



## Short communication

## Self-assembly of nanoscale particles with biosurfactants and membrane scaffold proteins



Ramona Faas, Annelie Pohle, Karin Moß, Marius Henkel\*, Rudolf Hausmann

Institute of Food Science and Biotechnology (150), Department of Bioprocess Engineering (150k), University of Hohenheim, Fruwirthstraße 12, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Rhamnolipid  
Nanodisc  
Apolipoprotein  
Membrane scaffold protein (MSP)  
Nanoparticle  
Biosurfactant

## ABSTRACT

Nanodiscs are membrane mimetics which may be used as tools for biochemical and biophysical studies of a variety of membrane proteins. These nanoscale structures are composed of a phospholipid bilayer held together by an amphipathic membrane scaffold protein (MSP). In the past, nanodiscs were successfully assembled with membrane scaffold protein 1D1 and 1,2-dipalmitoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine with a homogeneous diameter of ~10 nm. In this study, the formation of nanoscale particles from MSP1D1 and rhamnolipid biosurfactants is investigated. Different protein to lipid ratios of 1:80, 1:90 and 1:100 were used for the assembly reaction, which were consecutively separated, purified and analyzed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) and dynamic light scattering (DLS). Size distributions were measured to determine homogeneity and confirm size dimensions. In this study, first evidence is presented on the formation of nanoscale particles with rhamnolipid biosurfactants and membrane scaffold proteins.

## 1. Introduction

Phospholipids are a major component of all native cell membranes and have been used in the past for the assembly of discoidal phospholipid bilayer nanoparticles, so called nanodiscs [1]. Nanodiscs are composed of a phospholipid bilayer held together by an amphipathic membrane scaffold protein (MSP) which is based on the apolipoprotein A-I sequence but without the globular N-terminal domain [2]. Nanodiscs represent a class of membrane mimetics and provide an impressive tool for biochemical and biophysical studies of a variety of membrane proteins [1] including G-protein coupled receptors [3], ion channels [4], or pores and toxins [5,6].

It is known that microorganisms like bacteria, yeasts and fungi produce biosurfactants which are amphiphilic surface-active substances [7]. Extensive research has been performed on rhamnolipids (RLs), which belong to the group of glycolipid biosurfactants [8]. Rhamnolipids are composed of a glycon part comprising one (mono-RLs) or two (di-RLs) rhamnose moieties and an aglycon part which consists most commonly of one or two saturated  $\beta$ -hydroxyfatty acid chains which are linked through a  $\alpha$ -1,2-glycosidic linkage [9,10]. Due to the amphiphilic character, structure and relative size of polar head groups versus hydrophobic tail, rhamnolipids show similarities to phospholipids. Phospholipids, particularly glycerophospholipids consist of a glycerol backbone at the *sn*-3 position with acyl moieties at the *sn*-1 and

*sn*-2 positions, respectively [11].

The formation of nanodiscs is initiated by the removal of detergent from a starting mixture containing a defined ratio of MSPs, lipids and detergent [12]. Three parameters are relevant for a successful assembly of nanodiscs: 1) the lipid to protein stoichiometry, 2) the choice of detergent and 3) the assembly temperature [13]. Nanodiscs have been successfully assembled with various synthetic phospholipids namely 1,2-Dimyristoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine (DMPC) [14], 1,2-Dipalmitoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine (DPPC) [15] or 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine POPC [16] and lipid mixtures from natural sources, such as *E. coli* polar lipids [17] or *E. coli* total lipids [18].

With this knowledge, the aim of this study was to examine the potential self-assembly process of purified mono-rhamnolipids and di-rhamnolipids in the presence of the membrane scaffold protein 1D1 to nanoscale structures.

