



Use of estrogen supplementation is associated with higher quality of life scores in women with cystic fibrosis

Malinda Wu^{a,*}, Neha Arora^b, Viranuj Sueblinvong^c, William R. Hunt^c, Vin Tangpricha^d

^a Division of Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics, Emory University and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, GA, United States

^b College of Arts and Sciences, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States

^c Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, Critical Care and Sleep, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, United States

^d Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA and the Atlanta VA Medical Center, Decatur, GA, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Cystic fibrosis
Quality of Life
Women's health
Contraception
Ethinyl estradiol
Young adult

ABSTRACT

The association of estrogen supplementation use and quality of life in women with cystic fibrosis (CF) is not well characterized. In this cross-sectional study, women with CF completed quality of life questionnaires during a routine CF clinic visit. The use of estrogen supplementation was associated with higher quality of life scores in all domains of the CF questionnaire-revised (CFQ-R) and was significant in the role limitations and respiratory domains. Most participants who were not currently using estrogen supplementation had previously used estrogen supplementation. Most participants had used estrogen to regulate menses, prevent pregnancy and control symptoms around menses. Use of estrogen supplementation was not associated with differences in life-space mobility or screening for sexual dysfunction. This is the largest study to date investigating the association of estrogen supplementation and quality of life in women with CF. Prospective randomized studies are needed to clarify the association of estrogen supplementation and quality of life in women with CF.

Introduction

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a multi-organ disease affecting over 30,000 people in the US [1] and more than 70,000 globally [2]. CF results from mutations of the *CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR)* gene [3]. With rapid advancements in medical therapies to treat CF, the median predicted survival for individuals born with CF is now in the fifth decade [1]. These medical therapies still require daily time-intensive treatments and medications that may need to be performed or taken multiple times each day. As survival increases, so too does the prevalence of extra-pulmonary manifestations of CF such as CF-related diabetes and CF-related bone disease, which affect more adults with CF than children with CF [1].

In addition to extra-pulmonary complications of CF, an increasing number of people with CF self-report having anxiety (15%) or depression (16.7%) [1], which in turn is associated with decreases in quality of

life and respiratory function [4]. In individuals without CF, many factors contribute to increased risk for having depression including having a chronic illness [4], stress, female sex and decreases in estrogen levels such as occurs during surgical menopause or perimenopause [5,6]. Women with CF have been shown to have low estrogen levels [7] and delayed puberty indicating hypogonadism compared to age-matched controls without CF [8]. The association of estrogen supplementation and quality of life was recently explored in 12 women with CF who were started on estrogen supplementation for two months by Holtrop and colleagues using the CF questionnaire-revised (CFQ-R) [9]. The CFQ-R is a CF-disease specific health-related quality of life instrument that assesses quality of life across 12 domains. The participants had improved CFQ-R respiratory, treatment burden and health perceptions domain scores compared to their baseline before initiating estrogen supplementation. However, it is not known if estrogen use is associated with changes in the other domains of the CFQ-R.

Abbreviations: CF, Cystic fibrosis; CFTR, CF transmembrane conductance regulator; CFQ-R, CF questionnaire-revised; FEV1, Forced expiratory volume in 1 second; BMI, Body mass index; FSFI-6, Female Sexual Function Index-6; LSA, Life Space Assessment; SD, Standard deviation; OCP, Oral contraceptive pill; MCID, Minimal clinical important difference; FDA, Food and Drug Administration.

* Corresponding author at: Emory University School of Medicine, 2015 Uppergate Drive, Atlanta, GA 30322, United States.

E-mail address: mwu86@jhmi.edu (M. Wu).

¹ Present address: Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 200 N. Wolfe Street, Rubenstein Bldg, Room 3120, Baltimore, MD 21287, United States.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcte.2021.100292>

Received 19 August 2021; Received in revised form 29 November 2021; Accepted 7 December 2021

Available online 11 December 2021

2214-6237/© 2021 The Authors.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

The purpose of this study was to investigate differences in quality of life in women with CF using estrogen supplementation compared to women with CF not using estrogen supplementation. Quality of life was assessed by the CFQ-R. In this cross-sectional study, women with CF seen in the CF clinic for routine care underwent detailed interviews regarding previous estrogen use and were administered questionnaires.

