RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 overexpression associates with increased exacerbation risk, severity, and inflammation, as well as decreased lung function through the interaction with microRNA-124 in asthma

Xueying Li | Shenglan Ye | Yang Lu 🗈

Department of respiratory and critical care medicine, The Central Hospital of Wuhan, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Correspondence

Yang Lu, Department of respiratory and critical care medicine, The Central Hospital of Wuhan, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, 26 Shengli Street, Jiang'an District, Wuhan 430014, China. Email: liaoqie979856@163.com

Funding information

Clinical Observation of Specific Immunotherapy Combined with Inhalation of Budesonide/Formoterol Aerosol in the Treatment of Respiratory Allergic Diseases, Grant/Award Number: WX17D06

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to explore the association of *long non-coding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (IncRNA NEAT1)* with exacerbation risk, lung function, and inflammatory cytokines in asthma.

Methods: A total of 170 patients with asthma in exacerbation, 170 patients with asthma in remission, and 170 healthy controls (HCs) were enrolled, and their plasma samples were collected. The expressions of IncRNA NEAT1 and microRNA-124 (miRNA-124) in plasma were detected by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction; inflammatory cytokines in plasma were measured by the Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA); and pulmonary ventilation function was detected by examination of forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC). Results: LncRNA NEAT1 expression was upregulated in asthma patients in exacerbation compared with HCs and asthma patients in remission, and receiver operating characteristic curve exhibited that it was of good value in distinguishing asthma patients in exacerbation from HCs (AUC: 0.869 (0.830-0.908)) and asthma patients in remission (AUC: 0.775 (0.724-0.825)). Furthermore, IncRNA NEAT1 was positively correlated with exacerbation severity, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-17, but negatively correlated with IL-10, FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁%predicted in asthma patients. Additionally, IncRNA NEAT1 was negatively correlated with miR-124, and miR-124 was negatively associated with exacerbation risk, exacerbation severity, and inflammation, but positively associated with lung function in asthma patients.

Conclusion: *Circulating IncRNA NEAT1* exhibits potential to be a new biomarker for elevated exacerbation risk and severity of asthma.

KEYWORDS

asthma, exacerbation, IncRNA NEAT1, miR-124

Xueying Li and Shenglan Ye contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2019 The Authors. *Journal of Clinical Laboratory Analysis* Published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Asthma, a highly prevalent chronic respiratory disease, affects approximately three hundred million people globally.¹ Clinically, asthma is characterized by reversible airway obstruction, airway hyper-responsiveness, and airway inflammation-related symptoms, such as breathlessness, chest tightness, and dyspnea.² Asthma exacerbations are acute episodes of asthma symptoms, defined as decreases in expiratory airflows and objective measures of lung function. Once severe exacerbation occurs, patients experience decline of lung function as well as severe airflow obstruction, which contributes to more frequent severe exacerbation in the future.^{3,4} Current effective pharmacologic interventions for reducing the asthma symptoms consist of inhaled corticosteroids, long-acting beta-agonists, leukotriene modifiers, and anti-IgE therapy; however, still a large number of asthmatics experience exacerbations despite guideline-directed treatment.⁵⁻⁷ Therefore, discovery of potential biomarkers is necessary to identify the risk of asthma exacerbation, which would improve disease control and optimizes the treatment outcomes.

Recently, increasing evidence demonstrates the implication of long non-coding RNA (IncRNA) in the onset and development of respiratory diseases and inflammation-related diseases.^{8,9} LncRNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1 (NEAT1) is encoded by the NEAT1 gene and promotes the activation of several inflammasomes in diverse diseases, including sepsis, colitis, and lupus.¹⁰⁻¹² Furthermore, one study elucidates that IncRNA NEAT1 promotes myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury via increasing reactive oxygen species (ROS) level, and ROS is an effective predictor for severe exacerbations of asthma; thus, IncRNA NEAT1 is speculated to be involved in the pathology of asthma exacerbation as well.¹³ Additionally, microRNAs (miRNAs) are a group of small non-coding RNAs, and existing studies confirm the interactions between IncRNA NEAT1 and miR-124 through a competing endogenous RNA (ceRNA) regulatory network.¹⁴ And mechanically, *miR*-124 contributes to development and maintenance of anti-inflammatory phenotype in lung macrophages of asthma.¹⁵ Considering the previous evidence, we hypothesized that IncRNA NEAT1 might play an important role in the development and exacerbation of asthma, while there is still no related research reported currently. Thus, we conducted this study to explore the association of circulating IncRNA NEAT1 expression with exacerbation risk, lung function, and inflammatory cytokines in asthma.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Participants

