# ORIGINAL

**Open Access** 

# Effects of rehydration nutrients on H<sub>2</sub>S metabolism and formation of volatile sulfur compounds by the wine yeast VL3

Gal Winter<sup>1,2</sup>, Paul A Henschke<sup>2</sup>, Vincent J Higgins<sup>1,3</sup>, Maurizio Ugliano<sup>2,4</sup> and Chris D Curtin<sup>2\*</sup>

# Abstract

In winemaking, nutrient supplementation is a common practice for optimising fermentation and producing quality wine. Nutritionally suboptimal grape juices are often enriched with nutrients in order to manipulate the production of yeast aroma compounds. Nutrients are also added to active dry yeast (ADY) rehydration media to enhance subsequent fermentation performance. In this study we demonstrate that nutrient supplementations. The concentration of the formation of volatile sulfur compounds during wine fermentations. The concentration of the 'fruity' aroma compounds, the polyfunctional thiols 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH) and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (3MHA), was increased while the concentration of the 'rotten egg' aroma compound, hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), was decreased. Nutrient supplementation of the rehydration media also changed the kinetics of H<sub>2</sub>S production during fermentation by advancing onset of H<sub>2</sub>S production. Microarray analysis revealed that this was not due to expression changes within the sulfate assimilation pathway, which is known to be a major contributor to H<sub>2</sub>S production. To gain insight into possible mechanisms responsible for this effect, a component of the rehydration nutrient mix, the tri-peptide glutathione (GSH) was added at rehydration and studied for its subsequent effects on H<sub>2</sub>S formation. GSH was found to be taken up during rehydration and to act as a source for H<sub>2</sub>S during the following fermentation. These findings represent a potential approach for managing sulfur aroma production through the use of rehydration nutrients.

Keywords: Rehydration, yeast, nutrients, H2S, hydrogen-sulfide, GSH, glutathione

# Introduction

In many viticultural regions the natural nutrient composition of grape juice is considered suboptimal and may lead to a variety of fermentation problems including slow or stuck fermentations and formation of undesirable off-flavours (Blateyron and Sablayrolles 2001,; Henschke and Jiranek 1993,; Mendes-Ferreira et al. 2009,; Sablayrolles et al. 1996,; Schmidt et al. 2011,; Torrea et al. 2011,; Ugliano et al. 2010,). To alleviate these deficiencies, various yeast nutrient preparations are often added to the juice prior to or during alcoholic fermentation, to contribute to the production of a quality wine. Among the nutrient supplements allowed by wine regulatory authorities in many countries are vitamins,

<sup>2</sup>The Australian Wine Research Institute, P.O. Box 197, Glen Osmond, Adelaide, SA 5064, Australia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



inorganic nitrogen, usually in the form of diammonium phosphate (DAP) and organic nutrient preparations. The latter are typically prepared from inactive or autolysed yeast and are therefore usually composed of lipids, micro- and macro-elements, amino nitrogen, mannoproteins and insoluble material (for example see Pozo-Bayón (2009), Effects of these nutrients on the formation of key aroma groups in wine have been studied widely. The concentration of esters and higher alcohols, which impart fruity and fusel aromas respectively, were found to be influenced mostly by nitrogen availability (reviewed by Bell and Henschke (2005). Nitrogen is also considered a key modulator in the formation of volatile sulfur compounds, including H<sub>2</sub>S, a highly potent compound which possesses an odour reminiscent of rotten egg (Rauhut 1993).

The majority of studies regarding the effect of nutrients on yeast derived aroma compounds have focused

© 2011 Winter et al; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: chris.curtin@awri.com.au

on nutrient addition to the grape juice immediately prior to or during alcoholic fermentation. The common oenological practice of using active dry yeast (ADY) for wine fermentation necessitates rehydration, since water availability in ADY is too low for yeast to maintain metabolic activity during storage (Rapoport et al. 1997,). This step represents a further opportunity for nutrient supplementation. Previous studies have demonstrated the efficacy of nutrient supplementation at this point in time on yeast viability and vitality. Supplementation of organic nutrient in the form of inactive dry yeast (IDY) was found to increase fermentation rate, supposedly due to an incorporation of solubilised sterol present in IDY (Soubeyrand et al. 2005,). Additions of fermentable carbon source and magnesium salts were also shown to enhance both viability and vitality of dehydrated yeast following rehydration (Kraus et al. 1981,; Rodríguez-Porrata et al. 2008).

Although rehydration nutrient supplementation is a common practice in winemaking, its effect on the formation of fermentation derived aroma compounds has not been explored. In this paper we examine the effect of a proprietary rehydration nutrient supplement on yeast gene expression during wine fermentation and how this affects its volatile chemical composition. This parallel analysis consisting of transcriptomics and metabolite profiling provided insights into which components of the rehydration nutrient mixture affect the formation of aroma compounds.

# Materials and methods

# Chemicals

Analytical reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. Rehydration nutrient mix was Dynastart (Laffort Australia, Woodville, SA, Australia). S-3-(hexan-1-ol)-L-cysteine (Cys-3MH) and S-4-(4methylpentan-2-one)-L-cysteine (Cys-4MMP) were synthesized and characterized as previously described (Howell et al. 2004,; Pardon et al. 2008).

# Yeast strain, treatments and fermentation conditions

The yeast strain used was a commercial active dried preparation of VL3 (Laffort Australia, Woodville, SA, Australia). ADY were rehydrated with water or water supplemented with rehydration nutrient mix (120 g/L). To examine the effect of nutrient mix components ADY were rehydrated with water containing GSH (500 mg/L). Rehydration media were thoroughly mixed at 37°C for 30 minutes prior to addition of 10% (w/v) ADY. ADY were incubated with agitation in the rehydration media for 20 minutes and then inoculated into the fermentation media to give a cell concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/ml. Fermentations were carried out in triplicate under isothermal conditions at 22°C with agitation. Fermentations were carried out in Schott bottles (SCHOTT Australia, NSW, Australia), silled with silicone o-ring and fitted with silver nitrate detector tubes for the quantification of  $H_2S$ formed in fermentation and a sampling port. Samples were collected through the sampling port using a sterile syringe. Fermentation volume was either 2 L (for comprehensive volatile analysis) or 1 L. Fermentation progress was monitored by measurement of residual glucose and fructose using an enzymatic kit (GF2635, Randox, Crumlin, UK).

