Assessment of the perioperative effect of vasopressin in patients undergoing laparoscopic myomectomy: A double-blind randomised study

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Rabie Soliman, Department of Anaesthesia, Cairo University, Egypt. E-mail: rabiesoliman@hotmail. com

> Submitted: 25-Apr-2020 Revised: 16-May-2020 Accepted: 28-May-2020 Published: 10-Feb-2021

Access this article online
Website: www.ijaweb.org
DOI: 10.4103/ija.IJA_363_20
Quick response code



Rabie Soliman, Abdelbadee Yacoub¹, Assem A M Elbiaa²

Aldar Hospital, Almadinah Almonwarah, Saudi Arabia, ¹Department of Anaesthesia, Faculty of Medicine, Al Azhar University, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Ein Shams University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: Myomectomy is associated with perioperative bleeding. The aim of the study is to evaluate the effect of intramyometrial vasopressin on blood loss and the associated cardiovascular complications during myomectomy. Methods: The study included 194 patients classified into two groups- 1) Vasopressin group: the vasopressin was diluted as 0.1 unit/ml and 15 ml was injected by the surgeon in the plane between the myometrium and the myoma. 2) Control group: The patients received an equal amount of normal saline. The monitored parameters included the amount of blood loss, required blood transfusion, heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, the incidence of hypertension, hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia, electrocardiogram (ECG) changes and the blood troponin I level. Results: The heart rate decreased significantly in both groups, but the decrease was lower with vasopressin than the control group through the time points T3 to T5 (P < 0.05) The mean arterial blood pressure increased significantly in both groups, but the increase was higher with vasopressin than the control group through T3 to T5 (P < 0.05). The amount of blood loss decreased significantly with vasopressin than the control groups (P = 0.001). The number of transfused packed red blood cells was lower with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.001). The incidence of hypertension, bradycardia and atrial extrasystole was higher with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.005, P = 0.012, P = 0.033, respectively). **Conclusion:** Intramyometrial vasopressin decreases blood loss and blood transfusion, but it is associated with cardiovascular complications that may be serious as reported in other studies. Therefore, anaesthesiologists and gynaecologists must follow the precautions to avoid and minimise the incidence of complications with intramyometrial vasopressin.

Key words: Blood loss, bradycardia, hypertension, hypotension, laparoscopic myomectomy, tachycardia, vasopressin

INTRODUCTION

Uterine leiomyomas (myomas or fibroids) are the most common type of pelvic tumours in women.^[1] When a uterine myoma develops, the normal anatomy of uterine blood vessels is disturbed, and the vessels run in abnormal directions. Myomectomy causes vascular damage regardless of the direction of the incision and results in severe blood loss.^[2] Vasopressin is a synthetic analogue of the anti-diuretic hormone. It causes vasoconstriction through its action on V1 receptors and anti-diuresis through V2 receptors in the kidney. Intramyometrial injection of vasopressin causes vasoconstriction, stimulates the uterine contractions and therefore it reduces the blood loss during surgery.^[3-6] However, vasopressin was reported to be associated with severe complications such as

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to cite this article: Soliman R, Yacoub A, Elbiaa AA. Assessment of the perioperative effect of vasopressin in patients undergoing laparoscopic myomectomy: A double-blind randomised study. Indian J Anaesth 2021;65:139-45.

bradycardia, arrhythmias, pulmonary oedema and cardiac arrest.^[7-9]

There are debates and conflicts between the surgeons and anesthesiologists regarding the risks and benefits of vasopressin and therefore, this study was conducted to evaluate the incidence of cardiovascular complications associated with the intramyometrial injection of vasopressin.

METHODS

After approval from the local ethics committee (326/2015, 14/10/2015), and obtaining written informed consent, a study was conducted on 194 patients with uterine fibroid and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I and II, undergoing elective laparoscopic myomectomy through 2015-2019. The exclusion criteria were patients with ischaemic heart disease, cardiac valvular disease, hypertension, severe respiratory disease, obese patients, abnormal preoperative coagulation profiles, anti-inflammatory medications through two weeks preoperatively, severe hepatic or renal disease. The patients were randomly allocated (the concealment of allocation was done by using random numbers generated through excel) into two equal groups (n = 97 each): Vasopressin group: The vasopressin (Vasopressin USP, FLAGSHIP BIOTECH, USA ICL.) was prepared by dilution of 20 units vasopressin in 200 ml normal saline (0.1 unit/ ml), then 15 ml was injected by the surgeon slowly after negative aspiration of blood in the plane between the myometrium and the myoma. A single injection of 15 ml was made for each myoma. Control group: The patients received an equal amount of normal saline.