Methods

Study design

This was a single center, cross-sectional study to examine the association of estrogen supplementation in women with CF on quality of life. The study was approved by the Emory University Institutional Review Board. All participants provided informed consent for participation in this study. Participants were eligible if they were female, diagnosed with CF, between 16 and 50 years old and presenting to the CF clinic for routine care. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, eligibility was modified to include participants who were presenting virtually to the CF clinic for a telemedicine appointment. Exclusion criteria included current use of systemic steroids, previous lung or liver transplant, or being too ill to participate. From October 4, 2019, to October 30, 2020, participants were recruited during a routine clinic visit at the Emory University Adult CF center in Atlanta, GA, to complete questionnaires and be interviewed about use of estrogen supplementation. Participants were interviewed about current and historical use of estrogen- and progesterone-containing medications, the reasons for use, the duration of use, the route and dose of the medication. The medical record was reviewed for historical prescriptions of estrogen-containing medications, and participants were asked prompted about these prescriptions to confirm if they had taken the prescriptions. Additional demographic and clinical information were extracted from their medical records including *CFTR* mutation, FEV1 (forced expiratory volume in 1 s), and body mass index (BMI).

Questionnaires

CFQ-R [10]: The CFQ-R is a CF-specific health-related quality of life instrument with 12 domains scored from 0 to 100. Higher scores reflect better quality of life. The CFQ-R respiratory domain score is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use as a patient-reported outcome in clinical trials; the minimal clinical important difference (MCID) of the CFQ-R respiratory domain is four (4) [11]. Although a threshold of 4 is commonly used in CF quality of life research to represent the MCID in each of the CFQ-R domains, to our knowledge, MCID for the other 11 domains of the CFQ-R have not yet been established. Participants were administered the CFQ-R Teen/Adult version which is validated for participants with CF older than 14 years of age. The CFQ-R also collects demographic information including marital status, education level, employment status and racial background.

FSFI-6 [12]: The Female Sexual Function Index-6 (FSFI-6) is an abridged form of the Female Sexual Function Index which is a diagnostic test for female sexual dysfunction validated for use in women who were sexually active in the previous four weeks. The responses to the six questions form a composite FSFI-6 score; scores less than or equal to 19 are concerning for female sexual dysfunction. The maximum score is 30; higher scores reflect better quality of life. The FSFI-6 was only administered to participants who responded that they had been sexually active in the previous four weeks. This questionnaire has been used in adolescent and young adult women with CF [13].

LSA [14]: The Life Space Assessment (LSA) is a measure of a person's mobility through five areas of their environment: from their bedroom to beyond their town. The maximum score is 120; higher scores reflect improved mobility. LSA score ≤ 60 reflect that a community-dwelling adult is "restricted". This questionnaire was designed for the geriatric population, but the LSA has previously been used in people with CF

[15,16].

Statistical methods

Descriptive statistics were compiled. Continuous variables were visually inspected to assess normality. Baseline demographics that were continuous variables and the LSA scores are reported as mean (standard deviation) and were compared between estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups by T test. Categorical variables are reported as count (percentage) and were compared between estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups by Chi square test or Fishers exact test if rare. CFQ-R domain scores and FSFI-6 scores were non-parametrically distributed and are reported as median (interquartile range). CFQ-R domain scores were compared between estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups by Kruskal Wallis test, with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. The CFQ-R domain scores overall were compared by Wilcoxon rank sum between estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups. The FSFI-6 scores and FSFI-6 composite scores are reported as median (interquartile range) and were compared between estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups by Kruskal Wallis test. Analysis was done with SAS version 9.4 (Cary, NC). Significance was set to 0.05.

Results

Study participants

A total of 26 participants consented to participation in this study. Eleven participants were taking estrogen supplementation, and fifteen participants were not. The participants taking estrogen and the participants not taking estrogen had similar baseline characteristics (Table 1).

Estrogen status

The participants currently taking estrogen supplementation were all using an oral contraceptive pill containing ethinyl estradiol and progesterone. The mean ethinyl estradiol dose was 22.9 mcg (SD 9.0 mcg), with a range of 10–40 mcg/day. They had been using estrogen supplementation for at least six months prior to participation. Only four (36%) participants reported they were currently using estrogen supplementation as contraception. Other reasons for using estrogen supplementation included control of symptoms around menses (64%), regulation of menses (36%), treatment of acne (27%) and prevention of recurrence of ovarian cysts (9%) (Table 2).

