International Journal of Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Research

The Relationship between STR-PCR Chimerism Analysis and Chronic GvHD Following Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation

Seyed Asadollah Mousavi¹, Mina Javadimoghadam^{2,1}, Ardeshir Ghavamzadeh¹, Kamran Alimoghaddam¹, Azadeh Sayarifard³, Seyed-Hamidollah Ghaffari¹, Bahram Chahardouli¹, Ali Basi¹

¹Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Transplantation Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ²School of Medicine, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran ³Center for Academic and Health Policy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author: Mina Javadimoghadam, MD. School of Medicine, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran Tel: +983431315000 Fax: +983431315003 Email: minajavadimoghadam@yahoo.com

> Received: 13, Nov, 2015 Accepted: 15, Mar, 2016

ABSTRACT

Background: The study attempts to assess the relationship between chimerism analysis using polymerase chain reaction of short tandem repeat (STR) and the incidence of chronic graft versus host disease (GvHD) as well as survival.

Subjects and Methods: The retrospective cohort included all patients who received allo-HSCT during 2005-2013. Data collected by day +100 were reviewed in terms of the incidence of chronic GvHD and survival. Chimerism was evaluated for whole blood, T-cell and PMN cells on days 15, 30 and 60, respectively using polymerase chain reaction of short tandem repeat (STR).

Results: Forty (69%) patients developed chronic GvHD, 11 (19%) relapsed and 22 (39.7%) expired during the study. There was a significant relationship between chronic GvHD and chimerism analysis including whole blood on day 60 (p=0.001), Polymorphonuclear neutrophil (PMN) on day 60 (p=0.05), T-cell on days 15 (p=0.028), 30 (p=0.01) and 60 (p=0.004). Patients with chronic GvHD showed a long-term survival as compared with those without chronic GvHD (p=0.0013).

Conclusion: Conducting continuous analysis of chimerism provides an opportunity to initiate immediate measures in order to prevent complications.

Keywords: Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, Chronic GvHD, Chimerism, Polymerase chain reaction

INTRODUCTION

The growing number of cancer patients has caused researchers to seek new methods of medical treatment. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is now used as a curative treatment for many benign and malignant hematologic diseases.¹⁻

³ Despite HSCT's major role in treatment of cancers, its complications and problems are yet under discussion by researchers.⁴ Graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) is one of the serious complications of HSCT. Its prevalence has been reported as 20-50 percent.⁵⁻⁸ T lymphocytes of donor tissue turn to subgroups of T helper following identification of allo-antigens of patient's cells, which cause destruction of tissue and creates symptoms of GvHD via tissue invasion and cytokine production.⁹⁻¹¹ Production and release of cytokines are important in evolution and severity of GvHD.^{12,13} Chronic GvHD is a syndrome which may occur between 50 to 400 days after transplantation.^{14,15} The most important risk factor for chronic GvHD is previous acute GvHD.¹⁶ Using stem cell transplantation leads to increased survival in patients with malignant disease and increased number of survived patients with special medical problems such as chronic GvHD for a long time or long-term chronic GvHD.¹⁷ GvHD is a major barrier to successful HSCT. Even though GvHD reduces disease recurrence and increase disease free survival, it may increase likelihood of death that are unrelated to recurrence because it contributes to organ damage and vulnerability of the patient to infections.¹⁸ life-threatening Furthermore. successful transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells which leads to a long-term donor-derived hematopoiesis can be effective in long-term disease-free survival.¹⁹ Regular monitoring of patients after HSCT is crucial for transplant evaluation.²⁰ One of the main goals of posttransplant follow-up is to predict negative consequences of transplantation including disease recurrence, rejection and GvHD to apply the preventive treatments, effectively. Chimerism which is known as constant presence of donor cells in the transplant recipient (host) is one of the expected consequences of transplantation.²¹ The occurrence of chimerism has been shown to be an indicator of success and durability of transplantation.²² Moreover, chimerism analysis can be used in the diagnosis of transplant rejection and recurrence of disease.²³⁻²⁶

