

Healthy Animals, Healthy People: Zoonosis Risk from Animal Contact in Pet Shops, a Systematic Review of the Literature

Kate D. Halsby^{1*}, Amanda L. Walsh¹, Colin Campbell², Kirsty Hewitt^{1,3}, Dilys Morgan¹

1 Gastrointestinal, Emerging and Zoonotic Infections Department, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom, **2** Centre for the Epidemiological Study of Sexually Transmitted Infections and AIDS of Catalonia (CEEISCAT) – ICO, Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain, **3** London/KSS Specialty School of Public Health, London Deanery, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Around 67 million pets are owned by households in the United Kingdom, and an increasing number of these are exotic animals. Approximately a third of pets are purchased through retail outlets or direct from breeders. A wide range of infections can be associated with companion animals.

Objectives: This study uses a systematic literature review to describe the transmission of zoonotic disease in humans associated with a pet shop or other location selling pets (incidents of rabies tracebacks and zoonoses from pet food were excluded).

Data sources: PubMed and EMBASE.

Results: Fifty seven separate case reports or incidents were described in the 82 papers that were identified by the systematic review. Summary information on each incident is included in this manuscript. The infections include bacterial, viral and fungal diseases and range in severity from mild to life threatening. Infections associated with birds and rodents were the most commonly reported. Over half of the reports describe incidents in the Americas, and three of these were outbreaks involving more than 50 cases. Many of the incidents identified relate to infections in pet shop employees.

Limitations: This review may have been subject to publication bias, where unusual and unexpected zoonotic infections may be over-represented in peer-reviewed publications. It was also restricted to English-language articles so that pathogens that are more common in non-Western countries, or in more exotic animals not common in Europe and the Americas, may have been under-represented.

Conclusions/implications: A wide spectrum of zoonotic infections are acquired from pet shops. Salmonellosis and psittacosis were the most commonly documented diseases, however more unusual infections such as tularemia also appeared in the review. Given their potential to spread zoonotic infection, it is important that pet shops act to minimise the risk as far as possible.

Citation: Halsby KD, Walsh AL, Campbell C, Hewitt K, Morgan D (2014) Healthy Animals, Healthy People: Zoonosis Risk from Animal Contact in Pet Shops, a Systematic Review of the Literature. PLoS ONE 9(2): e89309. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089309

Editor: Martyn Kirk, The Australian National University, Australia

Received: April 26, 2013; **Accepted:** January 22, 2014; **Published:** February 26, 2014

Copyright: © 2014 Halsby et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: The authors have no support or funding to report.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: kate.halsby@phe.gov.uk

Introduction

Rising numbers of household pets, in particular exotic species, means that an increasing number of people are exposed to the risk of acquiring zoonotic disease from companion animals. Around 67 million pets are now owned by UK households, with 13 million households in the UK (48%) owning at least one pet in 2012 [1]. Traditional pets such as dogs and cats remain the most popular (23% of UK households own a dog and 19% of UK households own a cat) [1], however there has been an increased ownership of exotic pets in recent years, though accurate figures are difficult to obtain. This increase is due in part to the 2007 modification to The Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 [2]. This act lists animals

for which licenses are required in the UK in order to keep the animal as a pet, whilst the modification to the act removed some exotic animals from the list.

A wide range of infections can be associated with companion animals, including parasitic, bacterial, fungal and viral diseases [3–5]. Of those transmitted by bites and scratches, pasteurellosis, cat-scratch disease, and various aerobic and anaerobic infections are predominant. Other common infections are gastrointestinal (e.g. campylobacter, salmonella), dermatologic (e.g. dermatophytoses, scabies), respiratory (e.g. psittacosis) and multisystemic (e.g. toxoplasmosis, leishmaniasis) [3].

The top five sources for acquiring a pet are: friend/acquaintance, rescue centre, pet shop, recommended breeder, and private

advertisement [6]. There are studies in the literature examining animal infections in pet shops and other retail outlets [7–10], but little exploration of human infections arising from these facilities. Whilst owning a pet will always result in a small risk of zoonotic illness to the owners and those that the pet comes into contact with, a sick animal in a pet shop can potentially spread the illness to other animals within the shop, and to a large number of geographically distributed owners as newly purchased pets are taken home. Pet shops can therefore act as a nexus point for zoonotic disease.

Methods

In September 2012, a systematic literature review was performed in order to identify any reports of human infection acquired (or where the report's authors inferred that it had been acquired) from a pet shop or other location selling pets, or an animal reported to have been acquired from such a premises.

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

Data for this review were identified by searches of PubMed and EMBASE, and through the references of papers identified by the review (references at all stages of publication were considered). We used the following Boolean search statement: (“pet shop” OR “pet store” OR “pet” OR “companion animal”) AND (“zoonoses” OR “zoonosis” OR “Human infection” OR “Human case”). Articles in English were selected (although foreign language publications were accepted where an English abstract was available and contained sufficient information to fulfill the inclusion criteria), and no date restrictions were applied to the searches. (The main PubMed database contains manuscripts dating back to 1966, whilst EMBASE covers manuscripts from 1974 onwards.).

The abstracts of the articles were examined and retained if they referred to: i) human cases of zoonotic infection, with ii) a link to a pet or companion animal. The full text was then examined and retained if reference was made to: i) human cases of zoonotic infection, ii) which came from a pet (or a potential pet), and iii) where the animal had a link to a pet shop or other location that sells or distributes companion animals. The following information was extracted from the articles: zoonosis/agent, country (of infection or report if not known), year of infection (or report if not known), type of animal, setting (e.g. pet store, pet distributor), number of human cases associated with pet shop (or other location selling/distributing companion animals), age of human cases, method of transmission (e.g. bite or scratch), and type of contact (e.g. domestic or occupational). The information was extracted by the principal investigator and reviewed by a co-author.

A number of articles considered during the systematic review described rabid animals which had been sold in pet shops, and the extensive contact tracing for postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) which had to be conducted as a result. These were not included in this review since none of the articles documented a human case of rabies that had arisen from such animals. Further articles considered by the systematic review described cases of zoonotic infection associated with pet food and treats, purchased in pet shops. These were also not included in the review since the inclusion criteria required the pet itself to have a link to the pet shop.

Results

One thousand and eighty seven papers were identified by the initial systematic literature review. Nine hundred and forty five of

these were English-language articles, of which 265 were retained based on abstracts, and 66 met the full text inclusion criteria. The original search also identified 142 foreign language papers, of which five had sufficient information in the English abstract to include the paper in the final review. In addition, twelve potential articles were identified through the references of included papers, of which eleven met the inclusion criteria themselves.