For all patients, an 18 gauge peripheral venous line was was inserted and 500 ml of intravenous crystalloids was administered before surgery. Premedication with intravenous midazolam 2 mg was given 10-20 minutes before induction. After attaching the monitors [electrocardiogram (ECG), pulse oximeter, and non-invasive arterial blood pressure], the induction of anaesthesia was done for all patients by preoxygenation with 100% oxygen, intravenous fentanyl (1-2 μ g/kg), etomidate (0.3 mg/kg), and atracurium (0.5 mg/kg). After tracheal intubation, anaesthesia was maintained with oxygen: air (50:50%), and sevoflurane (2-3%). An additional dose of intravenous atracurium (0.08-0.1 mg/kg) was given guided by the peripheral nerve stimulator to provide a train-of-four count zero. The ventilation was adjusted to maintain the end-tidal PaCO_a (30-35 mmHg). Sevoflurane concentration was adjusted to maintain the mean arterial blood pressure and heart rate within $\pm 20\%$ of the pre-induction values. Intraoperative tachycardia (heart rate >100 bpm), and systemic hypertension (systolic arterial blood pressure >20% above baseline), was managed by increasing the concentration of sevoflurane by increments of 1.0% and bolus doses of fentanyl (0.5-1 µg/kg). Intraoperative hypotension (systolic arterial blood pressure <20% below baseline) was managed by bolus doses of ephedrine (5-10 mg) and fluid administration. Bradycardia (heart rate <60 bpm) was managed by a bolus dose of atropine (0.02 mg/kg). At the end of the surgery, the sevoflurane was discontinued, and controlled ventilation with 100% oxygen was maintained until the end-tidal sevoflurane concentration was <0.1%. Intravenous lidocaine (1 mg/kg) was given for all patients 2 min before the removal of the endotracheal tube to provide smooth extubation. Residual neuromuscular blockade was reversed with a combination of neostigmine (0.05 mg/kg) and atropine (0.02 mg/kg) intravenously.

The monitored parameters included the amount of blood loss, blood transfusion (the transfusion trigger was the amount of blood loss >20% of the estimated blood volume), heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, a continuous ECG with automatic ST-segment analysis (leads II and V), arterial oxygen saturation (SPO₂) and the end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂). The values were collected at the following timepoints; T0: preoperative value, T1: 5th minute after induction, T2: directly before intramyometrial vasopressin administration, T3: 15th minute after vasopressin administration, T4: 30th minute after vasopressin administration, T5: 45th minute after vasopressin administration, T6: 60th minute after vasopressin administration, T7: directly at the end of surgery; T8: 60th minute in the postoperative care unit. Also, the incidence of hypertension, hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia, ECG changes and any adverse effects were documented. The blood troponin I level was checked after the 12th postoperative hour. The total amount of blood loss was assessed by measuring the amount of blood in the in the suction bottle and visual estimation of gauze visual analogue (surgical gauze 10×10 cm).

The primary outcomes were blood loss and requirements for blood transfusion. The secondary

outcome was the safety of the study medications, as assessed by the occurrence of any cardiovascular complications (arrhythmia, hypertension, myocardial ischaemia, or pulmonary oedema).

Power analysis was performed using the Chi-square test for independent samples on the frequency of patients suffered from intraoperative bleeding because it was the main outcome variable in the present study. A pilot study was done before starting this study to assess the frequency of intraoperative bleeding with vasopressin during myomectomy. The results of the pilot study (20 patients in each group) showed that the incidence of bleeding was 30% in the vasopressin group, and 60% in the control group. Taking power 0.8, alpha error 0.05 and beta 0.2, a minimum sample size of 97 patients was calculated for each group.

