# Cohort Selection *In Utero* against Male Twins and Childhood Cancers: A Population-Based Register Study



# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Cancer ranks as the second leading cause of death among children ages 1 to 14 years in the United States. Previous research finds that strong cohort selection *in utero* against males precedes a reduction in live-born males considered frail. We examine whether such cohort selection *in utero* may similarly affect the frequency of childhood cancers among male live births.

**Methods:** We examined 1,368 childhood cancers among males born in Sweden over 144 months, from January 1990 to December 2001, and followed to age 15 in the Swedish Cancer Registry. We retrieved the count of male twins by birth month from the Swedish Birth Registry. We applied autoregressive, integrated, moving average time-series methods to identify and control for temporal patterns in monthly childhood cancers and to evaluate robustness of results.

# Introduction

Childhood cancer remains a major contributor to the burden of disease in the United States and elsewhere despite the fact that treatment advances since the 1970s have substantially improved 5-year survival rates (1). Cancer ranks as the second leading cause of death among children ages 1 to 14 years in the United States (2). Survivors of childhood cancer, moreover, show an elevated risk of morbidity (3) including not only chronic somatic illness and hospitalizations (4), but also lower self-reported mental health and wellbeing (5). In addition, both male (6) and female (7, 8) childhood cancer survivors show reduced reproductive success in adulthood. A better understanding of its natural history, therefore, remains high on the research agenda for the health sciences.

Much of the etiology of childhood cancers remains unknown (9). Increasing research, however, indicates that genetic and epigenetic alterations during particular windows of prenatal development may play a role (10). For example, research on acute lymphocytic leukemia—the most prevalent childhood cancer—indicates a prenatal origin (11, 12). This work, in conjunction with research on broader ambient, time-varying exposures *in utero* (e.g., air pollution), indicates

©2021 The Authors; Published by the American Association for Cancer Research

**Results:** Fewer childhood cancers occur among monthly male birth cohorts with elevated selection *in utero* (i.e., a low count of liveborn male twins). This association appears in the concurrent month (coef = 0.04; 95% CI, 0.001-0.079) as well as in the following month in which most births from the twin's conception cohort are "scheduled" to be born (coef = 0.055; 95% CI, 0.017-0.094).

**Conclusions:** Elevated cohort selection *in utero* may reduce the number of frail male gestations that would otherwise have survived to birth and received a cancer diagnosis during childhood.

**Impact:** This novel result warrants further investigation of prenatal exposures, including those at the population level, that may induce cohort selection *in utero* for some cancer types but not others.

that studies on relevant factors during gestation may help to trace additional causes of childhood cancer (13).

One prenatal phenomenon examined in the epidemiology of childhood diseases, but not previously applied to cancers, involves cohort selection *in utero* (14, 15). Cohort selection *in utero* refers to the spontaneous termination, without live birth, of thirty to seventy percent of human gestations (16, 17). The gestations terminated do not represent a random sample of their conception cohort. Among terminations that clinicians detect, a disproportionate fraction involve fetuses exhibiting chromosomal anomalies and/or congenital defects (18). In addition, the terminated fraction of abnormal fetuses in conception cohorts varies across place and over time (14, 19, 20).

Previous research finds that birth cohorts exhibiting signals of unexpectedly great selection in utero also show a reduced risk of birth defects among live-born males (21, 22). The authors reasoned that, consistent with strong evolutionary theory, worsening environmental threats to maternal resources or infant health would induce greater selection in utero against fetuses. The researchers focused on males because, given that they die in infancy more frequently than do females despite receiving relatively more maternal investment, any prenatal mechanism(s) conserved by natural selection in utero would favor termination of frail males unlikely to thrive if born (23-26). Evolutionary theory, therefore, predicts that natural selection would conserve any mutation that terminated gestations of male fetuses with birth defects during stressful times. Findings from California using almost half a million births support the hypothesis in that monthly birth cohorts with unexpectedly strong signals of selection in utero show a reduced risk of defects among live-born males (21, 22).