A total of 82 papers fulfilled the criteria of the systematic review.

The results of the literature review are presented in Table 1 (where a particular incident was described by more than one paper in the review, only primary paper(s) are included in the table; articles which discussed the incident only by reference to the primary paper(s) were not included). If the country of the incident was not stated, it was assumed to be the authors' country. If a year of incident was not given, the year of publication of the paper was used as a proxy. The number of infections refers to the human cases linked to pet shops in each article, not the total number of human cases discussed.

Table 1 therefore summarises the cases of disease associated with a pet shop that were identified by the literature review. Fifty seven cases of disease or incidents associated with pet shops or other facilities distributing companion animals were included. Bacterial, viral and fungal diseases were all identified, and ranged in severity from mild to life threatening. For example, infection with ringworm (Dermatophytosis) was noted in several articles, with four separate examples in Japanese pet shop employees and customers [11–14]. Zoophilic dermatophyte infections are rarely serious, generally self-limiting and respond well to treatment [15]. In contrast, two articles describing infection with rat bite fever (*Streptobacillus moniliformis* or *Spirillum minus*) were identified by the review [16,17], one of which occurred in a pet shop employee and resulted in his death. Rat bite fever has a mortality rate of up to 13% in untreated cases [18].

The infection described most often was psittacosis ($n = 18$), followed by salmonellosis ($n = 12$) (Table 2). All of the psittacosis infections were associated with birds (where the putative animal source was identified), and no other avian infection was recorded in the review. The next group of animals most commonly referenced were rodents ($n = 11$), including rats, mice and prairie dogs. Four papers reported that the infections occurred through scratches or bites, two through oral transmission, one through a wound from a rat cage, and seven through other direct contact (including one paper with cases infected by a mixture of bites and direct contact). The review also included one paper (detailing a salmonellosis infection) which specified that the case had had no direct contact with the pet. In the remaining papers the method of transmission was not specified for some or all of the cases ($n = 42$). This includes 17 of the 18 papers reporting psittacosis incidents; it is likely that many of these infections occurred via airborne transmission.

Thirty of the papers referenced incidents in the Americas, nineteen referenced incidents in Europe, and eight referenced incidents in South East Asia. The majority of the papers described individual case reports or outbreaks of fewer than ten cases associated with pet shops (or other locations selling/distributing companion animals) ($n = 42$), with only three describing outbreaks with 50 cases or more (an outbreak of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus in hamsters, an outbreak of monkeypox in prairie dogs, and an outbreak of salmonellosis in African dwarf frogs). Twenty-two of the incidents involved adults only, three involved children only, 11 involved both adults and children, and 21 did not specify the age of some or all of the cases.

Thirty-five papers described an incident associated with a pet shop, eight were associated with a breeder or distributor, five with