From January 2017 to December 2018, 170 patients with asthma in exacerbation, 170 patients with asthma in remission, and 170 healthy controls (HCs) were enrolled at our hospital in this case-control study. The inclusion criteria for patients with asthma in exacerbation were as follows: (a) confirmed diagnosis of asthma in accordance with the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guideline (2016)¹⁶; (b) presenting with an acute worsening in symptoms including breathlessness,

chest tightness, dyspnea, and increased cough; and (c) age more than 18 years. And the following patients were excluded: (a) cardiac asthma, bronchogenic carcinoma, endometrial lesions of trachea, or allergic pulmonary infiltration; (b) suffering from autoimmune disorders, hematological diseases, or serious infections; (c) complicated with malignancies or solid tumors; and (d) pregnant or lactating women. The inclusion criteria for patients with asthma in remission were as follows: (a) confirmed diagnosis of asthma in accordance with the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guideline (2016)¹⁶; (b) presenting with clinical remission status, which was defined as after treatment or without treatment, the symptoms and signs disappeared, and the pulmonary function recovered to the pre-acute level and maintained for at least 3 months; and (c) age more than 18 years. And the exclusion criteria for patients with asthma in remission were as same as the patients with asthma in exacerbation, including (a) cardiac asthma, bronchogenic carcinoma, endometrial lesions of trachea, or allergic pulmonary infiltration, (b) suffering from autoimmune disorders, hematological diseases, or serious infections, (c) complicated with malignancies or solid tumors, and (d) pregnant or lactating women. In addition, HCs were recruited from Health Examination Center of our hospital, when they were undergoing health examination at the same period. All of them were presenting with normal lung function and had no history of asthma, allergic diseases, autoimmune disorders, hematological diseases, serious infections, or malignancies.

2.2 | Ethics statement

The Institutional Review Board of our hospital approved this study, and all participants provided written informed consents before enrollment.

2.3 | Data collection

After collection of written informed consents, basic characteristics of all participants were recorded including age, gender, family history of asthma, and the biochemical index (immune globulin E (IgE)). And the measurement of pulmonary ventilation function was also performed for all participants, which included forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV₁) and forced vital capacity (FVC), and then, the values of FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁%predicted were calculated. Besides, the disease severity of the patients with asthma in exacerbation was evaluated according to The Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention (updated 2010) (www. ginasthma.org) (details shown in the Table S1) and documented on the hospital admission.

2.4 | Sample collection

On the enrollment, blood samples were collected from all eligible participants using vacuum blood collection tubes containing ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA). The collected samples were immediately centrifuged at 1600 g for 15 minutes (4°C) to obtain supernatant; then, the supernatant was centrifuged one more time at 16 000 g for 10 minutes (4°C) to isolate plasma. Finally, the isolated plasma was stored at -80° C until analysis.

2.5 | Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR)

The expression of IncRNA NEAT1 and miR-124 (which was miR-124-5p in detail with accession number MIMAT0004591) in the plasma of participants was detected by the RT-qPCR. The total RNA was extracted from plasma using TRIzol[™] Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and then reversely transcribed to cDNA using PrimeScript[™] RT reagent Kit (Perfect Real Time) (Takara). Following that, RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR[®] Premix DimerEraser[™] (Takara) to quantify IncRNA NEAT1 (GAPDH as internal reference) and miR-124 expressions (U6 as internal reference). The procedures were carried out as follows: first, 5 minutes at 95 degrees centigrade, 40 cycles of PCR then followed by standard conditions with 15 seconds denaturation at 95 degrees centigrade, and next elongation for 1 minute at 60 degrees centigrade. And the result was calculated using $2^{-\triangle \triangle Ct}$ method. Primers were as follows: IncRNA NEAT1, forward (5'->3'): TGTCCCTCGGCTATGTCAGA, reverse (5'->3'): GAGGGGACGTGTTTCCTGAG; miR-124, forward (5'->3'): ACACTCCAGCTGGGCGTGTTCACAGCGGACCT, reverse (5'->3'): TGTCGTGGAGTCGGCAATTC; GAPDH, forward (5'->3'): GAGTCCACTGGCGTCTTCAC, reverse (5'->3'): ATCTTGAGGCTGTT GTCATACTTCT; U6, forward (5'->3'): CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACAT ATACTA, reverse (5'->3'): ACGAATTTGCGTGTCATCCTTGC.

