

Differences in Emotional Intelligence Between Male and Female Nursing Students From a Population With a Low Percentage of Male Nurses

SAGE Open Nursing
Volume 10: 1–8
© The Author(s) 2024
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/23779608241274207
journals.sagepub.com/home/son



Karol Laskowski, RN, PhD¹, Justyna Paszkiewicz, RN, PhD¹,
Adam Szepeluk, PhD¹ and Kamil K. Hozyasz, MD, PhD¹

Abstract

Introduction: Poland has the largest shortage of nurses in Europe, as well as a low percentage of male nurses. Information relating to emotional intelligence has been explored to determine whether it predicts the characteristics and outcomes of qualified health professionals during their academic training and practice.

Objective: This study aimed to measure differences in emotional intelligence between male and female nursing students.

Participants and methods: In this cross-sectional study, emotional intelligence was measured using the Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test (SSEIT) in 21 male and 127 female nursing students from John Paul II University in Biala Podlaska, Poland.

Results: The raw overall scores of emotional intelligence in male and female students were similar. Differences were observed after the conversion of raw scores into normalized (sten) scores. High normalized scores (sten 8–10) of emotional intelligence had every third of male students and only 14.2% of female students. The lowest sten values of emotional intelligence in male and female students were 3 and 1, respectively. Less than 10% of male students and over 20% of female students had low normalized scores (sten ≤ 3) for emotional intelligence and an isolated ability to recognize emotions (factor II).

Conclusions: The emotional intelligence expressed in normalized scores was higher in male nursing students than in female nursing students. This evidence may suggest that, at the stage of choosing a field of study, Polish male nursing students self-select for the nursing profession, at least in terms of emotional intelligence. The influx of men into the profession should be considered as a positive trend.

Keywords

Male students, nursing studies, emotional intelligence, recruitment, working force

Received 18 November 2023; Revised 7 July 2024; accepted 12 July 2024

Introduction

In the last decade of the twentieth century, the term emotional intelligence (EI) was originally defined in the scientific literature as “the ability to monitor one’s own and others’ emotions, to discriminate among them, and to use the information to guide one’s thinking and actions” (Salovey & Mayer, 1990). The public’s attention was drawn to the concept of emotional component of social intelligence with the realization of two books by Goleman: *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ?* (1995) and *Working with Emotional Intelligence* (1998). EI, empathy, and problem-solving abilities have become essential qualities for modern nurses (Deng et al., 2023).

Review of Literature

Some experts believe that students with a higher EI level have a better chance of succeeding in the nursing study program and are more fully prepared for professional practice, which is currently characterized by creating a holistic therapeutic

¹Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, John Paul II University in Biala Podlaska, Poland

Corresponding Author:

Kamil K. Hozyasz, Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, John Paul II University in Biala Podlaska, 95/97 Sidorska Str., 21-500 Biala Podlaska, Poland.
Email: khozyasz@gmail.com



relationship with the patient and their family that takes into account social, emotional, and spiritual needs (Christodoulakis et al., 2023; Pienimaa et al., 2021; Sharon & Grinberg, 2018). Volatile, uncertain, changing, and ambiguous (VUCA) times in healthcare, the global economy, and politics have made it necessary to care for psychological safety (Foster, 2022). If EI is imperative to educate nurses who are effective leaders who work well in the presence of stress and are oriented toward nurse–nurse collaboration, as well as have a high rate of retention in the profession and resistance to burnout, it can be argued that the development of a recruitment system for candidates with such predispositions would be beneficial (Al-Hamdan et al., 2021; Hwang & Park, 2022; Nightingale et al., 2018; Sharon & Grinberg, 2018; Talman et al., 2020; Younas et al., 2019). In relation to gender, many studies conducted among nursing students found that being female was associated with a significant increase in EI (Deng et al., 2023; Talman et al., 2020; Younas et al., 2019). In some countries, men's ability to care is continually being questioned because caring is seen as a female-only characteristic (Nerges et al., 2022). These are common findings in the literature, but their practical benefits for nursing recruitment are less obvious (Cerit & Beser, 2014; Deng et al., 2023; Snowden et al., 2015). Moreover, the generalizability of these findings to all countries and cultures is unknown. The approximate percentage of male nurses is reported to be 1% in China, 5% to 8% in North America, 5% to 21% in European countries, and 32% in Saudi Arabia (Hwang & Park, 2022). In Poland, 2% of male nurses and many gender-related stereotypes surround this profession (Kluczyńska, 2012). Male nurses are viewed as those who become nurses because of a lack of other career prospects, without considering that it could have resulted from predispositions (Kowalcuk et al., 2018). The stereotype of the nursing profession as suitable only for women is ingrained even among Polish female nurses, emphasizing the role of the traditionally understood home atmosphere, which women are more predisposed to creating than men (Marcinowicz et al., 2016). There is a popular opinion that women choose a career in nursing because they think their personality is suitable for the profession, while men choose it more for economic security, as quoted in many publications (Kluczyńska, 2017; Tong et al., 2023). However, a recent study by Tong et al. (2023) showed that nurses of different genders mostly have similar perceptions of caring, and the biggest differences lie in the relationship between nurses and themselves.