Table 1. Cases of zoonoses associated with pet shops identified by the systematic literature review.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Bartonellosis	USA	1994	Cats	Animal shelter	1 case	Adult	Multiple scratches	>1 category	Case adopted kittens from animal shelter. Case had high antibody titres to <i>Bartonella henselae</i> . The kittens were blood culture positive.	[30]
Blastomycosis	USA	2009	Kinkajou	Educational organisation	1 case	Adult	Bitten on finger	Dom	Case was bitten by a wild-born pet kinkajou (a rainforest mammal related to a raccoon) from an educational organisation. The animal died shortly afterwards. Blastomycosis DNA sequences from the patient isolate and kinkajou tissues were indistinguishable.	[31]
Cowpox	France	2011	Rats	Pet store	1 case	Adult	Direct contact	Dom	Case fell ill after buying two rats from a pet store. Other rats from the store had died but were not investigated.	[32]
Cowpox	Germany	2009	Rats	Pet shop	5 cases	2 × child, 3 × adult	Direct contact	Dom	Five cases occurred in two families that had purchased rats from the same pet shop. Some of the rats developed skin lesions after purchase.	[33]
Cowpox	France	2009	Rats	Pet store; pet breeder	4 cases	1 × child, 3 × adult	Scratches	Dom	Four cases of infection from sick pet rats from the same pet store. The human cases were shown to be infected by a unique cowpox virus strain. All four pet rats died.	[34]
Cowpox	Germany	2008	Rats	Pet shops; wholesaler	6 cases	2 × child, 4 × adult	3 × direct contact, 3 × not specified	Dom	Five cases of cowpox, and one putative case, among pet rat owners. All had contact with rats recently purchased from pet shops that had sourced from same wholesaler.	[35]
Cryptosporidiosis	USA	2007	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Direct contact	>1 category	A pet shop employee was infected with <i>Cryptosporidium</i> horse genotype. Case reported no contact with horses although did have contact with numerous other animals.	[36]
<i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	USA	1981	Turtle	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Oral	Dom	The patient was infected with <i>Edwardsiella tarda</i> , an organism associated with cold blooded animals. Patient's son had recently purchased a turtle from a pet shop. Patient drank from a glass containing tank water. No specimens were available from turtle or tank.	[37]
Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV)	Romania	2008	Unknown	Pet shop	2 cases	Adults	Not specified	Occ	A case of LCMV infection in a pet store worker, and evidence of a previous infection in one other employee. No samples were taken from rodents at the store.	[38]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
LCMV	USA	2005	Hamsters	Pet store; pet distributor	1 case (plus 4 secondary cases via a common organ donor)	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	Organ donor exposed to LCMV by hamster recently purchased from a pet store (although there was no evidence of LCMV infection in the donor). Illness occurred in four organ transplant recipients, 3 of whom died. More LCMV-infected hamsters were found in both the pet store and the distributor centre. Phylogenetic analysis linked the human and animal infections, including the donor hamster.	[39]
LCMV	USA	1974	Hamster	Pet distributor	181 cases	Not specified: ages ranged from 2 to 74 years	Not specified	Dom	181 symptomatic laboratory confirmed cases in persons with hamsters sourced from a single distributor. Breeder was an employee of a biological products firm that had previously been associated with outbreaks of LCMV from hamsters used for tumor research.	[40]
LCMV	USA	1974	Hamster	Pet shop	6 cases	2 × child, 4 × adult	All direct contact, incl 2 × bite	Dom	Two individuals living in same household contracted severe infection from a hamster (proven to have LCMV). Three additional members of the family and a neighbor had a mild illness with raised antibody titres to LCMV (all handled the hamster and its bedding).	[41]
Leptospirosis	UK	2006	Rats	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Dom	Case purchased two pet rats from a pet shop three months prior to falling ill. Leptospirosis DNA was detected in both rats, and other rats from same litter.	[42]
Leptospirosis	Austria	2001	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Occ	Case worked in a pet shop. No discussion of possible exposures.	[43]
Leptospirosis	USA	1971	Mice	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Oral	Dom	Case of leptospirosis acquired from pet mice recently purchased from a pet shop. Infection may have been acquired when the case's daughter used his toothbrush to clean the mouse-cage.	[44]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Monkeypox	USA	2003	Prairie dogs	Pet store; distributor	20 cases (part of an outbreak involving 72 cases)	i) 11 cases: 3–43y; ii) 9 cases: 5 × child, 4 × adult	i) 11 cases: All direct contact, incl 2 × scratch/bite, 3 × open wounds, ii) 9 cases: not specified	i) 11 cases: >1 category, ii) 9 cases: >1 category	Outbreak of monkeypox, including two pet store employees and two animal distributors. Acquired from prairie dogs which entered the community through pet shops and pet swap meets. Papers detail two clusters within the outbreak: i) 11 cases and ii) nine cases.	[45,46]
MRSA	Canada	2006	Cats	Rescue centre	4 cases	Not specified	1 × direct contact, 3 × not specified	>1 category	Two kittens from a rescue centre were infected with <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> . Some of their littermates had previously died of an unknown disease. Indistinguishable strains were isolated from both owners, one veterinary employee (out of 24 people tested) and the operator of the rescue centre, as well as another cat in the household.	[47]
Psittacosis	Brazil	2012	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Occ	Case contracted <i>Chlamydia psittaci</i> after starting work at a pet shop.	[48]
Psittacosis	Japan	2004	Birds	Pet shop	2 cases	Adults	Not specified	Occ	An elderly couple who ran a pet shop (selling psittacine birds) contracted psittacosis. No bird sampling was conducted.	[49]
Psittacosis	Belgium	1988–2003	Birds	Breeding facilities	7 cases	Adults	Not specified	>1 category	<i>C. psittaci</i> DNA detected in 6/46 owners of pet birds obtained from six different breeding facilities. All of these had birds that tested positive for <i>C. psittaci</i> by PCR or culture. A veterinary student working at the facilities was also culture positive and had mild illness.	[50]
Psittacosis	Japan	2001	Birds	Pet shop	2 cases	Adults	Not specified	Occ	Cases worked in a pet shop where some parakeets had recently died. [Article in Japanese]	[51]
Psittacosis	Slovenia	Unclear: 1991–2001	Birds	Pet shops; breeders	9 cases	Not specified	Not specified	Occ	Nine pet shop keepers/breeders (out of 86 pet shop keepers/breeders [10.5%]) were seropositive for <i>C. psittaci</i> . Second study from 1997 of pet store salesmen, breeders, veterinary employees and employees in the animal slaughter industry showed highest seropositivity (18.2%) was found in salesmen from pet stores.	[52]
Psittacosis	USA	1980s	Birds	Pet shops	Unknown	Not specified	Not specified	Occ	10% of psittacosis cases reported to CDC during the 1980s (where the source of infection was known) occurred in pet shop employees.	[53]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Psittacosis	USA	1997	Birds	Pet stores	i) 1 case, ii) Unknown	Not specified	Not specified	i) Dom, ii) >1 category	i) One individual with a positive antibody titre was found amongst a group of pet bird owners who were tested after the bird lot from which their pets came was confirmed to have chlamydiosis, ii) Birds from pet stores were tested for <i>C. psittaci</i> following illness in pet store employees and bird owners. Persons with high antibody levels had been exposed to PCR positive birds.	[54]
Psittacosis	USA	1997	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case (also 7 secondary nosocomial cases)	Not specified	Not specified	Occ	A pet shop worker was hospitalised with psittacosis.	[55]
Psittacosis	USA	1997	Bird	Pet distributor	1 case	Adult	Direct contact	Occ	A dealer in exotic animals became ill after handling a dead cockatiel.	[56]
Psittacosis	USA	1995	Birds	Pet stores; distributor	Unknown (35 households)	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	Avian chlamydiosis detected in a shipment of >700 pet birds to a particular distributor. Among people who purchased birds sourced from this distributor, evidence of transmission of psittacosis was found in 35 (30.7%) households when clinical and serological case definitions were combined.	[57]
Psittacosis	Spain	1993	Birds	Pet shop	4 cases	Not specified	2 × direct contact, 2 × not specified	Dom	Two cases each bought a parakeet at the same pet shop. Additional serological evidence of infection in two of the cases' relatives. [Article in Spanish]	[58]
