META-ANALYSIS

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2014; 20: 2817-2823 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.892050





MEDICAL

SCIENCE

MONITOR

Background

Lung cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and is the leading cause of cancer death in males globally, with 1.6 million newly confirmed cases and 1.4 million deaths from lung cancer annually [1]. The incidence of lung cancer is increasing significantly and constantly [2]. Although tobacco smoking has been established as the most important cause of lung cancer, not all lung cancers are due to smoking, and increasing evidence for the association between genetic factors and lung cancer risk has been identified by hundreds of studies [3,4], suggesting that genetic factors may play a very important role in the development of lung cancer.

Epidemiologic studies have provided evidence that high consumption of vegetables and fruits is associated with a reduced risk of lung cancer [5–7], and dietary folate may be one of the micronutrients that provide protection against lung carcinogenesis [8]. Biological functions of folate in so-called 'one-carbon metabolism' are to facilitate de-novo deoxynucleoside triphosphate synthesis and to provide methyl groups required for intracellular methylation reactions. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is a central regulatory enzyme in folate metabolism that catalyses the reduction of 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate to 5-methyltetrahydrofolate, the predominant circulating form of folate. Hence, MTHFR acts as a critical juncture in folate metabolism by directing folate metabolites toward the DNA methylation pathway and away from the DNA synthesis pathway. Two common functional polymorphisms of the MTHFR gene, C677T and A1298C, have been identified, and the variant genotypes are associated with low plasma folate levels and significantly diminish the MTHFR activity of individuals [9-11]. Therefore, polymorphisms in the MTHFR gene may contribute to genetic susceptibility to lung and other cancers [12].

A series of studies have investigated the association between the MTHFR gene polymorphisms and lung cancer susceptibility, but provided controversial or inconclusive results. To lessen the impact of different genetic background, we performed this meta-analysis to assess the relationship of MTHFR gene polymorphisms with risk of lung cancer in Chinese populations.

Material and Methods

Materials

We searched databases containing PubMed, Springer Link, Ovid, Chinese Wanfang Data Knowledge Service Platform, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), and Chinese Biology Medicine (CBM) up to 16 February 2014, using the following MeSH terms: ("Lung Neoplasms " [MeSH] or "lung cancer" or "lung tumor" or "lung carcinoma" or "carcinoma of lung") and ("MTHFR" or "methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase"). We limited the languages to English and Chinese. References from retrieved articles were also searched.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Studies included in this meta-analysis had to meet the following criteria: (1) case-control study or cohort study on associations between 2 functional polymorphisms (C677T and A1298C) in MTHFR gene and lung cancer susceptibility; (2) all patients with the diagnosis of lung cancer confirmed by pathological or histological examination; (3) sufficient published data about sample size, ORs, and their 95% CIs; (4) published in English or Chinese language; (5) the distribution of the genotypes in control groups was in the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; (6) all participants were Chinese. Studies were excluded when they were: (1) not case–control study or cohort study; (2) duplicate of a previous publication; (3) based on incomplete data; (4) meta-analyses, letters, reviews, or editorial articles.

Data extraction

Data were independently extracted by 2 reviewers using a standardized data extraction form. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion and if consensus was not achieved, the decision was made by all the reviewers. The title and abstract of all potentially relevant articles were screened to determine their relevance. Full articles were also scrutinized if the title and abstract were ambiguous. The following information was collected from each study: authors, journal and year of publication, study design, sample size, geographical location, ethnicity of subjects, source of controls, numbers of cases and controls, and genotype frequencies of MTHFR C677T and A1298C.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted by using STATA statistical package (version 10, STATA, College Station, TX). The distributions of genotypes in controls were tested by Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using the chi-square test. The association of polymorphisms of MTHFR and lung cancer risk was estimated by ORs with 95% CIs. The heterogeneity was tested by the Q-statistics with P-values <0.1, and its possible sources of heterogeneity were assessed by subgroup analysis. Dependent on the results of heterogeneity test among individual studies, the fixed-effects model (Mantel-Haenszel) or random effects model (DerSimonian and Laird) was selected to summarize the combined ORs and their 95% CIs. The significance of the pooled ORs was determined by the z test. Publication bias was investigated with the funnel plot, in which the standard error (SE) of log OR of each study was plotted against its OR. Funnel-plot asymmetry was further assessed by Egger's linear



regression test. All the *P* values were 2-sided. *P* value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Eligible studies

According to the inclusion criteria, 11 case-control studies [13–23] were included and 74 articles were excluded. The publication year of involved studies ranged from 2003 to 2012. The flow chart of study selection is shown in Figure 1. In total, 2487 lung cancer cases and 3228 healthy controls were involved in this meta-analysis, which evaluated the relationship between MTHFR polymorphism and lung cancer risk. The source of controls was mainly based on a healthy population. Ten of these studies were conducted for MTHFR C677T polymorphisms and 5 studies for MTHFR A1298C polymorphisms. The characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1.