In this paper we explore the possibility that childhood cancers diagnosed through age <15 years among monthly birth cohorts will vary with indicators of selection *in utero*. We conduct our test using data from Sweden, which maintains the world's highest quality and most complete cancer and birth registries (27). Consistent with theory and previous work, we focus our exploration on the outcome of childhood cancers among males.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Program in Public Health, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California. <sup>2</sup>Center for Population, Inequality, and Policy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California. <sup>3</sup>School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California.

**Note:** Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention Online (http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/).

Corresponding Author: Tim A. Bruckner, Program in Public Health, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92617. E-mail: tim.bruckner@uci.edu

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2021;30:1834-40

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-21-0053

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND).

We, as with prior work, use as the exposure of cohort selection the frequency of live-born male twins (28-30). Mothers of male twins historically show low reproductive fitness because male twins exhibit the highest rate of infant mortality and the lowest lifetime reproductive success, relative to female twins and singleton males and females (31, 32). Consistent with the aforementioned theory that spontaneous abortion of fetuses with low potential reproductive fitness increases when the environment becomes threatening, the frequency of twins among live male births falls following population stressors (e.g., economic downturns, mass shootings; ref. 33). On the basis of theory and these empirical studies, the frequency of live-born male twins falls with increased selection in utero for the entire conception cohort (34). We, therefore, explore whether a reduction in the count of live-born male twins corresponds with a reduction in childhood cancers among males. Beyond contributing to the basic literature concerned with selection in utero, this exploration could, depending on the outcome, add to, or subtract from, the impetus to pursue more intrusive research into the fetal origins of childhood cancer.

# **Materials and Methods**

### **Data and variables**

We acquired information on childhood cancers from the Swedish Cancer Registry (23). All newly diagnosed cancers in Sweden must be reported to this Register, by clinicians, pathologists, or cytologists. We defined childhood cancers as those diagnosed before age 15 among males born in 1990 (earliest available cohort for which the corresponding number of male twins could be linked) through 2001 (most recent cohort reaching age 15 at the time of our test). To assist with comparing cancers over time, the register harmonizes all International Classification of Diseases (ICD) for cancer across waves with the ICD-7 code. We retrieved the count, by sex, of the following cancer diagnoses: leukemia, brain and spinal cord tumors, neuroblastoma, Wilms tumor, lymphoma (including both Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin), and bone cancer (including osteosarcoma and Ewing sarcoma). **Table 1** provides the ICD-7 codes used to retrieve these childhood cancers as well as corresponding ICD-10 codes (for reference).

We obtained monthly counts of male live-born twins from the Swedish Medical Birth Registry (35). Together, the cancer and birth registry data allowed us to create time series characterized by 144 monthly birth cohorts (i.e., January 1990 to December 2001). The time series ends with the December 2001 birth cohort to allow for complete follow-up of cancer diagnosis to <15 years, as 2016 represents the last year in which data from the Sweden's Cancer Registry were retrieved.

#### Analysis

Childhood cancers may exhibit patterns over time such as trend, seasonality, or the tendency for high or low monthly values to persist

**Table 1.** List of ICD, 7th Revision, codes used to identify childhood cancer diagnoses with current ICD 10 codes for reference.

ICD 7 code	ICD 10 code	Cancer site description
196	C40-41	Bone (osteosarcomas and Ewing sarcomas)
193	C70-72	Brain, central nervous system
		(neuroblastomas)
201	C81	Hodgkin lymphoma
200, 202	C82-86, C965	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma
180	C64	Kidney (Wilms tumor)
204-7	C91-95	Leukemia
204-7	C91-95	Leukemia

into subsequent months (1). A trend, for instance, could arise simply from increasing size of the birth cohorts at risk over time. These patterns, collectively referred to as autocorrelation, violate the assumption of correlational tests because the expected value of childhood cancers in any month is not the mean of all months. To address this autocorrelation issue, we used several steps recommended in the timeseries literature to estimate the association between the frequency of male twins and childhood cancers (36).