Psittacosis	UK	1991	Birds	Pet shop	7 cases	1 × child, 6 × adult	Not specified	> 1 category	An outbreak of seven cases of <i>C. psittaci</i> originating from a local pet shop. All cases had links to the shop, and three were employees. The shop had recently taken delivery of four love-birds, two of which had been unwell and died. None of the birds were tested.	[59]
Psittacosis	Sweden	1977	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case (also 11 secondary cases, of which 9 nosocomial)	Adult	Not specified	Visit	Case visited two pet shops prior to his (fatal) illness. Two parrots in the shops had been bought from a wholesaler connected with a previous outbreak [60], but attempts to isolate chlamydiae failed. Eleven secondary cases occurred.	[61]
Psittacosis	Japan	1976	Birds	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Visit	Case visited a pet shop 11 days prior to falling ill with psittacosis. [Article in Japanese]	[62]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Psittacosis	UK	1974	Birds	Pet shop	3 cases	1 × adult, 2 × not specified	2 × direct contact, 1 × not specified	Occ	The owner of a pet shop became ill after acquiring parrots from a dealer connected with a previous outbreak [63]. A second shipment of parrots was kept in the same cage. One parrot died; two people who had cared for it fell ill with compatible symptoms.	[64]
Psittacosis	UK	1973	Birds	Private pet distributor	3 cases	Not specified	Not specified	> 1 category	A pet distributor and a husband-wife couple fell ill after being in proximity to a sick parrot.	[63]
Psittacosis	Sweden	1967–1969	Birds	Pet shop	18 cases	Not specified	Not specified	> 1 category	13/24 cases of ornithosis were probably infected from the same pet shop and five more got their birds from a wholesale dealer who provided birds to the pet shop. Attempts to culture from the birds were not successful.	[60]
Psittacosis	Sweden	1963	Birds	Pet shop	13 cases	1 × child, 12 × adult	Not specified	> 1 category	13 cases of ornithosis were associated with a pet shop. Birds at the shop were culture positive for <i>C. psittaci</i> .	[65]
Rat bite fever	USA	2004	Rat	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Finger wound from cage	Occ	Pet shop employee sustained a minor finger wound from a rat cage and died from sepsis and multi-organ failure 59 days later.	[16]
Rat bite fever	UK	2001	Rat	Pet shop	1 case	Child	Bitten on finger	Visit	A case of septic arthritis of the hip in a teenager following a bite on the finger from a rat in a pet shop. <i>Streptobacillus moniliformis</i> was cultured from joint fluid.	[17]
Ringworm	Japan	2006	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Direct contact	Occ	A case of tinea corporis (<i>Arthroderma benhamiae</i>) in a pet store employee. Likely that patient was infected through contact with an animal in the pet shop where she handled small animals.	[11]
Ringworm	Japan	2002	Unknown	Pet shop	1 case	Not specified	Not specified	Occ	Pet shop worker with <i>Arthroderma benhamiae</i> lesions on face and hand, unknown exposure. [Article in Japanese.]	[12]
Ringworm	Japan	2002	Hedgehog	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Dom	Case had a lesion on her palm. Had bought a hedgehog from a pet shop four years prior. Isolates from the patient and hedgehog were identified as <i>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</i> var. <i>erinacei</i> .	[13]
Ringworm	Slovakia	2002	Guinea pig	Zoo	2 cases	1 × adult, 1 × child	Not specified	Dom	Two cases of infection in a family which kept a guinea pig obtained from a zoo. Samples from cases and guinea pig were identified as <i>T. mentagrophytes</i> var. <i>quinckeanum</i> .	[66]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Ringworm	USA	2000	Hedgehogs	Pet store	3 cases	Adults	1 × direct contact, 2 × not specified	>1 category	Three patients developed culture positive ringworm after handling or purchasing African pygmy hedgehogs from pet stores. Two isolates were atypical <i>Trichophyton mentagrophytes</i> and one was <i>T. mentagrophytes</i> var <i>erinacei</i> .	[67]
Ringworm	Japan	1991	Dog	Pet shop	1 case	Adult	Not specified	Dom	Case purchased a puppy from a pet shop four weeks before presenting with symptoms. The puppy was asymptomatic, but <i>Microsporium canis</i> was isolated from both case and puppy.	[14]
Salmonellosis	USA	2009–2011	African dwarf frogs	Breeder; pet distributor	56 cases	Not specified	Not specified	>1 category	56/86 patients with <i>Salmonella</i> Typhimurium who were interviewed had recent contact with African dwarf frogs sourced through two distributors from the same breeder. These cases were amongst 224 reported with a unique strain.	[68]
Salmonellosis	USA	2007	Turtles	Pet store	16 cases	Not specified (for the 16 linked to pet stores)	Not specified (for the 16 linked to pet stores)	Dom (possibly with additional exposures)	16/78 cases with <i>S. Java</i> who were interviewed had recent exposure to turtles purchased in retail pet stores. Samples collected from six turtles (or their habitats) yielded the outbreak strain. These cases were amongst 107 infected with the same strain of <i>S. Java</i> .	[69]
Salmonellosis	USA	2004	Rodents	Pet distributors	13 cases	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	13/22 cases of <i>S. Typhimurium</i> who were interviewed had exposure to rodents purchased from pet stores. Seven distributors were identified but no single source was found. These cases were amongst 28 reported with matching isolates.	[70]
Salmonellosis	Canada	2000–2003	Fish	Pet shops	33 cases	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	<i>S. Java</i> was detected in 8/34 pet shops from which 33 individuals with <i>S. Java</i> infection had purchased tropical fish.	[71]
Salmonellosis	USA	1999–2000	Cats	Rescue shelter	4 cases (and two secondary cases)	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	Four people with <i>S. Typhimurium</i> infection adopted kittens from an animal shelter. Isolates from nine adopted cats from the shelter were indistinguishable from the human isolates by PFGE. Two secondary cases occurred. (One further human isolate was found to have the same PFGE pattern, but no connection to the shelter.)	[72]
Salmonellosis	Ireland	1999	Terrapins	Pet shop	8 cases	7 × child, 1 × adult	Not specified	Not specified (either dom or 'close contact')	Eight cases of <i>S. Typhimurium</i> had contact with pet terrapins purchased from the same pet shop.	[73]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Salmonellosis	Canada	1995–1997	Pygmy hedgehogs; sugar gliders	Stock farm; breeders	10 cases	9 × child, 1 × adult	1 × direct contact, 9 × not specified	>1 category	Nine cases of <i>S. Tilene</i> had contact with families owning African Pygmy hedgehogs, and one case's family owned sugar gliders. The sugar gliders and all but one of the hedgehogs had been directly acquired from breeding herds or stock farms. In most cases, <i>S. Tilene</i> was isolated from the implicated animals or animals from the same breeders.	[74,75]
Salmonellosis	USA	1994	Iguana	Pet stores; pet show	Unknown (17 households)	Not specified	Not specified	Dom	25/32 <i>S. Marina</i> cases had a history of exposure to an iguana in the week before illness. Of these, cases from sixteen households obtained their iguana from a pet store and one obtained theirs from a pet show.	[76]
Salmonellosis	USA	1994	Hedgehogs	Breeders	1 case	Child	No direct contact	>1 category	A case of <i>S. Tilene</i> in a 10-month old baby whose family owned a breeding herd of 80 African Pygmy hedgehogs. One of three hedgehogs tested yielded <i>S. Tilene</i> .	[77]
Salmonellosis	Japan	1985	Turtle	Pet shops	2 cases	1 × adult, 1 × child	Not specified	Dom	Two cases of <i>S. Paratyphi B</i> occurred in a family who had a pet turtle positive for the same organism. Investigations also detected this pathogen in turtles or turtle tanks in 4/12 pet shops in the city.	[78]**
Salmonellosis	USA	1983	Turtles	Pet shops	12 cases	11 × child, 1 × adult	1 × direct contact, 11 × not specified	Dom	12/83 cases of <i>Salmonella</i> had a history of exposure or probable exposure to turtles from pet shops. Turtles were collected from pet shops in Puerto Rico and pooled into 'lots' for testing; all lots included at least one animal that was culture-positive for <i>Salmonella</i> . Contamination is believed to have occurred at the turtle farm prior to distribution.	[79]
Salmonellosis	USA	1970–1971	Turtles	Pet shops; department store	i) 2 cases, ii) 36 cases (possibly more, but not stated)	i) 2 × child, ii) not specified	Not specified	Dom	i) Case study of two siblings with <i>S. Hartford</i> infection from a pet turtle (also positive for <i>S. Hartford</i>) purchased at a department store. ii) Also report of six surveys of laboratory-confirmed cases of salmonellosis, where 193/1239 patients with salmonellosis owned pet turtles (it was noted that all the turtles from one survey (36 patients) came from pet shops or department stores).	[80]