# Fermentation media

A low nitrogen Riesling juice with a total yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN) concentration of 120 mg/L (NH<sub>3</sub> = 53 mg/L; free amino nitrogen (FAN) = 90 mg/L) was used for this study. Juice analytical parameters were as follows: pH, 2.9; titratable acidity 4.6 g/L as tartaric acid; sugars, 205 g/L. To examine the effect of rehydration nutrients on polyfunctional thiol release, juice was supplemented with 5 µg/L Cys-4MMP and 200 µg/L Cys-3MH, a concentration of precursors commonly found in Sauvignon Blanc juices (Capone et al. 2010,; Luisier et al. 2008). Where specified, DAP addition to the fermentation media was 0.56 g/L to increase the juice YAN value to 250 mg N/L. The pH of the fermentation medium was readjusted to 2.9 with 1 M HCl following DAP additions. Juice was filter sterilized with a 0.2 µm membrane filter (Sartorius Australia, Oakleigh, Victoria, Australia).

# Post fermentation handling

At the end of grape juice fermentation, wines were cold settled at  $4^{\circ}$ C and free SO<sub>2</sub> of the finished wine was adjusted to 45 mg/L by the addition of potassium metabisulfite. The wines were then carefully racked into glass bottles to avoid exposure to oxygen and were sealed with air tight caps fitted with a polytetrafluoroethylene liner. Bottles were fully filled to avoid any headspace oxygen.

### Grape juice analyses

Titratable acidity, FAN, and ammonia were measured as previously described (Vilanova et al. 2007,). Ammonia concentration was measured using the Glutamate Dehydrogenase Enzymatic Bioanalysis UV method (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). FAN was determined by using the o-phtalaldehyde/N-acetyl-L-cysteine spectrophotometric assay procedure. Both ammonia and FAN were analyzed using a Roche Cobas FARA spectrophotometric autoanalyzer (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Amino acid analysis was carried out based on Korös et al. (2008), using a pre-column derivitisation with o-phthalaldehyde-ethanethiol-9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate and HPLC analysis with fluorescence detection. Reduced and oxidized glutathione were analyzed using LC-MSMS as previously described (du Toit et al. 2007).

### Volatile compounds analyses

H<sub>2</sub>S, methanethiol (MeSH), dimethyl sulfide (DMS), methyl thioacetate (MeSAc), and ethyl thioacetate (EtSAc) were determined by static headspace injection and cool-on-column gas chromatography coupled with sulfur chemiluminescence detection (GC-SCD), as described in Siebert et al. (2010),. 3MH, 3MHA and 4-Mercapto-4-methylpentan-2-one (4MMP) were measured in SARCO Laboratories (Bordeaux, France) according to Tominaga et al. (2000) using a TRACE GC-MS (ThermoFisher Scientific, MA, USA). Detection limits for 3MH, 3MHA and 4MMP were 11 ng/L, 1 ng/ L and 0.3 ng/L, respectively. Quantification limit is 35  $ng/L \pm 20\%$  for 3MH, 3  $ng/L \pm 18\%$  for 3MHA and 0.6  $ng/L \pm 14\%$  for 4MMP. Monitoring of H<sub>2</sub>S development during fermentation was carried out using silver nitrate selective gas detector tubes (Komyo Kitagawa, Japan), as described by Ugliano and Henschke (2010).

### **RNA Extraction and cDNA synthesis**

Samples for RNA analyses were collected by filtration during fermentation after consumption of 15 g/L sugars. Cells were resuspended in RNAlater® (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, USA) solution at 4°C for 24 hours. Cells were then centrifuged to remove the  $RNAlater^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$  solution and were stored at -80°C. Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol<sup>™</sup> Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as described in Alic et al. (2004). The integrity of the RNA was analyzed using an RNA 6000 Nano LabChips on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). cDNA was synthesized from 200 ng total RNA in a total volume of 20 µl with AffinityScript QPCR cDNA synthesis kit (Statagene, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and oligo-dT20 primers by incubation for 5 min at 42°C and 15 min at 55°C with heat inactivation for 5 min at 95°C.

### **Transcription analyses**

Transcription analysis was carried out at the Ramaciotti Centre for Gene Function Analysis (UNSW, Sydney, Australia). Biological duplicates were analysed using the Affymetrix GeneChip Yeast Gene 1.0 ST Array and the GeneChip<sup>®</sup> 3' IVT Express protocol (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Data were analysed using the statistical methods available in the Partek<sup>®</sup> Genomic Suite 6.5 (Partek Incorporated, St Louis, Missouri, USA). Statistical analysis for over-representation of functional groups was performed using FunSpec (Robinson et al. 2002). Available databases were addressed by using a probability cutoff of 0.01 and the Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. To validate the results, five differentially

Table 1 qRT-PCR primers sequences

Gene	Primer sequence	
GPM1	GCTCACGGTAACTCCTTG	
	AGATGGCTTAGATGGCTTC	
TDH3	GCTGCCGCTGAAGGTAAG	
	CGAAGATGGAAGAGTGAGAGTC	
OPT1	TGTCCCGATTGGTGGTATTTAC	
	GTGTTGGTTAGTCATTGCTTCC	
MET10	CACTCACGTTCCATCCACTACC	
	CACTCACGTTCCATCCACTACC	
IRC7	CCTGGATTTGGCTGCTTGG	
	AGAACCTTTGTAGTCACGAACC	

expressed genes were further examined by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR). qPCR was carried out with Brilliant II SYBR Green reagent (Statagene, Agilent Technologies) and cDNA made from 2.5 ng total RNA in a volume of 25  $\mu$ l for all subsequent reactions. Primers are detailed in table 1. Ct values were obtained from triplicate fermentations and were normalized using the 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct</sup> method (Wong and Medrano 2005,). Values were then normalized against a geometric average of two reference genes obtained from geNorm (Vandesompele et al. 2002,). Selection of the reference genes was based on the microarray results using an algorithm described in Popovici et al. (2009). Each individual PCR run was normalized with an intercalibration standard.

