



## Complete Genome Sequence of an African Raw Honey Bacterial Isolate, *Bacillus safensis* Strain AHB11

Carson J. Shin,<sup>a</sup> DTamara J. O'Connor<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

**ABSTRACT** We present the complete genome sequence of *Bacillus safensis* strain AHB11, which was isolated from African raw honey from Kajo Keji, South Sudan, that had been purchased from a third-party vendor. The genome is composed of a 3,697,357-bp chromosome and a 7,105-bp plasmid, collectively encompassing 3,699 predicted protein-coding sequences and 110 RNA genes.

Rassortment of pathogenic bacteria (1–12). Due to its potent antimicrobial activity against an assortment of pathogenic bacteria (1–12). Due to its potent antimicrobial activity, honey is considered a very restrictive niche, with only a few spore-forming microorganisms being able to survive this harsh environment (13–20). Here, we report the complete genome sequence of a bacterium that was isolated from African raw honey originating in Kajo Keji, South Sudan, which we defined as *Bacillus safensis* and designated strain AHB11 for African honey bacterial isolate 11.

The bacterium was isolated by plating diluted African raw honey (50% [v/v] in sterile water) on *N*-(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid) (ACES)-buffered charcoal yeast extract (CYE) medium (21) containing 12 g/L agar, 0.135 g/L cysteine, 0.4 g/L iron (III) nitrate, and 0.1 mg/mL thymidine and growing the culture at 37°C for 20 h. To isolate DNA, bacteria were cultured from a single colony at 37°C for 20 h in liquid AYE medium with aeration.

DNA was extracted from bacteria using a DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. To lyse the bacteria, cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 2 mM EDTA, 1.2% Triton X-100) supplemented with 20 mg/mL lysozyme and then were treated with proteinase K in Qiagen AL buffer at 56°C for 2 h. DNA was then quantified using a Tecan spectrophotometer equipped with a NanoQuant microplate.

The genome was sequenced and assembled at the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center (MiGS) (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The short-read sequencing library was prepared using the Illumina DNA preparation kit, including fragmentation and size selection (320 bp). Paired-end sequencing (150 bp) was performed on an Illumina NextSeq2000 system. Read quality (quality scores of >Q30) and adapter trimming was performed with bcl2fastq v2.20.0.445 (22), generating 3,619,373 reads. The long-read sequencing library was prepared using the genomic DNA by ligation kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) following the manufacturer's instructions and was sequenced with a Nanopore MinION R9.4.1 flow cell. Quality control and adapter trimming were performed using Guppy v5.0.16 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) in high-accuracy basecalling mode and Porechop v0.2.3\_seqan2.1.1 (23), generating 107,444 reads with an  $N_{50}$  value of 965 bp. Genome assembly and circularity determination were performed using Unicycler v0.4.8 (24), and assembly statistics were evaluated using QUAST v5.0.2 (25). For all software, default parameters were used unless otherwise indicated. The bacterial genus and species were defined based on the greatest sequence homology of 16S rRNA, 23S rRNA, rpoB, gyrB, and recA genes using BLAST (26).

**Editor** Steven R. Gill, University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry

**Copyright** © 2022 Shin and O'Connor. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Tamara J. O'Connor, toconno7@jhmi.edu. The authors declare no conflict of interest. Received 15 June 2022 Accented 1 October 2022

Accepted 1 October 2022 Published 26 October 2022 The *Bacillus safensis* strain AHB11 genome consisted of a chromosome of 3,697,357 bp, with a GC content of 44.7% and  $167 \times$  genome coverage, and a single plasmid of 7,105 bp. The genome was annotated by NCBI using the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (27), which identified 3,803 genes, including 3,693 coding DNA sequences (CDSs), 24 rRNAs, 81 tRNAs, 1 transfer-messenger RNA, and 4 noncoding RNAs. The same method was used to annotate the plasmid, referred to as pAHB11, which identified 6 CDSs.