Table 1. Cont.

Zoonosis/agent	Country	Year	Animal	Setting	Human cases associated with pet shops	Age: child (≤16 years)/adult	Transmission	Probable type of contact: Occ/dom/visitor*	Comment	Main ref
Toxocariasis	USA	1989	Dog	Pet store	1 case	Child	Not specified	Dom	Young girl suffered permanent loss of vision due to ocular toxocariasis after her parents purchased a puppy from a pet store.	[81]
Tularemia	USA	2002	Prairie dogs	Pet distributor	1 case	Adult	Direct contact	Occ	61 prairie dogs at a pet distributor tested positive for <i>Francisella tularensis</i> . An animal handler at the facility showed serological evidence of recent infection.	[82]

*Occ = occupational (exposure associated with case's place of work); dom = domestic (pet owned by case or relative/friend of case), visitor = case visited place of likely exposure, outside of domestic setting).

**The original source paper for this incident (Muraio T et al (1985) Ann Rep Fukuoka City Inst Hyg Environ, 10, 70–71) is only available in Japanese. The paper by Negano contains sufficient information to include the incident in this review.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089309.t001

some other facility (an animal shelter, an educational organization, two rescue centres, and a zoo; all of which sold or distributed animals to members of the public), and the remaining nine incidents involved more than one type of facility (most commonly involving both a distributor and pet shop). Twenty-five of the papers involved infections occurring in a domestic setting, fourteen in an occupational setting and three described infections occurring after a visit to a pet shop. Fifteen papers covered outbreaks where the cases fell into more than one category or where the setting was unspecified.

Discussion

Pet shops can play an important role in the control of zoonotic infections from companion animals. They are the initial point at which members of the public can access information and advice on the risks associated with their newly purchased pets. Unfortunately, there is evidence to suggest that pet shop employees do not adequately understand or control the risks. A 2003 poll (commissioned by The Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals) of 300 pet shops which reported trading in exotic pets, asked pet shops whether any illnesses contracted by a client's prospective pet could be passed onto humans; 36% answered "No, not at all" [19]. It is important that zoonotic risks are recognized and addressed because the consequences of these infections can be very serious.

The systematic literature review described in this manuscript identified 82 papers covering 57 separate human infections, outbreaks or incidents believed to have been associated with pet shops. Although the review was conducted in a systematic manner, the authors acknowledge that this list is not comprehensive; in order to be comprehensive, individual searches would have to be conducted for each potential zoonotic disease, and zoonotic incidents are often not written up in peer-reviewed journals. However, the review does present a representative sample of papers derived from a well-defined set of search criteria.

A wide spectrum of infections acquired from pet shops was identified by the review. Salmonellosis and psittacosis were the most commonly documented diseases, however more unusual infections such as tularemia were also identified. Many of the references relate to infections in pet shop employees, where often the precise source of infection was undetermined but the pet shop was assumed to be involved. The animals involved in the transmission of these infections were varied, including birds, mammals and rodents, and cover both common household pets, such as dogs and cats, and more exotic creatures, such as iguanas and prairie dogs. Some zoonotic infections were associated with a variety of different companion animals (e.g. salmonellosis), whereas others were associated with only a narrow range of species (e.g. psittacosis). Whilst some of the pathogens identified in Table 1 are commonly foodborne (e.g. *Salmonella*), or transmitted by other established routes of zoonotic infection, e.g. bites and scratches, this review demonstrates that more unexpected routes exist, and that transmission through animal contact should be considered when defining strategies to prevent disease in the population.

There are other organisms which have been identified in pet shop animals, and which have the potential to cause human infection, but which were not identified in this literature review. For example, infections caused by *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and *Y. enterocolitica* may be contracted from pet rodents, however this is uncommon because the usual serotypes found in rodents do not affect humans. The lack of clinical signs in animals affected by these infections may increase the likelihood of transmission of the

Table 2. Incidents/outbreaks identified by the review, by zoonotic agent and animal category.

Zoonosis/agent	Birds	Cats/dogs	Hamsters/ guinea pigs	Hedgehogs	Rodents	Turtles	Other	Not known	Total
LCMV	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
Leptospirosis	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
Pox virus	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
Psittacosis	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	18
Ringworm	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	6
Salmonellosis	0	1	0	1	1	4	5	0	12
Other	0	3	0	0	3	1	1	1	9
Total	15	5	4	3	11	5	6	8	57

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089309.t002

organism from pet to human; guinea pigs are commonly infected with *Y. pseudotuberculosis* and clinical signs are usually subacute, similarly *Y. enterocolitica* is usually asymptomatic in rodents [20]. It is also likely that other zoonotic organisms may have passed from pet shop animals to humans and caused disease, but have not been documented because of under-diagnosis and under-reporting, and a lack of follow-up of sporadic infections, e.g. cryptosporidium, giardia.

There are some diseases which were unexpected omissions in this review, e.g. pasteurellosis. A number of articles concerning pasteurella infections were initially accepted into the review on the basis of their abstracts, however they were not included in the final results because they did not specifically refer to pet shops. This might reflect a publication bias; because infections with *Pasteurella* spp. are commonly associated with animal exposures, case studies might not be written up in the literature. In addition, the association of pasteurellosis with cat and dog bites is very well established, so where articles on pasteurella infections do occur, links to pets and pet shops may not be deemed to be of sufficient interest to warrant inclusion in the final publication. Similarly, this may explain why the literature review included only one article on cat scratch disease. It is therefore important to note that unusual and unexpected zoonotic infections may be over-represented in peer-reviewed publications, and in this review.

A further limitation of this review was its restriction to English-language papers. Although a small number of foreign-language manuscripts were included where a translated abstract was available and provided sufficient information to fulfill the inclusion criteria, 137 out of 142 foreign-language papers were nonetheless excluded. The countries associated with incidents in this review (predominantly the Americas and Europe), reflect this bias. This may imply that pathogens that are more common in non-Western countries, or in more exotic animals not common in Europe and the Americas, were under-represented.