The increasing number of donor cells after transplantation decrease risk of disease recurrence and its early diagnosis using chimerism analysis helps in determination of prognosis and early necessary treatments.^{27,28} The original studies on hematopoietic stem cell transplantation have shown the importance of chimerism. Now, the most useful and sensitive methods used in chimerism analysis are molecular genetics such as polymerase chain reaction based on polymorphic mini-or microsatellite markers which can also specify very low numbers of donor and recipient cells.²⁹ Since most studies have been carried out on acute GvHD.^{24,30-32} This study attempts to explore the relationship between STR-PCR-based chimerism analysis and occurrence of chronic GvHD as well as survival in a sample of Iranian patients. This study aims to be helpful in determination of interventions for patients at risk of rejection, recurrence and developing cGvHD.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Patients with allo-HSCT referred to Hematology-Oncology and Stem Cell Research Center, Tehran, Iran, in 2005-2013 were included in the retrospective study. The inclusion criteria were patients who received allo-HSCT in 2005 to 2013 and patients with complete medical records or the probability of completion by a due date. Patients with incomplete medical records or inability to complete information were excluded from the study. For collecting data, a checklist was developed based on age, sex, chimerism, acute GvHD, chronic GvHD, survival and recurrence. Patients were examined and their clinical and paraclinical data were collected during routine clinic visits following transplantation. In this retrospective study, data from allo-HSCT recipients were investigated considering the incidence of acute GvHD, chronic GvHD, recurrence and survival. In this study, chimerism was divided into two categories: chimerism complete (more than 95% of hematopoietic cells post-transplant are of donor origin) and mixed chimerism (between 5%-95% cells of donor origin in hematopoietic tissues). Patients with less than 5% donor cells developed no chimerism. After 15, 30 and 60 days of transplantation, chimerism was detected in whole blood, T-cells and PMN. The relationship between cumulative incidence of chronic GvHD and chimerism was analyzed on days 15, 30 and 60 after transplantation. Conditioning regimens employed for various types of disease were based on the HSCT protocol. GVHD prophylaxis included cyclosporine with short-term methotrexate. The limited/ extensive classification was proposed by the Seattle group.¹⁴

The method used for chimerism analysis in this study was polymerase chain reaction-based short-tandem repeat (STR-PCR) using 12 indicators of high differentiation. In this method three autosomal tetra nucleotide STR loci with non-overlapping allele size ranges were simultaneously amplified. Loci are, D4S2366, D16S539 and TH01. All markers used were amplified under identical PCR conditions, 200 μ M of each dNTP, 20 Pmol of each oligonucleotide primers, 10 mM tris HCL (PH=8.3), 50 mM KCL, 2 mM MgCL2, 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase (Fermantas, UK) and 100 ng of template DNA. For

electrophoresis and visualization six percent polyacrylamid gels were used and DNA was visualized with DNA silver staining system.

All data were analyzed using SPSS software. T-test and the chi-square test were used for variables. Analysis of survival data was done using the software STATA, V, 11. Kaplan-Meier method, logrank test and Cox regression model were used to determine survival. Results were considered statistically significant (p<0.05). Each patient has a corresponding code to keep all information confidential. No personal data shall is used or disclosed in any manner incompatible with the specified purposes.

RESULTS

The medical records of 60 patients who received allo-HSCT in 2005-2013 were reviewed, of which two were excluded due to missing chimerism data. Finally, medical records of 58 patients were analyzed. In the collection of 58 patients, there were 37 males (63.8%) and 21 females (36.2%) with mean age of 29.3 \pm 13.7 years. The distribution of patients in terms of gender, source of transplant, diagnosis of disease, the incidence of acute and chronic GvHD, recurrence and death are shown in Table 1. According to Table 1, chronic GvHD occurred in 40 patients (69%), 25 patients (62.5%) had limited GvHD and 15 (31.5%) had extensive GvHD. Among the 58 patients included in the study, 11 (19%) relapsed and 22 (39.7%) expired.

Table 2 shows the number and percentage of chronic GvHD patients who developed skin, mucosal, liver and gastrointestinal tract involvement. As indicated, skin and mucosa are the most affected sites (87.5%).

Among patients with chronic GvHD, 35 (67%) patients received peripheral blood and 5 (83%) received bone marrow. There was no significant difference between these two groups (p=0.67).

Table 3 shows relationship between chronic GvHD and chimerism. There is a significant relationship between chronic GvHD and chimerism analysis including whole blood on day 60 (p=0.001), PMN on day 60 (p=0.05) and T-cell on days 15 (p=0.028), 30 (p=0.01) and 60 (p=0.004). Patients with complete or full donor chimerism may be at increased risk of developing chronic GvHD compared to those with

 Table 1: Distribution of patients in terms of gender, source of transplant, diagnosis of disease, the incidence of acute and chronic GvHD, recurrence and death

