



The hospital cost of two-stage reimplantation for deep infection after shoulder arthroplasty

Yaser M.K. Baghdadi, MD, Hilal Maradit-Kremers, MD, MSc, Taylor Dennison, MD, Jeanine E. Ransom, BA, John W. Sperling, MD, MBA, Robert H. Cofield, MD, Joaquin Sánchez-Sotelo, MD, PhD *

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Cost
Infection
Revision
Shoulder
Arthroplasty
Replacement
Periprosthetic infection
Complications
Outcomes

Level of evidence: Level IV, Economic/Cost Analysis

Background: The cost of treating infection after hip and knee arthroplasty is well documented in the literature. The purpose of this study was to determine the cost of two-stage reimplantation for deep infection after shoulder arthroplasty.

Methods: Between 2003 and 2012, 57 shoulders (56 patients) underwent a two-stage reimplantation for deep periprosthetic shoulder infection; implants placed at reimplantation included anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty (a-TSA) in 58%, reverse total shoulder arthroplasty (r-TSA) in 40%, and hemiarthroplasty (HA) in 2%. During the same timeframe, 2953 primary shoulder arthroplasties (2589 patients) were performed at the same institution (a-TSA in 55%, r-TSA in 28%, and HA in 17%). Total direct medical costs were calculated by using standardized, inflation-adjusted costs for services and procedures billed during hospitalization and were adjusted to nationally representative unit costs in 2013 inflation-adjusted dollars.

Results: The mean hospital cost (per shoulder) for two-stage reimplantation was \$35,824 (95% CI: 33,363 to 38,285) and was significantly higher than for primary procedures (mean: \$16,068; 95% CI: 15,823 to 16,314). Both Part A and Part B costs were significantly higher in two-stage reimplantation ($p < 0.001$). For part A (hospital services), the mean cost for two-stage reimplantation was \$29,851 (95% CI: 27,741 to 31,960), compared to \$13,508 (95% CI: 13,302 to 13,715) for primaries. For part B (professional costs), mean costs were \$5973 (95% CI: 5493 to 6453) versus 2560 (95% CI: 2512 to 2608) respectively.

Conclusions: The hospital cost of two-stage reimplantation for the treatment of an infected shoulder arthroplasty is about two times higher than the cost of a primary shoulder arthroplasty.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Deep periprosthetic infection after shoulder arthroplasty is a devastating complication. In 2011, there were approximately 65,000 shoulder arthroplasties performed in the United States,^{6,17} and the volume of this procedure is expected to continue to grow.^{5,8} As a result, the number of revisions due to failures is also anticipated to increase.^{5,19} The overall estimated rate of deep infection after shoulder arthroplasty is approximately 1%.¹⁵ Taking into account the rising number of shoulder arthroplasties being performed, the cost of managing the infected shoulder arthroplasty is expected to grow as well.

The financial burden associated with the treatment of periprosthetic hip and knee infections has been well-analyzed in the literature.^{4,7,10,12,13,20} It has been shown that the cost of revisions for periprosthetic hip and knee infections in the United States rose from \$320 million to 566 million between 2001 and 2009.¹⁰ By 2020, this is expected to be around \$1.62 billion.¹⁰ The financial burden associated with the treatment of an infected shoulder arthroplasty is likewise expected to be substantial.¹⁵ The characteristics of periprosthetic shoulder infections are unlike those of the hip and knee, and previous reports suggest that they have a higher morbidity and costs compared with periprosthetic infections of other joints.^{3,14}

In North America, two-stage reimplantation is the most common treatment strategy used for the infected shoulder arthroplasty. To our knowledge, there is limited available information in the specific costs of treating a deep periprosthetic shoulder infection. The purpose of this study was to estimate the hospital cost of two-stage reimplantation for the treatment of deep infection after

Funding: We have not received any external source of funding for any aspect of this study. This study was approved by the institutional review board at Mayo Clinic. IRB: Mayo Clinic IRB # 12-002169.

