

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

IJC Heart & Vasculature



journal homepage: http://www.journals.elsevier.com/ijc-heart-and-vasculature

Correspondence

Effect of diabetic ketoacidosis on the outcomes of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: An analysis of national inpatient sample



Dhrubajyoti Bandyopadhyay ^{a,*}, Arvind R. Devanabanda ^b, Ramyashree Tummala ^c, Sandipan Chakraborty ^d, Adrija Hajra ^e, Birendra Amgai ^d, Tauseef Akhtar ^f, Vardhmaan Jain ^g, Raktim K. Ghosh ^h

^a Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai/Mount Sinai St Luke's Roosevelt, Manhattan, New York, USA

^b Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Manhasset, New York, USA

^c Mount Sinai-Beth Israel Hospital, New York, NY, USA

^d Interfaith Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA

^e Jacobi Medical Center/Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA

^f John H. Stroger Hospital of Cook County, Chicago, IL, USA

^g Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA

^h Case Western Reserve University, Heart and Vascular Institute, MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 28 May 2019 Accepted 9 June 2019 Available online 24 June 2019

Diabetes is a major risk factor for coronary artery disease worldwide. The incidence of CAD is known to be as high as 50% in diabetic patients [1,2]. It has been established that survival and immediate mortality after a myocardial infarction is affected by diabetes [3,4]. Complications such as cardiogenic shock, congestive heart failure, conduction abnormalities, and large anterior wall transmural infarcts are more common in the diabetic patient than non-diabetic patient [3]. Hyperglycemia with or without diabetes has also been shown to be independently associated with increased in-patient mortality and microvascular obstruction in ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients [5,6].

However, there is limited data on patients with STEMI and acute hyperglycemic state such as diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA). DKA is an acute complication of diabetes leading to significant metabolic derangements that can be fatal if not addressed. DKA is driven by insulin deficiency or resistance and increased counter-regulatory hormones (like glucagon, catecholamines) in an acute infectious/inflammatory state. With the advent of insulin, the incidence of DKA over the last century has decreased in hospitals. DKA is encountered in in-patient clinical practice and can also be seen concomitantly with a STEMI. The aim of this study is to improve our understanding of the factors influencing the outcomes in

Corresponding author.
 E-mail address: dhrubajyoti.bandyopadhyay@mountsinai.org (D. Bandyopadhyay).

STEMI patients presenting with DKA in comparison to STEMI patients without DKA.

In this retrospective cohort study, we used the most updated 2016 National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database using the ICD 10 code for ICD-10-CM (International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Clinical Modification) both STEMI and DKA. Primary outcome of interest was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes of interests were cardiogenic shock, cardiac arrest and acute kidney injury, length of stay and cost of care. Multivariate logistic regression was used to adjust for potential confounders including age, gender, race, socioeconomic status, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, alcohol use, chronic kidney disease, obesity, dyslipidemia, Charlson Comorbidity Index, hospital location, hospital region, teaching status, and hospital size. STATA/IC 15.1 Stata Corp LLC was used for analysis.

In the year 2016, the total number of hospitalizations with the primary diagnosis of STEMI was 152,385 of which 745 patients had a concomitant diagnosis of DKA. The mean age was 61.4 (59.4-63.3) yrs. in patients with DKA, and the mean age was 63 (62.8-63.2) yrs. in patients without DKA without any statistically significant difference (p = 0.11). Above 70% of patients was Caucasian in both groups. In DKA group there were more female (44% vs 30%; $p \le 0.001$) and a greater number of patients had chronic kidney disease (26% vs. 12%; $p \le 0.001$). Hypertension (57% vs. 47%; p = 0.01) and hyperlipidemia (61% vs. 53%; p = 0.04) were higher in patients without DKA [Table 1]. We observed a significant increase in mortality [Odds ratio (OR): 5.1 (3.2-8), p: <0.001], cardiac arrest [OR: 2.5 (1.6–4), p: <0.001], acute kidney injury [OR: 10 (6.7–15), p: <0.001], ICU stay [OR: 6.8 (2.6–7.3), p: <0.001] and cardiogenic shock [OR: 4.8(3.3–6.8), *p*: <0.001] in patients with DKA in comparison to patients without DKA. Length of stay [7.4 days (6-8.8) in STEMI with DKA group vs 4 days (3.9-4.1) in STEMI without DKA group; p: <0.001 and cost of care (185,649 in STEMI with DKA vs 107,002 in STEMI without DKA, *p*: <0.001) were also higher in patients of STEMI with DKA [Table 2].