In Poland, the nurse rate is among the lowest in Europe (5.3 per 1000 of population) (Kluczyńska, 2017). The improvement in the situation is believed to be an increase in recruitment for nursing studies, including men, but stereotypes prevailing in societies are indicated as the main barrier to undertaking the nursing profession by men (Kowalcuk et al., 2018). The question arises whether the increased influx of men into nursing studies is related to the need to develop modules that improve competencies in the area of EI (Deng et al., 2023).

This study aimed to analyze the EI of male and female nursing students in Poland.

Methods and Material

Study Design, Sample, and Setting

A cross-sectional survey was conducted in June 2022 among nursing students at the John Paul II University in Biala Podlaska, Poland.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criterion was that the students were enrolled in an undergraduate nursing program. The exclusion criterion was foreign students, as they may not have sufficient language competence when answering nuanced questions (Dörnyei & Taguchi, 2009). Subjects under the age of 18 years were excluded from the study, as only adults were permitted to participate.

Data Collection and Main Instrument

All first-cycle nursing students had been informed about the study. From recruited students data were collected using a battery of questionnaires, including a sociodemographic questionnaire that included questions about age, gender, nationality, socioeconomic status, etc. and psychological instruments via the paper-and-pencil mode. All data collected on paper were transcribed to electronic databases for analysis. No participants dropped out of the study.

The validated Polish version of the Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire (Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test, SSEIT), developed by Schutte et al. (1998) and adapted to Polish conditions by Jaworowska and Matczak (2008) with very good validation results (Tsirigotis, 2016), was used to measure EI, understood as the ability to recognize, understand, and control one's own emotions, and the emotions of others, as well as the ability to effectively use emotions to influence one's own and others actions. The questionnaire consisted of 33 items of self-descriptive character, with five-point Likert responses (from 1: *I strongly disagree* to 5: *I strongly agree*; questions 5, 28, and 33 were scored in reverse). Sample items included "*I have control over my emotions*," "*I know what other people are feeling just by looking at them*," "*When I experience a positive emotion, I know how to make it last*," and "*I help other people feel better when they are down*." The possible scores ranged from 33 to 165 points. The higher the score, the higher the EI level of EI. In addition to the overall score (OS, the general EI score), two separate factor scores were obtained: the ability to use emotions to support thinking and actions (factor I) and the ability to recognize emotions (factor II). The possible results ranged from 16 to 80 points and from 12 to 60 points for factors I and II, respectively.

The overall score of EI is not the sum of factors I and II because the three items are included in both factor scores and eight items are not included in either factor component. Raw scores can be converted into normalized sten scores developed for the separate female and male student populations, where sten 1 to 3 indicate low EI, 4 to 7 is average, and 8 to 10 is high, according to SSEIT interpretation guidelines (Jaworowska & Matczak, 2008). Both the American and Polish versions are characterized by high reliability and validity (Jaworowska & Matczak, 2008). SSEIT has been used in many studies, but often under different names ("Emotional Intelligence Scale, EIS," "Assessing Emotions Scale, AES," "the Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire INTE," "Schutte Emotional Intelligence Scale, SEIS") (Talman et al., 2020; Tsirigotis, 2016).

