with pigs (81.4%), compared to 77.4–81.2% with cattle, sheep, horse, goat, human, and other species of animals. Dromedary and Bactrian camels shared 77.9% nucleotide and 73.6% amino acid identity with each other. Homologues of human TLRs 1–10 are present in both cattle and sheep, with >95% and 83–90% nucleotide sequence identity to the corresponding human reference sequences, respectively, while the degree of conservation of amino acid sequences between homologous ruminant and human TLRs is 84–97% [10]. Phylogenetic analysis of 17 TLR2 nucleotide sequences from different species using the MEGA4 program showed that the TLR2 sequence of Dromedary and Bactrian camels cluster together (Fig. 1).

The ORF of both, i.e., Dromedary and Bactrian camel TLR2 has one amidation motif at position 572 (marked with star symbol in Fig. 2). One RGD motif, i.e., cell attachment



Fig 2. (continued)

sequence is present at position 637 and 293 in Bactrian and pig TLR2, respectively (marked with arrow symbol in Fig. 2). A Prokaryotic membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site is present only in Dromedary camel at position 606 (marked with a triangle symbol at position 678 in Fig. 2), which is absent in all other 16 TLR2 sequences considered for analysis in the present study. It is interesting to observe that TLR2 of Dromedary camel lacks a TIR domain and a transmembrane region whereas both of these are present in Bactrian camel. These motifs may be responsible for imparting the specific biological activity to the TLR2 receptors, which further needs to be investigated experimentally so as to provide insight into their role in resistance to bacterial and viral pathogens.

The secondary structure of the TLR2 amino acid sequence is analyzed by protean program of DNASTAR software. Secondary structure analysis of TLR protein sequence of *C. dromedarius* showed higher propensity of beta sheet as compare to alpha helix. On the other hand, TLR protein sequence of *C.* bactrianus showed higher propensity of alpha helix as



Fig 2. (continued)

compare to beta sheet. Both the TLR showed higher antigenicity index toward the C terminus.

Conclusions

This is the first report of the TLR2 gene sequence in Dromedary and Bactrian camels, and this information may be useful for studies of evolutionary lineages, phylogenetic analysis, and immune functions associated with bacterial infection of camels.

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Dr. Sachin Kumar, IIT-Guwahati, India and Dr. P.N. Sivalingam, Scientist, CIAH, Bikaner, India, for sequence analysis and for assistance with preparation of the manuscript. The authors wish to thank Dr. R.B. SrivastCanis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Fongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelus Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas marsupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Equus caballus

Canis lupus familiaris
Gorilla gorilla
Pongo pygmaeus
Ovis aries
Bos taurus
Homo sapiens
Boselaphus tragocamelus
Bubalus bubalis
Capra hircus
Antidorcas marsupialis
Capra ibex
Giraffa camelopardalis
Sus scrofa
Cervus Nippon
Camelus bactrianus
Camelus dromedarius
Equus caballus
•

Canis lupus familiaris
Gorilla gorilla
Pongo pygmaeus
Ovis aries
Bos taurus
Homo sapiens
Boselaphus tragocamelu
Bubalus bubalis
Capra hircus
Antidorcas marsupialis
Capra ibex
Giraffa camelopardalis
Sus scrofa
Cervus Nippon
Camelus bactrianus
Camelus dromedarius
Equus caballus