The NIS database is the largest inpatient database representative of >95% of the US population. Utilizing this database, we showed the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcha.2019.100384

2352-9067/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Baseline characteristics.

STEMI with DKA (n = 745) STEMI without DKA (n = 151,640) p value (n = 151,640) Mean age 61.4 (59.4–63.3) 63 (62.8–63.2) 0.11 Female gender (%) 44% 30% <0.001 Race (%) 0.01 Caucasian 72% 77.2% 8.3% Hispanic 13.7% 7.8% Hypertension 47% 57% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5%% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.001 Length of stay 7.4 (6–8.8) 4 (3.9–4.1) <0.001 Cost of care 185,649 107,002 <0.001 Median household income in dollars (%) 0.45 1–39.999 33.33% 28% 40,000–50.999 26.5% 27% 51,000–65.999 20.4% 25% <	with and without diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA)				
Mean age 61.4 (59.4–63.3) 63 (62.8–63.2) 0.11 Female gender (%) 44% 30% <0.001 Race (%) 0.01 .		STEMI with DKA $(n = 745)$	STEMI without DKA $(n = 151,640)$	p value	
Female gender (%) 44% 30% <0.001 Race (%) 0.01	Mean age	61.4 (59.4-63.3)	63 (62.8-63.2)	0.11	
Race (%) 0.01 72% 77.2% Black 7.2% 8.3% Hispanic 13.7% 7.8% Hypertension 47% 57% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Female gender (%)	44%	30%	< 0.001	
Caucasian 72% 7.2% 8.3% Hispanic 13.7% 7.8% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Race (%) 0.01				
Black 7.2% 8.3% Hispanic 13.7% 7.8% Hypertension 47% 57% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Caucasian	72%	77.2%		
Hispanic 13.7% 7.8% Hypertension 47% 57% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5%% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Black	7.2%	8.3%		
Hypertension 47% 57% 0.01 Diabetes 25.5%% 30% 0.2 Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 Obesity 20% 15% 0.001 Length of stay 7.4 (6–8.8) 4 (3.9–4.1) <0.001	Hispanic	13.7%	7.8%		
Diabetes25.5%%30%0.2Smoker16%20.80.16Dyslipidemia53%61%0.04Obesity20%15%0.08CKD26%12%<0.001	Hypertension	47%	57%	0.01	
Smoker 16% 20.8 0.16 Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Diabetes	25.5%%	30%	0.2	
Dyslipidemia 53% 61% 0.04 Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001	Smoker	16%	20.8	0.16	
Obesity 20% 15% 0.08 CKD 26% 12% <0.001 Length of stay 7.4 (6–8.8) 4 (3.9–4.1) <0.001 Cost of care $185,649$ $107,002$ <0.001 Median household income in dollars (%) 0.45 $1-39,999$ 33.33% 28% $40,000-50,999$ 26.5% 27% $51,000-65,999$ 26.5% 27% $51,000-65,999$ 20.4% 25% $66,000$ and more 19.7% 20% Hospital location/teaching 0.15 Rural 7.4% 5.7% $Urban-nonteaching$ 20.8% 27.4% Urban-nonteaching 20.8% 27.4% V Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001Medicare 48.3% 43.6% Medicaid 20.1% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90 20.8% 35.6% North-east 18.8% 16.5% Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 57.8% Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29%	Dyslipidemia	53%	61%	0.04	
CKD 26% 12% <0.001 Length of stay 7.4 (6–8.8) 4 (3.9–4.1) <0.001 Cost of care $185,649$ $107,002$ <0.001 Median household income in dollars (%) 0.45 $1-39,999$ 33.33% 28% $40,000-50,999$ 26.5% 27% $51,000-65,999$ 20.4% 25% $66,000$ and more 19.7% 20% $Hespital location/teaching 0.15$ $IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII$	Obesity	20%	15%	0.08	
Length of stay 7.4 (6-8.8) 4 (3.9-4.1) <0.001	CKD	26%	12%	< 0.001	
Cost of care 185,649 107,002 <0.001	Length of stav	7.4 (6-8.8)	4 (3.9-4.1)	< 0.001	
Median household income in dollars (%) 0.451-39,99933.33%28%40,000-50,99926.5%27%51,000-65,99920.4%25%66,000 and more19.7%20%Hospital location/teachiryJ 74%Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Modication/teachirg20.8%27.4%Urban-nonteaching20.8%27.4%Urban-nonteaching20.8%27.4%Urban-nonteaching20.8%27.4%Urban-nonteaching20.8%27.4%Medicare48.3%43.6%Medicare48.3%43.6%Medicare48.3%43.6%Medicare23.5%23.7%South38.3%40%West19.5%19.8%Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Small11.4%13.1%Medium27.5%29%Larree61%57.8%	Cost of care	185,649	107,002	< 0.001	