Ethical Considerations

Permission to perform this research was obtained from the local ethics committee. Before conducting the research, participants were introduced to the purpose of the research and their rights and obligations. They were also informed of their voluntary participation, anonymity, and ability to leave at any time. The collected electronic data could only be assessed by the researchers involved.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Polish version of the TIBCO Software Inc., USA (2017). The compliance of the data with the normal distribution was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Categorical data were compared using the chi-squared or Fisher's exact test. The comparison of proportions between the groups was performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Correlation between continuous variables was performed using Spearman's rho test. Statistical significance was set at $p < .05$.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Of the 175 students studying their first 3 years of nursing at the John Paul II University in Biala Podlaska, 148 participated in the study. The demographic characteristics of the 21 male and 127 female participants are presented in Table 1. The male students were slightly older than the female students. The students lived as often in the countryside, as in the city.

Research Question Results

Raw Overall EI, Factor I, and Factor II. The median (inter quartile range, IQR) scores for the raw overall EI were 129.0 (118.0–142.0) and 129.0 (121.0–127.0) for men and women, respectively (Table 2). The male student with the

Table 1. Main Characteristics of Study Group.

	Female students (n = 127)	Male students (n = 21)
Mean age \pm SD, years	26.9 \pm 8.2	28.1 \pm 8.9 .1574
Place of residence, village/city, n (%)	57/70 (44.9/55.1) .8627	9/12 (42.9/57.1)
Year of study 1/2/3, n (%)	56/26/45 (44.1/20.5/ 35.4)	7/4/10 (33.3/19.1/47.6) .5411
Having children, n (%)	36 (28.4)	4 (19.1) .3741

lowest raw overall EI had a 9.6% higher score than the female student with the lowest EI. The score of the male students with the highest EI was only 3.7% lower than that of the female students with the highest score. Only in female students the level of the raw overall EI correlated with the age of respondents and the status of a parent having a child (Table 3). Older female students and those having children had higher EI levels. The year of study had no relationship with EI level in either male or female students. Factors I and II were highly correlated with having children only among female students.

Normalized (sten) Scores of EI and Factors I and II

The median normalized scores for EI and factor II were significantly higher in male students than in female students (Table 2). Low EI and factor II scores were twice as likely to be found among women than among men (Table 4). Every third of the male students had high EI scores, but only 14.2% of the female students. Nearly 40% of the male students had high factor I and II scores. Of the female students, 11.8% and 13.4% had high factor I and II scores, respectively.

Discussion

In recent decades, the role of nursing has been widely recognized. According to healthcare experts, the present nursing shortage is going to extend, and the value of nurses has become inherently clear. Men in nursing have a rich history in nursing, dating back hundreds of years BC and contributing to the development of the profession (Younas et al., 2019). Many historians highly appreciate Nightingale's contribution to the development of modern nursing, but some blame her for the demise of men (DeVito, 2016; Younas et al., 2019). In our study, the level of EI using the most general approach, such as the raw overall score, did not differ between male and female nursing students. Analysis of the results using normalized values led to interesting observations. At a university located in a medium-sized city in an agricultural area far from the climate of progressiveness in prosperous metropolitan centers,

Table 2. Emotional Intelligence of Studied Groups of Polish Nursing Students.