History and indications for estrogen

In addition to the eleven participants currently taking estrogen supplementation, ten (67%) of the non-supplemented participants had previously taken estrogen supplementation. Four participants had stopped less than 1 year prior to participation, stopping at least 3 months prior to participation; the other six participants had stopped more than 2 years prior to participation. Participants had used estrogen-containing medications for 2 months to 4 years. Most participants reported having used estrogen supplementation for regulation of menses that were irregular, heavy, frequent, or prolonged (52%), for contraception (43%), to control symptoms around menses (33%), or to treat acne (29%) (Table 2). Additional reasons to use estrogen supplementation included treatment of catamenial hemoptysis (5%), prevention of ovarian cysts (5%), pain due to endometriosis (5%), polycystic ovarian syndrome (5%), and for *in vitro* fertilization treatment (5%). The 21 participants who had used estrogen supplementation had all used a combined oral ethinyl estradiol and progesterone product. One participant had also previously used transdermal ethinyl estradiol combined with progesterone, and another participant had also used transvaginal ethinyl estradiol combined with progesterone. One participant had additionally

Table 1
Baseline Demographics by Estrogen Supplementation Status.

| Characteristic | All participants (N = 26) | | Taking estrogen (N = 11) | | Not taking estrogen (N = 15) | | P value |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------|------------------------------|-------|---------|
| | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | |
| Age (years) | 26.9 | 6.0 | 27.7 | 7.2 | 26.4 | 5.1 | 0.62* |
| FEV1 (% predicted) | 78.1 | 29.8 | 87.2 | 28.5 | 70.9 | 29.9 | 0.18* |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | 23.0 | 5.0 | 24.3 | 4.2 | 22.0 | 5.4 | 0.26* |
| BMI at Goal | N | % | N | % | N | % | |
| | 11 | 42.3% | 6 | 54.5% | 5 | 33.3% | 0.46† |
| Delta F508 status | | | | | | | 0.67† |
| Homozygous | 12 | 46.2% | 6 | 54.5% | 6 | 40.0% | |
| Heterozygous | 10 | 38.5% | 4 | 36.4% | 6 | 40.0% | |
| No copies | 4 | 15.4% | 1 | 9.1% | 3 | 20.0% | |
| Race (Caucasian) | 24 | 92.3% | 11 | 100.0% | 13 | 86.7% | 0.49‡ |
| Pancreatic insufficient | 22 | 84.6% | 10 | 90.9% | 12 | 80.0% | 0.61‡ |
| Have CF-related diabetes | 5 | 19.2% | 2 | 18.2% | 3 | 20.0% | 1.00‡ |
| Marital status | | | | | | | 0.57† |
| Single/Never married | 15 | 57.7% | 6 | 54.5% | 9 | 60.0% | |
| Widowed | 1 | 3.8% | 1 | 9.1% | 0 | 0.0% | |
| Married | 6 | 23.1% | 3 | 27.3% | 3 | 20.0% | |
| With a partner | 4 | 15.4% | 1 | 9.1% | 3 | 20.0% | |
| Education | | | | | | | 0.38† |
| Professional or graduate degree | 3 | 11.5% | 2 | 18.2% | 1 | 6.7% | |
| College degree | 10 | 38.5% | 6 | 54.5% | 4 | 26.7% | |
| Some college | 8 | 30.8% | 2 | 18.2% | 6 | 40.0% | |
| High school diploma/GED | 4 | 15.4% | 1 | 9.1% | 3 | 20.0% | |
| Some high school or less | 1 | 3.8% | 0 | 0.0% | 1 | 6.7% | |
| Occupation status | | | | | | | 0.27† |
| Working full time or part-time | 13 | 50.0% | 6 | 54.5% | 7 | 46.7% | |
| Attending school outside the home | 6 | 23.1% | 3 | 27.3% | 3 | 20.0% | |
| Seeking work | 3 | 11.5% | 2 | 18.2% | 1 | 6.7% | |
| Not attending school or working due to my health | 4 | 15.4% | 0 | 0.0% | 4 | 26.7% | |

*T-test, †Chi-Square Test, ‡Fisher's Exact Test.