Variable	Number (%)	
Gender	Female	21 (36)
	Male	37 (64)
Diagnosis	CML	6 (10.3)
	Thalassemia	17 (29.3)
	ALL	10 (17.2)
	AML	14 (24.2)
	Aplastic anemia	5 (8.5)
	other	6 (10.2)
Sources of transplantation	PB	52 (89.7)
	BM	6 (10.3)
Acute GvHD	Yes	36 (62.1)
	No	22 (37.9)
Chronic GvHD	Yes	40 (69)
	No	18 (31)
Chronic GvHD type	Limited	25 (62.5)
	Extensive	15 (37.5)
Recurrence	Yes	11 (19)
	No	47 (81)
Death	Yes	23 (39.7)
	No	35 (60.3)

CML: Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia, ALL: Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia, AML: Acute Myeloid leukemia, PB: Peripheral Blood, BM: Bone Marrow, GvHD: Graft-versus-Host Disease

 Table 2: The number and percentage of chronic GvHD patients who

 developed skin, mucosa and liver and gastrointestinal tract involvement

Involved organ		Number (%)		
Skin and Mucosa	Yes	35 (87.5)		
	No	5 (12.5)		
Liver	Yes	9 (77.5)		
	No	31 (22.5)		
Gastrointestinal	Yes	1 (2.5)		
	No	39 (97.5)		

low donor cell chimerism. Patients were followedup for a mean period of 85 months. The overall survival has been shown in Figure 1. The mean survival time cannot be reported as the curve has not been reached to 50%. One, three and five-year survival in patients were 0.85%, 0.70% and 0.65%, respectively (Figure 1). Although men had higher survival than women, the difference was not significant (p=0.261, log rank test). Survival rate (Figure 2) in patients with chronic GvHD was

			Chimerism State			p-value
	Day	cGvHD	Complete	Mix	Without	
					chimerism	
Whole		Yes	29 (70%)	10 (72%)	1 (50%)	0.88
blood	15	No	13 (30%)	4 (28%)	1 (50%)	
chimerism		Total	42 (100%)	14 (100%)	2 (100%)	
		Yes	28 (72%)	12 (67%)	0 (0%)	0.69
	30	No	11 (28%)	6 (33%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	39 (100%)	18 (100%)	0 (0%)	
		Yes	37 (80%)	3 (30%)	0 (0%)	0.001*
	60	No	9 (20%)	7 (70%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	46 (100%)	10 (100%)	0 (0%)	
T cell		Yes	26 (84%)	13 (52%)	1 (100%)	0.028*
chimerism	15	No	5 (16%)	12 (48%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	31 (100%)	25 (100%)	1 (100%)	
		Yes	28 (80%)	12 (55%)	0 (0%)	0.01*
	30	No	7 (20%)	10 (45%)	1 (100%)	
		Total	35 (100%)	22 (100%)	1 (100%)	
		Yes	33 (82%)	7 (44%)	0 (0%)	0.004*
	60	No	7 (18%)	9 (56%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	40 (100%)	16 (100%)	0 (0%)	
PMN cell		Yes	32 (71%)	7 (64%)	1 (100%)	0.8
chimerism	15	No	13 (29%)	4 (36%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	45 (100%)	11 (100%)	1 (100%)	
		Yes	38 (73%)	2 (40%)	0 (0%)	0.07
	30	No	14 (27%)	3 (60%)	1 (100%)	
		Total	52 (100%)	5 (100%)	1 (100%)	
		Yes	38 (76%)	2 (33%)	0 (0%)	0.05*
	60	No	12 (24%)	4 (67%)	0 (0%)	
		Total	50 (100%)	6 (100%)	0 (0%)	

Fable 3: The relationship between chronic GvHD and chimerism ir	l
terms of blood cell type and the day of chimerism analysis	

PMN: Polymorphonuclear neutrophil *: statistically significant at p<0.05

significantly higher than patients without chronic GvHD (p=0.0013).

DISCUSSION

In present study, acute GvHD occurred in 62.1% and chronic GvHD in 69%. Limited chronic GvHD occurred with the highest incidence among the patients. The most commonly involved organs with chronic GvHD are skin, mucous membrane and liver. Relapses occurred in 20% of patients and 40% expired during the study.