Groups	SSEIT test	Me	Q1	Q3	Min.	Max.	95% CI		
							Lower limit	Upper limit	
All students (n = 148)	Overall result	RS	129.0	121.0	138.0	94.0	159.0	126.9	131.0
		Sten	6.0	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	5.0	5.7
	Factor I	RS	64.0	59.0	69.5	45.0	78.0	62.9	65.1
		Sten	6.0	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	5.2	5.8
	Factor II	RS	46.0	43.0	49.0	26.0	60.0	45.0	46.8
		Sten	6.0	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	5.2	5.9
Male students (n = 21)	Overall result	RS	129.0	118.0	142.0	103.0	153.0	123.5	136.0
		Sten	6.0*	4.0	8.0	3.0	10.0	5.4	7.4
	Factor I	RS	65.0	58.0	70.0	50.0	77.0	60.7	67.6
		Sten	7.0	4.0	8.0	3.0	10.0	5.2	7.3
	Factor II	RS	45.0	43.0	52.0	35.0	57.0	43.4	49.0
		Sten	6.0**	5.0	9.0	3.0	10.0	5.6	7.6
Female students (n = 127)	Overall result	RS	129.0	121.0	137.0	94.0	159.0	126.6	131.0
		Sten	5.0*	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	4.8	5.6
	Factor I	RS	64.0	59.0	69.0	45.0	78.0	62.7	65.2
		Sten	6.0	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	5.0	5.7
	Factor II	RS	46.0	43.0	49.0	26.0	60.0	44.9	46.8
		Sten	6.0**	4.0	7.0	1.0	10.0	5.1	5.8

Significant results are shown in bold text; * $p = .026$; ** $p = .0387$

CI = confidence interval; Max. = maximum value; Me = median; Min. = minimal value; Q1 = lower quartile; Q3 = upper quartile; RS = raw score; SSEIT = Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test.

Table 3. Correlations of Emotional Intelligence With Age, Year of Study, and Having Children of One's Own.

Group of students	SSEIT test (raw scores)	Student's age		Year of study		Having children	
		R	p	R	p	R	p
Male students	Overall result	0.204	.375	0.107	.644	0.308	.174
	Factor I	0.055	.814	0.097	.675	0.151	.512
	Factor II	0.310	.171	0.221	.336	0.352	.117
Female students	Overall result	0.213	.016	0.037	.679	0.279	.002
	Factor I	0.206	.020	0.039	.660	0.237	.007
	Factor II	0.172	.053	0.093	.298	0.240	.007

Significant results are highlighted in bold text.

SSEIT = Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test.

Table 4. Percentage Distribution of Emotional Intelligence Test Scores.

SSEIT test	Emotional intelligence in male students (%)			Emotional intelligence in female students (%)			p
	Low (sten 1–3)	Average (sten 4–7)	High (sten 8–10)	Low (sten 1–3)	Average (sten 4–7)	High (sten 8–10)	
Overall result	9.5	57.2	33.3	21.2	64.6	14.2	.0693 ($\chi^2 = 5.34$)
Factor I	19.0	42.9	38.1	21.3	66.9	11.8	.0078 ($\chi^2 = 9.70$)
Factor II	9.5	52.4	38.1	20.5	66.1	13.4	.0167 ($\chi^2 = 8.19$)

Significant results are highlighted in bold text.

SSEIT = Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test.

men were admitted to the nursing field of study and had higher EI expressed in normalized scores than female nursing students. It is worth noting that the increased proportion of high

normalized scores of factors I and II and the decreased proportion of low normalized scores of factors I and II in males in comparison to female nursing students reached the level of high

statistical significance (0.007 and 0.016, respectively, for factors I and II). Our study showed that, in the case of male nursing students in Poland, self-selection for the profession presumably takes place, at least in terms of EI. Emotions are vital to the nursing profession as nurses work in emotionally charged environments, and some studies have indicated that applicants who have a realistic image of the nursing profession are also able to better recognize whether their personal qualities match the demands of the chosen profession (Louwen et al., 2023; Pike, 2006; Talman et al., 2020). In most studies, including those using SSEIT as a tool, the level of EI in female nursing students was equal to or higher than that of male nursing students (Deng et al., 2023; Stiglic et al., 2018). In studies using the Polish version of SSEIT (Jaworowska & Matczak, 2008), raw overall EI was lower among students of physiotherapy, physical education, tourism, and recreation (Romanowska-Tołoczko & Kołodziej, 2018; Romanowska-Tołoczko & Lewandowska, 2014), and military and civilian students of the Polish Air Force Academy in Deblin (Ślusarski et al., 2017) in comparison to our sample of nursing students. Fifth-year dentistry students from a highly ranked Jagiellonian University in Krakow had slightly higher EI scores (mean 132.0 ± 13.2) than nursing students (Bereziewicz et al., 2010). In dentistry, high normalized scores of EI were three times more common among women than among men (60% vs. 17%). Interestingly, male physiotherapy and physical education students who did not plan to join the teaching profession (126.7 vs. 121.9 and 127.2 vs. 124.2, respectively), as well as those from military fields (126.5 vs. 122.1), had higher mean EI than female students. Male military students from the Air Force Academy had higher Factors I and II than female military students and lower than nursing students (factor I: 61.9, 58.9, 64.0; factor II 44.1, 43.3, 46.0; respectively) (Ślusarski et al., 2017). In selected groups of students, the higher level of EI in male students compared to female students is not an exceptional observation (Romanowska-Tołoczko & Kołodziej, 2018; Ślusarski et al., 2017). Findings from a systematic review of 46 EI intervention studies suggest that it is possible to improve various aspects of EI competencies (Kotsou et al., 2018). However, our results on nursing students' EI did not show any gender differences that would increase the likelihood of the need for intervention in male students.