- 1. We regressed male childhood cancers in monthly birth cohorts on those in female birth cohorts. This step removes from male cohorts any temporal variation, including autocorrelation and the effect of data recording artifacts, shared with female cohorts.
- 2. We used Box–Jenkins (37) methods to identify and model autocorrelation in the residuals of the regression that are unique to males.
- 3. We used Box–Jenkins methods to identify and model autocorrelation, if any, in the count of male twins born in each month. The residuals of this model gauge the difference between the observed and expected values of male twin births. These residuals become the independent variable of our test.
- 4. We specified and estimated a Box–Jenkins transfer function formed by adding the residuals of the model estimated in step 3 to predictors in the model estimated in step 2. Given that over half of male twins are born preterm (38), we specified the residuals not only in the same month as the incidence of childhood cancers in male birth cohorts (i.e., month *t*), but also in the two previous months (i.e., months t 1 and t 2). This specification acknowledges that most male twins delivered in month *t* "belong" to a conception cohort "scheduled" to be born one or two months later. Our test equation, therefore, was as follows:

$$MC_t = c + \omega_1 F C_t + \omega_2 M T_t + \omega_3 M T_{t-1} + \omega_4 M T_{t-2} + (1 - \theta B^q) / (1 - \phi B^p) a_t$$

 $MC_t$  is the count of childhood cancers among males born in month t, c is a constant,  $\omega_1$  through  $\omega_4$  are effect parameters.  $FC_t$  is the count of childhood cancers among females born in month t;  $MT_t$  through  $MT_{t-2}$  are residual counts (derived in step 3 above) of male twins born in months t, t - 1, and t - 2;  $\theta$  is a moving average parameter;  $\phi$  is an autoregressive parameter; B is the "backshift operator," or value of a for month t - q or at month t - p; and  $a_t$  is the residual of the model at year t.

If male conception cohorts signaling relatively great selection *in utero* yielded fewer than expected live births of males eventually diagnosed with childhood cancers,  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_3$  will appear detectably greater than 0. We set the criterion for detection at twice the standard error.

### Results

We observed 2,485 childhood cancers over the test period, of which 1,368 occurred among males (**Table 2**). Leukemia and neuroblastomas account for 38.4% and 36.9% (respectively) of the total cancers examined (**Table 3**). The mean monthly count of childhood cancers among male birth cohorts is 9.5 (SE = 0.31). These cancers appear more frequently among males than among females (female monthly mean = 7.7; SE = 0.24). **Figure 1A** shows the count of childhood cancers among males born over 144 months (i.e., January 1990 to December 2001) and followed to ages 15 years.

**Table 2.** Count of childhood cancers by sex and age of diagnosisamong live births in Sweden from 1990 to 2001 and followed toage 15 years.

Age of diagnosis	Male	Female
Birth to <1 year	61	67
1 to <5 years	510	453
5 to <15 years	801	593

**Table 3.** Count of childhood cancers by site among live births in Sweden, 1990 to 2001, and followed to age <15 years.

Cancer site	N	
Bone (osteosarcomas and Ewing sarcomas)	116	
Brain, central nervous system (neuroblastomas)	916	
Hodgkin lymphoma	78	
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	247	
Kidney (Wilms tumor)	174	
Leukemia	954	

Steps 1 and 2, predicting male childhood cancers from those among females and from autocorrelation produced the following coefficients:  $MC_t = 7.565 + 0.228FC_t + 1/(1 - 0.210B^7)a_t$ 

### The positive FC coefficient (i.e., 0.228, SE = 0.104, P < 0.05) easures the association between male and female childhood cancers

measures the association between male and female childhood cancers. The autoregressive parameter (i.e., -0.210 at t + 7) indicates that about 20% of high or low values of male childhood cancers "echo" 7 months later.

**Table 4.** Estimated parameters for test equation predictingmonthly counts of childhood cancers among males born inSweden from January 1990 to December 2001 (95% CI inparentheses).

Parameter	Point estimate	95% CI
Constant	7.501	(5.700-9.298)**
Male twin residuals at t	0.040	(0.001-0.079)*
at <i>t</i> – 1	0.055	(0.017-0.094)*
at <i>t</i> – 2	0.001	(-0.038-0.040)
Female childhood cancers at t	0.226	(0.009-0.443)*
Autoregression at $t - 7$	0.225	(0.051-0.398)*

<sup>\*</sup>*P* < 0.05.