**Data availability.** The complete genome sequences of *Bacillus safensis* strain AHB11 and its plasmid were deposited in GenBank with accession numbers CP097374 and CP097375, respectively, with BioProject accession number PRJNA836921 and BioSample accession number SAMN28178910.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and the Department of Biological Chemistry.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Zumla A, Lulat A. 1989. Honey: a remedy rediscovered. J R Soc Med 82: 384–385. https://doi.org/10.1177/014107688908200704.
- Molan P. 1992. The antibacterial nature of honey: the nature of the antibacterial activity. Bee World 73:5–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/0005772X .1992.11099109.
- 3. Molan P, Rhodes T. 2015. Honey: a biologic wound dressing. Wounds 27: 141–151.
- Willix DJ, Molan PC, Harfoot CG. 1992. A comparison of the sensitivity of wound-infecting species of bacteria to the antibacterial activity of manuka honey and other honey. J Appl Bacteriol 73:388–394. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2672.1992.tb04993.x.
- Badawy OF, Shafii SS, Tharwat EE, Kamal AM. 2004. Antibacterial activity of bee honey and its therapeutic usefulness against *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 and *Salmonella typhimurium* infection. Rev Sci Tech 23:1011–1022. https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.23.3.1543.
- Lusby PE, Coombes AL, Wilkinson JM. 2005. Bactericidal activity of different honeys against pathogenic bacteria. Arch Med Res 36:464–467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2005.03.038.
- George NM, Cutting KF. 2007. Antibacterial honey (Medihoney): in vitro activity against clinical isolates of MRSA, VRE, and other multiresistant Gram-negative organisms including *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Wounds 19:231–236.
- Mohapatra DP, Thakur V, Brar SK. 2011. Antibacterial efficacy of raw and processed honey. Biotechnol Res Int 2011:917505. https://doi.org/10 .4061/2011/917505.
- Cooper RA, Halas E, Molan PC. 2002. The efficacy of honey in inhibiting strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from infected burns. J Burn Care Rehabil 23:366–370. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004630-200211000-00002.
- Cooper RA, Molan PC, Harding KG. 2002. The sensitivity to honey of Gram-positive cocci of clinical significance isolated from wounds. J Appl Microbiol 93:857–863. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002.01761.x.
- Radwan SS, El-Essawy AA, Sarhan MM. 1984. Experimental evidence for the occurrence in honey of specific substances active against microorganisms. Zentralbl Mikrobiol 139:249–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0232 -4393(84)80047-5.
- Mundo MA, Padilla-Zakour OI, Worobo RW. 2004. Growth inhibition of foodborne pathogens and food spoilage organisms by select raw honeys. Int J Food Microbiol 97:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.03 .025.
- Snowdon JA, Cliver DO. 1996. Microorganisms in honey. Int J Food Microbiol 31:1–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(96)00970-1.
- Olaitan PB, Adeleke OE, Ola IO. 2007. Honey: a reservoir for microorganisms and an inhibitory agent for microbes. Afr Health Sci 7:159–165. https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18052870/.

- Rodriguez-Andrade E, Stchigel AM, Terrab A, Guarro J, Cano-Lira JF. 2019. Diversity of xerotolerant and xerophilic fungi in honey. IMA Fungus 10:20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43008-019-0021-7.
- 16. Okamoto M, Kumagai M, Kanamori H, Takamatsu D. 2021. Antimicrobial resistance genes in bacteria isolated from Japanese honey, and their potential for conferring macrolide and lincosamide resistance in the American foulbrood pathogen *Paenibacillus larvae*. Front Microbiol 12: 667096. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.667096.
- 17. Pajor M, Worobo RW, Milewski S, Szweda P. 2018. The antimicrobial potential of bacteria isolated from honey samples produced in the apiaries located in Pomeranian Voivodeship in northern Poland. Int J Environ Res Public Health 15:2002. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15092002.
- Nakano H, Sakaguchi G. 1991. An unusually heavy contamination of honey products by *Clostridium botulinum* type F and *Bacillus alvei*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 63:171–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1097(91)90081-k.
- Hoarau G, Pelloux I, Gayot A, Wroblewski I, Popoff MR, Mazuet C, Maurin M, Croize J. 2012. Two cases of type A infant botulism in Grenoble, France: no honey for infants. Eur J Pediatr 171:589–591. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00431-011-1649-5.
- Grenda T, Grabczak M, Sieradzki Z, Kwiatek K, Pohorecka K, Skubida M, Bober A. 2018. *Clostridium botulinum* spores in Polish honey samples. J Vet Sci 19:635–642. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2018.19.5.635.
- Feeley JC, Gibson RJ, Gorman GW, Langford NC, Rasheed JK, Mackel DC, Baine WB. 1979. Charcoal-yeast extract agar: primary isolation medium for *Legionella pneumophila*. J Clin Microbiol 10:437–441. https://doi.org/ 10.1128/jcm.10.4.437-441.1979.
- 22. Illumina. 2019. bcl2fastq2 conversion software v2.20 software guide. Illumina, San Diego, CA. 2022. https://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing \_software/bcl2fastq-conversion-software.html.
- An open source software for the QC and adapter trimming of ONT technologies. 2018. https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop.
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https:// doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0022-2836(05)80360-2.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.