Quantitative synthesis

The main results of this meta-analysis and the heterogeneity test were shown in Tables 2 and 3. With respect to C677T polymorphism, no significantly elevated lung cancer risk was found in overall analyses (Table 2). In the subgroup analysis by geographical locations, significantly increased risk was found in the population from North China (T vs. C: OR=1.28, 95% Cl: 1.14–1.44; TT vs. CC: OR=1.67, 95% Cl: 1.33–2.10; TT + CT vs. CC, OR=1.39, 95% Cl=1.15–1.69; TT vs. CC + CT: OR=1.46, 95% Cl: 1.03–2.06), was not found in the South. In the subgroup analysis by ethnicity, significantly increased risk was not found in Han populations. In the subgroup analysis by source of controls, significant association was found in population-based studies (TT vs. CC: OR=1.37, 95% Cl: 1.14–1.65; TT vs. CC + CT: OR=1.25, 95% Cl: 1.07–1.45).

Figure 1. Flow diagram of the literature search.

With respect to A1298C polymorphism, no significant association with lung cancer risk was demonstrated among overall analyses and subgroup analyses by ethnicity, geographical locations, and source of controls.

Sensitive analysis and bias diagnosis

To compare the difference and evaluate the sensitivity of the meta-analyses, we used both models (the fixed effects model and random effects model) to evaluate the stability of the meta-analysis. None of the results were materially altered (data not shown). Hence, results of the sensitivity analysis suggest that the data in this meta-analysis are relatively stable and credible.

The Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to assess the publication bias of the literature. The shape of the funnel plots did not reveal obvious asymmetry (Figures not shown). Then, the Egger's test was used to provide statistical evidence of funnel plot symmetry. The Egger's test indicated that there was no obvious publication bias for MTHFR C677T in North China (T vs. C, t=0.12, p=0.925; TT vs. CC, t=-0.77, p=0.583; TT + CT vs. CC, t=-2.14, p=0.278; TT vs. CC + CT, t=1.21, p=0.441).

Discussion

Although many studies analyzing the research results about the MTHFR polymorphisms and their associations with lung cancer, definite conclusions cannot be drawn. Therefore, we did this updated meta-analysis to estimate the relationships between MTHFR polymorphisms and susceptibility to lung cancer among Chinese populations only, in order to lessen the impact of different genetic background. The meta-analysis involved 11 articles, of which 10 related to C677T polymorphism and

Authors	year	Source of controls	Area	Ethnicity	Genotype	C677T					A1298C						
						Cases			Controls			Cases			Controls		
						СС	СТ	TT	СС	СТ	TT	AA	AC	СС	AA	AC	СС
Jeng et al.	2003	PB	Taiwan	Mixed**	C677T	36	22	1	123	95	14						
Zhang et al.	2005	РВ	Beijing	Not stated	C677T, A1298C	120	230	155	160	231	109	355	141	9	345	150	5
Shen et al.	2005	РВ	Yunnan	Not stated	C677T, A1298C	33	65	18	53	42	16	71	41	2	69	34	6
Jin et al. *	2007	РВ	Guangzhou	Not stated	C677T, A1298C							70	28	2	68	30	2
Liu et al.	2008	НВ	Nanjing	Han	C677T, A1298C	157	245	98	149	265	103	341	141	18	364	142	11
Liu et al.	2009	РВ	Taiwan	Not stated	C677T, A1298C	205	124	29	362	291	63	228	115	15	467	226	23
Yao et al.	2010	РВ	Hubei	Not stated	C677T	27	46	20	36	51	19						
Yang et al.	2010	PB	Jiangxi	Han	C677T	49	52	19	62	75	28						
Cui et al.	2011	РВ	Shandong	Not stated	C677T	58	240	140	121	325	195						
Cheng et al.	2011	PB	Henan	Han	C677T	49	58	71	47	88	45						
Ma et al.	2012	НВ	Yunnan	Han	C677T	20	54	46	22	28	10						

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.

PB – population-based; HB – hospital-based. * Study excluded from the meta-analysis of MTHFR C677T; not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium; ** Including Fukien Taiwanese, Hakka Taiwanese and Mainland Chinese.