		370	380	390	400
5	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMVEEYI	KNSACEDAV	VPSLQTLVLF	QNHL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMVEEYI	KNSACEDAV	VPSLQTLILF	QNHL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMVEEYI	KNSACEDAV	VPSLRTLILF	QNHL
elus	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	. K N S A C E H A V	VPVLQILVL	QNRL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	. K N S A C K D A V	VPFLQTLVL	QNRL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMVEEYI	. K N S A C E D A V	VPSLQTLIL	QNRL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	. K N S A C K D A V	VPFLQTLVL	QNRL
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	. K N S A C K D A V	VPFLQTLVL	QNRL
lis	KSLEYLDLS	ENLMSEETI	KNSACKDAV	VP F L Q T L V L H	RQNR L
	ISLEYLDLS	ENLMSEETI	KNSACEHAV	VP F L Q T L V L H	RQNR L
lis	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	, R N S A C E H A V	VPFLQTLVLI	RQN R L
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEETI	. K N S A C E H A V	VPFLQTLVLI	RQN R L
	KSLEYLDLS	EN LMSEEYI	. K N S A C E H A V	VPFLHTLILI	RQN H L
5	K S L E Y L D L S	EN LMS EE TI	KNSACENAV	VPFLQTLVLH	RQNRL
	K S L E Y L D L S	EN LMS EE YI	ENSACEHAV	VPFLQTLILH	RQNHL
	K S L E Y L D P S	EN LMS EE YI	ENSACEHAV	VPFLQTLILH	RQNHL
	K S L E Y L D L S	DN LMVEE YI	KNSACERAV	VPSLQTLILH	RQNHL
		410	420	430	440
5	ASLERTGET ASLEKTGET	L L T L K N L T N L L T L K N L T N	I D I SKN SFH V D I SKN SFH	ISMPETCQWI	P E K M K P E K M K
	ASLEKTGET	L L T L K N L T N	ILDISKNSFI	ISMPETCQWI	P E K M K
	KSLEKTGEL	L L T L K N L N N	ILDISKNNFI	SMPETCQWI	P G K M K
	KSLEKTGEL	L L T L E N L N N	ILDISKNNFI	SMPETCOWI	P G K M K
elus	ASLEKTGET KSLEKTGEL	LLTLKNLTN LLTLKNLNN	I D I SKN SFH I D I SKNNFI I D I SKNNFI	ISMPETCOWI SMPETCOWI	P E K M K P G K M K
lis	KSLEKTGEL	LLTLKNLNN	ILDISKNNFI	SMPETCQWI	P GK MK
	KSLEKTGEL	LLTLKNLNN	ILDISKNNFI	SMPETCQWI	P GK MK
lis	K S L EK T G E L K S L EK T G E L K S L EK T G E L	LLTLKNLNN LLTLKNLTN LVTLVNLTN	LDISKNNFI LDISKNNFI	SMPETCQWI SMPETCQWI	GKMK GKMK
5	K S L EK TGE V K S L EK TGE V K S L EK TGE V T S L GK TGE T	LLTLKNLTN LLTLKNLTH LLTLKNLTH LLTLKNLTH	LDISKNNFI LDISKNNFI LDISKNNFI LDISKNFF	SMPETCQWI SMPENCQWI SMPENCQWI SMPENCQWI SMPETCQWI	GRMK GRMK EKMK EKMK EKMK
		450	460	470	480
is	YLNLSSTRI	HSVTGCIP	KTLEILDVSI	NNNLNLFSL	NLPQL
	YLNLSSTRI	HSVTGCIP	KTLEILDVSI	NNNLNLFSL	NLPQL
	YLNLSSTRI	HSVTGCIPH	KTLEILDVSI	NNNLNLFSL	NLPQL
	QLNLSSTRI	HSLTQCLPO	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	ILPQL
	OLNLSSTRI	HSLTOCLPO	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	ILPOI
nelus	YLNLSSTRI	HSVTGCIP	KTLEILDVSI	NNNLNLFSL	NLPQL
	QLNLSSTRI	RSLTQCLP	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	ILPQL
dis	QLNLSSTRU	HSLTQCLPO	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	I L P Q L
	QLNLSSTRU	HSLTQCLPO	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	I L P Q L
	QLNLSSTRU	HSLTQCLPO	QTLEILDVSI	NNNLD <mark>SFSL</mark>	I L P Q L
alis	QLNLSSTRI QLNLSSTRI YLNLSSTR	HSLTQCLPO HSLTHCLPO	TLEILDVSI TLEILDVS TLEVDIS	NNNLDSFSL SNNLDSFSL NNNLNSFSL	I L P Q L I L P Q L S L P O I
	QLNLSSTRI	HSLTQCLPO	TLEILDVSI	NNNLDSFSL	I L P Q L
	YLNLSSTRI	HSLTYCIPI	TLEILDISI	NNNLN <mark>S</mark> FSL	I L P Q L
ıs	YLNLSGTRI	HSLTYCIP1	LTLEILDISI	NNNLNSFSL	I L P Q L
	YLNLSSIRI	DRLTQCIP0	QTLEVLDISI	NNNLN <mark>SFSL</mark>	I L P Q V