### Determination of glutathione

For the extraction of cellular glutathione, cells (100 mg) were washed three times with sodium-phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.4) and resuspended in 1 ml 8 mM HCl, 1.3% (w/v) 5-sulphosalicylic acid for 15 min at 4°C. Cells were then broken by vortexing at 4°C with 0.5 g of glass beads in four series of 1 min alternated with 1 min incubation on ice. Cell debris and proteins were pelleted in a microcentrifuge for 15 min (13000 rpm at 4°C), and supernatants were used for glutathione determination. For total GSH determination supernatant was used directly in 200  $\mu$ l of total volume reaction as described in (Griffith 1980).

### Results

### Rehydration nutrient effect on wine volatile composition

To assess the effect of rehydration nutrients on fermentation derived aroma compounds we fermented grape juice using ADY rehydrated in either water or a commercially available rehydration nutrient mixture. Rehydration nutrient mix was prepared from inactivated yeast and contained an organic nitrogen source (mostly as amino acids) in addition to other yeast constituents including vitamins and lipids. As an additional point of



reference we included inorganic nitrogen in the form of DAP added directly to the fermentation media. DAP addition to the fermentation media is a common practice among winemakers and its effects on wine aroma composition have been studied widely (Bell and Henschke 2005). Resultant wines were analysed for volatile chemical composition (Figure 1). The concentration of the polyfunctional thiols 3MH and 3MHA increased

with the addition of rehydration nutrient while the concentration of hydrogen sulfide was significantly decreased. Other sulfur compounds including 4MMP were not affected by addition of nutrients to the rehydration media and we did not observe an effect on production of esters, higher alcohols and acids (p > 0.05) (Additional file 1). Rehydration nutrient supplementation also had no effect on growth rate or fermentation kinetics (data not shown). Addition of DAP stimulated growth and fermentation rates and resulted in an increased concentration of the polyfunctional thiol 4MMP (Figure 1) and acetate esters (Additional file 1), while the concentration of higher alcohols was decreased (Additional file 1). Further characterisation of the effect of rehydration nutrients on the formation of volatile sulfur compounds was obtained by monitoring H<sub>2</sub>S production throughout fermentation. Addition of rehydration nutrients resulted in an earlier onset and increased initial production of H<sub>2</sub>S while DAP addition delayed the liberation of H<sub>2</sub>S (Figure 1c). To test whether the rehydration nutrient effect could be attributed to YAN availability we compared the fermentation YAN concentration following ADY rehydration with either water or nutrient supplementation. As shown in Figure 1d, both treatments exhibited the same YAN consumption rate. Therefore, the increased initial production of H<sub>2</sub>S was not correlated with available nitrogen concentration during fermentation.

# Rehydration nutrient effect on gene expression profile

To gain insight into how rehydration nutrients affect H<sub>2</sub>S formation we performed a global transcription analysis for each of the treatments. RNA was extracted from yeast samples taken after consumption of approximately 15 g/L of sugar from the grape juice. This sampling time corresponded with the initial increase in  $H_2S$ due to addition of rehydration nutrient (Figure 1c). Overall analysis of the data revealed two principal components explaining 73% of the variation in gene expression (Figure 2a). This distribution is indicative that DAP and the rehydration nutrient mix had distinct effects upon the transcriptome. Classification of the genes to MIPS functional categories (Robinson et al. 2002) revealed that both treatments affected the same groups of genes, therefore the variation explained by the PC analysis was due to differential effects upon the same metabolic pathways (Figure 2b).

Addition of the rehydration nutrient mix downregulated the expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis of different amino acids and vitamin/cofactor transport (Figure 2b), consistent with its composition in these nutrients. Interestingly, amongst the downregulated genes were those involved in H<sub>2</sub>S production through the biosynthesis of the sulfur-containing amino acids and the sulfate assimilation pathway (Figure 2c). Addition of DAP, on the other hand, upregulated approximately 67% of the genes involved in sulfate assimilation and the synthesis of the sulfur-containing amino acids (Figure 2c). This appears to conflict with our phenotypic observations at the sampling point where the addition of rehydration nutrients induced the formation of H<sub>2</sub>S while the addition of DAP delayed it (Figure 1c). Nonetheless, these results support our previous hypothesis of distinct effects for each of the treatments and further suggest the presence of an additional nutrient factor regulating the formation of  $H_2S$ .

Confirmation of the microarray results was obtained by an independent transcription analysis using qRT-PCR for samples taken at the same point in time used for the microarray analysis. GPM1 and TDH3 were selected as reference genes based on data obtained from the microarray analyses where both genes were shown to have high expression values and minimal variation between the different treatments. Genes related to sulfur metabolism that exhibited different trends of expressions between the treatments were chosen for validation (genes and primers are listed in Table 1). Consistent with transcriptomic data, GPM1 and TDH3 transcript levels were similar for all treatments. OPT1 was upregulated by 1.75 fold with the addition of rehydration nutrient mix and downregulated by 11 fold following DAP addition. MET10 was downregulated under all nutrient treatments and IRC7 was downregulated by 4.2 fold with the addition of DAP, consistent with its regulation by nitrogen catabolite repression (Scherens et al. 2006,; Thibon et al. 2008) (Figure 3).