Table 2
Reasons for use of estrogen supplementation:

| Reason for using estrogen supplementation | All participants who had ever used estrogen (N = 21) | Currently taking estrogen (N = 11) | Not currently taking estrogen (N = 10) |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Contraception | 9 (43%) | 4 (36%) | 5 (50%) |
| Regulation of menses | Irregular, Heavy, Prolonged, Frequent 11 (52%) | 4 (36%) | 7 (70%) |
| Symptoms around menses | Pain, Headaches, Gastrointestinal upset, Hemoptysis 7 (33%) | 7 (64%) | 0 |
| Acne | 6 (29%) | 3 (27%) | 3 (30%) |
| Ovarian cyst | 1 (5%) | 1 (9%) | 0 |
| Endometriosis | 1 (5%) | 0 | 1 (10%) |
| Polycystic ovarian syndrome | 1 (5%) | 0 | 1 (10%) |
| Fertility treatment | 1 (5%) | 0 | 1 (10%) |

Participants could answer multiple reasons why they had used or were using estrogen supplementation. Ten of the participants not currently exposed to estrogen supplementation had previously used estrogen supplementation.

used estradiol during her *in vitro* fertilization treatments.

Impact of estrogen on quality of life

Compared to women not taking estrogen supplementation, women taking estrogen supplementation had higher CFQ-R domain scores in physical functioning, vitality, treatment burden, role limitations, weight, respiratory symptom scale and digestion symptom scale (Table 3). However, when correcting for multiple comparisons, this only

remained statistically significant in the role limitations domain ($p = 0.02$). The difference in the median of each CFQ-R domain between the groups was greater than 4 for each CFQ-R domain pair. The women taking estrogen supplementation had consistently higher CFQ-R domain scores than women not taking estrogen supplement ($p = 0.0005$, Wilcoxon rank sum test).

Impact of estrogen on sexual function

A total of 14 participants had been sexually active in the previous 4 weeks: four participants taking estrogen and ten participants not taking estrogen supplementation. The estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups had similar FSFI-6 composite scores (Table 4). Two participants in each group had scores ≤ 19 signaling female sexual dysfunction.

Impact of estrogen on mobility

The mean LSA score of all participants was 88 (SD 19). LSA scores were similar between the estrogen supplemented and non-supplemented groups ($p = 0.4$). Estrogen supplemented participants had a mean LSA score of 85.3 (SD 15.9) and non-supplemented participants had a mean LSA score of 90.5 (SD 20.6). Only one participant in each group had restricted Life-Space mobility with a LSA score less than 60.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study, current use of estrogen supplementation by women with CF was associated with improved quality of life as assessed by the CFQ-R pairwise in all CFQ-R domains and overall. When comparing pairwise by each CFQ-R domain and adjusting for multiple comparisons, this remained statistically significant in the role limitations domain ($p = 0.02$). The difference between estrogen supplemented and not supplemented groups was greater than the minimal clinical important difference in the respiratory domain ($p = 0.06$). There were

Table 3
CFQ-R Results.