5 related to A1298C polymorphism. The results of this metaanalysis show that the variant genotypes of the MTHFRC677T polymorphisms were significantly associated with lung cancer risk in North China.

To the best of our knowledge, there are 6 published meta-analyses of MTHFR polymorphisms and lung cancer risk. Of these, 4 meta-analyses reported that there was no association between MTHFR polymorphisms (C677T and A1298C) and lung cancer risk [24–27]. Two found that MTHFR 677TT variant genotype was associated with an increased lung cancer risk [28,29], especially in Asians [28]. Furthermore, in the meta-analysis by et al. [29], stratified analysis by ethnicity indicated that there was no significant association observed in any genetic model of the MTHFR C677T polymorphism among Chinese populations, which is consistent with our results in overall analysis.

When we performed the subgroup analyses by ethnicity, source of controls, and geographical locations, significant association with susceptibility for the development of lung was found in North China and population-based studies, and this may be explained by several factors. First, the relationship between genes and lung cancer might vary by ethnicity. In addition, gene-environmental interaction might play an important role in susceptibility to lung cancer. Most importantly, according to the previous studies, there exist seasonal and sex differences in folate status among Chinese people [30,31]. People living in North China have a higher prevalence folate concentration deficiency [30]. Therefore, these results support the hypothesis that concomitant inadequate folate intake and impaired MTHFR activity might be important susceptibility factors for lung cancer.

Our meta-analysis has several strengths. First, we strictly followed the inclusion and exclusion criteria to reduce possible selection bias. Second, a funnel plot and Egger's linear regression test were used to assess publication bias. Third, our inclusion of non-English-language reports was important in minimizing a major potential threat to the validity of any meta-analysispublication bias and the related threat of a language bias. Fourth, the sensitivity analysis was performed to confirm the reliability and stability of this meta-analysis. Most importantly, the impact of different genetic backgrounds was minimized by including the studies performed in Chinese populations only, and the test of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for distribution of the genotypes in control groups suggested that there was no significantly different genetic background among the subjects. Therefore, the 11 studies would appear to be comparable in all respects relevant to our meta-analysis.

Table 2. Summary ORs and 95% CI of MTHFR C677T polymorphism and lung cancer risk.

Analysis model	Ethnicity	OR	95% CI (Pa	
T <i>vs</i> . C	Overall	1.15 ^b	0.97–1.37	(0.109)	0.000
	Han	1.20 ^b	0.65-2.22	(0.566)	0.000
	Not stated	1.18 ^b	0.95–1.47	(0.143)	0.002
TT vs. CC	Overall	1.35 ^b	0.99–1.83	(0.058)	0.002
	Han	1.43 ^b	0.77–2.67	(0.259)	0.004
	Not stated	1.42	1.02–1.97	(0.036)	0.076
TT + CT vs. CC	Overall	1.18 ^b	0.91–1.53	(0.205)	0.000
	Han	1.10 ^b	073–1.65	(0.648)	0.021
	Not stated	1.35 ^b	0.92–1.98	(0.128)	0.000
TT vs. CC + CT	Overall	1.25 ^b	0.99–1.57	(0.060)	0.014
	Han	1.47 ^b	0.87–2.47	(0.148)	0.005
	Not stated	1.22	1.03–1.44	(0.021)	0.212
Source of controls					
Population-based					
T vs. C	-	1.12 ^b	0.93–1.33	(0.225)	0.001
TT vs. CC	_	1.37	1.14-1.65	(0.001)	0.063
TT + CT vs. CC	-	1.14 ^b	0.86–1.52	(0.349)	0.000
TT vs. CC + CT	-	1.25	1.07-1.45	(0.004)	0.070
Hospital-based					
T <i>vs</i> . C	-	1.45 ^b	0.60–3.50	(0.414)	0.000
TT vs. CC	_	0.49 ^b	0.09–2.66	(0.412)	0.001
TT + CT vs. CC	-	1.53 ^b	0.48–4.86	(0.475)	0.002
TT vs. CC + CT	-	1.65 ^b	0.53–5.09	(0.384)	0.006
Subgroup by area					
South China*					
T vs. C	_	1.09 ^b	0.86–1.39	(0.481)	0.000
TT vs. CC	_	1.20 ^b	0.77–1.89	(0.422)	0.007
TT + CT vs. CC	_	1.13 ^b	0.81–1.57	(0.487)	0.000
TT vs. CC + CT	_	1.06	0.87–1.30	(0.552)	0.115
North China**					
T vs. C	_	1.28	1.14–1.44	(0.000)	0.293
TT vs. CC	_	1.67	1.33-2.10	(0.000)	0.615
TT + CT vs. CC	_	1.39	1.15–1.69	(0.001)	0.178
TT vs. CC + CT	_	1.46 ^b	1.03-2.06	(0.034)	0.031

^a P value for heterogeneity; ^b Estimates for random effects model. * South China including Taiwan, Yunnan, Guangzhou, Nanjing, Hubei and Jiangxi; ** North China including Beijing, Shandong and Henan.