Data were statistically described in terms standard deviation of mean ± $(\pm SD)$, or frequencies (number of cases) and percentages when appropriate. A comparison of numerical variables between the study groups was done using the Student's *t*-test for independent samples. For comparing categorical data, a Chi-square test was performed. The exact test was used instead when the expected frequency was less than 5. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical calculations were done using computer program Statistical Package for the Social Science; (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) version 15 for Microsoft Windows.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows no significant difference regarding the demographic data, haemoglobin, ASA physical status score, preoperative coagulation profiles, number and type of fibroid (P > 0.05).

Table 2 shows the changes in the heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure. There was no difference in the heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure either between the two groups or compared to the baseline values within the same group before and after anaesthesia induction [T0, T1, T2] (P > 0.05). The heart rate decreased in both groups, but the decrease was significantly lower in the vasopressin group compared to the control group and the baseline through the time points T3 to T5. Then, the heart rate returned nearly to the baseline values through T6 to T8 and the difference between the two groups

Table 1: Preoperative data of patients (mean±standard deviation, number, percentage)						
Variables	Vasopressin group (<i>n</i> =97)	Control group (<i>n</i> =97)	Р			
Age (years)	37.30±4.70	36.68±4.13	0.330			
Weight (kg)	85.42±11.60	84.80±10.50	0.696			
Haemoglobin (g/dl)	12.23±1.45	11.90±1.37	0.104			
ASA I: II	51:46	44:53	0.388			
Number of fibroids						
Single	39	45	0.468			
Multiple	58	52	0.468			
Fibroid type						
Intramural	47	50	0.774			
Submucosal	35	39	0.657			
Subserosal	15	8	0.182			
Coagulation profiles						
PT (second)	10.93±0.69	11.13±0.80	0.063			
INR	1.01±0.11	1.04±0.12	0.071			
aPTT (second)	39.16±5.80	40.20±5.76	0.211			
Platelets(×10 ³ /µl)	285.10±80.30	294.50±84.50	0.428			
BT (minute)	4.63±2.95	4.52±2.48	0.778			

ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Score; PT: Prothrombin time; INR: International normalised ratio; aPTT: Activated partial thromboplastin time; BT: Bleeding time

Table 2: Heart rate and mean arterial blood pressure of patients (Data are presented as mean±SD)							
Variable	Vasopressin group (<i>n</i> =97)	Control group (<i>n</i> =97)	Р				
Heart rate (bpm)							
ТО	80.48±8.30	81.21±9.13	0.560				
T1	82.37±9.18	83.64±9.22	0.337				
T2	81.78±10.70	83.15±9.55	0.348				
Т3	75.46±12.10 [†]	80.50±8.30	0.001*				
T4	69.47±7.00 [†]	79.30±8.13	0.001*				
Т5	72.90±6.09 [†]	78.30±7.60	0.001*				
Т6	78.50±7.35	79.33±8.20	0.458				
Τ7	77.33±6.39	76.94±6.47	0.673				
Т8	77.80±7.20	78.65±7.86	0.433				
Mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg)							
ТО	95.36±10.36	94.40±11.20	0.536				
T1	98.50±12.90	97.85±12.40	0.720				
T2	97.16±11.55	95.88±10.40	0.418				
Т3	108.38±15.32 [†]	101.25±12.90 [†]	0.001*				
T4	110.70±16.90 [†]	102.31±13.10	0.001*				
Т5	113.48±16.81 [†]	101.34±12.45	0.001*				
Т6	100.30±11.60	97.98±10.67	0.148				
Τ7	97.18±10.70	96.27±9.50	0.531				
Т8	96.49±11.85	98.10±10.35	0.314				

**P*<0.05: The comparison is significant between the two groups. '*P*<0.05 significant compared to the preoperative reading within the same group. T0: the preoperative value, T1: 5th min after induction, T2: directly before intramyometrial vasopressin administration, T3: 15th min after vasopressin administration, T4: 30th min after vasopressin administration, T5: 45th minute after vasopressin administration, T6: 60th min after vasopressin administration, T7: directly at the end of surgery; T8: 60th min in the postoperative care unit

was insignificant (P > 0.05). The mean arterial blood pressure increased in both groups, but the increase was significantly higher in the vasopressin group compared to the control group and the baseline through the time points T3 to T5. Then, the mean arterial blood pressure returned nearly to the baseline values through T6 to T8 and the difference between the two groups was insignificant (P > 0.05).