| CFQ-R Domain | All participants (N = 26) | | Taking estrogen (N = 11) | | Not taking estrogen (N = 15) | | P value | Difference in median scores |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | Median | IQR | Median | IQR | Median | IQR | | |
| Physical Functioning | 85.4 | 37.5, 100 | 100 | 83.3, 100 | 70.8 | 29.2, 95.8 | 0.10* | 29.2 |
| Vitality | 58.3 | 41.7, 66.7 | 66.7 | 50, 83.3 | 50 | 25, 58.3 | 0.24* | 16.7 |
| Emotional State | 76.7 | 66.7, 86.7 | 80 | 66.7, 93.3 | 66.7 | 60, 80 | 1.03* | 13.3 |
| Eating disturbances | 100 | 77.8, 100 | 100 | 77.8, 100 | 88.9 | 66.7, 100 | 2.36* | 11.1 |
| Treatment burden | 66.7 | 44.4, 77.8 | 66.7 | 55.6, 88.9 | 55.6 | 33.3, 66.7 | 0.39* | 11.1 |
| Health perceptions | 66.7 | 44.4, 88.9 | 77.8 | 55.6, 100 | 66.7 | 44.4, 88.9 | 0.99* | 11.1 |
| Social | 72.2 | 55.6, 83.3 | 77.8 | 55.6, 94.4 | 72.2 | 50, 83.3 | 2.70* | 5.6 |
| Body image | 77.8 | 66.7, 100 | 88.9 | 66.7, 100 | 77.8 | 55.6, 100 | 1.72* | 11.1 |
| Role/School | 79.2 | 58.3, 91.7 | 91.7 | 83.3, 100 | 58.3 | 41.7, 83.3 | 0.015* | 33.3 |
| Weight (symptom scale) | 100 | 66.7, 100 | 100 | 100, 100 | 66.7 | 33.3, 100 | 0.11* | 33.3 |
| Respiratory (symptom scale) | 69.4 | 44.4, 83.3 | 83.3 | 72.2, 94.4 | 44.4 | 38.9, 72.2 | 0.06* | 38.9 |
| Digestion (symptom scale) | 83.3 | 66.7, 100 | 100 | 77.8, 100 | 77.8 | 66.7, 100 | 0.38* | 22.2 |
| Overall between groups | | | | | | | 0.0005† | |

*Bonferroni correction of Kruskal Wallis test, †Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test.

CFQ-R domain scores by estrogen supplementation status. The minimal clinical important difference for the CFQ-R is 4. The median CFQ-R domain score for estrogen supplemented participants was consistently higher than the median CFQ-R domain score of non-supplemented participants ($p = 0.0005$, Wilcoxon rank sum test); this difference was more than 4 points in each domain.

Reported P value comparing each domain is the Bonferroni correction of Kruskal Wallis test.

Table 4
Female Sexual Function Index-6 Results.

| | All participants (N = 14) | | Taking estrogen (N = 4) | | Not taking estrogen (N = 10) | | P value |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------------|-------|---------|
| | Median | IQR | Median | IQR | Median | IQR | |
| Libido | 4.0 | 3, 4 | 3.0 | 2, 4.5 | 4.0 | 3, 4 | 0.46* |
| Arousal | 4.0 | 3, 5 | 3.0 | 2.5, 4 | 4.0 | 4, 5 | 0.24* |
| Lubrication | 4.0 | 3, 5 | 2.5 | 1.5, 4 | 4.5 | 4, 5 | 0.14* |
| Orgasm | 3.5 | 2, 5 | 3.0 | 2, 4.5 | 3.5 | 2, 5 | 0.83* |
| Satisfaction | 5.0 | 3, 5 | 2.0 | 1, 5 | 5.0 | 4, 5 | 0.15* |
| Dyspareunia | 4.5 | 3, 5 | 4.5 | 3, 5 | 4.5 | 3, 5 | 0.94* |
| Composite score | 22.0 | 18, 28 | 17.5 | 12.5, 24.5 | 24.0 | 2, 28 | 0.32* |
| Female sexual dysfunction | N | % | N | % | N | % | 0.52‡ |
| | 4 | 15.4% | 2 | 50.0% | 2 | 20.0% | |
| Sexually active | All participants (N = 26) | | Taking estrogen (N = 11) | | Not taking estrogen (N = 15) | | 0.13† |
| | 14 | 53.8% | 4 | 36.4% | 10 | 66.7% | |

The FSFI-6 is validated for use in women who were sexually active in the previous 4 weeks. A composite score from summing the results from the six questions less than 19 is concerning for female sexual dysfunction. The median and interquartile range or count and percentage are reported for continuous and categorical variables respectively.

*Kruskal Wallis test, †Chi-Square Test, ‡Fisher's Exact Test.

no significant differences by FSFI-6, a tool to assess female sexual dysfunction, between the estrogen supplemented and not supplemented groups. Four participants (15.4%) had low FSFI-6 scores, concerning for female sexual dysfunction [12], which was similar to adolescent and young adult females with CF from five CF centers in the US (16%) [13]. The most common reasons for ever using estrogen supplementation were controlling menses, contraception and reducing premenstrual symptoms.