The limitations of this meta-analysis should be acknowledged. The key limitation of this study is the lack of an assessment of folate status. However, considering stratification by geographical locations, the folate status may have limited influence on the association between MTHFR polymorphisms and lung cancer susceptibility. Another potential limitation was that our results were based on unadjusted estimates. More precise analyses can be conducted if individual data were available, which

Analysis model	Ethnicity	OR	95% CI (P value)	P ^a	
C vs. A	Overall	1.05	0.92–1.19 (0.461)	0.845	
	Not stated	1.01	0.87–1.17 (0.868)	0.878	
CC vs. AA	Overall	1.33	0.87–2.02 (0.187)	0.449	
	Not stated	1.17	0.70–1.95 (0.547)	0.381	
CC + AC vs. AA	Overall	1.03	0.89–1.19 (0.682)	0.913	
	Not stated	1.00	0.84–1.19 (0.998)	0.904	
CC vs. AA + AC	Overall	1.31	0.86–1.99 (0.202)	0.412	
	Not stated	1.16	0.70–1.92 (0.565)	0.340	
Source of controls					
Population-based					
C <i>vs</i> . A	-	1.01	087–1.17 (0.868)	0.878	
CC vs. AA	-	1.17	0.70–1.95 (0.547)	0.381	
CC + AC vs. AA	-	1.07	0.90–1.27 (0.442)	0.949	
CC vs. AA + AC	-	1.16	0.70–1.92 (0.565)	0.340	
Subgroup by area					
South China*					
C vs. A	_	1.07	0.93–1.24 (0.336)	0.805	
CC vs. AA	_	1.26	0.80–2.00 (0.317)	0.328	
CC + AC vs. AA	_	1.07	0.90–1.27 (0.442)	0.949	
CC vs. AA + AC	_	1.24	0.79–1.95 (0.352)	0.304	

Table 3. Summary ORs and 95% CI of MTHFR A1298C polymorphism and lung cancer risk.

^a P value for heterogeneity. * South China including Taiwan, Yunnan, Guangzhou, Nanjing, Hubei and Jiangxi.

would allow for the adjustment by other covariates, including age, sex, location, race, and other factors. Thirdly, the conclusions drawn from subgroup analyses might be limited because of the small sample size.

Conclusions

Our meta-analysis results support that MTHFR C677T polymorphism might contribute to individual susceptibility to lung cancer in North China. Concerning lung cancer with multifactorial

References:

- 1. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM et al: Global cancer statistics. Cancer J Clin, 2011; 61: 69–90
- Wang JJ, Zheng Y, Sun L et al: CYP1A1 Ile462Val polymorphism and susceptibility to lung cancer: a meta-analysis based on 32 studies. Eur J Cancer Prev, 2011; 20: 445–52

etiology, to further evaluate gene-gene and gene-environment interactions on MTHFR polymorphisms and lung cancer, larger studies in selected populations with different environmental background or other risk factors are required. Such studies taking these factors into account may eventually lead to a better and more comprehensive understanding of the association between the MTHFR polymorphism and lung cancer risk.

Conflicts of interest

None.

- 3. Brennan P, Hainaut P, Boffetta P: Genetics of lung-cancer susceptibility. Lancet Oncol, 2011; 12: 399–408
- 4. Liu L, Wu J, Wu C et al: A functional polymorphism (-1607 1G→2G) in the matrix metalloproteinase-1 promoter is associated with development and progression of lung cancer. Cancer, 2011; 117: 5172–81