Incidents of rabies tracebacks and zoonoses from pet food were excluded from this review. They are nonetheless important public health considerations and can require a large amount of resource to deal with appropriately. For example, in the US in 1994, significant numbers of people were exposed to a rabid kitten in a pet shop and, although no human cases resulted, the final cost of the investigation and prophylaxis was estimated to be over \$1 million with 665 people receiving prophylaxis [21,22]. Such incidents are not necessarily unusual, and Rotz *et al.* summarise 22 large-scale incidents of exposure to rabid or presumed rabid animals (defined as administration of PEP to 25 or more people after an exposure) that occurred in the US between 1990 and 1996 [23]. The increase in *Salmonella* Typhimurium, designated

definitive type 191a (DT191a), was an example of an outbreak from pet food detected in the UK in December 2008. The increase was found to be associated with raw frozen mice used as reptile feed and sold through wholesalers and distributors [24]. Revised infection control guidance for reptile owners and handlers has been published on the Health Protection Agency (HPA) website [25]. It is therefore important to note that there will be further significant events associated with pet shops beyond those summarized in this manuscript, which must be kept in mind when considering the importance of such facilities in the zoonotic transmission of disease.

While many zoonotic infections associated with pet shops are likely to result in single cases or familial incidents, e.g. rat bite fever, such premises also have the potential to amplify the risk of spread. A sick animal in a pet shop can potentially transmit the illness to other animals within the shop, and therefore to a large number of new pet owners, who may be geographically dispersed. Pet shops (and other locations that sell animals) can additionally act as a type of leisure activity, with families visiting to see and handle the animals, and potentially becoming exposed to zoonotic diseases even though they do not own a pet of their own. As such, pet shops can be the focus of very large outbreaks of disease, such as the 2003 incident in the USA where prairie dogs infected with monkeypox were widely disseminated through pet shops and pet swap meets, and resulted in over 50 cases of human disease. Such disease outbreaks can have a significant public health burden in the direct morbidity and mortality to cases, in financial and logistical impacts on laboratories and healthcare providers, and in the time and expertise required to investigate exposures and follow up potentially infected animals and human cases and contacts. The precise public health impacts will vary according to the zoonosis and the size of incident.

Given their potential to spread zoonotic infections, it is important that pet shops act to minimise the risk as far as possible. The current legislative framework is biased towards animal welfare in the UK, with few recommendations seeking explicitly to protect human health. However, those exposures that fall within occupational health and safety are an exception: employee safety is covered by health and safety at work legislation, and the Control Of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) regulations additionally cover the health of other people who may be exposed to hazards in the workplace, including customers.[26–28] Local Authorities have powers to impose conditions on the licensing of pet shops, and most adopt model standards published by the Local Government Association which includes taking all reasonable precautions to prevent the outbreak and spread of disease [29].

Whilst proposing specific recommendations to improve control measures associated with companion animals in pet shops is beyond the scope of this paper, legislative authorities might consider more stringent oversight of pet breeders and distributors before animals enter the market. Alternatively, practical hygiene measures similar to those implemented on farms open to the public could be made mandatory in pet shops, and information leaflets on zoonotic risks and prevention measures for prospective pet owners could be provided to help to reduce the risk of infection.

Supporting Information

Checklist S1 PRISMA 2009 Checklist. (DOC)