2.6 | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

The inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-1 beta (IL-1 β), interleukin-10 (IL-10), and interleukin-17 (IL-17) in the plasma were determined by Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with ELISA kits, including Human TNF- α ELISA Kit (Thomas, KHC3014), Human IL-1_β ELISA Kit (Thomas, BMS224HS), Human IL-10 ELISA Kit (Abcam, ab100549), and Human IL-17 ELISA Kit (Abcam, ab83707), which were ready-to-use, according to the instructions of manufacturer. In brief, firstly, samples or standards were added to the 96-well plates, followed by the antibody mix. After incubation, the wells were washed to remove unbound material. TMB substrate (tetramethylbenzidine, TMB) was added, generating blue coloration. This reaction was then stopped by addition of Stop Solution completing any color change from blue to yellow. Signal was generated proportionally to the amount of bound analyte (Biotek), and the intensity was measured at 450 nm. Each reaction was run in triplicate by the same operator. Standard curves were prepared before the antibody reaction, and the standard curve range of Human TNF-α ELISA Kit (Thermo, KHC3014), Human IL-1β ELISA Kit (Thermo, BMS224HS), Human IL-10 ELISA Kit (Abcam, ab100549), and Human IL-17 ELISA Kit (Abcam, ab83707) were 0.5-32pg/mL, 0.16 -10 pg/mL, 2.34-150 pg/mL, and 3.12-100 pg/mL, respectively.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Statistical data processing was carried out using SPSS 20.0 software (IBM Corp.), and all figures were made by GraphPad Prism 7.01 software (GraphPad Software Inc). Data were described as the mean and standard deviation (SD), median and interquartile range (IQR), or number (percentage) according to the distribution of data. Difference among three groups was determined by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparison test or chi-square test. Correlation between variables was assessed by the Spearman's rank correlation test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the area under the curve (AUC), and 95% confidence interval (CI) were used to assess the performance of variables in distinguishing different subjects. All tests were presented as two-sided, and P value < .05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Baseline characteristics

The mean age was 37.6 ± 7.9 years of patients with asthma in exacerbation, 36.3 ± 8.0 years of patients with asthma in remission, and 36.4 ± 6.9 years of HCs (Table 1). There were 88 (51.8%) males and 82 (48.2%) females in patients with asthma in exacerbation, 99 (58.2%) males and 71 (41.8%) females in patients with asthma in remission, and 98 (57.6%) males and 72 (42.4%) females in the HCs. No difference of age or gender was observed among patients with asthma in exacerbation, patients with asthma in remission, and HCs (both P > .05). However, family history of asthma, IgE, lung function, and inflammatory cytokines were different among the three groups. The detailed information of participants' characteristics was listed in Table 1.

3.2 | Correlation of *IncRNA NEAT1* with exacerbation risk of asthma

The *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression was increased in patients with asthma in exacerbation compared with patients with asthma in remission (P < .001) and HCs (P < .001) (Figure 1A). And the *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression was increased in patients with asthma in remission compared with HCs (P < .001). ROC curve presented that *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression was of good value in distinguishing patients with asthma in exacerbation from HCs (AUC: 0.869 (0.830-0.908)) (Figure 1B) and patients with asthma in remission (AUC: 0.775 (0.724-0.825)) (Figure 1C). It could also distinguish patients with asthma in remission from HCs (AUC: 0.690)) (Figure 1D). These data indicated that *lncRNA NEAT1* could predict exacerbation risk of asthma.