There is controversy regarding the relationship between empathy and the individual characteristics of nursing students (Juniarta & Ferawati Sitanggang, 2023). Deng et al. (2023) showed that female Chinese nursing students' EI was significantly correlated with empathy. Male students had less EI, which was not correlated with empathy. High emotional empathy may predispose professionals to emotional exhaustion and personal accomplishment (Hunt et al., 2017; Topcu et al., 2023). Emotionally related burnout was more common in male nurses than in female nurses both before and during the COVID-19 pandemic (Dos Santos, 2023; Hsu et al., 2010; Hur et al., 2022). More research is needed to understand the relationship between EI and empathy among nursing students, taking into account gender differences and possible

interventions (Deng et al., 2023). There are differences in both mental health and psychosocial risks in the nursing workplace between women and men (Berdida, 2023; Kowalczuk et al., 2018). In-depth knowledge of the psychological characteristics of trainees and their evolution during professional work provides hope for mental health assistance programs (Saleh et al., 2022).

DeVito (2016) reported in her study that male nursing students want to be known as "nurses, not male nurses," which shows the male students' strong belief in the multi-aspect equal rights for men to perform this profession. Moreover, the gender imbalance in nursing can be considered a problem. Heterogeneous teams are more innovative and productive, resulting in improved outcomes, and a gender-diverse workforce can positively influence various work environment factors of a nursing unit (Nerges et al., 2022; Younas et al., 2019). Moreover, gender diversity promotes culturally appropriate care and boosts provider satisfaction (Berdida, 2023). In some units, the technical interests of some male nurses may be an advantage (Berdida, 2023; Nerges et al., 2022). We must also remember that some male patients prefer to receive care from male nurses because they feel more comfortable with them (Rabie et al., 2020). In Poland, there are strong stereotypes regarding the division of professions based on gender (Byczkowska, 2010). It can be assumed that choosing the nursing profession by a man in a society with an extremely low percentage of men practising this profession requires courage and a leader's desire for self-determination. High EI can help make choices regarding professional self-determination (Alston et al., 2010; Walter et al., 2011). It is worth noting that courage and the ability to make bold choices may be valuable in various areas of the nursing profession (Ebrahimi Ghassemi et al., 2019; Pajakoski et al., 2021).

Limitations and Recommendations

Although the results of the present study show important directions for the understanding of EI in nursing students, they are not free from limitations. The small number of male nursing students limits the general ability of the results. An additional limitation is that participant characteristics such as volunteering practice, experience of chronic illness in loved ones, and communication skills were not assessed (Snowden et al., 2015). The research tools used were self-reported measures; therefore, only the subjective perceptions of participants were examined. On the one hand, self-report questionnaires are inexpensive and easy to administer, but on the other hand, we have limitations in that participants may be poor judges of their own abilities. Future studies should consider more aspects of emotional functioning. One solution may be to combine qualitative and quantitative methods within the study. The inclusion of other healthcare disciplines, such as midwifery and paramedic female and male students, may have provided a more in-depth view of their EI (Louwen et al., 2023; Shahin, 2020). This study has some notable strengths. The investigated

population was ethnically homogenous and was from a slightly diversified rural area. The participants were homogeneously recruited from only one higher education institution, with a high response rate (84.6%). It is noteworthy that the EI assessment test used in our study is recommended to assess the formative feedback effectiveness of EI training programs (Chandrapal et al., 2022), which means that the presented results may be useful in planned intervention studies with the participation of nursing students.