\*\**P* < 0.001.

Over the 144 months, 17,294 of all births were male twins (monthly mean = 120.10). The best-fitting Box–Jenkins model, estimated in Step 3, for the count of male twins, was as follows:

$$MT_t = 121.625 + 1/(1 - 0.343B^{12})a_t$$

The autoregressive parameter at t - 12 (0.343, SE = 0.080, P < 0.05) months gauges the known seasonality in fertility in general and in twinning in particular (39, 40). **Figure 2** shows the fitted (i.e., expected) and observed values of this variable over the test period. The differences between these values (i.e., expected – observed) measure the degree to which selection *in utero* varied over conception cohorts.

Table 4 shows the results of Step 4 in which we estimated our test equation. The coefficients for the residualized count of male twins suggests a positive association between selection *in utero* (i.e., a decreased count of live-born male twins) and childhood cancers



Figure 1.

Male incidence of childhood cancer over 144 months in Sweden. **A** plots the observed incidence; **B** plots the residual incidence after removal of autocorrelation (first 7 months lost to time-series modeling). Januaries demarcated with vertical lines.



#### Figure 2.

Frequency of male twins over 144 months in Sweden. A plots the observed count; B plots the residual count after removal of autocorrelation (first 12 months lost to time-series modeling). Januaries demarcated with vertical lines.

among monthly male birth cohorts. This association appears in the synchronous month (coef = 0.04; 95% CI, 0.001–0.079) as well as in the month after (coef = 0.055; 95% CI, 0.017–0.094) male twins increase unexpectedly (i.e., childhood cancers among birth cohort in month *t* and male twin counts in month t - 1).

We conducted outlier adjustment routines to determine whether extreme values in male childhood cancers distorted our estimation of standard errors for the male twin coefficients. Results from the outlieradjusted model produced essentially the same inference as in the original test (Supplementary Table S1), although the confidence interval for the coefficient of the synchronous month moved further from the null.

To give the reader a sense of the magnitude of our findings, we estimated the number of male childhood cancer cases associated with a standard deviation increase in selection *in utero* against males, as gauged by a reduction in the count of live-born male twins. The monthly standard deviation in the count of male twins is 15.96. Multiplication of the coefficients discovered in **Table 4** by this standard deviation (i.e., 0.04 at no lag and 0.055 at lag 1 month) indicates 1.52 fewer than expected live-born males ultimately diagnosed with childhood cancer. Application of this reduction to the mean equates to a 7.96% reduction in childhood cancers among males statistically attributable to a one standard deviation increase in cohort selection *in utero* against males.

### Discussion

Epidemiologic evidence suggests that selection pressure against frail fetuses leads to fewer birth defects among live-born males (22). We

explored whether the monthly count of male twins, an indicator of selection *in utero*, similarly predicts the likelihood of childhood cancers among monthly male birth cohorts in Sweden. Our results suggest a positive association such that low counts of male twins in a month correspond with fewer than expected childhood cancers among males <15 years of age that were born in that same month and 1 month later. Findings support the inference that selection *in utero* may reduce the number of frail male gestations that would otherwise have survived to birth and received a cancer diagnosis during childhood.

Strengths of our approach include that the association we found cannot arise from seasonality or from any "third variable" that exhibits autocorrelation because we removed such autocorrelation from male childhood cancers. Adjustment for childhood cancers among females, moreover, minimizes the threat of confounding by variables which affect the likelihood of childhood cancer in both males and females. In addition, the Swedish Registry provides complete case ascertainment through to <15 years of age for all birth cohorts in our test period, which lends external validity to the population base of Sweden. The fact that the Registry data permit alignment by monthly birth cohort, moreover, should encourage examinations of prenatal causes of cohort variation in childhood cancer incidence.