Disclaimer: None.

* Corresponding author. Joaquin Sánchez-Sotelo, MD, PhD, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, 200 1st Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA.

E-mail address: sanchezsotelo.joaquin@mayo.edu (J. Sánchez-Sotelo).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jses.2017.02.001>

2468-6026/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

shoulder arthroplasty as compared to the cost of an uncomplicated primary shoulder arthroplasty.

Materials and methods

Study cohort

This study was performed after approval from our institutional review board (IRB). Our institutional joint registry database² was utilized to identify all patients who underwent primary shoulder arthroplasty and all patients who underwent two-stage reimplantation for a periprosthetic shoulder joint infection between 2003 and 2012. The study cohort included 2953 primary procedures performed in 2589 patients, and 57 two-stage reimplantation procedures performed in 56 patients.

In the primary arthroplasty group, there were 1575 female patients (53%) and 1378 male patients (47%), with mean body mass index (BMI) of 30 ± 6 kg/m², and mean age of 68.1 ± 12.3 years. The types of procedures performed in this group included an anatomic (non-reverse) total shoulder arthroplasty (a-TSA) in 1608 (55%) shoulders, a reverse shoulder arthroplasty (r-TSA) in 837 (28%) shoulders, and a hemiarthroplasty (HA) in 508 (17%) shoulders (Table I).

In the two-stage reimplantation group, there were 17 female patients (30%) and 40 male patients (70%) with mean BMI of 31 ± 7 kg/m² and mean age of 62.9 ± 10.2 years. At the time of reimplantation, the types of procedures performed included an a-TSA in 33 (58%) shoulders, a r-TSA in 23 (40%), and a HA in one (2%) shoulder.

Cost data

Cost data for each hospitalization episode were obtained from an institutional research database that includes line item details (date, type, frequency, and billed charge) and standardized, inflation-adjusted costs for all services and procedures billed to patients treated at our institution.^{1,9,11,12} Given the discrepancies between billed charges and true resource use, bottom-up micro-costing valuation techniques were employed to generate standard inflation-adjusted estimates of the costs in constant dollars.^{1,9,11,12} As described previously,^{1,9,11,12,16} the overall utilization and its value are grouped into Part A (e.g. room and board, radiology, physical therapy, implants, other supplies, etc.) and Part B (i.e., professional costs, examinations and consultations, diagnostic and therapeutic procedures). Costs were adjusted to nationally representative unit costs in 2013 inflation-adjusted dollars. Additionally, costs related to each hospital stay were categorized further into room and board, pharmacy and medications, laboratory and pathology tests, and implants.^{1,9,11,12,16}

Statistical analyses

The total direct medical costs during hospitalization were compared between the two study groups, primary shoulder arthroplasty (n = 2953 shoulders) and two stage reimplantation (n = 57 shoulders). For primary arthroplasty procedures, costs were estimated per hospital episode. For patients who had two-stage shoulder reimplantation procedures, costs from the 2 hospitalization episodes were combined and costs were expressed as costs per shoulder. Thus, the cost estimates for two-stage procedures are the total from 2 hospitalizations. As appropriate, data were expressed as means with standard deviations (SD), means with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), medians with interquartile range (IQR) or frequencies with percentages. The statistical significance was set at a p-value of <0.05.

Results

Overall cost

The mean overall hospital cost (per shoulder) for the treatment of patients with two-stage shoulder arthroplasty reimplantation was \$35,824 (95%CI: \$33,363 to 38,285) and was more than double (approximately 2.2-fold higher) compared to a mean of \$16,068 (95%CI: \$15,823 to \$16,314) for the treatment with primary shoulder arthroplasty (p < 0.001).