References

- Pet Food Manufacturers association (2012) Pet population 2008 to 2012. Available: <http://www.pfma.org.uk/pet-population-2008-2012>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- [Anonymous] (2007) The Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 (Modification) (No. 2) Order 2007. Available: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2007/2465/introduction/made. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Geffray L (1999) Infections associated with pets. *Rev Med Interne* 20: 888–901.
- Plaut M, Zimmerman EM, Goldstein RA (1996) Health hazards to humans associated with domestic pets. *Annu Rev Public Health* 17: 221–245.
- Day MJ, Breitschwerdt E, Cleaveland S, Karkare U, Khanna C, et al. (2012) Surveillance of zoonotic infectious disease transmitted by small companion animals. *Emerg Infect Dis* [Internet] Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1812.120664>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Pet Food Manufacturers association (2014) Pet statistics FAQ's. Available: <http://www.pfma.org.uk/faqs/pet-statistics>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Stehr-Green JK, Murray G, Schantz PM, Wahlquist SP (1987) Intestinal parasites in pet store puppies in Atlanta. *Am J Public Health* 77: 345–346.
- Oxberry SL, Hampson DJ (2003) Colonisation of pet shop puppies with *Brachyspira pilosicoli*. *Vet Microbiol* 93: 167–174.
- Bugg RJ, Robertson ID, Elliot AD, Thompson RC (1999) Gastrointestinal parasites of urban dogs in Perth, Western Australia. *Vet J* 157: 295–301.
- Itoh N, Itagaki T, Kawabata T, Konaka T, Muraoka N, et al. (2011) Prevalence of intestinal parasites and genotyping of *Giardia intestinalis* in pet shop puppies in east Japan. *Vet Parasitol* 176: 74–78.
- Shiraki Y, Hiruma M, Matsuba Y, Kano R, Makimura K, et al. (2006) A case of tinea corporis caused by *Arthroderma benhamiae* (teleomorph of *Tinea mentagrophytes*) in a pet shop employee. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 55: 153–154.
- Mochizuki T (2002) Molecular epidemiology of Japanese isolates of *Arthroderma benhamiae* by polymorphisms of non-transcribed spacer region of the ribosomal DNA. [Article in Japanese]. *Nihon Ishinkin Gakkai Zasshi* 43: 1–4.
- Mochizuki T, Takeda K, Nakagawa M, Kawasaki M, Tanabe H, et al. (2005) The first isolation in Japan of *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* var. *erinacei* causing tinea manuum. *Int J Dermatol* 44: 764–768.
- Katoh T, Maruyama R, Nishioka K, Sano T (1991) Tinea corporis due to *Microsporum canis* from an asymptomatic dog. *J Dermatol* 18: 356–359.
- Palmer SR, Soulsby L, Simpson DIH (Editors) (1998) Zoonoses: Biology, clinical practice, and public health control. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Shvartsblat S, Kochie M, Harber P, Howard J (2004) Fatal rat bite fever in a pet shop employee. *Am J Ind Med* 45: 357–360.
- Downing ND, Dewnany GD, Radford PJ (2001) A rare and serious consequence of a rat bite. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 83: 279–280.
- Elliott SP (2007) Rat bite fever and *Streptobacillus moniliformis*. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 20: 13–22.
- Ipsos MORI (2004) Exotic pets. Available: <http://www.ipsos-mori.com/researchpublications/researcharchive/912/Exotic-Pets.aspx>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Chomel BB (1992) Zoonoses of house pets other than dogs, cats and birds. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 11: 479–487.
- CDC (1995) Mass treatment of humans exposed to rabies - New Hampshire, 1994. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 44: 484–486.
- Noah DL, Smith MG, Gotthardt JC, Krebs JW, Green D, et al. (1996) Mass human exposure to rabies in New Hampshire: exposures, treatment, and cost. *Am J Public Health* 86: 1149–1151.
- Rotz LD, Hensley JA, Rupprecht CE, Childs JE (1998) Large-scale human exposures to rabid or presumed rabid animals in the United States: 22 cases (1990–1996). *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 212: 1198–1200.
- HPA (2009) Reptile-associated salmonella infections (*S. Typhimurium* DT 191a) - an update. HPR 3: Online report. Available: <http://www.hpa.org.uk/hpr/archives/2009/news3109.htm#dt191a>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- HPA (2009) Reducing the risks of salmonella infection from reptiles. Available: <http://www.hpa.org.uk/Topics/InfectiousDiseases/InfectionsAZ/Salmonella/GeneralInformation/salmReptiles>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- [Anonymous] (2002) The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2002/2677/made>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- [Anonymous] (2003) The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (Amendment) Regulations 2003. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2003/978/made>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- [Anonymous] (2004) The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (Amendment) Regulations 2004. Available: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukxi/2004/3386/made>. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Local Government Association (2006) Pet Animals Act 1951: model standards for pet shop licence conditions. Available: http://www.local.gov.uk/web/guest/publications/-/journal_content/56/10171/3378153/PUBLICATION-TEMPLATE. Accessed 28 January 2014.
- Breitschwerdt EB, Kordick DL (1995) Bartonellosis. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 206: 1928–1931.
- Harris JR, Blancy DD, Lindsley MD, Zaki SR, Paddock CD, et al. (2011) Blastomycosis in man after kinkajou bite. *Emerg Infect Dis* 17: 268–270.
- Elsendoorn A, Agius G, Le Moal G, Aajaji F, Favier AL, et al. (2011) Severe ear chondritis due to cowpox virus transmitted by a pet rat. *J Infect* 63: 391–393.
- Campe H, Zimmermann P, Glos K, Bayer M, Bergemann H, et al. (2009) Cowpox virus transmission from pet rats to humans, Germany. *Emerg Infect Dis* 15: 777–780.
- Ninove L, Domart Y, Vervel C, Voinot C, Salez N, et al. (2009) Cowpox virus transmission from pet rats to humans, France. *Emerg Infect Dis* 15: 781–784.
- Becker C, Kurth A, Hessler F, Kramp H, Gokel M, et al. (2009) Cowpox virus infection in pet rat owners. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 106: 329–334.
- Xiao L, Hlavsa MC, Yoder J, Ewers C, Dearen T, et al. (2009) Subtype analysis of *Cryptosporidium* specimens from sporadic cases in Colorado, Idaho, New Mexico, and Iowa in 2007: widespread occurrence of one *Cryptosporidium hominis* subtype and case history of an infection with the *Cryptosporidium* horse genotype. *J Clin Microbiol* 47: 3017–3020.
- Nagel P, Serritella A, Layden TJ (1982) *Edwardsiella tarda* gastroenteritis associated with a pet turtle. *Gastroenterology* 82: 1436–1437.
- Ceianu C, Tatulescu D, Muntean M, Molnar GB, Emmerich P, et al. (2008) Lymphocytic choriomeningitis in a pet store worker in Romania. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 15: 1749.
- Amman BR, Pavlin BI, Albarino CG, Comer JA, Erickson BR, et al. (2007) Pet rodents and fatal lymphocytic choriomeningitis in transplant patients. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13: 719–725.
- Gregg MB (1975) Recent outbreaks of lymphocytic choriomeningitis in the United States of America. *Bull World Health Organ* 52: 549–553.
- Hirsch MS, Moellering RC Jr, Pope HG, Poskanzer DC (1974) Lymphocytic-choriomeningitis virus infection traced to a pet hamster. *N Engl J Med* 291: 610–612.
- Gaudie CM, Featherstone CA, Phillips WS, McNaught R, Rhodes PM, et al. (2008) Human *Leptospira interrogans* serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae infection (Weil's disease) acquired from pet rats. *Vet Rec* 163: 599–601.
- Finsterer J, Stollberger C, Sehnal E, Stanek G (2005) Mild leptospirosis with three-year persistence of IgG- and IgM-antibodies, initially manifesting as carpal tunnel syndrome. *J Infect* 51: E67–E70.
- Friedmann CT, Spiegel EL, Aaron E, McIntyre R (1973) Leptospirosis ballum contracted from pet mice. *Calif Med* 118: 51–52.
- Reed KD, Melski JW, Graham MB, Regnery RL, Sotir MJ, et al. (2004) The detection of monkeypox in humans in the Western Hemisphere. *N Engl J Med* 350: 342–350.
- Kile JC, Fleischauer AT, Beard B, Kuehnert MJ, Kanwal RS, et al. (2005) Transmission of monkeypox among persons exposed to infected prairie dogs in Indiana in 2003. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 159: 1022–1025.
- Weese JS, Dick H, Willey BM, McGeer A, Kreiswirth BN, et al. (2006) Suspected transmission of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between domestic pets and humans in veterinary clinics and in the household. *Vet Microbiol* 115: 148–155.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the reviewers of this manuscript for their valuable contributions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: DM CC K. Hewitt. Analyzed the data: K. Halsby AW CC. Wrote the paper: K. Halsby CC. Prepared Table 1: K. Halsby AW. Read and commented on draft manuscript: K. Halsby CC K. Hewitt AW DM. Initiated and supervised the development of the paper: DM. Suggested and developed the public health proposals put forward at the end of the discussion section: DM.