Our findings align with previous research on cohort variation in selection *in utero* against other conditions that impose severe morbidity (e.g., birth defects; refs. 21, 22, 39). However, the mechanism, or set of mechanisms, by which relatively frail fetuses may "signal" their hardiness to the mother remains unknown. Data limitations precluded examination of the relation between other candidate measures of cohort selection and male childhood cancers. Cohort values of human chorionic gonadotropin, for instance, may serve as one biomarker that

#### Bruckner et al.

signals offspring quality, albeit with some error (40–42). To the extent that other registries routinely collect such biomarker and/or "omics" information on pregnancies, linkage of this information to birth cohorts and cancer registries may identify other candidates which signal unusually high or low strength of selection *in utero*.

Given the rarity of childhood cancers among males in any particular birth month, we (owing to statistical considerations) combined several types of childhood cancers. These cancers, however, show distinct etiology and may have different prenatal antecedents (2). We, therefore, do not know if our results generalize equivalently to each cancer type. Societies larger than Sweden may show larger monthly frequency of the more common cancers (e.g., leukemia, brain and other nervous system tumors). Studies of these larger populations, such as in the United States following ambient shocks (e.g., terrorist attacks of 9/11, the Great Recession), may permit identification of which childhood cancer type appears particularly responsive to temporal variation in cohort selection. We also did not include some embryonal tumors (e.g., hepatoblastoma) in the aggregate-level analysis owing to the inability to separate from earlier ICD versions these distinct diagnoses from broader disease categories.

Other limitations include that we did not have access to individuallevel data, thereby precluding an analysis of demographic or biological factors that affect risk of twinning and/or childhood cancers. For instance, whereas the incidence of dizygotic twinning [owing to increased use of *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) technologies] likely increased over the study period, our aggregate-level data could not assess the potential role of changing twin composition over time on childhood cancers (43). Our time-series methods rigorously control for any potential pattern (e.g., trend) in childhood cancers induced by compositional changes over time in zygosity of twins. We, nevertheless, recommend additional work using individual-level data to more carefully examine the extent to which changes over time in dizygotic twinning affect child health.

Researchers attribute the etiology of childhood cancers to inherited and acquired gene mutations, as well as to environmental factors that cause mutations (e.g., radiation; refs. 44, 45). Some children inherit mutations from a parent, thus increasing their risk of certain types of cancer (44, 45). However, most childhood cancers reportedly stem from acquired mutations that may occur in gestation (44, 45). Common embryonal tumors, established in fetal tissue, include neuroblastomas and Wilm's tumors (46). Although causes of many childhood cancer remain unknown, epidemiologic studies report that childhood cancers correspond with risk factors such as birth weight, parental age, consumption of substances including alcohol and/or tobacco, and congenital anomalies (47). Many, but not all, studies of maternal pregnancy histories also find that children born to mothers with a prior second or third trimester spontaneous abortion show an increased risk of childhood cancer diagnosis (48-50). In addition, studies find an increased incidence of childhood cancers among males as opposed to females at every age of childhood and adolescence (51). This sex difference warrants further investigation into whether variation in cohort selection in utero may correspond with a change in the sex ratio of childhood cancers.

Known population indicators of cohort selection *in utero* almost exclusively assume more selection in males than in females (14). This circumstance reflects the facts that more male than female fetal deaths occur among recorded losses and that males disproportionately fall on the left tail of the frailty distribution (52, 53). Theory and recent empirical evidence, however, asserts that female fetuses may experience strong cohort selection—especially in the first trimester (54). Owing to the lack of a validated cohort measure of selection *in utero*  among females, our work is limited in that we could not explore the potential relation between selection and childhood cancers among females.

We did not set out to identify specific antecedents of cohort selection against frail males because previous work in Sweden and elsewhere already reports these findings. Time-series analyses report seasonality in indicators of selection in utero; our discovery of seasonality in male twins converges with this prior work (29, 55, 56). In addition, temperature extremes (57), mass shootings (33), terrorist attacks (19, 20), and economic downturns (among other stressors; ref. 56) precede an increase in male fetal death and/or reductions in births of males considered to fall at the left tail of the fetal frailty distribution. To the extent that childhood cancers among males may also fall disproportionately in this category, these ambient stressors may also precede fewer than expected childhood cancers among male birth cohorts. Examination of such population-level antecedents of childhood cancers would serve as a logical next step for research in this area. Antecedents of interest could include those that are seasonally patterned (e.g., temperature) or sudden ambient shocks (e.g., November 2015 Paris terrorist attacks) that demonstrably stress populations.