Implications for Research and Practice

This study is both theoretically and practically important. In a country where there is a strong stereotype of nursing as a profession exclusively for women, men, with high competencies in the area of emotions, study nursing. Due to the lack of a psychological test of aptitude for a profession during recruitment for nursing studies in Poland, there is probably a favorable self-selection of men as candidates for the profession (Pike, 2006). On the one hand, it would be valuable to further research men's motivation to study nursing and, on the other hand, to popularize the idea of studying nursing among males choosing their field of study, especially in countries with a low percentage of male nurses.

Conclusions

EI expressed in normalized scores was higher in male nursing students than in female nursing students. This evidence may suggest that, at the stage of choosing a field of study, Polish male nursing students self-select for the nursing profession, at least in terms of EI. In our sample from a population with a low percentage of male nurses nursing students' EI did not show any gender differences that would increase the likelihood of the need for intervention in male students. The influx of men into the profession should be considered as a positive trend.

Acknowledgment

The authors appreciate the editor and the reviewers for their insightful and helpful comments and remarks.

Authors' Contributions

KL, JP, and KKH conceived and designed the study, wrote the initial draft of the manuscript, and acquired funding. KL and JP collected the data. KL, JP, and AS analyzed and interpreted the data. KKH supervised the project. All the authors contributed to the manuscript revision, read, and approved the final submitted version.

Availability of Data and Material

The datasets generated during during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research and publication this article: This work was supported by the Akademia Bialska grant No: PB/3/2021.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Statement of Ethics

Permission to perform this research was obtained from the local ethics committee (Komisja Bioetyczna przy Akademii Bialskiej im. Jana Pawła II) no. 14/2022.

ORCID iD

Kamil K. Hozyasz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8606-2509>

References

- Al-Hamdan, Z. M., Alyahia, M., Al-Maaitah, R., Alhamdan, M., Fauri, I., Al-Smadi, A. M., & Bawadi, H. (2021). The relationship between emotional intelligence and nurse-nurse collaboration. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 55(5), 615–622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jnus.12687>
- Alston, B. A., Dastoor, B. R., & Soss-Fey, J. (2010). Emotional intelligence and leadership: A study of human resource managers. *International Journal of Business and Public Administration Disciplines*, 7(2), 61–76.
- Berdida, D. J. (2023). Commentary: Male nurses' mental health and provision of emotional support during COVID-19: A thematic analysis. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 0(0), 174498712211442. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987122114428>
- Bereziewicz, E., Bereziewicz, W., & Loster, B. W. (2010). The level of emotional intelligence in fifth-year students of dentistry. *Czas Stomatologiczny*, 63(8), 490–496.
- Byczkowska, M. (2010). Women and stereotypes—different styles of HR management. *Przedsiębiorczość i Zarządzanie*, 11(3), 82–97.
- Cerit, E., & Beser, N. G. (2014). Levels of emotional intelligence of nursing students. *International Journal of Caring Sciences*, 7(3), 936–942.
- Chandrapal, J., Park, C., Holtschneider, M., Doty, J., & Taylor, D. (2022). Using individual assessments as a tool for formative feedback on emotional intelligence training programs in healthcare learners. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development*, 9, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23821205221079567>
- Christodoulakis, A., Kritsotakis, G., Linardakis, M., Sourtsi, P., & Tsiligianni, I. (2023). Emotional intelligence is more important than learning environment in improving critical thinking. *Medical Teacher*, 45(7), 708–716. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2023.2193305>
- Deng, X., Chen, S., Li, X., Tan, C., Li, W., Zhong, C., Mei, R., & Ye, M. (2023). Gender differences in empathy, emotional intelligence and problem-solving ability among nursing students: A