## 2. Material and methods

## 2.1. Materials

Chemicals used were purchased from Carl Roth GmbH & Co. KG (Karlsruhe, Germany) and Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. (Hercules, USA). The membrane scaffold protein 1D1, as lyophilized powder, was

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [r.faas@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:r.faas@uni-hohenheim.de) (R. Faas), [annelie.pohle@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:annelie.pohle@uni-hohenheim.de) (A. Pohle), [karin.moss@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:karin.moss@uni-hohenheim.de) (K. Moß), [marius.henkel@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:marius.henkel@uni-hohenheim.de) (M. Henkel), [rudolf.hausmann@uni-hohenheim.de](mailto:rudolf.hausmann@uni-hohenheim.de) (R. Hausmann).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2017.08.001>

Received 24 May 2017; Received in revised form 21 June 2017; Accepted 28 August 2017

Available online 01 September 2017

2215-017X/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Taufkirchen, Germany). The expression plasmid pMSP1D1 was a gift from Stephen Sligar, University of Illinois, Urbana, USA (Addgene plasmid # 20061) [19]. The synthetic phospholipid DPPC (1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, USA). The mono- and di-rhamnolipids are from own production at the department of Bio-process Engineering at the University of Hohenheim, Germany as described in [20].

## 2.2. Methods

### 2.2.1. Preparation of the disc-samples

**2.2.1.1. Reconstitution mixture with rhamnolipids.** For preparing reconstitution mixtures for nanoscale particles with rhamnolipids, the volumes of MSP1D1, mono-rhamnolipid (RL1) and di-rhamnolipid (RL3) respectively, were calculated as described in Ritchie et al. [21] because of the similarities of phospholipids and rhamnolipids. For preparing a 100 mM stock of RL3, the granules were incubated for at least 30 min at 60 °C in a drying cabinet (UF110; Memmert GmbH + Co.KG; Schwabach, Germany). Then the desired amount of granulate was weighed and dissolved in 200 mM sodium cholate solution to reach a concentration of 100 mM. In order to obtain a 100 mM stock of RL1, the desired amount of RL1 was weighed and dissolved in 200 mM sodium cholate solution. To ensure complete resuspension, rhamnolipids were sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 10 min at a frequency of 37, 50 % power and 30 °C under degassing (Elmasonic P; Elma Schmidbauer GmbH, Singen, Germany). The respective volume of protein was added to the sodium cholate solubilized RL1 or RL3 in glass vial with a PTFE-lined screw cap. The mixture was incubated in a sand bath placed on a hot plate for 1 h at circa 130 °C which was monitored with a thermometer.

**2.2.1.2. Reconstitution mixtures with phospholipids.** For preparing reconstitution mixtures for nanodiscs, the volumes of MSP1D1 and DPPC stock solution were calculated as described in Bayburt et al. [2], to yield an optimal molar ratio of 1:90 MSP1D1 to lipid [19]. Phospholipid stocks are prepared in chloroform at 100 mM and stored at –20 °C into a disposable glass culture tube with PTFE-lined screw caps.

### 2.2.2. Nanodiscs assembly and nanoscale particles with rhamnolipids assembly

The self-assembly of nanodiscs is initiated by sodium cholate removal by dialysis as described in Bayburt et al. [2]. With this knowledge the mixture of MSP1D1, rhamnolipids and cholate was treated equally because of the structural similarities and surfactant properties of phospholipids and rhamnolipids. The dialysis was performed with dialysis cassettes (Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis kit; Thermo Fischer Scientific; Waltham, USA). Briefly, the cassette was hydrated for 2 min in dialysis buffer containing 40 mM Tris/HCl and 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0 [22] and was removed from the buffer for sample injection. Thereby the volume of buffer was approximately 1000 times of the sample volume. The lipid protein mixture was filled into a cassette syringe port with a hypodermic needle and the cassette was placed in dialysis buffer. Dialysis was conducted for 16 h at ambient temperature followed by buffer exchange and subsequent incubation for 16 h at 4 °C. Then the assembled nanodiscs and nanoscale particles with rhamnolipids were withdrawn into the syringe. The samples were filtrated using 0.20 µm cellulose acetate filter (Chromafil CA-20/15-S; Macherey-Nagel; Hoerd, France) and stored at 4 °C.