1-39,999 $33.33%$ $28%$ $40,000-50,999$ $26.5%$ $27%$ $51,000-65,999$ $20.4%$ $25%$ $66,000$ and more $19.7%$ $20%$ Hospital location/teaching 0.15 Rural $7.4%$ $5.7%$ Urban-nonteaching $20.8%$ $27.4%$ Urban-nonteaching $20.8%$ $27.4%$ Urban-nonteaching $71.8%$ $67%$ Insurance <0.001	Median household incom	ne in dollars (%) 0.45	5		
40,00-50,999 $26.5%$ $27%$ $51,000-65,999$ $20.4%$ $25%$ $66,000$ and more $19.7%$ $20%$ Hospital location/teaching 0.15 Rural $7.4%$ $5.7%$ Urban-nonteaching $20.8%$ $27.4%$ Urban teaching $71.8%$ $67%$ Insurance <0.001	1-39,999	33.33%	28%		
51,000-65,999 $20.4%$ $25%$ $66,000$ and more $19.7%$ $20%$ Hospital location/teaching 0.15 $20%$ Rural $7.4%$ $5.7%$ Urban-nonteaching $20.8%$ $27.4%$ Urban teaching $71.8%$ $67%$ Insurance < 0.001 $43.6%$ Medicare $48.3%$ $43.6%$ Medicaid $20.1%$ $10.5%$ Private including HMO $20.8%$ $35.6%$ Region of hospital 0.90 $38.3%$ $40%$ North-east $18.8%$ $16.5%$ Mid-west $23.5%$ $23.7%$ South $38.3%$ $40%$ West $19.5%$ $19.8%$ Hospital bed size 0.70 $57.8%$ $29%$ Large $61%$ $57.8%$	40.000-50.999	26.5%	27%		
66,000 and more 19.7% 20% Hospital location/teaching 0.15 Rural 7.4% 5.7% Urban-nonteaching 20.8% 27.4% Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001	51.000-65.999	20.4%	25%		
Hospital location/teaching 0.15 Rural 7.4% 5.7% Urban-nonteaching 20.8% 27.4% Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001 Medicare 48.3% 43.6% Medicaid 20.1% 10.5% Private including HMO 20.8% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90 North-east 18.8% 16.5% Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	66,000 and more	19.7%	20%		
Rural 7.4% 5.7% Urban-nonteaching 20.8% 27.4% Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001	Hospital location/teachi	ng 0.15			
Urban-nonteaching 20.8% 27.4% Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001	Rural	7.4%	5.7%		
Urban teaching 71.8% 67% Insurance <0.001	Urban-nonteaching	20.8%	27.4%		
Insurance <0.001 Medicare 48.3% 43.6% Medicaid 20.1% 10.5% Private including HMO 20.8% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90 56% North-east 18.8% 16.5% Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 50% 19.8% Large 61% 57.8%	Urban teaching	71.8%	67%		
Medicare 48.3% 43.6% Medicaid 20.1% 10.5% Private including HMO 20.8% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90	Insurance <0.001				
Medicaid 20.1% 10.5% Private including HMO 20.8% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90	Medicare	48.3%	43.6%		
Notified 2018 1055 Private including HMO 20.8% 35.6% Region of hospital 0.90	Medicaid	20.1%	10.5%		
Region of hospital 0.90 North-east 18.8% 16.5% Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 5% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	Private including HMO	20.8%	35.6%		
North-east 18.8% 16.5% Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	Region of hospital 0.90				
Mid-west 23.5% 23.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 5 Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	North-east	18.8%	16.5%		
Initial West 22.5% 22.7% South 38.3% 40% West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 5% 19.8% Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	Mid-west	23.5%	23.7%		
Social 50.3% 10.8 West 19.5% 19.8% Hospital bed size 0.70 50.3% 13.1% Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	South	38.3%	40%		
Hospital bed size 0.70 Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	West	19.5%	19.8%		
Small 11.4% 13.1% Medium 27.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	Hospital bed size 0 70				
Interview 17.5% 29% Large 61% 57.8%	Small	11.4%	13.1%		
Large 61% 57.8%	Medium	27.5%	29%		
	Large	61%	57.8%		