- cross-sectional study. *Nurse Education Today*, 120, 105649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105649>
- DeVito, J. (2016). The experience of male nursing students. *Nursing Forum*, 51(4), 246–253. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nuf.12149>
- Dörnyei, Z., & Taguchi, T. (2009). *Questionnaires in Second Language Research* (2nd Edn). Routledge.
- Dos Santos, L. M. (2023). Sources of stress, burnout, and career decisions of male health and nursing professionals: A qualitative inquiry of the challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Qualitative Report*, 28(3), 886–905. <https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2023.5837>
- Ebrahimi Ghassemi, A., Zhang, N., & Marigliano, E. (2019). Concepts of courage and resilience in nursing: A proposed conceptual model. *Contemporary Nurse*, 55(4-5), 450–457. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10376178.2019.1661786>
- Foster, R. R. (2022). Creating a psychologically safe space. *Nursing Management (Springhouse)*, 53(11), 48. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.NUMA.0000891452.18867.b2>
- Hsu, H.-Y., Chen, S.-H., Yu, H.-Y., & Lou, J.-H. (2010). Job stress, achievement motivation and occupational burnout among male nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 66(7), 1592–1601. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05323.x>
- Hunt, P. A., Denieffe, S., & Gooney, M. (2017). Burnout and its relationship to empathy in nursing: A review of the literature. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 22(1-2), 7–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987116678902>
- Hur, G., Cinar, N., & Suzan, O. K. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on nurses' burnout and related factors: A rapid systemic review. *Archives of Psychiatric Nursing*, 41, 248–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnu.2022.09.002>
- Hwang, W. J., & Park, E. H. (2022). Developing a structural equation model from Grandey's emotional regulation model to measure nurses' emotional labor, job satisfaction, and job performance. *Applied Nursing Research*, 64, 151557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apnr.2021.151557>
- Jaworowska, A., & Matczak, A. (2008). *Kwestionariusz Inteligencji Emocjonalnej INTE. Podręcznik. Wydanie II, zmienione*. Pracownia Testów Psychologicznych Polskiego Towarzystwa Psychologicznego.
- Juniarta, E. N. G., & Ferawati Sitanggang, Y. (2023). Empathy in nursing students: A scoping review. *Journal of Holistic Nursing*, 42(2_suppl), S59–S86. <https://doi.org/10.1177.08980101231163966>
- Kluczyńska, U. (2012). Men's (in)visibility in nursing—stereotypes and their consequences. *Nowiny Lekarskie*, 81(5), 564–568.
- Kluczyńska, U. (2017). Motives for choosing and resigning from nursing by men and the definition of masculinity: A qualitative study. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 73(6), 1366–1376. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13240>
- Kotsou, I., Mikolajczak, M., Heeren, A., Gregoire, J., & Leys, C. (2018). Improving emotional intelligence: A systemic review of existing work and future challenges. *Emotion Review*, 11(2), 151–165. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073917735902>
- Kowalcuk, K., Krajewska-Kułak, E., & Sobolewski, M. (2018). Psychological hazards in the workplace as an aspect of horizontal segregation in the nursing profession. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02042>
- Louwen, C., Reidlinger, D., & Mine, N. (2023). Profiling health professionals' personality traits, behaviour styles and emotional intelligence: A systematic review. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(120), 1–56. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04003-y>
- Marcinowicz, L., Owlsiuik, A., & Perkowska, E. (2016). Exploring the ways experienced nurses in Poland view their profession: A focus group study. *International Nursing Review*, 63(3), 336–343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12294>
- Nerges, J. A., Hofmann, L., Garrett, L., & Morton, P. A. (2022). Male nurses' perceptions of the facilitators and barriers to recruitment, retention, and job satisfaction. *Nurse Leader*, 20(1), 31–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mnl.2021.08.011>
- Nightingale, S., Spiby, H., Sheen, K., & Slade, P. (2018). The impact of emotional intelligence in health care professionals on caring behaviour towards patients in clinical and long-term care settings: Findings from an integrative review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 80, 106–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.01.006>
- Pajakoski, E., Rannikko, S., Leino-Kilpi, H., & Numminen, O. (2021). Moral courage in nursing—an integrative literature review. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 23(3), 570–585. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12805>
- Pienimaa, A., Talman, K., & Haavisto, E. (2021). The assessment of emotional intelligence in social care and healthcare student selection: A qualitative descriptive study. *Educational Research*, 63(3), 302–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1936111>
- Pike, G. R. (2006). Vocational preferences and college expectations: An extension of Holland's principle of self-selection. *Research in Higher Education*, 47(5), 591–612. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-005-9008-x>
- Rabie, T., Rossouw, L., & Machobane, B. F. (2020). Exploring occupational gender-role stereotypes of male nurses: A South African study. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 27(3), e12890. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijn.12890>
- Romanowska-Tołoczko, A., & Kołodziej, A. (2018). Kierunek studiów a kompetencje psychospołeczne studentów. *Rozprawy Naukowe AWF Wrocław*, 60, 62–73
- Romanowska-Tołoczko, A., & Lewandowska, B. (2014). Emotional intelligence as a predisposition to pursue the teaching profession. *New Education Review*, 38(4), 166–175. <https://doi.org/10.15804/tner.14.38.4.12>
- Saleh, M. O., Eshah, N. F., & Rayan, A. H. (2022). Empowerment predicting nurses' work motivation and occupational mental health. *Sage Open Nursing*, 8, 23779608221076811. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23779608221076811>
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, 9(3), 185–211. <https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG>
- Schutte, N. S., Malouff, J. M., Hall, L. E., Haggerty, D. J., Cooper, J. T., Golden, C. J., & Dornheim, L. (1998). Development and validation of a measure of emotional intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25(2), 167–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869\(98\)00001-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00001-4)
- Shahin, M. A. (2020). Emotional intelligence and perceived stress among students in Saudi health colleges: A cross-sectional correlation study. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 15(6), 463–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2020.09.001>
- Sharon, D., & Grinberg, K. (2018). Does the level of emotional intelligence affect the degree of success in nursing studies? *Nurse Education Today*, 64, 21–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.01.030>
- Ślusarski, J., Kalbarczyk, E., & Tomczyk, W. (2017). Emotional intelligence of military and civilian students and their methods of