Previous studies of the role of estrogen supplementation for women with CF have focused on outcomes of inflammation [9,17–19], pulmonary function [18,19], nutrition [19], and bone mineral density [20,21]. Oral contraceptive use by women with CF has been associated with decreased frequency of acute pulmonary exacerbations, decreased bone mineral density, similar declines in FEV1 and similar BMI compared to women with CF not using oral contraception [17–21]. Most previous studies in women with CF have analyzed the use of contraceptive products and have not necessarily distinguished different formulations and routes of estrogen or combination estrogen and progesterone from progesterone only; previous studies on participants using contraception may have included progesterone-only contraception users in the analysis. Few studies of women with CF have examined estrogen-containing products that are not used for contraception. Our sample of women with CF highlights that women with CF are using estrogen supplementation for more reasons than just contraception.

In a recent prospective study by Holtrop and colleagues, 23 women with CF were assessed repeatedly during their regular ovulatory cycle and then 12 women continued in a sub-study during which they initiated 2 months of oral contraceptive pill (OCP) containing 30 mcg ethinyl estradiol and progesterone [9]. While on OCP, the participants had statistically significantly decreased sputum markers of inflammation: neutrophil free elastase, IL-8 and TNF-alpha relative to ovulation when not taking OCP. While on OCP, participants had increased CFQ-R respiratory, treatment burden and health perceptions domain scores, compared to when they had been menstruating or ovulating. Our results affirm Holtrop and colleagues' recent findings that estrogen supplementation is associated with higher CFQ-R domain scores [9]. Unlike Holtrop and colleagues, we found that estrogen supplementation was associated with higher CFQ-R domain scores in all domains with a difference greater than 4 in each domain. Our findings may relate to a longer duration of estrogen supplementation amongst our estrogen-supplement participants and comparing intra-patient vs inter-patient differences in CFQ-R domain scores.

Our results support previous findings in women without CF that estrogen supplementation improves quality of life in postmenopausal women. Postmenopausal women with intact uteri randomized in the Women's Health Initiative to conjugated equine estrogens with progesterone or placebo had small but statistically significant improvements in some domains of the RAND-36, a general health-related quality

of life survey [22]. There is less data regarding estrogen supplementation for quality of life of premenopausal women. In an industry-sponsored study of premenopausal women initiating a specific OCP containing 30 mcg ethinyl estradiol and progesterone, participants had improved mental component summary scales of the SF-12, another general health-related quality of life instrument, after two months of using this OCP compared to their baseline scores before initiating this OCP, in addition to reduction of premenstrual symptoms [23]. A cross-sectional study of university students in Spain using the SEC-QOL, a Spanish contraception-specific quality of life instrument, found that women using contraception had higher SEC-QOL scores and that women using hormonal contraception had higher SEC-QOL scores than women using non-hormonal contraception [24].

Estrogen has similarly been shown to improve depressed mood in women. Women with acute decreases in estrogen levels, i.e. surgically post-menopausal women, perimenopausal and recently post-menopausal and post-partum women, are at an increased risk for depression. In a double-blinded placebo-controlled randomized control trial of 100 mcg transdermal 17-beta estradiol for 12 weeks, more perimenopausal women with depression or dysthymic mood disorder randomized to estradiol had remission of their depression than women randomized to placebo [25]. Similarly, postpartum women with newly diagnosed major depressive disorder randomized to estradiol supplementation more rapidly had resolution of their depressed symptoms than the women randomized to placebo [26].

The association of estrogen with higher quality of life scores in women with CF may relate to the physiologic suppression of ovulatory estradiol surges affecting respiratory pathophysiology and inflammation or relate to the demographic and clinical reasons for which participants had chosen to use estrogen supplementation. Additionally, women with depression have larger amplitudes of fluctuation of estradiol levels within a menstrual cycle [27]; these fluctuations in estrogen level would be suppressed with the use of estrogen supplementation. The use of estrogen in contraception by premenopausal women has also ameliorated premenstrual symptoms, which may further improve quality of life scores in women taking contraception. In addition to suppressing estradiol fluctuations, estrogen receptors are widely distributed in the brain, and estrogen is involved in multiple neurochemical pathways. Synthetic estrogens, including ethinyl estradiol, bind to the estrogen receptors. Furthermore, it is possible that a person who can focus on aspects of their health not directly related to their chronic disease and thus chooses to start estrogen supplementation may experience better quality of life than a person who is only focused on treatment of their CF.