4 of 9

Items	Asthma in exacerbation (N = 170)	Asthma in remission (N = 170)	HCs (N = 170)	P value
Age (y), mean ± SD	37.6 ± 7.9	36.3 ± 8.0	36.4 ± 6.9	.220
Gender, No. (%)				
Male	88 (51.8)	99 (58.2)	98 (57.6)	.414
Female	82 (48.2)	71 (41.8)	72 (42.4)	
Family history of asthma, No. (%)				
No	120 (70.6)	135 (79.4)	146 (85.9)	.003
Yes	50 (29.4)	35 (20.6)	24 (14.1)	
Biochemical indexes, median (IQR)				
IgE (IU/mL)	225.9 (147.1-342.9)	76.5 (52.9-120.5)	30.9 (16.4-47.0)	<.001
Lung function indexes, median (IQR)				
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	66.6 ± 6.6	80.1 ± 4.6	83.2 ± 4.7	<.001
FEV ₁ %predicted (%)	76.0 ± 5.8	84.6 ± 5.0	100.2 ± 6.9	<.001
Inflammatory cytokines, median (IQR)				
TNF-α (pg/mL)	50.9 (33.7-78.4)	20.46 (10.10-30.84)	12.97 (7.29-18.87)	<.001
IL-1β (pg/mL)	3.6 (2.1-4.8)	1.3 (0.6-2.1)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	<.001
IL-10 (pg/mL)	7.6 (6.7-10.6)	15.1 (10.6-24.4)	18.9 (13.3-29.1)	<.001
IL-17 (pg/mL)	42.4 (22.5-88.3)	16.0 (5.9-33.8)	10.4 (5.2-16.1)	<.001
Exacerbation severity, No. (%)				
Mild	42 (24.7)	-	-	-
Moderate	89 (52.4)	-	-	-
Severe	39 (22.9)	-	-	-
Medicine use within 3 mo, No. (%)				-
ICS	-	103 (60.6)	-	-
ICS + LTRA	-	38 (22.4)	-	-
ICS + β2-agonists	60 (35.3)	-	-	-
ICS + β 2-agonists + theophylline	36 (21.2)	-	-	-
ICS + β 2-agonists + anticholinergics	48 (28.2)	-	-	-
ICS + β2-agonists + anticholinergics +anti-IgE antibody)	26 (15.3)	-	-	-

Note: Comparisons were determined by one-way ANOVA, chi-square test, or Kruskal-Wallis H rank sum test.

Abbreviations: FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; HCs, healthy controls; ICS, inhaled corticosteroids; IgE, immune globulin E; IL, interleukin; IQR, interquartile range; LTRA, leukotriene receptor antagonists; SD, standard deviation; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

3.3 | Correlation of *IncRNA NEAT1* with exacerbation severity in asthma patients with exacerbation

The *lncRNA* NEAT1 relative expression was different among patients with asthma in mild (n = 42), moderate (n = 89), and severe (n = 39) exacerbation severity (P < .001) (Figure 2). The *lncRNA* NEAT1 relative expression was the highest in patients with severe exacerbation, followed by the patients with moderate exacerbation and the lowest in patients with mild exacerbation. These data suggested that *lncRNA* NEAT1 was positively correlated with exacerbation severity in patients with asthma in exacerbation.

3.4 | Correlation of *IncRNA NEAT1* with clinical characteristics

In patients with asthma in exacerbation, *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression was positively correlated with TNF- α (r = .429, P < .001), IL-1 β (r = .342, P < .001), and IL-17 (r = .416, P < .001), but negatively correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = -.356, P < .001), FEV₁%predicted (r = -.273, P < .001), and IL-10 (r = -.298, P < .001) (Table 2). In patients with asthma in remission, *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression was positively correlated with TNF- α (r = .253, P = .001), IL-1 β (r = .221, P = .004), and IL-17 (r = .330, P < .001), but negatively correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = -.270, P = .007). In HCs, *lncRNA NEAT1*



FIGURE 1 *LncRNA NEAT1* relative expression in asthma. The comparison of *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression among HCs, patients with asthma in remission, and patients with asthma in exacerbation (A). The performance of *lncRNA NEAT1* in distinguishing asthma in exacerbation from HCs (B), asthma in exacerbation from asthma in remission (C), and asthma in remission from HCs (D). Comparisons among three groups were conducted by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparisons test. And ROC curves were used to assess the ability of *lncRNA NEAT1* in distinguishing HCs, patients with asthma in remission, and patients with asthma in exacerbation. *P* < .05 was considered significant. *LncRNA NEAT1*, *long non-coding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1*; HCs, healthy controls; ROC, receiver operating characteristic

was positively correlated with TNF- α (r = .212, P = .006), IL-1 β (r = .167, P = .029), and IL-17 (r = .213, P = .005), but negatively correlated with IL-10 (r = -.269, P < .001). These data suggested that *IncRNA NEAT1* positively correlated with poor lung function and higher inflammation, especially in patients with asthma in exacerbation.