Fig 2. (continued)

Canis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Pongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelus Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas marsupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Equus caballus

Canis lupus familiaris
Gorilla gorilla
Pongo pygmaeus
Ovis aries
Bos taurus
Homo sapiens
Boselaphus tragocamelus
Bubalus bubalis
Capra hircus
Antidorcas marsupialis
Capra ibex
Giraffa camelopardalis
Sus scrofa
Cervus Nippon
Camelus bactrianus
Camelus dromedarius
Equus caballus

Canis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Pongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelu Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas masupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Equus caballus

		490	500	510	520
niliaris	KELYISRN KELYISRN	IK L <mark>MTLPDA</mark> IK L <mark>M</mark> TLPDA	S L L PML L V L F S L L PML L V L F	ISRNAITTF	SKEQL SKEQL
ıs	KELYISRN KELYISRN KELYISRN	IK LMTLPDA IK LKTLPDA	S L L PML L V L F S F L P V L S VMF S F L P V L S VMF	KISRNTITTF RISGNIINTF	SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL
ocamelus	K E L I I S KN K E L Y I S RN K E L Y I S RN	IK LK I LPDA IK LMT LPDA IK LKT LPDA	SFLPVLSVM SLLPMLLVLF SFLPVLSVM(SFLPVLSVM)	KISKNIINIF KISRNAITTF GISKNIINTF	SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL
supialis	KELYISRN KELYISRN KELYISRN	IK LK TLPDA IK LK TLPDA IK LK TLPDA	SFLPVLSVM SFLPVLSVM SFLPVLSVM SFLPVLSVM	A I S GN I IN IF A I S GN I IN TF A I S GN I IN TF	SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL
pardalis	KELYISRN KELYISRN	IK LKTLPDA IK LKTLPDA	S F L P V L S V M S F L P M L S V L H	A I S RN I IN TF A I S RN T IN TF	SKEQL SKEQL
anus darius	K EL YISRN KEL YISRN KEL YISRN KEL YISRN	IK LK TL PDA IK LK TL PDA IK LK TL PDA IK L <mark>K</mark> TL PDA	S F L P V L S I M F S S L P T L L V M F S S L P T L L V M F S F L P M L L V M F	XISRNIINIF XISRNTISTF XISRNTISTF XISRKTINTF	SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL SKEQL
		530	540	550	560
niliaris	DSFHTLKI	LEAGGNNF	ICSCEFLSF	rqeqqalak v	LIDWP
ıs	DSFHTLKI DSFHTLKI DSFPQLKA	LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF	ICSCEFLSF ICSCEFLSF ICSCDFLSF	rqeqqalak v rqeqqalak v aqgqqalar v	LIDWP LIDWP LVDWP
ocamelus	DSFQQLK1 DSFQQLK1 DSFQQLK1 DSFQQLK1	LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF	ICSCDFLSF ICSCEFLSF ICSCDFLSF ICSCDFLSF	TQEQQALGRV TQEQQALGRV TQGQQALGRV	L I DWP L I DWP L VDWP L VDWP
supialis	DSFPQLKA DSFPQLKA DSFPQLKA	L E A G G N N F L E A G G N N F L E A G G N N F	I C S C D F L S F I C S C D F L S F I C S C D F L S F	IQGQQALARV IQGQQALARV IQGQQALARV	L V D W P L V D W P L V D W P
pardalis	DSFQQLKT DSFQKLKT DSFOOLKT	LEAGGNNF LEAGGNNF LEAGGNSF	ICSCDFLSFN ICSCDFLSF ICSCDFLAF7	4QGQQALARV QGQQALAQV QGOPALARV	L A D W P L S D W P L A D W P
unus darius	ASFQKLKI ASFQKLKI DSFQKLKI	LEAGGNSF LEAGGNSF LEAGGNNF	ICSCDFLSF ICSCDFLSF ICSCEFLSF	TQGQRALAQV TQGQRALAQV TQEEQALDQI	L I DWP L I DWP L I DWP
		570	580	590	600
niliaris	ANYLCDSP	SHVRGQQV SHVRGQQV	OVRLSVSE(CHR TALVSGM	CCALF
ıs	ANYLCDSP DGYRCDAP	SHVHGQRV SHVRGQRV	DVRLSVSE(DARLSLSE(CHR TAL VS GM CHR AAVVSAV	CCALF
	ANYLCDSP	SHVRGQRV	ODARLSLSE ODVRLSVSE ODVRLSVSE	CHRAAVVSAA CHRTALVSGM	CCALF
ocamelus	AEYRCDSP	SHVRGQRU	QDARLSLSE(QDARLSLSE(CHRAAVVSAA	CCALF
supialis	DGYRCDAP	SHVRGQRV	DARLSLSE DARLSLSE DARLSLSE	CHRAAVVSAV CHRAAVVSAV	CCALF
oardalis	DDYWCDSP ENYLCDSP	SHVRGQRV SHVRGQRV	RDARLTLSE ODTRLSLTE	CHR TAVVSAV CHR VAVVSVV	CCALF
unus	ENYLCDSP	SHVRGQRV. SHV <mark>R</mark> GRRV	RDARLSLSE(QDARLSVAE(CHRAAVVSAV CHRAAVVSAA	CCALF
darius	ENYLCDSP ENYLCDSP	SHVRGRRV: SHVRGQRV	RTPGS ODTHLSVSE(CHRTALVSAV	CCALF