## Nutrient regulation of H<sub>2</sub>S formation

Aside from being affected by the general YAN concentration of the media,  $H_2S$  formation is regulated by the presence of specific amino acids (Duan et al. 2004,; Jiranek et al. 1995,; Li et al. 2009). We therefore evaluated whether the source for the initial increase in  $H_2S$  production, which was observed following rehydration with nutrients, was the amino acid component of the mixture (detailed in Table 2). Rehydration in a solution containing an amino acid composition equivalent to the nutrient mix did not significantly affect the kinetics of  $H_2S$ formation (Figure 4a). This result suggests that amino acids were not responsible for altered  $H_2S$  formation kinetics following rehydration nutrient supplementation.

Another nutrient that is a potential source for  $H_2S$  formation is the tripeptide glutathione (GSH) (Hallinan et al. 1999,; Rauhut 2008,; Sohn and Kuriyama 2001,; Vos and Gray 1979,), which can also serve as a source of organic nitrogen (Mehdi and Penninckx 1997). Analysis of the rehydration nutrient mixture revealed it contained a concentration of 500 mg/L glutathione equivalent (GSH + GSSG). Furthermore, GSH cellular content of ADY following rehydration with the nutrient mixture was ca. 1.8 fold higher than those rehydrated with water (Figure 4b). Addition of GSH as a sole nutrient during rehydration led to a significant change in  $H_2S$  formation kinetics and a higher cumulative concentration of  $H_2S$  produced during fermentation (Figure 4c). This confirms that GSH, taken up during

Figure 2 Effect of rehydration nutrient and nitrogen supplementation upon the transcriptome. (A) Biplot of a principal component analysis performed on the interaction between the factor gene and treatment. All 10,928 probe sets from the datasets were used in the analysis. (B) Classification of the genes affected by the rehydration nutrient addition to MIPS functional categories. Bars represent percentage of affected genes out of total genes in category. (C) Schematic representation of the sulfur metabolism pathway and its regulation by the two nutrient treatments (N- rehydration nutrient addition, D- DAP addition) in comparison to the control treatment.



Control

DAP

Rehydration nutrients

Winter et al. AMB Express 2011, 1:36 http://www.amb-express.com/content/1/1/36

> Α 35 25

> > 16

PCA MAPPING 73%



Table 2 Rehydration nutrient mix amino acidcomposition

	Concentration at the rehydration media (mg/L)	Concentration at the fermentation media (mg/L)
Alanine	482.7	1.20675
Arginine	154.3	0.38575
Asparagine	122.6	0.3065
Aspartic Acid	113.7	0.28425
Citrulline + Serine	101.8	0.2545
Cystine	Not Detected	
Gamma Amino Butyric Acid	149.7	0.37425
Glutamic Acid	1218.6	3.0465
Glutamine	1593.6	3.984
Glycine	143.0	0.3575
Histidine	Not Detected	
Hydroxyproline	3.6	0.009
Isoleucine	92.2	0.2305
Leucine	135.2	0.338
Lysine	94.6	0.2365
Methionine	23.9	0.05975
Ornithine	198.8	0.497
Phenylalanine	88.4	0.221
Proline	209.3	0.52325
Threonine	73.2	0.183
Tryptophan	23.5	0.05875
Tyrosine	53.1	0.13275
Valine	186.3	0.46575
*'Glutathione equivalent (GSH +GSSG)	516	1.29

rehydration, acts as a modulator of  $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{S}$  production during fermentation.

# Discussion

Supplementation of ADY rehydration mixture with nutrients has become a common practice amongst winemakers because it generally improves yeast fermentation performance in suboptimal juices. In this study we compared the volatile composition of wines prepared from a low YAN juice by fermentation with ADY rehydrated with either a commercially available rehydration nutrient mixture or water. We found that the presence of rehydration nutrients affected the concentration of volatile sulfur compounds produced during fermentation (Figure 1) and the regulation of genes involved in sulfur metabolism (Figure 3). Importantly, the sheer nutrient contribution of the rehydration mix that was added with the ADY at inoculation did not have an effect on the wine volatile composition (data not shown).

Sulfur compounds exert a strong influence on wine aroma, due to their low detection threshold. These compounds can be classified into two groups based on their contribution to the sensorial properties of wine. Amongst the positive contributors are the polyfunctional thiols, imparting fruity aroma to wine when present at moderate concentrations (Dubourdieu et al. 2006,). 3MH, its acetylated derivative 3MHA, and 4MMP are present in grapes in their precursor form, conjugated to cysteine or glutathione (Capone et al. 2010,; Peyrot des Gachons et al. 2002,; Tominaga et al. 1998,). During fermentation yeast take up these precursors and cleave them to release free volatile thiols into the media (Grant-Preece et al. 2010,; Swiegers et al. 2007,; Winter et al. 2011,). This process is affected by environmental conditions such as temperature and media composition (Masneuf-Pomarède et al. 2006,; Subileau et al. 2008,). Concentration of polyfunctional thiols in wine depends on the amount of precursor cleaved during fermentation and the resultant wine composition (Dubourdieu et al. 2006,; Ugliano et al. 2011). In this study 3MH and 3MHA concentrations were increased with the addition of rehydration nutrients (Figure 1). Unlike 3MH and 3MHA, the concentration of 4MMP was not affected by the addition of nutrients at rehydration, while it significantly increased in fermentations where DAP was added. This result suggests that bioconversion of each thiol precursor may be driven by different regulatory mechanisms. Recently, a gene encoding a  $\beta$ -lyase enzyme, IRC7, was found to be the key determinant of 4MMP release. 3MH release, on the other hand, appears to be mediated by more than one gene (Roncoroni et al. 2011,; Thibon et al. 2008), therefore it is reasonable to speculate that the treatments in our study have differentially regulated release of these thiols. Interestingly,



while our transcription analyses were consistent with previous studies showing the downregulation of *IRC7* by the nitrogen catabolite repression (NCR) pathway, we observed an increased concentration of 4MMP in response to DAP addition. We cannot rule out that *IRC7* expression may have changed throughout the fermentation; nonetheless our results support the notion that thiol release is a complex process involving multiple enzymes.