3.5 | Correlation of IncRNA NEAT1 and miR-124

LncRNA NEAT1 relative expression was negatively correlated with *miR-124* relative expression in patients with asthma in exacerbation (r = -.384, P < .001) (Figure 3A), patients with asthma in remission

(r = -.256, P = .001) (Figure 3B), and HCs (r = -.295, P < .001) (Figure 3C). This indicated that *IncRNA NEAT1* was negatively correlated with *miR*-124 especially in patients with asthma in exacerbation. *MiR*-124 relative expression was lower in patients with asthma in exacerbation compared with patients with asthma in remission (P < .001) and HCs (P < .001); however, it was similar between patients with asthma in remission and HCs (P = .187) (Figure 3D). ROC curve exhibited that *miR*-124 was of good value in distinguishing patients with asthma in exacerbation from HCs (AUC: 0.813 (0.769-0.857)) (Figure 3E) and patients with asthma in remission (AUC: 0.759 (0.746-0.843)) (Figure 3F), but it could not differentiate patients with asthma in remission from HCs (AUC: 0.578 (0.516-0.641) (Figure 3G). These data suggested that 6 of 9

IncRNA NEAT1 might contribute to the asthma exacerbation through the interaction with *miR-124*.

3.6 | Correlation of *miR*-124 with clinical characteristics

In patients with asthma in exacerbation, *miR*-124 relative expression was positively correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = .503, P < .001), FEV₁% predicted (r = .509, P < .001), and IL-10 (r = .260, P = .001) but negatively correlated with TNF- α (r = -.387, P < .001), IL-1 β (r = -.348, P < .001), IL-17 (r = -.244, P = .001), and exacerbation severity (r = -.665, P < .001) (Table 3). In patients with asthma in remission, *miR*-124 relative expression was positively correlated with IL-10 (r = .204, P = .008), but negatively correlated with



FIGURE 2 *LncRNA NEAT1* relative expression in patients with different exacerbation severity. Correlation of *lncRNA NEAT1* relative expression with the asthma exacerbation severity (mild, moderate, severe) was analyzed by Spearman's rank correlation test. *P* < .05 was considered significant. *LncRNA NEAT1*, *long noncoding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript* 1

	LncRNA NI	LncRNA NEAT1 relative expression						
	Asthma in exacerbation		Asthma in remission		HCs			
Items	P value	r	P value	r	P value	r		
lgE	.182	.103	.465	.056	.384	067		
FEV ₁ /FVC	<.001	356	.026	170	.768	.023		
$FEV_1\%$ predicted	<.001	273	.723	.027	.616	.039		
TNF-α	<.001	.429	.001	.253	.006	.212		
IL-1 β	<.001	.342	.004	.221	.029	.167		
IL-10	<.001	298	.007	207	<.001	269		
IL-17	<.001	.416	<.001	.330	.005	.213		

Note: Correlations were determined by Spearman's rank correlation test.

Abbreviations: FEV_4 , forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; HCs, healthy controls; IgE, immune globulin E; IL, interleukin; LncRNA, long non-coding RNA; r, correlation coefficient; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

IgE (r = -.213, P = .005), TNF- α (r = -.171, P = .026), and IL-17 (r = -.330, P < .001). And in HCs, *miR*-124 relative expression was positively correlated with FEV₁/FVC (r = .221, P = .004) and IL-10 (r = .222, P = .004), but negatively correlated with TNF- α (r = -.220, P = .004), IL-1 β (r = -.190, P = .013), and IL-17 (r = -.297, P < .001). Data above suggested that *miR*-124 was positively correlated with lung function, but negatively associated with inflammation, especially in patients with asthma in exacerbation. And *miR*-124 was negatively associated with exacerbation severity in patients with asthma in exacerbation.

3.7 | Correlation of medications with *IncRNA*-NEAT1, *miR*-124, and *IncRNA* NEAT1/*miR*-124 axis

In patients with asthma in remission, there was no difference of *miR*-124 (P = .216), *lncRNA NEAT1* (P = .741), and *lncRNA NEAT1/miR-124* axis (P = .756) expressions among patients without use of medicine and the patients who had medication of ICS and ICS + LTRA (Table S2). As for in patients with asthma in exacerbation, there was also no difference of *miR-124* (P = .964), *lncRNA NEAT1* (P = .580), and *lncRNA NEAT1/miR-124* axis (P = .986) expressions among patients with medication of ICS + β 2agonists, ICS + β 2-agonists + theophylline, ICS + β 2-agonists + anticholinergics, and ICS + β 2-agonists + anticholinergics+anti-IgE antibody. Data above indicated that medications did not affect *lncRNA-NEAT1*, *miR-124, and lncRNA NEAT1/miR-124* axis.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, *IncRNA NEAT1* was of good value in differentiating patients with asthma in exacerbation from patients with asthma in remission as well as HCs, especially in predicting asthma exacerbation risk, and it was positively associated with exacerbation