The cost difference between primary and two-stage reimplantation groups was evident for both Part A (hospital services) and Part B (professional services) costs. For Part A (hospital services), the costs were significantly higher for two-stage reimplantation procedures with a mean of \$29,851 (95%CI: \$27,741 to \$31,960) compared to \$13,508 (95%CI: \$13,302 to \$13,715) for primary shoulder arthroplasty (p < 0.001). For Part B (professional costs), the mean costs were also significantly higher for treating patients with two-stage reimplantation (mean: \$5973; 95%CI: \$5493 to \$6453) compared to patients having primary arthroplasty (mean: \$2560; 95%CI: \$2512 to \$2608) (p < 0.001) (Table II).

Length of stay and cost categories

For the two-stage reimplantation group, patients were hospitalized twice, leading to a significantly longer length of stay in the hospital (median: 5 days; IQR: 4 to 7 days) compared to patients who had undergone primary shoulder arthroplasty (median: 2 days; IQR: 1 to 3 days) (p < 0.001). Extended hospital stay (2 hospitalizations) for the two-stage reimplantation resulted in a significantly higher costs for room and board utilization with a mean of \$6894 (95% CI: 5926 to 7861) compared to \$2547 (95% CI: 2450

Table I
Description of the study cohort

Variable	Primary shoulder arthroplasty			Overall*	Two-stage shoulder arthroplasty reimplantation			
	a-TSA	r-TSA	HA		a-TSA	r-TSA	HA	Overall
Patients	1384	786	483	2589	32	23	1	56
Shoulders	1608 (54.5%)	837 (28.3%)	508 (17.2%)	2953 (100.0%)	33 (58.0%)	23 (40.0%)	1 (2.0%)	57 (100.0%)
Female	808	499	268	1575 (53%)	7	9	1	17 (30%)
Male	800	338	240	1378 (47%)	26	14	–	40 (70%)
Mean age	67.1 (±11.5)	72.9 (±9.0)	63.6 (±16.5)	68.1 (±12.3)	61.1 (±10.2)	65.8 (±10.0)	57	62.9 (±10.2)
Mean BMI (±SD)	31.0 (±6.0)	30.0 (±6.0)	30.0 (±7.0)	30.0 (±6.0)	32.0 (±7.0)	31.0 (±6.0)	22.0	31.0 (±7.0)
Median LOS in days (IQR)	2 (1 to 3)	2 (1 to 3)	2 (1 to 3)	2 (1 to 3)	6 (4 to 8)	5 (3 to 6)	3	5 (4 to 7)

LOS, length of hospital stay; BMI, body mass index.

* Sixty-four patients had multiple surgery types.

Table II
Total direct medical costs according to type of shoulder arthroplasty procedure*

Variable	Primary shoulder arthroplasty				Two-stage shoulder arthroplasty reimplantation			
	a-TSA N = 1608	r-TSA N = 837	HA N = 508	Overall N = 2953	a-TSA N = 33	r-TSA N = 23	HA N = 1	Overall N = 57
Mean (95% CI)	\$14,567 (14,312 to 14,822)	\$18,956 (18,591 to 19,322)	\$16,062 (15,129 to 16,995)	\$16,068 (15,823 to 16,314)	\$34,732 (31,027 to 38,437)	\$37,675 (34,487 to 40,862)	\$28,188	\$35,824 (33,363 to 38,285)
Median (IQR)	\$14,095 (12,844 to 15,403)	\$18,200 (16,890 to 19,878)	\$12,966 (11,576 to 15,987)	\$14,863 (13,098 to 17,596)	\$32,758 (27,028 to 36,703)	\$36,579 (32,385 to 41,864)		\$34,558 (29,722 to 39,376)

* Costs were presented as means with 95% confidence intervals.

to 2644) for the primary arthroplasties. The costs associated with two-stage reimplantation were higher in all aspects of care, including implant costs. Costs of pharmacy and medications, costs of laboratory and pathology tests, and costs of implants were also significantly higher in the two-stage reimplantation compared to the primary arthroplasty group (Table III).