Table 3 shows the intraoperative data and the outcomes in the two groups. There was no difference in the types and number of excised fibroid between the two groups (P > 0.05). The amount of intraoperative and postoperative blood loss decreased significantly in the vasopressin group than the control group (P = 0.001, P = 0.003 respectively). The number of patients who required blood transfusion was significantly lower with vasopressin compared to the control group (P = 0.025). The number of transfused packed red blood cells (P-RBC) was significantly lower with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.001). As the blood transfusion was more in the control group than the vasopressin group, there was no difference in the postoperative haemoglobin level (P = 0.152). The amount of transfused fluids (crystalloid and Hetastarch (Hesteril)) was lower in vasopressin group than in the control group (P = 0.031, P = 0.029,respectively). The incidence of bradycardia (heart rate <50-60 bpm) was higher with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.012). Four cases suffered from severe bradycardia (heart rate ranges 30-40 bpm) with vasopressin and managed by atropine dose (1-1.5 mg). The number of patients who required atropine for the management of bradycardia and the required dose of atropine was higher with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.012, P = 0.001, respectively). The incidence of atrial extrasystoles was higher with vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.033) without the need for medical treatment in both groups. Four cases suffered from ventricular extraystoles with vasopressin and were managed by intravenous lidocaine 2% (0.5-1 mg/kg). The incidence of hypertension was higher in vasopressin than the control group (P = 0.005) and managed by increasing the sevoflurane concentration and bolus doses of fentanyl (50-100 µg). There was no difference in the incidence of hypotension between the two groups (P = 0.136). The comparison of postoperative troponin I was insignificant between

Table 3: Intrao	perative data and outcome of patients	s (mean±standard deviation,	number, percentage)	
Variables		Vasopressin group (<i>n</i> =97)	Control group (n=97)	Р
Number of fibroids	1	53	48	0.565
	2	29	37	0.288
	3	15	12	0.678
Duration of anesthesia (min)		105.30±24.45	113.70±29.40	0.031
Duration of surgery (min)		93.10±17.38	99.19±20.25	0.025
Amount of blood loss (ml)	Intraoperative	615.20±58.70	690.50±77.63	0.001
	Postoperative	152.30±47.28	174.70±58.40	0.003
Blood transfusion	Number of patients	15 (15.46%)	29 (29.89%)	0.025
	Number of P-RBC (units)	1.18±0.57	1.90±0.85	0.001
Haemoglobin (g/dl)		11.48±1.25	11.23±1.17	0.152
Fluids transfusion	Crystalloids (ml)	2540.80±520.34	2760.95±570.52	0.031
	Hesteril 6% (ml)	496.90±55.70	515. 10±60.42	0.029
ECG rhythm changes	Bradycardia (HR <60-50bpm)	17 (17.52%)	5 (5.15%)	0.012
	Severe bradycardia (HR <40-30 bpm)	4 (4.12%)	-	0.129
	Tachycardia (HR >100 bpm)	9 (9.27%)	4 (4.12%)	0.250
	Atrial extrasystole	12 (12.37%)	3 (3.09%)	0.033
	Ventricular extrasystole	4 (4.12%)	-	0.129
Atropine	Number of patients	17 (17.52%)	5 (5.15%)	0.012
	Dose (mg)	0.99±0.43	0.54±0.10	0.001
Hypertension (SAP ≥20% ab	ove baseline)	17 (17.52%)	4 (4.12%)	0.005
Hypotension (SAP ≤20% bel	ow baseline)	9 (9.27%)	3 (3.09%)	0.136
Arterial oxygen saturation (S	PO ₂) (%)	99.20±021	99.18±0.22	0.992
Partial pressure of carbon di	oxide (PaCO ₂)(mmHg)	35.73±3.25	35.54±3.42	0.692
Troponin I (ng/ml)		0.41±0.06	0.40±0.08	0.325
Myocardial infarction		-	-	
Pulmonary oedema		-	-	
Congestive heart failure		-	-	
Cardiac arrest			-	

*P<0.05 significant comparison between the two groups. SAP: Systolic arterial blood pressure; HR: Heart rate. P-RBC: Packed- red blood cells; ECG: Electrocardiogram

Mortality

the two groups (P = 0.325). There was no incidence of myocardial infarction, pulmonary oedema, congestive heart failure, cardiac arrest, or mortality in any of the two groups (P > 0.05).