### 2.2.3. Analytical procedure

**2.2.3.1. Separation, purification and fractionation by size.** Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) is applied for the final purification and analysis of the assembled nanodiscs and nanoscale particles with rhamnolipids. Thereby, the nanoparticles of both approaches were separated by size

using a chromatography system (NGC chromatography system Quest; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.; Hercules, USA) on a calibrated size exclusion chromatography column (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL; GE Healthcare; Chicago, United States of America). Data were processed and analyzed using the chromatography system software (Chromlab™ 4.0 software; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.; Hercules, United States of America). The elution profiles were monitored at 280 nm and relevant discs fractions were pooled and used for further analysis.


**2.2.3.2. Particle size distributions and homogeneity.** Particle size distribution and homogeneity of the generated nanodiscs and nanoscale particles with rhamnolipids were measured by dynamic light scattering (DLS) with a nanoparticle size analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZS particle size analyzer; Malvern Instruments Ltd; Malvern, UK) equipped with a He-Ne laser as a light source (wave-length: 633 nm; detecting backscatter at: 173 °) at 25 °C. Samples were equilibrated to room temperature for at least 30 min, filtered using 0.20 µm cellulose acetate filter (Chromafil CA-20/15-S; Macherey-Nagel; Hoerd, France) and were transferred into 4 mL disposable PS cuvette. DLS results are presented as averages of three independent measurements. The Data were analyzed by using the nanoparticle size analyzer software (Zetasizer software 7.04; Malvern Instruments Ltd; Malvern, UK) to yield number-based size distribution of the samples.

## 3. Results and discussion

Di-rhamnolipid (Rha-Rha-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>) was mixed with lipid to MSP1D1 ratios of 1:80, 1:90 and 1:100 into nanoscale particles with the detergent-dialysis method as described by Bayburt et al. [2]. The assembly mixture was then separated by size exclusion chromatography (Fig. 1) using a calibrated column, and the hydrodynamic diameter and particularity was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS).

Employing a protein to lipid ratio of 1:80, the elution profile indicated a shouldered peak at a retention volume at 14.2 mL (Fig. 1). Using the calculation proposed in reference [23] lead to a Stokes diameter of the particles in these approach of 7.8 nm. This mean diameter was confirmed with the DLS measurements to be 7.0 nm ± 1.4 nm, with a particle polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.433 (Table 1). A comparable result was obtained with a protein to lipid ratio of 1:90. When applying a MSP1D1 to rhamnolipid ratio of 1:100, it was visible that the main (right) peak shifted towards a higher elution volume of 15.1 mL, thereby achieving a separation from the smaller shoulder (left) peak at 13.8 mL (Fig. 1). The calculated diameter of the shoulder (left) peak was 8.3 nm, whereas the DLS measurements differed with a mean diameter of 5.0 nm ± 0.9 nm and a PDI of 0.655, indicating a non-homogeneous and much broader particle size distribution (Table 1). The main (right) peak at 15.1 mL corresponded to a Stokes diameter of 6.6 nm. This result was confirmed with DLS measurements of 6.0 nm ± 1.2 nm as the mean particle size in the sample. Moreover a narrower size distribution in the sample was indicated by a PDI of 0.452. When comparing to the control assembly reaction with pure MSP1D1, the (right) peak elutes at a similar volume of approx. 15 mL. This was furthermore performed using mono-rhamnolipid (Rha-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>) and MSP1D1 accordingly, however, no conclusive results could be obtained.

In Fig. 1 the peak using a MSP:RL ratio of 1:80 and 1:90 at elution volumes of 14.2 mL and 14.1 mL and diameters of 7.8 nm and 7.9 nm, respectively, indicates the formation of particles. As no particles of this size could be detected in the controls, the formation of rhamnolipid/MSP nanoparticles suggests itself. Referring to the size of native MSP1D1 in the control reactions, lower elution volumes indicate a larger size upon assembly with di-rhamnolipid. This is furthermore confirmed by the assembly with increasing amounts of di-rhamnolipid (1:100, Fig. 1), which results in a shift towards higher elution volumes (right peak) and therefore smaller particles. This is likely due to the fact that self-assembly of micellar structures occurs at higher concentrations



**Fig. 1.** Gel filtration chromatograms of different assembled MSP1D1:di-rhamnolipid nanoparticles and controls. Preparations with MSP and rhamnolipid at the indicated molar ratios were separated and purified on a calibrated SEC column with monitoring of protein absorbance at 280 nm. A mixture of unassembled di-rhamnolipid and cholate, native unassembled MSP1D1 as well as purified nanodiscs prepared according to Bayburt et al. [2] were used as controls.