48. Budoia J, Tagliari C, Villa R, Gatto S, Bedin V (2012) Dermatologic manifestation of psittacosis. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 66: 108.
49. Saito T, Ohnishi J, Mori Y, Inuma Y, Ichiyama S, et al. (2005) Infection by *Chlamydia psittaci* in an elderly couple working in a pet shop. *J Clin Microbiol* 43: 3011–3013.
50. Vanrompay D, Harkinezhad T, van de Walle M, Beeckman D, van Droogenbroeck C, et al. (2007) *Chlamydia psittaci* transmission from pet birds to humans. *Emerg Infect Dis* 13: 1108–1110.
51. Maegawa N, Emoto T, Mori H, Yamaguchi D, Fujinaga T, et al. (2001) Two cases of *Chlamydia psittaci* infection occurring in employees of the same pet shop [Article in Japanese]. *Nihon Kokyuki Gakkai Zasshi* 39: 753–757.
52. Dove A, Dove P, Kese D, Vlahovic K, Pavlak M, et al. (2005) Long-term study of Chlamydia infection in Slovenia. *Vet Res Commun* 29: 23–36.
53. [Anonymous] (2000) Compendium of measures to control *Chlamydia psittaci* infection among humans (psittacosis) and pet birds (avian chlamydiosis), 2000. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 49: 1–17.
54. Messmer TO, Skelton SK, Moroney JF, Daugharty H, Fields BS (1997) Application of a nested, multiplex PCR to psittacosis outbreaks. *J Clin Microbiol* 35: 2043–2046.
55. Hughes C, Maharg P, Rosario P, Herrell M, Bratt D, et al. (1997) Possible nosocomial transmission of psittacosis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 18: 165–168.
56. Gregory DW, Schaffner W (1997) Psittacosis. *Semin Respir Infect* 12: 7–11.
57. Moroney JF, Guevara R, Iverson C, Chen FM, Skelton SK, et al. (1998) Detection of chlamydiosis in a shipment of pet birds, leading to recognition of an outbreak of clinically mild psittacosis in humans. *Clin Infect Dis* 26: 1425–1429.
58. Viciano P, Bozada JM, Martin-Sanz V, Martinez-Marcos F, Martin A, et al. (1993) Psittacosis of avian origin as etiology of community-acquired pneumonia with severe onset. [Article in Spanish]. *Rev Clin Esp* 192: 28–30.
59. Morrison WM, Hutchison RB, Thomason J, Harrington JH, Herd GW (1991) An outbreak of psittacosis. *J Infect* 22: 71–75.
60. Jernelius H, Pettersson B, Schwarcz J, Vahlne A (1975) An outbreak of ornithosis. *Scand J Infect Dis* 7: 91–95.
61. Broholm KA, Bottiger M, Jernelius H, Johansson M, Grandien M, et al. (1977) Ornithosis as a nosocomial infection. *Scand J Infect Dis* 9: 263–267.
62. Kanazawa Y, Suga S, Niwayama S (1976) A case of psittacosis treated with rifampicin (author's transl). [Article in Japanese]. *Jpn J Antibiot* 29: 601–606.
63. [Anonymous] (1973) An outbreak of psittacosis. *Br Med J* 4: 58.
64. [Anonymous] (1975) Psittacosis in a pet shop. *Br Med J* 1: 283.
65. Alestig K, Bakos K, Barr J, Heller L (1963) An Ornithosis epidemic in Orebro. *Acta Med Scand* 174: 441–449.
66. Bilek J, Baranova Z, Kozak M, Fialkovicova M, Weissova T, et al. (2005) *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* var. *quinckeanum* as a cause of zoophilic dermatomycosis in a human family. *Bratislav Lek Listy* 106: 383–385.
67. Rosen T (2000) Hazardous hedgehogs. *South Med J* 93: 936–938.
68. CDC (2011) Notes from the field: Update on human *Salmonella* Typhimurium infections associated with aquatic frogs – United States, 2009–2011. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 60: 628.
69. Harris JR, Bergmire-Sweat D, Schlegel JH, Winpisinger KA, Klos RF, et al. (2009) Multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* infections associated with small turtle exposure, 2007–2008. *Pediatrics* 124: 1388–1394.
70. Swanson SJ, Snider C, Braden CR, Boxrud D, Wunschmann A, et al. (2007) Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium associated with pet rodents. *N Engl J Med* 356: 21–28.
71. Gaulin C, Vincent C, Ismail J (2005) Sporadic infections of *Salmonella* Paratyphi B, Var. Java associated with fish tanks. *Can J Public Health* 96: 471–474.
72. Wright JG, Tengelsen LA, Smith KE, Bender JB, Frank RK, et al. (2005) Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium in four animal facilities. *Emerg Infect Dis* 11: 1235–1241.
73. Lynch M, Daly M, O'Brien B, Morrison F, Cryan B, et al. (1999) *Salmonella tel-el-kebir* and terrapins. *J Infect* 38: 182–184.
74. Anand CM, Fonseca K, Longmore K, Rennie R, Chui L, et al. (1997) Epidemiological investigation of *Salmonella tilene* by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and polymerase chain reaction. *Can J Infect Dis* 8: 318–322.
75. Craig C, Styliadis S, Woodward D, Werker D (1997) African pygmy hedgehog-associated *Salmonella tilene* in Canada. *Can Commun Dis Rep* 23: 129–131.
76. Mermin J, Hoar B, Angulo EJ (1997) Iguanas and *Salmonella marina* infection in children: a reflection of the increasing incidence of reptile-associated salmonellosis in the United States. *Pediatrics* 99: 399–402.
77. CDC (1995) African pygmy hedgehog-associated Salmonellosis - Washington, 1994. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 44: 462–463.
78. Nagano N, Oana S, Nagano Y, Arakawa Y (2006) A severe *Salmonella enterica* Serotype Paratyphi B infection in a child related to a pet turtle, *Trachemys scripta elegans*. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 59: 132–134.
79. Tauxe RV, Rigau-Perez JG, Wells JG, Blake PA (1985) Turtle-associated salmonellosis in Puerto Rico. Hazards of the global turtle trade. *JAMA* 254: 237–239.
80. Lamm SH, Taylor A Jr, Gangarosa EJ, Anderson HW, Young W, et al. (1972) Turtle-associated salmonellosis. I. An estimation of the magnitude of the problem in the United States, 1970–1971. *Am J Epidemiol* 95: 511–517.
81. Jack DC (1997) The legal implications of the veterinarian's role as a private practitioner and health professional, with particular reference to the human-animal bond: Part 2, The veterinarian's role in society. *Can Vet J* 38: 653–659.
82. Avashia SB, Petersen JM, Lindley CM, Schrieffer ME, Gage KL, et al. (2004) First reported prairie dog-to-human tularemia transmission, Texas, 2002. *Emerg Infect Dis* 10: 483–486.