DISCUSSION

The changes in heart rate and blood pressure after intramyometrial vasopressin injection may be related to the systemic absorption of vasopressin especially during the surgical excisions of the fibroid and not related to the direct intravascular injection; first, the vasopressin was injected after negative blood aspiration during injection and second, these changes did not happen during vasopressin injection but after 10 minutes of vasopressin injection in most of the cases.

The injection of diluted vasopressin into the plane between the myoma and myometrium leads to vasoconstriction of the feeding vessels (capillaries, small arterioles and venules) for 45-60 min which is usually sufficient for the myometrial suturing to be completed and therefore reducing the blood flow to the myoma and decreasing the blood loss during the excision of the myoma.^[10-12]

The present study showed that intramyometrial vasopressin decreased significantly the blood loss and blood transfusion during myomectomy. It was associated with mild cardiovascular adverse effects such as bradycardia, hypertension, atrial and ventricular arrhythmias. The cardiovascular adverse effects were managed properly by medications. Only four cases suffered from severe bradycardia with vasopressin and responded well to atropine. There was no cardiac arrest or the need for cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

The results of the present study correlate with the findings of other studies. Protopapas *et al.*^[13] compared vasopressin (20 units, 0.2 unit/ml) in 100 patients with 50 patients without vasopressin during laparoscopic myomectomy. The blood loss and the requirement for blood transfusion decreased significantly with vasopressin compared to the control group without serious cardiovascular adverse events. Thick *et al.*^[14] found that vasopressin decreased significantly the blood loss and blood transfusion during myomectomy in 35 patients compared to the control group without cardiovascular complications. Kimura *et al.*^[8] showed a mild elevation in systolic blood pressure (10–20 mmHg)

and a mild decrease in heart rate (5–15 bpm) in ~60% of the patients without the requirement for medical treatment. Only one patient experienced significant bradycardia (45 bpm), which was managed by intravenous atropine. Fletcherb *et al.*^[15] reported that vasopressin (20 units, 1 unit/ml) decreased the blood loss during myomectomy in 26 patients without significant cardiovascular complications and the findings were shown by other studies.^[16,17]

There are some of cases reports with serious complications such as bradycardia, cardiovascular collapse, pulmonary oedema and death with the use of intramyometrial vasopressin during myomectomy. Hobo et al.^[9] reported a case of sudden cardiac arrest after intramyometrial vasopressin (11.2 units, 0.2 unit/ml) and the same complication was described by Nerurkar et al.^[18] Hung et al.^[19] reported two cases of bradycardia followed by cardiac arrest and pulmonary oedema with intramyometrial vasopressin (12-20 units, 2 unit/ml) and similar complications were described by other studies.^[20,21] Severe hypotension was described by Nezhat et al.,^[6] and Chilkoti et al.^[22] Deschamps et al.^[23] described severe bradycardia and atrioventricular block with bigemmini after injecting 3 units of intramyometrial vasopressin.

Kitamura et al.^[8] in a case report, described after vasopressin injection (7.9 units, 0.2 unit/ml) during laparoscopic myomectomy severe hypotension, bradycardia in addition to ST-segment depression and premature ventricular contractions in the ECG and the same findings were described by Lurie *et al.*^[24] Lee et al.^[25] reported a case of severe bradycardia (26 bpm) followed by cardiac arrest after intramyometrial injection of vasopressin (20 units, 0.5 unit/ml) and the heart recovered completely after atropine administration. Kabade et al.[26] reported a case of bradycardia, severe hypotension, cardiac arrest after intramyometrial vasopressin (8 units, 0.2 unit/ml). After resuscitation, the patient recovered, but the haemodynamics was severely unstable even with inotropic support, and the echocardiography showed severe deterioration in the ejection fraction compared to the preoperative echocardiography. The patient died on the 4th postoperative day.