Baseline demographics, comorbidities in patients admitted with STEMI (n = 152,385)

CKD: chronic kidney disease.

following significant findings: 1) Patient characteristic such as sex, hypertension and chronic kidney disease were significantly different in DKA patients compared with non-DKA patients (*p*-value <0.05). 2) Incidence of DKA in STEMI patients was 0.5%. 3) DKA in STEMI patients was associated with increased mortality (OR 5.1, *p* < 0.001), cardiogenic shock (OR 4.8, *p* < 0.001), cardiac arrest (OR 2.5, *p* < 0.001), and acute kidney injury (OR 10, *p* < 0.001). 4) DKA in STEMI patients was associated with increased ICU stay (OR 6.8, *p* < 0.001), length of stay and cost of care.

The baseline characteristics revealed more women in the DKA group than in the non-DKA (p < 0.001), which could be explained by the higher prevalence of DKA in women in the general population. There were fewer patients with a history of hypertension in the DKA group.

Table 2

In-hospital outcomes for patients admitted with STEMI (n = 152,385) with and without diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA).

Outcomes	Odds ratio (OR)	p value
Mortality	OR: 5.1 (3.2-8)	< 0.001
Cardiac arrest	OR: 2.5 (1.6-4)	< 0.001
Acute kidney injury	OR: 10 (6.7–15)	< 0.001
ICU stay	OR: 6.8 (2.6-7.3)	< 0.001
Cardiogenic shock	OR: 4.8 (3.3–6.8)	< 0.001

CKD was two times more common in the DKA group compared to the non-DKA group. CKD leads to an immune-compromised state and is at high risk of infections. Acute infectious and inflammatory states often are the triggers for DKA.

DKA typically occurs in states of physiological stress such as in patients with sepsis, multiple trauma, burn injuries, or even in acute myocardial infarction (AMI). During DKA the release of counter-regulatory hormones, pro-inflammatory markers, and development of insulin resistance can lead to activation of reactive oxygen species, prothrombotic state formation and coronary vascular inflammation [7]. The eventual fatal outcome often times is an AMI. Studies have shown that AMI patients with a hyperglycemic state are associated with plaque instability, and increased infarct size [8]. At a molecular level increase in glucose activates protein kinase C and nuclear-kB pathway, which then leads to expression of more adhesive molecules on the vascular surface leading to prothrombotic state [9,10]. Insulin typically activates nitric oxide synthase, which inhibits platelet aggregation, whereas in a DKA state the lack of insulin sets the stage for a fatal event like STEMI [11].

In a recent trial by Liao et al. showed that patients presenting to the ER with a higher glycemic gap (difference patient's admission glucose and HbA1-c average derived glucose) experienced major adverse cardiovascular events [12]. Similarly, Issa et al. showed worse cardiovascular outcomes in NSTEMI patients presenting with concomitant DKA/ hyperosmolar hyperglycemic state (HHS) [13].

There may be a lack of DKA patients in large prospective clinical trials due to their inherently "sick" presentation with other major medical comorbidities. Hyperglycemia, in general, is associated with increased mortality with an odds ratio or >4 and 10% increase in mortality if blood glucose levels are >300 [14]. DKA is typically associated independently with increased mortality, and multiple organ failure if undiagnosed and untreated promptly. When combined with another fatal event like a STEMI, our data seems to suggest across the board of increased poor outcomes in terms of overall mortality, cardiac arrest, cardiogenic shock, and acute kidney injury.