TABLE 2Correlation of IncRNA NEAT1relative expression with clinical features



FIGURE 3 Correlation of *IncRNA NEAT1* and *miR-124* in asthma. The correlations of *IncRNA NEAT1* and *miR-124* in patients with asthma in exacerbation (A), patients with asthma in remission (B), and HCs (C). The comparisons of *miR-124* relative expression among HCs, patients with asthma in remission, and patients with asthma in exacerbation (D). The performances of *miR-124* in distinguishing patients with asthma in exacerbation from HCs (E), patients with asthma in exacerbation from patients with asthma in remission from HCs (E), patients with asthma in exacerbation from patients with asthma in remission from HCs (G). The correlations of *IncRNA NEAT1* and *miR-124* were conducted by Spearman's rank correlation test. Comparisons among three groups were conducted by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn's multiple comparisons test. And ROC curves were used to assess the ability of *miR-124* in distinguishing HCs, patients with asthma in remission, and patients with asthma in exacerbation. *P* < .05 was considered significant. *LncRNA NEAT1*, *long non-coding RNA nuclear-enriched abundant transcript 1*; *miR-124*, *microRNA-124*; HCs, healthy controls; ROC, receiver operating characteristic

	MiR-124 relative expression					
	Asthma in exacerbation		Asthma in remission		HCs	
Items	P value	r	P value	r	P value	r
IgE	.189	101	.005	213	.326	.076
FEV ₁ /FVC	<.001	.503	.579	043	.004	.221
FEV_1 %predicted	<.001	.509	.416	063	.460	057
TNF-α	<.001	387	.026	171	.004	220
IL-1 β	<.001	348	.126	118	.013	190
IL-10	.001	.260	.008	.204	.004	.222
IL-17	.001	244	<.001	330	<.001	297
Exacerbation severity	<.001	665	-	-	-	-

TABLE 3 Correlation of miR-124relative expression with clinical features

Note: Correlations were determined by Spearman's rank correlation test.

Abbreviations: FEV_1 , forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; HCs, healthy controls; IgE, immune globulin E; IL, interleukin; r, correlation coefficient; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

severity in asthma patients with exacerbation. Furthermore, it was positively correlated with pro-inflammatory cytokines, while negatively correlated with anti-inflammatory cytokine and lung function in asthma patients. Meanwhile, *IncRNA NEAT1* was negatively correlated with *miR-124*, and *miR-124* was associated with decreased risk of asthma exacerbation, reduced exacerbation severity, improved lung function, and less inflammation.

Recent evidence indicates that *IncRNA NEAT1* plays an important role in the transcriptional regulation of cytokines (such as: interleukin-8 (IL-8)) in a viral infection, and it stimulates the activation of several inflammasomes (such as NLRP3, NLRC4, and AIM2 inflammasomes), which leads to immune response in immunoinflammatory diseases.^{10,17} And the involvement of *IncRNA NEAT1* in immune system has been explored in several diseases

including sepsis, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), mild dengue, and herpes simples.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ For example, *IncRNA NEAT1* expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells is remarkably increased in sepsis patients than that in HCs, and ROC curve illustrates that IncRNA NEAT1 is of good value in predicting sepsis risk with AUC 0.851 (0.812-0.935).²⁰ In addition, upregulation of IncRNA NEAT1 promotes ROS production and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-regulated cardiomyocyte apoptosis, aggravating the severity of ischemia-reperfusion injury.^{21,22} Mechanically, increased serum level of ROS is a risk factor for high degree of airway obstruction, worse immune response, and asthma exacerbation, and ER stress induces the abnormal apoptosis as well as dysfunction of airway epithelial, which suggests the promoting role of IncRNA NEAT1 for the higher risk of exacerbation in asthma.²² Therefore, we deduced that IncRNA NEAT1 might be involved in the asthma exacerbation. However, no study to date has been conducted. In our study, IncRNA NEAT1 expression was notably higher in patients with asthma in exacerbation compared with patients with asthma in remission and HCs. ROC curves revealed a good predictive value of IncRNA NEAT1 for asthma exacerbation risk, and it was positively correlated with exacerbation severity. The possible reasons might be that (a) LncRNA NEAT1 might enhance the airway epithelial fibrosis and injury via promoting oxidative stress and ER stress, resulting in airway hyperplasia and airway narrowing in a longer period, thereby increasing the risk of asthma exacerbation.^{21,23} (b) Considering IncRNA NEAT1 was of pro-inflammatory biological property, it might elevate the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and stimulate inflammatory responses, thus increasing susceptibility to asthma exacerbation, which was validated by analyzing association of IncRNA NEAT1 with inflammatory cytokines in our study.¹⁰