Fig 2. (continued)

Canis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Pongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelus Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas marsupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Equus caballus	LLILLTGV LLILLTGV LLILLTGV LLLLLTGV LLILLTGV LFLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGA LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLLTGV LLLLTGV LSILLTGV	610 L C HR F HGLW L C HH F HGLW L C HH F HGLW L C HH F HGLW	620 VYMRMMWA VYMRMMWA VYMRMMWA VYMRMA VYMRMA	630 L Q AK RK P RK A L Q AK RK P RK A	APSRDI APSRDI APSRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI APRRDI
Canis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Pongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelus Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas marsupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Equus caballus	CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY CYDAFVSY	630 SEHDSYWVE SERDAYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SEQDSYWVE SEQDSYWVE SEQDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE SERDSYWVE	660 ILLVQKLE INLVQKLE INLVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE ILMVQELE	670 HFNPPFKLCI NFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFQPPFKLCI HFQPPFKLCI HFQPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI HFNPPFKLCI	CHKRDDF LHKRDDF LHKRDDF LHKRDDF LHKRDDF LHKRDDF LHKRRDF L
Canis lupus familiaris Gorilla gorilla Pongo pygmaeus Ovis aries Bos taurus Homo sapiens Boselaphus tragocamelus Bubalus bubalis Capra hircus Antidorcas marsupialis Capra ibex Giraffa camelopardalis Sus scrofa Cervus Nippon Camelus bactrianus Camelus dromedarius Bravue caberlus	I P GKWI I DI I P GKWI I DI V P GKWI I DI V P GKWI I DI I P GKWI I DI	600 NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS NIIDSIEKS	700 R K T I F VL S H K T V F VL S H K T V F VL S R K T I F VL S H K T I F VL S H K T I F VL S H K T I F VL S R K T I F VL S H K T I F V S T F	710 ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK ENFVKSEWCK	720 YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF YELDF

Fig 2. (continued)