Discussion

Joint replacement has been proven to be a highly cost-effective surgical intervention. However, when complications happen, they can lead to increasing costs very quickly. Over the last few years, the volume of shoulder arthroplasty performed worldwide has increased substantially.⁵ The number of individuals requiring surgical treatment of a deep periprosthetic infection will continue to increase proportionally. The financial implications of managing deep infection after shoulder arthroplasty with a two-stage reimplantation, thoroughly investigated in this study, more than double the cost of an uncomplicated primary shoulder arthroplasty. Costs are increased across all categories, including room and board utilization, pharmacy, medications, laboratory and pathology studies, as well as implants.

The cost of dealing with deep infection after hip or knee arthroplasty has been thoroughly investigated.^{4,7,10,12,13,20} Bozic and Ries⁴ reported that two-stage hip reimplantation for periprosthetic infection was associated with longer operative time, greater blood loss, and more complications compared to both primary hip replacement and revision for aseptic loosening. Two-stage reimplantation also leads to a higher number of hospitalizations, hospital stay, reoperations and outpatient clinic visits during the first year after surgery. Mean costs were significantly greater for two-stage reimplantation compared to both revision for aseptic loosening and primary arthroplasty in terms of both hospital costs (\$96,166 versus \$34,866 and \$21,654, respectively) and outpatient charges (\$48,348 versus \$16,411 and \$8519 respectively). Similar data have been reported for deep infection after knee arthroplasty. In the study by Kapadia et al⁷ two-stage reimplantation was associated with longer length of stay, more readmissions, more outpatient clinic

visits, and greater mean annual costs (\$116,383 versus \$28,249) compared to matched cohort of patients who had primary knee replacement.

There are less published studies regarding the cost of managing infection after shoulder arthroplasty. Schairer et al¹⁸ analyzed 90-day readmission data after shoulder arthroplasty as collected in a state inpatient database; the mean overall cost of readmission was \$10,947, with infection being the most common reason for readmission. Padegimas et al¹⁵ analyzed the national economic burden of periprosthetic infection after primary shoulder arthroplasty in the United States, and found approximate median hospital costs of \$17,000 for the treatment of periprosthetic infection as compared to \$16,000, \$14,000, and \$20,000 for primary total shoulder arthroplasty, hemiarthroplasty, and reverse arthroplasty, respectively. Interestingly, costs reported by these authors were inferior than costs reported in our study; this is likely due to the fact that a study based on the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) database using ICD-9 codes may have dialed to capture the second-stage for shoulders treated with a two-stage reimplantation.

Our study presents a number of weaknesses. First, cost data were limited to direct medical costs; we did not include outpatient costs, antibiotic treatment in between stages, physical therapy, extended care facility admissions, readmissions and reoperations after the second stage, days missed from work, or disability. Second, cost analyses were normalized to 2013 inflation-adjusted value, possibly inflating the costs applied over the entire study duration. Third, we did not analyze the impact of obesity and other comorbidities on cost. Finally, the utilization patterns in our institution may not reflect other practice patterns; thus, our results may not be generalizable to other practices.

Our study also presents a number of strengths. Our study cohort was large and obtained from a total joint registry² that includes prospectively collected data from a high volume tertiary care center. Thus, it avoids potential pitfalls associated with other data resources that may provide more incomplete information, such as the NIS data. In addition, we employed a robust cost methodology that estimated standardized costs applied to line item details of services, rather than simply relying on charges or average costs,^{1,9,11,12} and we were able to capture items that are otherwise difficult to obtain through NIS data, such as professional services, consultations and other ancillary services.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the management of periprosthetic infection after shoulder replacement is a costly procedure with direct medical hospital costs at least two times higher than the primary shoulder arthroplasty procedures. Efforts toward preventing infection after shoulder arthroplasty will not only decrease overall patient morbidity but also lead to substantial financial savings and improve resource utilization.

Table III
Components of direct medical costs according to type of shoulder arthroplasty procedure*

Variable	Primary shoulder arthroplasty (N = 2953)	Two-stage shoulder arthroplasty reimplantation (N = 57)
Room and board	\$2547 (2450 to 2644)	\$6894 (5926 to 7861)
Pharmacy and medications	\$656 (621 to 690)	\$2106 (1842 to 2369)
Laboratory and pathology tests	\$337 (304 to 370)	\$2352 (1931 to 2774)
Implants	\$4466 (4384 to 4548)	\$6804 (5600 to 8008)

* Costs were presented as means with 95% confidence intervals.