Aside from bioconversion of precursors, thiols concentration in wine is highly affected by wine composition (Dubourdieu et al. 2006,; Ugliano et al. 2011). Nutrients addition to the fermentation may have altered the final wine composition in a manner affecting thiol stability. In that case, the chemical difference between 3MH and 4MMP would account for their distinctive responses to each nutrient treatment.

A second class of sulfur compounds include those that impart unwanted odours and contribute negatively to wine quality (Swiegers and Pretorius 2007). An important compound of that group is  $H_2S$ , which imparts a rotten egg aroma. H<sub>2</sub>S presence in wine is regarded as a sensory fault. Although the subject of H<sub>2</sub>S formation during fermentation is well studied, the factors leading to residual H<sub>2</sub>S in the final wine remain to be elucidated. Previous studies have pointed out a link between the kinetics of H<sub>2</sub>S formation during fermentation and amount of residual H<sub>2</sub>S in wine (Jiranek et al. 1996,; Ugliano et al. 2009,; Ugliano et al. 2010). In this study we found the supplementation of rehydration nutrients decreases the amount of residual H<sub>2</sub>S and affects H<sub>2</sub>S kinetics during fermentation. We can speculate that the decreased residual H<sub>2</sub>S in the final wine may be due to this altered H<sub>2</sub>S production kinetics, still, further study is needed in order to link between the two effects and to understand the factors affecting H<sub>2</sub>S during fermentation.

 $H_2S$  is formed during fermentation as an intermediate in the biosynthesis of the sulfur-containing amino acids (pathway is illustrated in Figure 2c). This pathway involves reduction of sulfate; the most abundant sulfur source in grape must, into sulfide through the sulfate assimilation pathway and incorporation of sulfide into an amino acid precursor. Insufficient amounts of the amino acid precursor lead to accumulation and liberation of  $H_2S$  into the media. As precursor availability derives from nitrogen metabolism, YAN concentration of the media is regarded as a key regulator of  $H_2S$  formation (Jiranek et al. 1995).

When hydrogen sulfide formation was monitored during fermentation, we observed non-nitrogen mediated effect on  $H_2S$  kinetics following rehydration nutrient supplementation (Figure 1d). This suggests that nitrogen deficiency is not the sole regulator of  $H_2S$  production, in agreement with recent studies (Linderholm et al. 2008,; Moreira et al. 2002,; Ugliano et al. 2010), and that other nutrients may be involved. Subsequent transcription analyses supported this observation and demonstrated that regulation of H<sub>2</sub>S formation by rehydration nutrients did not involve the sulfate assimilation pathway (Figure 2c) because this pathway was downregulated in response to rehydration nutrient supplementation. On the contrary, the same pathway was upregulated following DAP addition to the fermentation medium, in accordance with previous results in the literature (Marks et al. 2003,; Mendes-Ferreira et al. 2010). Together, our results suggest that H<sub>2</sub>S produced under these conditions was formed via an alternative biochemical route. A potential activator of that route would be the tri-peptide glutathione, which was previously implicated as a source for H<sub>2</sub>S (Rauhut 2008,; Vos and Gray 1979). The nutrient mixture contained a considerable component of GSH that was taken up by yeasts during rehydration (Figure 4b) and we also observed an upregulation of genes involved in GSH metabolism following rehydration with nutrients (Figure 3c). Supplementation of the rehydration medium with GSH altered H<sub>2</sub>S kinetics during fermentation (Figure 4c). Interestingly, other components of the commercial rehydration nutrient studied had a significant effect on yeast metabolic responses to GSH supplementation during this process. When GSH was added as a component of the rehydration nutrient mix, changes in H<sub>2</sub>S kinetics occurred during the early stage of fermentation but did not affect the final cumulative amount of H<sub>2</sub>S produced during fermentation (Figure 1c). On the other hand, rehydration in the presence of GSH alone resulted in a change in H<sub>2</sub>S kinetics throughout the fermentation process and led to a higher cumulative production of H<sub>2</sub>S. This difference may be associated with differences in the uptake of GSH from each medium, or reactivity of GSH with other substances of the rehydration nutrient mixture. Nonetheless, these experiments are first to demonstrate a clear effect of GSH supplementation at rehydration on the kinetics of H<sub>2</sub>S formation during fermentation. It is worth noting in that regard that previous studies indicated the concentration of ~50 mg/L glutathione in the grape juice is required to detect H<sub>2</sub>S formation from GSH (Rauhut 2008). In this study the concentration of glutathione that was carried over from the rehydration media to the grape juice was less than 1  $\mu$ g/L, highlighting the importance of glutathione uptake during rehydration.

The mechanism of GSH contribution to  $H_2S$  formation during the wine fermentation has not been elucidated. GSH is composed of the three amino acids: glutamate-cysteine-glycine. As such it contains both nitrogen and sulfur constituents, which may regulate the formation of H<sub>2</sub>S in different manners. When organic nitrogen was added to the rehydration medium as an amino acid mixture we did not observe changes in H<sub>2</sub>S kinetics during fermentation (Figure 4a), suggesting that organic nitrogen by itself did not contribute to or regulate H<sub>2</sub>S formation, when added at rehydration. This result points to the sulfur constituent of GSH, cysteine, as a contributor to H<sub>2</sub>S formation. Direct production of H<sub>2</sub>S from cysteine has been demonstrated previously for S. cerevisiae (Jiranek et al. 1995,; Rauhut 2008,; Tokuyama et al. 1973). Accordingly, the mechanism suggested here for H<sub>2</sub>S production from GSH requires GSH degradation to the individual constituent amino acids, followed by degradation of cysteine to H<sub>2</sub>S by an enzyme having a cysteine desulfuhydrase activity (EC 4.4.1.15, EC 4.4.1.1). This mechanism is in accordance with our phenotypic and transcriptomic results as it describes non-nitrogen mediated regulation on H<sub>2</sub>S formation, which is not via the sulfate assimilation pathwayIn conclusion, as wine quality can be greatly affected by the composition of sulfur compounds, this study demonstrates a potential approach for sulfur aroma management by optimising yeast rehydration conditions and providing nutrients at rehydration.