The possible causes of these complications may be related to the severe vasopressin induced hypertension, severe bradycardia and cardiac arrest as a result of large dose or accidental intravascular administration. The vasoconstrictive effect of vasopressin causes coronary artery vasospasm that causes cardiac ischaemia, infarction and arrest. $^{\left[12,27-29\right] }$

We reviewed many studies on intramyometrial vasopressin, but we could not find any definite dose or concentration which is associated with serious cardiovascular complications, as the serious cardiovascular adverse events often occured with higher doses and concentration and also, there were complications with lower doses (3-11 units)[6,7,12,20,23,28] and concentration (0.1 unit/ml).^[30] So, there are some precautions to avoid and minimise the incidence of cardiovascular complications of vasopressin by giving a small diluted dose (total dose <5 units, 0.1 unit/ml), avoiding the intravascular injection and avoiding the drug in those with cardiovascular diseases, and careful observations of the heart rate, blood pressure, and ECG during and after the vasopressin injection in addition to the proper selection of patients.^[7,8,13,29-31] This can be supported by using vasopressin as a very slow infusion in the management of refractory hypotension without cardiovascular complications.[32] Also, it is better to avoid vasopressin in smokers due to the possible synergistic effect of nicotine and vasopressin on vasoconstriction. It must be balanced between the benefits and risks of vasopressin administration during myoma excision to avoid exposure of the patients to severe complications.

The use of intramyometrial vasopressin to decrease blood loss during myomectomy has not been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration but still, it has been used commonly in clinical practice.

There are some limitations in the present study. First, the study was done in a single centre, and secondly, the small sample size.

CONCLUSION

The use of intramyometrial vasopressin decreases blood loss and blood transfusion, but it is associated with cardiovascular complications that may be serious as reported in other studies. Therefore, anaesthesiologists and gynaecologists must follow the precautions to avoid and minimise the incidence of cardiovascular complications associated with intramyometrial vasopressin.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form the

patient(s) has/have given his/her/their consent for his/ her/their images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patients understand that their names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cramer SF, Patel A. The frequency of uterine leiomyomas. Am J Clin Pathol 1990;94:435-8.
- Zeqja E, Qirko R. Vasopressine in laparoscopic myomectomy, a review over the effectiveness, dosage and possible complications. IOSR J Pharm 2016;6:23-7.
- Wing DA, Goharkhay N, Felix JC, Rostamkhani M, Naidu YM, Kovacs BW. Expression of the oxytocin and V1a vasopressin receptors in human myometrium in differing physiologic states and following misoprostol administration. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2006;62:181-5.
- Shimanuki H, Takeuchi H, Kitade M, Kikuchi I, Kumakiri J, Kinoshita K. The effect of vasopressin on local and general circulation during laparoscopic surgery. J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2006;13:190-4.
- Takeuchi H, Shimanuki H, Kobori H, Kitade M, Kikuchi I, Kinoshita K. Effect of vasopressin on blood flow and RI of the uterine artery during laparoscopic myomectomy. J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2005;12:10-11.
- 6. Nezhat F, Admon D, Nezhat CH, Dicorpo JE, Nezhat C. Life-threatening hypotension after vasopressin injection during operative laparoscopy, followed by uneventful repeat laparoscopy. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc 1994;2:83-6.
- Hobo R, Netsu S, Koyasu Y, Tsutsumi O. Bradycardia and cardiac arrest caused by intramyometrial injection of vasopressin during a laparoscopically assisted myomectomy. Obstet Gynecol 2009;113:484-6.
- 8. Kimura T, Kucui C. Effectiveness of hormonal tourniquet by vasopressin during myomectomy through vasopressin V1a receptor ubiquitously expressed in myometrium. Gynecol Obstet Invest 2002;54:125-31.
- 9. Park KS, Yoo KY. Role of vasopressin in current anesthetic practice. Korean J Anesthesiol 2017;70:245-57.
- Tsuda A, Kanaoka Y. Submyometrial vasopressin injection before microwave ablation of vascular-rich submucosal myomas: A preliminary case study. Int J Hyperthermia 2019;36:739-43.
- 11. Kakkar B. Is Irrational use of intramyometrial vasopressin justified in anesthesia practice? Anaesth Commun 2017;1:e102.
- 12. Malla UJ, Rong ZS. Role of vasopressin in myomectomy. IJSIT 2018;7:183-7.
- Protopapas A, Giannoulis G, Chatzipapas I, Athanasiou S, Grigoriadis T, Kathopoulis N, et al. Vasopressin during laparoscopic myomectomy: Does it really extend its limits? J Minim Invasive Gynecol 2019;26:441-9.
- Thiek JL, Choudhury SS, Ali J. Vasopressin in myomectomy- effectiveness and its sequelae. New Indian J OBGYN 2016;3:24-8.
- 15. Fletcher H, Frederick J, Hardie M, Simeon D. A randomized comparison of vasopressin and tourniquet as hemostatic agents during myomectomy. Obstet Gynecol 1996;87:1014-18.