Figure 1: Patients' survival



Figure 2: Patients' survival in term of chronic GvHD incidence cGvHD: Chronic Graft-versus-Host Disease

In this study, a significantly higher incidence of chronic GvHD was seen in patients with complete chimerism (whole blood on day 60, PMN on day 60 and T cell on days 15, 30 and 60). The results of this study are consistent with the findings of a study by Barrios and Rupa-Matysek that found the kinetic assessment or long-term chimerism to be more important than absolute value of single measurement of chimerism. Moreover, regular monitoring of chimerism by the subgroups of T cell CD3⁺ is an efficient method in predicting the risk of developing GvHD.^{33,34}

A study conducted by Mossallam indicated that low T cell chimerism was significantly associated with a reduced risk of chronic GvHD.³⁵

The results of this study are similar to the findings obtained by Sairafi and pasquet, which indicated that the incidence of chronic GvHD is higher in patients with complete chimerism.²²⁻²⁴

These results indicated that there was a direct association between the incidence of chronic GvHD and survival of patients (p=0.0013). Research conducted by Prez et al. showed that survival of patients who developed chronic GvHD after nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation was higher than those without chronic GvHD within 24 months.³⁶ Barrios showed that in patients with leukemia death rate was significantly lower than those who developed chronic GvHD after stem cell transplantation.³³

One advantage of this study was the ability of the retrospective cohort design to measure exposure and outcome in context of time. Another aspect was the checklist developed based on individual patient data. This study has considerable limitation, including small sample size and lack of specific target population. Due to the fact that patients were from one referral center, results of this survey may not be generalizable to larger population of patients.

CONCLUSION

Conducting continuous analysis of chimerism after allo-HSCT is useful in predicting the incidence of chronic GvHD, prognosis and survival. Chimerism analysis also provides an opportunity to initiate immediate measures to prevent complications.

Due to lack of similar studies and differences in blood components in various studies, further research is recommended to focus on specific blood cells to predict the risk of cGvHD recurrence and death in patients receiving allo-HSCT.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors wish to acknowledge all the participating teams who have helped them on this project.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, et al. Global Cancer Statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011; 61(2): 69-90.
- Thiede C, Bornhäuser M, Oelschlägel U, et al. Sequential monitoring of chimerism and detection of minimal residual disease after allogeneic blood stem cell transplantation (BSCT) using multiplex PCR amplification of short tandem repeat-markers. Leukemia. 2001; 15(2):293-302.

- Sufliarska S, Minarik G, Horakova J, et al. Establishing the method of chimerism monitoring after allogeneic stem cell transplantation using multiplex polymerase chain reaction amplification of short tandem repeat markers and Amelogenin. Neoplasma. 2007; 54(5):424-30.
- Bellm LA, Epstein JB, Rose-Ped A, et al. Patient reports of complications of bone marrow transplantation. Support Care Cancer. 2000, 8(1): 33-9.
- Ball LM, Egeler RM. Acute GvHD: pathogenesis and classification. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2008; 41 Suppl 2:S58-64.
- Passweg J, Zhang MJ, Rocha V, et al. Donor Characteristics Affecting Graft Failure, Graft-versus-Host Disease, and Survival after Unrelated Donor Transplantation with Reduced-Intensity Conditioning for Hematologic Malignancies. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2011; 17(12):1869-73.
- Jiang Y, Wan L, Qin Y, et al. Donor Chimerism of B Cells and Nature Killer Cells Provides Useful Information to Predict Hematologic Relapse following Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. PLoS One. 2015; 10(7):e0133671.
- 8. Cobbold SP, Li XC. Translating Tolerogenic Therapies to the Clinic-Where Do We Stand and What are the Barriers? Front Immunol. 2012; 3:317.
- 9. Szyska M, Na IK. Bone Marrow GvHD after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation. Front Immunol.2016; 7:118.
- 10. Spencer GD, Hackman RC, McDonald GB, et al. A prospective study of unexplained nausea and vomiting after marrow transplantation. Transplantation. 1986; 42(6):602-7.
- 11. Yi T, Chen Y, Wang L, et al. Reciprocal differentiation and tissue-specific pathogenesis of Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells in graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 2009; 114(14): 3101-12.
- Lin MT, Storer B, Martin PJ, et al. Relation of an interleukin-10 promoter polymorphism to graftversus-host disease and survival after hematopoietic-cell transplantation. N Engl J Med. 2003; 349(23):2201-10.
- Remberger M, Ringden O, Markling L. TNF alpha levels are increased during bone marrow transplantation conditioning in patients whodevelop acute GVHD. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1995; 15(1):99-104.
- Shulman HM, Sullivan KM, Weiden PL, et al. Chronic graft-versus-host syndrome in man. A long-term clinicopathologic study of 20 Seattle patients. Am J Med. 1980; 69(2):204-17.