# **Additional material**

Additional file 1: Concentration of wine acids, acetate esters and higher alcohol following nutrient supplementation. Concentration of acids, acetate esters and volatile alcohols followingthe two nutrient treatments, addition of rehydration nutrients to the rehydration media and addition of DAP to the fermentation media.

### Acknowledgements

We thank Laffort Australia and in particular Dr. Tertius Van der Westhuizen for continued support and valuable input. We thank Prof. Sakkie Pretorius and other colleagues at the Australian Wine Research Institute for useful discussions. Kevin Pardon is acknowledged for thiols precursor synthesis. The research was supported by an Industry Partnership grant of the University of Western Sydney. Research at The Australian Wine Research Institute is supported by Australia's grapegrowers and winemakers through their investment agency the Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation, with matching funds from the Australian Government. The Australian Wine Research Institute is a member of the Wine Innovation Cluster.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, College of Health and Science, University of Western Sydney, NSW, Australia <sup>2</sup>The Australian Wine Research Institute, P.O. Box 197, Glen Osmond, Adelaide, SA 5064, Australia <sup>3</sup>Ramaciotti Centre for Gene Function Analysis, School of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, University of New South Wales, NSW, Australia <sup>4</sup>Nomacorc SA, 2260 route du Grès, 84100 Orange, France

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 5 October 2011 Accepted: 2 November 2011 Published: 2 November 2011

### References

- Alic N, Felder T, Temple MD, Gloeckner C, Higgins VJ, Briza P, Dawes IW (2004) Genome-wide transcriptional responses to a lipid hydroperoxide: adaptation occurs without induction of oxidant defenses. Free Radic Biol Med 37:23–35. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2004.04.014.
- Bell SJ, Henschke PA (2005) Implications of nitrogen nutrition for grapes, fermentation and wine. Aust J Grape Wine Res 11:242–295. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0238.2005.tb00028.x.
- Blateyron L, Sablayrolles JM (2001) Stuck and slow fermentations in enology: statistical study of causes and effectiveness of combined additions of oxygen and diammonium phosphate. J Biosci Bioeng 91:184–189. doi:10.1263/ jbb.91.184.
- Capone DL, Sefton MA, Hayasaka Y, Jeffery DW (2010) Simple and rapid analysis of precursors to wine odorant 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol using HPLC-MS/MS resolution and quantitation of diastereomers of 3-S-cysteinylhexan-1-ol and 3-S-glutathionylhexan-1-ol. J Agric Food Chem 58:1390–1395. doi:10.1021/ jf903720w.
- du Toit WJ, Lisjak K, Stander M, Prevoo D (2007) Using LC-MSMS to assess glutathione levels in South African white grape juices and wines made with different levels of oxygen. J Agric Food Chem 55:2765–2769. doi:10.1021/ jf062804p.
- Duan W, Higgins VJ, Rogers PJ (2004) A parallel analysis of  $H_2S$  and  $SO_2$  formation by brewing yeast in response to sulfur-containing amino acids and ammonium ions. J Am Soc Brew Chem 62:35–41
- Dubourdieu D, Tominaga T, Masneuf I, Peyrot des Gachons C, Murat ML (2006) The role of yeasts in grape flavor development during fermentation: the example of Sauvignon blanc. Am J Enol Vitic 57:81–88
- Grant-Preece PA, Pardon KH, Capone DL, Cordente AG, Sefton MA, Jeffery DW, Elsey GM (2010) Synthesis of wine thiol conjugates and labeled analogues: fermentation of the glutathione conjugate of 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol yields the corresponding cysteine conjugate and free thiol. J Agric Food Chem 58:1383–1389. doi:10.1021/jf9037198.
- Griffith OW (1980) Determination of glutathione and glutathione disulfide using glutathione reductase and 2-vinylpyridine. Anal Biochem 106:207–212. doi:10.1016/0003-2697(80)90139-6.
- Hallinan CP, Saul DJ, Jiranek V (1999) Differential utilisation of sulfur compounds for H<sub>2</sub>S liberation by nitrogen-starved wine yeasts. Aust J Grape Wine Res 5:82–90. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0238.1999.tb00291.x.
- Henschke PA, Jiranek V (1993) Yeasts metabolism of nitrogen compounds. In: Fleet GH (ed) Wine microbiology and biotechnology Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur, Switzerland, pp 77–164
- Howell KS, Swiegers JH, Elsey GM, Siebert TE, Bartowsky EJ, Fleet GH, Pretorius IS, de Barros Lopes MA (2004) Variation in 4-mercapto-4-methyl-pentan-2-one release by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* commercial wine strains. FEMS Microbiol Lett 240:125–129. doi:10.1016/j.femsle.2004.09.022.
- Jiranek V, Langridge P, Henschke PA (1995) Regulation of hydrogen sulfide liberation in wine-producing Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains by assimilable nitrogen. Appl Environ Microbiol 61:461–467
- Jiranek V, Langridge P, Henschke PA (1996) Determination of sulphite reductase activity and its response to assimilable nitro- gen status in a commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeast. J Appl Bacteriol 81:329–336. doi:10.1111/ j.1365-2672.1996.tb04335.x.
- Korös Á, Varga Z, Molnár-Perl I (2008) Simultaneous analysis of amino acids and amines as their o-phthalaldehyde-ethanethiol-9-fluorenylmethyl chloroformate derivatives in cheese by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr A 1203:146–152. doi:10.1016/j. chroma.2008.07.035.
- Kraus JK, Scopp R, Chen SL (1981) Effect of rehydration on dry wine yeast activity. Am J Enol Vitic 32:132–134
- Li X, Bazer F, Gao H, Jobgen W, Johnson G, Li P, McKnight J, Satterfield M, Spencer T, Wu G (2009) Amino acids and gaseous signaling. Amino Acids 37:65–78. doi:10.1007/s00726-009-0264-5.
- Linderholm AL, Findleton CL, Kumar G, Hong Y, Bisson LF (2008) Identification of genes affecting hydrogen sulfide formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:1418–1427. doi:10.1128/AEM.01758-07.
- Luisier JL, Buettner H, Vol<sup>^</sup>lker S, Rausis T, Frey U (2008) Quantification of cysteine S-Conjugate of 3-Sulfanylhexan-1-ol in must and wine of Petite Arvine vine by stable isotope dilution analysis. J Agric Food Chem 56:2883–2887. doi:10.1021/jf0729630.