- Frederick J, Fletcher H, Simeon D, Mullings A, Hardie M. Intramyometrial vasopressin as a haemostatic agent during myomectomy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1994;101:435-7.
- Badawy AM. A comparative study between the efficacy of local intra-myometrial injection of vasopressin and octreotide acetate, in reducing blood loss during myomectomy. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017;6:4230-6.
- Nerurkar AA, Laheri V, Thakare D, Mohite S. Bradycardia, absent pulse and convulsion following intramyometrial vasopressin. J Anaesth Clin Pharmacol 2010;26:109-10.
- Hung MH, Wang YM, Chia YY, Chou YM, Liu K. Intramyometrial injection of vasopressin causes bradycardia and cardiac arrest--report of two cases. Acta Anaesthesiol Taiwan 2006;44:243-7.
- 20. Kim SY, Lee JH, Bang EC, Lee HS, Kang YI, Cho KS, et al. Pulmonary edema following intramyometrial injection and paracervical infiltration of vasopressin during laparoscopic myomectomy and LAVH (Laparoscopic abdominal vaginal hysterectomy): A report of 2 cases. Anesth Pain Med 2010;5:333-7.
- 21. Tulandi T, Beique F, Kimia M. Pulmonary edema: A complication of local injection of vasopressin at laparoscopy. Fertil Steril 1996;66:478-80.
- Chilkoti G, Mohta M, Nath S, Saxena AK, Khurana P. Anesthetic concerns with intramyometrial vasopressin during myomectomy. Ain Shams J Anaesthesiol 2016;9:452-4.
- 23. Deschamps A, Krishnamurthy S. Absence of pulse and blood pressure following vasopressin injection for myomectomy. Can J Anaesth 2005;52:552-3.

- 24. Lurie S, Mamet Y. Transient myocardial ischemia may occur following subendometrial vasopressin infiltration. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2000;91:87-9.
- 25. Lee GG, Baek SY, Woo Kim T, Jeong CY, Ryu KH, Park DH. Cardiac arrest caused by intramyometrial injection of vasopressin during a robotic-assisted laparoscopic myomectomy. J Int Med Res 2018;46:5303-8.
- Kabade SD, Sachidananda R, Wilson E, Divater SB. Intramyometrial vasopressin: A fear for anesthetist? Saudi J Anaesth 2017;11:494-5.
- 27. Butala BP, Shah VR, Parikh BK, Jayaprakash J, Kalo J. Bradycardia and severe vasospasm caused by intramyometrial injection of vasopressin during myomectomy. Saudi J Anaesth 2014;8:396-8.
- Frishman G. Vasopressin: If some is good, is better? Obstet Gynecol 2009;113:476-7.
- 29. Muthukumar M, Mathews L, Vasantha N, Anoop S. Intramyometrial vasopressin as a hemostatic agent: Is it really safe? Indian J Anaesth 2015;59:51-3.
- Karna ST, Pandey CK, Pandey VK, Dhankhar M. Bradycardia induced polymorphic ventricular tachycardia during living donor liver transplantation. Indian J Anaesth 2016;60:610-2.
- Jayaram L, Sinha A, Punhani D. Intramyometrial vasopressin; anesthesiologist's nightmare. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharamcol 2013; 29:135-6.
- 32. Ramachandran R, Rewari V, Jain S, Kumar R. Refractory hypotension during paraganglioma removal-Do we have to worry? Indian J Anaesth 2016;60:606-8.