- Sullivan KM, Agura E, Anasetti C, et al. Chronic graftversus-host disease and other late complications of bone marrow transplantation. Semin Hematol. 1991; 28(3):250-9.
- 16. Atkinson K, Horowitz MM, Gale RP, et al. Risk factors for chronic graft-versus-host disease after HLAidentical sibling bone marrow transplantation. Blood. 1990; 75(12):2459-64.
- 17. Higman MA, Vogelsang GB. Chronic graft versus host disease. Br J Haematol. 2004; 125(4):435-54.
- Glucksberg H, Storb R, Fefer A, et al. Clinical manifestations of graft-versus-host disease in humanrecipients of marrow from HL-A-matched sibling donors. Transplantation. 1974; 18(4): 295-304.
- 19. Barta A, Bátai A, Kelemen E, et al. Immunological importance of chimerism in transplantation: new conditioning protocol in BMT and the development of chimeric state. Hum Immunol. 2000; 61(2):101-10.
- 20. Antin JH, Childs R, Filipovich AH, et al. Establishment of complete and mixed donor chimerism after allogeneic lymphohematopoietic transplantation: recommendations from a workshop at the 2001 Tandem Meetings of the International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry and the American Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2001; 7(9):473-85.
- 21. Drexler C, Wagner T. Blood group chimerism. Curr Opin Hematol. 2006; 13(6): 484-9.
- Pasquet L, Joffre O, Santolaria T, et al. Hematopoietic Chimerism and Transplantation Tolerance: A Role for Regulatory T Cells. Front Immunol. 2011; 2:80.
- Goh RY, Cho SS, Song YJ, et al. Clinical Utility of Chimerism Status Assessed by Lineage-Specific Short Tandem Repeat Analysis: Experience from Four Cases of Allogeneic Stem Cell Transplantation. Korean J Lab Med. 2009; 29(4):277-81.
- Sairafi D, Remberger M, Uhlin M, et al. Leukemia lineage-specific chimerism analysis and molecular monitoring improve outcome of donor lymphocyte infusions. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.2010; 16(12): 1728-37.
- Goh RY, Kim SH, Han JY. Lineage-specific chimerism analysis in nucleated cells, T cells and natural killer cells after myeloablativeallogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Korean J Hematol. 2011; 46(1):18-23.
- 26. Lee HC, Saliba RM, Rondon G, et al. Mixed T Lymphocyte Chimerism after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Transplantation Is Predictive for Relapse of Acute Myeloid Leukemia and

Myelodysplastic Syndromes. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2015; 21(11):1948-54.

- 27. Bader P, Niethammer D, Willasch A, et al. How and when should we monitor chimerism after allogeneic stem cell transplantation?. Bone Marrow Transplant 2005; 35(2): 107-19.
- Petz LD, Yam P, Wallace RB, et al. Mixed hematopoietic chimerism following bone marrow transplantation for hematologic malignancies. Blood. 1987; 70(5):1331-7.
- 29. Spyridonidis A, Zeiser R, Wäsch R, et al. Capillary electrophoresis for chimerism monitoring by PCR amplification of microsatellite markers after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Clinical Transplantation. 2005; 19(3):350-6.
- Jaksch M, Uzunel M, Remberger M, et al. Molecular monitoring of T-cell chimerism early after allogeneic stem cell transplantation may predict the occurrence of acute GVHD grades II–IV. Clin Transplant. 2005; 19(3): 346–9.
- Alimoghaddam K, Ghaffari H, Foroughi F, et al. Effects of chimerism on graft-versus-host disease, disease recurrence and survival after HLA-identical marrow transplantation in Iran. Arch Iran Med. 2006; 9(2):99-103.
- Balon J, Ha'aburda K, Bieniaszewska M, et al. Early complete donor hematopoietic chimerism in peripheral blood indicates the risk of extensive graftversus-host disease. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2005; 35(11):1083-8.
- 33. Barrios M, Jimenez-Velasco A, Roman-Gomez J, et al. Chimerism status is a useful predictor of relapse after allogeneic stem cell transplantation for acute leukemia. Haematologica. 2003; 88(7):801-10.
- Rupa-Matysek J, Lewandowski K, Nowak W, et al. Correlation between the kinetics of CD3+ chimerism and the incidence of graft-versus-host disease in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Transplant Proc. 2011; 43(5):1915-23.
- 35. Mossallam GI, Kamel AM, Storer B, et al. Prognostic utility of routine chimerism testing at 2 to 6 months after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 2009; 15(3):352-9.
- Pérez-Simón JA, Martino R, Alegre A, et al. Chronic but not acute graft-versus-host disease improves outcome in multiple myeloma patients after nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation. Br J Haematol. 2003; 121(1):104-8.