At the population level, factors during the prenatal period that affect the risk of loss may not only adversely affect fetal development but also select against a subset of pregnancies at the left tail of the frailty distribution. Live births that ultimately are diagnosed with cancer before age 15 may disproportionately occupy this left tail. This work, if replicated, coheres with the "reproductive suppression" (39, 58) argument of the conservation of maternal mechanisms that spontaneously abort gestations unlikely to thrive if born. Scholars from a range of disciplines contend that, over much of human history, the subset of childhood cancers arising from chromosomal translocations, mutations in oncogenes and/or mutations in tumor suppressor genes during fetal development would have undergone strong selection in utero (11, 12, 59). This selection would have occurred because, prior to 1970, childhood cancers resulted in death before reproductive age and therefore incurred a high fitness cost. Whereas modern advances in treatment dramatically improved childhood cancer survival, our findings indicate that-at least in the modern era in Sweden-a fraction of these cases continue to undergo selection in utero.

Additional research examining a more complete set of childhood cancers at other age ranges may further bolster the "reproductive suppression" argument. For instance, the majority of several cancer types are diagnosed before age 5 years. Examination of these early childhood cancers would permit inclusion of more recent birth cohort years owing to the shorter follow-up time necessary to identify complete cases. We recommend replication of our results using earlier ages and a more complete set of cancer types (e.g., retinoblastoma, hepatoblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma). Such work would benefit from use of larger national datasets than those we used for our study.

Intuition suggests that our work has more implications for the basic understanding of childhood cancers than for clinical practice. We suspect, however, that whatever motivates the search for clinical applications of prenatal screening could also lead to a similar search for applications of knowing the depth of selection in conception cohorts. In addition, the observation that these cancers show substantial temporal variation across birth cohorts (**Fig. 1A**) indicates that exploration of their temporal antecedents merits further inquiry. Such work might examine not only exposures presumed to increase genetic mutations and the risk of childhood cancers, but also population-level factors that may induce cohort selection among particular subtypes of cancer.

#### **Authors' Disclosures**

No disclosures were reported.

#### **Authors' Contributions**

**T.A. Bruckner:** Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, supervision, methodology, writing-original draft. **R. Catalano:** Conceptualization, supervision, methodology, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing. **A. Das:** Data curation, visualization, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing. **Y. Lu:** Data curation, supervision, validation, investigation, writing-review and editing.