References

- Ashraf A, Larson AN, Maradit-Kremers H, Kremers WK, Lewallen DG. Hospital costs of total hip arthroplasty for developmental dysplasia of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2014;472:2237-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-014-3587-9>
- Berry DJ, Kessler M, Morrey BF. Maintaining a hip registry for 25 years. Mayo Clinic experience. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1997;61-8.
- Bohsali KI, Wirth MA, Rockwood CA Jr. Complications of total shoulder arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006;88:2279-92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.f.00125>
- Bozic KJ, Ries MD. The impact of infection after total hip arthroplasty on hospital and surgeon resource utilization. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005;87:1746-51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.d.02937>
- Day JS, Lau E, Ong KL, Williams GR, Ramsey ML, Kurtz SM. Prevalence and projections of total shoulder and elbow arthroplasty in the United States to 2015. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2010;19:1115-20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2010.02.009>
- Jain NB, Yamaguchi K. The contribution of reverse shoulder arthroplasty to utilization of primary shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2014;23:1905-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2014.06.055>
- Kapadia BH, McElroy MJ, Issa K, Johnson AJ, Bozic KJ, Mont MA. The economic impact of periprosthetic infections following total knee arthroplasty at a specialized tertiary-care center. *J Arthroplasty* 2014;29:929-32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2013.09.017>
- Kim SH, Wise BL, Zhang Y, Szabo RM. Increasing incidence of shoulder arthroplasty in the United States. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2011;93:2249-54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.j.01994>
- Kremers HM, Visscher SL, Kremers WK, Naessens JM, Lewallen DG. The effect of obesity on direct medical costs in total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2014;96:718-24. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.m.00819>
- Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. *J Arthroplasty* 2012;27:61-65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2012.02.022>
- Maradit Kremers H, Visscher SL, Kremers WK, Naessens JM, Lewallen DG. Obesity increases length of stay and direct medical costs in total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2014;472:1232-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-013-3316-9>
- Maradit Kremers H, Visscher SL, Moriarty JP, Reinalda MS, Kremers WK, Naessens JM, et al. Determinants of direct medical costs in primary and revision total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2013;471:206-14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11999-012-2508-z>
- Merollini KM, Crawford RW, Graves N. Surgical treatment approaches and reimbursement costs of surgical site infections post hip arthroplasty in Australia: a retrospective analysis. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013;13:91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-91>
- Mook WR, Garrigues GE. Diagnosis and management of periprosthetic shoulder infections. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2014;96:956-65. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.M.00402>
- Padegimas EM, Maltenfort M, Ramsey ML, Williams GR, Parvizi J, Namdari S. Periprosthetic shoulder infection in the United States: incidence and economic burden. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2015;24:741-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2014.11.044>
- Sanchez-Sotelo J, Haidukewych GJ, Boberg CJ. Hospital cost of dislocation after primary total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006;88:290-4. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/jbjs.d.02799>
- Schairer WW, Nwachukwu BU, Lyman S, Craig EV, Gulotta LV. National utilization of reverse total shoulder arthroplasty in the United States. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2015;24:91-7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2014.08.026>
- Schairer WW, Zhang AL, Feeley BT. Hospital readmissions after primary shoulder arthroplasty. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2014;23:1349-55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2013.12.004>
- Schwartz BE, Savin DD, Youderian AR, Mossad D, Goldberg BA. National trends and perioperative outcomes in primary and revision total shoulder arthroplasty: trends in total shoulder arthroplasty. *Int Orthop* 2015;39:271-6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00264-014-2614-5>
- Sculco TP. The economic impact of infected total joint arthroplasty. *Instr Course Lect* 1993;42:349-51.