- Marks VD, van der Merwe GK, van Vuuren HJJ (2003) Transcriptional profiling of wine yeast in fermenting grape juice: regulatory effect of diammonium phosphate. FEMS Yeast Res 3:269–287. doi:10.1016/S1567-1356(02)00201-5.
- Masneuf-Pomarède I, Mansour C, Murat M-L, Tominaga T, Dubourdieu D (2006) Influence of fermentation temperature on volatile thiols concentrations in Sauvignon blanc wines. Int J Food Microbiol 108:385–390
- Mehdi K, Penninckx MJ (1997) An important role for glutathione and βglutamyltranspeptidase in the supply of growth requirements during nitrogen starvation of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol 143:1885–1889. doi:10.1099/00221287-143-6-1885.
- Mendes-Ferreira A, Barbosa C, Falco V, Leão C, Mendes-Faia A (2009) The production of hydrogen sulphide and other aroma compounds by wine strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in synthetic media with different nitrogen concentrations. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 36:571–583. doi:10.1007/s10295-009-0527-x.
- Mendes-Ferreira A, Barbosa C, Jimenez-Marti E, Del Olmo M, Mendes-Faia A (2010) The wine yeast strain-dependent expression of genes implicated in sulfide production in response to nitrogen availability. J Microbiol Biotechnol 20:1314–1321. doi:10.4014/jmb.1003.03039.
- Moreira N, Mendes F, Pereira O, Guedes de Pinho P, Hogg T, Vasconcelos I (2002) Volatile sulphur compounds in wines related to yeast metabolism and nitrogen composition of grape musts. Anal Chim Acta 458:157–167. doi:10.1016/S0003-2670(01)01618-X.
- Pardon KH, Graney SD, Capone DL, Swiegers JH, Sefton MA, Elsey GM (2008) Synthesis of the individual diastereomers of the cysteine conjugate of 3mercaptohexanol (3-MH). J Agric Food Chem 56:3758–3763. doi:10.1021/ jf8000444.
- Peyrot des Gachons C, Tominaga T, Dubourdieu D (2002) Sulfur aroma precursor present in S-glutathione conjugate form: identification of S-3-(Hexan-1-ol)-glutathione in must from Vitis vinifera L. cv. Sauvignon blanc. J Agric Food Chem 50:4076–4079. doi:10.1021/jf020002y.
- Popovici V, Goldstein D, Antonov J, Jaggi R, Delorenzi M, Wirapati P (2009) Selecting control genes for RT-QPCR using public microarray data. BMC Bioinformatics 10:42. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-42.
- Pozo-Bayón M, Andújar-Ortiz I, Moreno-Arribas MV (2009) Scientific evidences beyond the application of inactive dry yeast preparations in winemaking. Food Res Int 42:754–761. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2009.03.004.
- Rapoport AI, Khroustalyova GM, Kuklina EN (1997) Anhydrobiosis in yeast: activation effect. Braz J Med Biol Res 36:9–13
- Rauhut D (1993) Yeast- production of sulfur compounds. In: Fleet GH (ed) Wine microbiology and biotechnology Harwood academic publishers, pp 187–192
- Rauhut D (2008) Usage and formation of sulphur compounds. In: König H, Unden G, Fröhlich J (ed) Biology of microorganisms on grapes, in must and in wine Springer, Mainz, pp 181–209
- Robinson M, Grigull J, Mohammad N, Hughes T (2002) FunSpec: a web-based cluster interpreter for yeast. BMC Bioinformatics 3:35. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-3-35.
- Rodríguez-Porrata B, Novo M, Guillamón J, Rozès N, Mas A, Otero RC (2008) Vitality enhancement of the rehydrated active dry wine yeast. Int J Food Microbiol 126:116–122. doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.05.016.
- Roncoroni M, Santiago M, Hooks DO, Moroney S, Harsch MJ, Lee SA, Richards KD, Nicolau L, Gardner RC (2011) The yeast IRC7 gene encodes a [beta]-lyase responsible for production of the varietal thiol 4-mercapto-4-methylpentan-2one in wine. Food Microbiol 28:926–935. doi:10.1016/j.fm.2011.01.002.
- Sablayrolles JM, Dubois C, Manginot C, Roustan JL, Barre P (1996) Effectiveness of combined ammoniacal nitrogen and oxygen additions for completion of sluggish and stuck wine fermentations. J Ferment Bioeng 82:377–381. doi:10.1016/0922-338X(96)89154-9.
- Scherens B, Feller A, Vierendeels F, Messenguy F, Dubois E (2006) Identification of direct and indirect targets of the GIn3 and Gat1 activators by transcriptional profiling in response to nitrogen availability in the short and long term. FEMS Yeast Res 6:777–791. doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2006.00060.x.
- Schmidt S, Dillon S, Kolouchova R, Henschke P, Chambers P (2011) Impacts of variations in elemental nutrient concentration of Chardonnay musts on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fermentation kinetics and wine composition. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 91:365–375. doi:10.1007/s00253-011-3197-3.
- Siebert TE, Solomon MR, Pollnitz AP, Jeffery DW (2010) Selective determination of volatile sulfur compounds in wine by gas chromatography with sulfur chemiluminescence detection. J Agric Food Chem 58:9454–9462. doi:10.1021/jf102008r.
- Sohn HY, Kuriyama H (2001) The role of amino acids in the regulation of hydrogen sulfide production during ultradian respiratory oscillation of

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Arch Microbiol 176:69–78. doi:10.1007/ s002030100295.