Our study is limited by its small sample size and cross-sectional study design. Due to the small size of our study, analysis of subgroups of participants such as by lung function or employment status was not done. To our knowledge, with 26 participants, this is the largest study of the association of estrogen supplementation and quality of life in women with CF. Our study is strengthened by the detailed interview with participants regarding current and previous estrogen use, instead of relying on prescription or pharmacy data; however, it is limited by recall bias of events that may have occurred more than a decade earlier. Unfortunately, few participants recalled the details of previous estrogen doses; however, they did recall if they used combination OCP or progesterone-only OCP products and the reasons for using estrogen-containing products. Participants were not interviewed about their quality of life beyond the questionnaires administered. Participants' study visit overlapped with a routine clinic visit and was not scheduled with respect to their menstrual cycle or timing of placebo contraceptives. Participants who had previously used estrogen containing products but were not currently taking estrogen products were included in the analysis as not currently taking estrogen products which further limits our conclusions.

In conclusion, current use of estrogen supplementation was associated with higher quality of life scores in women with CF in this cross-sectional study. These are promising findings as women with CF may be considering using estrogen supplementation for contraception or

other purposes. Larger, prospective randomized studies and qualitative studies are needed to clarify the association of estrogen supplementation and quality of life for women with CF.

These findings were previously presented as an oral presentation at the Southern Regional Meeting 2021 and interim results were published as conference abstracts in the *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, *Journal of the Endocrine Society*, and *Pediatric Pulmonology*. The full manuscript has not been published elsewhere.

Funding support

This work was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health [TL1TR002382, UL1TR002378] and National Institutes of Health [P30DK125013] to Emory University and the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation [WU20D0]. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or Cystic Fibrosis Foundation.

Role of funder

Salary support to MW [WU20D0].

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Malinda Wu: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Project administration. **Neha Arora:** Validation, Investigation, Data curation, Writing – review & editing. **Viranuj Sueblinvong:** Resources, Writing – review & editing. **William R. Hunt:** Resources, Writing – review & editing. **Vin Tangpricha:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all our participants and the clinical and research staff of the Emory CF Center. We thank Dr. Isidori for permission to use the FSFI-6 instrument in our study.

References

- [1] Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry 2019 Annual Data Report. Bethesda, Maryland: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation; 2020.
- [2] About Cystic Fibrosis. (Accessed 06/02/2021, at <https://www.cff.org/What-is-CF/About-Cystic-Fibrosis/>).
- [3] Riordan JR, Rommens JM, Kerem B-S, Alon N, Rozmahel R, Grzelczak Z, et al. Identification of the cystic fibrosis gene: cloning and characterization of complementary DNA. *Science* 1989;245(4922):1066–73.
- [4] Baiardini I, Steinhilber G, Dim F, Braido F, Solidoro P. Anxiety and depression in cystic fibrosis. *Minerva Med* 2015;106:1–8.
- [5] Ryan J, Burger HG, Szoeko C, Lehert P, Ancelin M-L, Henderson VW, et al. A prospective study of the association between endogenous hormones and depressive symptoms in postmenopausal women. *Menopause (New York, NY)* 2009;16(3):509–17.
- [6] Dennerstein L, Guthrie JR, Clark M, Lehert P, Henderson VW. A population-based study of depressed mood in middle-aged, Australian-born women. *Menopause (New York, NY)* 2004;11:563–8.
- [7] Reiter EO, Stern RC, Root AW. The reproductive endocrine system in cystic fibrosis: I. basal gonadotropin and sex steroid levels. *Am J Dis Child* 1981;135:422–6.
- [8] Umlawska W, Sands D, Zielinska A. Age of menarche in girls with cystic fibrosis. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol* 2010;48:185–90.
- [9] Holtrop M, Heltshe S, Shabanova V, Keller A, Schumacher L, Fernandez L, et al. A Prospective Study of the Effects of Sex Hormones on Lung Function and