**Table 1**

Size and lipid content of assembled nanoscale particles with di-RL and synthesized nanodiscs used in this study.

Sample	SEC <sup>a</sup> V <sub>e</sub>	Calc <sup>b</sup> diameter	DLS <sup>c</sup> 2R <sub>h</sub>	PDI <sup>d</sup>
di-RL:MSP (1:80)	14.2 mL	7.8 nm	7.0 ± 1.4 nm	0.433
di-RL:MSP (1:90)	14.1 mL	7.9 nm	5.9 ± 1.1 nm	0.386
di-RL:MSP (1:100) left	13.8 mL	8.3 nm	5.0 ± 0.9 nm	0.655
di-RL:MSP (1:100) right	15.1 mL	6.6 nm	6.0 ± 1.2 nm	0.452
di-RL cholate	15.6 mL	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
MSP1D1	14.7 mL	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
DPPC-nanodisc	12.2 mL	10.8 nm	10.1 ± 2.6 nm	0.348

<sup>a</sup> SEC = Size Exclusion Chromatography.

<sup>b</sup> Calc = Calculated using the formula [23].

<sup>c</sup> DLS = Dynamic Light Scattering.

<sup>d</sup> PDI = polydispersity index; V<sub>e</sub> = elution volume. R<sub>h</sub> = hydrodynamic radius, n.a. = not analyzed.

of surfactant, which impairs the correct assembly of MSP1D1-containing complexes. This results in elution volumes similar to native MSP1D1 or di-rhamnolipid in the assembly mixture, respectively. It is assumed that the di-rhamnolipids will be arranged similar to phospholipids in nanodiscs due to the structural similarities of phospholipids and rhamnolipids [9,11]. When comparing the hydrophilic-lipophilic-balance (HLB) according to Davies [24], a value of 19.6 is obtained for DPPC and 19.4 for di-rhamnolipid, respectively. This assumption is supported by the fact that no conclusive results could be obtained using mono-rhamnolipid in the assembly reaction, which has a significantly lower HLB value of 12.1.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this study, the feasibility of the formation of nanoscale particles with the self-assembly process of membrane scaffold protein 1D1 [19] in the presence of bio-surfactant rhamnolipids was investigated. The obtained results from size-exclusion chromatography and analysis via dynamic light scattering suggest the formation of nanoscale particles with a strong dependence on employed molar ratio of MSP:di-rhamnolipid. Similar to nanodiscs but with potentially different properties,

rhamnodiscs may serve as novel tools for biochemical and biophysical studies related to membrane proteins. Therefore, with the broad spectrum of application known for nanodiscs especially in the pharmaceutical sector [1], rhamnodiscs may provide a new platform for research on biomimetic membranes in the future.

#### Conflict of interest

None.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany (BMBF) within the frame of the Project Biotechnologie 2020 + “SeleKomM” for financial support. The authors would like to thank Jochen Weiss, Head of the Department of Food Physics and Meat Science at the University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany for providing access to DLS measurement equipment and Waltraud Schulze, Head of the Department of Plant Systems Biology at the University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany, for providing access to the chromatography system.