- Darby S, Whitley E, Doll R et al: Diet, smoking and lung cancer: a case-control study of 1000 cases and 1500 controls in South-West England. Br J Cancer, 2001; 84: 728–35
- Goldbohm RA, Voorrips LE: Epidemiology of nutrition and lung cancer. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Clin Perform Programme, 2000; 4: 23–37
- 7. Mannisto S, Smith-Warner SA, Spiegelman D et al: Dietary carotenoids and risk of lung cancer in a pooled analysis of seven cohort studies. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2004;13: 40–48
- Shen H, Wei Q, Pillow PC et al: Dietary folate intake and lung cancer risk in former smokers: a case-control analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev, 2003; 12: 980–86
- 9. Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R et al: A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. Nat Genet, 1995; 10: 111–13
- Weisberg I, Tran P, Christensen B et al: A second genetic polymorphism in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) associated with decreased enzyme activity. Mol Genet Metab, 1998; 64: 169–72
- 11. Friedman G, Goldschmidt N, Friedlander Y et al: A common mutation A1298C in human methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene: association with plasma total homocysteine and folate concentrations. J Nutr, 1999; 129: 1656–61
- 12. Kim YI: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms,folate, and cancer risk: a paradigm of gene-nutrient interactions in carcinogenesis. Nutr Rev, 2000; 58: 205–9
- 13. Jeng YL, Wu MH, Huang HB et al: The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677C?T polymorphism and lung cancer risk in a Chinese population. Anticancer Res, 2003; 23: 5149–52
- 14. Cui LH, Yu Z, Zhang TT et al: Influence of polymorphisms in MTHFR 677 C→T, TYMS 3R→2R and MTR 2756 A→G on NSCLC risk and response to platinum-based chemotherapy in advanced NSCLC. Pharmacogenomics, 2011; 12: 797–808
- 15. Liu CS, Tsai CW, Hsia TEC et al: Interaction of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase genotype and smoking habit in Taiwanese lung cancer patients. Cancer Genomics Proteomics, 2009; 6: 325–29
- Liu H, Jin G, Wang H et al: Association of polymorphisms in one-carbon metabolizing genes and lung cancer risk: a case-control study in Chinese population. Lung Cancer, 2008; 61: 21–29
- 17. Jin C, Zhang YH, Peng MF et al: Study of the relationship between C677T, A1298C gene polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and lung cancer. Chin Clin Oncol (in Chinese), 2007; 12: 671–75

- Zhang XM, Miao XP, Tan W et al: Association between genetic polymorphisms in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and risk of lung cancer. Acta Acad Med Sin (in Chinese), 2005; 27: 700–3
- Shen M, Rothman N, Berndt SI et al: Polymorphisms in folate metabolic genes and lung cancer risk in Xuan Wei, China. Lung Cancer, 2005; 49: 299–309
- Yao QF, Chen X, Xue JR et al: Relationship of polymorphisms of MTHFR gene and hypermethylation of tumor suppressor gene in lung cancers. Cancer Prev Treat (in Chinese), 2010; 37: 531–34
- Yang XX, Li FX, Yi JP et al: Association between C677T genetic polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and risk of gastric cancer, colorectal cancer and lung cancer. Guangdong Med J (in Chinese), 2010; 31: 2375–78
- 22. Cheng Z, Wang W, Song YN et al: Association between C677T genetic polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and risk of lung cancer. Chin J Tuberc Respir Dis (in Chinese), 2011; 34: 57–58
- Ma QL, Li YF, Ji M et al: Study of the association between C677T gene polymorphisms of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase and susceptibility to lung cancer. Chin J Clinicians (in Chinese), 2012; 6: 213–15
- 24. Mao R, Fan Y, Jin Y et al: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphisms and lung cancer: a meta-analysis. J Hum Genet, 2008; 53: 340–48
- Boccia S, Boffetta P, Brennan P et al: Meta-analyses of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T and A1298C polymorphisms and risk of head and neck and lung cancer. Cancer Lett, 2009; 273: 55–61
- Zhang Y, Chen GQ, Ji Y et al: Quantitative assessment of the effect of MTHFR polymorphisms on the risk of lung carcinoma. Mol Biol Rep, 2012; 39: 6203–11
- Hou XH, Huang YM, Mi YY: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene C677T polymorphism and lung cancer: an updated meta-analysis. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2012; 13: 2025–29
- 28. Liu ZB, Wang LP, Shu J et al: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677TT genotype might be associated with an increased lung cancer risk in Asians. Gene, 2013; 515: 214–19
- 29. Zhu N, Gong Y, He J et al: Influence of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism on the risk of lung cancer and the clinical response to platinum-based chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: an updated meta-analysis. Yonsei Med J, 2013; 54: 1384–93
- Hao L, Ma J, Stampfer MJ et al: Geographical, seasonal and gender differences in folate status among Chinese adults. J Nutr, 2003; 133: 3630–35
- Gao X, Yao M, McCrory MA et al: Dietary pattern is associated with homocysteine and B vitamin status in an urban Chinese population. J Nutr, 2003; 133: 3636–42