- Inflammation in Women with Cystic Fibrosis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2021;18(7): 1158–66.
- [10] Quittner AL, Buu A, Messer MA, Modi AC, Watrous M. Development and validation of The Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire in the United States: a health-related quality-of-life measure for cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2005;128(4):2347–54.
- [11] Quittner AL, Modi AC, Wainwright C, Otto K, Kirihara J, Montgomery AB. Determination of the minimal clinically important difference scores for the Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised respiratory symptom scale in two populations of patients with cystic fibrosis and chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* airway infection. *Chest* 2009;135(6):1610–8.
- [12] Isidori AM, Pozza C, Esposito K, et al. Development and Validation of a 6-Item Version of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) as a Diagnostic Tool for Female Sexual Dysfunction. *J Sex Med* 2010;7:1139–46.
- [13] Kazmerski TM, Sawicki GS, Miller E, Jones KA, Abebe KZ, Tuchman LK, et al. Sexual and reproductive health behaviors and experiences reported by young women with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros: official journal of the European Cystic Fibrosis Society* 2018;17(1):57–63.
- [14] Peel C, Sawyer Baker P, Roth DL, Brown CJ, Brodner EV, Allman RM. Assessing mobility in older adults: the UAB Study of Aging Life-Space Assessment. *Phys Ther* 2005;85:1008–119.
- [15] Gottlieb ER, Smith EC, Wolfenden LL, Allman RM, Tangpricha V. Life-space mobility is associated with frequency of hospitalization in adults with cystic fibrosis. *Clin Respir J* 2011;5(4):245–51.
- [16] Thobani A, Alvarez JA, Blair S, Jackson K, Gottlieb ER, Walker S, et al. Higher mobility scores in patients with cystic fibrosis are associated with better lung function. *Pulm Med* 2015;2015:1–6.
- [17] Chotirmall SH, Smith SG, Gunaratnam C, Cosgrove S, Dimitrov BD, O'Neill SJ, et al. Effect of estrogen on *pseudomonas* mucoidy and exacerbations in cystic fibrosis. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366(21):1978–86.
- [18] Fitzpatrick SB, Stokes DC, Rosenstein BJ, Terry P, Hubbard VS. Use of oral contraceptives in women with cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 1984;86(6):863–7.
- [19] Kernan NG, Alton EFWF, Cullinan P, Griesenbach U, Bilton D. Oral contraceptives do not appear to affect cystic fibrosis disease severity. *Eur Respir J* 2013;41(1): 67–73.
- [20] Wu M, Bettermann EL, Arora N, Hunt WR, McCracken C, Tangpricha V. Relationship between estrogen treatment and skeletal health in women with cystic fibrosis. *Am J Med Sci* 2020;360(5):581–90.
- [21] Wu M, Hunt WR, Putman MS, Tangpricha V. Oral ethinyl estradiol treatment in women with cystic fibrosis is associated with lower bone mineral density. *J Clin Transl Endocrinol* 2020;20:100223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcte.2020.100223>.
- [22] Manson JE, Chlebowski RT, Stefanick ML, Aragaki AK, Rossouw JE, Prentice RL, et al. Menopausal hormone therapy and health outcomes during the intervention and extended poststopping phases of the Women's Health Initiative randomized trials. *JAMA* 2013;310(13):1353. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.278040>.
- [23] Borenstein J, Yu HT, Wade S, Chiou CF, Rapkin A. Effect of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and drospirenone on premenstrual symptomatology and health-related quality of life. *J Reprod Med* 2003;48:79–85.
- [24] Leon-Larios F, Vazquez-Valeo CG, Sanchez-Sanchez A, Gomez-Baya D, Macías-Seda J, Cabezas-Palacios MN. Health-related quality of life in undergraduate women using any contraceptive. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2019;17:90.
- [25] de Novaes Soares C, Almeida OP, Joffe H, Cohen LS. Efficacy of estradiol for the treatment of depressive disorders in perimenopausal women: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2001;58(6):529. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.58.6.529>.
- [26] Gregoire AJ, Kumar R, Everitt B, Henderson AF, Studd JW. Transdermal oestrogen for treatment of severe postnatal depression. *Lancet (London, England)* 1996;347: 930–3.
- [27] Bao A-M, Ji Y-F, Van Someren EJW, Hofman MA, Liu R-Y, Zhou J-N. Diurnal rhythms of free estradiol and cortisol during the normal menstrual cycle in women with major depression. *Horm Behav* 2004;45(2):93–102.