LncRNA NEAT1 is reported to be a potential contributor to the elevated secretion of diverse cytokines in various diseases.^{12,20,24} For example, IncRNA NEAT1 is upregulated and its overexpression is correlated with the elevated expression of pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, CXCL10, etc), increased clinical disease activity, and higher disease severity in patients with SLE.¹² However, the association of IncRNA NEAT1 with inflammatory cytokines has not been explored in asthma yet; thus, we conducted related analysis in patients with asthma and observed that IncRNA NEAT1 was positively associated with pro-inflammatory cytokines while negatively correlated with anti-inflammatory cytokine and lung function in patients with asthma. The possible reasons might include that (a) expression of IncRNA NEAT1 was activated during the asthma exacerbation, enhancing continuous release of inflammatory factors and cytokines via activating multiple signaling pathways (such as the JNK/ERK MAPK signaling pathways) and inhibiting the anti-inflammatory miRNAs, accelerating the inflammatory, and leading to the elevated exacerbation severity in patients with asthma. (b) During the acute exacerbation, when the immunity response was abnormally active, and inflammation response was extremely strong, the dysregulation of IncRNA NEAT1 might be aggravated; thus, the association of *IncRNA NEAT1* with inflammation and lung function was more obvious during the exacerbation.

The ceRNA hypothesis proposes that numerous IncRNA might serve as molecular sponges for miRNAs to further influence target mRNA expression, which suggests the importance of such interactions in the progression of disease.²⁵ And it is reported that IncRNA NEAT1 facilitates tumorigenesis and development of ovarian cancer cells as well as nasopharyngeal carcinoma via inhibiting miR-124-mediated signaling pathway.¹⁴ Besides, miR-124 overexpression results in the downregulation of pro-inflammatory markers and upregulation of anti-inflammatory markers in lung residence macrophages, suggesting the anti-inflammatory role of miR-124 in asthma exacerbation.¹⁵ In order to further understand the possible mechanism of IncRNA NEAT1 in asthma exacerbation, we explored the association of IncRNA NEAT1 with miR-124 in asthma patients and found that IncRNA NEAT1 expression was negatively associated with miR-124. Additionally, miR-124 was negatively correlated with high risk of asthma exacerbation, exacerbation severity, and inflammation, but positively associated with lung function. These data suggested that IncRNA NEAT1 might implicate in the asthma exacerbation through the interaction with miR-124.

There were some limitations in this study: (a) Patients with cardiac asthma were excluded in our study; therefore, the results might not be suitable for all patients with asthma. (b) The detailed mechanism of *IncRNA NEAT1* and *miR-124* in asthma exacerbation was not investigated; thus, further cellular experiments were needed to be conducted in the future. (b) This study was a single-center, case-control study, and the patients were from one region; thus, selection bias might exist.

In conclusion, circulating *IncRNA NEAT1* exhibits potential to be a new biomarker for elevated exacerbation risk and severity of asthma.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Clinical Observation of Specific Immunotherapy Combined with Inhalation of Budesonide/ Formoterol Aerosol in the Treatment of Respiratory Allergic Diseases (WX17D06).

ORCID

Yang Lu ២ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1913-061X

REFERENCES

- Zhang F, Hang J, Zheng B, Su LI, Christiani DC. The changing epidemiology of asthma in Shanghai, China. J Asthma. 2015;52(5):465-470.
- Dougherty RH, Fahy JV. Acute exacerbations of asthma: epidemiology, biology and the exacerbation-prone phenotype. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2009;39(2):193-202.
- National Asthma Education and Prevention Program. Expert panel report 3 (EPR-3): guidelines for the diagnosis and management of asthma-summary report 2007. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2007;120(5 Suppl):S94-138.