- coping with stress. *Annales Universitatis M Curie-Skłodowska Lublin Section J*, 30(3), 109–124. <https://doi.org/10.17951/j.2017.30.3.109>
- Snowden, A., Stenhouse, R., Young, J., Carver, H., Carver, F., & Brown, N. (2015). The relationship between emotional intelligence, previous caring experience and mindfulness in student nurses and midwives: A cross sectional study. *Nurse Education Today*, 35(1), 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2014.09.004>
- Stiglic, G., Cilar, L., Novak, Z., Vrbnjak, D., Stenhouse, R., Snowden, A., & Pajinkihar, M. (2018). Emotional intelligence among nursing students: Findings from a cross-sectional study. *Nurse Education Today*, 66, 33–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2018.03.028>
- Talman, K., Hupli, M., Rankin, R., Engblom, J., & Haavisto, E. (2020). Emotional intelligence of nursing applicants and factors related to it: A cross-sectional study. *Nurse Education Today*, 85, 104271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.104271>
- Tong, L. K., Zhu, M. X., Wang, S. C., Cheong, P. L., & Van, I. K. (2023). Gender similarities and differences in the perception of caring among nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic: A mixed-methods study. *BMC Nursing*, 22(115). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-023-01267-z>
- Topcu, N., Akbolat, M., & Amarat, M. (2023). The mediating role of empathy in the impact of compassion fatigue on burnout among nurses. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 28(6-7), 485–495. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17449871231177164>
- Tsirigotis, K. (2016). Indirect self-destructiveness and emotional intelligence. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 87(2), 253–263. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11126-015-9387-x>
- Walter, F., Cole, M. S., & Humphrey, R. H. (2011). Emotional intelligence: Sine qua non of leadership or folderol? *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 25(1), 45–59. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.25.1.45>
- Younas, A., Sundus, A., Zeb, H., & Sommer, J. (2019). A mixed methods of male nursing students' challenges during nursing education and strategies to tackle these challenges. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 35(4), 260–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2019.01.008>