#### References

- Cronin KA, Lake AJ, Scott S. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, part I: national cancer statistics. Cancer 2018;124:2785–800.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 2019;69: 7–34.
- Phillips SM, Padgett LS, Leisenring WM. Survivors of childhood cancer in the United States: prevalence and burden of morbidity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015;24:653–63.
- Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG.Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA 2013;309:2371–81.
- Tai E, Buchanan N, Townsend J. Health status of adolescent and young adult cancer survivors. Cancer 2012;118:4884–91.
- Gunnes MW, Lie RT, Bjorge T. Reproduction and marriage among male survivors of cancer in childhood, adolescence and young adulthood: a national cohort study. Br J Cancer 2016;114:348–56.
- Signorello LB, Mulvihill JJ, Green DM. Congenital anomalies in the children of cancer survivors: a report from the childhood cancer survivor study. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:239–45.
- Reulen RC, Zeegers MP, Wallace WH. Pregnancy outcomes among adult survivors of childhood cancer in the British Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:2239–47.
- Savary C, Kim A, Lespagnol A. Depicting the genetic architecture of pediatric cancers through an integrative gene network approach. Sci Rep 2020;10:1224.
- 10. Filbin M, Monje M. Developmental origins and emerging therapeutic opportunities for childhood cancer. Nat Med 2019;25:367-76.
- 11. Greaves MF, Wiemels J. Origins of chromosome translocations in childhood leukaemia. Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:639–49.
- Greaves MF, Maia AT, Wiemels JL. Leukemia in twins: lessons in natural history. Blood 2003;102:2321–33.
- Lavigne E, Lima I, Hatzopoulou M. Ambient ultrafine particle concentrations and incidence of childhood cancers. Environ Int 2020;145:106135.
- Bruckner TA, Catalano R. Selection in utero and population health: theory and typology of research. SSM Popul Health 2018;5:101–13.
- Catalano R, Ahern J, Bruckner T, Anderson E, Saxton K. Gender-specific selection in utero among contemporary human birth cohorts. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2009;23:273–8.
- Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, O'Connor JF, Baird DD, Schlatterer JP, Canfield RE, et al. Incidence of early loss of pregnancy. N Engl J Med 1988;319:189-94.
- 17. Boklage CE. The survival probability of human conceptions from fertilization to term. Int J Fertil 1990;35:75–94.
- Hardy K, Hardy PJ, Jacobs PA, Lewallen K, Hassold TJ. Temporal changes in chromosome abnormalities in human spontaneous abortions: results of 40 years of analysis. Am J Med Genet A 2016;170:2671–80.
- Bruckner TA, Lebreton E, Perrone N, Mortensen LH, Blondel B. Preterm birth and selection in utero among males following the November 2015 Paris attacks. Int J Epidemiol 2019;48:1614–22.
- Bruckner TA, Catalano R, Ahern J. Male fetal loss in the U.S. following the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. BMC Public Health 2010;10: 273.
- Singh P, Yang W, Shaw GM, Catalano R, Bruckner TA. Selected birth defects among males following the United States terrorist attacks of 11September 2001. Birth Defects Res 2017;109:1277–83.
- Bruckner TA, Karasek D, Yang W, Shaw GM, Catalano RA. Cohort variation in selection during pregnancy and risk of selected birth defects among males. Epidemiology 2017;28:580–6.

### Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Prof. Terry Hartig at the University of Uppsala for providing the male twins data.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received January 12, 2021; revised March 28, 2021; accepted June 15, 2021; published first July 16, 2021.