- 4. Holgate ST. Epithelium dysfunction in asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2007;120(6):1233-1244. quiz 1245-1236.
- Nannini LJ. Treat to target approach for asthma. J Asthma. 2019;1-4.https://doi.org/10.1080/02770903.2019.1591443
- Kavanagh J, Jackson DJ, Kent BD. Over- and under-diagnosis in asthma. Breathe (Sheff). 2019;15(1):e20-e27.
- Castillo JR, Peters SP, Busse WW. Asthma exacerbations: pathogenesis, prevention, and treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2017;5(4):918-927.
- Zhang J, Zhu Y, Wang R. Long noncoding RNAs in respiratory diseases. *Histol Histopathol*. 2018;33(8):747-756.
- Marques-Rocha JL, Samblas M, Milagro FI, Bressan J, Martínez JA, Martí A. Noncoding RNAs, cytokines, and inflammation-related diseases. FASEB J. 2015;29(9):3595-3611.
- Zhang P, Cao L, Zhou R, Yang X, Wu M. The IncRNA Neat1 promotes activation of inflammasomes in macrophages. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):1495.
- Klec C, Prinz F, Pichler M. Involvement of the long noncoding RNA NEAT1 in carcinogenesis. *Mol Oncol.* 2019;13(1):46-60.
- Zhang F, Wu L, Qian J, et al. Identification of the long noncoding RNA NEAT1 as a novel inflammatory regulator acting through MAPK pathway in human lupus. J Autoimmun. 2016;75:96-104.
- Nakamoto K, Watanabe M, Sada M, et al. Serum reactive oxygen metabolite levels predict severe exacerbations of asthma. *PLoS* ONE. 2016;11(10):e0164948.
- Cheng N, Guo Y. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1 promotes nasopharyngeal carcinoma progression through regulation of miR-124/NFkappaB pathway. Onco Targets Ther. 2017;10:5843-5853.
- Veremeyko T, Siddiqui S, Sotnikov I, Yung A, Ponomarev ED. IL-4/IL-13-dependent and independent expression of miR-124 and its contribution to M2 phenotype of monocytic cells in normal conditions and during allergic inflammation. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(12):e81774.
- Asthma Glf. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Preventio; 2016.
- Prinz F, Kapeller A, Pichler M, Klec C. The implications of the long non-coding RNA NEAT1 in non-cancerous diseases. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(3):627.
- Pandey AD, Goswami S, Shukla S, et al. Correlation of altered expression of a long non-coding RNA, NEAT1, in peripheral blood mononuclear cells with dengue disease progression. J Infect. 2017;75(6):541-554.
- Imamura K, Imamachi N, Akizuki G, et al. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1-dependent SFPQ relocation from promoter region to

paraspeckle mediates IL8 expression upon immune stimuli. *Mol Cell*. 2014;53(3):393-406.

- Huang S, Qian K, Zhu Y, Huang Z, Luo Q, Qing C. Diagnostic value of the IncRNA NEAT1 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with sepsis. *Dis Markers*. 2017;2017:7962836.
- Lee HY, Lee GH, Kim HK, Chae HJ. Platycodi Radix and its active compounds ameliorate against house dust mite-induced allergic airway inflammation and ER stress and ROS by enhancing anti-oxidation. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2019;123:412-423.
- Du X-J, Wei J, Tian D, et al. NEAT1 promotes myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury via activating the MAPK signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(10):18773-18780.
- Huang S, Xu Y, Ge X, et al. Long noncoding RNA NEAT1 accelerates the proliferation and fibrosis in diabetic nephropathy through activating Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. J Cell Physiol. 2019;234(7):11200-11207.
- Huang Q, Huang C, Luo Y, He F, Zhang R. Circulating IncRNA NEAT1 correlates with increased risk, elevated severity and unfavorable prognosis in sepsis patients. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2018;36(9):1659-1663.
- 25. Wang Y, Wang C, Chen C, et al. Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 regulates epithelial membrane protein 2 expression to repress nasopharyngeal carcinoma migration and irradiation-resistance through miR-101-3p as a competing endogenous RNA mechanism. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(41):70156-70171.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Li X, Ye S, Lu Y. Long non-coding RNA NEAT1 overexpression associates with increased exacerbation risk, severity, and inflammation, as well as decreased lung function through the interaction with microRNA-124 in asthma. J Clin Lab Anal. 2020;34:e23023. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcla.23023