Our study also showed that length of stay and increased cost of admission were seen in DKA patients with STEMI. This same phenomenon of increased length of stay has previously been reported in DKA with acute ischemic stroke patients [15].

As this is a retrospective observational study, it does have some limitations. The NIS database does not separate the number of encounters from each individual patient. So there is a chance to capture readmissions of a single patient multiple times. We are lacking patient-level data such as adherence to medications, duration of disease, extent of myocardial involvement, and other lab parameters. There also exists bias secondary to not accounting for all confounders, despite trying to account and performing multivariate analysis for as many variables as possible. Finally, this is a retrospective study and cannot draw any causal relationships but can strongly point towards major associations.

The generalizability of the NIS database to the US population is a relatively well researched and validated tool. The reaching implications of this study are to recognize DKA in STEMI patients early when seen and to swiftly initiate treatment of DKA along with discussion with the interventional cardiologist regarding antiplatelet therapy and possible revascularization strategy.

References

- R.M. Jacoby, R.W. Nesto, Acute myocardial infarction in the diabetic patient: pathophysiology, clinical course and prognosis, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 20 (3) (1992) 736–744.
- [2] W.B. Kannel, D.L. McGee, Diabetes and glucose tolerance as risk factors for cardiovascular disease: the Framingham study, Diabetes Care 2 (2) (1979) 120–126.
- [3] J.O. Partamian, R.F. Bradley, Acute myocardial infarction in 258 cases of diabetes. Immediate mortality and five-year survival, N. Engl. J. Med. 273 (9) (1965) 455–461.
- [4] W.M. Tunbridge, Factors contributing to deaths of diabetics under fifty years of age. On behalf of the Medical Services Study Group and British Diabetic Association, Lancet 2 (8246) (1981) 569–572.

- [5] D. Planer, et al., Impact of hyperglycemia in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the HORIZONS-AMI trial, Int. J. Cardiol. 167 (6) (2013) 2572–2579.
- [6] LP. Hoebers, et al., Predictive value of plasma glucose level on admission for short and long term mortality in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention, Am. J. Cardiol. 109 (1) (2012) 53–59.
- [7] F. Angeli, et al., Hyperglycemia in acute coronary syndromes: from mechanisms to prognostic implications, Ther. Adv. Cardiovasc. Dis. 9 (6) (2015) 412–424.
 [8] F. Ujueta, et al., Glycemic control in coronary revascularization, Curr. Treat. Options
- [8] F. Ujueta, et al., Glycemic control in coronary revascularization, Curr. Treat. Options Cardiovasc. Med. 18 (2) (2016) 12.
- [9] A. Otsuka, et al., Temporary hyperglycaemia provokes monocyte adhesion to endo-thelial cells in rat thoracic aorta, Diabetologia 48 (12) (2005) 2667–2674.
 [10] K. Azuma, et al., Repetitive fluctuations in blood glucose enhance monocyte adhe-
- [10] K. Azuma, et al., Repetitive fluctuations in blood glucose enhance monocyte adhesion to the endothelium of rat thoracic aorta, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 26 (10) (2006) 2275–2280.

- [11] U. Ray, et al., Isolation and study of insulin activated nitric oxide synthase inhibitory protein in acute myocardial infarction subjects, J. Thromb. Thrombolysis 33 (3) (2012) 218–229.
- [12] W.I. Liao, et al., An elevated glycemic gap is associated with adverse outcomes in diabetic patients with acute myocardial infarction, Sci. Rep. 6 (2016), 27770.
 [13] M. Issa, et al., Incidence and outcomes of non-ST elevation myocardial infarction in pa-
- [13] M. Issa, et al., Incidence and outcomes of non-ST elevation myocardial infarction in patients hospitalized with decompensated diabetes, Am. J. Cardiol. 122 (8) (2018) 1297–1302.
- [14] M. Falciglia, et al., Hyperglycemia-related mortality in critically ill patients varies with admission diagnosis, Crit. Care Med. 37 (12) (2009) 3001–3009.
- [15] A. Gofir, B. Mulyono, S. Sutarni, Hyperglycemia as a prognosis predictor of length of stay and functional outcomes in patients with acute ischemic stroke, Int. J. Neurosci. 127 (10) (2017) 923–929.