- Drevenstedt GL, Crimmins EM, Vasunilashorn S, Finch CE. The rise and fall of excess male infant mortality. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2008;105:5016–21.
- The Evolution of Parental Care; 1991. https://press.princeton.edu/books/paper back/9780691025162/the-evolution-of-parental-care. Accessed January 10, 2021.
- Powe CE, Knott CD, Conklin-Brittain N. Infant sex predicts breast milk energy content. Am J Hum Biol 2010;22:50–54.
- Helle S, Lummaa V, Jokela J. Sons reduced maternal longevity in preindustrial humans. Science 2002;296:1085.
- Barlow L, Westergren K, Holmberg L. The completeness of the Swedish Cancer Register: a sample survey for year 1998. Acta Oncol 2009;48:27–33.
- Catalano RA, Goldman-Mellor S, Karasek DA. Collective optimism and selection against male twins in utero. Twin Res Hum Genet 2020;23: 45-50.
- Karasek D, Goodman J, Gemmill A, Falconi A, Hartig T, Magganas A, et al. Twins less frequent than expected among male births in risk averse populations. Twin Res Hum Genet 2015;18:314–20.
- Catalano RA, Saxton K, Bruckner T, Goldman S, Anderson E. A sex-specific test of selection in utero. J Theor Biol 2009;257:475–9.
- Lummaa V, Haukioja E, Lemmetyinen R, Pikkola M. Natural selection on human twinning. Nature 1998;394:533–4.
- Bolund E, Lummaa V, Smith KR. Reduced costs of reproduction in females mediate a shift from a male-biased to a female-biased lifespan in humans. Sci Rep 2016;6:24672.
- Catalano RA, Saxton KB, Gemmill A. Twinning in norway following the Oslo massacre: evidence of a "bruce effect" in humans. Twin Res Hum Genet 2016;19: 485–91.
- Lummaa V. Reproductive investment in pre-industrial humans: the consequences of offspring number, gender and survival. Proc Biol Sci 2001;268 (1480):1977–83.
- Health NB. Welfare. The Swedish Medical Birth Register—a summary of content and quality: National Board of Health and Welfare; 2003.
- Catalano R, Serxner S. Time series designs of potential interest to epidemiologists. Am J Epidemiol 1987;126:724–31.
- Box G, Jenkins G, Reinsel G. Time series analysis: forecasting and control. 3rd ed. Prentice Hall; 1994.
- Ananth CV, Chauhan SP. Epidemiology of twinning in developed countries. Semin Perinatol 2012;36:156–61.
- Catalano R, Bruckner TA, Karasek D, Yang W, Shaw GM. Reproductive suppression, birth defects, and periviable birth. Evol Appl 2018;11:762–7.
- Forbes LS. The evolutionary biology of spontaneous abortion in humans. Trends Ecol Evol 1997;12:446–50.
- Bruckner TA, Saxton KB, Pearl M, Currier R, Kharrazi M. A test of maternal human chorionic gonadotropin during pregnancy as an adaptive filter of human gestations. Proc Biol Sci 1747, 2012;279:4604–10.
- Catalano R, Margerison-Zilko C, Goldman-Mellor S, Pearl M, Anderson E, Saxton K, et al. Natural selection in utero induced by mass layoffs: the hCG evidence. Evolutionary Applications 2012;5:796–805.
- 43. Hall JG. Twinning. Lancet 2003;362:735-43.
- Risk factors and causes of childhood cancer. https://www.cancer.org/cancer/ cancer-in-children/risk-factors-and-causes.html. Accessed December 8, 2020.
- Knudson AG. Genetics and the etiology of childhood cancer. Pediatr Res 1976; 10:513–7.
- Tulla M, Berthold F, Graf N, Rutkowski S, von Schweinitz D, Spix C, et al. Incidence, trends, and survival of children with embryonal tumors. Pediatrics 2015;136:e623–32.

#### Bruckner et al.

- 47. Spector LG, Pankratz N, Marcotte EL. Genetic and nongenetic risk factors for childhood cancer. Pediatr Clin North Am 2015;62:11–25.
- Ma X, Metayer C, Does MB, Buffler PA. Maternal pregnancy loss, birth characteristics, and childhood leukemia (United States). Cancer causes control. 2005;16:1075–83.
- Partap S, MacLean J, Von Behren J, Reynolds P, Fisher PG. Birth anomalies and obstetric history as risks for childhood tumors of the central nervous system. Pediatrics 2011;128:e652-e657.
- Schüz J, Kaatsch P, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Michaelis J. Association of childhood cancer with factors related to pregnancy and birth. Int J Epidemiol 9–28:631;1999.
- Williams LA, Richardson M, Marcotte EL, Poynter JN, Spector LG. Sex-ratio among childhood cancers by single-year of age. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2019;66: e27620
- 52. Kraemer S. The fragile male. BMJ 2000;321:1609-12.

- Mondal D, Galloway TS, Bailey TC, Mathews F. Elevated risk of stillbirth in males: systematic review and meta-analysis of more than 30 million births. BMC Med 2014;12:220.
- Orzack SH, Stubblefield JW, Akmaev VR, Colls P, Munné S, Scholl T, et al. The human sex ratio from conception to birth. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112: E2102–11.
- 55. Lerchl A. Seasonality of sex ratio in Germany. Hum Reprod 1998;13:1401-2.
- 56. Catalano R, Bruckner T, Anderson E, Gould JB. Fetal death sex ratios: a test of the economic stress hypothesis. Int J Epidemiol 2005;34:944–8.
- 57. Catalano R, Bruckner T, Smith KR. Ambient temperature predicts sex ratios and male longevity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:2244–7.
- Wasser SK, Barash DP. Reproductive suppression among female mammals: implications for biomedicine and sexual selection theory. Q Rev Biol 1983;58:513–38.
- Casas-Selves M, DeGregori J. How cancer shapes evolution and how evolution shapes cancer. Evo Edu Outreach 2011;4:624–34.