- Soubeyrand V, Luparia V, Williams P, Doco T, Vernhet A, Ortiz Julien A, Salmon J-M (2005) Formation of micella containing solubilized sterols during rehydration of active dry yeasts improves their fermenting capacity. J Agric Food Chem 53:8025–8032. doi:10.1021/jf050907m.
- Subileau M, Schneider R, Salmon JM, Degryse E (2008) Nitrogen catabolite repression modulates the production of aromatic thiols characteristic of Sauvignon blanc at the level of precursor transport. FEMS Yeast Res 8:771–780. doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00400.x.
- Swiegers JH, Capone DL, Pardon KH, Elsey GM, Sefton MA, Francis IL, Pretorius IS (2007) Engineering volatile thiol release in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for improved wine aroma. Yeast 24:561–574. doi:10.1002/yea.1493.
- Swiegers JH, Pretorius IS (2007) Modulation of volatile sulfur compounds by wine yeast. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 74:954–960. doi:10.1007/s00253-006-0828-1.
- Thibon C, Marullo P, Claisse O, Cullin C, Dubourdieu D, Tominaga T (2008) Nitrogen catabolic repression controls the release of volatile thiols by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during wine fermentation. FEMS Yeast Res 8:1076–1086. doi:10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00381.x.
- Tokuyama T, Kuraishi KA, Uemura T (1973) Hydrogen sulfide evolution due to a pantothenic acid deficiency in the yeast requiring this vitamin, with special reference to the effect of adenosine triphosphate on yeast cysteine desulfhydrase. J Gen Appl Microbiol 19:439–466. doi:10.2323/jgam.19.439.
- Tominaga T, Baltenweck-Guyot R, Peyrot des Gachons C, Dubourdieu D (2000) Contribution of volatile thiols to the aromas of white wines made from several Vitis vinifera grape varieties. Am J Enol Vitic 51:178–181
- Tominaga T, Peyrot des Gachons C, Dubourdieu D (1998) A new type of flavor precursors in Vitis vinifera L. cv. Sauvignon blanc: S-cysteine conjugates. J Agric Food Chem 46:5215–5219. doi:10.1021/jf980481u.
- Torrea D, Varela C, Ugliano M, Ancin-Azpilicueta C, Leigh Francis I, Henschke PA (2011) Comparison of inorganic and organic nitrogen supplementation of grape juice Effect on volatile composition and aroma profile of a Chardonnay wine fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast. Food Chem 127:1072–1083. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.01.092.
- Ugliano M, Fedrizzi B, Siebert T, Travis B, Magno F, Versini G, Henschke PA (2009) Effect of nitrogen supplementation and *Saccharomyces* species on hydrogen sulfide and other volatile sulfur compounds in Shiraz fermentation and wine. J Agric Food Chem 57:4948–4955. doi:10.1021/jf8037693.
- Ugliano M, Henschke PA (2010) Comparison of three methods for accurate quantification of hydrogen sulfide during fermentation. Anal Chim Acta 660:87–91. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2009.09.049.
- Ugliano M, Kolouchova R, Henschke P (2010) Occurrence of hydrogen sulfide in wine and in fermentation: influence of yeast strain and supplementation of yeast available nitrogen. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 38:423–429
- Ugliano M, Kwiatkowski M, Vidal Sp, Capone D, Siebert T, Dieval J-B, Aagaard O, Waters EJ (2011) Evolution of 3-mercaptohexanol, hydrogen sulfide, and methyl mercaptan during bottle storage of Sauvignon blanc wines. Effect of glutathione, copper, oxygen exposure, and closure-derived oxygen. J Agric Food Chem 59:2564–2572. doi:10.1021/jf1043585.
- Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De Paepe A, Speleman F (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. Genome Biol 3:research0034.1–research0034.11. doi:10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034.
- Vilanova M, Ugliano M, Varela C, Siebert T, Pretorius I, Henschke P (2007) Assimilable nitrogen utilisation and production of volatile and non-volatile compounds in chemically defined medium by Saccharomyces cerevisiae wine yeasts. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 77:145–157. doi:10.1007/s00253-007-1145-z.
- Vos PJA, Gray RS (1979) The origin and control of hydrogen sulfide during fermentation of grape must. Am J Enol Vitic 30:187–197
- Winter G, Van Der Westhuizen T, Higgins VJ, Curtin C, Ugliano M (2011) Contribution of cysteine and glutathione conjugates to the formation of the volatile thiols 3-mercaptohexan-1-ol (3MH) and 3-mercaptohexyl acetate (3MHA) during fermentation by Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Aust J Grape Wine Res 17:285–290. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0238.2011.00127.x.
- Wong ML, Medrano JF (2005) Real-time PCR for mRNA quantitation. Biotechniques 39:75–85. doi:10.2144/05391RV01.

### doi:10.1186/2191-0855-1-36

Cite this article as: Winter *et al.*: Effects of rehydration nutrients on  $H_2S$  metabolism and formation of volatile sulfur compounds by the wine yeast VL3. *AMB Express* 2011 1:36.