#### References

- [1] I.G. Denisov, S.G. Sligar, *Nanodiscs in Membrane Biochemistry and Biophysics*, Chemical Reviews, 2017.
- [2] T.H. Bayburt, Y.V. Grinkova, S.G. Sligar, Self-Assembly of discoidal phospholipid bilayer nanoparticles with membrane scaffold proteins, *Nano Lett.* 2 (8) (2002) 853–856.
- [3] A. Leitz, et al., Functional reconstitution of  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptors utilizing self-assembling Nanodisc technology, *BioTechniques* 40 (5) (2006) 601–612.
- [4] T. Raschle, et al., Controlled co-reconstitution of multiple membrane proteins in lipid bilayer nanodiscs using DNA as a scaffold, *ACS Chem. Biol.* 10 (11) (2015) 2448–2454.
- [5] K. Dalal, F. Duong, The SecY complex: conducting the orchestra of protein translocation, *Trends Cell Biol.* 21 (9) (2011) 506–514.
- [6] H. Katayama, et al., Three-dimensional structure of the anthrax toxin pore inserted into lipid nanodiscs and lipid vesicles, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107 (8) (2010) 3453–3457.
- [7] E. Gakpe, P.K.S.M. Rahman, A.A.M. Hatha, *Microbial biosurfactants – review*, *J. Mar. Atmos. Res.* 3 (2) (2007) 1–17.
- [8] I.M. Banat, et al., *Microbial biosurfactants production: applications and future potential*, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87 (2) (2010) 427–444.

- [9] A.M. Abdel-Mawgoud, et al., G. Soberón-Chávez (Ed.), Rhamnolipids: Detection, Analysis, Biosynthesis, Genetic Regulation, and Bioengineering of Production, in Biosurfactants: From Genes to Applications, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 13–55.
- [10] J.R. Edwards, J.A. Hayashi, Structure of a rhamnolipid from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 111 (2) (1965) 415–421.
- [11] R.P.H. Huijbregts, A.I.P.M. de Kroon, B. de Kruijff, Topology and transport of membrane lipids in bacteria, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Rev. Biomembr.* 1469 (1) (2000) 43–61.
- [12] A.Y. Shih, et al., Disassembly of nanodiscs with cholate, *Nano Lett.* 7 (6) (2007) 1692–1696.
- [13] T.H. Bayburt, S.G. Sligar, Membrane protein assembly into Nanodiscs, *FEBS Lett.* 584 (9) (2010) 1721–1727.
- [14] T.H. Bayburt, Y.V. Grinkova, S.G. Sligar, Assembly of single bacteriorhodopsin trimers in bilayer nanodiscs, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 450 (2) (2006) 215–222.
- [15] T.H. Bayburt, S.G. Sligar, Self-assembly of single integral membrane proteins into soluble nanoscale phospholipid bilayers, *Protein Sci.* 12 (11) (2003) 2476–2481.
- [16] Y.V. Grinkova, et al., Oxidase uncoupling in heme monooxygenases: human cytochrome P450 CYP3A4 in nanodiscs, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 430 (4) (2013) 1223–1227.
- [17] S. Eggensperger, et al., An annular lipid belt is essential for allosteric coupling and viral inhibition of the antigen translocation complex TAP (transporter associated with antigen processing), *J. Biol. Chem.* 289 (48) (2014) 33098–33108.
- [18] F. Finkenwirth, et al., ATP-dependent conformational changes trigger substrate capture and release by an ECF-type biotin transporter, *J. Biol. Chem.* 290 (27) (2015) 16929–16942.
- [19] I.G. Denisov, et al., Directed self-assembly of monodisperse phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs with controlled size, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126 (11) (2004) 3477–3487.
- [20] J. et al. Beuker, High titer heterologous rhamnolipid production, *AMB Express* 6 (1) (2016) 124.
- [21] T.K. Ritchie, et al., Chapter 11 reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs, in: D. Nejat (Ed.), *Methods in Enzymology*, Academic Press, 2009, pp. 211–231.
- [22] C. Roos, et al., Characterization of co-translationally formed nanodisc complexes with small multidrug transporters, proteorhodopsin and with the *E. coli* MraY translocase, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) – Biomembr.* 1818 (12) (2012) 3098–3106.
- [23] Gel Filtration Calibration Kits, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, 2017.
- [24] J. Davies, A Quantitative Kinetic Theory of Emulsion Type I. *Physical Chemistry of the Emulsifying Agent*, Proceedings of 2nd International Congress Surface Activity, Butterworths, London, 1957.