	730	740	750	760
			<u> </u>	· · · · · 1
Canis lupus familiaris	SHFRLFDENSDAAIL	, I L L E P I E K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Gorilla gorilla	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I E K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Pongo pygmaeus	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I E K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Ovis aries	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AVPQRFCKLRK	IMNTR
Bos taurus	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Homo sapiens	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I E K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Boselaphus tragocamelus	SHFRLFDENNDAVIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Bubalus bubalis	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Capra hircus	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Antidorcas marsupialis	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Capra ibex	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	ILLEPIDKK	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Giraffa camelopardalis	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	, I L L E P I D K K	AIPQRFCKLRK	VMNTK
Sus scrofa	SHFRLFDENDDTAIL	ILLEPIEKK	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTR
Cervus Nippon	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	ILLEPIDKK	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Camelus bactrianus	SHFRLFDESDDAAIL	ILLEPIERK	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
Camelus dromedarius				
Equus caballus	SHFRLFDENNDAAIL	ILLEPIDKK	AIPQRFCKLRK	IMNTK
	770	780		
~			1	
Cams lupus familiaris	TYLEWPTDDAQQEGF	WLNLKTAIK	5	
Gorilla gorilla	TYLEWPMDEAQREGF	WVNLRAAIK	S	
Pongo pygmaeus	TYLEWPMDEAQREGF	WVNLRAAIK	S	
Ovis aries	TYLEWPTDETQQEAF	WLNLRAAIR	S	
Bos taurus	TYLEWPVDETQQEGF	WLNLRAAIR	S	
Homo sapiens	TYLEWPMDEAQREGF	WVNLRAAIK	S	
Boselaphus tragocamelus	TYLEWPLDETQQEGF	WLNLRAAIR	S	
Bubalus bubalis	TYLEWPVDETQQEGF	WLNLRAAIR	S	
Capra hircus	TYLEWPTDETQQEAF	WLNLRAAIR	s	
Antidorcas marsupialis	TYLEWPTDETQQEAF	WLNLRAAIR	s	
Capra ibex	TYLEWPTDETQQEAF	WLNLRAAIR	s	
Giraffa camelopardalis	TYLEWPMDETQQEGF	WLNLRAAVR	s	
Sus scrofa	TYLEWPADETQREGF	WLNLRAAIK	S	
Cervus Nippon	TYLEWPTDETQQEGF	WLNLRAAIR	S	
Camelus bactrianus	TYLEWPADEMQREGF	WFNLRAAIR	S	
Camelus dromedarius				
Rauus caballus	TYLEWPTDEAOOEGF	WLNLRAAIK	S	

Fig 2. (continued)

ava, Dr. P.B. Deshmukh and Sh. Prabhat Kumar, DIHAR, APO, India for providing the laboratory facility at Leh. The authors are also thankful to Dr. Nazir and Dr. Feroz, State Government Farm of Animal husbandry, J&K, India, for their help in blood collection from Bactrian camels and to Sh. Mazid for their support during our stay at Leh. The help rendered by M.L. Kiradoo, Lab Attendant, NRC on Camel, Bikaner in the collection of biological samples from the Dromedary camels is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Abbas B, Agab H. A review of camel brucellosis. Prev Vet Med 2002;55:47–56.
- [2] Balamurugan V, Bhanuprakash V, Hosamani M, Jayappa KD, Venkatesan G, Chauhan B, Singh RK. A polymerase chain reaction strategy for the diagnosis of camelpox. J Vet Diagn Invest 2009;21:231–7.
- [3] Nagarajan G, Ghorui SK, Kumar S, Pathak KML. Complete nucleotide sequence of the envelope gene of pseudocowpox virus isolates from Indian dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*). Arch Virol 2010;155:1725–8.

- [4] Wernery U, Kaaden OR. Foot-and-mouth disease in camelids. Vet J 2004;168:134–42.
- [5] Iwasaki A, Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptor control of the adaptive immune responses. Nat Immunol 2004;5:987–95.
- [6] Kannaki TR, Shanmugam M, Verma PC. Toll-like receptors and their role in animal reproduction. Anim Reprod Sci 2011; 125:1–12.
- [7] Compton T, Kurt-Jones EA, Boehm KW, Belko J, Latz E, Golenbock DT, Finberg RW. Human cytomegalovirus activates inflammatory cytokine responses via CD14 and Toll-like receptor 2. J Virol 2003;77:4588–96.
- [8] Nagarajan G, Swami SK, Ghorui SK, Pathak KML, Singh RK, Patil NV. Cloning and sequence analysis of IL-2, IL-4 and IFNγ from Indian dromedaries (*Camelus dromedarius*). Res Vet Sci 2012;92:420–6.
- [9] Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S. MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 2007;24:1596–9.
- [10] Menzies M, Ingham A. Identification and expression of Toll-like receptors 1–10 in selected bovine and ovine tissues. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2006;109:23–30.